

Proceeding Paper

Effect of Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Brewer's Spent Grain on Bioactivity, Techno-Functional Properties, and Nutritional Value When Added to a Bread Formulation †

Jessica Báez , Adriana Maite Fernández-Fernández , Federico Briozzo, Sofía Díaz, Agustina Dorgans, Valentina Tajam and Alejandra Medrano * 

Laboratorio de Bioactividad y Nanotecnología de Alimentos, Departamento de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos, Facultad de Química, Universidad de la República, Montevideo 11800, Uruguay

* Correspondence: amedrano@fq.edu.uy; Tel.: +598-2924-26-75

† Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Foods—Future Foods and Food Technologies for a Sustainable World, 15–30 October 2021; Available online: <https://foods2021.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: The interesting nutritional value and abundance of brewer's spent grain (BSG) may be adequate for its use as a sustainable functional ingredient. The aim of the present work was to enhance the BSG bioactive properties, along with studying the BSG bread technological feasibility through rheological properties evaluation. To optimize the release of BSG bioactive compounds, enzymatic hydrolysis was carried out using a composite central design, varying the alcalase and cellulase percentage. Multiple regression (MR) and response surface methodology (RSM) were performed, evaluating the total polyphenol content (TPC), ABTS, and ORAC as response variables, showing a positive effect for alcalase % and non-significant effect for cellulase %. Optimal conditions (0.1% alcalase) were used for BSG flour (FBSG) for the development of the functional bread (FBSG bread), substituting 20% *w/w* wheat flour. The nutritional and bioactive characterization of the breads showed that the FBSG bread presented a higher fiber content (>6%), TPC, and antioxidant activity than the control bread (CB). The breads' physicochemical characteristics were analyzed by measuring the parameters of volume, color, and texture. Regarding volume, the FBSG bread presented a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) ($1890.4 \pm 6.9 \text{ cm}^3$) with respect to CB ($2359.5 \pm 106.5 \text{ cm}^3$), and also presented a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in the development of brown/reddish tones in the crumb, which were reflected in the "L" and "a" parameters (53.62 and 6.10, respectively) compared to CB (75.70 and -0.16 , respectively). The texture analysis showed that FBSG bread chewiness ($6.85 \pm 0.13 \text{ Kg}$) and cohesiveness (0.608 ± 0.027) did not present significant differences ($p < 0.05$) with CB. On the other hand, the FBSG bread parameters of resilience (27.5 ± 2.3), and rubberiness ($7.63 \pm 0.16 \text{ Kg} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}$) were increased, while elasticity (89.81 ± 0.067) decreased. In conclusion, a sustainable "high fiber content" and antioxidant bread was obtained presenting suitable rheological properties as that of wheat flour bread. Further studies on the sensory profile and acceptability of the novel food should be addressed to evaluate the consumers' perception of the rheological parameters.

Keywords: antioxidant activity; brewer's spent grain; enzymatic hydrolysis; functional bread; high fiber content; rheological properties



Citation: Báez, J.; Fernández-Fernández, A.M.; Briozzo, F.; Díaz, S.; Dorgans, A.; Tajam, V.; Medrano, A. Effect of Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Brewer's Spent Grain on Bioactivity, Techno-Functional Properties, and Nutritional Value When Added to a Bread Formulation. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2021**, *6*, 100. <https://doi.org/10.3390/Foods2021-11024>

Academic Editor: Antonio Cilla

Published: 14 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Beer is one of the most consumed beverages worldwide, with an approximate production of 1.94 billion hectoliters in 2019. It is precisely the fifth most popular drink after tea, carbonated drinks, milk, and coffee [1]. Despite environmental awareness, technological advances, and industry efforts, beer production inevitably generates large amounts of waste and by-products in the form of the so-called brewer's spent grain (BSG), spent hops, and surplus yeast [2]. The most abundant by-product is BSG, which represents approximately 85% of the total by-products obtained [3,4]. It is mainly made up of the seed, pericarp,

and husk layers that cover the barley grain [5]. The current main destination of the BSG is animal feed, obtaining a low market value of approximately €35 per ton [6,7]. The main components of BSG include fiber (30–50% *w/w*) and protein (19–30% *w/w*), making it an attractive material to improve the nutritional value of human food products [3]. In addition, the importance of this by-product as an ingredient and potential source of bioactive components that promote health has begun to be recognized, as BSG contains components such as arabinoxylans, phenolic compounds, and bioactive peptides derived from protein hydrolysis, among others, that have recently gained attention for their health benefits [4,8].

Several studies have evaluated the incorporation of BSG into cereal-based foods [9], with these being the most common staple foods in the world [10]. Among cereal-based foods, bread is one of the most consumed worldwide. The wide consumption, low cost, and versatility of bread represents great potential as a vehicle for bioactive compounds for the development of a functional food [7,11,12].

The aim of the present work was to release bioactive compounds from BSG by enzymatic hydrolysis to enhance its antioxidant properties and to study the techno-functional feasibility and nutritional value of bread formulation with the addition of the hydrolysate as a functional ingredient.

2. Materials and Methods

The steps followed in the current work can be seen in the Supplementary Material.

2.1. Preparation of Dry BSG

BSG was provided by the craft beer maker Birra Bizarra (Montevideo, Uruguay). It was dried in a conventional oven at 60 °C for 24 h to obtain a moisture content lower than 10%. The dry BSG was milled with a coffee grinder MKM6000 (Bosch, München, Germany) and subsequently sieved (mesh size < 250 µm).

2.2. Enzymatic Hydrolysis of BSG: Conditions and Antioxidant Capacity Assays

Enzyme-assisted extraction technology was used to optimize the release of bioactive compounds from BSG for obtaining BSG flour (FBSG). A central composite design was applied using two variables (two commercial enzymes, a protease (Alcalase® (Novozymes, Bagsværd, Denmark)) and a carbohydrase (Celluclast 1.5 L (Novozymes, Bagsværd, Denmark)) at two levels (0 and 0.1% *w/w*). Multiple regression (MR) and response surface methodology (RSM) were performed, evaluating the total polyphenol content (TPC), ABTS, and ORAC as the response variables. TPC of the hydrolysates was determined by using the Folin–Ciocalteu method [13]. ABTS and ORAC-FL assays were performed as described by Fernández-Fernández et al. [13].

2.3. BSG Flour (FBSG) and Bread Preparation and Characterization

For the preparation of FBSG, the optimal conditions obtained in Section 2.2 were used. The hydrolysate obtained was lyophilized, then milled and sieved as indicated in Section 2.1. The FBSG was stored at –20 °C for further analyses.

Two bread formulations were prepared: a control bread (CB) with wheat flour and a functional bread (FBSG bread) with a wheat flour substitution of 20% *w/w* by FBSG. The level of addition of FBSG was selected to achieve the labeling of “high fiber content” according to MERCOSUR regulation no. 01/12 [14]. The ingredients composing both breads are shown in Table 1. All the ingredients were placed in a pan KS-PM16 (Kassel, Montevideo, Uruguay) and program 1 was followed for a basic bread preparation (total time 175 min, cooking temperature 180 °C). The breads were unmolded when they were still hot and were then allowed to cool down. Both breads were made in duplicate. Then, breads were weighed and their volume was measured (measuring length, width, and height).

Table 1. Bread ingredients.

Ingredients	Quantity (g)	
	Control Bread	FBSG Bread
Wheat flour	500	400
FBSG	-	100
Water	245	370
Sugar	10	10
Olive oil	10	10
Yeast	10	10
Salt	3.5	3.5

Afterwards, color and texture were measured in the samples. For crumb texture analysis, four 25 mm thick slices were taken from the central part of the crumb of each bread. A “Texture Profile Analysis” (TPA) was performed using a TA-XT2i texture analyzer with its “Texture Expert” software (Stable Micro Systems Ltd., Surrey, UK), where the parameters of hardness, elasticity, and cohesion were measured at 24 °C.

The crumb and crust color of the breads were measured using a portable spectrophotometer CM-2300d (Konica Minolta, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands). The results were expressed using the CIE system L* (luminosity) a* (redness/greenness), and b* (yellowness/bluish).

2.4. Proximal Composition and Antioxidants of Dry BSG, CB, and FBSG Bread

The proximal composition of BSG and the breads was determined following the methods of AOAC [15], namely, moisture, ash, chloride, total dietary fiber (method 985.29) (including soluble and insoluble fractions), lipids, proteins with a conversion factor of 6.25, and carbohydrates, by difference once the other components of the sample were determined. The TPC and antioxidant capacity of BSG, FBSG, CB, and FBSG breads were determined as indicated in Section 2.2.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by means of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test was applied to determine significant differences between values ($p < 0.05$) using the Infostat v. 2015 program (Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Enzymatic Hydrolysis of BSG

The multiple regression (MR) and response surface methodology (RSM) for the three response variables (TPC, ABTS, and ORAC) showed a good linear fitting of the model, obtaining $R^2 > 95\%$, with $p < 0.01$ for the coefficients. The study showed a positive effect for % alcalase ($p < 0.05$), and a non-significant effect for % cellulase. The optimal condition for the extraction was 0.1% alcalase and 0% cellulase.

3.2. Bread Techno-Functional Properties

Both breads (control bread and FBSG bread) were obtained as shown in Figure 1. The bread parameters (Table 2) showed that the FBSG bread presented a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in volume with respect to CB, which is in agreement with the photograph of the breads (Figure 1). This decrease in volume could be due to the presence of arabinoxylans, the main components of BSG fiber. Arabinoxylans affect the formation of the gluten network, influencing bread quality parameters such as volume and texture [16]. The formation of a gluten network is negatively influenced by arabinoxylans’ (pentosans) direct interaction with gluten proteins. On the other hand, arabinoxylans compete against gluten proteins for water molecules, changing the conditions for network development [17,18]. As for the color parameters, the FBSG bread showed a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in the development of brown/reddish tones in the crumb, typical of BSG color. Texture

analysis showed that FBSG bread chewiness and cohesiveness did not present significant differences ($p > 0.05$) with CB, while resilience and rubberiness were increased and elasticity decreased. These changes may be due to the presence of the high fiber content of BSG, particularly arabinoxylans.




Figure 1. Control bread (left) and FBSG bread (right) photographs.

Table 2. Texture and color results of the control bread and FBSG bread.

Bread Parameters		Control Bread	FBSG Bread
Volume (cm ³)		2359.5 ± 106.5 ^b	1890.4 ± 6.9 ^a
Texture	Chewiness (Kg)	6.53 ± 0.91 ^a	6.85 ± 0.13 ^a
	Cohesiveness	0.588 ± 0.086 ^a	0.608 ± 0.027 ^a
	Resilience	24.6 ± 5.5 ^a	27.5 ± 2.3 ^b
	Elasticity	96.1 ± 2.8 ^a	89.8 ± 0.07 ^b
	Rubberiness (Kg·m·s ⁻²)	6.8 ± 1.1 ^a	7.6 ± 0.2 ^b
Color	L crumb	75.70	53.62
	L shell	74.36	53.86
	a crumb	-0.16	6.10
	a shell	6.08	9.77
	b crumb	16.35	16.90
	b shell	25.10	16.83
	Chroma crumb	16.35 ± 1.26	18.16 ± 1.69
Chroma shell	26.46 ± 1.94	19.71 ± 2.41	

ANOVA analysis was performed per row using Tukey’s test. The means in a row with different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

3.3. Proximal and Antioxidant Composition of BSG, Control Bread, and FBSG Bread

Table 3 shows the proximal composition of the BSG, CB, and FBSG breads. The BSG results are in agreement with those reported by Lynch et al. [3]. Regarding the composition of the breads, the FBSG bread had a significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) lipid and fiber content compared to CB. The fiber content of the FBSG bread (6.9 g/100 g) was 2.5 times higher than CB, obtaining the nutritional claim “high fiber content” according to MERCOSUR regulation no. 01/12 [14].

Table 3. Proximal composition of BSG, control bread, and FBSG bread.

Sample Composition	BSG	Control Bread	FBSG Bread
Lipids	6.66 ± 0.26	0.642 ± 0.037 ^a	1.73 ± 0.62 ^b
Proteins	13.88 ± 0.16	7.70 ± 0.57 ^a	7.49 ± 0.35 ^a
Moisture	2.34 ± 0.16	36.45 ± 0.77 ^a	42.2 ± 2.9 ^a
Ash	n.d.	0.566 ± 0.070 ^a	2.51 ± 0.22 ^a
Chloride	n.d.	0.023 ± 0.003 ^a	0.043 ± 0.014 ^a
Total dietary fiber	50.8 ± 2.0	2.82 ± 0.53 ^a	6.9 ± 1.3 ^b
Carbohydrates	n.d.	51.9 ± 1.3 ^a	39.6 ± 0.3 ^b

n.d.: not determined. ANOVA analysis was performed per row using Tukey's test. The means in a row with different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Regarding the antioxidant activity of the samples (Table 4), the enzymatic hydrolysis of BSG produced a release of bioactive compounds and an increase in the antioxidant activity, which are reflected in the FBSG results. As for the breads, the FBSG bread presented a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in TPC and antioxidant activity by ABTS and ORAC-FL compared to CB. The increase in the antioxidant capacity of BSG and bread compared to FBSG and FBSG bread, respectively, could be due to the release of phenolic acids (ferulic and p-coumaric acids) and bioactive peptides during the enzymatic hydrolysis of BSG and bread fermentation [19,20].

Table 4. Antioxidant potential of BSG, FBSG, control bread, and FBSG bread.

Antioxidant Composition	BSG	FBSG	Control Bread	FBSG Bread
TPC (mg GAE/g)	1.61 ± 0.02 ^c	1.97 ± 0.03 ^d	0.27 ± 0.01 ^a	0.47 ± 0.06 ^b
ABTS (µmol Trolox/g)	10.63 ± 0.03 ^c	12.3 ± 0.3 ^d	1.7 ± 0.1 ^a	2.0 ± 0.2 ^b
ORAC-FL (µmol Trolox/g)	6.7 ± 1.3 ^c	9.4 ± 1.5 ^d	0.11 ± 0.01 ^a	0.40 ± 0.04 ^b

ANOVA analysis was performed per row using Tukey's test. The means in a row with different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

4. Conclusions

BSG enzymatic hydrolysis showed the release of antioxidant compounds obtaining a BSG flour with an improved antioxidant capacity. The incorporation of BSG flour in a bread formulation resulted in a "high fiber content" bread with suitable rheological properties and increased antioxidant capacity when compared to a wheat flour control bread. In conclusion, a novel sustainable bread with a high nutritional quality was obtained.

Although positive results were obtained regarding increased dietary fiber and antioxidant capacity when adding BSG flour to bread formulation, the sensory analysis of the novel food should be addressed in order to evaluate the consumers' perception of the rheological parameters and consumer's acceptance. Moreover, bioaccessibility studies should be carried out in order to determine the remaining bioactivity after digestion.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/Foods2021-11024/s1>, Poster: Effect of Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Brewer's Spent Grain on Bioactivity, Techno-Functional Properties, and Nutritional Value When Added to a Bread Formulation.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.B., A.M.F.-F. and A.M.; methodology, J.B., A.M.F.-F. and A.M.; formal analysis, J.B., A.M.F.-F., F.B., S.D., A.D., V.T. and A.M.; investigation, J.B., A.M.F.-F., F.B., S.D., A.D., V.T. and A.M.; resources, A.M.; data curation, J.B., A.M.F.-F., F.B., S.D., A.D., V.T. and A.M.; writing—original draft preparation, J.B. and A.M.F.-F.; writing—review and editing, J.B., A.M.F.-F. and A.M.; supervision, J.B. and A.M.; project administration, A.M.; funding acquisition, A.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Comisión Sectorial de Investigación Científica (CSIC-UdelaR, Uruguay) project titled “Brewer’s spent grain as a source of bioactive compounds with effect on the immune system: Application in the development of nutritional supplements and functional breads” (CSIC I+D 2020-114), and Programa de Apoyo a la Investigación Estudiantil (PAIE-CSIC).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: We do not have supplementary data to show other than the results presented in the “Results and Discussion” section.

Acknowledgments: The author J.B. wishes to thank Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (ANII) POS_NAC_M_2020_1_164417 and Programa de Desarrollo de las Ciencias Básicas (PEDECIBA-UDELAR).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Barbu, M.C.; Montecuccoli, Z.; Förg, J.; Barbeck, U.; Klímek, P.; Petutschnigg, A.; Tudor, E.M. Potential of brewer’s spent grain as a potential replacement of wood in pMDI, UF or MUF bonded particleboard. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 319. [CrossRef]
2. Kerby, C.; Vriesekoop, F. An Overview of the Utilisation of Brewery By-Products as Generated by British Craft Breweries. *Beverages* **2017**, *3*, 24. [CrossRef]
3. Lynch, K.M.; Steffen, E.J.; Arendt, E.K. Brewers’ spent grain: A review with an emphasis on food and health. *J. Inst. Brew.* **2016**, *122*, 553–568. [CrossRef]
4. Nigam, P.S. An overview: Recycling of solid barley waste generated as a by-product in distillery and brewery. *Waste Manag.* **2017**, *62*, 255–261. [CrossRef]
5. Rocha dos Santos, T.M.; Moretzsohn de Mello, P.P.; Camporese Srvulo, E.F.C. Solid wastes in brewing process: A review. *J. Brew. Distill.* **2014**, *5*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
6. Mussatto, S.I. Brewer’s spent grain: A valuable feedstock for industrial applications. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2014**, *94*, 1264–1275. [CrossRef]
7. Sahin, A.W.; Hardiman, K.; Atzler, J.J.; Vogelsang-O’Dwyer, M.; Valdeperez, D.; Münch, S.; Cattaneo, G.; O’Riordan, P.; Arendt, E.K. Rejuvenated Brewer’s Spent Grain: The impact of two BSG-derived ingredients on techno-functional and nutritional characteristics of fibre-enriched pasta. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* **2021**, *68*, 102633. [CrossRef]
8. Connolly, A.; Piggott, C.O.; FitzGerald, R.J. In vitro α -glucosidase, angiotensin converting enzyme and dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitory properties of brewers’ spent grain protein hydrolysates. *Food Res. Int.* **2014**, *56*, 100–107. [CrossRef]
9. Sahin, A.W.; Atzler, J.J.; Valdeperez, D.; Münch, S.; Cattaneo, G.; O’Riordan, P.; Arendt, E.K. Rejuvenated brewer’s spent grain: Evervita ingredients as game-changers in fibre-enriched bread. *Foods* **2021**, *10*, 1162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. Angelino, D.; Cossu, M.; Marti, A.; Zanoletti, M.; Chiavaroli, L.; Brighenti, F.; Del Rio, D.; Martini, D. Bioaccessibility and bioavailability of phenolic compounds in bread: A review. *Food Funct.* **2017**, *8*, 2368–2393. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Fitzgerald, C.; Gallagher, E.; Doran, L.; Auty, M.; Prieto, J.; Hayes, M. Increasing the health benefits of bread: Assessment of the physical and sensory qualities of bread formulated using a renin inhibitory *Palmaria palmata* protein hydrolysate. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *56*, 398–405. [CrossRef]
12. Wandersleben, T.; Morales, E.; Burgos-Díaz, C.; Barahona, T.; Labra, E.; Rubilar, M.; Salvo-Garrido, H. Enhancement of functional and nutritional properties of bread using a mix of natural ingredients from novel varieties of flaxseed and lupine. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *91*, 48–54. [CrossRef]
13. Fernández-Fernández, A.M.; Iriondo-DeHond, A.; Dellacassa, E.; Medrano-Fernandez, A.; del Castillo, M.D. Assessment of antioxidant, antidiabetic, antiobesity, and anti-inflammatory properties of a Tannat winemaking by-product. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2019**, *245*, 1539–1551. [CrossRef]
14. MERCOSUR/GMC/RES. N° 01/12. Available online: https://montevideo.gub.uy/sites/default/files/resolucion_mercosur_1_2012.pdf (accessed on 9 August 2021).
15. AOAC. *Official Methods of Analysis*, 16th ed.; Association of Official Analytical Chemists: Washington, DC, USA, 1999.
16. Stojceska, V.; Ainsworth, P. The effect of different enzymes on the quality of high-fibre enriched brewer’s spent grain breads. *Food Chem.* **2008**, *110*, 865–872. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. Wang, M.; Hamer, R.J.; Van Vliet, T.; Oudgenoeg, G. Interaction of water extractable pentosans with gluten protein: Effect on dough properties and gluten quality. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2002**, *36*, 25–37. [CrossRef]
18. Wang, M.; Van Vliet, T.; Hamer, R.J. How gluten properties are affected by pentosans. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2004**, *39*, 395–402. [CrossRef]
19. Ikram, S.; Huang, L.Y.; Zhang, H.; Wang, J.; Yin, M. Composition and Nutrient Value Proposition of Brewers Spent Grain. *J. Food Sci.* **2017**, *82*, 2232–2242. [CrossRef]
20. Connolly, A.; O’Keeffe, M.B.; Nongonierma, A.B.; Piggott, C.O.; FitzGerald, R.J. Isolation of peptides from a novel brewers spent grain protein isolate with potential to modulate glycaemic response. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *52*, 146–153. [CrossRef]