



Article Untargeted Mass Spectrometry Approach to Study SARS-CoV-2 Proteins in Human Plasma and Saliva Proteome

Lisa Pagani ¹,*, Clizia Chinello ¹, Allia Mahajneh ¹, Francesca Clerici ¹, Lucrezia Criscuolo ¹, Andrea Favalli ², Paola Gruarin ², Renata Grifantini ², Alessandra Bandera ^{3,4,5}, Andrea Lombardi ³, Riccardo Ungaro ³, Antonio Muscatello ³, Francesco Blasi ^{3,4}, Andrea Gori ^{3,4,5} and Fulvio Magni ¹

- ¹ Clinical Proteomics and Metabolomics Unit, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, 20854 Vedano al Lambro, Italy; clizia.chinello@unimib.it (C.C.); allia.mahajneh@unimib.it (A.M.); francesca.clerici@unimib.it (F.C.); lucrezia.criscuolo@unimib.it (L.C.); fulvio.magni@unimib.it (F.M.)
- ² Istituto Nazionale di Genetica Molecolare (INGM), 20122 Milan, Italy; favalli@ingm.org (A.F.); gruarin@ingm.org (P.G.); grifantini@ingm.org (R.G.)
- ³ Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, 20122 Milan, Italy; alessandra.bandera@unimi.it (A.B.); andrea.lombardi@policlinico.mi.it (A.L.); riccardo.ungaro@policlinico.mi.it (R.U.); antonio.muscatello@policlinico.mi.it (A.M.); francesco.blasi@unimi.it (F.B.); andrea.gori@policlinico.mi.it (A.G.)
- ⁴ Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milano, 20122 Milan, Italy
- ⁵ Centre for Multidisciplinary Research in Health Science (MACH), University of Milano, 20122 Milan, Italy
- Correspondence: lisa.pagani@unimib.it; Tel.: +39-334-218-0526

Abstract: Since the start of the COVID-19 outbreak, more than four million people have died of this disease. Given its ability to provide a precise response, mass spectrometry-based proteomics could represent a useful tool to study this pathology. To this end, an untargeted nLC-ESI-MS/MS-based method to characterise SARS-CoV-2 proteins, including possible variants, and investigate human saliva and plasma proteome in a single analysis was developed for further application in patients. Four SARS-CoV-2 recombinant proteins, three (S1–S2–RBD) belonging to the spike glycoprotein (S) and one corresponding to the nucleoprotein (N), were prepared and analysed with nLC-UHRTOF by injecting decreasing amounts to establish the limit of detection (LOD) of the method. This was determined as 10 pg for all the components of the S protein and for N (71 amol and 213 amol, respectively). Various viral inactivation strategies plus deglycosylation and digestion approaches were then tested in saliva and plasma spiked with different quantities of SARS-CoV-2 recombinant proteins. The limit of characterisation (LOC) in saliva for the N and S proteins was observed at 100 pg (coverage of 20% and 3%, respectively); instead, in plasma, it was 33 pg for N and 330 pg for the S protein, with a coverage of 4% for both. About 300 and 800 human proteins were identified in plasma and saliva, respectively, including several key effectors and pathways that are known to be altered in COVID-19 patients. In conclusion, this approach allows SARS-CoV-2 proteins and the human proteome to be simultaneously explored, both for plasma and saliva, showing a high relevant potential for retrospective studies aimed at investigating possible virus variants and for patient stratification.

Keywords: COVID-19; saliva; plasma; proteomics; biomarker

1. Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 is the etiologic agent responsible for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). About 80% of patients infected by SARS-CoV-2 are asymptomatic or present mild symptoms, but they can infect other people. On the other hand, some infected individuals which are symptomatic may present more severe symptoms which could also lead to death [1]. Symptoms appear 2–14 days after the infection. Since the virus can affect both lungs, patients present signs and symptoms which are associated with viral pneumonia (such as



Citation: Pagani, L.; Chinello, C.; Mahajneh, A.; Clerici, F.; Criscuolo, L.; Favalli, A.; Gruarin, P.; Grifantini, R.; Bandera, A.; Lombardi, A.; et al. Untargeted Mass Spectrometry Approach to Study SARS-CoV-2 Proteins in Human Plasma and Saliva Proteome. *BioChem* **2022**, 2, 64–82. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biochem2010005

Academic Editor: Rosa Terracciano

Received: 2 November 2021 Accepted: 23 December 2021 Published: 8 February 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). fever, cough, sore throat, headache, fatigue, myalgia and dyspnoea). Loss of smell or taste and gastrointestinal disorders have also been reported in some cases [1,2].

The genome of SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense single-stranded RNA composed of 29,900 nucleotides. It encodes for 29 proteins which have different roles: infection, replication and virion assembly. Similarly to all coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 has crown-like spikes on its surface, the spike glycoprotein (S), presenting two functional subunits: S1 and S2. The S1 subunit is responsible for the binding to the host cell receptor, while the fusion of the viral membrane with the cell is ascribable to S2. In particular, the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein interacts with human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) mediating the entry into the host cell. In more detail, the virus hijacks host cell receptors via its RBD (Receptor-binding domain) which is part of the S1 portion. This spike protein differs from the SARS and MERS ones by the presence of unique N- and O-linked glycosylation sites.

After the virus has entered the cell, viral RNA is released, and it is translated into polyprotein molecules by host translational machinery. At first, nonstructural proteins (NS) are encoded and activated; they form the RNA replicase–transcriptase complex which leads to the production of negative-sense RNAs. Then, structural proteins involved in virion assembly are produced: S1, S2, envelope (E), membrane (M) and nucleocapsid (N).

SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the hazard group 3 pathogens in many countries. Therefore, inactivation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus is a mandatory step in order to handle clinical samples and perform experiments under safe conditions. Hence, the choice of a suitable method compatible with an MS-based approach is crucial. Heat inactivation is able, similarly to other protocols, to block virus infectivity or reduce its viral load, as reported in [3–5]. Moreover, organic solvents, such as ether, ethanol, chloroform, chlorine-containing or disinfectant agents and peroxyacetic acid have been shown to efficiently inactivate SARS-CoV-2 [6].

Life science researchers are continuously taking advantage of the high contribution of mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomic approaches able to improve the knowledge of the proteome. The dramatic SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has strongly motivated proteomics scientists to join efforts to better understand how the new virus affects patients and develop effective therapeutic approaches and vaccines [7,8]. MS-based proteomics is not dependent on prior knowledge of the disease, such as those based on affinity tools, and also allows untargeted experiments. Different MS-based proteomic approaches are indeed available and suitable for specific purposes. In particular, two different MS proteomic protocols could be chosen: targeted or untargeted. In the first strategy, MS can be focused only towards the detection of specific peptides deriving from SARS-CoV-2 proteins after enzymatic digestion, while in the second one, MS is used to collect as many signals (m/z) deriving from SARS-CoV-2 peptides as possible. Moreover, proteomics is a high-throughput technique that provides a huge amount of clinical and biological information from biological specimens. It should also be emphasised that proteogenomic approaches combining mass spectrometry and RNA sequencing data facilitate detailed characterization of novel nonsynonymous mutations and their impact on protein expression, post-translational modifications or phenotypic variations [9]. Thus, MS-based proteomics could be an ideal technology useful in situations when quick responses are required.

Moreover, host proteome profiles of multiple biological fluids from patients affected by COVID-19 have been considered in order to understand its complex pathogenesis and the host immune response against the virus [10]. Among them, blood (serum/plasma) is mainly investigated [11]. For instance, sera and plasma of COVID-19 patients were evaluated by LC-MS/MS to highlight possible biomarkers of the COVID-19 infection [7,12,13]. Messner et al. were able to associate some proteins with COVID-19 severity [12]. Shen et al. observed differentially expressed sera proteins of COVID-19 patients not present in non-COVID-19 patients [13]. Similarly, Overmyer et al. detected about 220 molecules (proteins, metabolites and lipids) correlating with COVID-19 and its severity [14]. Saliva has also emerged as a useful tool for diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2: it has been shown that this biofluid allows directly detecting the virus, quantifying specific immunoglobulins produced against the virus and evaluating the innate immune response of the patient [15,16]. However, MS-based proteomics in saliva aimed at the study of human proteome signatures related to SARS-CoV-2 has not been reported yet.

Several laboratories have been working to develop mass spectrometry-based tools to discover SARS-CoV-2 proteins useful for diagnostics and research purposes [17]. Ihling et al. were able to identify peptides originating from the SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein by analysing gargle solutions of COVID-19 patients. These authors developed a targeted MS-based method to specifically detect SARS-CoV-2 virus proteins in highly diluted samples [18]. Another interesting study was realised by Bezstarosti et al.; by analysing the whole proteome of Vero cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 using a bottom-up proteomic approach, they were able to detect tryptic peptides of SARS-CoV-2 proteins with a level of sensitivity sufficient to reveal proteins theoretically deriving from approximately 10,000 SARS-CoV-2 particles [17]. However, whether this low limit of detection (LOD) could allow MS-based approaches to play a possible role in the diagnostics of COVID-19 depending on the amount of virus particles present in the patient material (such as nasopharyngeal swabs, mucus, gargle solution) needs to be further investigated. Another challenging feature of the SARS-CoV-2 proteome is the presence of N- and O- glycosylation sites that need to be considered when the spike glycoprotein is used as a marker of positivity to the virus [19].

In this study, we investigated the ability of a method based on nLC-ESI-MS/MS to simultaneously identify specific SARS-CoV-2 proteins and characterise the host human proteome both in saliva and plasma samples with the perspective of a future application in detecting possible variants of this virus and in clustering COVID-19 patients with different outcomes (mild or severe) in a single analysis.

2. Results and Discussion

The experimental workflow was finalised to obtain a protocol able to simultaneously detect and characterise SARS-CoV-2 proteins and investigate the alterations of human saliva and plasma proteome of the patients affected by COVID-19. The work design was realised in order to have a completely safe manipulation of samples in each part of the processing, including by laboratories not equipped for biosafety risk 3.

To test the validity and the sensitivity of the method, four recombinant proteins highly expressed in SARS-CoV-2 (spike glycoprotein subunits S1 and S2, receptor-binding domain (RBD) and nucleoprotein N), were purified by Istituto Nazionale Genetica Molecolare (INGM) of Milan. As the first step, a standard solution of these proteins at a known concentration was first enzymatically digested and then injected into the nUHPLC Qq-TOF system to evaluate the limit of detection (LOD) independently from the biological matrix. Secondly, saliva and plasma spiked with different amounts of the four standard SARS-CoV-2 proteins (S1, S2, RBD, N) were injected and analysed to simultaneously investigate the limit of characterisation (LOC) of SARS-CoV-2 proteins and the human proteome. The step was conceived in order to verify the performance of the protocol simulating a real biofluid sample.

The experimental design, described in Figure 1, was organised in different consequential steps with the following objectives: (i) establish the limit of detection with purified standard viral proteins and the maximum sequence coverage achievable; (ii) develop a method for safe manipulation of COVID-19 patient samples for proteomic applications; (iii) evaluate the matrix effect of the saliva/plasma proteome related to SARS-CoV-2 proteins identification; (iv) assess how the coverage percentage of viral proteins changes in correlation with their concentration (limit of characterization) and how it is influenced by sample manipulation; (v) evaluate the ability of the developed method to investigate the saliva and plasma proteome and its possible alterations in response to COVID-19.



Figure 1. Experimental workflow. Graphic illustration of all the steps involved in sample preparation and analysis of the data. Saliva and plasma samples underwent a process of virus inactivation, followed by deglycosylation and protein digestion, before being analysed by nLC-ESI-MS/MS. Some of them were spiked with purified SARS-CoV-2 proteins before inactivation or after digestion.

2.1. Limit of Detection (LOD)

Most of the published methods rely on a targeted mass spectrometry approach, in which only selected ions of the SARS-CoV-2 proteins are monitored to improve the limit of detection [18,20–22]. On the contrary, we chose to set up a protocol based on an untargeted MS method, allowing the theoretical detection of all tryptic peptides, compared to the ones used by these authors, in which a multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) assay toward specific ions was used. One possible drawback of an untargeted method could be represented by the limited ability to detect proteins deriving from the virus if they are present in low amounts within complex biological specimens (such as saliva or blood). However, an untargeted approach has an attractive advantage of allowing a retrospective elaboration of the raw data for a possible detection of future viral variants since all the ions, and not only the selected ones, are acquired.

Therefore, to evaluate the limit of detection for the four viral proteins of the present untargeted method, serial dilutions of the deglycosylated and trypsinized mixture were prepared, and 10, 1, 0.1, 0.02, and 0.01 ng were analysed by nLC-ESI-MS/MS. Among the several tryptic peptides, two for the nucleoprotein (ITFGGPSDSTGSN(+0.98)QNGER and ADETQALPQR) and four for the spike glycoprotein (VGGNYNYLYR, VYSTGSNVFQTR, DLIC(+57.02)AQK and QYGDC(+57.02)LGDIAAR) were particularly intense (Figure 2). Based on these signals, the LOD was estimated to be 10 pg (with a signal/noise ratio \geq 3) for both proteins; it corresponds to 71 amol for the spike glycoprotein and 213 amol for the nucleoprotein. These findings are in line with those described by Van Puyvelde et al. who reported an instrumental LOD of 10 pg and 2 pg for the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein, respectively [21].



Figure 2. Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 tryptic peptides for LOD. Ion chromatograms (ion intensity on the Y-axis, retention time on the X-axis) of four ions of the spike glycoprotein and two ions of the nucle-oprotein after the injection of purified SARS-CoV-2 proteins in different quantities (from 10 ng to 10 pg) in order to visualise the correlation between the amount of protein analysed by nLC-ESI-MS/MS and ion intensity. (a) For the spike glycoprotein, four peptides were chosen: $_{445}$ VGGNYNYLYR⁴⁵⁴ (609.80 *m/z*) of the receptor-binding domain (RBD), $_{635}$ VYSTGSNVFQTR⁶⁴⁶ (679.84 *m/z*) of subunit 1 (S1), $_{848}$ DLIC(+57.02)AQK⁸⁵⁴ (424.22 *m/z*) and $_{836}$ QYGDC(+57.02)LGDIAAR⁸⁴⁷ (669.81 *m/z*) of subunit 2 (S2). (b) For the nucleoprotein, two peptides were chosen: $_{15}$ ITFGGPSDSTGSN(+0.98)QNGER³² (912.90 *m/z*) and $_{376}$ ADETQALPQR³⁸⁵ (564.79 *m/z*). In the $_{15}$ ITFGGPSDSTGSN(+0.98)QNGER³² (912.90 *m/z*) chromatogram, two peaks are observed because of the elution of the two N-glycosylated isoforms (retention time, 72.7 min for $_{15}$ ITFGGPSDSTGSN(+0.98)QNGER³² and 75.8 min for $_{15}$ ITFGGPSDSTGSNQN(+0.98)GER³²).

Then, to better evaluate the ability of the protocol to detect as much as possible of the amino acid sequence, the whole protein coverage was investigated at different amounts loaded into the nLC-MS/MS (from 10 ng to 10 pg). In particular, about 70% of the nucleoprotein sequence was detected at 10 ng, while this percentage decreased to 20% at 100 pg and to 11% at 10 pg. The spike glycoprotein showed a coverage of 30% at 10 ng, which decreased to 6% at 100 pg, to 5% at 20 pg and 4% at 10 pg (Figures 3 and 4). It was observed that the nucleoprotein was identified more efficiently compared with the spike glycoprotein, especially in terms of sequence coverage percentage (Figure 3a); this might be partly attributed to the known high level of N- and O-glycosylation brought by the spike glycoprotein [19].



Figure 3. Correlation between the amount of purified viral proteins analysed and sequence coverage (**a**), number of spectra (**b**) and unique peptides (**c**). Bar charts showing the correlation between the amount of purified SARS-CoV-2 proteins (S1, S2, RBD and N) analysed and sequence coverage (**a**), number of spectra (**b**) and unique peptides (**c**) identified for the nucleoprotein and the spike glycoprotein. Standard deviations of the three replicates are also shown.



Figure 4. Sequence coverage of the nucleoprotein and the spike glycoprotein analyzing purified SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Peptides identified for the nucleoprotein in blue (**above**) and the spike glycoprotein in yellow (**below**) analyzing 10 pg, 20 pg and 100 pg of each purified SARS-CoV-2 protein (S1, S2, RBD and N). Possible sites of N-glycosylation are identified with letter D (in green) with the related position in the AA sequence.

2.2. Virus Inactivation

For the safe manipulation of biological specimens, the virus had to be inactivated by heating and using an organic solvent. These approaches were chosen as inactivation methods in order to guarantee safe conditions and the minimum impact; they also did not interfere with sample processing and they did not compromise mass spectrometry analysis. Two protocols (A and B) were evaluated initially in saliva: in particular, the first one was adapted from a method already used in proteomic studies focused on SARS-CoV-2 in gargle samples, while the second one was slightly modified to improve protein recovery and make the strategy fitting for both biofluids [18]. Protocol A consisted in an initial strong heating step at 70 °C for 30 min [5] and consequent protein precipitation with acetone (1:4; v/v) [18,21]; Protocol B was based on (i) the use of a mass friendly detergent for improving idrolisation quality, (ii) a milder heating at 56 °C for 1 h sufficient for viral inactivation [4,5] but with a lower extent of sample coagulation for plasma, (iii) followed by protein precipitation with ethanol (1:9; v/v) for further enhancing the safety level of sample manipulation [23]. PNGase F was used for both protocols to possibly improve the amino acid sequence coverage. Saliva was spiked with 1 µg of each standard viral protein and then processed with both protocols before LC-MS analysis. Protocol A resulted in a coverage of 23% for the spike glycoprotein and 28% for the nucleoprotein and allowed identifying about 255 different human protein isoforms, while Protocol B showed a slight improvement in detecting the spike glycoprotein (coverage, 28%) and the nucleoprotein (coverage, 33%), but a consistent increase in identification power nearly doubling the number of identified human proteins (554). Moreover, this last protocol also showed a greater applicability to plasma since the high temperature of inactivation used in Protocol A was observed to be associated with considerable "gelification" of the sample that prevented effective processing. According to these results, Protocol B emerged as a suitable method for virus inactivation and sample preparation for LC-MS/MS proteomic analysis both for saliva and plasma specimens.

2.3. Limits of Viral Protein Characterisation (LOC) and the Matrix Effect

The limit of characterisation was estimated as the minimum amount of protein spiked into a biofluid which is required to gather information about the amino acid sequence.

To this end, 100 μ L of plasma and saliva were inactivated, deglycosylated and trypsindigested as described in Protocol B. Then, different amounts of deglycosylated and enzymatically digested purified SARS-CoV-2 proteins were added to already processed saliva and plasma samples before MS analysis. In particular, 10, 100 and 1000 pg of each purified viral protein (S1, S2, RBD and N) spiked into 10 μ L of saliva and 33, 330 and 3300 pg spiked into 10 μ L of plasma were injected and run by means of nLC-MS/MS. To avoid false-positive results, only the SARS-CoV-2 proteins identified with at least one unique peptide were considered, and a database combining the human, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 proteomes was used.

A coverage of 20% and 3% of the nucleoprotein and the spike glycoprotein, respectively, was observed by injecting 100 pg of viral standards spiked into saliva, while the viral proteins were not identified at lower quantities (Figures 5 and 6). On the other hand, in the plasma biofluid, the LOC for the nucleoprotein was 33 pg, resulting in a sequence coverage of 4%; conversely, the spike glycoprotein was identified only after the injection of 330 pg of S1, S2 and RBD, reaching a sequence coverage of 4% (Figures 5 and 7).



Figure 5. Correlation between the amount of purified viral proteins spiked into saliva and plasma and sequence coverage (a), number of spectra (b) and unique peptides (c). Bar charts showing the correlation between the amount of purified SARS-CoV-2 proteins (S1, S2, RBD and N) spiked into saliva (**on the left**) and plasma (**on the right**) after digestion and sequence coverage (a), number of spectra (b) or unique peptides (c) identified for the nucleoprotein and the spike glycoprotein. The limit of characterisation is shown in a black circle for both proteins in saliva, while it is shown in a blue circle for the nucleoprotein and in a grey rectangle for the spike glycoprotein in plasma.

Therefore, our limit of characterization of SARS-CoV-2 proteins was lower in saliva samples than in plasma ones if we consider the spike glycoprotein. Conversely, the detection of N seemed to perform better in plasma even though the detection at 33 pg in plasma is quite low if compared to the one found at 100 pg in saliva (Figure 5). This difference in recovery, especially related to the S protein, is likely to be attributed to a massive matrix effect, particularly evident in plasma, where an extremely high dynamic range of the proteome may mask the presence of viral proteins.

a. Spike glycoprotein

SAL	IVA, 100 p	g						
1	MEVELVLLPL	VSSQCVNLTT	RTQLPPAYTN	SFTRGVYYPD	KVFRSSVLHS	TQDLFLPFFS	NVTWFHAIHV	SGTNGTKRFD
81	NPVLPFNDGV	YFASTEKSNI	IRGWIFGTTL	DSKTQSLLIV	NNATNVVIKV	CEFQFCNDPF	LGVYYHKNNK	SWMESEFRVY
161	SSANNCTFEY	VSQPFLMDLE	GKQGNFKNLR	EFVFKNIDGY	FKIYSKHTPI	NLVRDLPQGF	SALEPLVDLP	IGINITRFQT
241	LLALHRSYLT	PGDSSSGWTA	GAAAYYVGYL	QPRTFLLKYN	ENGTITDAVD	CALDPLSETK	CTLKSFTVEK	GIYQTSNFRV
321	QPTESIVRFP	NITNLCPFGE	VFNATR FASV	YAWNRKRISN	CVADYSVLYN	SASFSTFKCY	GVSPTKLNDL	CFTNVYADSF
401	VIRGDEVRQI	APGQTGKIAD	YNYKLPDDFT	GCVIAWNSNN	LDSKVGGNYN	YLYRLFRKSN	LKPFERDIST	EIYQAGSTPC
481	NGVEGFNCYF	PLQSYGFQPT	NGVGYQPYRV	VVLSFELLHA	PATVCGPKKS	TNLVKNKCVN	FNFNGLTGTG	VLTESNKKFL
561	PFQQFGRDIA	DTTDAVRDPQ	TLEILDITPC	SFGGVSVITP	GTNTSNQVAV	LYQDVNCTEV	PVAIHADQLT	PTWRVYSTGS
641	NVFQTRAGCL	IGAEHVNNSY	ECDIPIGAGI	CASYQTQTNS	PRRARSVASQ	SIIAYTMSLG	AENSVAYSNN	SIAIPTNFTI
721	SVTTEILPVS	MTKTSVDCTM	YICGDSTECS	NLLLQYGSFC	TQLNRALTGI	AVEQDKNTQE	VFAQVKQIYK	TPPIKDFGGF
801	NFSQILPDPS	KPSKRSFIED	LLFNKVTLAD	AGFIKQYGDC	LGDIAARDLI	CAQKENGLTV	LPPLLTDEMI	AQYTSALLAG
881	TITSGWTFGA	GAALQIPFAM	QMAYRFNGIG	VTQNVLYENQ	KLIANQFNSA	IGKIQDSLSS	TASALGKLQD	VVNQNAQALN
961	TLVKQLSSNF	GAISSVLNDI	LSRLDKVEAE	VQIDRLITGR	LQSLQTYVTQ	QLIRAAEIRA	SANLAATKMS	ECVLGQSKRV
1041	DFCGKGYHLM	SFPQSAPHGV	VFLHVTYVPA	QEKNFTTAPA	ICHDGKAHFP	REGVEVSNGT	HWFVTQRNFY	EPQIITTDNT
1121	FVSGNCDVVI	GIVNNTVYDP	LQPELDSFKE	ELDKYFKNHT	SPDVDLGDIS	GINASVVNIQ	KEIDRLNEVA	KNLNESLIDL
1201	QELGKYEQYI	KWPWYIWLGF	IAGLIAIVMV	TIMLCOMTSC	CSCLKGCCSC	GSCCKFDEDD	SEPVLKGVKL	HYT

SALIVA, 1000 pg

1	MFVFLVLLPL	VSSQCVNLTT	RTQLPPAYTN	SFTRGVYYPD	KVFRSSVLHS	TQDLFLPFFS	NVTWFHAIHV	SGTNGTKRFD
81	NPVLPFNDGV	YFASTEKSNI	IRGWIFGTTL	DSKTQSLLIV	NNATNVVIKV	CEFQFCNDPF	LGVYYHKNNK	SWMESEFRVY
161	SSANNCTFEY	VSQPFLMDLE	GKQGNFKNLR	EFVFKNIDGY	FKIYSKHTPI	NLVRDLPQGF	SALEPLVDLP	IGINITRFQT
241	LLALHRSYLT	PGDSSSGWTA	GAAAYYVGYL	QPRTFLLKYN	ENGTITDAVD	CALDPLSETK	CTLKSFTVEK	GIYQTSNFRV
321	QPTESIVRFP	NITNLCPFGE	VFNATRFASV	YAWNRKRISN	CVADYSVLYN	SASFSTFKCY	GVSPTKLNDL	CFTNVYADSF
401	VIRGDEVRQI	APGQTGKIAD	YNYKLPDDFT	GCVIAWNSNN	LDSKVGGNYN	YLYRLFRKSN	LKPFERDIST	EIYQAGSTPC
481	NGVEGFNCYF	PLQSYGFQPT	NGVGYQPYRV	VVLSFELLHA	PATVCGPKKS	TNLVKNKCVN	FNFNGLTGTG	VLTESNKKFL
561	PFQQFGRDIA	DTTDAVRDPQ	TLEILDITPC	SFGGVSVITP	GINISNQVAV	LYQDVNCTEV	PVAIHADQLT	PTWRVYSTGS
641	NVFQTRAGCL	IGAEHVNNSY	ECDIPIGAGI	CASYQTQTNS	PRRARSVASQ	SIIAYTMSLG	AENSVAYSNN	SIAIPTNFTI
721	SVTTEILPVS	MTKTSVDCTM	YICGDSTECS	NLLLQYGSFC	TQLNRALTGI	AVEQDKNTQE	VFAQVKQIYK	TPPIKDFGGF
801	NFSQILPDPS	KPSKRSFIED	LLFNKVTLAD	AGFIKQYGDC	LGDIAARDLI	CAQKFNGLTV	LPPLLTDEMI	AQYTSALLAG
881	TITSGWTFGA	GAALQIPFAM	QMAYRFNGIG	VTQNVLYENQ	KLIANQFNSA	IGKIQDSLSS	TASALGKLQD	VVNQNAQALN
961	TLVKQLSSNF	GAISSVLNDI	LSRLDKVEAE	VQIDRLITGR	LQSLQTYVTQ	QLIRAAEIRA	SANLAATKMS	ECVLGQSKRV
1041	DFCGKGYHLM	SFPQSAPHGV	VFLHVTYVPA	QEKNFTTAPA	ICHDGKAHFP	REGVEVSNGT	HWFVTQRNFY	EPQIITTDNT
1121	FVSGNCDVVI	GIVNNTVYDP	LQPELDSFKE	ELDKYFKNHT	SPDVDLGDIS	GINASVVNIQ	KEIDRLNEVA	KNLNESLIDL
1201	QELGKYEQYI	KWPWYIWLGF	IAGLIAIVMV	TIMLCCMTSC	CSCLKGCCSC	GSCCKFDEDD	SEPVLKGVKL	HYT

b. Nucleoprotein

SA	ALIVA, 100 J	og						
1	MSDNGPQNQR	NAPRITEGGP	SDSTGSNQNG	ERSGARSKOR	RPQGLPNNTA	SWFTALTQHG	KEDLKFPRGQ	GVPINTNSSP
81	DDQIGYYRRA	TRRIRGGDGK	MKDLSPRWYF	YYLGTGPEAG	LPYGANKDGI	IWVATEGALN	TPKDHIGTRN	PANNAAIVLQ
161	LPQGTTLPKG	FYAEGSRGGS	QASSRSSSRS	RNSSRNSTPG	SSRGTSPARM	AGNGGDAALA	LLLLDRLNQL	ESKMSGKGQQ
241	QQGQTVTKKS	AAEASKKPRQ	KRTATKAYNV	TQAFGRRGPE	QTQGNFGDQE	LIRQGTDYKH	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI
321	GMEVTPSGTW	LTYTGAIKLD	DKDPNFKDQV	ILLNKHIDAY	KTFPPTEPKK	DKKKKADETQ	ALPQRQKKQQ	TVTLLPAADL
401	DDFSKOLOOS	MSSADSTOA						
S/		ng				a	Deamidation	oply N (+0.98)
SA	ALIVA, 1000	Pg NAPRITEGGP	27 28 d d SDSTGSNONG	ERSGARSKOR	RPOGLENNTA	d SWFTALTOHG	Deamidation-c	only N (+0.98)
SA 1 81	ALIVA, 1000 MSDNGPQNQR	Pg NAPR <mark>ITFGGP</mark> TRRIRGGDGK	27 29 d d SDSTGSNQNG MKDLSPRWYF	ERSGARSKQR YYLGTGPEAG	RPQGLPNNTA LPYGANKDGI	d SWFTALTQHG IWVATEGALN	Deamidation-c KEDLKFPR GQ TPKDHIGTR N	only N (+0.98) GVPINTNSSI PANNAAIVLO
SA 1 81 161	ALIVA, 1000 MSDNGPQNQR DDQIGYYRRA LPQGTTLPKG	pg NAPR <mark>ITFGGP</mark> TRRIRGGDGK FYAEGSRGGS	SDSTGSNQNG MKDLSPRWYF QASSRSSSRS	ERSGARSKOR YYLGTGPEAG RNSSRNSTPG	RPQGLPNNTA LPYGANKDGI SSRGTSPARM	d SWFTALTQHG IWVATEGALN AGNGGDAALA	Deamidation-co KEDLKFPRGQ TPKDHIGTRN LLLLDRLNQL	only N (+0.98) GVPINTNSSE PANNAAIVLC ESKMSGKGQC
101 SA 1 81 161 241	ALIVA, 1000 Msdngpqnqr ddqigyyr ra lpqgttlpkg qqqqtvtkks	Pg NAPRITFGGP TRRIRGGDGK FYAEGSRGGS AAEASKKPRQ	SDSTGSNQNG MKDLSPRWYF QASSRSSSRS KRTATK AYNV	ERSGARSKQR YYLGTGPEAG RNSSRNSTPG TQAFGRRGPE	RPQGLPNNTA LPYGANKDGI SSRGTSPARM QTQGNFGDQE	C SWFTALTQHG IWVATEGALN AGNGGDAALA LIRQGTDYKH	Deamidation-oc KEDLKFPRGQ TPKDHIGTRN LLLLDRLNQL WPQIAQFAPS	only N (+0.98) GVPINTNSSE PANNAAIVLQ ESKMSGKGQQ ASAFFGMSRI
101 SA 11 161 241 321	ALIVA, 1000 MSDNGPQNQR DDQIGYYRA LPQGTTLPKG QQGQTVTKKS GMEVTPSGTW	pg NAPRITFGGP TRRIRGGDGK FYAEGSRGGS AAEASKKPRQ LTYTGAIKLD	SDSTGSNQNG MKDLSPRWYF QASSRSSSRS KRTATK AYNY DKDPNFKDQV	ERSGARSKQR YYLGTGPEAG RNSSRNSTPG TQAFGRRGPE ILLNKHIDAY	RPQGLPNNTA LPYGANKDGI SSRGTSPARM QTQGNFGDQE KTFPPTEPKK	C SWFTALTQHG IWVATEGALN AGNGGDAALA LIRQGTDYKH DKK KKADETQ	Deamidation-oc KEDLKFPRGQ TPKDHIGTRN LLLLDRLNQL WPQIAQFAPS ALPQRQKKQQ	only N (+0.98) GVPINTNSSE PANNAAIVLQ ESKMSGKGQQ ASAFFGMSRI TVTLLPAADI

Figure 6. Sequence coverage of the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein in saliva (post-digestion). Peptides identified for the spike glycoprotein (**a**) and the nucleoprotein (**b**) analysing 100 pg and 1000 pg of each purified SARS-CoV-2 protein (S1, S2, RBD and N) spiked into saliva after digestion. Possible sites of N-glycosylation are identified with letter d and the number of the AA sequence. The sequence covered is in bold and highlighted in grey or yellow; peptides highlighted in yellow are those also observed in the LOD.

a. Spike glycoprotein

PL	ASMA, 330) pg						
1	MEVELVLLPL	VSSQCVNLTT	RTQLPPAYTN	SFTRGVYYPD	KVFRSSVLHS	TQDLFLPFFS	NVTWFHAIHV	SGTNGTKRFD
81	NPVLPFNDGV	YFASTEKSNI	IRGWIFGTTL	DSKTQSLLIV	NNATNVVIKV	CEFQFCNDPF	LGVYYHKNNK	SWMESEFRVY
161	SSANNCTFEY	VSQPFLMDLE	GKQGNFKNLR	EFVFKNIDGY	FKIYSKHTPI	NLVRDLPQGF	SALEPLVDLP	IGINITRFQT
241	LLALHRSYLT	PGDSSSGWTA	GAAAYYVGYL	QPRTFLLKYN	ENGTITDAVD	CALDPLSETK	CTLKSFTVEK	GIYQTSNFRV
321	QPTESIVRFP	NITNLCPFGE	VFNATRFASV	YAWNRKRISN	CVADYSVLYN	SASFSTFKCY	GVSPTKLNDL	CFTNVYADSF
401	VIRGDEVRQI	APGQTGKIAD	YNYKLPDDFT	GCVIAWNSNN	LDSKVGGNYN	YLYRLFRKSN	LKPFERDIST	EIYQAGSTPC
481	NGVEGFNCYF	PLQSYGFQPT	NGVGYQPYRV	VVLSFELLHA	PATVCGPKKS	TNLVKNKCVN	FNFNGLTGTG	VLTESNKKFL
561	PFQQFGRDIA	DTTDAVRDPQ	TLEILDITPC	SFGGVSVITP	GTNTSNQVAV	LYQDVNCTEV	PVAIHADQLT	PTWRVYSTGS
641	NVFQTRAGCL	IGAEHVNNSY	ECDIPIGAGI	CASYQTQTNS	PRRARSVASQ	SIIAYTMSLG	AENSVAYSNN	SIAIPTNFTI
721	SVTTEILPVS	MTKTSVDCTM	YICGDSTECS	NLLLQYGSFC	TQLNRALTGI	AVEQDENTQE	VFAQVKQIYK	TPPIKDFGGF
801	NFSQILPDPS	KPSKRSFIED	LLFNKVTLAD	AGFIKQYGDC	LGDIAARDLI	CAQKFNGLTV	LPPLLTDEMI	AQYTSALLAG
881	TITSGWTFGA	GAALQIPFAM	QMAYRFNGIG	VTQNVLYENQ	KLIANQFNSA	IGKIQDSLSS	TASALGKLQD	VVNQNAQALN
961	TLVKQLSSNF	GAISSVLNDI	LSRLDKVEAE	VQIDRLITGR	LQSLQTYVTQ	QLIRAAEIRA	SANLAATKMS	ECVLGQSKRV
1041	DFCGKGYHLM	SFPQSAPHGV	VFLHVTYVPA	QEKNFTTAPA	ICHDGKAHFP	REGVFVSNGT	HWFVTQRNFY	EPQIITTDNT
1121	FVSGNCDVVI	GIVNNTVYDP	LQPELDSFKE	ELDKYFKNHT	SPDVDLGDIS	GINASVVNIQ	KEIDRLNEVA	KNLNESLIDL
1201	QELGKYEQYI	KWPWYIWLGF	IAGLIAIVMV	TIMLCCMTSC	CSCLKGCCSC	GSCCKFDEDD	SEPVLKGVKL	HYT

F	PLASMA, 3.3	ng				C (Carbamidometh	ylation (+57.02)
	1 MEVELVLLPL	VSSQCVNLTT	RTQLPPAYTN	SFTRGVYYPD	KVFRSSVLHS	TQDLFLPFFS	NVTWFHAIHV	SGTNGTKRFD
8	1 NPVLPFNDGV	YFASTEKSNI	IRGWIFGTTL	DSKTQSLLIV	NNATNVVIKV	CEFQFCNDPF	LGVYYHKNNK	SWMESEFRVY
16	1 SSANNCTFEY	VSQPFLMDLE	GKQGNFKNLR	EFVFKNIDGY	FKIYSKHTPI	NLVRDLPQGF	SALEPLVDLP	IGINITRFQT
24	1 LLALHRSYLT	PGDSSSGWTA	GAAAYYVGYL	QPRTFLLKYN	ENGTITDAVD	CALDPLSETK	CTLKSFTVEK	GIYQTSNFRV
32	1 QPTESIVRFP	NITNLCPFGE	VFNATR FASV	YAWNRKRISN	CVADYSVLYN	SASFSTFKCY	GVSPTKLNDL	CFTNVYADSF
40	1 VIRGDEVRQI	APGQTGKIAD	YNYKLPDDFT	GCVIAWNSNN	LDSKVGGNYN	YLYRLFRKSN	LKPFERDIST	EIYQAGSTPC
48	1 NGVEGFNCYF	PLQSYGFQPT	NGVGYQPYRV	VVLSFELLHA	PATVCGPKKS	TNLVKNKCVN	FNFNGLTGTG	VLTESNKKFL
56	1 PFQQFGRDIA	DTTDAVRDPQ	TLEILDITPC	SFGGVSVITP	GINISNQVAV	LYQDVNCTEV	PVAIHADQLT	PTWRVYSTGS
64	1 NVFQTRAGCL	IGAEHVNNSY	ECDIPIGAGI	CASYQTQTNS	PRRARSVASQ	SIIAYTMSLG	AENSVAYSNN	SIAIPTNFTI
72	1 SVTTEILPVS	MTKTSVDCTM	YICGDSTECS	NLLLQYGSFC	TQLNRALTGI	AVEQDENTQE	VFAQVKQIYK	TPPIKDFGGF
				840				
80	1 NFSQILPDPS	KPSKRSFIED	LLFNKVTLAD	AGFIKQYGDC	LGDIAARDLI	CAQKENGLTV	LPPLLTDEMI	AQYTSALLAG
88	1 TITSGWTFGA	GAALQIPFAM	QMAYRFNGIG	VTQNVLYENQ	KLIANQFNSA	IGKIQDSLSS	TASALGKLQD	VVNQNAQALN
96	1 TLVKQLSSNF	GAISSVLNDI	LSRLDKVEAE	VQIDRLITGR	LQSLQTYVTQ	QLIRAAEIRA	SANLAATKMS	ECVLGQSKRV
104	1 DFCGKGYHLM	SFPQSAPHGV	VFLHVTYVPA	QEKNFTTAPA	ICHDGKAHFP	REGVFVSNGT	HWFVTQRNFY	EPQIITTDNT
112	1 FVSGNCDVVI	GIVNNTVYDP	LQPELDSFKE	ELDKYFKNHT	SPDVDLGDIS	GINASVVNIQ	KEIDRLNEVA	KNLNESLIDL
120	1 QELGKYEQYI	KWPWYIWLGF	IAGLIAIVMV	TIMLCCMTSC	CSCLKGCCSC	GSCCKFDEDD	SEPVLKGVKL	HYT

b. Nucleoprotein

Р	LASMA, 33	pg						
1	MSDNGPQNQR	NAPRITEGGP	SDSTGSNQNG	ERSGARSKOR	RPQGLPNNTA	SWFTALTQHG	KEDLKFPRGQ	GVPINTNSSP
81	DDQIGYYRRA	TRRIRGGDGK	MKDLSPRWYF	YYLGTGPEAG	LPYGANKDGI	IWVATEGALN	TPKDHIGTRN	PANNAAIVLQ
161	LPQGTTLPKG	FYAEGSRGGS	QASSRSSSRS	RNSSRNSTPG	SSRGTSPARM	AGNGGDAALA	LLLLDRLNQL	ESKMSGKGQQ
241	QQGQTVTKKS	AAEASKKPRQ	KRTATKAYNV	TQAFGRRGPE	QTQGNFGDQE	LIRQGTDYKH	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI
321	GMEVTPSGTW	LTYTGAIKLD	DKDPNFKDQV	ILLNKHIDAY	KTFPPTEPKK	DKKKKADETQ	ALPQRQKKQQ	TVTLLPAADL
401	DDFSKQLQQS	MSSADSTQA						
Р	LASMA, 33	0 pg				d	Deamidation-or	nly N (+0.98)
			29 d					
1	MSDNGPQNQR	NAPRITEGGP	SDSTGSNQNG	ERSGARSKOR	RPQGLPNNTA	SWFTALTQHG	KEDLKFPRGQ	GVPINTNSSP
81	DDQIGYYRRA	TRRIRGGDGK	MKDLSPRWYF	YYLGTGPEAG	LPYGANKDGI	IWVATEGALN	TPKDHIGTRN	PANNAAIVLQ
161	LPQGTTLPKG	FYAEGSRGGS	QASSRSSSRS	RNSSRNSTPG	SSRGTSPARM	AGNGGDAALA	LLLLDRLNQL	ESKMSGKGQQ
241	QQGQTVTKKS	AAEASKKPRQ	KRTATKAYNV	TQAFGRRGPE	QTQGNFGDQE	LIRQGTDYKH	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI
321	GMEVTPSGTW	LTYTGAIKLD	DKDPNFKDQV	ILLNKHIDAY	KTFPPTEPKK	DKKKKADETQ	ALPOROKKOO	TVTLLPAADL
401	DDFSKQLQQS	MSSADSTQA						
Р	LASMA, 3.3	3 ng	2 2			đ	Deamidation-or	nly N (+0.98)
1	MSDNGPQNQR	NAPRITEGGP	SDSTGSNQNG	ERSGARSKOR	RPQGLPNNTA	SWFTALTOHG	KEDLKFPRGQ	GVPINTNSSP
81	DDQIGYYRRA	TRRIRGGDGK	MKDLSPRWYF	YYLGTGPEAG	LPYGANKDGI	IWVATEGALN	TPKDHIGTRN	PANNAAIVLQ
161	LPQGTTLPKG	FYAEGSRGGS	QASSRSSSRS	RNSSRNSTPG	SSRGTSPARM	AGNGGDAALA	LLLLDRLNQL	ESKMSGKGQQ
			259					
241	QQGQTVTKKS	AAEASKKPRQ	KRTATKAYNV	TQAFGRRGPE	QTQGNFGDQE	LIRQGTDYKH	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI
321	GMEVTPSGTW	LTYTGAIKLD	DKDPNFKDQV	ILLNKHIDAY	KTFPPTEPKK	DKKKKADETQ	ALPQRQKKQQ	TVTLLPAADL
401	DDFSKQLQQS	MSSADSTQA						

Figure 7. Sequence coverage of the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein in plasma (postdigestion). Peptides identified for the spike glycoprotein (**a**) and the nucleoprotein (**b**) analysing 33 pg, 330 pg and 3.3 ng of each purified SARS-CoV-2 protein (S1, S2, RBD and N) spiked into plasma after digestion. Possible sites of N-glycosylation are identified with letter d and the number of the AA sequence. The sequence covered is in bold and highlighted in grey or yellow, peptides highlighted in yellow are those also observed in the LOD. In order to simulate a real biological sample and evaluate the effect of the manipulation and processing on viral detection and characterisation beyond the component of matrix interference, we spiked saliva and plasma samples with different amounts of purified viral proteins before viral inactivation and enzymatic digestion. To avoid false-positive results, only identification with at least one unique peptide was considered, using a database combining the human, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 proteomes. Similarly to the previous results and as expected from the differences in the dynamic range of the two biofluids, the matrix effect was higher in plasma than in saliva samples. Indeed, the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein were detectable when adding at least 1 μ g of each purified viral protein in plasma, with a coverage of 1% for the spike glycoprotein and 17% for the nucleoprotein (Figure 8). On the other hand, in saliva, the detection and characterisation limits were reached using a lower amount of each standard: in more detail, spiking 100 ng and 1 μ g, we obtained a coverage of 10% and 28% for the spike glycoprotein and of 11% and 33% for the nucleoprotein, respectively (Figure 9).

Amount of STD	S	pike glycoprotein		Nucleoprotein			
proteins spiked	Coverage (%)	No. of unique peptides	No. of spectra	Coverage (%)	No. of unique peptides	No. of spectra	
1 µg	1	1	1	17	6	16	

b. Spike glycoprotein

a

PL	ASMA, 1 u	g						
1	MEVELVLLPL	VSSOCVNLTT	RTOLPPAYTN	SFTRGVYYPD	KVFRSSVLHS	TODLFLPFFS	NVTWFHAIHV	SGTNGTKRFD
81	NPVLPFNDGV	YFASTEKSNI	IRGWIFGTTL	DSKTOSLLIV	NNATNVVIKV	CEFOFCNDPF	LGVYYHKNNK	SWMESEFRVY
161	SSANNCTFEY	VSQPFLMDLE	GKQGNFKNLR	EFVFKNIDGY	FKIYSKHTPI	NLVRDLPQGF	SALEPLVDLP	IGINITRFOT
241	LLALHRSYLT	PGDSSSGWTA	GAAAYYVGYL	QPRTFLLKYN	ENGTITDAVD	CALDPLSETK	CTLKSFTVEK	GIYQTSNFRV
321	QPTESIVRFP	NITNLCPFGE	VFNATRFASV	YAWNRKRISN	CVADYSVLYN	SASFSTFKCY	GVSPTKLNDL	CFTNVYADSF
101	VIRGDEVRQI	APGQTGKIAD	YNYKLPDDFT	GCVIAWNSNN	LDSKVGGNYN	YLYRLFRKSN	LKPFERDIST	EIYQAGSTPC
81	NGVEGENCYF	PLQSYGFQPT	NGVGYQPYRV	VVLSFELLHA	PATVCGPKKS	TNLVKNKCVN	FNFNGLTGTG	VLTESNKKFL
561	PFQQFGRDIA	DTTDAVRDPQ	TLEILDITPC	SFGGVSVITP	GINISNQVAV	LYQDVNCTEV	PVAIHADQLT	PTWRVYSTGS
541	NVFQTRAGCL	IGAEHVNNSY	ECDIPIGAGI	CASYQTQTNS	PRRARSVASQ	SIIAYTMSLG	AENSVAYSNN	SIAIPTNFTI
21	SVTTEILPVS	MTKTSVDCTM	YICGDSTECS	NLLLQYGSFC	TQLNRALTGI	AVEQDENTQE	VFAQVKQIYK	TPPIKDFGGF
01	NFSQILPDPS	KPSKRSFIED	LLFNKVTLAD	AGFIKQYGDC	LGDIAARDLI	CAQKENGLTV	LPPLLTDEMI	AQYTSALLAG
81	TITSGWTFGA	GAALQIPFAM	QMAYRFNGIG	VTQNVLYENQ	KLIANQFNSA	IGKIQDSLSS	TASALGKLQD	VVNQNAQALN
61	TLVKQLSSNF	GAISSVLNDI	LSRLDKVEAE	VQIDRLITGR	LQSLQTYVTQ	QLIRAAEIRA	SANLAATKMS	ECVLGQSKRV
41	DFCGKGYHLM	SFPQSAPHGV	VFLHVTYVPA	QEKNETTAPA	ICHDGKAHFP	REGVEVSNGT	HWFVTQRNFY	EPQIITTDNT
21	FVSGNCDVVI	GIVNNTVYDP	LQPELDSFKE	ELDKYFKNHT	SPDVDLGDIS	GINASVVNIQ	KEIDRLNEVA	KNLNESLIDL
:01	QELGKYEQYI	KWPWYIWLGF	IAGLIAIVMV	TIMLCOMTSC	CSCLKGCCSC	GSCCKFDEDD	SEPVLKGVKL	HYT
. 1	Nucleopr	otein						

P	PLASMA, 1 μg						Deamidation-only N (+0.98)	
		-	8					d
1	MSDNGPQNQR	NAPRITEGGP	SDSTGSNQNG	ERSGARSKOR	RPQGLPNNTA	SWFTALTQHG	KEDLKFPRGQ	GVPINTNSSP
81	DDQIGYYRRA	TRRIRGGDGK	MKDLSPRWYF	YYLGTGPEAG	LPYGANKDGI	IWVATEGALN	TPKDHIGTRN	PANNAAIVLQ
161	LPQGTTLPKG	FYAEGSRGGS	QASSRSSSRS	RNSSRNSTPG	SSRGTSPARM	AGNGGDAALA	LLLLDRLNQL	ESKMSGKGQQ
			209					
241	QQGQTVTKKS	AAEASKKPRQ	KRTATKAYNV	TQAFGRRGPE	QTQGNFGDQE	LIRQGTDYKH	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI
321	GMEVTPSGTW	LTYTGAIKLD	DKDPNFKDQV	ILLNKHIDAY	KTFPPTEPKK	DKKKKADETQ	ALPQRQKKQQ	TVTLLPAADL
401	DDFSKQLQQS	MSSADSTQA						

Figure 8. Sequence coverage of the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein in plasma (pre-digestion). Summary table of the results obtained by spiking plasma samples with 1 µg of each purified SARS-CoV-2 protein (S1, S2, RBD and N) before digestion (**a**). Peptides identified for the spike glycoprotein (**b**) and the nucleoprotein (**c**) analysing 1 µg of each purified SARS-CoV-2 protein spiked into plasma before digestion. Possible sites of N-glycosylation are identified with letter d and the number of the AA sequence. The sequence covered is in bold and highlighted in grey or yellow, peptides highlighted in yellow are those also observed in the LOD.

Amount of CTD	Sj	pike glycoprotein			Nucleoprotein	
proteins spiked	Coverage (%)	No. of unique peptides	No. of spectra	Coverage (%)	No. of unique peptides	No. of spectra
100 ng	10	8	31	11	3	15
1 µg	28	26	141	33	11	97

b. Spike glycoprotein

a.

SA	LIVA, 100	ng				d	Deamidation	-only N (+0.98)
1	MEVELVLLPL	VSSQCVNLTT	RTQLPPAYTN	SFTRGVYYPD	KVFRSSVLHS	TQDLFLPFFS	NVTWFHAIHV	SGINGIKRFD
81	NPVLPENDGV	YFASTEKSNI	IRGWIFGTTL	DSKTOSLLIV	NNATNVVIKV	CEFOFCNDPF	LGVYYHKNNK	SWMESEFRVY
161	SSANNCTFEY	VSOPFLMDLE	GKOGNFKNLR	EFVFKNIDGY	FKIYSKHTPI	NLVRDLPOGF	SALEPLVDLP	IGINITRFOT
241	LLALHRSYLT	PGDSSSGWTA	GAAAYYVGYL	OPRTFLLKYN	ENGTITDAVD	CALDPLSETK	CTLKSFTVEK	GIYOTSNERV
			143					
321	QPTESIVR FP	NITNLCPFGE	VFNATRFASV	YAWNRKRISN	CVADYSVLYN	SASFSTFKCY	GVSPTKLNDL	CFTNVYADSF
101				8	T D OLE LO CONTRA			
401	VIRGDEVRQI	APGQIGNIAD	INIKLEDDET	GCVIAWNSNN	LDSKVGGNIN	ILIRGERION	LAFFERDIST	EIIQAGSIFC
481	NGVEGENCIE	PLQSIGFQPT	NGVGYQPYRV	VVLSFELLHA	PATVCGPKKS	TNLVKNKCVN	ENENGLIGIG	VLTESNKKFL
501	PEQQEGRDIA	DTTDAVRDPQ	TLEILDITPC	SFGGVSVITP	GINTSNQVAV	LYQDVNCTEV	PVAIHADQLT	PTWRVYSTGS
041	NVFQTRAGCL	IGAEHVNNSY	ECDIPIGAGI	CASYQTQTNS	PRRARSVASQ	SIIAYTMSLG	AENSVAYSNN	SIAIPTNETI
721	SVTTEILPVS	MTKTSVDCTM	YICGDSTECS	NLLLQYGSFC	TQLNRALTGI	AVEQDENTQE	VFAQVKQIYK	TPPIKDFGGF
801	NFSQILPDPS	KPSKRSFIED	LLFNKVTLAD	AGFIKQYGDC	LGDIAARDLI	CAQKENGLTV	LPPLLTDEMI	AQYTSALLAG
881	TITSGWTFGA	GAALQIPFAM	QMAYRFNGIG	VTQNVLYENQ	KLIANQFNSA	IGKIQDSLSS	TASALGKLQD	VVNQNAQALN
961	TLVKQLSSNF	GAISSVLNDI	LSRLDKVEAE	VQIDRLITGR	LQSLQTYVTQ	QLIRAAEIRA	SANLAATKMS	ECVLGQSKRV
1041	DFCGKGYHLM	SFPQSAPHGV	VFLHVTYVPA	QEKNETTAPA	ICHDGKAHFP	REGVEVSNGT	HWFVTQRNFY	EPQIITTDNT
1121	FVSGNCDVVI	GIVNNTVYDP	LQPELDSFKE	ELDKYFKNHT	SPDVDLGDIS	GINASVVNIQ	KEIDRLNEVA	KNLNESLIDL
1201	QELGKYEQYI	KWPWYIWLGF	IAGLIAIVMV	TIMLCOMTSC	CSCLKGCCSC	GSCCKFDEDD	SEPVLKGVKL	HYT
SA	LIVA, 1 µg					đ	Deamidation	-only N (+0.98)
1	MEVELVILLET	VSSOCUNITT	PTOLPPAYTN	SFTRGUYYPD	KUFRSSULHS	TODLELPES	NUTWFHATHU	SGTNGTKRED
81	NPVLPENDGU	YFASTEKSNT	IRGWIFGTT.	DSKTOSLLTV	NNATNVVTEU	CEFOFCNDPF	LGVYYHKNNK	SWMESEFRUY
161	SSANNOTERY	VSOPETMOTE	GROGNERNTE	REVERNINGY	FKTYSKHTPT	NLVRDI POGE	SALEPT.VDT P	IGINITEROT
101	SSAMOILEI	A 2ÅET PHOPP	ORYONERNER	DEVENNIDGI	282	MEAKDELŐGI	SALLEEVOLE	IOINIIREQI
241	LLALHRSYLT	PGDSSSGWTA	GAAAYYVGYL	QPRTFLLK YN	ENGTITDAVD	CALDPLSETK	CTLKSFTVEK	GIYQTSNFRV
1000			343					
321	QPTESIVRFF	NITNLCPFGE	VFNATRFASV	YAWNRKRISN	CVADYSVLYN	SASFSTFKCY	GVSPTKLNDL	CFTNVYADSF
401	UTDODDUDOT	ADGORGETER	VALVUT DOD PO	d d	TREFERENCE	VI VDI POVON	TUBPPBDTCT	ETVOLOGEDO
AUT	VIRGDEVRQI	APGQIGRIAD	INIKLEDDET	GCVIAWNSNN	LDSKVGGNIN	ILIRLIKKON	144	LIIQAGSIPC
481	NGVEGENCYE	PLOSYGFOPT	NGVGYOPYRV	VVLSFELLHA	PATVCGPKKS	TNLVKNKCVN	FNFNGLTGTG	VLTESNKKFL
561	PFOOFGRDIA	DTTDAVRDPO	TLEILDITPC	SEGGVSVITP	GTNTSNOVAV	LYODVNCTEV	PVAIHADOLT	PTWRVYSTGS
641	NUFOTRAGCI	TGAEHVNNSY	ECDIPIGAGI	CASYOTOTHS	PRRARSVASO	STTAYTMSLG	AENSVAYSNN	STATPTNETT
721	SUTTETLEVS	MTKTSVDCTM	VICGDSTECS	NULLOYGSEC	TOLNRALTGT	AVEODENTOE	VFAOVKOTYK	TPPTKDEGGE
164	101	miniorboim	1100001000	numpercor o	- Xunnana a	and go land go		
801	NFSQILPDPS	KPSKRSFIED	LLFNKVTLAD	AGFIKQYGDC	LGDIAARDLI	CAQKENGLTV	LPPLLTDEMI	AQYTSALLAG
881	TITSGWTFGA	GAALQIPFAM	QMAYRFNGIG	VTQNVLYENQ	KLIANQENSA	IGKIQDSLSS	TASALGKLQD	VVNQNAQALN
961	TLVKQLSSNF	GAISSVLNDI	LSRLDKVEAE	VQIDRLITGR	LQSLQTYVTQ	QLIRAAEIRA	SANLAATKMS	ECVLGQSKRV
1041	DECORGYHLM	SEPOSAPHOV	VELHVTYVPA	OEKNETTAPA	ICHDGKAHFP	REGVEVSNOT	HWEVTORNEY	EPOIITTONT
1121	FVSGNCDVVI	GIVNNTVYDP	LOPELDSFKE	ELDKYFKNHT	SPDVDLGDIS	GINASVVNIO	KEIDRLNEVA	KNLNESLIDL
1201	OELGKYEOYI	KWPWYIWLGF	TAGLIATVMV	TIMLCONTSC	CSCLKGCCSC	GSCCKFDEDD	SEPVLKGVKL	HYT
	*×							
с.	Nucleopr	otein						
						1.1	6-11 I.III **	Internet service
C. 5	SALIVA, 10	0 ng	22			d	Deamidation	-only N (+0.98)
1	MSDNGPONOR	NAPRITEGOP	SDSTGSNONG	ERSGARSKOR	RPQGLPNNTA	SWFTALTOHG	KEDLKFPRGO	GVPINTNSSP
81	DDOIGYYRPA	TRRINGGDOK	MKDLSPRWYF	YYLGTGPEAG	LPYGANKDGT	IWVATEGALN	TPKDHIGTPN	PANNAATVLO
161	LPOGTTLPKG	FYAEGSROGS	OASSESSES	RNSSRNSTPG	SSRGTSPARM	AGNGGDAATA	LLLLDRLNOL	ESKMSGKGOO
	- Korrper(Q		200		- strong of Alder		Theready	XX
241	QQGQTVTKKS	AAEASKKPRO	KRTATKAYNV	TQAFGRRGPE	QTQGNFGDOE	LIRQGTDYKH	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI
321	GMEVTPSGTW	LTYTGAIKLD	DKDPNFKDOV	ILLNKHIDAY	KTFPPTEPKK	DKKKKADETO	ALPOROKKOO	TVTLLPAADL
401	DDFSKOLOOS	MSSADSTOA					E E	
_								
						a	Deamidation	-only N (+0.98)
d. 5	SALIVA, 1 µ	ıg	27 25			-	Connorton	77
1	MSDNGPONOP	NAPRITEGOR	SDSTGSNONG	ERSGARSKOP	RPOGL PNNTA	SWFTALTONG	KEDLKEPP	GVPINTNSSP
81	DOOTGYYPDA	TERTEGOR	MEDISDOWUP	VVLOTOPENO	LOVGANKDOT	TWUATEGATN	TREDUCT	PANNAATUTO
0.T	DEGISIIRRA	IRRINGGDGK	PROLSPRWIF	TILGIGPEAG	DEIGANADGI	213	TENDRIGTEN	PANNAATATĂ
			OASSESSER	RNSSRNSTPG	SSRGTSPAPM	AGNGGDAALA	LLLLDRINGT	ESKMSGKGOO
161	LPOGTTLPKG	FYARGASHG425		THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	TOTATOOLOXXX
161	LPQGTTLPKG	FYREGSROGS	20000000000					
161 241	LPQGTTLPKG	AAEASKKPRO	KRTATKAYNV	TQAFGRRGPE	QTQGNFGDQE	LIRQGTDYKH	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI
161 241 321	LPQGTTLPKG QQGQTVTKKS GMEVTPSGTW	AAEASKKPRQ	KRTATKAYNV DKDPNFKDOV	TQAFGRRGPE ILLNKHIDAY	QTQGNFGDQE KTFPPTEPKK	LIRQGTDYKH DKKKKADETO	WPQIAQFAPS	ASAFFGMSRI TVTLLPAADL
161 241 321 401	LPQGTTLPKG QQGQTVTKKS GMEVTPSGTW DDFSKOLOOS	AAEASKKPRQ LTYTGAIKLD MSSADSTOA	KRTATK AYNV DKDPNFKDQV	TQAFGRRGPE ILLNKHIDAY	QTQGNFGDQE KTFPPTEPKK	LIRQGTDYKH DKKKKADETQ	WPQIAQFAPS ALPQRQKKQQ	ASAFFGMSRI TVTLLPAADL

Figure 9. Sequence coverage of the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein in saliva (pre-digestion). Summary table of the results obtained by spiking saliva samples with 100 ng and 1 μ g of each purified SARS-CoV-2 protein (S1, S2, RBD and N) before digestion (**a**). Peptides identified for the spike glycoprotein (**b**) and the nucleoprotein (**c**) analysing 100 ng and 1 μ g of each purified SARS-CoV-2 protein spiked into saliva before digestion. Possible sites of N-glycosylation are identified with letter d and the number of the AA sequence. The sequence covered is in bold and highlighted in grey or yellow, peptides highlighted in yellow are those also observed in the LOD.

Then, in order to measure the impact of the sample preparation process on our sensitivity, independently from the matrix effect, the data obtained from plasma and saliva spiked before and after digestion were compared.

76

Considering a protein concentration of approximately 60 mg/mL in plasma [24] and assuming an efficiency of 100% of sample digestion and processing, we estimated to inject 330 pg of each viral protein, spiking 1 µg of each one before digestion, corresponding to a ratio of 1:6000 between each purified viral protein and human proteins. Comparing this outcome with the one determined by adding the standards just before nLC-MS/MS analysis, a wider recovery of the S and N proteins was noted in the last condition, suggesting a loss of information during sample processing. In particular, the S protein was identified with four unique peptides and 4% of protein coverage if the purified standards were added at the end of sample processing (Figure 5 and Figure 7) while its detection decreased to one unique peptide and 1% of coverage if viral proteins were spiked at the beginning of the preparation (Figure 8a,b). A similar behaviour was observed for the N protein (Figures 5 and 7b) where the number of unique peptides was equal between the two conditions, while the percentage of coverage decreased if viral standards were added before sample preparation (17% compared to 21%) (Figure 8a,b).

On the other hand, the high heterogeneity of protein amount and low protein solubility after precipitation in saliva samples makes the calculation of the theoretical SARS-CoV-2 protein quantity injected in LC-MS quite challenging. A rough estimation of the total protein concentration was conducted using 280 nm absorbance. Based on it, a comparison was conducted between the results obtained by spiking viral standard proteins before (Figure 9) and after manipulation (Figures 5 and 6). In particular, the quantity of 100 ng of standards for the first condition and the saliva spiked after digestion with 1 ng were compared considering a ratio of about 1:2000–1:4000 between each purified viral protein and human proteins. Similarly to plasma results, the nucleoprotein in saliva samples was recognised with a lower sequence coverage determined as 11% if added before any sample manipulation remained almost unchanged considering the number of unique peptides and the sequence coverage.

2.5. Saliva and Plasma Human Proteome

Several authors optimised mass spectrometry-based protocols to investigate the proteome of biofluids and determine the changes in response to COVID-19 infection, exploring the physiopathology of the disease and the molecular basis of the difference in patient response. In particular, Overmyer et al. performed untargeted and targeted multiomics (proteomics, metabolomics and lipidomics) by means of high-resolution MS coupled either with gas chromatography (GC) or liquid chromatography (LC) systems on not-depleted plasma samples of 128 COVID-19-positive (n = 102) and -negative (n = 26) patients [14]. This approach identified more than 500 proteins, about 650 lipids, 110 metabolites and about 2800 unidentified small molecules. Based on this protocol, they studied COVID-19 physiopathology, pinpointing the up- and downregulated proteins and pathways characterising specific molecular profiles of the patients in the cohort. In another interesting study performed by Messner et al., serum and plasma samples of thirty-one COVID-19 patients grouped on the basis of WHO severity grade (between 3 and 7 WHO scores) were evaluated [12]. They developed an ultrafast proteomics method for the discovery of clinical classifiers based on protein expression signatures that allowed the identification of 27 potential biomarkers associated with COVID-19 severity. These alterations are involved in complement factors, coagulation system, inflammatory modulators and proinflammatory signalling. Finally, Park et al. implemented an ultrahigh-resolution LC-MS method based on BoxCar acquisition, and they performed plasma proteome profiling of three mild and five severe COVID-19 patients [25]. In this study, the host response to SARS-CoV-2 infection resulted in 91 differentially expressed proteins depending on disease severity, and the proteins related to neutrophil activation, blood coagulation, proinflammatory factors and complement activation were mostly significant.

One of most desirable goals that can be reached by mass spectrometry-based methods is likely to have the simultaneous identification of the proteome of biofluids, as well as the detection of SARS-CoV-2 proteins in a single analysis, in order to gain information both on the viral molecular profile and its effect on the human proteome at the systemic level. To this end, we set and applied an untargeted protocol on plasma and saliva that permitted both detecting SARS-CoV-2 proteins spiked in plasma or saliva, as previously shown, and qualitatively and quantitatively evaluating the proteome of these biofluids. In particular, concerning the molecular modifications induced to the human counterpart, we evaluated the proteome identified in plasma/saliva from healthy samples with and without SARS-CoV-2 proteins through the application of this protocol in order to have an indication of the identification power of this approach, explore the consistency with the current knowledge and investigate its potential for future SARS-CoV-2-related studies.

Concerning plasma samples, our strategy resulted in the identification of 324 proteins in total (Supplementary Table S1) and an average of 1387 unique peptides per run. The use of PNGase F enabled us to detect 98 plasma proteins containing at least one potential N-glycosylation site, corresponding to 30.2% of the total identified proteins. Then, to evaluate how representative the identified proteins might be for the study of COVID-19, a further characterisation of the proteome was carried out and compared with the data available in the literature. The results showed that the more represented protein families belong to defense/immunity proteins (PC00090) and protein-binding activity modulators (PC00095) covering the 16.5% and 11.2% of the total identifications, respectively. Moreover, functional investigation highlighted two pathways that are likely to be enriched in our dataset, including complement cascade (HSA-166658) and innate immune system (HSA-168249). These data seem to be in line with those reported in a similar proteomic analysis present in the literature regarding COVID-19 studies in human plasma [13].

On the other hand, a mass spectrometry-based protocol to investigate the whole salivary proteome is more critical to develop. Despite the fact that this body fluid is very attractive for disease diagnosis due to different reasons (e.g., easy, economic and noninvasive collection), its use in clinical proteomic applications is strongly limited by high variability (inter- and intraindividual), biological rhythm influence (e.g., circadian rhythm) and several possible polymorphisms [26].

Using our untargeted mass spectrometry-based method, in addition to SARS-CoV-2 proteins, we were able to identify an average of 2141 unique peptides per run and 868 proteins in total; among them, 136 were characterised by at least one potential N-linked glycosylation (15.7% of total proteins) (Supplementary Table S2). As expected, approximately 30% of the total salivary identifications were serum-like proteins (e.g., albumin and immunoglobulins) [27]. Moreover, in line with the data reported by Castagnola et al., we observed different protein families typical of salivary specimens, including basic, acidic and glycosylated proline-rich proteins (e.g., PRPC_HUMAN, SPR2A_HUMAN, SPR2B_HUMAN, SPRR3_HUMAN, PRP1_HUMAN, PRB2_HUMAN), amylases (AMY1A_HUMAN), cystatins (e.g., CYTA_HUMAN, CYTB_HUMAN, CYTC_HUMAN, CYTD_HUMAN, CYTT_HUMAN, CYTN_HUMAN), mucins (e.g., MUC2_HUMAN, MUC21_HUMAN, MUC5B_HUMAN) and statherins (e.g., STAT_HUMAN) [28]. Functional analysis on our saliva sample proteome suggested the enrichment of some of the identified proteins, mainly in pathways related to the defense/immunity protein (PC00090), the immunoglobulin (PC00123), the translational protein (PC00263) and the protease inhibitor (PC00191), whose expression could provide useful information for the investigation of the mechanisms of pathogenesis and response to SARS-CoV-2. Indeed, recent proteomic studies of nasopharyngeal swabs in COVID-19 patients highlighted biological processes and molecular actors that we observed with the implemented protocol, such as a consistent alteration of the innate immune response, including the complement activation and the interferon-mediated immune response, components of protein synthesis, and in the regulation of proteosomal subunits [29]. These data are likely to confirm the intriguing potential of saliva as a noninvasive biofluid for disease investigation in addition to SARS-CoV-2 protein detection.

3. Conclusions

In this work, a mass spectrometry-based method was developed, which, through an easy sample preparation workflow, allowed for safe manipulation of COVID-19 specimens in laboratories without biosafety level 3 (BSL3) equipment. Moreover, the application of an untargeted approach enabled the simultaneous identification of SARS-CoV-2 proteins and characterisation of alterations in the host human proteome from different biofluids.

Firstly, the limit of detection of the method was evaluated by analysing purified SARS-CoV-2 protein standards (S1, S2, RBD and N), and it resulted in 10 pg for the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein. Then, the limit of characterisation (LOC) was measured in two biofluids, saliva and plasma, spiked with different amounts of purified and digested SARS-CoV-2 proteins before LC-MS. A LOC of 100 pg for both proteins in saliva and of 330 pg and 33 pg for the spike glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein, respectively, in plasma was observed. The standards were also spiked before sample manipulation to simulate a real sample, and the effect of matrix interference and sample processing was estimated.

Furthermore, a preliminary proteomic analysis was performed on saliva and plasma samples, identifying about 800 and 300 human proteins, respectively. Among them, several key effectors and pathways that are known to be altered in COVID-19 patients were observed.

The approach can be highly relevant for further application in COVID-19 patients, allowing SARS-CoV-2 proteins and the human proteome to be explored in saliva and plasma samples, and for retrospective studies aimed at investigating possible virus variants and stratifying patients with different outcomes.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials

SARS-CoV-2 recombinant proteins S1, S2, RBD and N were produced and provided by Istituto Nazionale Genetica Molecolare (INGM) of Milan. *Rapi*GestTM SF was from Waters Corporation, Milford.

4.2. SARS-CoV-2 Protein Deglycosylation

Five µg of each viral recombinant protein (S1, S2, RBD, N) were diluted in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (NH₄HCO₃, Sigma-Aldrich, \geq 99.0%) buffer solution to reach the final volume of 100 µL. In order to remove N-linked oligosaccharides which could interfere with the following steps, N-Glycosidase F (Roche Diagnostics, Mannehim, Germany) was added to SARS-CoV-2 standard proteins with a ratio of 1 U of enzyme over 25 µg of proteins and incubated overnight at 37 °C.

4.3. Biological Fluid Collection

Saliva samples were collected from healthy volunteers working in the proteomic unit, while blood samples were collected from healthy subjects at San Gerardo Hospital (Monza, Italy). All the subjects had signed an informed consent form prior to sample donation, and analyses were carried out in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Study protocols and procedures were approved by the local ethics committee (Comitato Etico Azienda Ospedaliera San Gerardo, Monza, Italy). Plasma samples were collected in Vacutainer[®] K3E tubes containing EDTA (Becton Dickinson Italia S.p.A.), centrifuged at 3700 rpm for 10 min and then stocked at -80 °C.

4.4. Sample Inactivation and Deglycosylation of Biological Fluids

To allow for sample manipulation in safe conditions, an inactivation method for the virus in biological fluids was developed; 100 μ L of each biological fluid sample were collected and underwent a process of heat inactivation and protein precipitation. Two protocols were evaluated. Protocol A: the samples were heated for 30 min at 70 °C and then incubated in freezing acetone (Honeywell, \geq 99.8%, Offenbach, Germany), 4:1 acetone/sample (*v*/*v*), to allow for protein precipitation. Protocol B: the samples were heated

for 60 min at 56 °C and then incubated in freezing ethanol (Honeywell, \geq 99.8%, Offenbach, Germany), 9:1 ethanol/sample (*v*/*v*), to allow for protein precipitation and to increase the safety level. Then, the samples were centrifuged, the supernatant was discarded, while the pellet was left to air-dry and resuspended in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer solution. In Protocol B, to enhance enzymatic digestion, *Rapi*GestTM SF Surfactant was added to the final concentration of 0.1%. After protein quantification with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop OneC, Thermo scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), N-Glycosidase F (Roche Diagnostics, Mannehim, Germany) was added to the samples (8 U/100 µL and 10 U/100 µL of the enzyme for the saliva and plasma samples, respectively), which were incubated overnight at 37 °C.

4.5. Protein Digestion

Both SARS-CoV-2 standard proteins and biological samples were treated with DL-Dithiothreitol (DTT) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, \geq 99.5%) at the final concentration of 20–25 mM for the viral proteins and saliva and of 40 mM for the plasma samples and incubated for 45 min at 56 °C. Then, iodoacetamide (IAA) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at the final concentration of 25–30 mM was added, and the samples were left at room temperature for 30 min for the carbamidomethylation reaction.

The proteins were enzymatically digested by adding trypsin (trypsin from the porcine pancreas, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at the enzyme/protein mass ratio of 1:25 for SARS-CoV-2 standard proteins, while 4 μ g/100 μ L and 8 μ g/100 μ L of trypsin were added to the saliva and plasma samples, respectively. Then, all the samples were incubated overnight at 37 °C. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding formic acid (FA) (LiChropur[®], Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) to reach an acidic pH (<2). Sample volume was reduced by means of a vacuum centrifugal evaporator (Hetovac, Savant); then, dried peptides were resuspended in 50 μ L of loading pump phase A (H₂O:ACN:TFA 98:2:0.1). The saliva and plasma protein content was obtained with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer followed by a desalting step using ZiptipTM μ -C18 Pipette Tips (Merck Millipore Ltd.). The peptides were eluted with a solution of 80% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid, dried by means of a vacuum centrifugal evaporator (Hetovac) and resuspended in 50 μ L of loading pump phase A.

4.6. Mass Spectrometry Analysis

Tryptic peptides were injected into a Dionex UltiMate 3000 rapid separation (RS) LC nanosystem (Thermo Scientific, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) coupled with an Impact HDTM UHR-qToF system (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, DE). The samples were loaded into a μ precolumn (Thermo Scientific, Acclaim PepMap 100, 100 μ m \times 2 cm, nanoViper, C18, 3 μ m) for a further desalting and concentration step; then, the peptides were separated in an analytical 50 cm nanocolumn (Thermo Scientific, Acclaim PepMap RSLC, 75 μ m \times 50 cm, nanoViper, C18, 2 µm) with a multistep 240 min gradient ranging from 4% to 98% of nanopump phase B (H₂O:ACN:FA 20:80:0.08) at a flow rate of 300 nL/min and temperature of the column in the oven of 40 °C (Figure 10). The eluted peptides were ionised using a nanoBoosterCaptiveSprayTM (Bruker Daltonics) source using heated nitrogen dry gas (T = $150 \,^{\circ}$ C; 3 L/min) enriched with acetonitrile (ACN). The mass spectrometer was operated in DDA (Data Dependent Acquisition Mode), with automatic switching between full-scan MS and MS/MS acquisition. N₂ was used as a gas for CID (collision-induced dissociation) fragmentation. The software automatically selected the number of precursor ions in order to fit into a fixed cycle time: the time between two subsequent MS acquisitions was 5 s. The charge of precursor ions ranged between +2 and +5, and precursor peaks above 1575 counts, in the 300–1221 and 1225–2200 m/z windows, were selected. IDAS (Intensity Dependent Acquisition Speed) and RT2 (RealTime Re-Think) functionalities were applied. In order to achieve an improvement of mass accuracy, the mass spectrometer was calibrated using a mix of ten standards with a known mass (MMI-L Low Concentration Tuning Mix, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) before the sample run sequence.



In addition, a specific lock mass (1221.9906 m/z) and a calibration segment (at the first 15 min of the gradient) of 10 mM sodium formate (1% NaOH 1 M and 0.1% FA) cluster solution was used.

Figure 10. Multistep 240 min gradient for LC separation. The table and the plot show the multistep gradient ranging from 4% to 98% of nanopump phase B used for peptide separation by means of liquid chromatography.

4.7. Data Processing

Raw data were elaborated by means of Compass DataAnalysisTM, v.4.1 (Bruker Daltonics, Hamburg, Germany). The resulting mass lists were processed using an inhouse Mascot search engine (v.2.4.0) through Mascot Daemon; a SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 protein database (UniProt, pre-release dataset, was downloaded from UniProt (ftp://ftp.uniprot.org/pub/databases/uniprot/pre_release/, accessed on 6 October 2021), combined with a human database (SwissProt, released March 2020; 562,755 sequences; 202,599,198 residues) and integrated to the search engine in order to simultaneously detect specific viral proteins and the human proteome. The parameters were set as follows: trypsin as the enzyme, carbamidomethyl as the fixed modifications, deamidated-only N and oxidation (M) as the variable modifications, 20 ppm as the precursor mass tolerances and 0.05 Da for the product ions. Automatic decoy database search was applied for false discovery rate (FDR) calculation and a built-in Percolator algorithm was used for rescoring peptide spectrum matches. Only the proteins with at least one unique and significant (*p*-value < 0.05) peptide were considered identified. Peaks Studio X-Plus (Bioinformatics Solutions Inc., Waterloo, ON, USA) was used for protein identification including the above described protein databases; the parameters were set as described above for the Mascot search, and FDR \leq 1% was applied to all the analyses, and the proteins were considered only if identified with at least one unique significant peptide (*p*-value \leq 0.05). Functional annotations were performed using STRING (https://string-db.org/, version 11.5) [30] and the PANTHER Classification System (http://www.pantherdb.org/, version 16.0).

4.8. Limit of Detection (LOD)

Four standard proteins purified from SARS-CoV-2 were processed, deglycosylated and enzymatically digested as previously described. Then, different quantities of the resulting peptides (10, 1, 0.1, 0.02 and 0.01 ng) were analysed by nLC-ESI-MS/MS in order to determine our limit of detection.

4.9. Limits of Viral Protein Characterisation (LOC)

A pool of saliva and plasma was prepared from healthy subjects. For each sample, $100 \ \mu$ L of saliva and of plasma were taken from the pool and treated with a virus inactivation protocol to simulate a real specimen from COVID-19 patients, N-deglycosylated and trypsin-digested as detailed before. Peptide mixtures were spiked with different amounts of each purified viral standard obtained by serial dilutions from a stock solution containing

 $25 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$ of each standard protein in order to inject 10 pg, 100 pg and 1000 pg of each standard in 10 μL of saliva and 33 pg, 330 pg and 3300 pg in 10 μL of plasma. Then, they were analysed by means of nLC-ESI-MS/MS.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/biochem2010005/s1, Table S1: Plasma proteome, Table S2: Salivary proteome.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.P. and F.M.; methodology, C.C., A.F. and P.G.; formal analysis, L.P., F.C., A.M. (Allia Mahajneh) and C.C.; writing—original draft preparation, L.P., L.C. and C.C.; writing—review and editing, L.P., L.C., C.C., A.L., A.B., R.U., A.M. (Antonio Muscatello), F.B., A.G. and F.M.; visualization, L.P., L.C. and C.C.; supervision, F.M.; funding acquisition, F.M. and R.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by FAR 2017–2020; Fondazione Gigi & Pupa Ferrari Onlus; Regione Lombardia POR FESR 2014–2020, Call HUB Ricerca ed Innovazione: ImmunHUB; and Regione Lombardia: PROGRAMMA DEGLI INTERVENTI PER LA RIPRESA ECONOM-ICA: SVILUPPO DI NUOVI ACCORDI DI COLLABORAZIONE CON LE UNIVERSITÀ PER LA RICERCA, L'INNOVAZIONE E IL TRASFERIMENTO TECNOLOGICO.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The local ethics committee (Comitato Etico Azienda Ospedaliera San Gerardo, Monza, Italy, BPCR 24-02-2011) approved the protocols and the procedures. The analyses were performed in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all the subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in the study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Dos Santos, W.G. Natural history of COVID-19 and current knowledge on treatment therapeutic options. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2020**, *129*, 110493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khan, S.; Tombuloglu, H.; Hassanein, S.E.; Rehman, S.; Bozkurt, A.; Cevik, E.; Abdel-Ghany, S.; Nabi, G.; Ali, A.; Sabit, H. Coronavirus diseases 2019: Current biological situation and potential therapeutic perspective. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2020, 886, 173447. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jureka, A.S.; Silvas, J.A.; Basler, C.F. Propagation, Inactivation, and Safety Testing of SARS-CoV-2. Viruses 2020, 12, 622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pastorino, B.; Touret, F.; Gilles, M.; de Lamballerie, X.; Charrel, R.N. Heat Inactivation of Different Types of SARS-CoV-2 Samples: What Protocols for Biosafety, Molecular Detection and Serological Diagnostics? *Viruses* 2020, 12, 735. [CrossRef]
- Patterson, E.I.; Prince, T.; Anderson, E.R.; Casas-Sanchez, A.; Smith, S.L.; Cansado-Utrilla, C.; Solomon, T.; Griffiths, M.J.; Acosta-Serrano, Á.; Turtle, L.; et al. Methods of Inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 for Downstream Biological Assays. J. Infect. Dis. 2020, 222, 1462–1467. [CrossRef]
- Scheller, C.; Krebs, F.; Minkner, R.; Astner, I.; Gil-Moles, M.; Wätzig, H. Physicochemical properties of SARS-CoV-2 for drug targeting, virus inactivation and attenuation, vaccine formulation and quality control. *Electrophoresis* 2020, 41, 1137–1151. [CrossRef]
- Zecha, J.; Lee, C.-Y.; Bayer, F.P.; Meng, C.; Grass, V.; Zerweck, J.; Schnatbaum, K.; Michler, T.; Pichlmair, A.; Ludwig, C.; et al. Data, reagents, assays and merits of proteomics for SARS-CoV-2 research and testing. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 2020, *19*, 1503–1522. [CrossRef]
- Pourrajab, F.; Zare-Khormizi, M.R.; Sheikhha, M.H. Molecular basis for pathogenicity of human coronaviruses. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2020, 13, 2385–2405. [CrossRef]
- 9. Rais, Y.; Fu, Z.; Drabovich, A.P. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics in basic and translational research of SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus and its emerging mutants. *Clin. Proteomics* **2021**, *18*, 19. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ray, S.; Srivastava, S. COVID-19 Pandemic: Hopes from Proteomics and Multiomics Research. *Omi. A J. Integr. Biol.* **2020**, *24*, 457–459. [CrossRef]
- Haas, P.; Muralidharan, M.; Krogan, N.J.; Kaake, R.M.; Hüttenhain, R. Proteomic Approaches to Study SARS-CoV-2 Biology and COVID-19 Pathology. J. Proteome Res. 2021, 20, 1133–1152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Messner, C.B.; Demichev, V.; Wendisch, D.; Michalick, L.; White, M.; Freiwald, A.; Textoris-Taube, K.; Vernardis, S.I.; Egger, A.S.; Kreidl, M.; et al. Ultra-High-Throughput Clinical Proteomics Reveals Classifiers of COVID-19 Infection. *Cell Syst.* 2020, 11, 11–24.e4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 13. Shen, B.; Yi, X.; Sun, Y.; Bi, X.; Du, J.; Zhang, C.; Quan, S.; Zhang, F.; Sun, R.; Qian, L.; et al. Proteomic and Metabolomic Characterization of COVID-19 Patient Sera. *SSRN Electron. J.* **2020**. [CrossRef]
- 14. Overmyer, K.A.; Shishkova, E.; Miller, I.J.; Balnis, J.; Bernstein, M.N.; Peters-Clarke, T.M.; Meyer, J.G.; Quan, Q.; Muehlbauer, L.K.; Trujillo, E.A.; et al. Large-Scale Multi-omic Analysis of COVID-19 Severity. *Cell Syst.* **2020**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Khurshid, Z.; Asiri, F.Y.I.; Al Wadaani, H. Human saliva: Non-invasive fluid for detecting novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 17–20. [CrossRef]
- Ceron, J.J.; Lamy, E.; Martinez-Subiela, S.; Lopez-Jornet, P.; Capela-Silva, F.; Eckersall, P.D.; Tvarijonaviciute, A. Use of Saliva for Diagnosis and Monitoring the SARS-CoV-2: A General Perspective. J. Clin. Med. 2020, 9, 1491. [CrossRef]
- 17. Bezstarosti, K.; Lamers, M.M.; Doff, W.A.S.; Wever, P.; Thai, K.; van Kampen, J.J.A.; Haagmans, B.L.; Demmers, J.A.A. Targeted proteomics as a tool to detect SARS-CoV-2 proteins in clinical specimens. *bioRxiv* 2021, 057810. [CrossRef]
- 18. Ihling, C.; Tänzler, D.; Hagemann, S.; Kehlen, A.; Hüttelmaier, S.; Arlt, C.; Sinz, A. Mass Spectrometric Identification of SARS-CoV-2 Proteins from Gargle Solution Samples of COVID-19 Patients. *J. Proteome Res.* **2020**, *19*, 4389–4392. [CrossRef]
- Sanda, M.; Morrison, L.; Goldman, R. N- and O-Glycosylation of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein. *Anal. Chem.* 2021, 93, 2003–2009. [CrossRef]
- Cardozo, K.H.M.; Lebkuchen, A.; Okai, G.G.; Schuch, R.A.; Viana, L.G.; Olive, A.N.; Lazari, C.D.S.; Fraga, A.M.; Granato, C.F.H.; Pintão, M.C.T.; et al. Establishing a mass spectrometry-based system for rapid detection of SARS-CoV-2 in large clinical sample cohorts. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, 11, 6201. [CrossRef]
- Van Puyvelde, B.; Van Uytfanghe, K.; Tytgat, O.; Van Oudenhove, L.; Gabriels, R.; Bouwmeester, R.; Daled, S.; Van Den Bossche, T.; Ramasamy, P.; Verhelst, S.; et al. Cov-MS: A community-based template assay for clinical MS-based protein detection in SARS-CoV-2 patients. *medRxiv* 2020, 20231688. [CrossRef]
- Singh, P.; Chakraborty, R.; Marwal, R.; Radhakrishan, V.S.; Bhaskar, A.K.; Vashisht, H.; Dhar, M.S.; Pradhan, S.; Ranjan, G.; Imran, M.; et al. A rapid and sensitive method to detect SARS-CoV-2 virus using targeted-mass spectrometry. *J. Proteins Proteom.* 2020, 11, 159–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kratzel, A.; Todt, D.; V'kovski, P.; Steiner, S.; Gultom, M.; Thao, T.T.N.; Ebert, N.; Holwerda, M.; Steinmann, J.; Niemeyer, D.; et al. Inactivation of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 by WHO-Recommended Hand Rub Formulations and Alcohols. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2020, 26, 1592–1595. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leeman, M.; Choi, J.; Hansson, S.; Storm, M.U.; Nilsson, L. Proteins and antibodies in serum, plasma, and whole blood-size characterization using asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation (AF4). *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2018, 410, 4867–4873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Park, J.; Kim, H.; Kim, S.Y.; Kim, Y.; Lee, J.-S.; Dan, K.; Seong, M.-W.; Han, D. In-depth blood proteome profiling analysis revealed distinct functional characteristics of plasma proteins between severe and non-severe COVID-19 patients. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 22418. [CrossRef]
- Boroumand, M.; Olianas, A.; Cabras, T.; Manconi, B.; Fanni, D.; Faa, G.; Desiderio, C.; Messana, I.; Castagnola, M. Saliva, a bodily fluid with recognized and potential diagnostic applications. J. Sep. Sci. 2021, 44, 3677–3690. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zhang, A.; Sun, H.; Wang, P.; Wang, X. Salivary proteomics in biomedical research. Clin. Chim. Acta 2013, 415, 261–265. [CrossRef]
- Castagnola, M.; Scarano, E.; Passali, G.C.; Messana, I.; Cabras, T.; Iavarone, F.; Di Cintio, G.; Fiorita, A.; de Corso, E.; Paludetti, G. Salivary biomarkers and proteomics: Future diagnostic and clinical utilities. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol. Ital.* 2017, 37, 94–101. [CrossRef]
- 29. Mun, D.-G.; Vanderboom, P.M.; Madugundu, A.K.; Garapati, K.; Chavan, S.; Peterson, J.A.; Saraswat, M.; Pandey, A. DIA-Based Proteome Profiling of Nasopharyngeal Swabs from COVID-19Patients. *J. Proteome Res.* **2021**, *20*, 4165–4175. [CrossRef]
- Szklarczyk, D.; Gable, A.L.; Lyon, D.; Junge, A.; Wyder, S.; Huerta-Cepas, J.; Simonovic, M.; Doncheva, N.T.; Morris, J.H.; Bork, P.; et al. STRING v11: Protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, D607–D613. [CrossRef]