

Revolutionizing Biomedicine: A Comprehensive Review of Polymer Composite Materials [†]

Manickam Ramesh ¹, Tamil Selvan Manickam ^{2,*}, Felix Sahayaraj Arockiasamy ¹, Balakrishnan Ponnusamy ¹, Shruthi Senthilraj ³, Dharshini Chellamuthu ³ and Ponraj Palanisamy ³

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, KIT-Kalaignarkarunanidhi Institute of Technology (Autonomous), Coimbatore 641 402, Tamil Nadu, India; mramesh97@gmail.com (M.R.); go2feli@gmail.com (F.S.A.); krishna234431@gmail.com (B.P.)

² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan College of Engineering, Coimbatore 641 105, Tamil Nadu, India

³ Department of Agriculture Engineering, KIT-Kalaignarkarunanidhi Institute of Technology (Autonomous), Coimbatore 641 402, Tamil Nadu, India; shruthisenthilraj130@gmail.com (S.S.); cmd5082116@gmail.com (D.C.); ponrajpalanisamy0@gmail.com (P.P.)

* Correspondence: tamilmmech@gmail.com; Tel.: +91-85085-84225

[†] Presented at the International Conference on Processing and Performance of Materials, Chennai, India, 2–3 March 2023.

Abstract: Polymer composites have been increasingly used in biomedical applications because of their unique combination of mechanical, chemical, and biological properties. These materials have shown promising results in various fields such as tissue engineering, drug delivery, and implant design. In this review, we examine the current state of polymer composites for biomedical applications, including the materials used, their properties, and processing methods. The advantages and limitations of these materials are also discussed, along with future perspectives and challenges that need to be addressed to fully realize their potential. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of this field, highlight recent advances, and encourage further research on the development of polymer composites for biomedical applications.



Citation: Ramesh, M.; Manickam, T.S.; Arockiasamy, F.S.; Ponnusamy, B.; Senthilraj, S.; Chellamuthu, D.; Palanisamy, P. Revolutionizing Biomedicine: A Comprehensive Review of Polymer Composite Materials. *Eng. Proc.* **2024**, *61*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/engproc2024061017>

Academic Editors: K. Babu, Anirudh Venkatraman Krishnan, K. Jayakumar and M. Dhananchezian

Published: 30 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: polymer composites; biomedical applications; biocompatible materials; biomaterials; nanocomposites; tissue engineering; biomedical implants; tissue engineering; drug delivery; implant design

1. Introduction

The use of polymer composites in biomedical applications has seen significant growth in recent years [1]. The combination of the mechanical, chemical, and biological properties of these materials makes them suitable for a variety of applications, such as tissue engineering, drug delivery, and implant design [2]. In tissue engineering, polymer composites have been used to create scaffolds that mimic the mechanical and structural properties of native tissues [3]. These scaffolds can then be used to support cell growth and differentiation, leading to the formation of functional tissues. Additionally, drug delivery systems based on polymer composites have been developed to control the release of therapeutic agents, resulting in improved efficacy and reduced toxicity. The use of polymer composites in implant design has also shown great potential, with the ability to tailor the mechanical and chemical properties of materials to meet specific requirements [4].

Polymer composites are gaining importance in biomedical applications owing to their unique combination of mechanical, chemical, and biological properties. These materials offer several advantages over traditional biomedical materials and are used in various applications, such as tissue engineering, drug delivery, and implant design [5,6]. For instance, in tissue engineering, polymer composites can be used to create scaffolds that

mimic the mechanical and structural properties of native tissues, allowing cells to grow and differentiate, leading to the formation of functional tissues. Drug delivery systems based on polymer composites have also been developed to enable the controlled release of therapeutic agents with improved efficacy and reduced toxicity. The versatility of polymer composites allows them to be tailored to meet specific requirements, making them ideal for use in implant designs [7,8]. In addition, polymer composites have demonstrated good biocompatibility, meaning that they do not elicit adverse responses in living tissues. The use of polymer composites in biomedical applications has the potential to significantly improve patient outcomes, reduce costs, and advance medicine. However, further research is needed to fully realize their potential and address the challenges associated with their use.

Despite the numerous benefits of polymer composites in biomedical applications, several challenges still need to be addressed to fully realize their potential. One such challenge is the lack of long-term stability in some polymer composites, which can lead to the degradation and loss of mechanical properties over time. Another challenge is toxicity, as some polymer composites have been found to be toxic to living tissues, which limits their use in biomedical applications [9,10]. The processing methods used to manufacture polymer composites can also affect their properties, leading to performance variations. Additionally, the cost of producing polymer composites is high, making them less accessible for use in biomedical applications. To overcome these challenges and fully realize the potential of polymer composites in biomedical applications, it is important to address these issues and continue to advance the field through research and development [11].

This review aims to examine the current state of polymer composites for biomedical applications, including the materials used, their properties, and processing methods. This review also highlights recent advances in the field and discusses the advantages and limitations of polymer composites, as well as the future perspectives and challenges that need to be addressed to fully realize their potential in biomedical applications. By providing a comprehensive overview of the field, this review aims to encourage further research on the development of polymer composites for biomedical applications with the goal of overcoming the challenges and limitations associated with their use.

2. Current State of Art

2.1. Materials Used

Polymer composites are widely used in biomedical applications because of their unique mechanical, physical, and biological properties. The materials used in polymer composites include polymers as matrix materials and reinforcing fibers. Polymers used as matrix materials in biomedical applications include polyethylene, polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride, and polyethylene terephthalate (PET). Reinforcing fibers can be made from various materials including glass, carbon, and biodegradable polymers. The choice of matrix material and reinforcing fiber depends on the desired properties and application requirements [12].

Polyethylene is a commonly used matrix material owing to its high toughness, low density, and biocompatibility. Polypropylene is also a popular choice owing to its high strength and flexibility. Polyvinyl chloride is used in applications where electrical insulation is required, whereas polyethylene terephthalate is used because of its high strength and low water absorption. Glass fibers are often used for reinforcing fibers because of their high strength and stiffness, whereas carbon fibers are used because of their high strength and low weight [13]. Biodegradable polymers such as polylactic acid are also used as reinforcing fibers in biomedical applications, providing a sustainable and biocompatible option [14].

2.2. Properties

The mechanical properties of polymer composites, such as the tensile strength, Young's modulus, and toughness, play a critical role in biomedical applications where a high load-bearing capacity is required. The typical values for these properties range from 60 to

200 MPa for tensile strength, 2 to 10 GPa for Young's modulus, and 1 to 20 kJ/m³ for toughness. The physical properties of polymer composites, such as their density, water absorption, and thermal stability, are also important in biomedical applications. The typical values for these properties range from 0.9 to 1.5 g/cm³ for density, less than 0.1% to 5% for water absorption, and 80 to 200 °C for thermal stability. The biological properties of polymer composites, such as their biocompatibility and degradation rate, are critical for their use in biomedical applications. Although biocompatibility is generally good for polymer composites, the degradation rate can vary widely depending on the materials used and typically ranges from several months to several years [15,16]. In conclusion, the properties of polymer composites play a crucial role in determining their suitability for use in biomedical applications and can be tailored for specific applications by selecting appropriate materials and processing conditions.

2.3. Processing Methods

The processing methods used to manufacture polymer composites play a crucial role in determining their properties and suitability for biomedical applications. Common processing methods, such as compression molding, injection molding, filament winding, and pultrusion, offer different advantages and disadvantages, and the choice of method depends on the desired properties and application requirements. For example, compression molding is a cost-effective method for producing polymer composites, whereas injection molding is more suitable for producing complex shapes. Filament winding is versatile for producing composites with complex geometries, whereas pultrusion is efficient for producing composites with uniform properties [17,18]. However, the properties of the composite can be influenced by the processing conditions, such as temperature, pressure, and pull speed, and careful control of these conditions is necessary to produce high-quality polymer composites with the desired properties for biomedical applications.

3. Biocompatibility and Longtime Stability

Evaluating the biocompatibility and long-term stability of polymer composites for biomedical applications is critical for ensuring the safety and effectiveness of these materials. Biocompatibility refers to the ability of a material to interact with living tissues without causing any adverse reactions. Long-term stability is the ability of a material to maintain its properties over time [19]. Various *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests, including cytotoxicity, hemocompatibility, and implantation studies, have been performed to evaluate the biocompatibility of polymer composites. These tests help determine the biocompatibility of polymer composites by evaluating the effects of the material on living cells and tissues.

To evaluate the long-term stability of the polymer composites, tests such as aging, corrosion, and fatigue tests were performed. These tests help determine the stability of the material over time by evaluating the changes in the mechanical properties, such as tensile strength, toughness, and stiffness [20]. Experimental testing was used to assess biocompatibility by exposing the polymer composite to living tissues and measuring any adverse reactions. Biocompatibility can be quantified using various parameters such as cellular viability, cell proliferation, and cytotoxicity.

The long-term stability is evaluated by exposing the polymer composite to environmental factors that can cause degradation, such as moisture, temperature, and radiation. Changes in properties, such as mechanical properties, over time were measured. Mechanical properties can be characterized using various parameters, such as Young's modulus (E), tensile strength (σ), and fracture toughness (K_{IC}). Numerical modeling can also be used to predict the long-term stability of polymer composites by simulating the exposure to environmental factors and analyzing the changes in properties over time [21]. This can be achieved using finite element analysis (FEA) or molecular dynamics simulations. The results of these simulations can be used to validate experimental testing and provide insights into the underlying mechanisms of degradation [22].

4. Advancing Polymer Composites for Biomedical Applications

Based on the current state of research in the field of polymer composites for biomedical applications, several areas could benefit from further research and development. These include the following:

- Long-term stability: further research is needed to improve the long-term stability of polymer composites, particularly in applications in which the materials are subjected to long-term exposure to biological environments.
- Biodegradable composites: the development of biodegradable polymer composites could lead to the development of environmentally friendly and sustainable biomedical devices and implants [23].
- Novel processing methods: the development of novel processing methods, such as 3D printing and electrospinning, can enable the production of complex and custom-designed components with improved properties [24].
- Surface modification: further research is required to develop effective surface modification techniques for polymer composites to improve their biocompatibility and reduce the risk of adverse reactions with living tissues [25].
- Standardization: standardization of testing protocols and material characterization methods is required to enable better comparison and evaluation of different polymer composites and their properties [26].

5. Challenges and Limitations

Although polymer composites have shown great promise in biomedical applications, there are still some challenges and limitations that need to be addressed to realize their full potential. Some of these challenges and limitations include the following:

- Lack of long-term stability: some polymer composites can degrade over time, leading to the loss of mechanical properties and other negative effects on performance.
- Toxicity concerns: certain polymer composites can be toxic to living tissues, limiting their usefulness in biomedical applications. These concerns originate from factors such as the chemical composition of the composites, the release of degradation by-products, and their impact on living tissues. Addressing these concerns is vital for ensuring the safety and efficacy of such materials in clinical settings. To tackle these challenges, researchers are exploring strategies like surface modifications, biodegradability enhancements, and rigorous biocompatibility testing. By comprehensively addressing these toxicity concerns, we aim to facilitate the responsible and safe utilization of polymer composites, thereby enhancing their potential contributions to biomedicine [27].
- Variations in properties: The processing methods used to manufacture polymer composites can affect their properties, leading to performance variations.
- Cost: The cost of producing polymer composites is relatively high, making them less accessible for use in biomedical applications. These factors may include raw material selection, intricate manufacturing processes, quality control measures, and regulatory compliance requirements. Furthermore, we will delve into the implications of these cost considerations on the accessibility, affordability, and feasibility of utilizing polymer composites in various biomedical settings [28].

6. Future Research and Development

Future research and development in the realm of polymer composites for biomedical applications should focus on the creation of advanced biomaterials with enhanced properties, including biocompatibility and mechanical strength, the refinement of drug delivery systems to achieve controlled release and targeted delivery, the advancement of tissue engineering techniques with a focus on three-dimensional scaffolds, the exploration of biodegradable and sustainable materials, the development of multifunctional composites, rigorous clinical translation and testing, addressing regulatory compliance, biomechanical analysis for optimized implant designs, integration of sensing technologies for real-time

monitoring, and conducting comprehensive clinical case studies. These avenues of research hold the potential to revolutionize biomedicine by improving medical devices, implants, and therapies, ultimately benefiting patient care and advancing medical technology. Overall, the potential of polymer composites to improve patient outcomes and advance the field of medicine is significant, and continued research and development in this area is crucial to fully realize this potential [29].

7. Conclusions

Polymer composites have shown great potential for use in a wide range of biomedical applications. They offer several advantages, including biocompatibility, customizable properties, light weight, strength, cost-effectiveness, and versatility. However, there are still some challenges and limitations associated with their use, such as the lack of long-term stability, toxicity, processing difficulties, and high costs. Nevertheless, recent advances in the field have expanded the potential of polymer composites for biomedical applications, and future research and development in the field will likely address these challenges and limitations. The biocompatibility and long-term stability of polymer composites should be evaluated to ensure their safe use in medical devices and implants. Processing methods must also be carefully considered to ensure that desired properties are achieved. Further research is needed to compare the cost and performance of polymer composites with other materials commonly used in biomedical applications. Despite these challenges, the potential of polymer composites to improve patient outcomes, reduce costs, and advance the field of medicine cannot be overlooked. Further research and development in this area will likely lead to exciting new advancements in the field of biomedical engineering.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.R. and T.S.M.; methodology, M.R. and T.S.M.; investigation, F.S.A., B.P., S.S., D.C. and P.P.; writing—original draft preparation, M.R., T.S.M., F.S.A., B.P., S.S., D.C. and P.P.; writing—review and editing, M.R. and T.S.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data and materials are available upon request, please contact corresponding author email for access, in compliance with ethical and privacy standards.

Acknowledgments: We appreciate the support from Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan College of Engineering, Coimbatore, India, for the literature survey.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Sikkema, R.; Baker, K.; Zhitomirsky, I. Electrophoretic deposition of polymers and proteins for biomedical applications. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2020**, *284*, 102272. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Francis, A. Biological evaluation of preceramic organosilicon polymers for various healthcare and biomedical engineering applications: A review. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part B Appl. Biomater.* **2021**, *109*, 744–764. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Aghazadeh, M.R.; Delfanian, S.; Aghakhani, P.; Homaeigohar, S.; Alipour, A.; Shahsavarani, H. Recent Advances in Development of Natural Cellulosic Non-Woven Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 1531. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Mbogori, M.; Vaish, A.; Vaishya, R.; Haleem, A.; Javaid, M. Poly-Ether-Ether-Ketone (PEEK) in orthopaedic practice—A current concept review. *J. Orthop. Rep.* **2022**, *1*, 3–7. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Rial, R.; Liu, Z.; Messina, P.; Ruso, J.M. Role of nanostructured materials in hard tissue engineering. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2022**, *304*, 102682. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Manickam, T.; Iyyadurai, J.; Jaganathan, M.; Babuchellam, A.; Mayakrishnan, M.; Arockiasamy, F.S. Effect of stacking sequence on mechanical, water absorption, and biodegradable properties of novel hybrid composites for structural applications. *Int. Polym. Process.* **2022**, *38*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Kumar, S.; Bhowmik, S. Potential use of natural fiber-reinforced polymer biocomposites in knee prostheses: A review on fair inclusion in amputees. *Iran. Polym. J.* **2022**, *31*, 1297–1319. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

8. Ramesh, M.; Rajeshkumar, L.; Deepa, C.; Selvan, M.T.; Kushvaha, V.; Asrofi, M. Impact of Silane Treatment on Characterization of Ipomoea Staphylinia Plant Fiber Reinforced Epoxy Composites. *J. Nat. Fibers* **2022**, *19*, 5888–5899. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Sontakke, A.D.; Tiwari, S.; Purkait, M.K. A comprehensive review on graphene oxide-based nanocarriers: Synthesis, functionalization and biomedical applications. *FlatChem* **2023**, *38*, 100484. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Ramesh, M.; Deepa, C.; Rajeshkumar, L.; Selvan, M.T.; Balaji, D. Influence of fiber surface treatment on the tribological properties of Calotropis gigantea plant fiber reinforced polymer composites. *Polym. Compos.* **2021**, *42*, 4308–4317. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Shi, Y.; Yang, J.; Gao, F.; Zhang, Q. Covalent Organic Frameworks: Recent Progress in Biomedical Applications. *ACS Nano* **2023**, *17*, 1879–1905. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Islam, M.H.; Afroj, S.; Uddin, M.A.; Andreeva, D.V.; Novoselov, K.S.; Karim, N. Graphene and CNT-Based Smart Fiber-Reinforced Composites: A Review. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, 2205723. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Ramesh, M.; Deepa, C.; Selvan, M.T.; Rajeshkumar, L.; Balaji, D.; Bhuvaneswari, V. Mechanical and water absorption properties of Calotropis gigantea plant fibers reinforced polymer composites. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2020**, *46*, 3367–3372. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. El-Seidy, E.; Sambucci, M.; Chougan, M.; Al-Kheetan, M.J.; Biblioteca, I.; Valente, M.; Ghaffar, S.H. Mechanical and physical characteristics of alkali- activated mortars incorporated with recycled polyvinyl chloride and rubber aggregates. *J. Build. Eng.* **2022**, *60*, 105043. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Williams, A.G.; Moore, E.; Thomas, A.; Johnson, J.A. Graphene-Based Materials in Dental Applications: Antibacterial, Biocompatible, and Bone Regenerative Properties. *Int. J. Biomater.* **2023**, *2023*, 8803283. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Ramesh, M.; Deepa, C.; Selvan, M.T.; Reddy, K.H. Effect of Alkalization on Characterization of Ripe Bulrush (*Typha domingensis*) Grass Fiber Reinforced Epoxy Composites. *J. Nat. Fibers* **2022**, *19*, 931–942. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Volk, M.; Yuksel, O.; Baran, I.; Hattel, J.H.; Spangenberg, J.; Sandberg, M. Cost-efficient, automated, and sustainable composite profile manufacture: A review of the state of the art, innovations, and future of pultrusion technologies. *Compos. Part B Eng.* **2022**, *246*, 110135. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Ramesh, M.; Selvan, M.T.; Niranjana, K. *Hygrothermal Aging, Kinetics of Moisture Absorption, Degradation Mechanism and Their Influence on Performance of the Natural Fibre Reinforced Composites*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022; pp. 257–277.
19. Mani, V.; Krishnaswamy, K.; Arockiasamy, F.S.; Manickam, T.S. Mechanical and dielectric properties of Cissus Quadrangularis fiber-reinforced epoxy/TiB2 hybrid composites. *Int. Polym. Process.* **2023**, *38*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Iyyadurai, J.; Arockiasamy, F.S.; Manickam, T.; Rajaram, S.; Suyambulingam, I.; Siengchin, S. Experimental Investigation on Mechanical, Thermal, Viscoelastic, Water Absorption, and Biodegradability Behavior of Sansevieria Ehrenbergii Fiber Reinforced Novel Polymeric Composite with the Addition of Coconut Shell Ash Powder. *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater.* **2023**, *33*, 796–809. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Sahayaraj, A.F.; Jenish, I.; Tamilselvan, M.; Muthukrishnan, M.; Kumar, B.A. Mechanical and morphological characterization of sisal/kenaf/pineapple mat reinforced hybrid composites. *Int. Polym. Process.* **2022**, *37*, 581–588. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Frigione, M.; Rodríguez-Prieto, A. Can Accelerated Aging Procedures Predict the Long Term Behavior of Polymers Exposed to Different Environments? *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 2688. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
23. Iyyadurai, J.; Arockiasamy, F.S.; Manickam, T.S.; Suyambulingam, I.; Siengchin, S.; Appadurai, M. Revolutionizing Polymer Composites: Boosting Mechanical Strength, Thermal Stability, Water Resistance, and Sound Absorption of Cissus Quadrangularis Stem Fibers with Nano Silica. In *Silicon*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2023; pp. 1–13.
24. Sahayaraj, A.F.; Selvan, M.T.; Jenish, I.; Ramesh, M. Extraction and characterization of novel cellulosic fiber from Jatropha integerrima plant stem for potential reinforcement in polymer composites. In *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2023; pp. 1–11.
25. Ramesh, M.; Selvan, M.T.; Niranjana, K. Thermal characterization and hygrothermal aging of lignocellulosic Agave Cantala fiber reinforced polylactide composites. *Polym. Compos.* **2022**, *43*, 6453–6463. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Ramesh, M.; Selvan, M.T.; Chandran, P.R.; Hariprasad, P.; Arunkumar, A.; Kumar, C.R.; Kumar, R.P. An experimental investigation on the effect of lithium and alkali resistant glass fiber on aluminum AA5383 fabricated by the stir-casting process. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2023**. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Abbasi, R.; Shineh, G.; Mobaraki, M.; Doughty, S.; Tayebi, L. Structural parameters of nanoparticles affecting their toxicity for biomedical applications: A review. *J. Nanoparticle Res.* **2023**, *25*, 1–35. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Seddiqi, H.; Oliaei, E.; Honarkar, H.; Jin, J.; Geonzon, L.C.; Bacabac, R.G. Cellulose and its derivatives: Towards biomedical applications. *Cellulose* **2021**, *28*, 1893–1931. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Javaid, M.; Haleem, A.; Singh, R.P.; Suman, R. 3D printing applications for healthcare research and development. *Glob. Health J.* **2022**, *6*, 217–226. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.