


Abstract

Measurement of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Using SAW Sensors-Based Rayleigh Wave and Love Wave [†]

Fatima-Ezzahraa Dbibih * , Meddy Vanotti , Valerie Soumann, Jean-Marc Cote, Lyes Djoumi and Virginie Blondeau-Patissier * 

Time and Frequency Department, Franche-Comté Electronics Mechanics Thermal Science and Optics-Sciences and Technologies Institute (FEMTO-ST), 26, Chemin de l'Épitaphe, 25030 Besançon, France; meddy.vanotti@femto-st.fr (M.V.); valerie.soumann@femto-st.fr (V.S.); jeanmarc.cote@femto-st.fr (J.-M.C.); lyes.djoumi@femto-st.fr (L.D.)

* Correspondence: fe.dbibih@femto-st.fr (F.-E.D.); virginie.blondeau@femto-st.fr (V.B.-P.)

[†] Presented at the 8th International Symposium on Sensor Science, 17–28 May 2021; Available online: <https://i3s2021dresden.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: Particulate matter (PM) is reported to be dangerous and can cause respiratory and health issues. Regulations, based on PM concentration, have been implemented to limit human exposition to air pollution. An innovative system with surface acoustic wave (SAW) sensors combined with a 3 Lpm cascade impactor was developed by our team for real time mass concentration measurements. In this study, we compare the PM sensitivity of two types of SAW sensors. The first one consists of delay lines based on Rayleigh waves propagating on a Lithium Niobate Y-X 128° substrate. The second one is based on Love waves on AT-Quartz. Aerosols were generated from NaCl for PM_{2.5} and from Silicon carbide for PM₁₀. The sensors' responses were compared to a reference sensor based on optical measurements. The sensitivity of the Rayleigh wave-based sensor is clearly lower than the Love wave sensor for both PMs. Although less sensitive, Rayleigh wave sensors remain very promising for the development of self-cleaning sensors using RF power due to their high electromechanical factor. To check the performance of our system in real conditions, we tested the sensitivity to PM from cigarette smoke using Rayleigh SAW. The PM_{2.5} stage showed a phase shift while the PM₁₀ did not respond. This result agrees with previous studies which reported that the size of particles from cigarette smoke varies between 0.1 to 1.5 µm. A good correlation between the reference sensor's response and the phase variation of SAW sensors was obtained.

Keywords: PM; SAW sensors; cascade impactor; mainstream smoke; love waves; Rayleigh waves



Citation: Dbibih, F.-E.; Vanotti, M.; Soumann, V.; Cote, J.-M.; Djoumi, L.; Blondeau-Patissier, V. Measurement of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Using SAW Sensors-Based Rayleigh Wave and Love Wave. *Eng. Proc.* **2021**, *6*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.3390/I3S2021Dresden-10129>

Academic Editors: Gianaurelio Cuniberti and Larysa Baraban

Published: 17 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Supplementary Materials: The presentation file is available at <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/I3S2021Dresden-10129/s1>.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing not applicable.