


Nucleation and Crystal Growth: Recent Advances and Future Trends [†]

Luizmae Aspillaga ¹, Daniela Jan Bautista ¹, Samantha Noelle Daluz ¹, Katherine Hernandez ¹, Josef Atrel Renta ¹ and Edgar Clyde R. Lopez ^{2,3,*} 

¹ Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Adamson University, Ermita, Manila 1000, Philippines; luizmae.aspillaga@adamson.edu.ph (L.A.); daniela.jan.bautista@adamson.edu.ph (D.J.B.); samantha.noelle.daluz@adamson.edu.ph (S.N.D.); katherine.hernandez@adamson.edu.ph (K.H.); josef.atrel.renta@adamson.edu.ph (J.A.R.)

² Nanotechnology Research Laboratory, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City 1101, Philippines

³ Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Santo Tomas, España Blvd., Sampaloc, Manila 1015, Philippines

* Correspondence: edgarclodelopez09@gmail.com

[†] Presented at the 4th International Electronic Conference on Applied Sciences, 27 October–10 November 2023; Available online: <https://asec2023.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: Recent advances in nucleation and crystal growth have revolutionized our understanding and control of crystallization processes. This paper highlights key developments in this field and the processes and technologies involved in its continuous growth. Advanced computational models have allowed for precise prediction of nucleation rates and crystal morphologies, facilitating the rational design of materials with desired properties. Innovative strategies have also emerged, enabling enhanced control over crystal growth kinetics and crystallographic orientations. Process intensification strategies, including microreactors and membrane crystallization, enhance nucleation rates and crystal growth. Advances in the potential-driven growth of metal crystals from ionic liquids, including protic ionic liquids (PILs) and solvate ionic liquids (SILs), are discussed. Lastly, current research gaps and future prospects in the field of nucleation and crystal formation are highlighted. The integration of cutting-edge experimental techniques, computational modeling, and novel strategies will drive the understanding of nucleation and crystal growth processes, allowing for the development of materials with tailored properties and enhanced functionality across multiple disciplines.

Keywords: crystal growth; nucleation; crystallization; polymorphism; kinetics



Citation: Aspillaga, L.; Jan Bautista, D.; Daluz, S.N.; Hernandez, K.; Renta, J.A.; Lopez, E.C.R. Nucleation and Crystal Growth: Recent Advances and Future Trends. *Eng. Proc.* **2023**, *56*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ASEC2023-15281>

Academic Editor: Manoj Gupta

Published: 26 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Nucleation and crystal growth are key processes in various fields, such as materials science, chemistry, and geology. Crystal growth processes and nucleation, which are the beginning of phase separation in a system that has become supersaturated, are crucial to materials chemistry and beyond. Controlling particle size, morphology, and polymorphism requires a grasp of their molecular understanding [1,2].

A process known as crystal nucleation begins in the liquid or solution phase and produces molecular proton aggregates (nuclei, embryos) that may subsequently undergo a second process known as crystal development to form macroscopic crystals [3]. In an experimental context, various methods can be employed to analyze liquids and solutions, such as NMR and in situ FTIR. The establishment of pre-nucleation clusters or nuclei, their expansion, the phase change from an amorphous to a crystalline state, and grain development are some of the processes that make up the extremely complicated process of nucleation [3]. Atom-by-atom growth and expansion of nuclei are believed to occur during classical nucleation.

A monomer is the smallest subunit of a particle and the basic unit of the growing species in classical nucleation. A cluster is often made up of numerous distinct monomers. Monomers in solution have a significantly more sophisticated definition. It can appear as dissociated ions or complexes. Nucleation is the process by which nuclei or seeds with a specific thermodynamic phase act as templates for the growth of a crystal. Nucleation occurs when the concentration of the growing species is sufficiently higher than its solubility to reach a supersaturated state. As a result, supersaturation is an essential driving force for nucleation [3].

The crystal development can be classified into two forms based on the LaMer mechanism: (a) Diffusion-controlled growth: when the concentration of the growth monomers falls below the minimum critical concentration required for nucleation, crystal development continues, but nucleation ceases; (b) surface-process-controlled growth: when the diffusion of the growth species from the bulk to the growth surface is fast enough, the surface process controls the growth rate [3].

Recent advances in experimental methods, like in situ microscopy and spectroscopy, have allowed real-time monitoring and characterization of nucleation and crystal development processes. These methods provide information on forming crystals' kinetics, processes, and structural features. Computational approaches, including molecular dynamics simulations and density functional theory computations, have become increasingly valuable tools for studying nucleation and crystal formation events. These simulations reveal atomistic-level information and aid in understanding crystal formation's energetics, kinetics, and processes.

2. Crystal Nucleation

Crystal nucleation is the initial stage of crystal formation in a chemical reaction occurring in the liquid or solution phase. During this process, small molecular clusters, known as nuclei or embryos, which have the potential to grow into larger, visible crystals, are formed.

Various experimental techniques are utilized to explore and comprehend the processes and rates of crystal nucleation in different systems. These techniques offer valuable insights into the intermolecular interactions involved in the nucleation process. Computational methods are also utilized to determine the molecular structure accurately and estimate various thermodynamic properties, offering reliable and accessible insights into the behavior of molecules [4].

2.1. Computational Modeling and Simulations

Computational methods such as molecular dynamics simulations have shed light on the mechanics of crystal nucleation. Researchers have created complex models to forecast nucleation rates, pinpoint critical variables influencing nucleation, and comprehend the function of various molecules or contaminants in the process.

Nucleation is a difficult multiscale problem. Recent advances in numerical approaches, MEP calculations, saddle point search, and transition path theory research have all given this challenging subject new angles. Depending on the characteristics and structures of the problems, different approaches may be used to investigate the same nucleation event. A weighted graph in the space of collective variables representing the transition events occurring in a system can be built using metastable states or saddle points gathered by GAD, SDD, or other dimer-like techniques. The essential saddles, trapping zones, and other aspects of such a network may be unique to it. Another possibility is to look at ways to perform multiscale dynamic simulations of the processes involved in the rapid nucleation and gradual coarsening of microstructures using the data present in such a network [5].

In a study, the researchers discovered and understood the early hydration of alite and accounted for the C-S-H gel's nanoscale roughness with the help of the devised nucleation and growth model. As a result of the simulation, it was said that the growth rate of calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-S-H) aligns very well with the experimental calorimetric data of alite over the entire observed time frame. It is worth noting that the model approximates

the region immediately following the peak reasonably well, even though diffusion is not considered. Furthermore, it was found that the highest level of hydration occurred at around 0.075, which is in good agreement with the experimental value of 0.071. The model, intended to represent the early growth stages, cannot account for the longer-term hydration process and the associated C-S-H densification [6].

2.2. Process Intensification

Researchers have been searching for methods to enhance crystal nucleation processes to boost output and consume less energy. This entails developing fresh reactor designs, such as microreactors and continuous flow systems, that provide better mixing, heat transmission, and process control. Reactive crystallization and ultrasound-assisted crystallization are examples of process intensification methods that have been studied to boost nucleation rates and selectivity.

Over the past ten years, progress has been made in the hybrid membrane crystallization approach known as membrane crystallization (MCr), which involves supersaturating a solution to induce both solution separation and component solidification [7]. The application of membranes as heterogeneous nucleation interfaces for initiating the nucleation process is an auspicious and environmentally-friendly use of the MCr technology. The increasing interest in MCr (MCr-specific membrane materials) has sparked a surge in research efforts. By leveraging the unique benefits of membrane technology, which is energy-efficient, MCr allows for the production of solid particles and ultrapure liquids with minimal energy requirements. Through dedicated research, MCr has made significant advancements and now represents a promising field combining process and product engineering. This progress is evident in the increasing theoretical knowledge and practical applications of MCr. Recent studies have highlighted the increasing interest and practicality of membrane crystallization (MCr) technology in various areas, including desalination, wastewater treatment, micromixing improvement, precise control of crystal nucleation, and hybrid continuous crystallization intensification. Previous studies have consistently showcased the promising potential of MCr membranes in various areas, such as membrane engineering and crystallization engineering. These studies have highlighted the capabilities of MCr membranes, process intensification mechanisms, and their applications in process control. The innovative discoveries and advancements made possible by MCr may be advantageous for high-level solid chemical production [8].

The management of the nucleation and growth process in creating high-efficiency crystals was found to be a potential application for MPI (microscale process intensification) technologies in a study on manufacturing high-efficiency crystal particles using microscale process intensification technology. A very efficient and environmentally friendly way to create crystal particles is by using microscale technology. This technique might speed up micro-mixing, reduce mixing time, and achieve exact control. Microscale process intensification technology offers the potential for improved mixing at the microscale, significantly reducing mixing times compared to conventional methods. It enables precise control over the nucleation-growth process, producing crystals with sizes ranging from the nano to micro-scale, characterized by optimal form and structural stability. This approach also supports continuous synthesis and minimizes raw material usage, making it an environmentally beneficial technique. The distribution of supersaturation, a crucial factor influencing crystal morphology and particle size, is predominantly influenced by micro-mixing and mass transfer. The advantages of microscale process intensification technology are evident in applications such as nanoparticle manufacturing and the regulation of mixing and nucleation-growth processes [9].

2.3. Controlling Crystal Polymorphism

Over the last few decades, many polymorphs have been discovered, demonstrating the growing interest in polymorphism in science and industry. Variations in a solid's physical properties, such as crystal habit, solubility, hardness, color, optical properties,

melting point, or chemical reactivity, play an important role in the formulation of the solid and the application of the formulated product [10].

The kinetics of melt crystallization of polyamide 11 (PA 11) was studied using fast scanning chip calorimetry (FSC) over a wide temperature range between 60 and 170 °C. The shifting of crystal nucleation at high supercooling temperatures, typically around 115 °C, is linked to the crystallization rate's bimodal temperature dependency. The specific grade of PA 11 (polyamide 11) used, including adding supplements acting as heterogeneous nucleators, influences this transition temperature. Based on the hypothesis, these findings support the idea that the change in the nucleation process causes the lowering of temperature dependency of the crystallization rate. After conducting isothermal crystallization experiments, the samples were quickly cooled to room temperature for X-ray diffraction analysis, which yielded valuable information about the phase structure. The results demonstrate that the dense concentration of nuclei affects the growth of crystals, which also leads to the formation of the β -mesophase given its proximity in the temperature range where rapid homogeneous nucleation occurs. Well-formed β -crystals are observed depending on the crystallization temperature, whereas at higher temperatures, the density of nuclei decreases significantly, and a distinct three-phase structure cannot be achieved under any isothermal crystallization temperature [11].

2.4. In Situ Observation of Nucleation

Due to highly developed microscopy techniques like high-speed atomic force and electron microscopy, scientists have observed crystal nucleation in action. As a result, we now better understand the nucleation process and the factors that affect it.

Viscosity is essential for regulating bubble egress and switching between explosive and effusive activity, even though gas exsolution plays a significant role in what causes explosive volcanic eruptions. The viscosity of melts can be influenced by temperature and composition. If the crystallization exceeds a certain threshold volume (>30%), it can cause the magma to solidify, potentially leading to an explosive eruption. This study challenges the conventional understanding by presenting evidence that even a small number of nano-sized crystals can significantly affect the viscosity of magma, providing an alternative explanation. By conducting in situ observations on a basaltic melt, performing rheological measurements in a similar system, and using modeling techniques, the researchers demonstrate that the presence of just a few volume percent of nanolites can lead to a considerable increase in viscosity, surpassing the critical threshold for explosive fragmentation. Furthermore, images of nanolites observed in low-viscosity explosive eruptions and intentionally created basaltic pumice provide further support for the idea that these crystals can form during an eruption, potentially leading to a high density of bubbles [12].

2.5. Crystallization Process Optimization and Automation

Automation and optimization developments have improved crystal nucleation processes. Methods, including statistical experimental design, optimization algorithms, and machine learning, have efficiently explored process parameter spaces, identified optimal conditions, and improved process performance.

An innovative approach called wet milling-based automated direct nucleation control (WMADNC) is introduced in a study on continuous crystallization processes. It was applied to two different continuous cooling crystallization processes. In the first process, the WMADNC technique is applied as the initial step in a continuously mixed suspension mixed product removal crystallizer (MSMPRC). This results in effective control over the primary nucleation kinetics and the generation of seed crystals within the system. In the second process, WMADNC is employed upstream to enable particle size reduction through recycling and regulating the secondary nucleation kinetics within the MSMPRC system.

A closed-loop control strategy is implemented using focused beam reflectance measurement (FBRM) to ensure precise control over the distribution of chord lengths and nucleation kinetics in the wet mill. The results demonstrate the successful control of CLD

and nucleation kinetics using this approach. The WMADNC method can be implemented at upstream or downstream stages of continuous cooling crystallization processes. This method allows for closed-loop control of primary or secondary nucleation kinetics. It is observed that wet milling does not require closed-loop control at the initial stages, as the WMADNC method does not lead to faster initiation in either application. However, the WMADNC technique has proven effective in controlling the chord length distribution (CLD) under stable conditions. This ability to adjust the total chord counts set-point directly affects the critical quality attributes (CQA) in both applications. Additionally, WMADNC can provide extremely prompt and effective disturbance rejections. When there are delays in upstream or downstream processes, WMADNC can be applied to achieve the same CLD [13].

3. Crystal Growth

Crystal growth has made amazing progress in recent years, thanks to cutting-edge research and novel technology. Once a vital but often slow-paced component of material science, the controlled synthesis of crystals has undergone a revolution, allowing for unprecedented control over crystal characteristics, sizes, and forms.

3.1. *Advances in Potential-Driven Growth of Metal Crystals from Ionic Liquids*

The rise of nanotechnology has become one of many ways that extend the scope and characteristics of metals used in science and engineering applications. There are varieties used in depositing solid metals from their initial material; this includes chemical vapor deposition (CVD), physical vapor deposition (PVD), low-pressure, ultra-high, and plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition, thermal oxidation, perylene deposition, molecular beam epitaxy, atomic layer deposition, hot dipping, sputter deposition, roll binding, and electrochemical deposition or electroplating. These techniques merely depend on the material's physical and chemical properties. Throughout the years, the electrodeposition method has been widely used since it has been considered the most efficient among all said plans compared to physical and chemical counterparts and its effect on the morphology of the metal samples [14].

Ionic liquids (ILs) are salts that only contain ions that have weak interionic interactions, which make ILs to be cooled at room temperature or temperatures below 100 °C. The following are physicochemical properties that allow ILs to be used in a wide range of applications: high ionic conductivity, inflammability, melting point, thermal and chemical stability, negligible volatility, moderate viscosity, solubility with other compounds, and high polarity—in 1990 ILs based on BF_4 and PF_6 electrodeposition of silver and copper strengthened the claim that states ILs are essential and it is not more complicated than ILs that are found in AlCl_3 . However, the downside of utilizing ILs includes the following: water impurities, halides, and some organic solvents, and viscosity and conductivity concerns have given difficulties in producing ILs. This leads to the conclusion that it is necessary to consider their specific uses in designing ILs [15].

3.1.1. Protic Ionic Liquid-Based Crystals

Protic ionic liquids (PILs) are one of the classifications of ILs produced by combining the stoichiometry between Bronsted acids and bases. In a study entitled “How ionic species structure influences the phase structure and transitions from protic ionic liquids to liquid crystals to crystals”, two protic salts with middle to long chain *n*-alkyl-ammonium cations (C6 to C16) together with nitrate anions were analyzed. Both *n*-alkyl-ammonium nitrate and formate salts (C6 to C16) could form thermotropic crystalline in the liquid phase with the experimented values of $n \geq 6$ and $n \geq 8$. During the transition of salts from the crystalline phase into the liquid crystalline phase, it has been observed that the temperature of samples increases together with *n*. Comparing the two samples, the *n*-alkyl ammonium nitrates and formates transitioned slower at lower temperatures than *n*-alkylammonium chloride salts. It can be observed that the thermal transitions of salts are dependent on their

bonding. The bond that occurs in salts is hydrogen bonding and the interaction of cations and anions in ionic groups. On the other hand, the initial n-alkylamines, which contain salts, showed a greater tendency to form a crystal phase thermotropic liquid that creates a more stable and ordered nanostructure of liquid [15].

3.1.2. Solvate Ionic Liquids

Solvate ionic liquids (SILs) were tested in a study, and their chemical and structural properties were investigated in electrolyte applications. Highly polarized metal ions that coordinated with glymes resulted in a strong electric field. Meanwhile, the thermal stability of these complexes results from the ion-dipole ion interactions. In this study, the thermal stability of glymes was enhanced according to the properties of salt samples, and this helps to estimate the thermal decomposition temperature ($\Delta T_d S$) between complexes and pure glymes. The thermal stability of these complexes can be improved by adding Mg^{2+} ions that yield twice the other cases that also involve monovalent alkali metal ions [16].

The stability magnitude enhancement leads to a better electrochemical stability correlation between the charged density of metal ion divalent Mg^{2+} and monovalent alkali metal ions. The metal ion interactions with anion or glymes and SILs influence this characteristic of these complexes. It is observed that the Na-based SILs can be paired with commercially available Na-based batteries. However, the present Na-based SIL electrolytes have performance issues such as poor reductive stability. On the other hand, K-based batteries are also expected to replace Li-ion batteries because of their electrochemical properties. K-based SILs can be used without worrying about safety concerns in the electrolyte [16,17].

3.1.3. Inorganic Ionic Liquids

A recent study introduced a quantitative kinetic model for non-classical crystallization, which includes inorganic ionic liquids (IILs) [L4]. Low-dose liquid phase transmission electron microscopy (LP-TEM) performed particle tracking and simulation, revealing gold nanoprisms' assembly kinetics. This method shows that a non-classical pathway that involves amorphous and dense particles can form a superlattice [18].

3.2. Automated Microfluidic System

Microfluidic technology has become a new method for crystallization investigation; microfluidic devices are more convenient than traditional methods because of their ability to perform under conditions where there is no interface diffusion and within nano-volumes. Some advantages of microfluidic systems include individual crystal confinement, excellent heat and mass transfer, large surface-to-volume ratios, and microgravity. In line with these advantages, producing microfluidic devices with higher supersaturation limits is now possible [19].

Optical microscopy is mainly used to observe a solid's microfluidic crystallization activities. This process provides an analytical and scientific approach to monitoring the formation of crystals and examination of the morphology of the sample crystal. However, optical microscopy cannot define the amorphous or crystalline state. Another process, Raman microscopy, has been developed to fill this gap in optical microscopy [19].

In a recent study, the researchers used Raman spectroscopy to predict the concentration of solute developed for carbamazepine (CBZ). The CBZ crystals were added to a saturated solution at 25 °C to analyze the solids' effect on the solute. The average peak area of the clear solution is 401.8 ± 6.6 , but as the solid reaches almost half of the loading, the average peak area decreases from 415.3 ± 13.5 to 403.5 ± 16.4 . This is due to the decrease in Raman intensity and increase in noise during the saturation of the Raman signal. It suggested that low/medium to constant loading of solids during the process is recommended to avoid the effect of solids in the Raman method [20].

3.3. *In Situ Crystal Growth*

In situ crystallization or crystal growth refers to the process where the formation of the crystal being tested remains unchanged. Kruger and Latypov (2022) studied the in-situ crystallization occurring in foliated and non-cotectic igneous rocks located on the floor of a magma chamber. Crystals accumulate commonly through nucleation at the margins of the magma chamber called solidification fronts. This happens through heterogeneous nucleation, where existing mineral grains nucleate together with country rock. On the other hand, homogeneous crystallization occurs when crystals nucleate within the place of solidification margins. The rocks that undergo in situ crystallization can be classified by their specific features: the effectivity of fractionation between the crystals and liquids during the process, the movement of crystals due to the mechanism that filters them to sizes, and the rock density which leads to the deviation of rocks with cumulus mineral proportions [21].

The re-establishment of optical and scanning imaging techniques has resulted from the development of uses of in situ observation of crystal growth at the micro level. A study by Tsukamoto (2016) focused on observing the in-situ crystal growth on mono-molecular growth on surfaces, the concentration field parallel to the crystal surface, and the molecular resolution in the hydration structure. Modern interferometric techniques have provided a sufficient resolution for observing surface features in revealing the mono-molecular growth happening on crystals. Faster data storage due to highly coherent light sources added a new way of quantifying the properties of the solution and other related transport phenomena occurring during crystallization [22].

3.4. *Single Crystal Growth*

Single crystals are a significant and widely used material because of their notable characteristics, such as continuous, uniform, and highly ordered structure. The availability of single crystals with such quality, non-defective densities, and extreme thermodynamic properties has hindered its production. Three common ways of growing bulk inorganic single crystals are growth from solution, melt, and vapor phase [23].

3.4.1. Single Crystal Growth from Solution

Growth from solution involves a process that dissolves the material to crystallize within a solvent such as PbO, Li₂O, Na₂O, KF, etc. This technique is very convenient for materials with a high melting point or when methods that include melt growth are applicable. In this method, nucleation or seed crystallization occurs despite the temperature below its melting point [23].

3.4.2. Single Crystal Growth from Melt

Growth from the melt method is the most conventional method used in crystallizing and solidifying melted material. The technique invented by Czochralski is used to produce single crystals, mainly for electronics and optical industries. Since single crystal growth is used in fabricating large amounts of crystals with excellent quality, despite these advantages, single crystal growth from the melt depends on the temperature, leading to the difficulty of performing in a constant temperature process [23].

3.4.3. Single Crystal Growth from Vapor Phase

Lastly, crystal growth through the vapor phase can be produced through sublimation, where the gas phase and transportation reaction occur. Compared to the first two methods, this method uses lower temperature ranges during the process. Still, it yields a higher quality of crystals because of phase transitions, zero impurities, compositional uniformities, and structural uniformity [23].

3.5. Abnormal Grain Growth

Abnormal grain growth (AGG) happens when some sample grain significantly grows by ingesting other smaller grains; sometimes, it is called recrystallization. The most frequent causes of AGG are surface effects, texturing, and second-phase particles, which usually occur when grain growth is restricted. The solute drag effect occurs when a section of a system's grain boundaries separates from the atmosphere [24]. The decrease in volume fraction by dissolution is mainly caused by annealing. Abnormal grain growth will likely occur if the increase in the average grain size is not proportional. However, coarse and insoluble grain inclusion is less effective in preventing average grain growth of steels, but their characteristics prevent AGG [24].

3.6. Continuous Crystallization

Another recent advancement in crystal growth is continuous crystallization; it is common in the pharmaceutical industry and used in crystallizing organic and inorganic compounds. The method of constant crystallization gives tremendous control over crystallization, enabling physical separation by controlling the steps in the separation process. Separating intermediate outputs for in-process monitoring and modification is theoretically feasible, which is advantageous for correcting process flaws or enhancing product performance [24].

Polymorphism is still one of the challenges in pharmaceutical crystallization processes. Different crystalline polymorphs of the same medication have no effect on the physical property, melting point, and solubility but also on the drug's stability and bioavailability. The polymorph may be accurately defined throughout the crystallization process by regulating the proper temperature or seeding point changes to prevent unequal heat and mass transmission [25].

The benefits of continuous pharmaceutical crystallization of controllability and productivity because of increased research in continuous crystallization technology may now be employed in this industry. Unlike the batch approach, it generates numerous desired medicinal crystals compared to the continuous crystallization process [26].

4. Research Gaps

The development of fresh, experimental approaches for researching nucleation processes at the atomic level is one prospective research gap in recent nucleation and crystal formation developments. While much work has been achieved in understanding the macroscopic features of nucleation and crystal development, there is still a need for high-resolution, in situ imaging tools that can directly monitor and follow the production and evolution of individual nuclei.

Traditional approaches, including optical and scanning electron microscopy, give basic crystal shape and size distribution information. However, they often lack the spatial and temporal resolution to capture the early nucleation phases. Furthermore, these approaches cannot explore the atomic-scale intricacies of nucleation processes [27].

Researchers may now investigate atomic-sized materials because of advances in electron microscopy, such as scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). However, using these approaches to nucleation research poses various obstacles, including sample preparation, beam-induced damage, and specific sample conditions to manage temperature and gas atmosphere [28].

Another exciting option is to use sophisticated imaging methods like atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning probe microscopy (SPM), which may offer high-resolution surface topography and chemical data. These methods can directly examine crystal nucleation and growth with atomic precision.

Furthermore, combining these experimental approaches with computational modeling and simulations may offer a thorough knowledge of nucleation processes. Combining experimental data with theoretical predictions may offer insight into the underlying prin-

ciples regulating nucleation and crystal growth, resulting in more accurate models and predictive capacities [29].

Developing novel experimental methods and their integration with computational approaches is a potential research avenue for closing the present gap in our knowledge of atomic nucleation and crystal formation. This multidisciplinary approach has the potential to provide new insights into the nucleation process, allowing for the design and optimization of materials with improved characteristics and functions.

Understanding and controlling nucleation and crystal formation in complicated systems or under non-equilibrium settings is a research need. Many nucleation and crystal growth investigations have concentrated on simple systems or idealized settings where the processes are well-known and predictable. However, in many actual applications, such as advanced material production or crystal formation in biological systems, the circumstances are often far from equilibrium and include intricate interactions between several components.

Addressing nucleation and crystal formation in these complex systems is critical for improving crystal growth processes and modifying material characteristics. However, current information is limited, and further study is needed to clarify the underlying processes and create techniques for controlling nucleation and crystal development in such systems.

The involvement of contaminants, additives, or external variables in nucleation and crystal development processes has to be addressed. Impurities or additives may significantly impact nucleation kinetics, crystal shape, and material characteristics. However, their particular impacts and interactions with the nucleating species have yet to be fully discovered in many complex systems. The effect of impurities or additions on nucleation and crystal development under different circumstances may give insights into their function in determining crystal characteristics and lead to the creation of customized materials.

Understanding the nucleation and formation of crystals in biological systems, such as protein crystallization or biomineralization, is also a problematic study topic. Intricate molecular interactions, changing surroundings, and various hierarchical levels of structure are daily in biological systems. Investigating nucleation and crystal development in these systems may lead to discovering novel processes and concepts that can be appealed to other sectors, such as materials science and medicines. This research gap may be bridged by developing experimental and computational tools for researching nucleation and crystal development in biological systems [30].

Overall, there is an extensive research gap in recent developments in this subject when it comes to examining nucleation and crystal formation in complex systems or under non-equilibrium settings, taking into account the function of contaminants, additives, and external variables and exploring biological systems. Identifying and filling these gaps may lead to new insights into nucleation and crystal formation processes and the creation of innovative materials and technologies.

5. Future Outlook

Pharmaceuticals, materials science, and nanotechnology are just a few scientific and industrial fields where nucleation and crystal formation processes are crucial. For creating innovative materials with specialized features, advancements in procedures and technology for regulating nucleation and crystal growth offer enormous potential. This article discusses prospective innovations, cutting-edge practices, and their effects on numerous sectors as it examines the prospects for this field in the future.

The development of experimental methods will determine the future direction of nucleation and crystal growth research. In situ monitoring, spectroscopy, and high-resolution imaging will offer further details on the mechanisms controlling these activities. The observation and study of nucleation processes at the atomic scale is made possible by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Electroscopic techniques like Raman spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) facilitate the examination of chemical interactions and surface energy during crystal development. For example, surface

plasmon resonance (SPR) and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) provide in situ monitoring methods that provide real-time data on crystal development dynamics. These cutting-edge experimental methods will help us understand how crystals form and develop [31].

Our capacity to forecast and optimize nucleation and crystal growth processes will change due to the integration of computational modeling and machine learning methods. Simulations that accurately reflect the complex nucleation dynamics and crystal formation are made possible by combining theoretical models and experimental data. Understanding atomic-level processes and energetics is possible thanks to computational techniques like density functional theory (DFT) and molecular dynamics simulations. Large datasets may be analyzed using machine learning algorithms, which can also spot trends and forecast nucleation rates, crystal morphologies, and polymorphism with great precision. Scientists can develop and build materials with exact control over their characteristics because of this collaboration between computational modeling and machine learning [32].

Enhancing control over the nucleation and crystal development processes will be possible because of novel methods, including templating and surface modification. Self-assembled monolayers or nanoporous templates can specify nucleation locations and guide the formation of crystals to certain sizes and orientations. Techniques for surface modification, including functionalization with organic molecules or nanoparticles, impact the surface interactions and characteristics, which promote controlled crystal formation. Researchers may precisely regulate crystal growth rates and crystallographic orientations by utilizing templating and surface modification procedures, opening the door to creating materials with specific features [33].

Particle size, morphology, and polymorphism may be controlled by additive engineering, which involves adding particular additives or agents during the nucleation and crystal formation processes. These additives may influence the general crystallization behavior by acting as surface modifiers, growth inhibitors, or nucleation boosters. It will be possible to control the nucleation and crystal growth processes precisely by creating innovative additives and knowledge of their mechanisms. The regulation of crystal polymorphism is essential for therapeutic effectiveness and stability in applications like pharmaceutical formulations, where this method has much potential [34].

In conclusion, a significant improvement in experimental methodologies, computational modeling, templating techniques, surface modification, and additive manufacturing will define the future of nucleation and crystal growth processes. Particle size, morphology, polymorphism, and crystallographic orientations may all be controlled precisely thanks to the combination of various methods. These innovations have wide-ranging effects on several fields, such as medicines, materials science, and nanotechnology, and they provide new opportunities for the design and production of innovative materials with specialized features and improved functionality.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.C.R.L.; writing—original draft preparation, L.A., D.J.B., S.N.D., K.H. and J.A.R.; writing—review and editing, E.C.R.L.; supervision, E.C.R.L.; project administration, E.C.R.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. McGinty, J.; Yazdanpanah, N.; Price, C.J.; Ter Horst, J.; Sefcik, J. CHAPTER 1: Nucleation and Crystal Growth in Continuous Crystallization. In *The Handbook of Continuous Crystallization*; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2020; pp. 1–50. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Tanaka, Y.; Yamashita, K. Fabrication processes for bioceramics. In *Bioceramics and Their Clinical Applications*; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2008; pp. 28–52. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

3. Hu, H.; Singh, M.; Wan, X.; Tang, J.; Chu, C.-W.; Li, G. Nucleation and crystal growth control for scalable solution-processed organic–inorganic hybrid perovskite solar cells. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2019**, *8*, 1578–1603. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Gavezzotti, A. *The Crystalline States of Organic Compounds*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; pp. 201–202.
5. Zhang, L.; Ren, W.; Samanta, A.; Du, Q. Recent developments in computational modelling of nucleation in phase transformations. *npj Comput. Mater.* **2016**, *2*, 16003. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. González-Teresa, R.; Dolado, J.S.; Ayuela, A.; Gimel, J.-C. Nanoscale texture development of C-S-H gel: A computational model for nucleation and growth. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *103*, 234105. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Alpatova, A.; Alsaadi, A.; Alharthi, M.; Lee, J.-G.; Ghaffour, N. Co-axial hollow fiber module for air gap membrane distillation. *J. Membr. Sci.* **2019**, *578*, 172–182. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Jiang, X.; Shao, Y.; Sheng, L.; Li, P.; He, G. Membrane Crystallization for Process Intensification and Control: A Review. *Engineering* **2020**, *7*, 50–62. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Niu, Y.; Du, S.; Sheng, L.; Xiao, W.; Jiang, X.; He, G. High-efficient crystal particle manufacture by microscale process intensification technology. *Green Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *2*, 57–69. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Mangin, D.; Puel, F.; Veessler, S. Polymorphism in Processes of Crystallization in Solution: A Practical Review. *Org. Process. Res. Dev.* **2009**, *13*, 1241–1253. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Rhoades, A.M.; Wonderling, N.; Schick, C.; Androsch, R. Supercooling-controlled heterogeneous and homogenous crystal nucleation of polyamide 11 and its effect onto the crystal/mesophase polymorphism. *Polymer* **2016**, *106*, 29–34. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Di Genova, D.; Brooker, R.A.; Mader, H.M.; Drewitt, J.W.E.; Longo, A.; Deubener, J.; Neuville, D.R.; Fanara, S.; Shebanova, O.; Anzellini, S.; et al. In situ observation of nanolite growth in volcanic melt: A driving force for explosive eruptions. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*, eabb0413. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Yang, Y.; Song, L.; Zhang, Y.; Nagy, Z.K. Application of Wet Milling-Based Automated Direct Nucleation Control in Continuous Cooling Crystallization Processes. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *55*, 4987–4996. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Islam, M.; Ahmed, S.; Miran, M.S.; Susan, A.B.H. Advances on potential-driven growth of metal crystals from ionic liquids. *Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater.* **2022**, *68*, 100580. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Greaves, T.L.; Broomhall, H.; Weerawardena, A.; Osborne, D.A.; Canonge, B.A.; Drummond, C.J. How ionic species structure influences phase structure and transitions from protic ionic liquids to liquid crystals to crystals. *Faraday Discuss.* **2017**, *206*, 29–48. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Mandai, T.; Dokko, K.; Watanabe, M. Solvate Ionic Liquids for Li, Na, K, and Mg Batteries. *Chem. Rec.* **2018**, *19*, 708–722. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Mirabello, G.; Ianiro, A.; Bomans, P.H.H.; Yoda, T.; Arakaki, A.; Friedrich, H.; de With, G.; Sommerdijk, N.A.J.M. Crystallization by particle attachment is a colloidal assembly process. *Nat. Mater.* **2019**, *19*, 391–396. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Ou, Z.; Wang, Z.; Luo, B.; Luijten, E.; Chen, Q. Kinetic pathways of crystallization at the nanoscale. *Nat. Mater.* **2019**, *19*, 450–455. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Shi, H.-H.; Xiao, Y.; Ferguson, S.; Huang, X.; Wang, N.; Hao, H.-X. Progress of crystallization in microfluidic devices. *Lab A Chip* **2017**, *17*, 2167–2185. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Acevedo, D.; Yang, X.; Mohammad, A.; Pavurala, N.; Wu, W.-L.; O’connor, T.F.; Nagy, Z.K.; Cruz, C.N. Raman Spectroscopy for Monitoring the Continuous Crystallization of Carbamazepine. *Org. Process. Res. Dev.* **2018**, *22*, 156–165. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Kruger, W.; Latypov, R. In situ crystallization of non-cotectic and foliated igneous rocks on a magma chamber floor. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **2022**, *3*, 1–12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Tsukamoto, K. In-situ observation of crystal growth and the mechanism. *Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater.* **2016**, *62*, 111–125. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Milisavljevic, I.; Wu, Y. Current status of solid-state single crystal growth. *BMC Mater.* **2020**, *2*, 1–26. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Najafkhani, F.; Kheiri, S.; Pourbahari, B.; Mirzadeh, H. Recent advances in the kinetics of normal/abnormal grain growth: A review. *Arch. Civ. Mech. Eng.* **2021**, *21*, 1–20. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Ma, Y.; Wu, S.; Macaringue, E.G.J.; Zhang, T.; Gong, J.; Wang, J. Recent Progress in Continuous Crystallization of Pharmaceutical Products: Precise Preparation and Control. *Org. Process. Res. Dev.* **2020**, *24*, 1785–1801. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Zhang, D.; Xu, S.; Du, S.; Wang, J.; Gong, J. Progress of Pharmaceutical Continuous Crystallization. *Engineering* **2017**, *3*, 354–364. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Vekilov, P.G. Nucleation. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2010**, *10*, 5007–5019. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Nooraiepour, M.; Masoudi, M.; Shokri, N.; Hellevang, H. Probabilistic Nucleation and Crystal Growth in Porous Medium: New Insights from Calcium Carbonate Precipitation on Primary and Secondary Substrates. *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6*, 28072–28083. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Ke, L.; Luo, S.; Ren, X.; Yuan, Y. Factors influencing the nucleation and crystal growth of solution-processed organic lead halide perovskites: A review. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2020**, *54*, 163001. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. De Yoreo, J.J.; Vekilov, P.G. Principles of Crystal Nucleation and Growth. *Rev. Miner. Geochem.* **2003**, *54*, 57–93. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Xu, S.; Cao, D.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Y. Role of Additives in Crystal Nucleation from Solutions: A Review. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2021**, *22*, 2001–2022. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Sosso, G.C.; Chen, J.; Cox, S.J.; Fitzner, M.; Pedevilla, P.; Zen, A.; Michaelides, A. Crystal Nucleation in Liquids: Open Questions and Future Challenges in Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 7078–7116. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

33. Salami, H.; McDonald, M.A.; Bommarius, A.S.; Rousseau, R.W.; Grover, M.A. In Situ Imaging Combined with Deep Learning for Crystallization Process Monitoring: Application to Cephalexin Production. *Org. Process. Res. Dev.* **2021**, *25*, 1670–1679. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. He, Z.; He, Z.; Asare-Yeboah, K.; Asare-Yeboah, K.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Bi, S.; Bi, S. Manipulate organic crystal morphology and charge transport. *Org. Electron.* **2022**, *103*, 106448. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.