



Prostate Cancer: Advances in Genetic Testing and Clinical Implications

Ahmad S. Abdelrazek ¹, Khaled Ghoniem ², Mohamed E. Ahmed ³, Vidhu Joshi ⁴, Ahmed M. Mahmoud ³, Nader Saeed ⁵, Nazih Khater ⁶, Mohammed S. Elsharkawy ⁷, Ahmed Gamal ⁸, Eugene Kwon ³ and Ayse Tuba Kendi ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Radiology, Division of Nuclear Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA; abdelrazek.ahmad@mayo.edu
- ² Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA
- ³ Department of Urology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA
- ⁴ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA
- ⁵ Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35931, Egypt
- ⁶ Department of Urology, Louisiana State University Health Shreveport, Shreveport, LA 71103, USA
- ⁷ Department of Anesthesiology, Surgical Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta 31511, Egypt
- ⁸ Center for Medical Mycology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA
- Correspondence: kendi.ayse@mayo.edu; Tel./Fax: +1-480-819-1343

Abstract: The demand for genetic testing (GT) for prostate cancer (PCa) is expanding, but there is limited knowledge about the genetic counseling (GC) needs of men. A strong-to-moderate inherited genetic predisposition causes approximately 5–20% of prostate cancer (PCa). In men with prostate cancer, germline testing may benefit the patient by informing treatment options, and if a mutation is noticed, it may also guide screening for other cancers and have family implications for cascade genetic testing (testing of close relatives for the same germline mutation). Relatives with the same germline mutations may be eligible for early cancer detection strategies and preventive measures. Cascade family testing can be favorable for family members, but it is currently unutilized, and strategies to overcome obstacles like knowledge deficiency, family communication, lack of access to genetic services, and testing expenses are needed. In this review, we will look at the genetic factors that have been linked to prostate cancer, as well as the role of genetic counseling and testing in the early detection of advanced prostate cancer.

Keywords: metastasis; prostate cancer; prostate cancer genes; benign prostatic hyperplasia

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most diagnosed cancer in men, with an estimated 268,490 and 34,500 newly diagnosed and died of prostate cancer in the United States in 2022, respectively [1]. Although PCa may be asymptomatic at the early stage and often has an indolent course of progression, it is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in men behind lung cancer [2].

PCa is a highly variable illness; in fact, many individuals exhibit an aggressive disease with progression and metastasis, but others exhibit a slow disease with a low tendency to advance [3].

PCa can manifest clinically as a locally indolent illness or as a fast-developing, fatal metastatic disease [4]. Even though most men are diagnosed with an organ-confined illness, the long-term oncological prognosis might vary considerably [5].

In addition, histomorphology and molecular tumor features exhibit considerable variation between patients and within a similar tumor [6].

Patients with early-onset PCa who have family members with PCa, or other heritable malignancies are appropriate candidates for genetic testing [6]



Citation: Abdelrazek, A.S.; Ghoniem, K.; Ahmed, M.E.; Joshi, V.; Mahmoud, A.M.; Saeed, N.; Khater, N.; Elsharkawy, M.S.; Gamal, A.; Kwon, E.; et al. Prostate Cancer: Advances in Genetic Testing and Clinical Implications. *Uro* 2023, *3*, 91–103. https://doi.org/10.3390/uro3020012

Academic Editor: Tommaso Cai

Received: 31 January 2023 Revised: 16 March 2023 Accepted: 20 March 2023 Published: 24 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Histologically, these tumors are quantified using the Gleason score, which analyses the degree to which the biotic prostate specimen resembles the normal prostate gland [7].

It has been well-established that prostate cancer has a reliable genetic association in recent years. As a result, genetic testing has arisen as essential to prostate screening, understanding the role of genetic mutations in PCa diagnosis, and developing new treatment approaches [8].

This review aims to emphasize the essential aspects of germline genetic testing for PCa, the importance of family history, the role of genetic testing in screening, and the clinical significance of DNA repair mutation genes in treatment strategies.

2. Prostate Cancer Heritability

Recently, the heritability of prostate cancer (PCa) has been highlighted; about 20% of patients with PCa have a positive family history, which may develop not only because of genetic factors but also due to environmental factors such as shared lifestyle and exposure to the same carcinogenic elements [9]. In addition, several studies reported that about 5% of PCa risk is due to genetic heritability [10–12].

Family history of cancer remains the cornerstone of genetic risk assessment, and asking about prostate and non-prostate malignancies is essential for a thorough evaluation of potential inherited cancer risk [13].

In 2016 two important studies reported the relation between PCa and family history; the PCBaSE study demonstrated that the risk of developing cancer in men who had brothers with PCa is highly notable; at the age of 65, the risk of developing cancer for those with brothers who had PCa was three-fold more compared to those who did not have brothers with PCa. At age 75, men with brothers having PCa had more than a two-fold risk compared to those without brothers with PCa [14]. In Norway, a twin prostate cancer study was conducted on more than 200,000 twins, both monozygotic and dizygotic, and this study reported that more than 57% of PCa is attributed to genetic risk factors [15].

Additionally, in recent research of 3607 men diagnosed with PCa who underwent genetic testing between 2013 and 2018, 17.2% were found to have germline mutations, whereas 37.2% did not meet the NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment criteria for testing [16].

The argument that all men with PCa should be tested is thought-provoking, but the cost-effectiveness and actionability of widespread genetic testing in early, low-risk PCa settings without other risk factors remain unclear, which may lead to short-term unintended consequences including clinical confusion and depletion of limited genetic counselling resources with low yield [17].

On the other hand, clinical predictors of germline status, such as metastatic stage iv or intraductal histology, emerging data about ductal histology, and/or history of second or multiple primary cancers at a younger age, may assist in prioritizing candidates for testing, as each has been independently associated with the presence of germline DNA repair mutations [18].

3. Genetic Markers

Several genetic mutations have been linked to the development of PCa. DNA repair (e.g., BRCA1, BRCA2, and ATM), DNA mismatch repair (e.g., MLH1, PMS2, MSH6, and MSH2), and cell cycle regulation genes (e.g., TP53) are among the mutations that have been targeted by researchers over the past years. Furthermore, other genes such as HBOX13 gene, important for prostate development, and CHEK2 gene, a tumor suppressor gene, have been linked to increased risk of PCa in men. In this section, we are discussing in detail the relationship between these genes and PCa. Table 1 represents a summary of the data discussed in this review.

Gene	Association
BRCA1 and BRCA2	 BRCA2 has more impact on the development as well as the prognosis of PCa than BRCA1. Presence of these mutations is associated with a three to nine-fold increase in the risk of development of PCa. Higher risk of high-grade illness and progression to metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer.
ATM	• Relative risk of metastatic prostate cancer is 6.3%
PALB2	• Potential association between PALB2 mutation and aggressive forms of PCa.
MLH1, PMS2, MSH6, and MSH2	• Carriers of pathogenic variants have a higher incidence of PCa compared with non-carrier. (5–24%)
HOXB13	Increased risk of early-onset PCa.Increased de novo lipogenesis, cell motility, and PCa metastasis.
TP53	 Higher relative risk of PCa in individuals with TP53 mutation. TP53 variants are associated with advanced PCa.
CHEK2	• CHECK2 1100delC mutation is associated with moderate increase in the risk of development of PCa.
FGF	Play a role in progression and metastasis.Associated with worse prognosis.
CCNE1	• Higher expression level is associated with a higher tumor grade.

Table 1. Summary of the available data demonstrating the link between gene mutations and prostate cancer.

3.1. DNA Repair Genes

Mutations in DNA repair genes occur in up to 10% and 17% of localized and metastatic PCa, respectively [16,19–22]. Sixty-four percent of BRCA2 mutations in prostate cancer are frameshift, 31% are missense, and 5% are splice. The BRCA1 gene contains 63% missense mutations, 31% frameshift mutations, and 6%splice mutations [6]. Moreover, an aggressive phenotype was identified in a study of PCa patients with BRCA1/2 mutations [23,24]. As regards the ATM gene, 50% of mutations found in PCa are missense, 37% frameshift and 13% splice. ATM variants were associated with the aggressive and lethal phenotype of Hereditary Prostate Cancer (HPCa) disease.

In 2015, an international study explored 150 biopsies from patients with metastatic PCa, and the study reported many genetic mutations; 23% of men had DNA repair genes mutation, including BRCA1, BRCA2, and ATM [22]. DNA repair gene mutations have also been associated with metastasis of hormone-sensitive PCa [25].

3.1.1. BRCA1 and BRCA2

BRCA1 and BRCA2 are associated with ovarian and breast cancers and play an essential role in PCa predisposition. BRCA2 mutation has been associated with an increased risk of PCa, higher mortality, and a younger diagnosis of PCa than BRCA 1 mutation [19].

The relative risk of developing PCa in BRCA2 mutation carriers compared to noncarriers is between three- to nine-fold by the age of 65 [26,27]. BRCA2 mutation has been demonstrated in more aggressive subtypes of PCa [28]. Gallagher et al. showed that the risk of developing PCa in BRCA 2 mutation is three times higher than non-carriers, and the risk of recurrence and PCa-related death is also higher than non-carriers [29]. In another study, the risk of developing PCa in BRCA2 mutation carriers was estimated to be four-fold higher than the carriers of BRCA 1 [30]. All these studies demonstrated that carriers of BRCA 2 have an increased risk of developing PCa than BRCA 1 carriers [31].

Remarkably, germline changes were independent of an earlier age at diagnosis and an aggressive phenotype. As well as BRCA2 mutation carriers had a lower survival rate (61.8%) than males who did not carry the mutation (94.3%). Additionally, BRCA2 pathogenic mutations were linked with an increased risk of high-grade illness and progression to metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). In addition, BRCA2 germline mutations contributed more to the increased PCa risk than BRCA1 mutations [32–34].

3.1.2. ATM

ATM is located on chromosome 11 and is a crucial component of the DNA damage response system. Ataxia telangiectasia syndrome is caused by homozygous loss-of-function mutations in ATM. Notably, it is also one of the known HBOC susceptibility genes; in fact, carriers of the ATM mutation have an elevated chance of developing breast, colorectal, gastric, and pancreatic cancers. Recently, the use of sequencing panels incorporating DNA-repair genes has also made it possible to identify germline ATM mutations in males with prostate cancer [35]. The relative risk of metastatic prostate cancer was 6.3% among ATM carriers [36].

Although ATM is the second most common alteration in PCa after BRCA 2, most studies demonstrate that ATM mutation is not associated with the same developments as BRCA 2 [22]. In 2015, Helgason et al. identified that the loss of function of the two variants of ATM was associated with PCa and gastric cancer [37]. However, more research is required to demonstrate the role of ATM gene mutation and PCa.

3.1.3. PALB2

PALB2 is located on chromosome 16; first discovered as a BRCA2-interacting protein, it is a crucial component in the creation of the BRCA complex. Indeed, PALB2 serves as a link between BRCA1 and BRCA2 to induce homologous recombination. It is one of the DNA repair genes; PALB2 mutations are connected with HBOC risk, especially breast and pancreatic malignancies, but few studies have revealed PALB2 variations in PCa patients [38].

However, the precise role of PALB2 in developing PCa is indistinct. Earlier studies did not demonstrate a clear association between PALB2 mutation and inherited PCa [39–41]. However, most recent studies highlighted the potential association between PALB2 mutation and the inheritance of aggressive forms of PCa [42,43]. As the PALB2 mutation is rare, more research and studies should be conducted to profoundly investigate the relationship between this gene and PCa development, the biological significance, and the potential treatment strategies of PCa [44].

3.2. DNA Mismatch Repair Genes

Lynch syndrome has been associated with endometrial cancer and colorectal carcinoma due to the mutation of mismatch repair genes. However, recently PCa has demonstrated a strong linkage to the mutation of the mismatch repair genes, including MLH1, PMS2, MSH6, and particularly MSH2. Bancroft et al. conducted a prospective international study that assessed PSA screening in patients with carriers of MSH2, MSH6, and MLH1 mutation genes; carriers of MSH2 and MSH6 pathogenic variants had a higher incidence of PCa compared with non-carrier controls which have been matched in age. However, PCa was not reported in carriers of MLH1 [45].

The Database of Lynch syndrome reported a prospective development of PCa in 28% (1808/6350) of men with Lynch syndrome. At the age of 75, the carriers of MSH2 and MSH1 mutations had an incidence of PCa up to 24% and 9%, compared to MLH1, and PMS2, who demonstrated an incidence of PCa up to 14%, and 5%, respectively [46].

3.3. HOXB13 Gene

The HOXB13 is a homeobox transcription factor, localized on chromosome 17. The HOXB13 gene produces a protein called a transcription factor, which plays a role in prostate development; however, the mechanism and pathways that lead to PCa development are unclear. HOXB13 was one of the first inherited genes related to PCa given its effect on the androgen receptors. Furthermore, it was shown to be associated with an increased risk of

early-onset and the overall incidence of PCa in white men [19,47]. In a study by Pomerantz et al., HOXB13 together with FOXA1 was shown to cause an extensive reprograming to androgen receptor cistrome (i.e., transcription factor binding sites) which in turn plays a role in the development of PCa [48].

In 0.7% to 1.4% of prostate cancer cases and 6% of PCa patients with early onset, mutations have been reported [49]. A previous study indicated for the first time that individuals with the recurrent germline mutation G84E in HOXB13 had considerably increased risks of developing PCa compared to those without the mutation [47]. In 2015, Robson et al. reported the frequency rate of the HBOX13 G48E variant of 1.1 on 3607 patients with PCa [50]. In 2021, Loeb et al. also demonstrated that the frequency of the HBOX13 G48E variant at 1.4% in patients with PCa compared to 0.1% in patients without PCa [51]. Interestingly, in a recent study that was published in 2022, loss of HBOX13 was shown to increase cell motility in vitro and PCa metastasis in mice [52]. The authors have shown that the MEIS domain of HOXB13 interacts with HDAC3 (i.e., Histone deacetylases-3) suppressing the de novo lipogenesis through expression of lipogenic regulators such as fatty acid synthase. These regulators play an important role in producing elements necessary for sterol biosynthesis [53,54]. Loss of this interaction results in increased de novo lipogenesis and, consequently, tumorigenesis and metastasis. This was later confirmed in the same experiment in which inoculating mice with HOXB13 knockdown PCa cells was associated with higher rate of metastasis compared to controls [52]. This data could be of great value in selecting patient who would benefit from newer agents such as fatty acid synthase (FASN) inhibitor (e.g., TVB-2640) which currently being tested in a clinical trial. Such agents may have the potential to decrease lipogenesis and, eventually, tumor growth.

3.4. TP53 Gene

TP53 is a gene responsible for the production of proteins that regulate cell division and cell death [55]. Because of its function, it plays a role as a tumor suppressor gene in which its deletion or mutation has been associated with the development of several tumors [56]. An example of this association is Li Fraumeni syndrome (LFS) which is an inherited condition characterized by an increased risk for certain types of cancer early in life, most commonly breast and adrenal cancers besides sarcomas, leukemias, and lymphoma. A multi-center study was conducted to assess the relationship between TP53 gene mutation and PCa, and the study identified about 31 patients (19%) with PCa among 163 LFS males and 117 LFS patients without PCa, six of them developed PCa over a median of three years of follow-up [57]. The same study reported 38 patients out of 6850 who have TP53 which reflects a higher relative risk by 9.1-fold than the control population. Additionally, the presence of the TP53 mutation was associated with more advanced disease. The gTP53 predisposes to aggressive prostate cancer; therefore, PCa should be considered as a part of LFS screening protocols, and TP53 should be considered in germline screening of PCa [57].

3.5. CHEK2 Gene

The CHEK2 gene, localized on chromosome 22, encodes for a tumor suppressor that participates in the DNA damage signaling pathway [58]. The CHEK2 gene and its role in developing inherited PCa are still unclear because of the rarity of the studies. However, the mutation of the CHECK 2 variants, particularly 1100delC, has demonstrated a moderate increase in the risk of development of PCa [59]. Moreover, a meta-analysis of 12 studies of the CHECK2 c.1100delC variant was conducted to clarify the relationship between this variant and PCa inheritance. About half of these studies demonstrated that CHECK2 c.1100delC is associated with an increased risk of PCa [60]. However, more retrospective and prospective studies are required to emphasize this relation and the role of genetic screening of PCa patients for CHECK2 gene variants.

3.6. Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) Genes

FGF genes encode fibroblast growth factor receptors, a group of receptors that regulate cell proliferation and differentiation during development and tissue repair [61]. Alteration to the FGF gene was shown to be associated with several cancers. For example, elevation in FGF expression was reported in patients with breast cancers [62]. Furthermore, point mutations in R78H, S249C, F384L, A391E, and G388R of the FGF genes have been observed in patients with PCa [63–65]. Moreover, in a recent meta-analysis, G388R polymorphism was associated with worse prognosis in cancer patients including those with PCa [66]. Interestingly, FGF has been also reported to play a role in cancer progression and metastasis in mice models [67]. Consequently, this gene has become the target for researchers to utilize as a potential therapeutic option [68,69].

3.7. Cyclin E1 Gene

Cyclin E1 (CCNE1) is one of the genes that regulate the transition from the G1 to the S phase of the cell cycle [70]. This gene has been implicated in different types of cancer such as breast and liver cancer [70–73]. Furthermore, several studies have suggested a potential role for CCNE1 as a prognostic and therapeutic tool [71,74,75]. In a recent study that was published in 2022 which investigated the role of CCNE1 in PCa, polymorphism CCNE1 rs997669 was not associated with a significant impact on prostate cancer risk [76]. However, a higher expression level of CCNE1 was significantly associated with a higher tumor grade [76]. In in vitro and in vivo studies, CCNE1 was shown to be regulated through speckle-type POZ protein (SPOP) in a way that over-expression of SPOP suppresses the tumor cell's progression [77]. Interestingly, this observation was limited to certain cell lines including prostate and bladder cancer. Furthermore, the wild-type SPOP was shown to have the opposite effect on these cell lines [77]. Although the exact underlying mechanism that would explain this mode of selectivity and variability in the effect is still unknown, this area of research should receive more attention as it could unveil a potential novel therapeutic option for PCa patients [78].

4. Clinical and Therapeutic Implications of Genetic Testing

Recommendations by different societies concerning genetic testing are variable, however, generally, testing is advised in patients who have a strong family history of PCa. This includes PCa in first and second-degree family members or multiple family members who were diagnosed before the age of 60 or died from PCa, hereditary Lynch syndrome, and hereditary breast, and ovarian cancer. This approach aims to enhance the ability for early detection and management of the condition [19,79–82].

Based on the recommendations of experienced societies, the genetic testing of PCa has been guided by some panels. If genetic testing is being performed in the context of advanced PCa, BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, PALB2, CHEK2, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2 should be included due to potential treatment implications, although this list is expected to be refined over time [44].

Among the potential consequences of germline testing is the detection of a mutation (pathogenic or potentially pathogenic variation), which may indicate further PCa treatment options and clinical trials and provide information regarding the risk of other malignancies [19].

This result would also indicate a 50/50 chance that first-degree relatives inherited the same risk gene and thus would prompt a recommendation for the patient to share this information (including a copy of test results) with relatives and for referral of family members to genetic counseling for cascade genetic testing. Insurance often covers single-site testing for a particular mutation at low cost. Another possible outcome is a variation of unknown significance (VUS), which implies that existing data in the field were inadequate at the time of test interpretation to define the finding as either benign or pathogenic. A VUS result should not be used to direct clinical management [83].

Other studies are available to help reclassify VUS, and these can be discussed with a genetic counselor. In one study, 7.7% of VUS results were reclassified: 91% as benign/likely benign and 9% as pathogenic/likely pathogenic. It is also possible that no alterations were found therefore (a benign result). The absence of a single mutation linked with hereditary cancer risk does not eliminate the higher risk of prostate cancer among family members with a strong family history. If testing is negative (benign, without mutations) or a VUS is identified, the clinical family history should be utilized to guide cancer screening for family members [84].

In 2017, the AUA/ASTRO/SUO guidelines recommended that patients with localized PCa and a strong family history of specific cancers (breast, ovarian and pancreatic) should be suspected of high-risk PCa and referred to genetic counseling and testing [80].

In 2019, the Philadelphia Prostate Cancer Consensus Conference provided recommendations for genetic testing criteria for PCa [19]. In addition, this consensus conference remarked that genetic testing should be strongly recommended for men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) and metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer (mHSPC) and men with a strong PCa family history of first, second-degree, or multiple male relatives diagnosed with PCa at age <60 year or who died from metastatic PCa Additional criteria were considered for testing. These criteria are pathologic criteria (intraductal pathology), stage (advanced disease), and family history criteria (Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry, or Lynch syndrome, especially if diagnosed at age <50 year or with \geq 2 cancers in the HBOC). DNA repair genes (particularly BRCA2 and BRCA1) were recommended for genetic counseling and testing based on personal and family history regardless of the stage [19]. Moreover, in 2019 consensus recommendations recommended that the majority of men with newly diagnosed metastatic PCa should undergo genetic counseling and testing for BRCA1 and BRCA2 [82].

In 2020, EAU guidelines summarized the increase of germline mutations and their impact on clinical management, particularly for PARP inhibitor response, and the 2020 AUA/ASTRO/SUO advanced prostate cancer guideline recommended that patients with metastatic hormone-sensitive PCa should be offered genetic counseling and testing regardless of age and family history [81].

In addition to the benefit of using genetic testing as a screening and diagnostic tool, it can also be used as a guide for treatment selection. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors are a new anticancer group that was specifically designed to target the DNA damage response in BRCA1/2 mutated breast and ovarian cancers [85]. Currently, several members of this group are being studied for the treatment of PCa. Of these agents, olaparib and rucaparib have recently received FDA approval for the treatment of men with metastatic, castration-resistant PCa harboring a BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutation [86,87]. Olaparib, which was tested in patients with a mutation in genes related to homologous recombination repair, has been shown to enhance progression-free survival compared to enzalutamide or abiraterone [87]. Additionally, in a study that included 115 patients, who have BRCA alteration, rucaparib (at \geq 1 dose) resulted in an objective response rate of 43.5% (95% CI, 31.0% to 56.7%; 27 of 62 patients) and 50.8% (95% CI, 38.1% to 63.4%; 33 of 65 patients) per independent radiology review and investigator assessment, respectively [86]. Furthermore, the confirmed PSA response rate ($\geq 50\%$ decrease from baseline) was 54.8% (95% CI, 45.2% to 64.1%; 63 of 115 patients) [86]. Talazoparib and niraparib have also shown good efficacy in the management of PCa patients with niraparib receiving the FDA breakthrough therapy designation for the treatment of patients with BRCA1/2-mutated metastatic castration-resistant PCa therapy in October 2019 [88–90].

While several trials are still ongoing investigating the efficacy of this anticancer group alone and in combination with other anticancer agents such as programmed cell death protein-1 inhibitors for the management of PCa (NCT05501548, NCT04824937, NCT04030559, NCT04703920, NCT05327621, NCT04550494, NCT02854436, NCT04179396, NCT02975934, NCT04821622, NCT01078662), this highlights the importance of genetic

testing in formulating the treatment plan for these patients, especially those who failed to respond to the conventional treatments [91–102].

Another interesting treatment option that is being studied as a potential therapeutic target for several cancers is FASN inhibitors [103–105]. Lipogenesis is a critical process that solid tumors rely on to secure an energy source sufficient for their growth, which is achieved via de novo lipid synthesis [106]. PCa is among the tumors that exhibit this behavior which makes it a suitable candidate for this drug group [107]. Over the past years, several molecules that possess an inhibitory effect on FASN have been reported in the literature such as GSK2194069, JNJ-54302833, IPI-9119, and TVB-2640 [108]. Only TVB-2640 has moved into clinical testing and, currently, five ongoing trials targeting subjects with solid tumors such as breast cancer and PCa (NCT03179904, NCT05743621, NCT02980029, NCT03808558, and NCT03032484) [109–114]. Keeping in mind the role of HOXB13 in regulating lipogenesis, patients with deletion or mutation to this gene could be a good candidates for FASN inhibitors.

Given the role the FGFR plays in PCa, inhibitors for these receptors represent a promising therapeutic option. Data from preclinical and clinical studies support a potential role for these agents as a novel therapeutic option [69,115]. For example, pemigatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that acts on FGFR and is approved by the FDA for the management of cholangiocarcinoma and myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms, actively inhibited the growth of PCa cells in in vitro and in vivo models [116]. Interestingly, the activity of the androgen receptors seems to affect the response to these agents as reported by Bluemn et al. Based on the results from their study they suggested that FGFR inhibitors are specifically active in castration-resistant prostate cancer patients with absent or limited AR function [117]. Thus, genetic analysis for androgen receptors to determine any mutation, such as amplification, could be of value before starting such agents.

5. Conclusions

According to the clinically significant prevalence of germline genetic mutation, genetic testing has increased as an indication of screening in PCa patients, especially the advanced and metastatic cases. Furthermore, with the comprehensive support of recent studies, genetic testing is expected to be widely integrated into all PCa patients, which will help in clinical assessment and critical decisions in treatment strategies for patients with inherited PCa.

Therefore, a clear policy regarding genetic testing could point to more accurate active surveillance as a management strategy for patients with low-risk PCa. More retrospective and prospective studies are required to achieve, advance, and support the theory of genetic testing in the upcoming years.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.T.K., A.M.M. and A.S.A.; writing—original draft preparation, A.S.A.; writing—review and editing, K.G., M.E.A., V.J., A.M.M., N.S., N.K., M.S.E., A.G. and E.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

PCa: Prostate Cancer, BPH: Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia.

References

- Bahmad, H.F.; Demus, T.; Moubarak, M.M.; Daher, D.; Alvarez Moreno, J.C.; Polit, F.; Lopez, O.; Merhe, A.; Abou-Kheir, W.; Nieder, A.M.; et al. Overcoming Drug Resistance in Advanced Prostate Cancer by Drug Repurposing. *Med. Sci.* 2022, 10, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Rawla, P. Epidemiology of Prostate Cancer. World J. Oncol. 2019, 10, 63–89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Testa, U.; Castelli, G.; Pelosi, E. Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Prostate Cancer Development: Therapeutic Implications. *Medicines* **2019**, *6*, 82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Klusa, D.; Lohaus, F.; Furesi, G.; Rauner, M.; Benešová, M.; Krause, M.; Kurth, I.; Peitzsch, C. Metastatic Spread in Prostate Cancer Patients Influencing Radiotherapy Response. *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 627379. [CrossRef]
- 5. Yahaya, J.J.; Okecha, T.; Odida, M.; Wabinga, H. Prognostic Factors for Overall Survival of Patients with Prostate Cancer in Kyadondo County, Uganda. *Prostate Cancer* 2020, 2020, 8517130. [CrossRef]
- 6. Vietri, M.T.; D'Elia, G.; Caliendo, G.; Resse, M.; Casamassimi, A.; Passariello, L.; Albanese, L.; Cioffi, M.; Molinari, A.M. Hereditary Prostate Cancer: Genes Related, Target Therapy and Prevention. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 3753. [CrossRef]
- 7. Humphrey, P.A. Histopathology of Prostate Cancer. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 2017, 7, a030411. [CrossRef]
- 8. Kafka, M.; Surcel, C.; Heidegger, I. Recent Insights on Genetic Testing in Primary Prostate Cancer. *Mol. Diagn. Ther.* 2021, 25, 425–438. [CrossRef]
- 9. Gallagher, R.P.; Fleshner, N. Prostate cancer: 3. Individual risk factors. Cmaj 1998, 159, 807–813.
- 10. Ferrís-i-Tortajada, J.; García-i-Castell, J.; Berbel-Tornero, O.; Ortega-García, J.A. Factores de riesgo constitucionales en el cáncer de próstata [Constitutional risk factors in prostate cancer]. *Actas Urológicas Españolas* **2011**, *35*, 282–288. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hemminki, K. Familial risk and familial survival in prostate cancer. World J. Urol. 2012, 30, 143–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Wilson, K.M.; Giovannucci, E.L.; Mucci, L.A. Lifestyle and dietary factors in the prevention of lethal prostate cancer. *Asian J. Androl.* **2012**, *14*, 365–374. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cheng, H.H.; Sokolova, A.O.; Schaeffer, E.M.; Small, E.J.; Higano, C.S. Germline and Somatic Mutations in Prostate Cancer for the Clinician. J. Natl. Compr. Cancer Netw. 2019, 17, 515–521. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Bratt, O.; Drevin, L.; Akre, O.; Garmo, H.; Stattin, P. Family History and Probability of Prostate Cancer, Differentiated by Risk Category: A Nationwide Population-Based Study. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **2016**, *108*, djw110. [CrossRef]
- 15. Mucci, L.A.; Hjelmborg, J.B.; Harris, J.R.; Czene, K.; Havelick, D.J.; Scheike, T.; Graff, R.E.; Holst, K.; Möller, S.; Unger, R.H.; et al. Familial Risk and Heritability of Cancer Among Twins in Nordic Countries. *JAMA* **2016**, *315*, 68–76. [CrossRef]
- Nicolosi, P.; Ledet, E.; Yang, S.; Michalski, S.; Freschi, B.; O'Leary, E.; Esplin, E.D.; Nussbaum, R.L.; Sartor, O. Prevalence of Germline Variants in Prostate Cancer and Implications for Current Genetic Testing Guidelines. *JAMA Oncol.* 2019, *5*, 523–528. [CrossRef]
- Hendrix, N.; Gulati, R.; Jiao, B.; Kader, A.K.; Ryan, S.T.; Etzioni, R. Clarifying the Trade-Offs of Risk-Stratified Screening for Prostatez Cancer: A Cost-Effectiveness Study. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2021, 190, 2064–2074. [CrossRef]
- 18. Whitworth, J.; Hoffman, J.; Chapman, C.; Ong, K.R.; Lalloo, F.; Evans, D.G.; Maher, E.R. A clinical and genetic analysis of multiple primary cancer referrals to genetics services. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2015**, *23*, 581–587. [CrossRef]
- Giri, V.N.; Knudsen, K.E.; Kelly, W.K.; Cheng, H.H.; Cooney, K.A.; Cookson, M.S.; Dahut, W.; Weissman, S.; Soule, H.R.; Petrylak, D.P.; et al. Implementation of Germline Testing for Prostate Cancer: Philadelphia Prostate Cancer Consensus Conference 2019. J. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 38, 2798–2811. [CrossRef]
- Berchuck, J.E.; Zhang, Z.; Silver, R.; Kwak, L.; Xie, W.; Lee, G.-S.M.; Freedman, M.L.; Kibel, A.S.; Van Allen, E.M.; McKay, R.R.; et al. Impact of pathogenic germline DNA damage repair alterations on response to intense neoadjuvant androgen deprivation therapy in high-risk localized prostate cancer. *Eur. Urol.* 2021, *80*, 295–303. [CrossRef]
- Carlo, M.I.; Giri, V.N.; Paller, C.J.; Abida, W.; Alumkal, J.J.; Beer, T.M.; Beltran, H.; George, D.J.; Heath, E.I.; Higano, C.S.; et al. Evolving intersection between inherited cancer genetics and therapeutic clinical trials in prostate cancer: A white paper from the Germline Genetics Working Group of the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Consortium. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* 2018, 2, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 22. Pritchard, C.C.; Mateo, J.; Walsh, M.F.; De Sarkar, N.; Abida, W.; Beltran, H.; Garofalo, A.; Gulati, R.; Carreira, S.; Eeles, R.; et al. Inherited DNA-repair gene mutations in men with metastatic prostate cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2016**, *375*, 443–453. [CrossRef]
- 23. Castro, E.; Goh, C.L.; Eeles, R.A. Prostate cancer screening in BRCA and Lynch syndrome mutation carriers. *Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. Educ. Book* 2013, *33*, 50–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Agalliu, I.; Gern, R.; Leanza, S.; Burk, R.D. Associations of high-grade prostate cancer with BRCA1 and BRCA2 founder mutations. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2009**, *15*, 1112–1120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yadav, S.; Hart, S.N.; Hu, C.; Hillman, D.; Lee, K.Y.; Gnanaolivu, R.; Na, J.; Polley, E.C.; Couch, F.J.; Kohli, M. Contribution of inherited DNA-repair gene mutations to hormone-sensitive and castrate-resistant metastatic prostate cancer and implications for clinical outcome. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* 2019, 3, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hankey, B.F.; Feuer, E.J.; Clegg, L.X.; Hayes, R.B.; Legler, J.M.; Prorok, P.C.; Ries, L.A.; Merrill, R.M.; Kaplan, R.S. Cancer surveillance series: Interpreting trends in prostate cancer–part I: Evidence of the effects of screening in recent prostate cancer incidence, mortality, and survival rates. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 1999, *91*, 1017–1024. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Delongchamps, N.B.; Singh, A.; Haas, G.P. The role of prevalence in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. *Cancer Control* **2006**, *13*, 158–168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Taylor, R.A.; Fraser, M.; Livingstone, J.; Espiritu, S.M.G.; Thorne, H.; Huang, V.; Lo, W.; Shiah, Y.-J.; Yamaguchi, T.N.; Sliwinski, A.; et al. Germline BRCA2 mutations drive prostate cancers with distinct evolutionary trajectories. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Gallagher, D.J.; Gaudet, M.M.; Pal, P.; Kirchhoff, T.; Balistreri, L.; Vora, K.; Bhatia, J.; Stadler, Z.; Fine, S.W.; Reuter, V.; et al. Germline BRCA mutations denote a clinicopathologic subset of prostate cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2010, *16*, 2115–2121. [CrossRef]
- 30. Leongamornlert, D.; Mahmud, N.; Tymrakiewicz, M.; Saunders, E.; Dadaev, T.; Castro, E.; Goh, C.; Govindasami, K.; Guy, M.; O'Brien, L.; et al. Germline BRCA1 mutations increase prostate cancer risk. *Br. J. Cancer* **2012**, *106*, 1697–1701. [CrossRef]
- Silvestri, V.; Leslie, G.; Barnes, D.R.; Agnarsson, B.A.; Aittomäki, K.; Alducci, E.; Andrulis, I.L.; Barkardottir, R.B.; Barroso, A.; Barrowdale, D. Characterization of the cancer spectrum in men with germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 pathogenic variants: Results from the consortium of investigators of modifiers of BRCA1/2 (CIMBA). *JAMA Oncol.* 2020, *6*, 1218–1230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
 Giri, V.N.; Beebe-Dimmer, J.L. Familial prostate cancer. *Semin. Oncol.* 2016, *43*, 560–565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Ren, Z.-J.; Cao, D.-H.; Zhang, Q.; Ren, P.-W.; Liu, L.-R.; Wei, Q.; Wei, W.-R.; Dong, Q. First-degree family history of breast cancer is associated with prostate cancer risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* **2019**, *19*, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Rebbeck, T.R. Prostate cancer genetics: Variation by race, ethnicity, and geography. Semin. Radiat. Oncol. 2017, 27, 3–10. [CrossRef]
- Zhen, J.T.; Syed, J.; Nguyen, K.A.; Leapman, M.S.; Agarwal, N.; Brierley, K.; Llor, X.; Hofstatter, E.; Shuch, B. Genetic testing for hereditary prostate cancer: Current status and limitations. *Cancer* 2018, 124, 3105–3117. [CrossRef]
- Heidegger, I.; Tsaur, I.; Borgmann, H.; Surcel, C.; Kretschmer, A.; Mathieu, R.; De Visschere, P.; Valerio, M.; van den Bergh, R.C.; Ost, P. Hereditary prostate cancer–Primetime for genetic testing? *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2019, *81*, 101927. [CrossRef]
- Helgason, H.; Rafnar, T.; Olafsdottir, H.S.; Jonasson, J.G.; Sigurdsson, A.; Stacey, S.N.; Jonasdottir, A.; Tryggvadottir, L.; Alexiusdottir, K.; Haraldsson, A.; et al. Loss-of-function variants in ATM confer risk of gastric cancer. *Nat. Genet.* 2015, 47, 906–910. [CrossRef]
- Vietri, M.T.; Caliendo, G.; Schiano, C.; Casamassimi, A.; Molinari, A.M.; Napoli, C.; Cioffi, M. Analysis of PALB2 in a cohort of Italian breast cancer patients: Identification of a novel PALB2 truncating mutation. *Fam. Cancer* 2015, 14, 341–348. [CrossRef]
- Yang, X.; Leslie, G.; Doroszuk, A.; Schneider, S.; Allen, J.; Decker, B.; Dunning, A.M.; Redman, J.; Scarth, J.; Plaskocinska, I.; et al. Cancer Risks Associated With Germline PALB2 Pathogenic Variants: An International Study of 524 Families. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2020, 38, 674–685. [CrossRef]
- 40. Pakkanen, S.; Wahlfors, T.; Siltanen, S.; Patrikainen, M.; Matikainen, M.P.; Tammela, T.L.; Schleutker, J. PALB2 variants in hereditary and unselected Finnish prostate cancer cases. *J. Negat. Results BioMed.* **2009**, *8*, 12. [CrossRef]
- 41. Tischkowitz, M.; Sabbaghian, N.; Ray, A.M.; Lange, E.M.; Foulkes, W.D.; Cooney, K.A. Analysis of the gene coding for the BRCA2-interacting protein PALB2 in hereditary prostate cancer. *Prostate* **2008**, *68*, 675–678. [CrossRef]
- Goodall, J.; Mateo, J.; Yuan, W.; Mossop, H.; Porta, N.; Miranda, S.; Perez-Lopez, R.; Dolling, D.; Robinson, D.R.; Sandhu, S.; et al. Circulating Cell-Free DNA to Guide Prostate Cancer Treatment with PARP Inhibition. *Cancer Discov.* 2017, 7, 1006–1017. [CrossRef]
- Horak, P.; Weischenfeldt, J.; von Amsberg, G.; Beyer, B.; Schütte, A.; Uhrig, S.; Gieldon, L.; Klink, B.; Feuerbach, L.; Hübschmann, D.; et al. Response to olaparib in a PALB2 germline mutated prostate cancer and genetic events associated with resistance. *Cold Spring Harb. Mol. Case Stud.* 2019, 5, 003657. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Doan, D.K.; Schmidt, K.T.; Chau, C.H.; Figg, W.D. Germline Genetics of Prostate Cancer: Prevalence of Risk Variants and Clinical Implications for Disease Management. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 2154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Bancroft, E.K.; Page, E.C.; Brook, M.N.; Thomas, S.; Taylor, N.; Pope, J.; McHugh, J.; Jones, A.-B.; Karlsson, Q.; Merson, S.; et al. A prospective prostate cancer screening programme for men with pathogenic variants in mismatch repair genes (IMPACT): Initial results from an international prospective study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2021, 22, 1618–1631. [CrossRef]
- 46. Dominguez-Valentin, M.; Sampson, J.R.; Seppälä, T.T.; Ten Broeke, S.W.; Plazzer, J.-P.; Nakken, S.; Engel, C.; Aretz, S.; Jenkins, M.A.; Sunde, L. Cancer risks by gene, age, and gender in 6350 carriers of pathogenic mismatch repair variants: Findings from the Prospective Lynch Syndrome Database. *Genet. Med.* 2020, 22, 15–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Ewing, C.M.; Ray, A.M.; Lange, E.M.; Zuhlke, K.A.; Robbins, C.M.; Tembe, W.D.; Wiley, K.E.; Isaacs, S.D.; Johng, D.; Wang, Y.; et al. Germline mutations in HOXB13 and prostate-cancer risk. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2012**, *366*, 141–149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pomerantz, M.M.; Li, F.; Takeda, D.Y.; Lenci, R.; Chonkar, A.; Chabot, M.; Cejas, P.; Vazquez, F.; Cook, J.; Shivdasani, R.A.; et al. The androgen receptor cistrome is extensively reprogrammed in human prostate tumorigenesis. *Nat. Genet.* 2015, 47, 1346–1351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pilarski, R. The Role of BRCA Testing in Hereditary Pancreatic and Prostate Cancer Families. *Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. Educ. Book* 2019, 39, 79–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Robson, M.E.; Bradbury, A.R.; Arun, B.; Domchek, S.M.; Ford, J.M.; Hampel, H.L.; Lipkin, S.M.; Syngal, S.; Wollins, D.S.; Lindor, N.M. American Society of Clinical Oncology Policy Statement Update: Genetic and Genomic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility. J. Clin. Oncol. 2015, 33, 3660–3667. [CrossRef]
- Loeb, S.; Giri, V.N. Clinical Implications of Germline Testing in Newly Diagnosed Prostate Cancer. Eur. Urol. Oncol. 2021, 4, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Lu, X.; Fong, K.W.; Gritsina, G.; Wang, F.; Baca, S.C.; Brea, L.T.; Berchuck, J.E.; Spisak, S.; Ross, J.; Morrissey, C.; et al. HOXB13 suppresses de novo lipogenesis through HDAC3-mediated epigenetic reprogramming in prostate cancer. *Nat. Genet.* 2022, 54, 670–683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Poulose, N.; Amoroso, F.; Steele, R.E.; Singh, R.; Ong, C.W.; Mills, I.G. Genetics of lipid metabolism in prostate cancer. *Nat. Genet.* 2018, 50, 169–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zadra, G.; Ribeiro, C.F.; Chetta, P.; Ho, Y.; Cacciatore, S.; Gao, X.; Syamala, S.; Bango, C.; Photopoulos, C.; Huang, Y.; et al. Inhibition of de novo lipogenesis targets androgen receptor signaling in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 2019, 116, 631–640. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- TP53 Gene. Available online: https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms/def/tp53-gene (accessed on 5 March 2023).
- 56. Aubrey, B.J.; Strasser, A.; Kelly, G.L. Tumor-Suppressor Functions of the TP53 Pathway. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2016**, *6*, a026062. [CrossRef]
- 57. Maxwell, K.N.; Cheng, H.H.; Powers, J.; Gulati, R.; Ledet, E.M.; Morrison, C.; Le, A.; Hausler, R.; Stopfer, J.; Hyman, S.; et al. Inherited TP53 Variants and Risk of Prostate Cancer. *Eur. Urol.* **2022**, *81*, 243–250. [CrossRef]
- 58. Stolarova, L.; Kleiblova, P.; Janatova, M.; Soukupova, J.; Zemankova, P.; Macurek, L.; Kleibl, Z. CHEK2 Germline Variants in Cancer Predisposition: Stalemate Rather than Checkmate. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 2675. [CrossRef]
- Cybulski, C.; Huzarski, T.; Górski, B.; Masojć, B.; Mierzejewski, M.; Debniak, T.; Gliniewicz, B.; Matyjasik, J.; Złowocka, E.; Kurzawski, G.; et al. A novel founder CHEK2 mutation is associated with increased prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Res.* 2004, 64, 2677–2679. [CrossRef]
- 60. Hale, V.; Weischer, M.; Park, J.Y. CHEK2* 1100delC Mutation and Risk of Prostate Cancer. Prostate Cancer 2014, 2014, 294575. [CrossRef]
- 61. Tiong, K.H.; Mah, L.Y.; Leong, C.-O. Functional roles of fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs) signaling in human cancers. *Apoptosis* **2013**, *18*, 1447–1468. [CrossRef]
- 62. Courjal, F.; Cuny, M.; Simony-Lafontaine, J.; Louason, G.; Speiser, P.; Zeillinger, R.; Rodriguez, C.; Theillet, C. Mapping of DNA amplifications at 15 chromosomal localizations in 1875 breast tumors: Definition of phenotypic groups. *Cancer Res.* **1997**, *57*, 4360–4367.
- 63. Ruhe, J.E.; Streit, S.; Hart, S.; Wong, C.-H.; Specht, K.; Knyazev, P.; Knyazeva, T.; Tay, L.S.; Loo, H.L.; Foo, P.; et al. Genetic alterations in the tyrosine kinase transcriptome of human cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res.* 2007, *67*, 11368–11376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Greenman, C.; Stephens, P.; Smith, R.; Dalgliesh, G.L.; Hunter, C.; Bignell, G.; Davies, H.; Teague, J.; Butler, A.; Stevens, C.; et al. Patterns of somatic mutation in human cancer genomes. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 153–158. [CrossRef]
- 65. Hernandez, S.; de Muga, S.; Agell, L.; Juanpere, N.; Esgueva, R.; Lorente, J.A.; Mojal, S.; Serrano, S.; Lloreta, J. FGFR3 mutations in prostate cancer: Association with low-grade tumors. *Mod. Pathol.* **2009**, *22*, 848–856. [CrossRef]
- 66. Kim, J.H.; Jeong, S.Y.; Jang, H.J.; Park, S.T.; Kim, H.S. FGFR4 Gly388Arg Polymorphism Reveals a Poor Prognosis, Especially in Asian Cancer Patients: A Meta-Analysis. *Front. Oncol.* **2021**, *11*, 762528. [CrossRef]
- 67. Yang, F.; Zhang, Y.; Ressler, S.J.; Ittmann, M.M.; Ayala, G.E.; Dang, T.D.; Wang, F.; Rowley, D.R. FGFR1 is essential for prostate cancer progression and metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 2013, 73, 3716–3724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Ko, J.; Meyer, A.N.; Haas, M.; Donoghue, D.J. Characterization of FGFR signaling in prostate cancer stem cells and inhibition via TKI treatment. *Oncotarget* 2021, 12, 22–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chiodelli, P.; Coltrini, D.; Turati, M.; Cerasuolo, M.; Maccarinelli, F.; Rezzola, S.; Grillo, E.; Giacomini, A.; Taranto, S.; Mussi, S.; et al. FGFR blockade by pemigatinib treats naive and castration resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2022, 526, 217–224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Milioli, H.H.; Alexandrou, S.; Lim, E.; Caldon, C.E. Cyclin E1 and cyclin E2 in ER+ breast cancer: Prospects as biomarkers and therapeutic targets. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2020, 27, R93–R112. [CrossRef]
- Sonntag, R.; Penners, C.; Kohlhepp, M.; Haas, U.; Lambertz, D.; Kroh, A.; Cramer, T.; Ticconi, F.; Costa, I.G.; Tacke, F.; et al. Cyclin E1 in Murine and Human Liver Cancer: A Promising Target for Therapeutic Intervention during Tumour Progression. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 5680. [CrossRef]
- 72. Sonntag, R.; Giebeler, N.; Nevzorova, Y.A.; Bangen, J.M.; Fahrenkamp, D.; Lambertz, D.; Haas, U.; Hu, W.; Gassler, N.; Cubero, F.J.; et al. Cyclin E1 and cyclin-dependent kinase 2 are critical for initiation, but not for progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, 115, 9282–9287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Karst, A.M.; Jones, P.M.; Vena, N.; Ligon, A.H.; Liu, J.F.; Hirsch, M.S.; Etemadmoghadam, D.; Bowtell, D.D.; Drapkin, R. Cyclin E1 deregulation occurs early in secretory cell transformation to promote formation of fallopian tube–derived high-grade serous ovarian cancers. *Cancer Res.* 2014, 74, 1141–1152. [CrossRef]
- 74. Lundgren, C.; Ahlin, C.; Holmberg, L.; Amini, R.-M.; Fjallskog, M.-L.; Blomqvist, C. Cyclin E1 is a strong prognostic marker for death from lymph node negative breast cancer. A population-based case-control study. *Acta Oncol.* 2015, *54*, 538–544. [CrossRef]
- 75. Xu, H.; George, E.; Kinose, Y.; Kim, H.; Shah, J.B.; Peake, J.D.; Ferman, B.; Medvedev, S.; Murtha, T.; Barger, C.J.; et al. CCNE1 copy number is a biomarker for response to combination WEE1-ATR inhibition in ovarian and endometrial cancer models. *Cell Rep. Med.* 2021, 2, 100394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Hives, M.; Jurecekova, J.; Kliment, J.; Grendar, M.; Kaplan, P.; Dusenka, R.; Evin, D.; Vilckova, M.; Holeckova, K.H.; Sivonova, M.K. Role of Genetic Variations in CDK2, CCNE1 and p27(KIP1) in Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Genom. Proteom.* 2022, 19, 362–371. [CrossRef]
- Ju, L.-G.; Zhu, Y.; Long, Q.-Y.; Li, X.-J.; Lin, X.; Tang, S.-B.; Yin, L.; Xiao, Y.; Wang, X.; Li, L.; et al. SPOP suppresses prostate cancer through regulation of CYCLIN E1 stability. *Cell Death Differ.* 2019, 26, 1156–1168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Song, Y.; Xu, Y.; Pan, C.; Yan, L.; Wang, Z.-W.; Zhu, X. The emerging role of SPOP protein in tumorigenesis and cancer therapy. *Mol. Cancer* 2020, 19, 2. [CrossRef]
- 79. Mottet, N.; Bellmunt, J.; Briers, E.; Van den Bergh, R.; Bolla, M.; Van Casteren, N.; Cornford, P.; Culine, S.; Joniau, S.; Lam, T. Guidelines on prostate cancer. *Eur. Urol.* **2015**, *56*, 137.
- Sanda, M.G.; Cadeddu, J.A.; Kirkby, E.; Chen, R.C.; Crispino, T.; Fontanarosa, J.; Freedland, S.J.; Greene, K.; Klotz, L.H.; Makarov, D.V.; et al. Clinically localized prostate cancer: AUA/ASTRO/SUO guideline. Part I: Risk stratification, shared decision making, and care options. J. Urol. 2018, 199, 683–690. [CrossRef]
- 81. Lowrance, W.T.; Breau, R.H.; Chou, R.; Chapin, B.F.; Crispino, T.; Dreicer, R.; Jarrard, D.F.; Kibel, A.S.; Morgan, T.M.; Morgans, A.K.; et al. Advanced prostate cancer: AUA/ASTRO/SUO guideline part I. *J. Urol.* **2021**, 205, 14–21. [CrossRef]
- Gillessen, S.; Attard, G.; Beer, T.M.; Beltran, H.; Bjartell, A.; Bossi, A.; Briganti, A.; Bristow, R.G.; Chi, K.N.; Clarke, N.; et al. Management of patients with advanced prostate cancer: Report of the advanced prostate cancer consensus conference 2019. *Eur. Urol.* 2020, 77, 508–547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gallo, A.M.; Angst, D.B.; Knafl, K.A. Disclosure of genetic information within families. Am. J. Nurs. 2009, 109, 65–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Mersch, J.; Brown, N.; Pirzadeh-Miller, S.; Mundt, E.; Cox, H.C.; Brown, K.; Aston, M.; Esterling, L.; Manley, S.; Ross, T. Prevalence of Variant Reclassification Following Hereditary Cancer Genetic Testing. *JAMA* 2018, 320, 1266–1274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Rose, M.; Burgess, J.T.; O'Byrne, K.; Richard, D.J.; Bolderson, E. PARP Inhibitors: Clinical Relevance, Mechanisms of Action and Tumor Resistance. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2020**, *8*, 564601. [CrossRef]
- Abida, W.; Patnaik, A.; Campbell, D.; Shapiro, J.; Bryce, A.H.; McDermott, R.; Sautois, B.; Vogelzang, N.J.; Bambury, R.M.; Voog, E.; et al. Rucaparib in Men With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Harboring a BRCA1 or BRCA2 Gene Alteration. J. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 38, 3763–3772. [CrossRef]
- de Bono, J.; Mateo, J.; Fizazi, K.; Saad, F.; Shore, N.; Sandhu, S.; Chi, K.N.; Sartor, O.; Agarwal, N.; Olmos, D.; et al. Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 382, 2091–2102. [CrossRef]
- Agarwal, N.; Azad, A.; Shore, N.D.; Carles, J.; Fay, A.P.; Dunshee, C.; Karsh, L.I.; Paccagnella, M.L.; Santo, N.D.; Elmeliegy, M.; et al. Talazoparib plus enzalutamide in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: TALAPRO-2 phase III study design. *Future Oncol.* 2022, *18*, 425–436. [CrossRef]
- Janssen Announces, U.S. FDA Breakthrough Therapy Designation Granted for Niraparib for the Treatment of Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer [Press Release]; Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson: Raritan, NJ, USA, 2019; Available online: https://bit.ly/2pBBuvx (accessed on 3 October 2019).
- 90. Smith, M.R.; Sandhu, S.K.; Kelly, W.K.; Scher, H.I.; Efstathiou, E.; Lara, P.N.; Yu, E.Y.; George, D.J.; Chi, K.N.; Saad, F.; et al. LBA50– Pre-specified interim analysis of GALAHAD: A phase II study of niraparib in patients (pts) with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) and biallelic DNA-repair gene defects (DRD). Ann. Oncol. 2019, 30, v884–v885. [CrossRef]
- Isaacsson Velho, P.; Antonarakis, E.S. PD-1/PD-L1 pathway inhibitors in advanced prostate cancer. *Expert Rev. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2018, 11, 475–486. [CrossRef]
- 92. Phase II Study of PARP Inhibitor Olaparib and IV Ascorbate in Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05501548?cond=PARP+inhibitors&draw=2&rank=7 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 93. Telaglenastat + Talazoparib in Prostate Cancer. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04824937?term= prostate&cond=PARP+inhibitors&draw=3&rank=3 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 94. Niraparib Before Surgery in Treating Patients with High Risk Localized Prostate Cancer and DNA Damage Response Defects. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04030559?term=prostate&cond=PARP+inhibitors&draw=3&rank=5 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 95. Talazoparib in Combination With Belinostat for Metastatic Breast Cancer, Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer, and Metastatic Ovarian Cancer. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04703920?term=prostate&cond=PARP+ inhibitors&draw=3&rank=8 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 96. Pamiparib in mCRPC with HRD or BRCA1/2 Mutation. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05327621? term=prostate&cond=PARP+inhibitors&draw=3&rank=12 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 97. Measuring the Effects of Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Cancer and DNA Repair Variations. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04550494?term=prostate&cond=PARP+inhibitors&draw=3&rank=17 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 98. An Efficacy and Safety Study of Niraparib in Men with Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer and DNA-Repair Anomalies (Galahad). Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT02854436?term=prostate&cond=PARP+ inhibitors&draw=3&rank=18 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- Study of Oral Rucaparib With Other Anticancer Agents in Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer Patients (RAMP) (RAMP). Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04179396?term=prostate&cond=PARP+inhibitors&draw=3& rank=20 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 100. Fizazi, K.; Piulats, J.M.; Reaume, M.N.; Ostler, P.; McDermott, R.; Gingerich, J.R.; Pintus, E.; Sridhar, S.S.; Bambury, R.M.; Emmenegger, U.; et al. Rucaparib or Physician's Choice in Metastatic Prostate Cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2023, 388, 719–732. [CrossRef]

- Study of Talazoparib with Enzalutamide in Men With DDR Gene Mutated mCSPC. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT04821622?term=prostate&cond=PARP+inhibitors&draw=3&rank=25 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 102. Domchek, S.M.; Aghajanian, C.; Shapira-Frommer, R.; Schmutzler, R.K.; Audeh, M.W.; Friedlander, M.; Balmana, J.; Mitchell, G.; Fried, G.; Stemmer, S.M.; et al. Efficacy and safety of olaparib monotherapy in germline *BRCA1/2* mutation carriers with advanced ovarian cancer and three or more lines of prior therapy. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 2016, 140, 199–203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Menendez, J.A.; Lupu, R. Fatty acid synthase (FASN) as a therapeutic target in breast cancer. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 2017, 21, 1001–1016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Galbraith, L.; Leung, H.Y.; Ahmad, I. Lipid pathway deregulation in advanced prostate cancer. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2018**, *131*, 177–184. [CrossRef]
- 105. Ji, Z.; Shen, Y.; Feng, X.; Kong, Y.; Shao, Y.; Meng, J.; Zhang, X.; Yang, G. Deregulation of Lipid Metabolism: The Critical Factors in Ovarian Cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 2020, 10, 593017. [CrossRef]
- 106. Mounier, C.; Bouraoui, L.; Rassart, E. Lipogenesis in cancer progression (review). Int. J. Oncol. 2014, 45, 485–492. [CrossRef]
- 107. Swinnen, J.V.; Heemers, H.; van de Sande, T.; de Schrijver, E.; Brusselmans, K.; Heyns, W.; Verhoeven, G. Androgens, lipogenesis and prostate cancer. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2004, *92*, 273–279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Jones, S.F.; Infante, J.R. Molecular Pathways: Fatty Acid Synthase. Clin. Cancer Res. 2015, 21, 5434–5438. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. FASN Inhibitor TVB-2640 and Trastuzumab in Combination With Paclitaxel or Endocrine Therapy for the Treatment of HER2 Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03179904?cond=FASN+inhibitor& draw=2&rank=1 (accessed on 3 March 2023).
- 110. Weill Medical College of Cornell University. *Study of TVB-2640 in Men With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer;* U.S. National Library of Medicine: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2023.
- 111. TVB- 2640 in Combination With Bevacizumab in Patients With First Relapse of High Grade Astrocytoma. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03032484?cond=TVB-2640&draw=2&rank=10 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- Phase 2 Study of TVB-2640 in KRAS Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinomas. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03808558?cond=TVB-2640&draw=2&rank=3 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 113. TVB 2640 for Resectable Colon Cancer Other Resectable Cancers; A Window Trial. Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02980029?cond=TVB-2640&draw=2&rank=8 (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- 114. Falchook, G.; Infante, J.; Arkenau, H.-T.; Patel, M.R.; Dean, E.; Borazanci, E.; Brenner, A.; Cook, N.; Lopez, J.; Pant, S.; et al. First-in-human study of the safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of first-in-class fatty acid synthase inhibitor TVB-2640 alone and with a taxane in advanced tumors. *EClinicalMedicine* 2021, 34, 100797. [CrossRef]
- 115. Bluemn, E.G.; Coleman, I.M.; Lucas, J.M.; Coleman, R.T.; Hernandez-Lopez, S.; Tharakan, R.; Bianchi-Frias, D.; Dumpit, R.F.; Kaipainen, A.; Corella, A.N.; et al. Androgen Receptor Pathway-Independent Prostate Cancer Is Sustained through FGF Signaling. *Cancer Cell* 2017, 32, 474–489.e6. [CrossRef]
- 116. FDA. PEMAZYRE®(Pemigatinib). Available online: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/213736s002 lbl.pdf (accessed on 8 March 2023).
- Fujita, K.; Nonomura, N. Role of Androgen Receptor in Prostate Cancer: A Review. World J. Men's Health 2019, 37, 288–295. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.