

Instructional Online Supplement to

“Using Structural Equation Modeling to Reproduce and Extend ANOVA-based Generalizability Theory Analyses for Psychological Assessments”

Walter P. Vispoel, Hyeryung Lee, Tingting Chen, & Hyeri Hong

Table of Contents

1. GT Analyses Using <i>GENOVA</i>	2
1.1. Data Preparation for <i>GENOVA</i> Analyses.....	2
1.2. <i>GENOVA</i> Sample Control Card for $p \times i$ Design	3
1.3. <i>GENOVA</i> Sample Control Card for $p \times i \times o$ Design.....	4
2. GT Analysis using the R <i>lavaan</i> Package.....	5
2.1. Data Preparation for <i>lavaan</i> GT analyses	5
2.2. Descriptive Statistics and Reliabilities - Occasions 1 & 2	6
2.3. $p \times i$ design using <i>lavaan</i> - Occasion 1	6
2.4. $p \times i \times o$ design using <i>lavaan</i>	10
3. Tables with Key Formulas for Illustrated Generalizability Theory Designs.....	19
4. Abbreviations Used Throughout the Supplement.....	21
References.....	22

1. GT Analyses Using GENOVA

GENOVA (Crick & Brennan, 1983) is an ANSI FORTRAN computer program used for univariate generalizability analyses with complete, balanced designs. It has both G and D study capabilities. 'GENOVA' and its manual can be downloaded from the following website:

<http://www.education.uiowa.edu/centers/casma/computer-programs>.

Data used in this supplement represent responses to IPIP-BFM-100 Extraversion scale (20 items on a 5-point scale; see Goldberg, 1999) for two separate occasions.

1.1. Data Preparation for GENOVA Analyses

When using 'GENOVA' to perform G-theory analysis, data must be arranged in a wide format that has a column for each variable. Tables S1 and S2 depict this wide format.

Table S1. Example Data Structure for GENOVA $p \times i$ design — Occasion 1

ID	Time1_Item1	Time1_Item2	Time1_Item3	...	Time1_Item20
1	1	4	4	...	4
2	2	2	3	...	2
3	2	4	4	...	2
4	3	4	4	...	2
5	2	2	2	...	3

Corresponding input text file for this structure is as follows for the first 5 observations:

1 4 4 2 4 4 1 2 1 4 4 3 4 4 1 5 4 2 3 4	PERSON 1
2 2 3 2 3 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 2 3 2	PERSON 2
2 4 4 4 4 4 4 2 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 5 4 2	PERSON 3
3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 2 4 4 4 4 5 4 2	PERSON 4
2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 1 3 1 2 3	PERSON 5

Table S2. Example Data Structure for GENOVA $p \times i \times o$ design

I D	Time1_Item 1	Time1_Item 2	...	Time1_Item2 0	Time2_Item 1	Time2_Item 2	...	Time2_Item2 0
1	1	4	...	4	1	4	...	4
2	2	2	...	2	2	2	...	2
3	2	4	...	2	3	4	...	4
4	3	4	...	2	4	4	...	4
5	2	2	...	3	3	3	...	3

Corresponding input text file for this structure is as follows for the first 5 observations:

1 4 4 2 4 4 1 2 1 4 4 3 4 4 1 5 4 2 3 4 1 4 4 2 4 4 1 2 2 2 4 4 4 2 4 4 2 2 4	PERSON 1
2 2 3 2 3 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 4 4 3 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 3 3 3 3 2 3 2	PERSON 2
2 4 4 4 4 4 4 2 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 5 4 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 2 4 2 4 4 2 4 3 4 4 5 4 4	PERSON 3
3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 2 4 4 4 4 5 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 5 4 4 3 3 3 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4	PERSON 4
2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 1 3 1 2 3 3 3 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 4 2 3 2 3 2 3 3	PERSON 5

1.2. GENOVA Sample Control Card for $p \times i$ Design

```
GSTUDY      IPIP-BFM-100 Extraversion - p x i Occasion 1
OPTIONS     RECORDS 10
EFFECT      * P 359 0
EFFECT      + I 20 0
FORMAT      (20F2.0)
PROCESS     7
DSTUDY      IPIP-BFM-100 Extraversion - p x i Occasion 1
DOPTIONS    NEGATIVE
DEFFECT     $ P
DEFFECT     I 20
ENDDSTUDY
FINISH
```

- First EFFECT card: Number of observations for 'P' = 359; The '0' indicates 'P' is a random effect
- Second EFFECT card: Number of items = 5; The '0' indicates 'I' is a random effect
- FORMAT card: Number of variables per row = 20
- PROCESS card: GENOVA would request an input data file associated with the integer parameter of 7
- DOPTIONS card: Do not replace negative variances with zero
- First DEFFECT card: The default sample size of 'P' (n = 359) from the G study is applied to the D study
- Second DEFFECT card: Number of items for the D study = 20

Output from the above control card is shown below:

OBJECT OF MEASUREMENT : P			FACETS : I		
G STUDY POPULATION SIZE : INFINITE			G STUDY UNIVERSE SIZES : INFINITE		
D STUDY POPULATION SIZE : INFINITE			D STUDY UNIVERSE SIZES : INFINITE		
D STUDY SAMPLE SIZE : 359			D STUDY SAMPLE SIZES : 20		

VARIANCE COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF G STUDY UNIVERSE (OF ADMISSIBLE OBSERVATIONS) SIZES			VARIANCE COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF D STUDY UNIVERSE (OF GENERALIZATION) SIZES		

		VARIANCE COMPONENTS FOR MEAN SCORES		VARIANCE COMPONENTS FOR MEAN SCORES	
		FINITE D STUDY		FINITE D STUDY	
		UNIVERSE SAMPLING		UNIVERSE SAMPLING	
		COR- FRE-		COR- FRE-	
		RECTIONS QUENCIES		RECTIONS QUENCIES	
		ESTIMATES	STANDARD	ESTIMATES	
		OBSERVATIONS	ERRORS	OBSERVATIONS	

EFFECT					
P	0.43496	1.0000	1	0.43496	0.03510
I	0.17115	1.0000	20	0.00856	0.00267
PI	0.71871	1.0000	20	0.03594	0.00062

QFM = QUADRATIC FORM					

		STANDARD	STANDARD		
		VARIANCE	ERROR OF		
		DEVIATION	VARIANCE		
UNIVERSE SCORE		0.43496	0.65951	0.03510	
EXPECTED OBSERVED SCORE		0.47089	0.68622	0.03510	
LOWER CASE DELTA		0.03594	0.18957	0.00062	
UPPER CASE DELTA		0.04449	0.21093	0.00274	
MEAN		0.00987	0.09934		
				GENERALIZABILITY COEFFICIENT = 0.92369 (12.10372)	
				PHI = 0.90720 (9.77579)	

1.3. GENOVA Sample Control Card for $p \times i \times o$ Design

```

GSTUDY      IPIP-BFM-100 Extraversion - p x i x o
OPTIONS     RECORDS 10
EFFECT      * P 359 0
EFFECT      0 2 0
EFFECT      I 20 0
FORMAT      (40F2.0)
PROCESS     9
DSTUDY      IPIP-BFM-100 Extraversion - p x i x o
DOPTIONS    NEGATIVE
DEFFECT     $ P
DEFFECT     0 1
DEFFECT     I 20
ENDDSTUDY
FINISH

```

- First EFFECT card: Number of observations for 'P' = 359; The '0' indicates 'P' is a random effect
- Second EFFECT card: Number of occasions = 5; The '0' indicates 'O' is a random effect
- Third EFFECT card: Number of items = 5; The '0' indicates 'I' is a random effect
- FORMAT card: Number of variables per row = 40
- PROCESS card: GENOVA would request an input data file associated with the integer parameter of 9
- DOPTIONS card: Do not replace negative variances with zero
- First DEFFECT card: The default sample size of 'P' (n = 359) from the G study is applied to the D study

- Second DEFFECT card: Number of occasions for the D study = 1
- Third DEFFECT card: Number of items for the D study = 20

Output from the above control card is shown below:

OBJECT OF MEASUREMENT : P			FACETS : O			I					
G STUDY POPULATION SIZE : INFINITE			G STUDY UNIVERSE SIZES : INFINITE			INFINITE					
D STUDY POPULATION SIZE : INFINITE			D STUDY UNIVERSE SIZES : INFINITE			INFINITE					
D STUDY SAMPLE SIZE : 359			D STUDY SAMPLE SIZES : 1			20					
VARIANCE COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF G STUDY UNIVERSE (OF ADMISSIBLE OBSERVATIONS) SIZES						VARIANCE COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF D STUDY UNIVERSE (OF GENERALIZATION) SIZES					
EFFECT	VARIANCE COMPONENTS FOR SINGLE OBSERVATIONS	FINITE UNIVERSE COR- RECTIONS	D STUDY SAMPLING FRE- QUENCIES	VARIANCE COMPONENTS FOR MEAN SCORES		VARIANCE COMPONENTS FOR SINGLE OBSERVATIONS	FINITE UNIVERSE COR- RECTIONS	D STUDY SAMPLING FRE- QUENCIES	VARIANCE COMPONENTS FOR MEAN SCORES		
				ESTIMATES	STANDARD ERRORS				ESTIMATES	STANDARD ERRORS	
P	0.42853	1.0000	1	0.42853	0.03471	0.42853	1.0000	1	0.42853	0.03471	
O	0.00132	1.0000	1	0.00132	0.00122	0.00132	1.0000	1	0.00132	0.00122	
I	0.15136	1.0000	20	0.00757	0.00237	0.15136	1.0000	20	0.00757	0.00237	
PO	0.02070	1.0000	1	0.02070	0.00269	0.02070	1.0000	1	0.02070	0.00269	
PI	0.37452	1.0000	20	0.01873	0.00047	0.37452	1.0000	20	0.01873	0.00047	
OI	0.00147	1.0000	20	0.00007	0.00004	0.00147	1.0000	20	0.00007	0.00004	
POI	0.30498	1.0000	20	0.01525	0.00026	0.30498	1.0000	20	0.01525	0.00026	
QFM = QUADRATIC FORM											
				STANDARD VARIANCE		STANDARD ERROR OF VARIANCE					
UNIVERSE SCORE				0.42853	0.65462	0.03471					
EXPECTED OBSERVED SCORE				0.48321	0.69513	0.03470					
LOWER CASE DELTA				0.05468	0.23383	0.00272		GENERALIZABILITY COEFFICIENT = 0.88684 (7.83728)			
UPPER CASE DELTA				0.06364	0.25228	0.00380		PHI = 0.87069 (6.73320)			
MEAN				0.01031	0.10155						

2. GT Analysis using the R *lavaan* Package

The *lavaan* package in R (Rosseel, 2012; Rosseel et al., 2023) is a free open-source package for latent variable modeling. Sample code is provided below for using the package for GT analyses, including estimation of variance components, G coefficients, and D coefficients as well as their respective Monte Carlo confidence intervals for the $p \times i$ and $p \times i \times o$ designs.

2.1. Data Preparation for *lavaan* GT analyses

Data again represent responses to IPIP-BFM-100 Extraversion scale (20 items on a 5-point scale; see Goldberg, 1999) for two separate occasions. Following essential data cleaning, the dataset as well as relevant R libraries are loaded. These libraries include: *lavaan* (Rosseel, 2012; Rosseel et al., 2023), *semTools* (Jorgensen et al., 2022), *psych* (Revelle, 2022), *dplyr* (Wickham et al., 2015), *lemon* (Edwards, 2019), and *knitr* (Xie, 2022). All item variables are renamed in the format of t1_1-t1_20 for Occasion 1 and t2_1-t2_20 for Occasion 2.

```
library(lavaan)
library(semTools)
```

```

library(psych)
library(dplyr)
library(lemon)
library(knitr)
knit_print.data.frame <- lemon_print
options(scipen = 100)

ipip <- read.csv('IPIP_ext.csv')
names(ipip)<- c(paste0("t",1,"_",1:20),paste0("t",2,"_",1:20))

```

2.2. Descriptive Statistics and Reliabilities - Occasions 1 & 2

```

rel <- data.frame("IPIP" = c(mean(rowSums(ipip[,1:20], na.rm = TRUE)),sd(rowSums(ipip[,1:20], na.rm = TRUE)),alpha(ipip[,1:20], na.rm = TRUE)$total$raw_alpha, mean(rowSums(ipip[,21:40]), na.rm = TRUE),sd(rowSums(ipip[,21:40]), na.rm = TRUE),alpha(ipip[,21:40], na.rm = TRUE)$total$raw_alpha, cor(rowSums(ipip[,1:20], na.rm = TRUE), rowSums(ipip[,21:40], na.rm = TRUE))))
row.names(rel) <- c("Occasion 1 Mean", "Occasion 1 SD","Occasion 1 Alpha",
                   "Occasion 2 Mean", "Occasion 2 SD","Occasion 2 Alpha",
                   "Test-retest")

rel

```

```

##              IPIP
## Occasion 1 Mean  64.2200557
## Occasion 1 SD    13.7243021
## Occasion 1 Alpha  0.9236858
## Occasion 2 Mean  65.3147632
## Occasion 2 SD    14.0787323
## Occasion 2 Alpha  0.9353930
## Test-retest      0.9258974

```

2.3. $p \times i$ design using *lavaan* - Occasion 1

R code for estimating ULS and WLSMV estimates for variance components and reliability-like coefficients for the $p \times i$ design (Occasion 1 only) using the *lavaan* package is shown below.

```

## Specify the model

model_pi <- '
# Define person factor
Person =~ 1*t1_1 + 1*t1_2 + 1*t1_3 + 1*t1_4 + 1*t1_5 +
1*t1_6 + 1*t1_7 + 1*t1_8 + 1*t1_9 + 1*t1_10 +
1*t1_11 + 1*t1_12 + 1*t1_13 + 1*t1_14 + 1*t1_15 +
1*t1_16 + 1*t1_17 + 1*t1_18 + 1*t1_19 + 1*t1_20

# Specify person factor mean and variance
Person ~ mu_p*1
Person ~~ v_p*Person

# Specify item intercepts

```

```

t1_1 ~ mu1*1
t1_2 ~ mu2*1
t1_3 ~ mu3*1
t1_4 ~ mu4*1
t1_5 ~ mu5*1
t1_6 ~ mu6*1
t1_7 ~ mu7*1
t1_8 ~ mu8*1
t1_9 ~ mu9*1
t1_10 ~ mu10*1
t1_11 ~ mu11*1
t1_12 ~ mu12*1
t1_13 ~ mu13*1
t1_14 ~ mu14*1
t1_15 ~ mu15*1
t1_16 ~ mu16*1
t1_17 ~ mu17*1
t1_18 ~ mu18*1
t1_19 ~ mu19*1
t1_20 ~ mu20*1

# Apply effect-coding constraints
mu20 == -1*(mu1 + mu2 + mu3 + mu4 + mu5 +
            mu6 + mu7 + mu8 + mu9 + mu10 +
            mu11 + mu12 + mu13 + mu14 + mu15 +
            mu16 + mu17 + mu18 + mu19)

# Define item variance
v_i := (mu1^2 + mu2^2 + mu3^2 + mu4^2 + mu5^2 +
        mu6^2 + mu7^2 + mu8^2 + mu9^2 + mu10^2 +
        mu11^2 + mu12^2 + mu13^2 + mu14^2 + mu15^2 +
        mu16^2 + mu17^2 + mu18^2 + mu19^2 + mu20^2)/(20-1)

# Specify p x i interaction variance (+ error)
t1_1 ~~ v_pi*t1_1
t1_2 ~~ v_pi*t1_2
t1_3 ~~ v_pi*t1_3
t1_4 ~~ v_pi*t1_4
t1_5 ~~ v_pi*t1_5
t1_6 ~~ v_pi*t1_6
t1_7 ~~ v_pi*t1_7
t1_8 ~~ v_pi*t1_8
t1_9 ~~ v_pi*t1_9
t1_10 ~~ v_pi*t1_10
t1_11 ~~ v_pi*t1_11
t1_12 ~~ v_pi*t1_12
t1_13 ~~ v_pi*t1_13
t1_14 ~~ v_pi*t1_14
t1_15 ~~ v_pi*t1_15
t1_16 ~~ v_pi*t1_16

```

```

t1_17 ~~ v_pi*t1_17
t1_18 ~~ v_pi*t1_18
t1_19 ~~ v_pi*t1_19
t1_20 ~~ v_pi*t1_20

# Define variance components and G, global D, and cut-score specific D
# coefficients
## Number of items = 20; Number of people = 359
## Cut score = 2 standard deviations away from the mean
vc_p := v_p
vc_pi := v_pi
vc_i := v_i
G := v_p / (v_p + v_pi/20)
D := v_p / (v_p + v_pi/20 + v_i/20)
CS_D := (v_p + (4*v_p/G - v_p/359 - v_pi/(359*20) - v_i/20)) /
(v_p + (4*v_p/G - v_p/359 - v_pi/(359*20) - v_i/20) + v_pi/20 + v_i/20)
## Correction for bias applied '

## Specify item thresholds for WLSMV estimation

thre_pi <-
't1_1 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_2 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_3 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_4 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_5 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_6 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_7 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_8 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_9 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_10 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_11 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_12 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_13 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_14 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_15 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_16 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_17 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_18 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_19 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_20 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4'

## Get estimates of variance components and reliability-like coefficient
## using ULS

pi_uls <- lavaan(model_pi, data = ipip, estimator = "ULS")
uls_est <- select(data.frame(parameterEstimates(pi_uls)),label,est,se)

```



```
## Get Monte Carlo confidence intervals (90%) for the above ULS-based
## estimates

set.seed(1234)
uls_mc <- data.frame(monteCarloCI(pi_uls, level = 0.9))
uls_mc <- cbind(label= rownames(uls_mc),uls_mc) %>% subset(select=-est)

uls_all <- merge (uls_est, uls_mc, by = "label", sort=F) %>% filter(label %in%
% c("vc_p","vc_pi","vc_i","G","D","CS_D")) %>% subset(select=-label)
colnames(uls_all) = c("Estimate","Standard Error","90% CI - LL", "90% CI - UL
")
rownames(uls_all) = c("Person","Person x item and other error","Item","G coef
ficient","Global D coefficient","Cut-score specific D coefficient")
```

Table S3. Variance Components, G Coefficients, and D Coefficients for GT pi Observed Score Design

	Estimate	Standard Error	90% CI - LL	90% CI - UL
Person	0.43496	0.00383	0.42860	0.44127
Person x item and other error	0.71871	0.01242	0.69815	0.73928
Item	0.17315	0.01009	0.15937	0.19274
G coefficient	0.92369	0.00153	0.92112	0.92622
Global D coefficient	0.90701	0.00184	0.90369	0.90976
Cut-score specific D coefficient	0.98105	0.00039	0.98034	0.98163

```
## Get estimates of variance components and reliability-like coefficients
## using WLSMV

pi_wlsmv <- lavaan(model = c(model_pi, thre_pi), data = ipip, estimator = "W
LSMV", parameterization = "theta", ordered = names(ipip[,1:20]))
wlsmv_est <- select(data.frame(parameterEstimates(pi_wlsmv)),label,est,se)

## Get Monte Carlo confidence intervals (90%) for the above WLSMV-based
## estimates

wlsmv_mc <- data.frame(monteCarloCI(pi_wlsmv, level = 0.9))
wlsmv_mc <- cbind(label= rownames(wlsmv_mc),wlsmv_mc) %>% subset(select=-est)

wlsmv_all <- merge (wlsmv_est, wlsmv_mc, by = "label", sort=F) %>% filter(lab
el %in% c("vc_p","vc_pi","vc_i","G","D","CS_D")) %>% subset(select=-label)
colnames(wlsmv_all) = c("Estimate","Standard Error","90% CI - LL", "90% CI -
UL")
rownames(wlsmv_all) = c("Person","Person x item and other error","Item","G co
efficient","Global D coefficient","Cut-score specific D coefficient")
```

Table S4. *Variance Components, G Coefficients, and D Coefficients for GT pi CLRV Design*

	Estimate	Standard Error	90% CI - LL	90% CI - UL
Person	1.85390	0.12720	1.64739	2.06039
Person \times item and other error	1.98439	0.03194	1.93214	2.03673
Item	0.60615	0.03828	0.55155	0.67745
G coefficient	0.94920	0.00334	0.94311	0.95409
Global D coefficient	0.93470	0.00425	0.92673	0.94083
Cut-score specific D coefficient	0.98673	0.00088	0.98508	0.98799

2.4. $p \times i \times o$ design using *lavaan*

R code for estimating ULS and WLSMV estimates for variance components, reliability-like coefficients, and proportions of measurement error for the $p \times i \times o$ design using the *lavaan* package is shown below.

```
model_pio <- '
# Define the person factor
Person =~ 1*t1_1 + 1*t1_2 + 1*t1_3 + 1*t1_4 + 1*t1_5 +
1*t1_6 + 1*t1_7 + 1*t1_8 + 1*t1_9 + 1*t1_10 +
1*t1_11 + 1*t1_12 + 1*t1_13 + 1*t1_14 + 1*t1_15 +
1*t1_16 + 1*t1_17 + 1*t1_18 + 1*t1_19 + 1*t1_20 +
1*t2_1 + 1*t2_2 + 1*t2_3 + 1*t2_4 + 1*t2_5 +
1*t2_6 + 1*t2_7 + 1*t2_8 + 1*t2_9 + 1*t2_10 +
1*t2_11 + 1*t2_12 + 1*t2_13 + 1*t2_14 + 1*t2_15 +
1*t2_16 + 1*t2_17 + 1*t2_18 + 1*t2_19 + 1*t2_20

# Define the item factors
Item1 =~ 1*t1_1 + 1*t2_1
Item2 =~ 1*t1_2 + 1*t2_2
Item3 =~ 1*t1_3 + 1*t2_3
Item4 =~ 1*t1_4 + 1*t2_4
Item5 =~ 1*t1_5 + 1*t2_5
Item6 =~ 1*t1_6 + 1*t2_6
Item7 =~ 1*t1_7 + 1*t2_7
Item8 =~ 1*t1_8 + 1*t2_8
Item9 =~ 1*t1_9 + 1*t2_9
Item10 =~ 1*t1_10 + 1*t2_10
Item11 =~ 1*t1_11 + 1*t2_11
Item12 =~ 1*t1_12 + 1*t2_12
Item13 =~ 1*t1_13 + 1*t2_13
Item14 =~ 1*t1_14 + 1*t2_14
Item15 =~ 1*t1_15 + 1*t2_15
Item16 =~ 1*t1_16 + 1*t2_16
Item17 =~ 1*t1_17 + 1*t2_17
Item18 =~ 1*t1_18 + 1*t2_18
Item19 =~ 1*t1_19 + 1*t2_19
Item20 =~ 1*t1_20 + 1*t2_20
```

```

# Define the occasion factors
Occasion1 =~ 1*t1_1 + 1*t1_2 + 1*t1_3 + 1*t1_4 + 1*t1_5 +
1*t1_6 + 1*t1_7 + 1*t1_8 + 1*t1_9 + 1*t1_10 +
1*t1_11 + 1*t1_12 + 1*t1_13 + 1*t1_14 + 1*t1_15 +
1*t1_16 + 1*t1_17 + 1*t1_18 + 1*t1_19 + 1*t1_20

Occasion2 =~ 1*t2_1 + 1*t2_2 + 1*t2_3 + 1*t2_4 + 1*t2_5 +
1*t2_6 + 1*t2_7 + 1*t2_8 + 1*t2_9 + 1*t2_10 +
1*t2_11 + 1*t2_12 + 1*t2_13 + 1*t2_14 + 1*t2_15 +
1*t2_16 + 1*t2_17 + 1*t2_18 + 1*t2_19 + 1*t2_20

# Specify factor means
Person ~ mu_p*1
Occasion1 ~ mu_o1*1
Occasion2 ~ mu_o2*1
Item1 ~ mu_i1*1
Item2 ~ mu_i2*1
Item3 ~ mu_i3*1
Item4 ~ mu_i4*1
Item5 ~ mu_i5*1
Item6 ~ mu_i6*1
Item7 ~ mu_i7*1
Item8 ~ mu_i8*1
Item9 ~ mu_i9*1
Item10 ~ mu_i10*1
Item11 ~ mu_i11*1
Item12 ~ mu_i12*1
Item13 ~ mu_i13*1
Item14 ~ mu_i14*1
Item15 ~ mu_i15*1
Item16 ~ mu_i16*1
Item17 ~ mu_i17*1
Item18 ~ mu_i18*1
Item19 ~ mu_i19*1
Item20 ~ mu_i20*1

# Specify item intercepts
t1_1 ~ mu1_1*1
t1_2 ~ mu1_2*1
t1_3 ~ mu1_3*1
t1_4 ~ mu1_4*1
t1_5 ~ mu1_5*1
t1_6 ~ mu1_6*1
t1_7 ~ mu1_7*1
t1_8 ~ mu1_8*1
t1_9 ~ mu1_9*1
t1_10 ~ mu1_10*1
t1_11 ~ mu1_11*1

```

```

t1_12 ~ mu1_12*1
t1_13 ~ mu1_13*1
t1_14 ~ mu1_14*1
t1_15 ~ mu1_15*1
t1_16 ~ mu1_16*1
t1_17 ~ mu1_17*1
t1_18 ~ mu1_18*1
t1_19 ~ mu1_19*1
t1_20 ~ mu1_20*1
t2_1 ~ mu2_1*1
t2_2 ~ mu2_2*1
t2_3 ~ mu2_3*1
t2_4 ~ mu2_4*1
t2_5 ~ mu2_5*1
t2_6 ~ mu2_6*1
t2_7 ~ mu2_7*1
t2_8 ~ mu2_8*1
t2_9 ~ mu2_9*1
t2_10 ~ mu2_10*1
t2_11 ~ mu2_11*1
t2_12 ~ mu2_12*1
t2_13 ~ mu2_13*1
t2_14 ~ mu2_14*1
t2_15 ~ mu2_15*1
t2_16 ~ mu2_16*1
t2_17 ~ mu2_17*1
t2_18 ~ mu2_18*1
t2_19 ~ mu2_19*1
t2_20 ~ mu2_20*1

# Apply effect-coding constraints
## Item intercepts sum to 0 for the person factor
mu2_20 == -1*(mu1_1 + mu1_2 + mu1_3 + mu1_4 + mu1_5 +
              mu1_6 + mu1_7 + mu1_8 + mu1_9 + mu1_10 +
              mu1_11 + mu1_12 + mu1_13 + mu1_14 + mu1_15 +
              mu1_16 + mu1_17 + mu1_18 + mu1_19 + mu1_20 +
              mu2_1 + mu2_2 + mu2_3 + mu2_4 + mu2_5 +
              mu2_6 + mu2_7 + mu2_8 + mu2_9 + mu2_10 +
              mu2_11 + mu2_12 + mu2_13 + mu2_14 + mu2_15 +
              mu2_16 + mu2_17 + mu2_18 + mu2_19)

## Item intercepts sum to 0 for the item factors
mu2_1 == -1*mu1_1
mu2_2 == -1*mu1_2
mu2_3 == -1*mu1_3
mu2_4 == -1*mu1_4
mu2_5 == -1*mu1_5
mu2_6 == -1*mu1_6
mu2_7 == -1*mu1_7
mu2_8 == -1*mu1_8

```

```

mu2_9 == -1*mu1_9
mu2_10 == -1*mu1_10
mu2_11 == -1*mu1_11
mu2_12 == -1*mu1_12
mu2_13 == -1*mu1_13
mu2_14 == -1*mu1_14
mu2_15 == -1*mu1_15
mu2_16 == -1*mu1_16
mu2_17 == -1*mu1_17
mu2_18 == -1*mu1_18
mu2_19 == -1*mu1_19
mu2_20 == -1*mu1_20

## Item intercepts sum to 0 for the occasion factors
mu1_20 == -1*(mu1_1 + mu1_2 + mu1_3 + mu1_4 + mu1_5 +
             mu1_6 + mu1_7 + mu1_8 + mu1_9 + mu1_10 +
             mu1_11 + mu1_12 + mu1_13 + mu1_14 + mu1_15 +
             mu1_16 + mu1_17 + mu1_18 + mu1_19)
mu2_20 == -1*(mu2_1 + mu2_2 + mu2_3 + mu2_4 + mu2_5 +
             mu2_6 + mu2_7 + mu2_8 + mu2_9 + mu2_10 +
             mu2_11 + mu2_12 + mu2_13 + mu2_14 + mu2_15 +
             mu2_16 + mu2_17 + mu2_18 + mu2_19)

## Item factor means sum to 0
mu_i20 == -1*(mu_i1 + mu_i2 + mu_i3 + mu_i4 + mu_i5 +
             mu_i6 + mu_i7 + mu_i8 + mu_i9 + mu_i10 +
             mu_i11 + mu_i12 + mu_i13 + mu_i14 + mu_i15 +
             mu_i16 + mu_i17 + mu_i18 + mu_i19)

## Occasion factor means sum to 0
mu_o2 == -1 *(mu_o1)

# Specify person factor variance
Person ~~ v_p*Person

# Identify item factor variance
v_i := (mu_i1^2 + mu_i2^2 + mu_i3^2 + mu_i4^2 + mu_i5^2 +
        mu_i6^2 + mu_i7^2 + mu_i8^2 + mu_i9^2 + mu_i10^2 +
        mu_i11^2 + mu_i12^2 + mu_i13^2 + mu_i14^2 + mu_i15^2 +
        mu_i16^2 + mu_i17^2 + mu_i18^2 + mu_i19^2 + mu_i20^2)/19

# Identify occasion factor variance
v_o := (mu_o1^2 + mu_o2^2)/1

# Identify i x o interaction variance
v_io := (mu1_1^2 + mu1_2^2 + mu1_3^2 + mu1_4^2 + mu1_5^2 +
         mu1_6^2 + mu1_7^2 + mu1_8^2 + mu1_9^2 + mu1_10^2 +
         mu1_11^2 + mu1_12^2 + mu1_13^2 + mu1_14^2 + mu1_15^2 +
         mu1_16^2 + mu1_17^2 + mu1_18^2 + mu1_19^2 + mu1_20^2 +

```

```

mu2_1^2 + mu2_2^2 + mu2_3^2 + mu2_4^2 + mu2_5^2 +
mu2_6^2 + mu2_7^2 + mu2_8^2 + mu2_9^2 + mu2_10^2 +
mu2_11^2 + mu2_12^2 + mu2_13^2 + mu2_14^2 + mu2_15^2 +
mu2_16^2 + mu2_17^2 + mu2_18^2 + mu2_19^2 + mu2_20^2)/39

# Identify p x i interaction variance
Item1 ~~ v_pi*Item1
Item2 ~~ v_pi*Item2
Item3 ~~ v_pi*Item3
Item4 ~~ v_pi*Item4
Item5 ~~ v_pi*Item5
Item6 ~~ v_pi*Item6
Item7 ~~ v_pi*Item7
Item8 ~~ v_pi*Item8
Item9 ~~ v_pi*Item9
Item10 ~~ v_pi*Item10
Item11 ~~ v_pi*Item11
Item12 ~~ v_pi*Item12
Item13 ~~ v_pi*Item13
Item14 ~~ v_pi*Item14
Item15 ~~ v_pi*Item15
Item16 ~~ v_pi*Item16
Item17 ~~ v_pi*Item17
Item18 ~~ v_pi*Item18
Item19 ~~ v_pi*Item19
Item20 ~~ v_pi*Item20

# Identify p x o interaction variance
Occasion1 ~~ v_po*Occasion1
Occasion2 ~~ v_po*Occasion2

# Identify p x i x o interaction variance (+ error)
t1_1 ~~ v_pio*t1_1
t1_2 ~~ v_pio*t1_2
t1_3 ~~ v_pio*t1_3
t1_4 ~~ v_pio*t1_4
t1_5 ~~ v_pio*t1_5
t1_6 ~~ v_pio*t1_6
t1_7 ~~ v_pio*t1_7
t1_8 ~~ v_pio*t1_8
t1_9 ~~ v_pio*t1_9
t1_10 ~~ v_pio*t1_10
t1_11 ~~ v_pio*t1_11
t1_12 ~~ v_pio*t1_12
t1_13 ~~ v_pio*t1_13
t1_14 ~~ v_pio*t1_14
t1_15 ~~ v_pio*t1_15
t1_16 ~~ v_pio*t1_16
t1_17 ~~ v_pio*t1_17
t1_18 ~~ v_pio*t1_18

```

```

t1_19 ~~ v_pio*t1_19
t1_20 ~~ v_pio*t1_20
t2_1  ~~ v_pio*t2_1
t2_2  ~~ v_pio*t2_2
t2_3  ~~ v_pio*t2_3
t2_4  ~~ v_pio*t2_4
t2_5  ~~ v_pio*t2_5
t2_6  ~~ v_pio*t2_6
t2_7  ~~ v_pio*t2_7
t2_8  ~~ v_pio*t2_8
t2_9  ~~ v_pio*t2_9
t2_10 ~~ v_pio*t2_10
t2_11 ~~ v_pio*t2_11
t2_12 ~~ v_pio*t2_12
t2_13 ~~ v_pio*t2_13
t2_14 ~~ v_pio*t2_14
t2_15 ~~ v_pio*t2_15
t2_16 ~~ v_pio*t2_16
t2_17 ~~ v_pio*t2_17
t2_18 ~~ v_pio*t2_18
t2_19 ~~ v_pio*t2_19
t2_20 ~~ v_pio*t2_20

# Define variance components, and G, global D, and cut-score specific D
# coefficients
## Number of items = 20; Number of occasions = 1; Number of people = 359
## Cut score = 2 standard deviations away from the mean
vc_p := v_p
vc_pi := v_pi
vc_po := v_po
vc_pio := v_pio
vc_i := v_i
vc_o := v_o
vc_io := v_io
G := v_p / (v_p + v_pi/20 + v_po/1 + v_pio/(20*1))
SFE := (v_pi/20)/ (v_p + v_pi/20 + v_po/1 + v_pio/(20*1))
TE := (v_po/1)/ (v_p + v_pi/20 + v_po/1 + v_pio/(20*1))
RRE := (v_pio/20)/ (v_p + v_pi/20 + v_po/1 + v_pio/(20*1))
D := v_p / (v_p + v_pi/20 + v_po/1 + v_pio/(20*1) + v_i/20 + v_o/1 + v_io/(
20*1))
CS_D := (v_p + (4*v_p/G-v_p/359-v_pi/(359*20)-v_po/(359*1)-v_pio/(359*20*1)
-v_i/20-v_o/1-v_io/(20*1))) /
(v_p + (4*v_p/G-v_p/359-v_pi/(359*20)-v_po/(359*1)-v_pio/(359*20*1)-v_i/20-
v_o/1-v_io/(20*1)) +
v_pi/20 + v_po/1 + v_pio/(20*1) + v_i/20 + v_o/1 + v_io/(20*1))
## Correction for bias applied '

## Specify item thresholds for WLSMV estimation
thre_pio <- 't1_1 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_2 |thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4

```

```

t1_3 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_4 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_5 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_6 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_7 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_8 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_9 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_10 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_11 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_12 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_13 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_14 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_15 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_16 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_17 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_18 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_19 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t1_20 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_1 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_2 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_3 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_4 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_5 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_6 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_7 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_8 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_9 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_10 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_11 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_12 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_13 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_14 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_15 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_16 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_17 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_18 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_19 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4
t2_20 | thr_1*t1 + thr_2*t2 + thr_3*t3 + thr_4*t4'

## Get estimates of variance components and reliability-like coefficient
## using ULS

pio_uls <- lavaan(model_pio, data = ipip, estimator = "ULS")
uls_est <- select(data.frame(parameterEstimates(pio_uls)),label,est,se)

## Get Monte Carlo confidence intervals (90%) for the above ULS-based
## estimates

set.seed(1234)

```



```

uls_mc <- data.frame(monteCarloCI(pio_uls, level = 0.9))
uls_mc <- cbind(label= rownames(uls_mc),uls_mc) %>% subset(select=-est)

uls_all <- merge (uls_est, uls_mc, by = "label", sort=F) %>% filter(label %in
% c("vc_p","vc_pi","vc_po","vc_pio","vc_i","vc_o","vc_io","SFE","TE","RRE","G
","D","CS_D")) %>% subset(select=-label)
colnames(uls_all) = c("Estimate","Standard Error","90% CI - LL", "90% CI - UL
")
rownames(uls_all) = c("Person","Person × item","Person × occasion","Person ×
item × occasion and other error","Item","Occasion","Item × occasion","G coeff
icient","Specific-factor error","Transient error","random-response error","Gl
obal D coefficient","Cut-score specific D coefficient")

```

Table S5. *G Coefficients, D Coefficients, and Partitioning of Variance for GT pio Observed Score Design*

	Estimate	Standard Error	90% CI - LL	90% CI - UL
Person	0.42853	0.00271	0.42408	0.43293
Person × item	0.37452	0.01212	0.35445	0.39437
Person × occasion	0.02070	0.00383	0.01447	0.02704
Person × item × occasion and other error	0.30498	0.01497	0.28018	0.32943
Item	0.15357	0.00672	0.14398	0.16621
Occasion	0.00150	0.00091	0.00037	0.00342
Item × occasion	0.00113	0.00057	0.00141	0.00377
G coefficient	0.88684	0.00738	0.87470	0.89891
Specific-factor error	0.03875	0.00127	0.03666	0.04084
Transient error	0.04285	0.00778	0.03013	0.05564
Random-response error	0.03156	0.00157	0.02897	0.03414
Global D coefficient	0.87022	0.00739	0.85754	0.88173
Cut-score specific D coefficient	0.97353	0.00152	0.97092	0.97588

**## Get estimates of variance components and reliability-like coefficient
using WLSMV**

```

pio_wlsmv <- lavaan(model = c(model_pio, thre_pio), data = ipip, estimator =
"WLSMV", parameterization = "theta", ordered = names(ipip))
wlsmv_est <- select(data.frame(parameterEstimates(pio_wlsmv)),label,est,se)

```

**## Get Monte Carlo confidence intervals (90%) for the above WLSMV-based
estimates**

```

set.seed(1234)
wlsmv_mc <- data.frame(monteCarloCI(pio_wlsmv, level = 0.9))
wlsmv_mc <- cbind(label= rownames(wlsmv_mc),wlsmv_mc) %>% subset(select=-est)

wlsmv_all <- merge (wlsmv_est, wlsmv_mc, by = "label", sort=F) %>% filter(lab

```

```

el %in% c("vc_p", "vc_pi", "vc_po", "vc_pio", "vc_i", "vc_o", "vc_io", "SFE", "TE", "R
RE", "G", "D", "CS_D")) %>% subset(select=-label)
colnames(wlsmv_all) = c("Estimate", "Standard Error", "90% CI - LL", "90% CI -
UL")
rownames(wlsmv_all) = c("Person", "Person × item", "Person × occasion", "Person
× item × occasion and other error", "Item", "Occasion", "Item × occasion", "G coe
fficient", "Specific-factor error", "Transient error", "Random-response error", "
Global D coefficient", "Cut-score specific D coefficient")

```

Table S6. *G Coefficients, D Coefficients, and Partitioning of Variance for GT pio CLRV Design*

	Estimate	Standard Error	90% CI - LL	90% CI - UL
Person	1.00098	0.07373	0.87892	1.12206
Person × item	0.67676	0.02528	0.63524	0.71879
Person × occasion	0.07604	0.00805	0.06283	0.08917
Person × item × occasion and other error	0.37255	0.00978	0.35658	0.38863
Item	0.30682	0.01914	0.27905	0.34205
Occasion	0.00270	0.00158	0.00074	0.00599
Item × occasion	0.00217	0.00071	0.00190	0.00444
G coefficient	0.88622	0.00964	0.86918	0.90092
Specific-factor error	0.02996	0.00198	0.02696	0.03356
Transient error	0.06733	0.00783	0.05502	0.08095
Random-response error	0.01649	0.00116	0.01476	0.01863
Global D coefficient	0.87220	0.01017	0.85381	0.88739
Cut-score specific D coefficient	0.97402	0.00209	0.97021	0.97713

3. Tables with Key Formulas for Illustrated Generalizability Theory Designs

Table S7. Formulas for Persons by Items Generalizability Theory Designs

Partitioning of variance	Individual score level	$\hat{\sigma}_{Y_{pi}}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{pi,e}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_i^2$
	Item mean score level	$\hat{\sigma}_{Y_{pI}}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi,e}^2}{n'_i}$
Absolute error variance component	Item variance	$\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \frac{1}{n_i - 1} \sum_1^{n_i} (Intercept_i)^2$
G and D coefficients	\hat{G} coefficient	$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi,e}^2}{n'_i}\right)}$
	Global \hat{D} coefficient	$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi,e}^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}{n'_i}\right)}$
	Cut - score specific \hat{D} coefficient	$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + [(\bar{Y} - \text{Cut-score})^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{Y}}^2]}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + [(\bar{Y} - \text{Cut-score})^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{Y}}^2] + \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi,e}^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}{n'_i}\right)}$ <p>where $\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{Y}}^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}{n'_p} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi,e}^2}{n'_p n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}{n'_i}$ and corrects for bias (see Brennan & Kane, 1977)</p>

Note. $\hat{\sigma}^2$ = estimated variance component, Y_{pi} = score for a particular person on a given item, Y_{pI} = mean across all items for a particular person, n_i = number of items for generalizability study, and n'_i = number of items for decision study.

Table S8. Formulas for Persons by Items by Occasions Generalizability Theory Designs

Partitioning of variance	Individual score level	$\hat{\sigma}_{Y_{pio}}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{pi}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{po}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{pio,e}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_o^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{io}^2$
	Item mean score level	$\hat{\sigma}_{Y_{pio}}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi}^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{po}^2}{n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pio,e}^2}{n'_i n'_o}$
Absolute error variance component	Item variance	$\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \frac{1}{n_i - 1} \sum_1^{n_i} (Item\ factor\ mean_i)^2$
	Occasion variance	$\hat{\sigma}_o^2 = \frac{1}{n_o - 1} \sum_1^{n_o} (Occasion\ factor\ mean_o)^2$
	Item \times Occasion variance	$\hat{\sigma}_{io}^2 = \frac{1}{(n_i \times n_o) - 1} \sum_1^{n_i \times n_o} (Intercept_{io})^2$
G and D coefficients	\hat{G} coefficient	$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi}^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{po}^2}{n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pio,e}^2}{n'_i n'_o} \right)}$
	Global \hat{D} coefficient	$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi}^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{po}^2}{n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pio,e}^2}{n'_i n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_o^2}{n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{io}^2}{n'_i n'_o} \right)}$
	Cut - score specific \hat{D} coefficient	$\frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + [(\bar{Y} - Cut\text{-}score)^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{Y}}^2]}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2 + [(\bar{Y} - Cut\text{-}score)^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{Y}}^2] + \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi}^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{po}^2}{n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pio,e}^2}{n'_i n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_o^2}{n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{io}^2}{n'_i n'_o} \right)}$ <p>where $\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{Y}}^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}{n_p} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pi}^2}{n_p n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{po}^2}{n_p n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{pio,e}^2}{n_p n'_i n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}{n'_i} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_o^2}{n'_o} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{io}^2}{n'_i n'_o}$ and corrects for bias (see Brennan & Kane, 1977)</p>

Note. $\hat{\sigma}^2$ = estimated variance component, Y_{pio} = score for a particular person on a given combination of item and occasion, Y_{pio} = mean across all items and occasions for a particular person, n_i = number of items for generalizability study, n_o = number of occasions for generalizability study, n'_i = number of items for decision study, and n'_o = number of occasions for decision study.

4. Abbreviations Used Throughout the Supplement

CI - LL: Confidence Interval - Lower Limit

CI - UL: Confidence Interval - Upper Limit

GT: Generalizability Theory

ULS: Unweighted Least Squares

WLSMV: Diagonally Weighted Least Squares in R

References

- Brennan, R. L., & Kane, M. T. (1977). An index of dependability for mastery tests. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 14, 277–289. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3984.1977.tb00045.x>
- Crick, J. E., & Brennan, R. L. (1983). Manual for GENOVA: *A generalized analysis of variance system* (American College Testing Technical Bulletin 43). Iowa City: ACT, Inc.
- Edwards, S. M. (2019). *lemon: Freshing Up your “ggplot2” Plots*. R package version 0.4. 3. Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lemon>.
- Goldberg, L. R. (1999). A broad-bandwidth, public-domain, personality inventory measuring the lower-level facets of several Five-Factor models. In I. Mervielde, I. J. Deary, F. de Fruyt, & F. Ostendorf (Eds.). *Personality psychology in Europe* (Vol. 7, pp. 7–28). Tilburg: Tilburg University Press.
- Jorgensen, T. D., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., & Rosseel, Y. (2022). *semTools: Useful tools for structural equation modeling*. R package version 0.5-6. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semTools>.
- Revelle W (2022). *psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality Research*. Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois. R package version 2.2.9. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych>.
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1-36. doi: 10.18637/jss.v048.i02.
- Rosseel, Y., Jorgensen, T. D., & Rockwood, N. (2023). Package ‘lavaan’. R package version (0.6-15). Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lavaan/lavaan.pdf>
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., & Müller, K. (2015). *dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation*. R package version 1.0.8. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr>.
- Xie Y (2022). *knitr: A General-Purpose Package for Dynamic Report Generation in R*. R package version 1.41. Retrieved from <https://yihui.org/knitr>.