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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider the following fractional $(p, q)$-Laplacian problem $(-\Delta)_{p}^{s_{1}} u+$ $(-\Delta)_{q}^{s_{2}} u+V(x)\left(|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u\right)=f(u)+\lambda|u|^{r-2} u$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},(-\Delta)_{p}^{s_{1}}$ is the fractional $p$ Laplacian operator $\left((-\Delta)_{q}^{s_{2}}\right.$ is similar), $0<s_{1}<s_{2}<1<p<q<\frac{N}{s_{2}}, q_{s_{2}}^{*}=\frac{N q}{N-s_{2} q}, r \geq q_{s_{2}}^{*}, f$ is a $C^{1}$ real function and $V$ is a coercive function. By using variational methods, we prove that the above problem admits a sign-changing solution if $\lambda>0$ is small.
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## 1. Introduction

For the fractional $(p, q)$-Laplacian problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s_{1}} u+(-\Delta)_{q}^{s_{2}} u+V(x)\left(|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u\right)=f(u)+\lambda|u|^{r-2} u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will prove it admits a sign-changing solution, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, 0<s_{1}<s_{2}<1<p<$ $q<\frac{N}{s_{2}}, q_{s_{2}}^{*}=\frac{N q}{N-s_{2} q}, r \geq q_{s_{2}}^{*}, V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive continuous function and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous real function.

### 1.1. Physical Background

The definition of the fractional $p$-Laplacian can be seen in [1]. For the physical background, we refer the reader to [2-7]. These papers tell us that the fractional $p$-Laplacian can describe financial markets, optimization, phase transformation, semi-permeable film, anomalous diffusion and minimal surface problems. Problem (1) models two different materials and it is called the double-phase equation (see e.g., [8]).

### 1.2. Related Works and Our Main Results

Recently, many authors have been concerned with the fractional $(p, q)$-Laplacian equations. For the critical and supercritical cases, the existence of multiple solutions is obtained in [8]. In the meantime, for the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u+(-\Delta)_{q}^{s} u+V(x)\left(|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u\right)=K(x) f(u), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \in(0,1), 1<p<q<\frac{N}{s}, V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Isernian [9] showed that it admits a positive ground state solution. In 2022, the existence of the least energy sign-changing solution of problem (2) was given by Cheng et al. in [10]. We also quote the papers [11-13] for the p-Laplacian or fractional p-Laplacian in a bounded domain. For other results, please see $[1,8,14-20]$ and the references therein.

The main goal of the present paper is to investigate the problem in (1). We assume that ( $V$ ) $V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right), 0<V_{0}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} V(x)=+\infty$, where $V_{0}>0$ is a constant.
$\left(f_{1}\right) f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-1}}=0$.
$\left(f_{2}\right)$ there is $\sigma \in\left(p, q_{s_{2}}^{*}\right), C>0$ such that $|f(t)| \leq C\left(|t|^{p-1}+|t|^{\sigma-1}\right)$.
$\left(f_{3}\right)$ there exists $\theta \in\left(q, q_{s_{2}}^{*}\right)$ such that $0<\theta F(t) \leq f(t) t$ for all $|t|>0$, where $F(t)=$ $\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$
$\left(f_{4}\right)$ the map $t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{q-1}}$ is strictly increasing for all $|t|>0$.
Theorem 1. Let $0<s_{1}<s_{2}<1<p<q<\frac{N}{s_{2}}, q_{s_{2}}^{*}=\frac{N q}{N-s_{2} q}, r \geq q_{s_{2^{\prime}}}^{*}(V)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$ hold. Then there is $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that Problem (1) possesses one sign-changing solution $w_{0}$ when $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$.

Remark 1. $r \geq q_{s_{2}}^{*}$ is called critical or supercritical. We do not confirm that $w_{0}$ is a least energy sign-changing solution.

### 1.3. Our Motivations and Novelties

Like [21], a natural question for us is
For the ( $p, q$ )-Laplacian problem (1), does there exist a sign-changing solution?
Our motivation in this paper is to give this question an affirmative answer. It is different from [22,23] since (10), (11) and (15) are new and crucial.

### 1.4. Methods

We summarize our methods here. We adopt the idea from [22] or [24] to cut off the functional (see (4)). Then we shall prove (6) admits a minimizer $w_{0}$. Furthermore, we need to prove that the minimizer $w_{0}$ is a critical point of $I_{\lambda, K}$ (see (5)). Finally, we borrow the idea from [22] to make a $L^{\infty}$-estimation such that $\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq K$, which implies that we do not make any truncations.

### 1.5. Organization

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides some preliminaries. Section 3 is divided into two parts, which will prove Theorem 1. The last Section is the conclusions and our future direction. Throughout this paper, we use the standard notations.

- $\quad C$ or $C_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ denote some positive constants (possibly different from line to line) and $C(\cdot)$ denotes some positive constant only dependent on $\cdot$.
- $\quad\|\cdot\|_{L^{l}}(1<l<\infty)$ is the standard norm in the usual Lebesgue space $L^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
- For a function $u(x), u^{+}(x):=\max \{u(x), 0\}, u^{-}(x):=\min \{u(x), 0\}$. Clearly, $u=$ $u^{+}+u^{-}$.
- $\mathbb{R}^{+}:=[0,+\infty)$.


## 2. Preliminary Results

From now on, we always assume that $(V)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$ hold unless a special statement is made. We continue to use the notations and work space $W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as in [8]. Since the potential $V$ is coercive, we introduce the subspace

$$
E=\left\{u \in W^{s_{1}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \bigcap W^{s_{2}, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)\left(|u|^{p}+|u|^{q}\right) d x<\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the following norm $\|u\|=\|u\|_{1}+\|u\|_{2}$, where

$$
\|u\|_{1}=\left([u]_{s_{1}, p}^{p}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},\|u\|_{2}=\left([u]_{s_{2}, q}^{q}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)|u|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

For $[\cdot]_{s_{1}, p}$ and $[\cdot]_{s_{2}, q}$, see [8]. Formally, the corresponding energy functional of (1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{1}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\|u\|_{2}^{q}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) d x-\frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{r} d x, u \in E . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that the functional $I$ is not well-defined on $E$. In order to overcome this difficulty, similar to [22],

$$
h_{\lambda, K}(t):= \begin{cases}f(t)+\lambda|t|^{r-2} t, & \text { if }|t| \leq K,  \tag{4}\\ f(t)+\lambda K^{r-\sigma}|t|^{\sigma-2} t, & \text { if }|t|>K,\end{cases}
$$

where $K>0, \sigma \in\left(\theta, q_{s_{2}}^{*}\right)$.
Thus, it holds that
(h1) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_{\lambda, K}(t)}{|t|^{p-1}}=0$, and for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\left|h_{\lambda, K}(t)\right| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{p-1}+\left(1+\lambda K^{r-\sigma}\right) C(\varepsilon)|t|^{\sigma-1}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

$\left(h_{2}\right) 0<\theta H_{\lambda, K}(t) \leq h_{\lambda, K}(t) t \forall|t|>0$, and $h_{\lambda, K}(t) t-q H_{\lambda, K}(t)$ is increasing with respect to $t$, for all $t>0$ where $H_{\lambda, K}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} h_{\lambda, K}(s) d s$.
$\left(h_{3}\right)$ the map $t \mapsto \frac{h_{\lambda, K}(t)}{|t|^{q-1}}$ is strictly increasing for all $|t|>0$.
For the auxiliary functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda, K}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{1}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\|u\|_{2}^{q}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} H_{\lambda, K}(u) d x, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(h_{1}\right)$ implies that $I_{\lambda, K} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$. We want to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda, K}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}} I_{\lambda, K}(u) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits a minimizer, where the corresponding Nehari manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}=\left\{u \in E: u^{ \pm} \neq 0,\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}(u), u^{ \pm}\right\rangle=0\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of the Main Results

### 3.1. Some Lemmas

To begin with, we give several lemmas that will be used in the sequel.
Lemma 1. For any $u \in E$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$, there is a unique pair $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ of positive numbers such that $s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$. Moreover,

$$
I_{\lambda, K}\left(s_{u} u^{+}+t_{u} u^{-}\right)=\max _{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}} I_{\lambda, K}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right)
$$

Proof. The proof is standard (see e.g., [21]). For $u \in E$ with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$, we can deduce from $\left(h_{1}\right)$ and $\left(h_{2}\right)$ that there exist $C_{1}(\lambda, K), C_{2}(\lambda, K)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\lambda, K}(u) \geq C_{1}(\lambda, K)|u|^{\theta}-C_{2}(\lambda, K)|u|^{p} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s, t \geq 0, \phi_{\lambda, K}(s, t):=I_{\lambda, K}\left(s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right)$. One has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{\lambda, K}(s, t) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{p}\left\|s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\left\|s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right\|_{2}^{q}  \tag{9}\\
& +C_{2}(\lambda, K) s^{p}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{p}}+C_{2}(\lambda, K) t^{p}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& -C_{1}(\lambda, K) s^{\theta}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{\theta}}-C_{1}(\lambda, K) t^{\theta}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{\theta}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: u(x) \geq 0\right\}$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: u(x)<0\right\}$. It holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|s u^{+}+t u^{-}\right\|_{1}^{p} \\
=s^{p} & \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} d y \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} d x \frac{\left|u^{+}(x)-u^{+}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+p s_{1}}} \\
& +\int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} d y \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} d x \frac{\left|s u^{+}(x)-t u^{-}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+p s s_{1}}} \\
& +\int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} d y \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} d x \frac{\left|t u^{-}(x)-s u^{+}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+p s s_{1}}}  \tag{10}\\
& +t^{p} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} d y \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} d x \frac{\left|u^{-}(x)-u^{-}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+p s_{1}}} \\
& +s^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x+t^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} d y \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} d x \frac{\left|s u^{+}(x)-t u^{-}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+p s_{1}}} \\
\leq & \max \left\{|s|^{p},|t|^{p}\right\} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} d y \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} d x \frac{\left|u^{+}(x)-u^{-}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+p s_{1}}} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The result also holds for the third integral in (10). We can estimate the term $\| s u^{+}+$ $t u^{-} \|_{2}^{p}$ similarly. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda, K}(s, t) \rightarrow-\infty \text { as }|(s, t)| \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\phi_{\lambda, K}(0,0)=0$. The continuity of $\phi_{\lambda, K}$ shows that it admits a global maximum point $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Next, similar to ([21], Lemma 2.3), we can prove that the maximum point cannot be achieved on the boundary of $(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty)$.

The remaining part sets out to prove the uniqueness. We divide it into two cases. Case 1: For $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$. If there exists a pair $\bar{s}>0, \bar{t}>0$ such that $\bar{s} u^{+}+\bar{t} u^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$. We shall discuss the case $0<\bar{t} \leq \bar{s}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h_{\lambda, K}\left(\bar{s} u^{+}\right) \bar{s} u^{+} d x \\
\leq & \bar{s}^{p}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\bar{s}^{q}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{2}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\bar{s}^{p} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} \frac{\left|u^{+}(x)-u^{-}(y)\right|^{p-1} u^{+}(x)-\left|u^{+}(x)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s_{1} p}} d x d y \\
& +\bar{s}^{p} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} \frac{\left|u^{-}(x)-u^{+}(y)\right|^{p-1} u^{+}(y)-\left|u^{+}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s_{1} p}} d x d y \\
& +\bar{s}^{q} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} \frac{\left|u^{+}(x)-u^{-}(y)\right|^{q-1} u^{+}(x)-\left|u^{+}(x)\right|^{q}}{|x-y|^{N+s_{2} q}} d x d y \\
& +\bar{s}^{q} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{+}} \int_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{-}} \frac{\left|u^{-}(x)-u^{+}(y)\right|^{q-1} u^{+}(y)-\left|u^{+}(y)\right|^{q}}{|x-y|^{N+s_{2} q}} d x d y \\
& :=\bar{s}^{p}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\bar{s}^{q}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{2}^{q}+\bar{s}^{p} A_{1}+\bar{s}^{p} A_{2}+\bar{s}^{q} A_{3}+\bar{s}^{q} A_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h_{\lambda, K}\left(u^{+}\right) u^{+} d x=\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{2}^{q}+A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\bar{s}>1$, in view of $\left(h_{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & <\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{h_{\lambda, K}\left(\bar{s} u^{+}\right)}{\left(\bar{s} u^{+}\right)^{q-1}}-\frac{h_{\lambda, K}\left(u^{+}\right)}{u^{+q-1}}\right]\left(u^{+}\right)^{q} d x \\
& \leq\left(\bar{s}^{p-q}-1\right)\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left(\bar{s}^{p-q}-1\right) A_{1}+\left(\bar{s}^{p-q}-1\right) A_{2}  \tag{14}\\
& <0 .
\end{align*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Similarly, we can have $\bar{t} \geq 1$. Therefore, $\bar{s}=\bar{t}=1$. Case 2: For $u \notin \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$. Using the method in ([25], page 90), the desired conclusion is obtained.

Lemma 2. There exists $\beta>0$ such that $\left\|u^{ \pm}\right\| \geq \beta$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$.
Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$, we only prove the result for $u^{+}$. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))\left(u^{+}(x)-u^{+}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+p s_{1}}} d x d y \\
\geq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u^{+}(x)-u^{+}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+p s_{1}}} d x d y . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining with $\left(h_{1}\right)$, it is shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{2}^{q} \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d x+\left(1+\lambda K^{r-\sigma}\right) C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{\sigma} d x . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=\frac{V_{0}}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{2}^{q} \leq C\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{\sigma} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the desired conclusion.
Lemma 3. $m_{\lambda, K}>0$.

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$, with $\left(h_{2}\right),(10)$, (15) in hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda, K}(u)= & I_{\lambda, K}(u)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{2}^{q}  \tag{18}\\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{2}^{q} .
\end{align*}
$$

Jointly with Lemma 2, we derive $m_{\lambda, K}>0$.
Lemma 4. $m_{\lambda, K}$ is achieved.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a minimization sequence. Since $\theta>q>p$, similar to (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{\lambda, K}+o_{n}(1) & \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2}^{q} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{2}^{q} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\left\{u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\}$is bounded in $E$. Using ([26], Lemma 2.5), up to a subsequence, there exists a $u_{0} \in E$ satisfying $u_{0}^{ \pm} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h_{\lambda, K}\left(u_{n}^{ \pm}\right) u_{n}^{ \pm} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h_{\lambda, K}\left(u_{0}^{ \pm}\right) u_{0}^{ \pm} d d x \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we only prove $u_{0}^{ \pm} \neq 0$. If not, in consideration of (10), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{1}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|_{2}^{q}+o_{n}(1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is in contradiction with Lemma 2. Based on Lemma 1, there exist $s_{0}, t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}\left(s_{0} u_{0}^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}^{-}\right), s_{0} u_{0}^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}\left(s_{0} u_{0}^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}^{-}\right), t_{0} u_{0}^{-}\right\rangle=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, similar to (10), $u_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, K}$ shows that $\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}^{+}\right\rangle \leq 0$. Similarly, $\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}^{-}\right\rangle \leq 0$. In the spirit of ([27], Lemma 3.2), we have $0<s_{0}, t_{0} \leq 1$. Using (10) again, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|s_{0} u_{0}{ }^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}{ }^{-}\right\|_{1}^{p} \leq\left\|u_{0}{ }^{+}+u_{0}{ }^{-}\right\|_{1}^{p} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account $\left(h_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{\lambda, K} \\
\leq & I_{\lambda, K}\left(s_{0} u_{0}{ }^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}^{-}\right)-\frac{1}{q}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(s_{0} u_{0}^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}^{-}\right),\left(s_{0} u_{0}^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}^{-}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I_{\lambda, K}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{q}\left\langle I_{\lambda, K}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right)  \tag{24}\\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda, K}\left(u_{n}\right)=m_{\lambda, K} .
\end{align*}
$$

It indicates that $s_{0} u_{0}{ }^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}{ }^{-}$is the minimizer.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1

We are devoted to proving Theorem 1 in this section. From Lemmas 1-4, we find that (6) possesses a minimizer $w_{0}:=s_{0} u_{0}{ }^{+}+t_{0} u_{0}{ }^{-}$. There are two methods to ensure that the minimizer $w_{0}$ is a critical point of $I_{\lambda, K}$. One method can be seen in ([21], Section 3). The other method can be used as ([28], lemma 3.6). Using a standard Moser iteration (see
e.g., [22] or [23]), we can draw the conclusion that $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that $\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq K$ when $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$. Thus, $w_{0}$ is a sign-changing solution of the initial problem (1), which means that in (4), we do not make any truncations.

## 4. Conclusions and Future Studies

With the above analysis made, the following conclusions can be drawn. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, problem (1) admits a sign-changing solution. As mentioned in Remark 1, the sign-changing solution $w_{0}$ does not necessarily mean that it is a least energy sign-changing solution. Our future work will study the ground state or least energy signchanging solution to (1). Maybe it is an open problem since it appears as the supercritical term $|u|^{r-2} u$.
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