



Article Natural Frequency Sensitivity Analysis of Fire-Fighting Jet System with Adaptive Gun Head

Xiaoming Yuan^{1,2}, Xuan Zhu^{1,2}, Chu Wang^{1,2,*}, Lijie Zhang^{1,2} and Yong Zhu^{3,*}

- ¹ Hebei Key Laboratory of Heavy Machinery Fluid Power Transmission and Control, Yanshan University, Hebei, Qinhuangdao 066004, China; yuanxiaoming@ysu.edu.cn (X.Y.); zx00712@126.com (X.Z.); zhangljys@126.com (L.Z.)
- ² State Key Laboratory of Fluid Power & Mechatronic Systems, Zhejiang University, Zhejiang, Hangzhou 310027, China
- ³ National Research Center of Pumps, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, China
- * Correspondence: wangchu@stumail.ysu.edu.cn (C.W.); zhuyong@ujs.edu.cn (Y.Z.)

Received: 14 October 2019; Accepted: 28 October 2019; Published: 4 November 2019



Abstract: The gun head is the end effector of the fire-fighting jet system. Compared with a traditional fixed gun head, an adaptive gun head has the advantages of having an adjustable nozzle opening, a wide applicable flow range, and a high fire-extinguishing efficiency. Thus, the adaptive gun head can extinguish large fires quickly and efficiently. The fire-fighting jet system with an adaptive gun head has fluid-structure interaction and discrete-continuous coupling characteristics, and the influence of key design parameters on its natural frequencies needs to be determined by a sensitivity analysis. In this paper, the dynamic model and equations of the jet system were established based on the lumped parameter method, and the sensitivity calculation formulas of the natural frequency of the jet system to typical design parameters were derived. Natural frequencies and mode shapes of the jet system were determined based on a mode analysis. The variation law of the sensitivity of the natural frequency of the jet system to typical design parameters was revealed by the sensitivity analysis. The results show that the fluid mass inside the spray core within a certain initial gas content is the most important factor affecting the natural frequency of the jet system. There was only a 0.51% error between the value of the first-order natural frequency of the jet system determined by the modal experiment and the theoretical one, showing that good agreement with the first-order natural frequency of the jet system was found. This paper provides a theoretical basis for the dynamic optimization design of the adaptive gun head of the fire water monitor.

Keywords: flow control; adaptive gun head; jet system; natural frequency; mode shape; sensitivity analysis

1. Introduction

The fire-fighting monitor is an important fire-fighting equipment for long-range fire extinguishing and has become an important part of fire-extinguishing systems in large-scale places [1–3]. According to different spray media, the fire-fighting monitor can be divided into two series: a fire water monitor and a fire foam monitor. Structurally, the fire-fighting monitor is mainly composed of a barrel and a gun head. The barrel mainly includes components such as a motor, a reducer, and an elbow, which can realize horizontal and pitching rotation of the jet direction of the fire-fighting monitor. The gun head is the key component that converts the pressure energy of the fluid into kinetic energy and its working principle is similar to that of a valve component in a hydraulic transmission system [4–6]. Compared with a traditional fixed gun head, the adaptive gun head can automatically adjust the nozzle opening through an adaptive mechanism when the flow and pressure of the fluid change at the entrance of the gun head, so that the performance of the jet system can be better in a wider flow range and fire extinguishing efficiency can be higher.

A sensitivity analysis is a method to study the sensitivity of the system in response to changes in its design parameters, through which the influence degree of design variables on output variables can be sorted. In the process of structural optimization design and parameter identification, the sensitivity analysis can be used to identify important parameters while ignoring unimportant variables, thereby significantly reducing the dimensionality of the design space, providing a basis for identifying weak points in the system [7,8]. When the functional relationship between inputs and outputs is known, the sensitivity of the system can be calculated by the partial derivative of the response function to the design variable. This direct calculation method is generally applicable when the design factor is few, the structure is not complicated, and the sensitivity differential equation is easy to derive. In this case, the method has the characteristics of simplified calculating and can resolve the essential relationship between inputs and outputs. When it is difficult or impossible to determine the analytical relationship between inputs and outputs, the Monte Carlo method, orthogonal test, Kriging, and other modern approximation design methods are generally used to determine the implicit functional relationship between design variables and responses; then the gradient method and the genetic algorithm can be used to calculate the sensitivity of the system. This method of indirect calculation is generally applicable to the case of many design factors, complicated structures, and complex nonlinear relationship between input and output parameters. The method is characterized by a large computational workload, and the error of the determined sensitivity is positively correlated with sample capacity [9–11]. The sensitivity analysis is widely used in various fields such as chemistry [12,13], construction [14,15], environmental protection [16], transportation [17], and machinery [18–21].

The fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head consists of an adaptive gun head, a barrel, a connecting pipe, and its internal fluid. The analysis process of the fluid-structure interaction and discrete-continuous coupling problems in the jet system should be analogized to that of the influencing factors of the dynamic characteristics of valve components [22,23]. Through the sensitivity analysis, the key design parameters affecting the dynamic characteristics of the fire-fighting jet system are discussed. The fire-fighting jet system is subjected to the pressure pulsation of the power element pump in operation, so that the jet system inevitably generates vibration and noise [24–26]. When an adaptive fire-fighting monitor is used instead of a conventional fire-fighting monitor, the stiffness of the end of the jet system is reduced, increasing the tendency of the jet system to resonate. Therefore, the sensitivity analysis can be used to explore the parameters affecting the modal characteristics of the jet system, which can provide theoretical reference for the dynamic optimization design of the adaptive fire-fighting monitor.

In this paper, based on the fluid-structure interaction and discrete-continuous coupling characteristics of the jet system, the dynamic model and equations of the free vibration of the jet system will be established. The sensitivity calculation formulas of the modal characteristics of the jet system to typical design parameters will be derived. The influence law of the modal characteristics of the jet system for each parameter will be analyzed, and the first-order natural frequency of the jet system will be verified according to the modal experiment.

2. Establishment of the Dynamic Model and Equations of the Jet System

The structure of the gun head of the traditional diversion fire-fighting monitor is shown in Figure 1. The structure of the gun head of the new adaptive fire-fighting monitor is shown in Figure 2. The traditional diversion gun head can achieve both straight and spray jets by changing the relative positions of the inner and outer nozzles to meet the fire suppression requirements of different occasions. However, since the internal components are fixedly connected, the opening of the nozzles remains unchanged during the working process. Therefore, when the jet flow changes, the nozzle opening does not match the flow rate, and the fire extinguishing efficiency is low. In contrast, the new adaptive gun head adds an adaptive mechanism consisting of the spray core 9, the end cap 10, the core rod 11, and

the spring 12 on the basis of the traditional diversion gun head. When the inlet flow of the gun head increases, the pressure on the left side of the spray core of the adaptive mechanism increases. When the force of the spray core received by the fluid is greater than that of the spring, the spray core moves to the right and the nozzle opening increases. Conversely, when the inlet flow rate is decreased, the spray core moves to the left and the nozzle opening decreases. The adaptive mechanism enables the gun head to automatically adjust the nozzle opening according to changes in inlet flow and pressure, thereby achieving good jet performance under various conditions and extinguishing large fires quickly and effectively.



Figure 1. Structure of a traditional diversion gun head. 1. Joint; 2. Nut; 3. Regulator; 4. Gasket; 5. Enclosure; 6. Ring; 7. Outer nozzle; 8. Inner nozzle; 9. Spray core; 10. End cap; 11. Core rod.



Figure 2. Structure of the new adaptive gun head. 1. Joint; 2. Nut; 3. Regulator; 4. Gasket; 5. Enclosure; 6. Ring; 7. Outer nozzle; 8. Inner nozzle; 9. Spray core; 10. End cap; 11. Core rod; 12. Spring; 13. Core sleeve; 14. Seal ring.

The new adaptive gun head shown in Figure 2 is mounted on the horizontally-rotating and pitch-turning barrel, and combined with the pipes connected to the barrel. The structure above constitutes the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head studied in this paper, as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Structure of a fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head.

The fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head has typical fluid-structure interaction characteristics due to the interaction between the fluid, spray core, and spring in the working process. Moreover, the fluid-structure interaction between the fluid unit and the solid unit in the jet system only occurs at the interface between the two. The interaction between the units in the jet system is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Interaction between the units in the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head.

In Figure 4, fluid unit 2 is the entire fluid volume enclosed by the inside of the spray core and the core rod-end cap structure. Fluid unit 1 is all fluids in the gun head, the barrel, and the pipes connected to the barrel except fluid unit 2. The fluid units and solid units in the jet system are coupled to each other and interact to make the dynamic modeling and analysis more complicated. To facilitate theoretical analyses, the established overall dynamic model of the jet system follows the following assumptions:

- The jet system is modeled based on the lumped parameter method. It is considered that the density, stiffness, pressure, and other attribute parameters of each fluid unit in the jet system are evenly distributed in the control volume and equal everywhere;
- (2) Except for the fluid units and the spring, it is considered that the parts such as the spray core, the gun head enclosure, the barrel, and the outer wall of the pipe are rigid bodies, regardless of their deformation;
- (3) The spray core, the core rod-end cap structure, and each fluid unit are only affected by the axial force, and the force of the fluid units on the solid units is equivalent to the axial linear spring force;
- (4) The damping between the fluid units and the solid units is equivalent to axial linear damping;
- (5) Ignore the processing and installation errors of each component.

In the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head, the inside spray core, fluid unit 1, and fluid unit 2 can be viewed as discrete bodies, and the core rod-end cap structure can be regarded as a continuum due to the particularity of its shape. Therefore, the jet system has not only fluid-structure interaction characteristics, but also discrete-continuous coupling characteristics. The discrete-continuous coupling characteristics in the jet system will increase the difficulty of dynamic modeling and analysis, so the core rod-end cap structure needs to be equally discrete, thus simplifying the problem.

Based on the above analysis and assumptions, the dynamic model of the fire-fighting jet system with adaptive gun head is shown in Figure 5. Among them, the structure consisting of m_1 , m_2 , m_3 , m_4 , k_1 , k_2 , k_3 , and k_4 is the equivalent discrete model of the core rod-end cap structure. m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 are 1/4 of the mass of the core rod, m_4 is the sum of the mass of the 1/4 core rod and the mass of the end cap, and k_1 , k_2 , k_3 , and k_4 are equal in size. m_5 , m_6 , and m_7 are the mass of fluid unit 2, the spray core, and fluid unit 1, respectively. k_{f1} , k_{f21} , and k_{f22} are fluid stiffnesses. k_{f1} is the stiffness of fluid unit 1. k_{f21} and k_{f22} are numerically equal, and the total stiffness after the parallel connection is the stiffness of fluid unit 2. k_5 is the stiffness of the spring in the adaptive mechanism of the gun head. c_1 is the damping of fluid unit 1. c_2 and c_3 are numerically equal, and the total damping after the parallel connection is the sum of the damping of the spray core's orifice structure.



Figure 5. Dynamic model of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head.

Based on the dynamic model of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head, the free vibration equation of the jet system is established as

$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0, \tag{1}$$

where the mass matrix of the jet system is

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \operatorname{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5, m_6, m_7), \tag{2}$$

where the stiffness matrix of the jet system is

$$\boldsymbol{k} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_3 & k_3 + k_4 & -k_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -k_4 & k_4 + k_{f22} + k_5 & -k_{f22} & -k_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{f22} & k_{f21} + k_{f22} & -k_{f21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_5 & -k_{f21} & k_{f21} + k_5 + k_{f1} & -k_{f1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{f1} & k_{f1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3)

In the stiffness matrix of the jet system, the stiffness of each fluid unit is calculated equivalently. By the definition of stiffness, there is

$$k_{\rm f} = -\frac{\Delta F}{\Delta L} = -\frac{S_a \Delta p}{\Delta L},\tag{4}$$

where ΔF is the amount of load fluctuation of the fluid unit, ΔL is the axial length change of the fluid unit, S_a is the average area of the flow cross section of the fluid unit, Δp is the change of fluid pressure, and *L* is the axial length of the fluid unit.

The volume of the fluid unit obtained from the average area of the flow cross section of the fluid unit and the axial length of the fluid unit is

$$V = S_a L. (5)$$

The bulk elastic modulus of the fluid in the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head is calculated according to the theory of bulk elastic modulus described in [27]. Let the bulk elastic modulus of the fluid be B_{f} , which is defined as

$$B_{\rm f} = -\frac{V\Delta p}{\Delta V},\tag{6}$$

where *V* is the total volume of the fluid, and ΔV is the volume change of the fluid.

For Equations (4)–(6), the fluid equivalent stiffness can be expressed by the bulk elastic modulus of the fluid:

$$k_{\rm f} = \frac{B_{\rm f} S_{\rm a}}{L}.\tag{7}$$

As can be seen from Figure 3, the shapes of fluid unit 1 and fluid unit 2 are relatively complicated. In order to improve the calculation accuracy of the fluid equivalent stiffness, fluid unit 1 is divided into six segments and fluid unit 2 is divided into three segments according to the shape of the structure. The first section of fluid unit 1 is a pipe connected to the fire-fighting monitor's barrel and the inlet end of the barrel. Parameters of each section of the two fluid units are shown in Table 1. The equivalent stiffness of each fluid unit subsection can be calculated separately from Equation (7).

Fluid Unit	Segmentation	Equivalent Stiffness of Fluid Unit Subsection	Average Area of Flow Cross Section S _{ai} /(mm ²)	Axial Length of the Fluid Domain L _i /(mm)
	l_1	<i>k</i> _{f11}	6221	1534.6
	l_2	$k_{\rm f12}$	6200	38
Fluid unit 1	l_3	<i>k</i> _{f13}	3200	39
	l_4	$k_{ m f14}$	3800	35.5
	l_5	$k_{\rm f15}$	4400	38
	l_6	$k_{\rm f16}$	3700	64
E1	$l_{1'}$	k _{f21'}	300	46.1
unit 2	$l_{2'}$	$k_{f22'}$	800	11
	$l_{3'}$	$k_{\mathrm{f23'}}$	2800	40.5

Table 1. Data for each segment size of the fluid units.

The equivalent stiffness of fluid unit 1 and fluid unit 2 can be obtained from the series relationship of the equivalent fluid springs of the fluid unit subsections, where the equivalent stiffness of fluid unit 1 is

$$\frac{1}{k_{f1}} = \frac{1}{k_{f11}} + \frac{1}{k_{f12}} + \frac{1}{k_{f13}} + \frac{1}{k_{f14}} + \frac{1}{k_{f15}} + \frac{1}{k_{f16}}.$$
(8)

The equivalent stiffness of fluid unit 2 is

$$\frac{1}{k_{f2}} = \frac{1}{k_{f21'}} + \frac{1}{k_{f22'}} + \frac{1}{k_{f23'}}.$$
(9)

Since k_{f21} and k_{f22} are connected in parallel to be the equivalent stiffness of fluid unit 2 and they are equal in size, then:

$$k_{\rm f21} = k_{\rm f22} = \frac{k_{\rm f2}}{2}.$$
 (10)

3. Derivation of the Sensitivity Formula of the Jet System

The free vibration differential equation of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head is shown in Equation (1), and its corresponding characteristic equation is

$$(\boldsymbol{k} - \omega_{ni}^2 \boldsymbol{m}) \boldsymbol{\phi}_i = \boldsymbol{0}, \tag{11}$$

where ω_{ni} is natural angular frequency (rad/s), ϕ_i is the principal mode.

Equation (11) left multiplies ϕ_i^{T} , then

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\boldsymbol{k} - \omega_{ni}^2 \boldsymbol{m} \right) \boldsymbol{\phi}_i = \boldsymbol{0}.$$
⁽¹²⁾

Assuming that the design variable *t*, the natural frequency ω_{ni} , the stiffness matrix *k*, and the mass matrix *m* are derivable and ω_{ni} is a single eigenvalue, then ω_{ni} is differentiable with respect to design variables. The first-order partial derivatives of both sides of Equation (12) with respect to the design variable *t* are

$$\frac{\partial \phi_i^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial t} \left(\mathbf{k} - \omega_{ni}^2 \mathbf{m} \right) \phi_i + \phi_i^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{k}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial (\omega_{ni}^2 \mathbf{m})}{\partial t} \right) \phi_i + \phi_i^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\mathbf{k} - \omega_{ni}^2 \mathbf{m} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t} = 0$$
(13)

Since $(\mathbf{k} - \omega_{ni}^2 \mathbf{m})$ is a real symmetric matrix, ϕ_i^T is also the eigenvalue of the characteristic Equation (11), so

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\boldsymbol{k} - \omega_{ni}^2 \boldsymbol{m} \right) = 0.$$
 (14)

Substituting Equations (11) and (14) into Equation (13), then

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{k}}{\partial t} - 2\omega_{ni} \frac{\partial \omega_{ni}}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{m} - \omega_{ni}^{2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{m}}{\partial t} \right) \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i} = 0.$$
(15)

The formula for calculating the sensitivity of each order natural frequency ω_{ni} to the design variable *t* from Equation (15) is

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{ni}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m_{pi}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{ni}} \phi_i^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{k}}{\partial t} \phi_i - \omega_{ni} \phi_i^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} \phi_i \right), \tag{16}$$

where $m_{pi} = \phi_i^T m \phi_i$ and $\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial k}{\partial t}$ are determined according to specific design parameters. In the dynamic model of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head, the mass and

In the dynamic model of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head, the mass and stiffness of the fluid unit are directly related to its density and bulk elastic modulus. Moreover, the density and bulk elastic modulus of the fluid unit are both functions of fluid pressure and initial gas content of the fluid. Therefore, analyzing the influence of fluid mass and stiffness on the natural frequency sensitivity of the jet system is essential to analyze the influence of fluid pressure and initial gas content on the natural frequency sensitivity of the jet system is essential to analyze the influence of fluid pressure and initial gas content on the natural frequency sensitivity of the jet system.

3.1. Sensitivity of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Mass of Fluid Unit 1

The density of fluid unit 1 is equal to that of fluid unit 2, and the density of the fluid can be regarded as a function of fluid pressure and initial gas content in the jet system. Therefore, the change of m_7 indicates that the fluid pressure or initial gas content in the jet system has changed, which leads to the change of m_5 . Meanwhile, m_1 , m_2 , m_3 , and m_4 are sub-mass units of the core rod-end cap structure, and their values are independent of m_7 . Using the mass matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (2), the partial derivative of the mass matrix of the jet system with respect to the mass of fluid unit 1 m_7 is

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{m}}{\partial m_7} = \operatorname{diag}\left(0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\partial m_5}{\partial m_7}, 0, 1\right),\tag{17}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial m_5}{\partial m_7} = \frac{\partial (V_{\rm m5}\rho_{\rm water})}{\partial (V_{\rm m7}\rho_{\rm water})} = \frac{V_{\rm m5}}{V_{\rm m7}}.$$
(18)

Using the stiffness matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (3), the partial derivative of the stiffness matrix of the jet system with respect to the mass of fluid unit 1 m_7 is

If the initial gas content of the fluid is changed and the fluid pressure remains unchanged, combining Equations (7)–(9) and Equation (10), then

$$\frac{\partial k_{f21}}{\partial m_7} = \frac{\partial k_{f22}}{\partial m_7} = A_1 \frac{\frac{dB_f(p_0, x)}{dx}}{\frac{d\rho_f(p_0, x)}{dx}},$$
(20)

$$\frac{\partial k_{f1}}{\partial m_7} = A_2 \frac{\frac{dB_f(p_0, x)}{dx}}{\frac{d\rho_f(p_0, x)}{dx}},$$
(21)

where p_0 is the initial fluid pressure, x is the initial gas content of the fluid, and ρ_f is the density of the fluid unit. The expressions of A_1 and A_2 are

$$A_{1} = \frac{S_{a1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'}}{2(L_{1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'} + L_{2'}S_{a1'}S_{a3'} + L_{3'}S_{a1'}S_{a2'})V_{m7}},$$
(22)

$$A_{2} = \frac{S_{a1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5}S_{a6}}{(L_{1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5}S_{a6} + \dots + L_{6}S_{a1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5})V_{m7}}.$$
(23)

If the fluid pressure is changed and the initial gas content of the fluid remains unchanged, then

$$\frac{\partial k_{f21}}{\partial m_7} = \frac{\partial k_{f22}}{\partial m_7} = A_1 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}},\tag{24}$$

$$\frac{\partial k_{f1}}{\partial m_7} = A_2 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}.$$
(25)

3.2. Sensitivity of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Stiffness of Fluid Unit 1

Using the mass matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (2), the partial derivative of the mass matrix of the jet system with respect to the stiffness of fluid unit 1 k_{f1} is

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_{f1}} = \text{diag}\left(0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\partial m_5}{\partial k_{f1}}, 0, \frac{\partial m_7}{\partial k_{f1}}\right).$$
(26)

If the initial gas content of the fluid is changed and the fluid pressure remains unchanged, combining Equations (7) and (8), then

$$\frac{\partial m_5}{\partial k_{\rm f1}} = A_3 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\rm f}(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_{\rm f}(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}},\tag{27}$$

$$\frac{\partial m_7}{\partial k_{f1}} = A_4 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}}.$$
(28)

Among them,

$$A_{3} = \frac{(L_{1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5}S_{a6} + \dots + L_{6}S_{a1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5})V_{m5}}{S_{a1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5}S_{a6}},$$
(29)

$$A_4 = \frac{(L_1 S_{a2} S_{a3} S_{a4} S_{a5} S_{a6} + \dots + L_6 S_{a1} S_{a2} S_{a3} S_{a4} S_{a5}) V_{m7}}{S_{a1} S_{a2} S_{a3} S_{a4} S_{a5} S_{a6}}.$$
(30)

If the fluid pressure is changed and the initial gas content of the fluid remains unchanged, then

$$\frac{\partial m_5}{\partial k_{f1}} = A_3 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}},\tag{31}$$

$$\frac{\partial m_7}{\partial k_{f1}} = A_3 \frac{\frac{d\rho_f(p, x_0)}{dp}}{\frac{dB_f(p, x_0)}{dp}}.$$
(32)

Using the stiffness matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (3), the partial derivative of the stiffness matrix of the jet system with respect to the stiffness of fluid unit $1 k_{f1}$ is

where

$$\frac{\partial k_{f21}}{\partial k_{f1}} = \frac{\partial k_{f22}}{\partial k_{f1}} = \frac{\frac{L_1}{S_{a1}} + \frac{L_2}{S_{a2}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a3}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a4}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a5}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a6}}}{2\left(\frac{L_{1'}}{S_{a1'}} + \frac{L_{2'}}{S_{a2'}} + \frac{L_{3'}}{S_{a3'}}\right)}.$$
(34)

3.3. Sensitivity of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Mass of Fluid Unit 2

Using the mass matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (2), the partial derivative of the mass matrix of the jet system with respect to the mass of fluid unit 2 m_5 is

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{m}}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_5} = \operatorname{diag}\left(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_7}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_5}\right),\tag{35}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial m_7}{\partial m_5} = \frac{\partial (V_{\rm m7}\rho_{\rm water})}{\partial (V_{\rm m5}\rho_{\rm water})} = \frac{V_{\rm m7}}{V_{\rm m5}}.$$
(36)

Using the stiffness matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (3), the partial derivative of the stiffness matrix of the jet system with respect to the mass of fluid unit 2 m_5 is

If the initial gas content of the fluid is changed and the fluid pressure remains unchanged, combining Equations (7)–(10), then

$$\frac{\partial k_{f21}}{\partial m_5} = \frac{\partial k_{f22}}{\partial m_5} = A_5 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}},\tag{38}$$

$$\frac{\partial k_{f1}}{\partial m_5} = A_6 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}}.$$
(39)

Among them,

$$A_{5} = \frac{S_{a1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'}}{2(L_{1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'} + L_{2'}S_{a1'}S_{a3'} + L_{3'}S_{a1'}S_{a2'})V_{m5}},$$
(40)

$$A_{6} = \frac{S_{a1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5}S_{a6}}{(L_{1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5}S_{a6} + \dots + L_{6}S_{a1}S_{a2}S_{a3}S_{a4}S_{a5})V_{m5}}.$$
(41)

10 of 23

If the fluid pressure is changed and the initial gas content of the fluid remains the same, then

$$\frac{\partial k_{f21}}{\partial m_5} = \frac{\partial k_{f22}}{\partial m_5} = A_5 \frac{\frac{dB_f(p, x_0)}{dp}}{\frac{d\rho_f(p, x_0)}{dp}},$$
(42)

$$\frac{\partial k_{f1}}{\partial m_5} = A_6 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}.$$
(43)

3.4. Sensitivity of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Stiffness of Fluid Unit 2

Using the mass matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (2), the partial derivative of the mass matrix of the jet system with respect to the stiffness k_{f21} is

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{m}}{\partial k_{f21}} = \operatorname{diag}\left(0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\partial m_5}{\partial k_{f21}}, 0, \frac{\partial m_7}{\partial k_{f21}}\right). \tag{44}$$

If the initial gas content of the fluid is changed and the fluid pressure remains unchanged, combining Equations (7) and (8), then

$$\frac{\partial m_5}{\partial k_{f21}} = A_7 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p_0, x)}{\mathrm{d}x}},\tag{45}$$

$$\frac{\partial m_7}{\partial k_{f21}} = A_8 \frac{\frac{d\rho_f(p_0, x)}{dx}}{\frac{dB_f(p_0, x)}{dx}},\tag{46}$$

where

$$A_7 = \frac{2(L_{1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'} + L_{2'}S_{a1'}S_{a3'} + L_{3'}S_{a1'}S_{a2'})V_{m5}}{S_{a1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'}},$$
(47)

$$A_8 = \frac{2(L_{1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'} + L_{2'}S_{a1'}S_{a3'} + L_{3'}S_{a1'}S_{a2'})V_{m7}}{S_{a1'}S_{a2'}S_{a3'}}.$$
(48)

If the fluid pressure is changed and the initial gas content of the fluid remains unchanged, then

$$\frac{\partial m_5}{\partial k_{f21}} = A_7 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_f(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}},\tag{49}$$

$$\frac{\partial m_7}{\partial k_{f21}} = A_8 \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\mathrm{f}}(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}{\frac{\mathrm{d}B_{\mathrm{f}}(p, x_0)}{\mathrm{d}p}}.$$
(50)

Using the stiffness matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (3), the partial derivative of the stiffness matrix of the jet system with respect to the stiffness k_{f21} is

where

$$\frac{\partial k_{f1}}{\partial k_{f21}} = \frac{2\left(\frac{L_{1'}}{S_{a1'}} + \frac{L_{2'}}{S_{a2'}} + \frac{L_{3'}}{S_{a3'}}\right)}{\frac{L_1}{S_{a1}} + \frac{L_2}{S_{a2}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a3}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a4}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a5}} + \frac{L_3}{S_{a6}}}.$$
(52)

 k_{f21} and k_{f22} are numerically equal and are half of the equivalent stiffness of fluid unit 2, and in the dynamic model of the jet system, they are both located on either side of fluid unit 2. Therefore, k_{f21} and k_{f22} have the same influence on the natural frequency of the jet system, that is, the sensitivity to the jet system is the same. So, this paper only analyzes the influence of k_{f21} on the natural frequency sensitivity of the jet system.

3.5. Sensitivity of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Mass of the Spray Core

Using the mass matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (2), the partial derivative of the mass matrix of the jet system with respect to the mass of the spray core m_6 is

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_6} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0).$$
 (53)

The partial derivative of the stiffness matrix of the jet system with respect to the mass of the spray core m_6 in any mode is equal to zero, then

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial m_6} = \mathbf{0}.\tag{54}$$

3.6. Sensitivity of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Stiffness of the Spring in the Gun Head

The partial derivative of the mass matrix of the jet system with respect to the stiffness of the spring in the gun head k_5 in any mode is equal to zero, then

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial k_5} = \mathbf{0}.\tag{55}$$

Using the stiffness matrix of the jet system shown in Equation (3), the partial derivative of the stiffness matrix of the jet system with respect to the stiffness of the spring in the gun head k_5 is

4. Sensitivity Analysis of the Jet System

4.1. Modal Analysis of the Jet System

The design parameters of the jet system are shown in Table 2. The jet fluid is water containing a certain amount of air at 293.15 K and 1013.25 hPa.

lable 2. Design parameters of the jet system
--

Parameter Name	Parameter Symbol	Unit	Value
Mass of core rod	т	kg	0.0354
Mass of end cap	m _d	kg	0.1177
Mass of spray core	m_6	kg	0.3163
Mass of Fluid unit 1	m_7	kg	16.4593
Mass of Fluid unit 2	m_5	kg	0.1362
Stiffness of discrete unit of the core rod	k_1	kN/m	333,460
Equivalent stiffness 1 of fluid	$k_{ m f1}$	kN/m	354.05
Equivalent stiffness 2 of fluid	$k_{\rm f2}$	kN/m	424.07
Equivalent stiffness 3 of fluid	$k_{\rm f3}$	kN/m	424.07
Stiffness of the spring in the gun head	k_5	kN/m	18
Fluid pressure	р	MPa	0.6
Initial gas content of fluid	x	%	2
Bulk elastic modulus of fluid	B_{f}	MPa	161.1432
Temperature	Т	Κ	293

The parameters shown in Table 2 are substituted into the free vibration equation of the jet system, and the natural frequencies of the jet system and the corresponding mode shapes are shown in Table 3.

Order	First-Order	Second-Order	Third-Order	Fourth-Order	Fifth-Order	Sixth-Order	Seventh-Order
Natural frequency	f _{n1}	f _{n2}	f _{n3}	f_{n4}	f _{n5}	f _{n6}	f _{n7}
f _{ni} /Hz	19.6	230.8	427.4	4040	24,276.9	43,906	57,146.6
	0.0004	0.001	0.0013	0.261	0.7231	1	-0.7044
	0.0009	0.002	0.0025	0.5176	1	-0.0186	1
Dringing mode of	0.0015	0.003	0.0038	0.7653	0.6597	-0.9997	-0.7153
rincipal node of	0.0019	0.0041	0.005	1	-0.0877	0.0372	0.0155
each mode Ψ_{ni}	0.3549	0.7578	1	-0.0049	0	0	0
	0.7062	1	-0.3205	0.0001	0	0	0
	1	-0.0252	0.0023	0	0	0	0

Table 3. Natural frequencies and mode shapes of the jet system.

It can be seen from Table 3 that the first three natural frequencies of the jet system are below 1000 Hz, and it is easy to resonate with the self-pulsation frequency of the incident fluid. Therefore, only the sensitivities of the design parameters to the first three natural frequencies are considered in the sensitivity analysis.

Based on the parameters of the jet system shown in Table 2, combined with the relevant formulas in the second section, the sensitivity of the natural frequency of the jet system to the design parameters can be obtained.

4.2. Sensitivity Analysis of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Mass of Fluid Unit 1

Keeping the fluid pressure unchanged, when the initial gas content changes between 0 and 0.028, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with m_7 is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different initial gas content of the fluid with the mass of fluid unit 1. (**a**) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (**b**) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from Figure 6 that with the increase in the mass of fluid unit 1 under a different initial gas content of the fluid, the sensitivities of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system increase monotonically, and their values are positive. Correspondingly, the first three natural frequencies of the jet system monotonously increase with an increase in the mass of fluid unit 1 and the growth gradually increases.

Keeping the initial gas content of the fluid unchanged, the fluid pressure is changed so that the variation range of m_7 is consistent with that shown in Figure 6. When the pressure is in the range of 0.43–2.36 MPa, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with m_7 is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different pressure of the fluid with the mass of fluid unit 1. (a) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (b) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from Figure 7 that with the increase in the mass of fluid unit 1 under a different fluid pressure, the sensitivities of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system first rise and then decrease, and their values are positive. Correspondingly, the first three natural frequencies of the jet system monotonically increase with the increase in the mass of fluid unit 1. When the sensitivities are reduced, the growth rate of the natural frequencies of the corresponding mass units of fluid unit 1 decreases in accordance with the increase in the mass of fluid unit 1. However, since the absolute values of the sensitivities are still large, the growth rate of the natural frequency is still high.

It can be seen from the comparison between Figures 6 and 7 that under the current parameter conditions, the initial gas content of the fluid is more sensitive to the first three natural frequencies of the jet system than the fluid pressure within the same mass range of fluid unit 1.

4.3. Sensitivity Analysis of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Stiffness of Fluid Unit 1

Keeping the fluid pressure unchanged, when the initial gas content changes between 0 and 0.028, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with k_{f1} is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different initial gas content of the fluid with the stiffness of fluid unit 1. (a) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (b) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from Figure 8 that with the increase in the stiffness of fluid unit 1 under a different initial gas content of the fluid, the sensitivities of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system decrease monotonically and the decreasing rate decreases gradually, while the values of sensitivities are small and positive. Correspondingly, the first three natural frequencies of the jet system increase monotonically at a lower rate with the increase in the stiffness of fluid unit 1, and the growth rate gradually decreases.

Keeping the initial gas content of the fluid unchanged, the fluid pressure is changed so that the variation range of k_{f1} is consistent with that shown in Figure 8. When the pressure is in the range of 0.51–35 MPa, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with k_{f1} is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different pressure of the fluid with the stiffness of fluid unit 1. (a) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (b) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from Figure 9 that with the increase in the stiffness of fluid unit 1, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different fluid pressure is basically the same as that under a different initial gas content of fluid.

It can be seen from Figures 8 and 9 that whether the initial gas content of the fluid changes or the fluid pressure changes, the values of the sensitivities of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system are small within the range of stiffness of fluid unit 1, which means that the change in stiffness of fluid unit 1 has less effect on sensitivity. Among them, the first-order natural frequency sensitivity has the least influence. Under the current parameter conditions, the initial gas content and the fluid pressure have basically the same effect on the sensitivities of the first three natural frequency of the jet system within the same range of stiffness of fluid unit 1.

4.4. Sensitivity Analysis of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Mass of Fluid Unit 2

Keeping the fluid pressure unchanged, when the initial gas content changes between 0 and 0.028, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with m_5 is shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different initial gas content of fluid with the mass of fluid unit 2. (a) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (b) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from the comparison between Figures 6 and 10 that when the variation range of initial gas content is the same, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with the mass of fluid unit 2 is basically the same as that with the mass of fluid unit 1. However, with the change of the mass of fluid unit 2 in the same range of initial gas

content, the values of the first three natural frequency sensitivities of the jet system are relatively large, indicating that the first three natural frequencies of the jet system are more sensitive to the change in the mass of fluid unit 2, compared with that to the change of the mass of fluid unit 1.

Keeping the initial gas content of the fluid unchanged, the fluid pressure is changed so that the variation range of m_5 is consistent with that shown in Figure 10. When the pressure is in the range of 0.43–2.36 MPa, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with m_5 is shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different pressure of the fluid with the mass of fluid unit 2. (**a**) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (**b**) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from the comparison between Figures 6 and 11 that when the variation range of fluid pressure is the same, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with the mass of fluid unit 2 is basically the same as that with the mass of fluid unit 1. However, with the change of the mass of fluid unit 2 in the same range of pressure, the values of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system are relatively large, indicating that the first three natural frequencies of the jet system are more sensitive to the change in the mass of fluid unit 2, compared to the change in the mass of fluid unit 1.

It can be seen from the comparison between Figures 10 and 11 that under the current parameter conditions, the initial gas content of fluid is more sensitive to the first three natural frequencies of the jet system than the fluid pressure within the same mass range of fluid unit 2.

4.5. Sensitivity Analysis of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Stiffness of Fluid Unit 2

Keeping the fluid pressure unchanged, when the initial gas content changes between 0 and 0.028, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with k_{f21} is shown in Figure 12.

It can be seen from Figure 12 that with the increase in the stiffness of fluid unit 2 under a different initial gas content of fluid, the sensitivities of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system decrease monotonically and the decreasing rate decreases gradually, while the values of sensitivities are small and positive. Correspondingly, the first three natural frequencies of the jet system increase monotonically at a lower rate with the increase in the stiffness of fluid unit 2, and the growth rate gradually decreases.



Figure 12. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different initial gas content of fluid with the stiffness of fluid unit 2. (a) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (b) Variation law of natural frequency.

Keeping the initial gas content of the fluid unchanged, the fluid pressure is changed so that the variation range of k_{f21} is consistent with that shown in Figure 12. When the pressure is in the range of 0.51–35 MPa, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with k_{f21} is shown in Figure 13.



Figure 13. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different pressure of the fluid with the stiffness of fluid unit 2. (a) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (b) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from Figure 13 that with the increase in the stiffness of fluid unit 1, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system under a different fluid pressure is basically the same as that under a different initial gas content of fluid.

It can be seen from Figures 12 and 13 that whether the initial gas content of the fluid changes or the fluid pressure changes, the values of the sensitivities of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system are small, which means that the change in the stiffness of fluid unit 2 has less effect on sensitivity. Among them, the first-order natural frequency sensitivity has the least influence. Under the current parameter conditions, the initial gas content and fluid pressure have basically the same effect on the sensitivities of the first three natural frequencies of the jet system within the same range of stiffness of fluid unit 2.

4.6. Sensitivity Analysis of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Mass of the Spray Core

Let the initial gas content of the fluid be 0.02 and the fluid pressure be 0.6 MPa. When the mass of the spray core is in the range of 0.1–0.5 kg, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with m_6 is shown in Figure 14.



Figure 14. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with the mass of the spray core. (**a**) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (**b**) Variation law of natural frequency.

From Figure 14, the following laws can be summarized:

- (1) With the increase in the mass of the spray core, the first-order natural frequency sensitivity of the jet system increases slightly in the process, but it can be regarded as basically unchanged due to the small increase. The absolute value of the sensitivity is small and negative. In the variation of the natural frequency, the first-order natural frequency decreases slightly from 19.73 Hz to 19.54 Hz with the increase in the mass of the spray core.
- (2) With the increase in the mass of the spray core, the second-order natural frequency sensitivity of the jet system is negative, and its absolute value increases first and then decreases. Therefore, the second-order natural frequency of the jet system appears to decrease monotonically with the increase in the mass of the spray core, and the rate of decline increases slightly first and then decreases gradually.
- (3) With the increase in the mass of the spray core, the third-order natural frequency sensitivity of the jet system is negative, and its absolute value decreases gradually. Therefore, the third-order natural frequency of the jet system decreases with the increase in the mass of the spray core. The rate of decline decreases gradually and tends to zero eventually.

In summary, the change in the mass of the spray core has a rare effect on the first-order natural frequency of the jet system, but the second-order and third-order natural frequencies of the jet system decrease gradually with the increase in the mass of the spray core.

4.7. Sensitivity Analysis of the Natural Frequency of the Jet System to the Stiffness of the Spring in the Gun Head

Let the initial gas content of the fluid be 0.02 and the fluid pressure be 0.6 MPa. When the stiffness of the spring in the gun head is in the range of 10–30 kN/m, the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with k_5 is shown in Figure 15.



Figure 15. The variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system with the stiffness of the spring in the gun head. (a) Variation law of natural frequency sensitivity. (b) Variation law of natural frequency.

It can be seen from Figure 15 that as the stiffness of the spring in the adaptive gun head increases, the first-order and second-order natural frequency sensitivities of the jet system monotonically decrease, the third-order natural frequency sensitivity monotonically increases, and their values are all positive. Corresponding to the change of natural frequency sensitivity of each order, the first three natural frequencies of the jet system gradually increase with the increase in spring stiffness, and the growth rate is small, which indicates that the spring stiffness of the gun head has little effect on the first three natural frequencies of the jet system and their sensitivities.

5. Experimental Verification

In order to verify the accuracy of the modal analysis of the jet system, a platform for the dynamic experiment of the fire-fighting jet system with adaptive gun head was built. The modal test of the working jet system was carried out by the hammering method. The experiment platform is shown in Figure 16. The experimental parameters are consistent with the parameters shown in Table 1.



Figure 16. Platform for dynamic experiment of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head. (a) Prototype of gun head. (b) Experimental equipment.

The fast Fourier transform was used to analyze the acceleration signal output from the jet system in the frequency domain. The amplitude-frequency characteristics of the acceleration signal of the jet system under the action of the fluid self-pulsation excitation and the hammer step excitation are shown in Figure 17. The coordinate of point A in the Figure 17 is (19.5, 0.1438) and the coordinate of point B is (46.8, 0.1588).



Figure 17. Amplitude-frequency characteristic curve of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head.

It can be seen from Figure 17 that the jet system has relatively obvious peaks at the frequencies of 19.5 Hz and 46.8 Hz, of which 46.8 Hz is the axial frequency of the centrifugal pump for the experimental system (2800 RPM) and 19.5 Hz is the first-order natural frequency of the jet system in the experiment. At the same time, due to the influence of fluid turbulence in the fire-fighting monitor, the amplitude-frequency curve superimposes the amplitude-frequency characteristics of white noise, which increases the difficulty of frequency spectrum recognition. Therefore, other order natural frequencies of the jet system cannot be determined experimentally. The experimental data and theoretical value of the first-order natural frequency of the jet system are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Comparison between experimental data and theoretical value.

Natural Frequency	Experimental Data	Theoretical Value	Error
The first-order natural frequency	19.5 Hz	19.6 Hz	0.51%

It can be seen from Table 4 that the theoretical first-order natural frequency is very close to the experimental data, and the error is only 0.51%, which verifies the accuracy of the proposed dynamic model of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head.

6. Conclusions

- (1) Considering the fluid-structure interaction and discrete-continuous coupling characteristics of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head, the dynamic model and equations of the fire-fighting jet system with adaptive gun head were established based on the lumped parameter method. The sensitivity calculation formulas of the natural frequency of the jet system to typical design parameters were derived.
- (2) The modal analysis of the jet system was carried out, and the natural frequencies of the orders and the corresponding modal vectors under given conditions were obtained. The sensitivity analysis of the jet system was carried out, and the variation law of the first three natural frequencies and their sensitivities of the jet system to typical design parameters was revealed. Among the parameters involved, the first three natural frequencies of the jet system were the most sensitive to the change in the mass of fluid unit 2 in the range of a certain initial gas content.
- (3) The platform for the dynamic experiment of the fire-fighting jet system with the adaptive gun head was built, and the modal experiment of the jet system was carried out. There was only a 0.51% error between the value of the first-order natural frequency of the jet system determined by

the modal experiment and the theoretical one determined by the analytical method, showing that good agreement with the first-order natural frequency of the jet system was found.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.Y. and C.W.; methodology, X.Z.; investigation, X.Z. and C.W.; writing—original draft preparation, X.Y.; writing—review and editing, C.W. and X.Z.; supervision, L.Z. and Y.Z.

Funding: This work is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51805468, 51805214), Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (No. E2017203129), Open Foundation of the State Key Laboratory of Fluid Power and Mechatronic Systems (No. GZKF-201820), Basic Research Special Funding Project of Yanshan University (No. 16LGB001), and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019M651722). The authors would also like to thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Zhu, J.; Li, W.; Lin, D.; Zhao, G. Study on water jet trajectory model of fire monitor based on simulation and experiment. *Fire Technol.* **2018**, *55*, 773–787. [CrossRef]
- 2. Hu, G.L.; Chen, W.G.; Gao, Z.G. Flow analysis of spray jet and direct jet nozzle for fire water monitor. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2010**, *139–141*, 913–916. [CrossRef]
- 3. Bhende, A.R.; Kadu, P.S. Behavioral analysis of hydraulically driven fire fighting water monitor trailer subjected to type of fire & ways of its fire protection. In Proceedings of the 2009 Second International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering & Technology, Nagpur, India, 16–18 December 2009.
- 4. Berner, O. A volume and pressure controlling spill valve equipped for removal of excess aesthetic gas. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand.* **2010**, *16*, 252–258. [CrossRef]
- 5. Qian, J.Y.; Chen, M.R.; Liu, X.L.; Jin, Z.J. A numerical investigation of the flow of nanofluids through a micro tesla valve. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. A* **2019**, *20*, 50–60. [CrossRef]
- 6. Qian, J.Y.; Gao, Z.X.; Liu, B.Z.; Jin, Z.J. Parametric study on fluid dynamics of pilot-control angle globe valve. *ASME J. Fluids Eng.* **2018**, *140*, 111103. [CrossRef]
- Salacinska, K.; El Serafy, G.Y.; Los, F.J.; Blauw, A. Sensitivity analysis of the two dimensional application of the Generic Ecological Model (GEM) to algal bloom prediction in the North Sea. *Ecol. Model.* 2010, 221, 178–190. [CrossRef]
- 8. Gallivan, S. Challenging the role of calibration, validation and sensitivity analysis in relation to models of health care processes. *Health Care Manag. Sci.* **2008**, *11*, 208–213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Zhu, Y.; Qian, P.; Tang, S.; Jiang, W.; Li, W.; Zhao, J. Amplitude-frequency characteristics analysis for vertical vibration of hydraulic AGC system under nonlinear action. *AIP Adv.* **2019**, *9*, 035019. [CrossRef]
- 10. Zhu, Y.; Tang, S.; Wang, C.; Jiang, W.; Yuan, X.; Lei, Y. Bifurcation characteristic research on the load vertical vibration of a hydraulic automatic gauge control system. *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 718. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Y.; Tang, S.; Quan, L.; Jiang, W.; Zhou, L. Extraction method for signal effective component based on extreme-point symmetric mode decomposition and Kullback-Leibler divergence. *J. Braz. Soc. Mech. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 41, 100. [CrossRef]
- 12. Félix, G.; Ancheyta, J.; Trejo, F. Sensitivity analysis of kinetic parameters for heavy oil hydrocracking. *Fuel* **2019**, *241*, 836–844. [CrossRef]
- 13. Prieur, C.; Viry, L.; Blayo, E.; Brankart, J.-M. A global sensitivity analysis approach for marine biogeochemical modeling. *Ocean Model.* **2019**, *139*, 101402. [CrossRef]
- 14. Guo, R.; Hu, Y.; Liu, M.Z.; Heiselberg, P. Influence of design parameters on the night ventilation performance in office buildings based on sensitivity analysis. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* **2019**, *50*, 101661. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gunay, H.B.; Ouf, M.; Newsham, G.; O'Brien, W. Sensitivity analysis and optimization of building operations. *Energy Build.* **2019**, *199*, 164–175. [CrossRef]
- 16. Dong, F.; Li, J.Y.; Zhang, S.N.; Wang, Y.; Sun, Z.Y. Sensitivity analysis and spatial-temporal heterogeneity of CO₂ emission intensity: Evidence from China. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2019**, *150*, 104398. [CrossRef]
- 17. Rezaie, F.; Bayat, M.A.; Farnam, S.M. Sensitivity analysis of pre-stressed concrete sleepers for longitudinal crack prorogation effective factors. *Eng. Fail. Anal.* **2016**, *66*, 385–397. [CrossRef]

- Tashakor, S.; Afsari, A.; Hashemi-Tilehnoee, M. Sensitivity analysis of thermal-hydraulic parameters to study the corrosion intensity in nuclear power plant steam generators. *Nucl. Eng. Technol.* 2019, 51, 394–401. [CrossRef]
- 19. Cao, J.K.; Ding, S.T. Sensitivity analysis for safety design verification of general aviation reciprocating aircraft engine. *Chin. J. Aeronaut.* **2012**, *25*, 675–680. [CrossRef]
- 20. He, X.; Jiao, W.; Wang, C.; Cao, W. Influence of surface roughness on the pump performance based on Computational Fluid Dynamics. *IEEE Access* **2019**, *7*, 105331–105341. [CrossRef]
- 21. Wang, C.; Hu, B.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, X.; Luo, C.; Cheng, L. Numerical study on the gas-water two-phase flow in the self-priming process of self-priming centrifugal pump. *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 330. [CrossRef]
- 22. Jia, W.H.; Yin, C.B.; Hao, F.; Li, G.; Fan, X.Z. Dynamic characteristics and stability analysis of conical relief valve. *Mechanika* **2019**, *25*, 25–31. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhang, K.Q.; Karney, B.W.; Mcpherson, D.L. Pressure-relief valve selection and transient pressure control. *Am. Water Works Assoc.* **2008**, *100*, 62–69. [CrossRef]
- 24. Zhang, J.; Xia, S.; Ye, S.; Xu, B.; Song, W.; Zhu, S.; Xiang, J. Experimental investigation on the noise reduction of an axial piston pump using free-layer damping material treatment. *Appl. Acoust.* **2018**, *139*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 25. Ye, S.; Zhang, J.; Xu, B.; Zhu, S. Theoretical investigation of the contributions of the excitation forces to the vibration of an axial piston pump. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* **2019**, *129*, 201–217. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zhu, Y.; Tang, S.; Wang, C.; Jiang, W.; Zhao, J.; Li, G. Absolute stability condition derivation for position closed-loop system in hydraulic automatic gauge control. *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 766. [CrossRef]
- 27. Imagine, S.A. HYD advanced fluid properties. Technical Bulletin. 2007, 117, 7.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).