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#### Abstract

In this paper, the normal form and central manifold theories are used to discuss the influence of two-degree-of-freedom coupled van der Pol oscillators with time delay feedback. Compared with the single-degree-of-freedom time delay van der Pol oscillator, the system studied in this paper has richer dynamical behavior. The results obtained include: the change of time delay causing the stability switching of the system, and the greater the time delay, the more complicated the stability switching. Near the double Hopf bifurcation point, the system is simplified by using the normal form and central manifold theories. The system is divided into six regions with different dynamical properties. With the above results, for practical engineering problems, we can perform time delay feedback adjustment to make the system show amplitude death, limit loop, and so on. It is worth noting that because of the existence of unstable limit cycles in the system, the limit cycle cannot be obtained by numerical solution. Therefore, we derive the approximate analytical solution of the system and simulate the time history of the interaction between two frequencies in Region IV.
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## 1. Introduction

The van der Pol oscillator is a limit cycle oscillation of vacuum tube amplifiers discovered by Dutch scientists. The limit cycle oscillation can be expressed by the following nonlinear differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+x-\varepsilon\left(1-x^{2}\right) \dot{x}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The van der Pol oscillator exists in many aspects, such as image encryption [1] and signal detection [2]. It is worth noting that we can only solve the system by approximating analytical and numerical methods, including multiple time scales [3] and average methods [4]. Of course, in addition to the development of research methods, many scholars have studied the dynamic behavior of van der Pol oscillators under the influence of time delay [5,6] and non-smooth oscillators [7].

The coupling of oscillators usually generates many new phenomena, such as synchronization, phase locking, and amplitude death. Stankevich et al. [8] studied quasi-periodic bifurcations of five coupled van der Pol oscillators. Bukh and Anishchenko [9] studied the spiral wave, target wave, and chimeric wave in coupled van der Pol oscillators. Singh and Yadava [10] found transient chaos and stable chaotic dynamics in coupled autonomous van der Pol oscillations, but this is a rare case. Then they revealed that the nonlinear restoring forces in a pair of van der Pol oscillators can induce a transient chaotic route by a small disturbance to the amplitude of an oscillator. Algaba et al. [11] investigated canard explosion in van der Pol electronic oscillators. They developed a new effective program which can calculate the approximate value of critical parameters of any desired
order. Qian and Fu [12] studied the primary resonance of van der Pol systems under parametric excitation by using the multi-scale method (MSM) and homotopy analysis method (HAM). It was found that the margin of error is too small, while Kumar and Varshney [13] proposed an effective perturbation algorithm for solving the van der Pol oscillator equation by combining the multi-scale method with the modified Lindstedt Poincare method.

Most of the above literature is based on coupled van der Pol oscillators without time delay. In this paper, we will use the method of normal form and center manifolds to study the two-degree-of-freedom (TDOF) time delay van der Pol system. For the method of center manifold and normal form, we can refer to the references [14,15]. Bifurcation analysis and branch solutions can refer to the literature [16,17]. In fact, we can also use the normal form theory and center manifold theory to analyze other bifurcation types, such as double Hopf [18,19] and Fold-Hopf [20,21]. Qian et al. [22] studied the dynamical behavior of double-Hopf bifurcations by the multi-scale method. Ge and Xu considered four neurons and two delays of the bidirectional associative memory (BAM) neural network. The dynamic behaviors in the neighborhood of the Fold-Hopf bifurcation point are classified qualitatively by using the normal form theory and center manifold theory [21]. Chen and Yu [18] studied the oscillator with time delay, in which the external excitation causes double Hopf bifurcation. They obtained the critical conditions for double Hopf bifurcation and approximate solutions of periodic and quasi-periodic motions. Based on the study of Guckenheimer [14], Du et al. [19] presented the calculation form of double Hopf bifurcation. Based on the normal form and center manifold theories, Song and Xu analyzed the complex dynamic bifurcation of double neural networks with time-delay coupling. The dynamic behaviors are classified, including stable equilibrium point, periodic solution, 2-torus, 3 -torus, and chaotic motions [20]. They also found that multiple delays lead to a stable switch in the dynamic behavior of the system [23]. Besides, Song and Xu studied Fold-Hopf bifurcation in a neural network system composed of two delay coupled neural oscillators. It was found that the system exhibits different bursting behaviors with different time delays [24]. Song et al. also considered the fast-slow system with time delay and obtained the bursting oscillation of codimension two [25,26].

It can be seen that in the literature [8-11], we have studied the branching, chaos, canard explosion, and other phenomena of the coupled van der Pol oscillators without time delay from the phenomenology. However, they still lack the analysis of the theory behind the coupled van der Pol oscillators. Additionally, through the literature [17,20,23-26], we can get conclusions about the time delay nervous system. However, the above literature does not involve the study of coupled van der Pol oscillators with time delay feedback. Therefore, the research in this article is original. This research can also realize the change of system dynamics through the adjustment of time delay feedback, which can provide theoretical guidance for complex phenomena in engineering.

In this paper, we will use normal form theory and center manifold theory to study van der Pol oscillators with two degrees of freedom. Some of the data in the article were generated by the DDE_BIFTOOL package [27]. In Section 2, we discuss the Hopf bifurcation and stability switching of Equation (2.1); In Section 3, we analyze the stability of double Hopf bifurcation with two time delays, and divide the region near the double Hopf according to its dynamic characteristics. Then, a numerical simulation and approximate analytical solution are given.

## 2. Stability Analysis and Hopf Bifurcation

In this part, we discuss the stability and Hopf bifurcation of Equation (2) at the unique equilibrium ( $0,0,0,0$ ). Additionally, Equation (2) can be shown as below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}(t)=x_{2}(t) \\
& \dot{x}_{2}(t)=k\left(1-x_{1}(t)^{2}\right) x_{2}(t)-l x_{1}(t)-\left(\beta_{1} x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\beta_{2} x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right)+\tanh \left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \dot{x}_{3}(t)=x_{4}(t)  \tag{2}\\
& \dot{x}_{4}(t)=k\left(1-x_{3}(t)^{2}\right) x_{4}(t)-l x_{3}(t)-\left(\beta_{1} x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\beta_{2} x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right)+\tanh \left(x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The linear part of Equation (2) is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}(t)=x_{2}(t), \\
& \dot{x}_{2}(t)=k x_{2}(t)-l x_{1}(t)-\left(\beta_{1} x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\beta_{2} x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right),  \tag{3}\\
& \dot{x}_{3}(t)=x_{4}(t) \\
& \dot{x}_{4}(t)=k x_{4}(t)-l x_{3}(t)-\left(\beta_{1} x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\beta_{2} x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation of the linearized System (3) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{2}-k \lambda+l+\beta_{1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}-\beta_{2} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-k \lambda+l+\beta_{1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}+\beta_{2} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=0$, the characteristic equation becomes $\left(\lambda^{2}-k \lambda+l+\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\right.$ $\left.k \lambda+l+\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)=0$. If the characteristic equation has zero real part eigenvalues at the equilibrium point, then the equilibrium point is hyperbolic. The Hartman-Grobman theorem tells us that the orbit topology near the hyperbolic equilibrium point is equivalent to the linearized system.

We consider $\lambda^{2}-k \lambda+J_{n}=0, n \in\{1,2\}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=l+\beta_{1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}-\beta_{2} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}, \quad J_{2}=l+\beta_{1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}+\beta_{2} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write the characteristic equation into the form of $\left(\lambda^{2}-k \lambda+J_{1}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-k \lambda+\right.$ $\left.J_{2}\right)=0$. Take $J_{1}$ as an example. Let $\pm i \omega_{01}\left(\omega_{01}>0\right)$ be a pair of purely imaginary roots of Equation (4).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i \omega_{01}\right)^{2}-k i \omega_{01}+l+\beta_{1} e^{-i \omega_{01} \tau_{1}}-\beta_{2} e^{-i \omega_{01} \tau_{2}}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Segregating the real part and imaginary part of Equation (6), we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\omega_{01}^{2}+l+\beta_{1} \cos \left(\omega_{01} \tau_{1}\right)-\beta_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{01} \tau_{2}\right)=0  \tag{7}\\
k \omega_{01}+\beta_{1} \sin \left(\omega_{01} \tau_{1}\right)-\beta_{2} \sin \left(\omega_{01} \tau_{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eliminating $\tau_{2}$ from Equation (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\omega_{01}, \tau_{2}\right)=\left(-\omega_{01}^{2}-\beta_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{01} \tau_{2}\right)+l\right)^{2}+\left(k \omega_{01}-\beta_{2} \sin \left(\omega_{01} \tau_{2}\right)\right)^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where there is fixed $\tau_{2}$, if $G\left(\omega_{01}, \tau_{2}\right)=0$ has one positive root, then there is a critical value $\tau$ determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{11}^{j}=\frac{1}{\omega_{01}}\left[\arccos \frac{-\omega_{01}^{2}+l-\beta_{2} \cos \left(\omega_{01} \tau_{2}\right)}{-\beta_{1}}+2 j \pi\right], j=0,1,2 \cdots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the system passes through the critical value, it will lose stability. In order to make sure the Hopf bifurcation occurs, we also need to verify its transversality condition. Without loss of generality, here we calculate the derivative of $\lambda$ to $\tau_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}}=\frac{\lambda \beta_{1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}}{2 \lambda-k-\beta_{1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}} \tau_{1}+\beta_{2} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}} \tau_{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that if $\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}} \neq 0$, then there is a Hopf bifurcation. Thus, we draw the following conclusion.

Theorem 1. Considering Equation (2),
(i) When $G\left(\omega_{01}, \tau_{2}\right)=0$ has no positive real root, the trivial equilibrium of the system Equation (2) is asymptotically stable for any $\tau_{1}$.
(ii) When $G\left(\omega_{01}, \tau_{2}\right)=0$ has one positive root, there is a critical value $\tau_{11}$. The trivial equilibrium of system Equation (2) is asymptotically stable for $\tau_{1} \in\left(0, \tau_{11}\right)$, and it will lose the stability for $\tau_{1}>\tau_{11}$, which means the system will undergo a Hopf bifurcation for $\tau_{1}=\tau_{11}$.
(iii) When $G\left(\omega_{01}, \tau_{2}\right)=0$ has several pairs of positive real roots, there exist several critical values. Then we divide the interval, and we can also find that trivial equilibriums of systems are asymptotically stable on finite intervals.

For example, we choose $l=0.5, \beta_{1}=0.4, \beta_{2}=-0.4, k=-0.5$, where the roots of function $G$ are related to $\omega$, and the figures of function $G$ are displayed in Figure 1 for different $\tau_{2}$. Correspondingly, in Figures 2a and 3a, we show the eigenvalue diagram of the system when $\tau_{1}$ changes. Figures $2 b, c$ and $3 b$ show the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues when $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ are fixed.

When $\tau_{2}=1, G\left(\omega_{01}\right)=0$ has two positive real roots, it means there will be an interval which makes the system stable. If $\tau_{1}$ separates from this interval, the stability of the system will change and the positive real part will appear, which can be observed in Figure 2a. When $\tau_{1}$ increases, the blue curve obviously crosses the zero line, then the blue curve falls from above the zero line. When $\tau_{2}=8$, the situation becomes more complicated. $G\left(\omega_{01}\right)=0$ has several positive real roots, and the stability switching of the system will be more frequent. It can be seen from Figure 3a that multiple curves of the system move back and forth on the zero line, and it illustrates the complexity of positive real characteristic roots.

In order to explain the stability switching of the system more clearly. We take $\tau_{2}=$ $1, \tau_{1}=0.1,1$ and $\tau_{2}=8, \tau_{1}=1$, respectively. Comparing Figure $2 b, c$ with Figure $3 b, i t$ is found that time delay can certainly make the system go from stable to unstable.


Figure 1. Roots of function $G$ when $l=0.5, \beta_{1}=0.4, \beta_{2}=-0.4, k=-0.5,(\mathbf{a}) \tau_{2}=1,(\mathbf{b}) \tau_{2}=8$.


Figure 2. When $\tau_{2}=1$, (a) the eigenvalue diagrams of the system for $\tau_{1}$ kept changing, (b) shows the real and imaginary parts of eigenvalue diagrams of the system for $\tau_{1}=0.1$, and (c) shows the real and imaginary parts of eigenvalue diagrams of the system for $\tau_{1}=1$.


Figure 3. When $\tau_{2}=8$, (a) the eigenvalue diagram of the system for $\tau_{1}$ kept changing, and (b) shows the eigenvalue sketch diagram of the system for $\tau_{1}=1$.

## 3. Analysis of Double Hopf Bifurcation

In this part, we will study the nature of double Hopf bifurcation about the direction and the stability of bifurcating periodic solutions with two time delays, $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$. Firstly, we study double Hopf bifurcation based on the normal form theory and center manifold theorem. Secondly, we carry out the numerical simulations.

### 3.1. Computation of Normal Form and Center-Manifold Reduction

For convenience, let $\beta=\beta_{1}=-\beta_{2}$; then we derive the unfolding of the System (2) by the normal form theory and center manifold theorem, and then we are able to reach the stability near the critical value. Now, we need to regulate the time delay by changing the time. Let $t \mapsto \frac{t}{\tau_{1}}$ and $r=\frac{\tau_{2}}{\tau_{1}}$, where the system becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}(t)=\tau_{1} x_{2}(t), \\
& \dot{x}_{2}(t)=\tau_{1}\left[k\left(1-x_{1}(t)^{2}\right) x_{2}(t)-l x_{1}(t)-\beta\left(x_{1}(t-1)+x_{3}(t-r)\right)+\tanh \left(x_{1}(t-1) x_{3}(t-r)\right)\right],  \tag{11}\\
& \dot{x}_{3}(t)=\tau_{1} x_{4}(t), \\
& \dot{x}_{4}(t)=\tau_{1}\left[k\left(1-x_{3}(t)^{2}\right) x_{4}(t)-l x_{3}(t)-\beta\left(x_{1}(t-r)+x_{3}(t-1)\right)+\tanh \left(x_{1}(t-r) x_{3}(t-1)\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Regarding the time delays $\tau_{1}$ and $r$ as bifurcation parameters, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}=\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}, \quad r=r^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon \delta_{1}$ and $\varepsilon \delta_{2}$ are unfolding parameters. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}=\tau_{1} r=\tau_{2}^{c}+\varepsilon\left(r^{c} \delta_{1}+\tau_{1}^{c} \delta_{2}\right)+o(\varepsilon) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite the system as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) & =\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) x_{2}(t), \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) & =\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right)\left[k\left(1-x_{1}(t)^{2}\right) x_{2}(t)-l x_{1}(t)-\beta\left(x_{1}(t-1)+x_{3}\left(t-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tanh \left(x_{1}(t-1) x_{3}\left(t-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right)\right],  \tag{14}\\
\dot{x}_{3}(t) & =\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) x_{4}(t), \\
\dot{x}_{4}(t) & =\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right)\left[k\left(1-x_{3}(t)^{2}\right) x_{4}(t)-l x_{3}(t)-\beta\left(x_{1}\left(t-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)+x_{3}(t-1)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tanh \left(x_{3}(t-1) x_{1}\left(t-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

To apply the central manifold reduction, we need to rewrite it into functional differential form. $C\left([-\tau, 0], R^{4}\right)$ is the Banach space of continuous functions, where $\tau=\max \{1, r\}$. For any $\phi \in C$, we define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\theta, \varepsilon)=\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) A_{1} \delta(\theta)-\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) A_{2} \delta(\theta+1)-\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) A_{3} \delta\left(\theta+r^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can obtain $L_{\varepsilon} \phi(\theta), A(\varepsilon) \phi(\theta), R(\varepsilon) \phi(\theta), A^{*}(\varepsilon) \psi(s)$, and the bilinear inner product. (The detailed process is in the Appendix A).

If System (11) has two pairs of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\Lambda=\left\{ \pm i \omega_{01}, \pm i \omega_{02}\right\}$ and other eigenvalues are negative, the phase space $C$ can be divided into two subspaces. That is, $C=P_{\Lambda} \oplus Q_{\Lambda} . P_{\Lambda}$ is the central subspace obtained by extending the basis vector of linear operator $A_{\varepsilon}$ with respect to $\pm i \omega_{01}, \pm i \omega_{02} . Q_{\Lambda}$ is its complementary space.

We suppose $\phi_{j}(\theta)$ and $\psi_{j}(s)$ are the eigenvectors of $A(0)$ and $A^{*}(0)$. They correspond to eigenvalue $i \omega_{0 j},-i \omega_{0 j}, j=1,2$, respectively. By direct computations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{j}(\theta) & =\left(1, p_{j 2}, p_{j 3}, p_{j 4}\right)^{T} e^{i \omega_{0 j} \theta}, \\
\psi_{j}(s) & =D_{j}\left(1, q_{j 2}, q_{j 3}, q_{j 4}\right) e^{i \omega_{0 j} s}, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{j 2}=i \omega_{0 j}, \\
& p_{j 3}=\frac{-l-\beta e^{-i \omega_{0 j}}+\omega_{0 j}^{2}+k i \omega_{0 j}}{\beta e^{-i \omega_{0 j} \tau}}, \\
& p_{j 4}=i \omega_{0 j} \frac{-l-\beta e^{-i \omega_{0 j}}+\omega_{0 j}^{2}+k i \omega_{0 j}}{\beta e^{-i \omega_{0 j} \tau}}, \\
& q_{j 2}=-\frac{1}{k+i \omega_{0 j}}, \\
& q_{j 3}=-\frac{-\omega_{0 j}^{2}+k i \omega_{0 j}+l+\beta e^{i \omega_{0 j}}}{\beta e^{i \omega_{0 j} \tau}},  \tag{17}\\
& q_{j 4}=\frac{-\omega_{0 j}^{2}+k i \omega_{0 j}+l+\beta e^{i \omega_{0 j}}}{\left(k+i \omega_{0 j}\right) \beta e^{i \omega_{0 j} \tau}}, \\
& \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{j}}=1+p_{j 2} \bar{q}_{j 2}+p_{j 3} \bar{q}_{j 3}+p_{j 4} \bar{q}_{j 4}-\beta e^{-i \omega_{0 j}}\left(p_{j 1} \bar{q}_{j 2}+p_{j 3} \bar{q}_{j 4}\right) \\
&-\beta \tau e^{-i \omega_{0 j} \tau}\left(p_{j 3} \bar{q}_{j 2}+p_{j 1} \bar{q}_{j 4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The real basis of $P_{\Lambda}$ and its dual space can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(\theta)=\left(\phi_{1}(\theta), \bar{\phi}_{1}(\theta), \phi_{2}(\theta), \bar{\phi}_{2}(\theta)\right), \\
& \Psi(\theta)=\left(\psi_{1}(s), \bar{\psi}_{1}(s), \psi_{2}(s), \bar{\psi}_{2}(s)\right)^{T} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\Phi}=\Phi B, \quad-\dot{\Psi}=B \Psi \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
i \omega_{01} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{20}\\
0 & -i \omega_{01} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i \omega_{02} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i \omega_{02}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Define $Z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)^{T}=\left\langle\Psi, x_{t}\right\rangle$, which represents the local coordinates on the central manifold caused by $\Psi$. We can decompose the phase space by $C=P_{\Lambda}+Q_{\Lambda}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=x_{i}^{P_{\Lambda}}+x_{i}^{Q_{\Lambda}}=\Phi\left\langle\Psi, x_{t}\right\rangle+x_{t}^{Q_{\Lambda}}=\Phi Z+x_{t}^{Q_{\Lambda}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi Z$ is the projection of $x_{i}$ on the central manifold. Substituting Equation (21) into $\dot{x}_{t}=L(0) x_{t}+L_{\varepsilon} x_{t}+R_{\varepsilon} x_{t}$ and expressing $\Psi$ in its bilinear form, then we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi,\left(\Phi \dot{Z}+\dot{x}_{t}^{Q_{\Lambda}}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\Psi,\left(L(0)+L_{\varepsilon}+R_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\Phi Z+x_{t}^{Q_{\Lambda}}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then combining Equations (21)-(22), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\Psi, \Phi\rangle \dot{Z}=\langle\Psi, L(0) \Phi\rangle Z+\left\langle\Psi, L_{\varepsilon} \Phi\right\rangle Z+\left\langle\Psi, R_{\varepsilon} \Phi\right\rangle Z . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It means $\dot{Z}=B Z+\Psi(0) F(t, \Phi Z)$. We know that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(\Phi Z, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon \delta_{1} \phi_{2}(0) \\
\varepsilon \delta_{1}\left[k \phi_{2}(0)-l \phi_{1}(0)-\beta\left(\phi_{1}(-1)+\phi_{3}\left(-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right)\right]-\beta \tau_{1}^{c} \phi_{3}\left(-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right) \\
\varepsilon \delta_{1} \phi_{4}(0) \\
\varepsilon \delta_{1}\left[k \phi_{4}(0)-l \phi_{3}(0)-\beta\left(\phi_{3}(-1)+\phi_{1}\left(-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right)\right]-\beta \tau_{1}^{c} \phi_{1}\left(-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& +\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\tau_{1}^{c}\left[-k \phi_{1}(0)^{2} \phi_{2}(0)+\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}(-1) \phi_{3}\left(-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right] \\
0 \\
\tau_{1}^{c}\left[-k \phi_{3}(0)^{2} \phi_{4}(0)+\alpha_{2} \phi_{3}(-1) \phi_{1}\left(-r^{c}-\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\Phi(\theta) Z=\left(\begin{array}{c}
e^{i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{1}+e^{-i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{2}+e^{i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{3}+e^{-i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{4}  \tag{25}\\
p_{12} e^{i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{1}+p_{12}^{-} e^{-i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{2}+p_{22} e^{i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{3}+p_{22} e^{-i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{4} \\
p_{13} e^{i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{1}+p_{13}^{-} e^{-i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{2}+p_{23} e^{i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{3}+p_{23}^{-} e^{-i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{4} \\
p_{14} e^{i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{1}+p_{14}^{-} e^{-i \omega_{01} \theta} z_{2}+p_{24} e^{i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{3}+p_{24} e^{-i \omega_{02} \theta} z_{4}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Following the computation of the normal forms introduced by [14], we can get the normal form as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{z}_{1}=i \omega_{01} z_{1}+m_{11} \varepsilon \delta_{1} z_{1}+m_{21} \varepsilon \delta_{2} z_{1}+m_{2100} z_{1}^{2} z_{2}+m_{1011} z_{1} z_{3} z_{4}, \\
& z_{2}=-i \omega_{01} z_{2}+\bar{m}_{11} \varepsilon \delta_{1} z_{2}+\bar{m}_{21} \varepsilon \delta_{2} z_{2}+\bar{m}_{2100} z_{1} z_{2}^{2}+\bar{m}_{1011} z_{2} z_{3} z_{4},  \tag{26}\\
& \dot{z_{3}}=i \omega_{02} z_{3}+m_{13} \varepsilon \delta_{1} z_{3}+m_{23} \varepsilon \delta_{2} z_{3}+m_{0021}^{2} z_{3} z_{4}+m_{1110} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} \\
& \dot{z}_{4}=-i \omega_{02} z_{4}+\bar{m}_{13} \varepsilon \delta_{1} z_{4}+\bar{m}_{23} \varepsilon \delta_{2} z_{4}+\bar{m}_{0021} z_{3} z_{4}^{2}+\bar{m}_{1110} z_{1} z_{2} z_{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, we can derive the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{11}= & D_{1} p_{12}+D_{1} q_{12}\left(k p_{12}-l-\beta e^{-i \omega_{01}}-\beta p_{13} e^{-i \omega_{01} r^{c}}\right)+D_{1} q_{13} p_{14} \\
& +D_{1} q_{14}\left(k p_{14}-l p_{13}-\beta p_{13} e^{-i \omega_{01}}-\beta e^{-i \omega_{01} r^{c}}\right), \\
m_{21}= & i \omega_{01} D_{1} q_{12} \tau_{1}^{c} p_{13} \beta e^{-i \omega_{01} r^{c}}+i \omega_{01} D_{1} q_{14} \tau_{1}^{c} \beta e^{-i \omega_{01} r^{c}}, \\
m_{13}= & D_{2} p_{22}+D_{2} q_{22}\left(k p_{22}-l-\beta e^{-i \omega_{02}}-\beta p_{23} e^{-i \omega_{02} r^{c}}\right)+D_{2} q_{23} p_{24} \\
& +D_{2} q_{24}\left(k p_{24}-l p_{23}-\beta p_{23} e^{-i \omega_{02}}-\beta e^{-i \omega_{02} r^{c}}\right),  \tag{27}\\
m_{23}= & i \omega_{02} D_{2} q_{22} \tau_{1}^{c} p_{23} \beta e^{-i \omega_{02} r^{c}}+i \omega_{02} D_{2} q_{24} \tau_{1}^{c} \beta e^{-i \omega_{02} r^{c}}, \\
m_{2100}= & -k D_{1} q_{12} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(\bar{p}_{12}+2 p_{12}\right)-k D_{1} q_{14} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(p_{13}^{2} \bar{p}_{14}+2 p_{13} \bar{p}_{13} p_{14}\right), \\
m_{1011}= & -k D_{1} q_{12} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(2 \bar{p}_{22}+2 p_{22}+2 p_{12}\right)-k D_{11} q_{14} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(2 p_{13} p_{23} \bar{p}_{24}+2 p_{13} \bar{p}_{23} p_{24}+2 p_{23} \bar{p}_{23} p_{14}\right) \\
m_{0021}= & -k D_{2} q_{22} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(\bar{p}_{22}+2 p_{22}\right)-k D_{2} q_{24} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(p_{23}^{2} \bar{p}_{24}+2 p_{23} \bar{p}_{23} p_{24}\right), \\
m_{1110}= & -k D_{2} q_{22} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(2 \bar{p}_{12}+2 p_{12}+2 p_{22}\right)-k D_{2} q_{24} \tau_{1}^{c}\left(2 p_{23} p_{13} \bar{p}_{14}+2 p_{23} \bar{p}_{13} p_{14}+2 p_{13} \bar{p}_{13} p_{24}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We then apply double polar coordinate transformation by

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=r_{1} \cos \theta_{1}-i r_{1} \sin \theta_{1}, \\
& z_{2}=r_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+i r_{1} \sin \theta_{1},  \tag{28}\\
& z_{3}=r_{2} \cos \theta_{2}-i r_{2} \sin \theta_{2}, \\
& z_{4}=r_{2} \cos \theta_{2}+i r_{2} \sin \theta_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{1}, r_{2}>0$. Then, Equation (26) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{r_{1}}=r_{1}\left(c_{1}+a_{0} r_{1}^{2}+b_{0} r_{2}^{2}\right), \\
& \dot{r_{2}}=r_{2}\left(c_{2}+c_{0} r_{1}^{2}+d_{0} r_{2}^{2}\right),  \tag{29}\\
& \dot{\theta_{1}}=\omega_{1} \tau, \\
& \dot{\theta_{2}}=\omega_{2} \tau,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=\operatorname{Rem}_{11} \varepsilon \delta_{1}+\operatorname{Rem}_{21} \varepsilon \delta_{2}, \\
& c_{2}=\operatorname{Rem}_{13} \varepsilon \delta_{1}+\operatorname{Rem}_{23} \varepsilon \delta_{2}, \\
& a_{0}=\operatorname{Rem}_{2100}, \quad b_{0}=\operatorname{Rem}_{1011},  \tag{30}\\
& c_{0}=\operatorname{Rem}_{0021}, \quad d_{0}=\operatorname{Rem}_{1110}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2. Classification of Dynamical Behaviours

We take $k=-0.5, \beta=0.4, l=0.5$, and let $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ be the bifurcation parameters. We can draw the curves of Hopf bifurcation when $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ vary. As shown clearly in Figure 4, two Hopf bifurcation curves intersect, and we call the intersections the double Hopf bifurcation point, denoted by HH . It follows that the $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ plane is divided into different regions, in which the stability of trivial equilibria are different. When the system moves through these curves, the stability switches. Now we take Figure 4 b as an example, when $\tau_{1}=$ $1.693, \tau_{2}=0.190, \tau_{01}^{0}$, and $\tau_{02}^{0}$ intersect. Figure 5 shows two pairs of pure virtual roots. Correspondingly, $\omega$ can be calculated, and we denote $\omega_{11}=0.5731, \omega_{12}=0.9424$. Using the central manifold method, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=0.1328 \varepsilon \delta_{1}-0.2735 \varepsilon \delta_{2}, \\
& c_{2}=0.0933 \varepsilon \delta_{1}+0.8495 \varepsilon \delta_{2}, \\
& a_{0}=-0.5008, \quad b_{0}=-0.3969,  \tag{31}\\
& c_{0}=-0.3269, \quad d_{0}=-0.8860 .
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 4. When $k=-0.5, \beta=0.4, l=0.5$, (a) is the bifurcation set diagram with $\tau_{2}$ as the $x$-axis and $\tau_{1}$ as the $y$-axis, and (b) is the partial enlarged diagram and the double Hopf bifurcation point.


Figure 5. When $\tau_{1}=1.693, \tau_{2}=0.190$, two pairs of pure imaginary root eigenvalues at the double Hopf point.

The detailed process can be referred to in Chapter 7 of the Reference [14]. By classifying the bifurcation solutions, we can clearly analyze the dynamic system near the double Hopf bifurcation point. Let $\dot{r}_{1}=0, \dot{r}_{2}=0$ of Equation (29), where we arrived at

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}=(0,0), E_{1}=\left( \pm \sqrt{\frac{-c_{1}}{a_{0}}}, 0\right), E_{2}=\left(0, \pm \sqrt{\frac{-c_{2}}{d_{0}}}\right) \\
& E_{*}=\left( \pm \sqrt{\frac{c_{2} b_{0}-c_{1} d_{0}}{a_{0} d_{0}-b_{0} c_{0}}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{c_{0} c_{1}-a_{0} c_{2}}{a_{0} d_{0}-b_{0} c_{0}}}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of the equilibrium point depends on $c_{1}, c_{2}$ in Equation (30). That is when $c_{1}, c_{2}$ changes near the critical value $\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right)$, and the stability of the equilibrium will change as well. We need to pay attention to the straight lines $c_{2}=\frac{c_{1} d_{0}}{b_{0}}$ and $c_{2}=\frac{c_{0} c_{1}}{a_{0}}$, because there are different dynamic behaviors on both sides of them. At this time, the neighborhood of $\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right)$ is divided into several parts, including region $I-V I$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I=\left\{\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right) \mid c_{1}<0, c_{2}<0\right\}, & I I=\left\{\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right) \mid c_{1}>0, c_{2}<0\right\} \\
I I I=\left\{\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right) \mid c_{1}>0,0<c_{2}<\frac{c_{0} c_{1}}{a_{0}}\right\}, & I V=\left\{\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right) \mid c_{1}>0, \frac{c_{0} c_{1}}{a_{0}}<c_{2}<\frac{d_{0} c_{1}}{b_{0}}\right\},  \tag{33}\\
V=\left\{\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right) \mid c_{1}>0, c_{2}>\frac{d_{0} c_{1}}{b_{0}}>0\right\}, & V I=\left\{\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right) \mid c_{1}<0, c_{2}>0\right\}
\end{array}
$$

We study the normal form of System (29), which is reduced by the central manifold of Equation (11). It can reflect some properties of System (11). Now, Figure 6 shows the area division of the $c_{1}-c_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}$ planes at the critical point $\left(\tau_{2}^{c}, \tau_{1}^{c}\right)$. In addition, Figure 7 is a phase diagram of different regions.


Figure 6. Classification of the double Hopf bifurcation in (a) $c_{1}-c_{2} ;(\mathbf{b}) \tau_{2}-\tau_{1}$.
Hence, the phase diagrams of different regions are as follows:
When $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ is in Region I, Equation (29) shows a stable equilibrium point $E_{0}=(0,0)$, and this area is called the amplitude death region.

When $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ enters Region II, the equilibrium point $E_{0}=(0,0)$ becomes a saddle point and produces a new equilibrium point $E_{1}=\left(\sqrt{\frac{-c_{1}}{a_{0}}}, 0\right)$, which is stable.

When $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ is in Region III, the equilibrium point $E_{0}, E_{1}$ still exists, and a new equilibrium $E_{2}$ appears. At this time, $E_{0}$ is unstable, $E_{1}$ is stable, and $E_{2}$ is a saddle.

When $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ enters Region IV, it is different from Region III. There appears a new equilibrium point, which is expressed as $E_{*} . E_{0}, E_{1}$, and $E_{2}$ are unstable and $E_{*}$ is stable in this case.

When $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ is in Region $V$, $E_{*}$ disappears and there are two other equilibria left. $E_{0}, E_{1}$ remain unstable, and $E_{2}$ becomes stable.

When $\left(\tau_{2}, \tau_{1}\right)$ enters the last Region VI, the dynamical properties are similar to Region II. The equilibrium $E_{0}$ is unstable, but $E_{2}$ is stable.


Figure 7. Bifurcation sets of the solutions derived from the double Hopf bifurcation in the $c_{1}-c_{2}$ plane. (a) Region I, (b) Region II, (c) Region III, (d) Region IV, (e) Region V, (f) Region VI in Figure 6, respectively.

### 3.3. Numerical Simulation

Due to the instability limit cycle generated by the system, the Runge Kutta method could not be calculated for numerical simulation in the case. Therefore, we could deduce the approximate analytical formula of the limit cycle of the System (2) by $x=\Phi Z$. Figure 8 shows the comparison between the approximate analytical formula (red) and numerical solution (blue). It can be seen that when the parameter is closed to the bifurcations of double Hopf, the approximate solution is in good agreement with the numerical solution. It is worth noting that the accuracy of the approximate solution of the system will decrease rapidly when the parameter selection is far away from the double Hopf bifurcation point. When $\tau_{1}=1.52, \tau_{2}=0.25$, the approximate analytical solution of $x_{1}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}(t)=0.3276 \cos (0.9703 t+\theta) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ depends on the initial value.


Figure 8. Comparison of the approximate analytical solution and numerical solution. The dotted line represents the approximate analytical solution, and the solid line represents the numerical solution.

Now we give a numerical simulation. We choose points $(1.4,0.1),(1.52,0.25),(1.75,0.1)$, $(1.8,0.22)$ from each area in Figure 6b, respectively.

Through the phase diagram and time history diagram in Figure 9, it is found that the system is locally asymptotically stable in Region I. With the change of delay $\tau_{1}$, the equilibrium point loses its stability because of the supercritical Hopf bifurcation, and a stable limit cycle appeared in Region VI. Note that the system periodically oscillates with $\omega_{01}=0.5637$. When the system is in Region II, the equilibrium point loses its stability again, and it was caused by a subcritical Hopf bifurcation and produced an unstable limit cycle. The system oscillates at $\omega_{01}=0.5871$. However, in Area IV, the system is affected by two frequencies, $\omega_{01}=0.5695, \omega_{02}=0.9602$ (Figure 10b). Due to the unstable limit cycle, it is impossible to simulate the system numerically, so an approximate solution of the system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}(t)=0.2490 \cos (0.9642 t)+0.2170 \cos (1.6256 t) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 9. The time history diagram and phase diagram of the system. ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) Region I in Figure 6, (c,d) Region VI in Figure 6.


Figure 10. The time history diagram of approximate solutions. (a) Region II, (b) Region IV in Figure 6b, respectively.

## 4. Conclusions

Time delay is an inevitable factor in practice. However, in engineering, more attention is being paid to the phenomenon, and few people study the underlying mechanism theoretically. This paper discussed a type of two-degree-of-freedom van der Pol oscillator with time delay feedback. It was analyzed by using the normal form theory and central manifold theory. Research shows that the time delay transition can cause the stability of the system to switch. Moreover, the greater the time delay, the more complicated the stability switches. Secondly, there are abundant dynamic behaviors near the double Hopf bifurcation. According to the stability of the equilibria, it can be divided into six regions, including the amplitude dead zone and limit cycle zone. It should be noted that, due to the subcritical Hopf bifurcation in the system, it will lead to unstable limit cycles. The Runge Kutta method cannot directly calculate the numerical solution, and the approximate analytical solution of the system can be derived by using the central manifold method.
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## Appendix A

System (2) can be rewrite into the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=L_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{t}\right)+F\left(\varepsilon, x_{t}\right) \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), x_{3}(t), x_{4}(t)\right)^{T} \in C, C=C\left([-1,0], R^{4}\right), x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta) \in C$ and $L_{\varepsilon}: C \rightarrow R^{4}, F: R \times C \rightarrow R^{4}$. Formalizing time delays can obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\varepsilon}(\phi)=\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) A_{1} \phi(0)+\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) A_{2} \phi(-1)+\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right) A_{3} \phi\left(-\left(r^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{2}\right)\right), \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\varepsilon}(\phi)=\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}\right)\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}, F_{4}\right)^{T} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(\theta)=\left(\phi_{1}(\theta), \phi_{2}(\theta), \phi_{3}(\theta), \phi_{4}(\theta)\right)^{T} \in C, \\
& A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-l & k & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -l & k
\end{array}\right), A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\beta & 0
\end{array}\right), A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\beta & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) . \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we define $F$ as the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2 i-1}=0,(i=1,2)  \tag{A5}\\
& F_{2 i}=-k \phi_{i}^{2}(0) \phi_{2 i}(0)+\tanh \left(\phi_{1}(-1) \phi_{3}(-r)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

According to the Rieze representation theorem, there has a $4 \times 4$ matrix function $\eta(\theta, \varepsilon)$ of bounded variation when $\theta \in[-1,0]$, by which

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\varepsilon} \phi=\int_{-1}^{0} d \eta(\theta, \varepsilon) \phi(\theta) \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\theta, \varepsilon)=\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon\right) A_{1} \delta(\theta)-\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon\right) A_{2} \delta(\theta+1)-\left(\tau_{1}^{c}+\varepsilon\right) A_{3} \delta(\theta+r) \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta(\theta)$ represents the Dirac delta function.

$$
\delta(\theta)= \begin{cases}0, & \theta \neq 0  \tag{A8}\\ 1, & \theta=0\end{cases}
$$

For $\phi \in C\left([-1,0], R^{4}\right)$, we set $A(\varepsilon)$, which is a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators with infinitesimal generator. The operator is generated by linear functional differential equation.

$$
A(\varepsilon) \phi(\theta)= \begin{cases}\frac{d \phi(\theta)}{d \theta} & \theta \in[-1,0)  \tag{A9}\\ \int_{-1}^{0} d \eta(s, \varepsilon) \phi(s) & \theta=0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
R(\varepsilon) \phi= \begin{cases}0 & \theta \in[-1,0)  \tag{A10}\\ F(\varepsilon, \phi) & \theta=0\end{cases}
$$

Then Equation (2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{t}=A(\varepsilon) x_{t}+R(\varepsilon) x_{t}, \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{t}=x(t+\theta)=\left(x_{1}(t+\theta), x_{2}(t+\theta), x_{3}(t+\theta), x_{4}(t+\theta)\right)^{T}, \theta \in[-1,0]$.
For $\psi \in C\left([-1,0],\left(R^{4}\right)^{*}\right)$, where $\left(R^{4}\right)^{*}$ is the two-dimensional space of row vectors, we define

$$
A^{*}(\varepsilon) \psi(s)= \begin{cases}-\frac{d \psi(s)}{d s} & s \in[-1,0)  \tag{A12}\\ \int_{-1}^{0} d \eta^{T}(s, 0) \psi(-s) & s=0\end{cases}
$$

For $\phi \in C\left([-1,0], R^{4}\right), \psi \in C\left([-1,0],\left(R^{4}\right)^{*}\right)$, we further define a bilinear inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\psi(s), \phi(\theta)\rangle=\bar{\psi}(0) \phi(0)-\int_{-1}^{0} \int_{\xi=0}^{\theta} \bar{\psi}(\xi-\theta) d \eta(\theta) \phi(\xi) d \xi, \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(\theta)=\eta(\theta, 0)$.
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