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#### Abstract

The paper is devoted to a nonstationary initial-boundary value problem governing complex heat exchange in a convex semitransparent body containing several absolutely black inclusions. The existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to this problem are proven herein. In addition, the stability of solutions with respect to data, a comparison theorem and the results of improving the properties of solutions with an increase in the summability of the data were established. All results are global in terms of time and data.
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## 1. Introduction

Complex heat transfer problems, in which it is necessary to simultaneously take into account the transfer of energy by thermal radiation and thermal conductivity, arise in various fields of science and industry. The discussion on the properties of complex heat transfer problems and the methods for solving them constitutes an extensive physical literature (see, for example, [1-4]).

Mathematical problems of radiative-conductive heat transfer are nonstandard, interesting and rather complicated. Heat radiation is nonlinearly dependent on temperature, and integro-differential equations or nonlocal boundary conditions are used to describe radiation heat transfer. Various nonlinear nonlocal boundary and initial-boundary value problems arise in this field.

The first mathematical results in this direction were obtained by A.N. Tikhonov [5,6] in the late 1930s. The construction of the mathematical theory of radiative-conductive heat transfer problems was continued for roughly forty years [7-14]. In the early 1990s, many mathematicians were paying attention to such problems. As a result, over the past 30 years, a large number of papers have been devoted to the solvability of complex heat transfer problems (cf. [15-63]). Naturally, the above list is not exhaustive.

To date, the solvability of various statements of complex (radiative-conductive) heat exchange problems in systems consisting either only of radiation-opaque bodies or only of radiation-semitransparent bodies has been studied in sufficient detail. At the same time, the problems of radiative-conductive heat exchange in systems consisting of both radiation-opaque and of radiation-semitransparent bodies remain to date unexplored. This specific area of study, to the best of the author's knowledge, has only been the subject of the following articles: [64-66].

In this paper, the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to a nonstationary boundary value problem governing radiative-conductive heat transfer in a semitransparent body containing several absolutely black inclusions were proven. All results are global in terms of time and data. The unknown functions $u$ and $I$ physically represent the absolute temperature and radiation intensity. The problem was considered in a gray approximation. The technique used was developed in [41,53,57]. In the stationary version, this problem was studied in [65].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the physical sense of the problem is explained. Section 3 is devoted to notations. In Section 4, the boundary value problem for the radiative transfer equation is considered. Section 5 contains the formulation of the main results of the paper. In addition, in this section, the problem is reduced to the equivalent initial-boundary value problem for a nonlinear operator-differential equation (Problem $\mathcal{P}$ ) with only one unknown function $u$. In Section 6, a number of important auxiliary assertions are proven. In Section 7 , an auxiliary problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$ is introduced and its solvabilty is proven. In Section 8, a priori estimates for weak solutions to Problems $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}{ }^{[n]}$ are established. Section 9 establishes the stability of weak solutions to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to the data. A comparison theorem, which, in particular, implies the uniqueness of a weak solution, is also proven. Section 10 contains the proof of the existence of a weak solution to the problem $\mathcal{P}$. Finally, Section 11 establishes the validity of the main results of the article.

## 2. Physical Statement the Problem

Let $\widehat{G}$ be a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\left\{G_{b, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ be a system of strictly internal subdomains of the domain $\widehat{G}$. Assume that $\bar{G}_{b, i} \cap \bar{G}_{b, j}=\varnothing$ for all $i \neq j$ and $G_{s}=\widehat{G} \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \bar{G}_{b, j}$ is a domain. We put $G_{b}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} G_{b, j}$ and $G=G_{s} \cup G_{b}$.

We assume that each of the domains $G_{b, j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$ is an absolutely black body and the domain $G_{s}$ is occupied by a semitransparent optically homogeneous material with a constant absorption coefficient $\varkappa>0$ and a scattering coefficient $s \geq 0$.

The unknown functions $u(x, t)$ and $I(\omega, x, t)$ physically represent the absolute temperature at point $x \in G$ at moment $t \in(0, T)$ and the intensity of the radiation propagating at point $x \in G_{s}$ in direction $\omega \in \Omega=\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{3}| | \omega \mid=1\right\}$, respectively. The function $u$ is defined on the set $Q_{T}=G \times(0, T)$. Its restrictions to the set $Q_{s, T}=G_{s} \times(0, T)$ and to the set $Q_{b, T}=G_{b} \times(0, T)$ will be denoted by $u_{s}$ and $u_{b}$, respectively. The function $I$ is defined on the set $D_{s} \times(0, T)$, where $D_{s}=\Omega \times G_{s}$.

To describe the nonstationary process of radiative-conductive heat transfer, a system consisting of two heat equations and radiative transfer equation is used:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{p} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\lambda\left(x, u_{s}\right) \nabla u_{s}\right)+4 \varkappa h\left(u_{s}\right)=\varkappa \int_{\Omega} I d \omega+f, \quad(x, t) \in Q_{s, T},  \tag{1}\\
& c_{p} \frac{\partial u_{b}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\lambda\left(x, u_{b}\right) \nabla u_{b}\right)=f, \quad(x, t) \in Q_{b, T},  \tag{2}\\
& \quad \omega \cdot \nabla I+(\varkappa+s) I=s \mathcal{S}(I)+\frac{\varkappa}{\pi} h\left(u_{s}\right), \quad(\omega, x, t) \in D_{s} \times(0, T) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $c_{p}$ is the heat capacity coefficient, $\lambda(x, u)$ is the thermal conductivity coefficient, and $f$ is the density of heat sources. The function $h(u)=\sigma_{0}|u|^{3} u$ for $u>0$ corresponds to the hemispherical radiation density of an absolutely black body according to the StefanBoltzmann law, where $\sigma_{0}>0$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant.

Equation (1) describes the heat transfer process in the gray semitransparent medium $G_{s}$. The terms $4 \varkappa h\left(u_{s}\right)$ and $\varkappa \int_{\Omega} I d \omega$ in it correspond to the densities of the energies emitted and absorbed in $G_{s}$, respectively. Equation (2) describes the heat transfer process in opaque inclusions $G_{s}$. Equation (3) describes the transfer of radiation in a radiating, absorbing and scattering medium $G_{s}$. The term $\omega \cdot \nabla I=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} I$ in (3) denotes the derivative of $I$ along the direction $\omega$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the scattering operator:

$$
\mathcal{S}(I)(\omega, x, t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Omega} \theta\left(\omega^{\prime} \cdot \omega\right) I\left(\omega^{\prime}, x, t\right) d \omega^{\prime}, \quad(\omega, x, t) \in D_{s} \times(0, T)
$$

with the scattering indicatrix possessing the following properties:

$$
\theta \in L^{1}(-1,1), \quad \theta \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \theta(\mu) d \mu=1
$$

We regard $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as the Euclidean space of elements $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ equipped with the inner product $x \cdot y=\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i} y_{i}$. Assume that the domain $G_{s}$ is Lipschitz. Thus, the domains $G_{b, j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$ are also Lipschitz. We denote by $d \omega$ and $d \sigma(x)$ the measures induced by Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ on $\Omega$ and $\partial G_{s}$, respectively.

We also assume that the boundary $\partial G_{s}$ is piecewise smooth in the following sense. There exists a closed subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \partial G_{s}$ such that meas $(\mathcal{G} ; d \sigma)=0$; moreover, for each point $x \in \partial^{\prime} G_{s}=\partial G_{S} \backslash \mathcal{G}$, there exists a neighborhood of it, in which the boundary $\partial G_{s}$ is continuously differentiable.

Note that $\partial G_{s}=\partial \widehat{G} \cup \partial G_{b}$, where $\partial \widehat{G} \cap \partial G_{b}=\varnothing$. We put $\partial^{\prime} \widehat{G}=\partial \widehat{G} \backslash \mathcal{G}, \partial^{\prime} G_{b}=\partial G_{b} \backslash \mathcal{G}$. It is clear that the outward normal $n$ to the boundary $\partial G_{s}$ is defined and continuous on $\partial^{\prime} G_{s}$ and the outward normal to the boundary of the set $G_{b}$ coincides with $-n(x)$ for $x \in \partial^{\prime} G_{b}$.

We introduce the sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma=\Omega \times \partial^{\prime} G_{s}, \Gamma^{-}=\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma \mid \omega \cdot n(x)<0\}, \Gamma^{+}=\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma \mid \omega \cdot n(x)>0\} \\
& \widehat{\Gamma}^{-}=\left\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma^{-} \mid x \in \partial^{\prime} \widehat{G}\right\}, \quad \Gamma_{b}^{-}=\left\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma^{-} \mid x \in \partial^{\prime} G_{b}\right\} \\
& \Omega^{-}(x)=\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega \cdot n(x)<0\}, \quad \Omega^{+}(x)=\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega \cdot n(x)>0\}, \quad x \in \partial^{\prime} G_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{ \pm}}$the values (traces) of the function $I$ on $\Gamma^{ \pm}$, where $\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}$and $\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}$are interpreted as the values of the intensity of radiations entering into $G_{s}$ and coming out of $G_{s}$.

Endow the system (1)-(3) with the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left(x, u_{s}\right) \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial n}=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \widehat{Q}_{T},  \tag{4}\\
&  \tag{5}\\
& \lambda\left(x, u_{s}\right) \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial n}+\gamma\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{s}-\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T},  \tag{6}\\
& -  \tag{7}\\
& \lambda\left(x, u_{b}\right) \frac{\partial u_{b}}{\partial n}+h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)+\gamma\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}-\operatorname{tr} u_{s}\right)=\mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right), \quad(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T}  \tag{8}\\
& \left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=\frac{1}{\pi} h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \quad(\omega, x, t) \in \Gamma_{b}^{-} \times(0, T) \\
& \left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=J_{*}, \quad(\omega, x, t) \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{-} \times(0, T)
\end{align*}
$$

and the initial condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u^{0}, \quad x \in G \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\partial \widehat{Q}_{T}=\partial \widehat{G} \times(0, T)$ and $\partial Q_{b, T}=\partial G_{b} \times(0, T)$ are the lateral surfaces of cylinders $\widehat{Q}_{T}=\widehat{G} \times(0, T)$ and $Q_{b, T}=G_{b} \times(0, T)$. By $t r u_{s}$ and $t r u_{b}$, we denote the values (traces) of $u_{s}$ and $u_{b}$ on $\partial Q_{b, T}$.

It is assumed that the body $\widehat{G}$ is surrounded in a vacuum. Therefore, on the boundary of the body, the boundary condition (2) is set, which means the absence of heat flux. On the boundary $\partial G_{b}$, separating the semitransparent material $G_{s}$ and absolutely black inclusions $G_{b}$, we set two boundary conditions. They account for incoming and outgoing energy flows using a heat transfer mechanism. In addition, it is taken into account that absolutely black inclusions emit energy and absorb the incident radiation on them. Here, $\gamma$ is the heat transfer coefficient and:

$$
\mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right)(x, t)=\left.\int_{\Omega^{+}(x)} I\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}(\omega, x, t) \omega \cdot n(x) d \omega
$$

represents the flux of radiation coming out of $G_{s}$ and absorbed at $\partial G_{b}$. The condition (7) means that on the boundary $\partial G_{b}$, the intensity of radiation entering into $G_{s}$ is equal to the intensity of radiation leaving the set $G_{b}$. In (8), $J_{*}$ denotes the intensity of external radiation incident on $\partial \widehat{G}$.

## 3. Function Spaces

Throughout the paper, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $p^{\prime}$ denotes the conjugate exponent of $p$, i.e., $1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq \infty$ and $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$.

Let $u$ be a real number or a real-valued function. We put $u^{[-N, M]}=\max \{-N, \min \{u, M\}\}$, $u^{[M]}=u^{[-M, M]}$, where $-N \leq 0<M$. We also put $[u]_{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $[u]_{-}=$ $\max \{-u, 0\}$.

Let $S$ be a set where the measure $d \mu$ is given. We denote by $L^{p}(S ; d \mu)$ the Lebesgue space of functions $f$ defined on $Z$ that are measurable with respect to the measure $d \mu$ and have the finite norm:

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}(S ; d \mu)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\int_{S}|f(s)|^{p} d \mu(s)\right)^{1 / p}, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty, \\
\underset{s \in S}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|f(s)|, \quad p=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.1. Spaces of Functions on $G, G_{s}$ and $\partial G_{b}$

We set:

$$
L^{p}(G)=L^{p}(G ; d x), \quad L^{p}\left(G_{s}\right)=L^{p}\left(G_{s}, d x\right), \quad L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)=L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b} ; d \sigma(x)\right)
$$

We introduce the space $L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)=\left\{(F, J) \in L^{p}\left(G_{s}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right\}$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|(F, J)\|_{L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(4 \pi \varkappa\|F\|_{L^{p}\left(G_{s}\right)}^{p}+\pi\|J\|_{L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty, \\
\max \left\{\|F\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}\right)},\|J\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)}\right\}, p=\infty .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let functions $f, g$ defined on $G$ or $G_{s}$ are such that $f g \in L^{1}(G)$ or $f g \in L^{1}\left(G_{s}\right)$. In these cases, we use the notations:

$$
(f, g)_{G}=\int_{G} f(x) g(x) d x, \quad(f, g)_{G_{s}}=\int_{G_{s}} f(x) g(x) d x
$$

Let functions $f, g$ defined on $\partial G_{b}$ are such that $f g \in L^{1}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)$. In this case, we use the notation:

$$
(f, g)_{\partial G_{b}}=\int_{\partial G_{b}} f(x) g(x) d \sigma(x) .
$$

Let $u$ be a function defined on $G$. We denote by $u_{s}, u_{b}$ and $u_{b, j}$, the restrictions of $u$ to $G_{s}, G_{b}$ and $G_{b, j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, respectively.

By $W^{1,2}(G)$, we understand the space:

$$
W^{1,2}(G)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(G) \mid u_{s} \in W^{1,2}\left(G_{s}\right), u_{b, j} \in W^{1,2}\left(G_{b, j}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}
$$

(where $W^{1,2}\left(G_{s}\right)$ and $W^{1,2}\left(G_{b, j}\right)$ are the classical Sobolev spaces) equipped with the norm:

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(G)}=\left(\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(G_{s}\right)}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|u_{b, j}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(G_{b, j}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

If $u \in W^{1,2}(G)$, then by $\operatorname{tr} u_{s}$ and $\operatorname{tr} u_{b}$ we denote the traces of the restrictions $u_{s}$ and $u_{b}$ on $\partial G_{b}$.

We remind the important multiplicative inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{L^{p}(G)} & \leq C_{1, p}(G)\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(G)}^{3 / 2-3 / p}\|u\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{3 / p-1 / 2} \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(G)  \tag{10}\\
\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{s}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} & \leq C_{2, q}\left(G_{s}\right)\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(G_{s}\right)}^{3 / 2-2 /}\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{s}\right)}^{2 / q-1 / 2} \quad \forall u_{s} \in W^{1,2}\left(G_{s}\right),  \tag{11}\\
\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} & \leq C_{2, q}\left(G_{b}\right)\left\|u_{b}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(G_{b}\right)}^{3 / 2-2 / q}\left\|u_{b}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(G_{b}\right)}^{2 / q-1 / 2} \quad \forall u_{b} \in W^{1,2}\left(G_{b}\right), \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

which hold for all $p \in[2,6], q \in[2,4]$.
3.2. Spaces of Functions on $Q_{T}$ and $\partial Q_{b, T}$

We set:

$$
L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)=L^{p}\left(Q_{T} ; d x d t\right), L^{p}\left(Q_{s, T}\right)=L^{p}\left(Q_{s, T} ; d x d t\right), L^{p}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)=L^{p}\left(\partial Q_{b, T} ; d \sigma(x) d t\right)
$$

Note that $L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)=L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(G)\right)$ and $L^{p}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)=L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$.

We introduce the space $V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)=L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(G)\right)$ equipped with the norm:

$$
\|u\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}
$$

and the space $V_{2}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)=V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(G)\right)$.
The inequalities (10)-(12) imply the estimates:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\|u\|_{L^{\bar{p}_{1}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}_{1}}(G)\right)} & \leq C_{1, \bar{r}_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}}(G, T)\|u\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} & \forall u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\
\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{s}\right\|_{L^{\bar{r}_{2}}\left(0, T ; L ; \bar{q}_{2}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)} \leq C_{2, \bar{r}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}}(G, T)\|u\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} & \forall u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\
\left.\left.\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right\|_{L^{\bar{r}_{2}}\left(0, T ; L \bar{q}_{2}\right.}, \partial G_{b}\right)\right) & \leq C_{2, \bar{r}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}}(G, T)\|u\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} & \forall u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

which hold for all exponents $\bar{r}_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}, \bar{r}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{r}_{1} \in[1, \infty], \quad \bar{q}_{1} \in[1,6], \quad \bar{r}_{2} \in[1,4], \quad \bar{q}_{2} \in[1,4], \quad \frac{2}{\bar{r}_{1}}+\frac{3}{\bar{q}_{1}} \geq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{2}{\bar{r}_{2}}+\frac{2}{\bar{q}_{2}} \geq \frac{3}{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the estimates (13), (15), it follows that if $u,|u|^{1 / 2} u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, then $u \in L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, $\operatorname{tr} u_{b} \in L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$ and the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)} & \leq C_{3}(G, T)\left\||u|^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)^{\prime}}^{2 / 3}  \tag{17}\\
\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)} & \leq C_{4}(G, T)\left\||u|^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2 / 3} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

We also draw attention to the following multiplicative inequality, which follows from (11), (12):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)}+\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)} \leq C_{5}(G, T)\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(G)\right)}^{1 / 2}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{1 / 2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality, in particular, implies that if $u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, the sequence $\left\{u^{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is bounded in $V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $u^{k} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\operatorname{tr} u_{s}^{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{s}, \operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{b}$ in $L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$.

### 3.3. Spaces of Functions on $D_{s}$ and $\Gamma$

Remind that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{s}=\Omega \times G_{s}, \quad \Gamma=\Omega \times \partial^{\prime} G_{s}, \\
& \Gamma^{-}=\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma \mid \omega \cdot n(x)<0\}, \quad \Gamma^{+}=\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma \mid \omega \cdot n(x)>0\}, \\
& \widehat{\Gamma}^{ \pm}=\left\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma^{ \pm} \mid x \in \partial^{\prime} \widehat{G}\right\}, \quad \Gamma_{b}^{ \pm}=\left\{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma^{ \pm} \mid x \in \partial^{\prime} G_{b}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set: $L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)=L^{p}\left(D_{s} ; d \omega d x\right)$. We introduce the following measures on $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{ \pm}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \Gamma(\omega, x)=d \omega d \sigma(x), \quad(\omega, x) \in \Gamma \\
& \widehat{d} \Gamma^{ \pm}(\omega, x)=|\omega \cdot n(x)| d \omega d \sigma(x), \quad(\omega, x) \in \Gamma^{ \pm}
\end{aligned}
$$

We set:

$$
\widehat{L}^{p}\left(\Gamma^{ \pm}\right)=L^{p}\left(\Gamma^{ \pm} ; \widehat{d} \Gamma^{ \pm}\right), \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{ \pm}\right)=L^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{ \pm} ; \widehat{d} \Gamma^{ \pm}\right), \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}_{b}^{ \pm}\right)=L^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}_{b}^{ \pm} ; \widehat{d} \Gamma^{ \pm}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty
$$

Note that $\widehat{L}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{ \pm}\right)=L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{ \pm}\right), \widehat{L}^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{ \pm}\right)=L^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{ \pm}\right), \widehat{L}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{b}^{ \pm}\right)=L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{b}^{ \pm}\right)$.
By the weak derivative in direction $\omega$ of a function $f \in L^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)$, we understand a function $z \in L^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)$, denoted by $z=\omega \cdot \nabla f$ and satisfying the integral identity:

$$
\int_{D_{s}}[f(\omega, x) \omega \cdot \nabla \varphi(x)+z(\omega, x) \varphi(x)] \psi(\omega) d \omega d x=0 \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(G_{s}\right), \quad \forall \psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$ the Banach space of functions $f \in L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$ possessing the weak derivative $\omega \cdot \nabla f \in L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$ and equipped with the norm:

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)}= \begin{cases}\left(\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)}^{p}+\|\omega \cdot \nabla f\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, & 1 \leq p<\infty \\ \max \left\{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)},\|\omega \cdot \nabla f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)}\right\}, & p=\infty\end{cases}
$$

We will denote by $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}$and $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}$the traces of the function $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$ on $\Gamma^{-}$and $\Gamma^{+}$, respectively. It is known that $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma^{ \pm}} \in L_{l o c}^{p}\left(\Gamma^{ \pm}\right)$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$ and $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma^{-}} \in$ $\widehat{L}^{p}\left(\Gamma^{-}\right)$, then $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma^{+}} \in \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\Gamma^{+}\right)$.

We refer to [67-69] for more detailed information about the properties of functions $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$ and their traces $\left.f\right|_{\Gamma^{ \pm}}$.

## 4. Boundary Value Problem for Radiative Transfer Equation

For almost all $t \in(0, T)$, the unknown function $I(t)$, involved in the problem (1)-(9), is a solution to the following subproblem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega \cdot \nabla I+(\varkappa+s) I=s \mathcal{S}(I)+\varkappa F, \quad(\omega, x) \in D_{s}  \tag{20}\\
& \left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=J_{b}, \quad(\omega, x) \in \Gamma_{b}^{-}  \tag{21}\\
& \left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=J_{*}, \quad(\omega, x) \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{-} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F=\frac{1}{\pi} h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{s}(t)\right), J_{b}=\frac{1}{\pi} h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(t)\right)$.
We formulate some results on the properties of the problem (20)-(22) which follow from $[67,69]$.

Let $\left(F, J_{b}, J_{*}\right) \in L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \times \widehat{L}^{1}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)$. By a solution to the problem (20)-(22), we mean a function $I \in \mathcal{W}^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)$ that satisfies Equation (20) almost everywhere on $D_{s}$, condition (21) almost everywhere on $\Gamma_{b}^{-}$, and condition (22) almost everywhere on $\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}$.

Theorem 1. If $\left(F, J_{b}, J_{*}\right) \in L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \times \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then a solution to the problem (20)-(22) exists and is unique. Moreover, $I \in \mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$ and for $1 \leq p<\infty$ the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varkappa\|I\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)}^{p}+\left\|\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right\|_{\widehat{L}^{p}\left(\Gamma^{+}\right)}^{p} \leq\left\|\left(F, J_{b}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)}^{p}+\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{\widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)^{\prime}}^{p}  \tag{23}\\
& \varkappa\|\omega \cdot \nabla I\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)}^{p} \leq 2^{p}(\varkappa+s)^{p}\left(\left\|\left(F, J_{b}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)}^{p}+\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{\widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)}^{p}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $p=\infty$, the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|I\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)} \leq \max \left\{\left\|\left(F, J_{b}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)},\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)}\right\}  \tag{25}\\
& \|\omega \cdot \nabla I\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)} \leq 2(\varkappa+s) \max \left\{\left\|\left(F, J_{b}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)},\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\hat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)}\right\} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varkappa\left\|[I]_{ \pm}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)}+\left\|\left.[I]_{ \pm}\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right\|_{\widehat{L}^{1}\left(\Gamma^{+}\right)} \leq\left\|\left([F]_{ \pm},\left[J_{b}\right]_{ \pm}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)}+\left\|\left[J_{*}\right]_{ \pm}\right\|_{\widehat{L}^{1}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as a consequence, if $F \geq 0, J_{b} \geq 0, J_{*} \geq 0$, then $I \geq 0$.
We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the resolving operator for the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \cdot \nabla I_{s}+(\varkappa+s) I_{s}=s \mathcal{S}\left(I_{s}\right)+\varkappa F, \quad(\omega, x) \in D_{s} \\
& \left.I_{s}\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=J_{b}, \quad(\omega, x) \in \Gamma_{b}^{-} \\
& \left.I_{s}\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=0, \quad(\omega, x) \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

It with a pair $\left(F, J_{b}\right) \in L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ associates the solution $I_{s}=\mathcal{A}\left[F, J_{b}\right] \in$ $\mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$. This operator is linear and continuous.

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ the resolving operator for the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \cdot \nabla I_{*}+(\varkappa+s) I_{*}=s \mathcal{S}\left(I_{*}\right), \quad(\omega, x) \in D_{s} \\
& \left.I_{*}\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=0, \quad(\omega, x) \in \Gamma_{b}^{-} \\
& \left.I_{*}\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=J_{*}, \quad(\omega, x) \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

It with a function $J_{*} \in \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ associates the solution $I_{*}=\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[J_{*}\right] \in \mathcal{W}^{p}\left(D_{s}\right)$. This operator is also linear and continuous.

We introduce the operators $\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}: L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(G_{s}\right),\langle\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\rangle_{\Omega}: \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(G_{s}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}: L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right), \widehat{\mathcal{B}}: \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)$ by the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[F, J_{b}\right](x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}\left[F, J_{b}\right](\omega, x) d \omega, \quad\langle\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[J_{*}\right](x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[J_{*}\right](\omega, x) d \omega \\
& \mathcal{B}\left[F, J_{b}\right](x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.\mathcal{A}\left[F, J_{b}\right]\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right)(x), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left[J_{*}\right](x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[J_{*}\right]\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right)(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\mathcal{M}^{+}(\psi)(x)=\int_{\Omega^{+}(x)} \psi(\omega, x) \omega \cdot n(x) d \omega, \quad x \in \partial G_{b}, \quad \psi \in \widehat{L}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{b}^{+}\right)
$$

These operators are linear and continuous. Their continuity follows from the estimates (23), (25) and the estimate:

$$
\left\|\mathcal{M}^{+}(\psi)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} \leq \pi^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\psi\|_{\widehat{L}^{p}\left(\Gamma_{b}^{+}\right)} \quad \forall \psi \in \widehat{L}^{p}\left(\Gamma_{b}^{+}\right)
$$

We introduce the characteristic functions $1_{D_{s}}, 1_{G_{s}}, 1_{\partial G_{b}}$ and $\hat{1}^{-}$of sets $D_{s}, G_{s}, \partial G_{b}$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}$. Note that $I=1_{D_{s}}$ is the solution to the problem (20)-(22) with $\left(F, J_{b}, \widehat{J}\right)=\left(1_{G_{s}}, 1_{\partial G_{b}}, \widehat{1}^{-}\right)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{A}\left[1_{G_{s}}, 1_{\partial G_{b}}\right]+\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[\widehat{1}^{-}\right]=1_{D_{s}}$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[1_{G_{G^{\prime}}}, 1_{\partial G_{j}}\right]+\widehat{\alpha}=1_{G_{s}}, \quad \mathcal{B}\left[1_{G_{s}}, 1_{\partial G_{b}}\right]+\widehat{\beta}=1_{\partial G_{b}}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}=\langle\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[\widehat{1}^{-}\right]$for $x \in G_{s}$ and $\widehat{\beta}=\widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left[\widehat{1}^{-}\right]$for $x \in \partial G_{b}$. Since $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[\widehat{1}^{-}\right] \geq 0$ then $\widehat{\alpha} \geq 0$, $\widehat{\beta} \geq 0$.

It follows from (25) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}\right)} \leq 1, \quad\|\mathcal{B}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} \leq 1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also introduce the operators $\mathcal{C}: L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(G_{s}\right), \mathcal{D}: L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow$ $L^{p}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)$ by the formulas:

$$
\mathcal{C}[F, J]=F-\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}[F, J], \quad \mathcal{D}[F, J]=J-\mathcal{B}[F, J]
$$

It follows from (29) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{C}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}\right)} \leq 2, \quad\|\mathcal{D}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} \leq 2 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We draw attention to the following equality proven in [65]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \varkappa\left(\mathcal{C}[F, J], F^{*}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\mathcal{D}[F, J], J^{*}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}=4 \varkappa\left(F, \mathcal{C}\left[F^{*}, J^{*}\right]\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(J, \mathcal{D}\left[F^{*}, J^{*}\right]\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It holds for all $(F, J) \in L^{p}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)\left(F^{*}, J^{*}\right) \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

## 5. Main Results: Reducing the Problem (1)-(9) to Problem $\mathcal{P}$

### 5.1. Formulation of the Main Results

In what follows, it is assumed that the following conditions on the data are satisfied.
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ The function $\lambda(x, u)$ is defined on $G \times \mathbb{R}$, and for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, it is measurable with respect to $x$. Furthermore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda_{\min } \leq \lambda(x, u) \leq \lambda_{\max } \quad \forall(x, u) \in G \times \mathbb{R} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ and $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ are constants.
In addition, the following holder condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda(x, u+v)-\lambda(x, u)| \leq L|v|^{1 / 2} \quad \forall(x, u) \in G \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall v \in[-1,1] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a constant.
$\left(A_{2}\right) c_{p} \in L^{\infty}(G), 0<\underline{c}_{p} \leq c_{p}(x) \leq \bar{c}_{p}$ for $x \in G$, where $\underline{c}_{p}$ and $\bar{c}_{p}$ are constants.
$\left(A_{3}\right) \gamma \in L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right), \gamma \geq 0$.
$\left(A_{4}\right) u^{0} \in L^{3}(G), \quad f \in L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right), \quad J_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; \widehat{L}^{q_{*}}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)$, where:
$r \in[1, \infty], \quad q \in[9 / 7, \infty], \quad r_{*} \in[3 / 2, \infty], \quad q_{*} \in[3 / 2, \infty], \quad \frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{q} \leq 3, \quad \frac{2}{r_{*}}+\frac{2}{q_{*}} \leq 2$

We introduce the spaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)=\left\{u \in V_{2}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(Q_{s, T}\right) \mid \text { tr } u_{b} \in L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)\right\} \\
& V=W^{1,2}(G) \cap L^{\infty}(G), \quad C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T]=\left\{\eta \in C^{\infty}[0, T] \mid \eta(T)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9), we mean a pair of functions $(u, I) \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right) \times L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)$ such that:
(1) The following identity holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} u(t), v\right)_{G} \frac{d \eta}{d t}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} a(u(t), v) \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} b(u(t), I(t), v) \eta(t) d t \\
& =\left(c_{p} u^{0}, v\right)_{G} \cdot \eta(0)+\int_{0}^{T}(f(t), v)_{G} \eta(t) d t d t \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \forall \eta \in C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T] \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u, v) & =a_{0}(u, v)+a_{1}(u, v), \\
a_{0}(u, v) & =(\lambda(\cdot, u) \nabla u, \nabla v)_{G}=\int_{G} \lambda(x, u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x, \\
a_{1}(u, v) & =\left(\gamma\left[\operatorname{tr} u_{s}-\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right], \operatorname{tr} v_{s}-\operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \\
b(u, I, v) & =\left(4 \varkappa h\left(u_{s}\right)-\varkappa \int_{\Omega} I d \omega, v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)-\mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right), \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here and below, by $u_{s}(t), v_{s}$ and $u_{b}(t), v_{b}$ we denote the restrictions of $u(t), v$ to $G_{s}$ and $G_{b}$, respectively, by $\operatorname{tr} u_{s}(t), \operatorname{tr} u_{b}(t), \operatorname{tr} v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}$ we denote the traces of the functions $u_{s}(t), u_{b}(t), v_{s}, v_{b}$ on $\partial G_{b}$.
(2) For almost all $t \in(0, T)$, the function $I(t)$ satisfies Equation (3) almost everywhere on $D_{s}$ and the conditions (7), (8) almost everywhere on $\Gamma_{b}^{-}, \widehat{\Gamma}^{-}$, respectively. meaning that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=I_{s}(t)+I_{*}(t) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{s}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{A}\left[h\left(u_{s}(t)\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(t)\right)\right], I_{*}(t)=\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[J_{*}(t)\right]$ for almost all $t \in(0, T)$.
Remark 1. The fulfillment of the identity (34) is equivalent to the fact that $\left(c_{p} u, v\right)_{G} \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$ for all $v \in V$; moreover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(c_{p} u, v\right)_{G}+a(u, v)+b(u, I, v)=(f, v)_{G} \quad \text { for almost all } t \in(0, T) \\
& \left(c_{p} u(t), v\right)_{G} \rightarrow\left(c_{p} u^{0}, v\right)_{G} \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2. It follows from Theorem 1 that $I_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{*}}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{*}\right\|_{L^{r *}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{*}}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)} \leq \varkappa^{1 / q_{*}}[1+2(\varkappa+s)]\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{L^{r *}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{q^{*}}\left(\hat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, for almost all $t \in(0, T)$, the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\varkappa\left\|I_{*}(t)\right\|_{L^{q_{*}\left(D_{s}\right)}}^{q_{*}}+\left\|\left.I_{*}(t)\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right\|_{\widehat{L}^{q_{*}}\left(\Gamma^{+}\right)}^{q_{*}}\right)^{1 / q_{*}} \leq\left\|J_{*}(t)\right\|_{\widehat{L}^{q_{*}}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)^{\prime}} \quad 1 \leq q_{*}<\infty,  \tag{37}\\
& \max \left\{\left\|I_{*}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)},\left\|\left.I_{*}(t)\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{+}\right)}\right\} \leq\left\|J_{*}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)^{\prime}} \quad q_{*}=\infty \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, the following notations are used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}=\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}+\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{\left.L^{r}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{q_{*}(\widehat{\Gamma}}\right)\right)^{\prime}} \\
& \left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}=\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}+\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}+\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{\left.L^{r^{*}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{q *}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right.}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.
Theorem 2. A weak solution to the problem (1)-(9) exists and is unique.

Theorem 3. Let $\left(u^{1}, I^{1}\right)$ and $\left(u^{2}, I^{2}\right)$ be two weak solutions to the problem (1)-(9) with $\left(u^{0,1}, f^{1}, J_{*}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(u^{0,2}, f^{2}, J_{*}^{2}\right)$ instead of $\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)$. Then, the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|c_{p} \Delta u\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)} \leq\left\|c_{p} \Delta u^{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\|\Delta f\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\left\|\Delta J_{*}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{1}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)^{\prime}}  \tag{39}\\
& \left\|c_{p}[\Delta u]_{ \pm}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)} \leq\left\|c_{p}\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{ \pm}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\left\|[\Delta f]_{ \pm}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\left\|\left[\Delta J_{*}\right]_{ \pm}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{1}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)^{\prime}} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta u=u^{1}-u^{2}, \Delta u^{0}=u^{0,1}-u^{0,2}, \Delta f=f^{1}-f^{2}, \Delta J_{*}=J_{*}^{1}-J_{*}^{2}$.
Theorem 4 (Comparison theorem). Let $\left(u^{1}, I^{1}\right)$ and $\left(u^{2}, I^{2}\right)$ be two weak solutions to the problem (1)-(9), with $\left(u^{0,1}, f^{1}, J_{*}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(u^{0,2}, f^{2}, J_{*}^{2}\right)$ instead of $\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)$. If $u^{0,1} \leq u^{0,2}, f^{1} \leq f^{2}$ and $J_{*}^{1} \leq J_{*}^{2}$, then $u^{1} \leq u^{2}$ and $I^{1} \leq I^{2}$.

Note that the uniqueness of the solution to the problem (1)-(9) and Theorem 4 are direct consequences of Theorem 3.

Consider that $u$ and $I$ are interpreted as the absolute temperature and the radiation intensity. Therefore, it is important to show that $u$ and $I$ are nonnegative under some natural assumptions on the data. It is clear that $(u, I)=(0,0)$ is a solution to the problem (1)-(9) with $u^{0}=0, f=0$ and $J_{*}=0$. Thus, Theorem 4 implies the following result.

Corollary 1. Let (u,I) be a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9). If $u^{0} \geq 0, f \geq 0$ and $J_{*} \geq 0$, then $u \geq 0$ and $I \geq 0$.

The following three theorems show that an increase in summability exponents of $f$ and $g$ leads to improved properties of a weak solution.

Theorem 5. Let $(u, I)$ be a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9). If:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{0} \in L^{p}(G), \quad f \in L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right), \quad J_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; \widehat{L}^{q_{*}}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right),  \tag{41}\\
& p \in[3, \infty), r \in[1, \infty], q \in\left[\frac{3}{2+1 / p}, \infty\right], r_{*} \in\left[\frac{2}{1+1 / p}, \infty\right], q_{*} \in\left[\frac{2}{1+1 / p}, \infty\right],  \tag{42}\\
& 2 / r+3 / q \leq 2+3 / p, \quad 2 / r_{*}+2 / q_{*} \leq 1+3 / p \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

then $|u|^{\gamma-1} u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for all $\gamma \in[1, p / 2], I_{s} \in L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{s}}(D)\right)$ for all $r_{s} \in[1, p / 2]$, $q_{s} \in[1, p / 2]$ such that $1 / r_{s}+1 / q_{s} \geq 6 / p$ and:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\||u|^{\gamma-1} u\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{1 / \gamma} \leq C\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, g\right)\right\|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}  \tag{44}\\
& \left\|I_{s}\right\|_{L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{s}}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)} \leq C\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, g\right)\right\|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}^{4} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{s}(G)\right)$ for all $s \in[1, p)$.
By C (with or without indices), we denote various positive constants that may depend on $G, T, \underline{c}_{p}, \bar{c}_{p}, \lambda_{\min }, \lambda_{\max }, \sigma_{0}, \varkappa, s$ and $p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}$.

Theorem 6. Let $(u, I)$ be a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9). If:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\beta_{0}\left|u^{0}\right|} \in L^{1}(G) \text { for some } \beta_{0}>0, f \in L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right), \quad J_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; \widehat{L}^{q_{*}}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right),  \tag{46}\\
& r \in[1, \infty], q \in[3 / 2, \infty], \quad r_{*} \in[2, \infty), \quad q_{*} \in[2, \infty), \quad \frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{q} \leq 2, \frac{2}{r_{*}}+\frac{2}{q_{*}} \leq 1, \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

then there exists a constant $\beta \in\left(0, \beta_{0} / 2\right]$ such that $e^{\beta|u|} \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{\beta|u|}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|e^{\beta\left|u^{0}\right|}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}+1\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\left\|\left(f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{r, q, r_{*}, q_{*},}$ but does not depend on $u^{0}$.

In addition, $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{s}(G)\right)$ for all $s \in[1, \infty)$ and $I_{s} \in L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{s}}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)$ for all $r_{s}, q_{s} \in[1, \infty)$.

Theorem 7. Let $(u, I)$ be a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9). If:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{0} \in L^{\infty}(G), \quad f \in L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right), \quad J_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; \widehat{L}^{q_{*}}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right),  \tag{49}\\
& r \in(1, \infty], q \in(3 / 2, \infty], \quad r_{*} \in(2, \infty], \quad q_{*} \in(2, \infty], \quad \frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{q}<2, \frac{2}{r_{*}}+\frac{2}{q_{*}}<1, \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $I_{s}(t) \in \mathcal{W}^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)$ for almost all $t \in(0, T)$. Moreover:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} & \leq C\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{\infty, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}  \tag{51}\\
\underset{t \in(0, T)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup _{t}}\|I(t)\|_{\mathcal{W}^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)} & \leq C\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, g\right)\right\|_{\infty, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}^{4} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

5.2. Reducing the Problem (1)-(9) to Problem $\mathcal{P}$

Let $(u, I)$ be a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9) and $I_{*}=\mathcal{A}\left[J_{*}\right]$. We put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{*}=\varkappa \int_{\Omega} I_{*} d \omega=4 \pi \varkappa\langle\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[J_{*}\right] \text { for }(x, t) \in Q_{s, T} \\
& g_{*}=\mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.I_{*}\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right)=\pi \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left[J_{*}\right] \text { for }(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (37), (38) that $f_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right), g_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{L^{r^{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}+\left\|g_{*}\right\|_{L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)} \leq\left((4 \pi \varkappa)^{1 / q_{*}^{\prime}}+\pi^{1 / q_{*}^{\prime}}\right)\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{\left.\left.L^{r_{*}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{q_{*}}(\widehat{\Gamma}-\right.}\right)\right)} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (35) that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \varkappa h\left(u_{s}\right)-\varkappa \int_{\Omega} I d \omega & =4 \varkappa h\left(u_{s}\right)-4 \varkappa\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]-f_{*} \\
& =4 \varkappa \mathcal{C}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]-f_{* \prime} \\
h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)-\mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.I\right|_{\Gamma^{+}}\right) & =h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)-\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\left.\mathcal{A}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]\right|_{\Gamma_{b}^{+}}\right)-g_{*} \\
& =h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)-\mathcal{B}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]-g_{*}=\mathcal{D}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]-g_{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these formulas, we exclude the function $I$ from the problem (1)-(9) and arrive at the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{p} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\lambda\left(x, u_{s}\right) \nabla u_{s}\right)+4 \varkappa \mathcal{C}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]=f+f_{*}, \quad(x, t) \in Q_{s, T}, \\
& c_{p} \frac{\partial u_{b}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\lambda\left(x, u_{b}\right) \nabla u_{b}\right)=f, \quad(x, t) \in Q_{b, T}, \\
& \quad \lambda\left(x, u_{s}\right) \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial n}=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial \widehat{Q}_{T}, \\
& \quad \lambda\left(x, u_{s}\right) \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial n}+\gamma\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{s}-\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T}, \\
& -\lambda\left(x, u_{b}\right) \frac{\partial u_{b}}{\partial n}+\mathcal{D}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]+\gamma\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}-\operatorname{tr} u_{s}\right)=g_{*} \quad(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T}, \\
& \left.u\right|_{t=0}=u^{0}, \quad x \in G,
\end{aligned}
$$

in which only one function $u$ is unknown. This problem will be called Problem $\mathcal{P}$.
Remind that $\mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)=\left\{u \in V_{2}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{4}\left(Q_{s, T}\right) \mid t r u_{b} \in L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)\right\}$. Therefore, it follows from $u \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the boundedness of the operators $\mathcal{C}: L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow$
$L^{1}\left(G_{s}\right), \mathcal{D}: L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow L^{1}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)$ that $\mathcal{C}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right] \in L^{1}\left(Q_{s, T}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right] \in$ $L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$.

By a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$, we mean a function $u \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfying the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} u(t), v\right)_{G} & \frac{d \eta}{d t}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} A(u(t), v) \eta(t) d t \\
& =\left(c_{p} u^{0}, v\right)_{G} \cdot \eta(0)+\int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{F}(t), v\rangle \eta(t) d t \quad \forall v \in V, \forall \eta \in C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T], \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(u, v)=a(u, v)+b(u, v), \\
& \left.b(u, v)=\left(4 \varkappa \mathcal{C}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right)\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right], v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\mathcal{D}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right], \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}},  \tag{55}\\
& \langle\mathcal{F}(t), v\rangle=(f(t), v)_{G}+\left(f_{*}(t), v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(g_{*}(t), \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3. Due to the equality (31) instead of the formula (55), it is possible to use an alternative formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(u, v)=\left(h\left(u_{s}\right), 4 \varkappa \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{\partial G_{b}} . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. It is easy to see that if $(u, I) \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right) \times L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)\right.$ is a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9), then $u$ is a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$. On the other hand, if $u \in$ $\mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$, then defining I by the formula (35) for almost all $t \in(0, T)$, we obtain the pair $(u, I) \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right) \times L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)$ that is a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9). The fact that $I \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)$ follows from the continuity of the operator $\mathcal{A}: L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{1}\left(D_{s}\right)$ and properties $h\left(u_{s}\right) \in L^{1}\left(Q_{s, T}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right) \in L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$ that the function $u \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ possesses.

## 6. Auxiliaries

6.1. Forms $\widehat{D}\left(U_{i}, U_{I i}, V_{i}, V_{I i}\right), D\left(U_{i}, U_{I i}, V_{i}, V_{I i}\right)$ and Some of Their Properties

We set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{d}\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, v_{I}, v_{I I}\right) & =\left(4 \varkappa \mathcal{C}\left[u_{I}, u_{I I}\right], v_{I}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\mathcal{D}\left[u_{I}, u_{I I}\right], v_{I I}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}, \\
d\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, v_{I}, v_{I I}\right) & =\left(4 \varkappa\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[1_{G_{s}}, 1_{\partial G_{b}}\right] u_{I}, v_{I}\right)_{G_{s}}-\left(4 \varkappa\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[u_{I}, u_{I I}\right], v_{I}\right)_{G_{s}} \\
& +\left(\mathcal{B}\left[1_{G_{s}}, 1_{\partial G_{b}}\right] u_{I I}, v_{I I}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}-\left(\mathcal{B}\left[u_{I}, u_{I I}\right], v_{I I}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, v_{I}, v_{I I}\right) \in L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$ or $\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, v_{I}, v_{I I}\right) \in L^{2}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$ $\times L^{2}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$.

It follows from (28) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{d}\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, v_{I}, v_{I I}\right)=d\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, v_{I}, v_{I I}\right)+\left(4 \varkappa \widehat{\alpha} u_{I}, v_{I}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\widehat{\beta} u_{I I}, v_{I I}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following three statements are proven in [65].
Lemma 1. Assume that $\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}\right) \in L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right),\left(v_{I}, v_{I I}\right) \in L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right),\left\{\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right)\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ $\subset L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right),\left\{\left(v_{I}^{N}, v_{I I}^{N}\right)\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \subset L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$. Assume also that $\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right), v_{I}^{N} \rightarrow v_{I}$ almost everywere on $G_{s}, v_{I I}^{N} \rightarrow v_{I I}$ almost everywhere on $\partial G_{b}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sup _{N \geq 1}\left\|\left(v_{I}^{N}, v_{I I}^{N}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)}<\infty$. Then:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{d}\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}, v_{I}^{N}, v_{I I}^{N}\right)=\widehat{d}\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, v_{I}, v_{I I}\right)
$$

Lemma 2. Assume that $\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}\right) \in L^{2}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right),\left\{\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right)\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \subset L^{2}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$ and $\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then:

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{d}\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}, u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right)=\widehat{d}\left(u_{I}, u_{I I}, u_{I}, u_{I I}\right)
$$

Let $\left\{E_{s i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a system of measurable pairwise disjoint subsets of $G_{S}$, such that $G_{s}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} E_{s i}$ and let $\left\{S_{b \ell}\right\}_{\ell=1}^{N}$ be a system of measurable pairwise disjoint subsets of $\partial G_{b}$, such that $\partial G_{b}=\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N} S_{b \ell}$. We denote by $1_{s i}$ and $1_{b \ell}$ the characteristic functions of sets $E_{s i}$ and $S_{b \ell}$, respectively. We set:

$$
\alpha_{k i}=\left(4 \varkappa\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[1_{s k}, 0\right], 1_{s i}\right)_{G_{s^{\prime}}}, \beta_{\ell k}=\left(\mathcal{B}\left[0,1_{s \ell}\right], 1_{s k}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}, \delta_{\ell i}=\left(4 \varkappa\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[0,1_{b \ell}\right], 1_{s i}\right)_{G_{s}}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{k i} \geq 0, \beta_{\ell k} \geq 0, \delta_{\ell i} \geq 0$.
Lemma 3. Let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{I}^{N}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{s i} 1_{s i}(x), \quad u_{I I}^{N}(x)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} u_{b \ell} 1_{b \ell}(x) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

be simple functions defined on $G_{s}, \partial G_{b}$, respectively, and let:

$$
v_{I}^{N}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{s i} 1_{s i}(x), \quad v_{I I}^{N}(x)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} v_{b \ell} 1_{b \ell}(x)
$$

be other simple functions defined on $G_{s}, \partial G_{b}$, respectively. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}, v_{I}^{N}, v_{I I}^{N}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k i}\left[u_{s i}-u_{s k}\right]\left[v_{s i}-v_{s k}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_{k \ell}\left[u_{b \ell}-u_{b k}\right]\left[v_{b \ell}-v_{b k}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \delta_{\ell i}\left[u_{s i}-u_{b \ell}\right]\left[v_{s i}-v_{b \ell}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

6.2. Forms $b(u, v), b^{[n]}(u, v)$ and Some of Their Properties

Consider that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(u, v)=\left(4 \varkappa \mathcal{C}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right], v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\mathcal{D}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right], \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \\
(u, v) \in W^{1,2}(G) \times V .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also set:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
b^{[n]}(u, v)=\left(4 \varkappa \mathcal{C}\left[h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right], v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\mathcal{D}\left[h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right], \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \\
(u, v) \in W^{1,2}(G) \times W^{1,2}(G) \tag{59}
\end{array}
$$

where $h^{[n]}=\min \{\max \{h(u),-n\}, n\}$.
Note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(u, v) & =\widehat{d}\left(h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)=d\left(h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right) \\
& +\left(4 \varkappa \widehat{\alpha} h\left(u_{s}\right), v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\widehat{\beta} h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \operatorname{tr} v_{s}\right) \partial_{G_{b}}, \\
b^{[n]}(u, v) & =\widehat{d}\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)=d\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right) \\
& +\left(4 \varkappa \widehat{\alpha} h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\widehat{\beta} h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \operatorname{tr} v_{s}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4. For all $n \geq 1$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{[n]}(u, u) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(G) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4. We construct sequences of simple functions $\left\{u_{I}^{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{u_{I I}^{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ of the forms (58) such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u_{I}^{N}(x)\right| \leq\left|u_{s}(x)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} u_{I}^{N}(x)=u_{s}(x) \quad \text { for almost all } x \in G_{s}, \\
& \left|u_{I I}^{N}(x)\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(x)\right| \text { and } \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} u_{I I}^{N}(x)=\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(x) \text { for almost all } x \in \partial G_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma 3 and the monotonicity of the function $h$ that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{d}\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), h^{[n]}\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right), u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k i}\left[h^{[n]}\left(u_{s i}^{N}\right)-h^{[n]}\left(u_{s k}^{N}\right)\right]\left[u_{s i}^{N}-u_{s k}^{N}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_{k \ell}\left[h^{[n]}\left(u_{b \ell}^{N}\right)-h^{[n]}\left(u_{b k}^{N}\right)\right]\left[u_{b \ell}^{N}-u_{b k}^{N}\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \delta_{\ell i}\left[h^{[n]}\left(u_{s i}^{N}\right)-h^{[n]}\left(u_{b \ell}^{N}\right)\right]\left[u_{s i}^{N}-u_{b \ell}^{N}\right] \\
& +\left(4 \varkappa \widehat{\alpha} h^{[n]}\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), u_{I}^{N}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\widehat{\beta} h^{[n]}\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right), u_{I I}^{N}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \geq 0 . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), h^{[n]}\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$ and $\left(u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(u_{s}, \operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2:
$\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{d}\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), h^{[n]}\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right), u_{I}^{N}, u_{I I}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{d}\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), u_{s}, \operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)=b^{[n]}(u, u)$.
Passing in the inequality (61) to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at the inequality (60).

Lemma 5. Assume that $w \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $w$ be a non-decreasing function such that $w(0)=0$. Then, for all $n \geq 1, M>0$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\left(u, w\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(G)  \tag{62}\\
& b^{[n]}\left(u, w\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(G) . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $\left\{u_{I}^{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{u_{I I}^{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ be the same sequences of simple functions as in the proof of the previous lemma.

It follows from Lemma 3 and the monotonicity of the function $h$ that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{d}\left(h\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), h\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right), w\left(\left(u_{I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right), w\left(\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k i}\left[h\left(u_{s i}^{N}\right)-h\left(u_{s k}^{N}\right)\right]\left[w\left(\left(u_{s i}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)-w\left(\left(u_{s k}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_{k \ell}\left[h\left(u_{b \ell}^{N}\right)-h\left(u_{b k}^{N}\right)\right]\left[w\left(\left(u_{b \ell}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)-w\left(\left(u_{b k}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \delta_{\ell i}\left[h\left(u_{s i}^{N}\right)-h\left(u_{b \ell}^{N}\right)\right]\left[w\left(\left(u_{s i}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)-w\left(\left(u_{b \ell}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right] \\
& +\left(4 \varkappa \widehat{\alpha} h\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), w\left(\left(u_{I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\widehat{\beta} h\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right), w\left(\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \geq 0 . \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $\left(h\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), h\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$; $w\left(\left(u_{I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right) \rightarrow w\left(u_{s}^{[M]}\right)$ almost everywhere on $G_{s}, w\left(\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right) \rightarrow w\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{[M]}\right)$ almost every-
where on $\partial G_{b}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$; in addition, $\left\|w\left(\left(u_{I}^{N}\right){ }^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}\right)} \leq C_{M},\left\|w\left(\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} \leq$ $C_{M}$, where $C_{M}=\max \{w(M),|w(-M)|\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{d}\left(h\left(u_{I}^{N}\right), h\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right), w\left(\left(u_{I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right), w\left(\left(u_{I I}^{N}\right)^{[M]}\right)\right) \\
& =\widehat{d}\left(h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), w\left(u_{s}^{[M]}\right), w\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{[M]}\right)\right)=b\left(u, w\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing in (64) to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at the inequality (62). The proof of the inequality (63) is quite the same.

## 7. An Auxiliary Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[N]}$ and Its Solvability

Consider an auxiliary Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$, which differs from Problem $\mathcal{P}$ only in that in its formulation, the function $h(u)$ is replaced by $h^{[n]}=\min \{\max \{h(u),-n\}, n\}$, where $1 \leq n$ is a natural parameter.

By a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$, we mean a function $u \in V_{2}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfying the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} u(t), v\right)_{G} \frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} A^{[n]}(u(t), v) \eta(t) d t \\
& =\left(c_{p} u^{0}, v\right)_{G} \cdot \eta(0)+\int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{F}(t), v\rangle \eta(t) d t \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(G), \quad \forall \eta \in C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T] \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{[n]}(u, v)=a(u, v)+b^{[n]}(u, v)$ and $b^{[n]}(u, v)$ is given by the formula (59).
Theorem 8. Assume that $u^{0} \in L^{2}(G), f \in L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right), J_{*} \in L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)$, where $r \in(1, \infty], q \in[6 / 5, \infty], r_{*} \in[4 / 3, \infty], q_{*} \in[4 / 3, \infty], 2 / r+3 / q \leq 7 / 2,2 / r_{*}+2 / q_{*} \leq 5 / 2$. Then, a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$ exists.

Proof of Theorem 8. Let $\left\{e_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis in $W^{1,2}(G)$ that is orthonormal in $L^{2}(G)$ with weight $c_{p}$.

We set $V_{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}, k \geq 1$ and will seek an approximate solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$ in the form $u^{(k)}(t)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} d_{\ell}^{(k)}(t) e_{\ell}$, determining the coefficients $d_{\ell}^{(k)}$ from the Galerkin method:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(c_{p} \frac{d}{d t} u^{(k)}(t), v\right)_{G}+A^{[n]}\left(u^{(k)}(t), v\right)=\langle\mathcal{F}(t), v\rangle, \quad t \in(0, T) \quad \forall v \in V_{k}  \tag{66}\\
& u^{(k)}(0)=u^{0, k}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k}\left(c_{p} u^{0}, e_{\ell}\right)_{G} e_{\ell} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $u^{0, k} \rightarrow u^{0}$ in $L^{2}(G)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, moreover $\left\|c_{p}^{1 / 2} u^{0, k}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leq\left\|c_{p}^{1 / 2} u^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}$.
The Caratheodory theorem implies the existence of a time-local solution $u^{(k)}$. It is defined on the whole interval $(0, T)$ by virtue of the global to time a priori estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C_{1}\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{2, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}} . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain this estimate, we substitute $v=u^{(k)}(t)$ in (66) and use the inequalities:

$$
\lambda_{\min }\left\|\nabla u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \leq a\left(u^{(k)}, u^{(k)}\right), \quad 0 \leq b^{[n]}\left(u^{(k)}, u^{(k)}\right)
$$

which follow from the condition (32) and Lemma 4, and arrive at the inequality:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|c_{p}^{1 / 2} u^{(k)}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\lambda_{\min }\left\|\nabla u^{(k)}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \leq\left\langle\mathcal{F}(t), u^{(k)}(t)\right\rangle, \quad t \in(0, T)
$$

Integrating it, we deduce the inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{p}^{1 / 2} u^{(k)}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\lambda_{\min }\left\|\nabla u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{p}^{1 / 2} u^{0, k}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\mathcal{F}\left(t^{\prime}\right), u^{(k)}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle d t^{\prime} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{p}^{1 / 2} u^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{r^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{q^{\prime}}(G)\right)} \\
& +\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}(G)\right.}\left\|u_{s}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{r_{*}^{\prime}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}^{\prime}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}} \\
& +\left\|g_{*}\right\|_{L^{r *}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{r_{*}^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}^{\prime}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)^{\prime}} \quad t \in(0, T)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the inequality (13) with $r^{\prime}, q^{\prime}$ and $r_{*}^{\prime}, q_{*}^{\prime}$ instead of $\bar{r}_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}$, the inequality (14) with $r_{*}^{\prime}, q_{*}^{\prime}$ instead of $\bar{r}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}$ and using the inequality (53), we arrive at the estimate (67).

Let us derive one more estimate. Since $\left\|\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}^{(k)}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)} \leq n$, then it follows from (30) that:

$$
\left\|\mathcal{C}\left[h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}^{(k)}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}\right)} \leq 2 n, \quad\left\|\mathcal{D}\left[h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}^{(k)}\right), h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} \leq 2 n
$$

Consequently:

$$
\left|b^{[n]}\left(u^{(k)}, v\right)\right| \leq 2 n\left(4 \varkappa\left\|v_{s}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(G_{s}\right)}+\left\|\operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)}\right) .
$$

Integrating (66) over $(t, t+\tau)$, where $0<\tau<T$, and taking into account the estimate (67), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_{p} \Delta^{(\tau)} u^{(k)}(t), v\right)_{G}=\int_{t}^{t+\tau}\left[-A^{[n]}\left(u^{(k)}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v\right)+\left\langle\mathcal{F}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v\right\rangle\right] d t^{\prime} \\
& \leq \tau^{1 / 2} \lambda_{\max }\left\|\nabla u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}(G)} \\
& +\tau^{1 / 2}\|\gamma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)}\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)}-\operatorname{tr} u_{s}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)}\left\|\operatorname{tr} v_{b}-\operatorname{tr} v_{s}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)} \\
& +2 \tau n\left(4 \varkappa\left\|v_{s}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(G_{s}\right)}+\left\|\operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)}\right)+\tau^{1-1 / r}\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}\|v\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}(G)} \\
& +\tau^{1-1 / r_{*}}\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{L^{r^{*}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}(G)\right)}}\left\|v_{s}\right\|_{L^{q_{*}^{\prime}}(G)}+\tau^{1-1 / r_{*}}\left\|g_{* *}\right\|_{L^{r *}\left(0, T ; L^{q^{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}\left\|\operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right\|_{L^{q_{*}^{\prime}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta^{(\tau)} u^{(k)}(t)=u^{(k)}(t+\tau)-u^{(k)}(t)$.
Taking $v=\Delta^{(\tau)} u^{(k)}(t)$, integrating the resulting inequality over $t$ from 0 to $T-\tau$ and using the inequalities (53), (67), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{c}_{p}\left\|\Delta^{(\tau)} u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T-\tau}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \tau^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2}\left[\lambda_{\max }\left\|\nabla u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+\|\gamma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)}\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)}-\operatorname{tr} u_{s}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)}^{2}\right] \\
& +4 \tau n\left(4 \varkappa\left\|u_{s}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{s, T}\right)}+\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)}\right)+2 \tau^{1-1 / r}\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{q^{\prime}}(G)\right)} \\
& +2 \tau^{1-1 / r_{*}}\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{L^{r *}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}\left\|u_{s}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}^{\prime}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)} \\
& \left.+2 \tau^{1-1 / r_{*}} \mid g\left\|_{L^{r_{*}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}}\right\| \operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(k)} \|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}^{\prime}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}\right] \\
& \leq \tau^{v} C_{2}\left(\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{2, r, g, r_{*}, q_{*}}+n\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v=\min \left\{1 / 2,1-1 / r, 1-1 / r_{*}\right\}$. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta^{(\tau)} u^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T-\tau}\right)} \leq C_{3} \tau^{v / 2}\left(\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{2, r, g, r_{*}, q_{*}}+n\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (67) that there exist a function $u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the subsequence $\left\{u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}\right\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(G)\right)$ and weakly stars in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$ as $k_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty$.

By virtue of the Riesz precompactness criterion for $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, the estimates (67) and (68) allow us to select a subsequence, such that $u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and almost everywhere on $Q_{T}$.

It is clear that $\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}\right) \nabla u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)} \rightarrow \lambda(\cdot, u) \nabla u$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and therefore $a_{0}\left(u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}, v\right) \rightarrow a_{0}(u, v)$ weakly in $L^{1}(0, T)$ for all $v \in W^{1,2}(G)$.
From the estimate (19) applied to $u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}-u$, it follows that $\operatorname{tr} u_{s}^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{s}$ and $\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{tr} u_{b}$ in $L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. So $a_{1}\left(u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}, v\right) \rightarrow a_{1}(u, v)$ in $L^{1}(0, T)$.

It is also easy to see that $h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}\right) \rightarrow h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(Q_{s, T}\right)$ and $h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}\right) \rightarrow$ $h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$. Using the formula (59), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{[n]}\left(u^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}, v\right) & =4 \varkappa\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}\right), \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{\left(k_{\ell}\right)}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \\
& \rightarrow 4 \varkappa\left(h^{[n]}\left(u_{s}\right), \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(h^{[n]}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{\partial G_{b}}=b^{[n]}(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $L^{1}(0, T)$ for all $v \in W^{1,2}(G)$.
Multiplying (66) on $\eta(t)$, where $\eta \in C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T]$, and integrating the result over $t$ from 0 to $T$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} u^{(k)}(t), v\right)_{G} \frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} A^{[\eta]}\left(u^{(k)}(t), v\right) \eta(t) d t \\
& =\left(c_{p} u^{0, k}, v\right)_{G} \cdot \eta(0)+\int_{0}^{T}\langle\mathcal{F}(t), v\rangle \eta(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit at $k=k_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty$, we establish the validity of the identity (65) for an arbitrary function $v \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{k}$. Since the set $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{k}$ is dense everywhere in $W^{1,2}(G)$, then the identity (65) holds for all $v \in W^{1,2}(G)$.

Since the function $u$ satisfies this identity, it follows (see, for example [41], Lemma 4.1) that $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(G)\right)$. Thus, $u \in V_{2}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
8. Estimates for Weak Solutions to Problems $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{[N]}$

We need the following statement, following from [41], in Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 6. Assume that a function $u \in V_{2}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ satisfies the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} u(t), v\right)_{G} \frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\langle\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(t), v\rangle \eta(t) d t \quad \forall v \in V, \forall \eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}[0, T], \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(t), v\rangle=(F(t), \nabla v)_{G}+(\widehat{f}(t), v)_{G}+\left(g_{s}(t), \operatorname{tr} v_{s}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}+\left(g_{b}(t), \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}, \\
& F \in L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(L^{2}(G)\right)^{3}\right), \quad \widehat{f} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad g_{s}, g_{b} \in L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume also that $w \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), w^{\prime} \geq 0, w(0)=0$ and $W^{(M)}(u)=\int_{0}^{u} w\left(s^{[M]}\right) d s$, where $M>0$.

Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|c_{p} W^{(M)}(u(t))\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \\
& \quad=\left\|c_{p} W^{(M)}(u(0))\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(t), w\left(u^{[M]}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle d t^{\prime}, \quad t \in[0, T],  \tag{70}\\
& \left\|c_{p} W^{(M)}\left([u]_{+}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \\
& \quad=\left\|c_{p} W^{(M)}\left([u]_{+}(0)\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(t), w\left(u^{[0, M]}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle d t^{\prime}, \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 7. Let $u$ be a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ or to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$
Suppose that $U \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), U^{\prime} \geq 0, w(u)=\int_{0}^{u}\left(U^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s, W(u)=\int_{0}^{u} w(s) d s$. Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|c_{p} W\left(u^{[M]}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} & +\lambda_{\min }\left\|\nabla U\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|c_{p} W\left(u^{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\mathcal{F}\left(t^{\prime}\right), w\left(u^{[M]}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle d t^{\prime}, \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 7. A weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies the identity (69) with:

$$
\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(t), v\rangle=-A(u(t), v)+\langle\mathcal{F}(t), v\rangle .
$$

Using Lemma 6, we arrive at the equality:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|c_{p} W^{(M)}(u(t))\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} & +\int_{0}^{t} A\left(u\left(t^{\prime}\right), w\left(u^{[M]}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) d t^{\prime} \\
& =\left\|c_{p} W^{(M)}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\mathcal{F}(t), w\left(u^{[M]}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle d t^{\prime} . \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0}\left(u, w\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right) & =\left(\lambda(\cdot, u) \nabla u, \nabla w\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right)_{G}=\left(\lambda(\cdot, u) \nabla u,\left[U^{\prime}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right]^{2} \nabla u^{[M]}\right)_{G} \\
& =\left(\lambda(\cdot, u) \nabla U\left(u^{[M]}\right), \nabla U\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right)_{G} \geq \lambda_{\min }\left\|\nabla U\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)^{\prime}}^{2} \\
a_{1}\left(u, w\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right) & =\left(\gamma\left[\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(t)-\operatorname{tr} u_{s}(t)\right], w\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{[M]}\right)-w\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{s}^{[M]}\right)\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these inequalities, the inequality (62) and the estimates:

$$
W\left(u^{[M]}\right) \leq W^{(M)}(u) \leq W(u)
$$

we arrive from (73) at (72).
The inequality (72) for the weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$ is established in the same way. The only difference is that the inequality (63) is used instead of the inequality (62).

Theorem 9. Let $u$ be a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ or to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$. If the assumptions (41)-(43) are satisfied, then $|u|^{\gamma-1} u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for all $\gamma \in[1, p / 2]$; moreover, the estimate (44) holds. In addition, $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{s}(G)\right)$ for all $s \in[1, p)$.

Proof of Theorem 9. Let $\gamma \in[1, p / 2]$. We set:

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{\gamma}(u)=|u|^{\gamma-1} u, \quad w_{\gamma}(u)=\int_{0}^{u}\left(U_{\gamma}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s=\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2 \gamma-1}|u|^{2 \gamma-2} u \\
W_{\gamma}(u)=\int_{0}^{u} w_{\gamma}(s) d s=\frac{\gamma}{2(2 \gamma-1)}|u|^{2 \gamma} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since:

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left[U_{\gamma}(u)\right]^{2} \leq W_{\gamma}(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[U_{\gamma}(u)\right]^{2}, \quad\left|w_{\gamma}(u)\right| \leq \gamma\left|U_{\gamma}(u)\right|^{2-1 / \gamma}
$$

the inequality (72) implies the inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(u^{[M]}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\lambda_{\min }\left\|\nabla U_{\gamma}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\gamma\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{r}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}}(G)\right)}^{2-1 / \gamma} \\
& +\gamma\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{L^{r^{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(u_{s}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{p}_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}_{*}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}^{2-\gamma} \\
& +\gamma\left\|g_{*}\right\|_{L^{r_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q *}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{r}_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}_{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)^{\prime}}^{2-1 / \gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{r}=(2-1 / \gamma) r^{\prime}, \bar{q}=(2-1 / \gamma) q^{\prime}, \bar{r}_{*}=(2-1 / \gamma) r_{*}^{\prime} \bar{q}_{*}=(2-1 / \gamma) q_{*}^{\prime}$.
As a consequence, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|U_{\gamma}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left[\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{L^{2 \gamma}(G)}^{2 \gamma}+\gamma\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{r}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}}(G)\right)}^{2-1 / \gamma}\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{L^{r^{*}}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{q *}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)}\left(\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(u_{S}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{p}^{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{q^{\prime}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}^{2-1 / \gamma}+\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{p}^{*}\left(0, T ; L^{-L^{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}}^{2-1 / \gamma}\right)\right] . \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (13) and (14), we derive from (74) the estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|u^{[M]}\right|^{\gamma-1} u^{[M]}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{1 / \gamma} \leq C\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C$ that does not depend on $M$. Since $u^{[M]} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(G)\right)$ and $u^{[M]}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $L^{2}(G)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, the estimate (75) implies that $|u|^{\gamma-1} u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the estimate (44) holds.

Since $|u|^{p / 2-1} u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(G)\right)$. Taking into account that $u \in$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(G)\right)$, we come to the conclusion that $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{s}(G)\right)$ for all $s \in[1, p)$.

Theorem 10. Let $u$ be a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ or to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$. If the assumptions (49), (50) are satisfied, then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the estimate (51) holds.

Proof of Theorem 10. We put $A=\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{\infty, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}$. If $A=0$, then it follows from (44) that $u=0$ and the estimate (51) holds.

Let $A>0$. We divide both sides of the inequality (74) by $A^{2 \gamma}$. Taking into account that $\gamma \geq 1$ and (74) holds for all $M>0$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} & \leq C_{1} \gamma\left[\operatorname{meas} G+\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{\gamma}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}}(G)\right)}^{2-1 / \gamma}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{r_{*}(0, T}\left(0, L^{\bar{L}^{*}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}^{2-1 /}+\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\operatorname{tr} \bar{u}_{b}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{r}_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}_{*}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}^{2-1 / \gamma}\right] \\
& \leq C_{2} \gamma\left[\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{2 r^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{2 q^{\prime}}(G)\right)}^{2}+\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{2 r_{*}^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; L^{2 q^{\prime}}(G)\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\left\|U_{\gamma}\left(\operatorname{tr} \bar{u}_{b}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{2 r_{*}^{\prime}\left(0, T ; L^{2 q_{*}^{\prime}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)}}^{2}+1\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{u}=u / A$.
By condition (50), we can assume that $2 / r+3 / q \leq 2-3 \delta, 2 / r_{*}+2 / q_{*} \leq 1-3 \delta$ with some $\delta \in(0,1)$.

Setting $\gamma=\gamma_{k}=(1+\delta)^{k}, k \geq 0$, we obtain the inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|U_{\gamma_{k}}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{2}(1+\delta)^{k}\left[\left\|U_{\gamma_{k-1}}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\tilde{r}}\left(0, T ; L^{\tilde{q}}(G)\right)}^{2(1+\delta)}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|U_{\gamma_{k-1}}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\Psi^{\digamma} *}\left(0, T ; L^{2} \tilde{L}^{*}\right.}^{2(G))}+\left\|U_{\gamma_{k-1}}\left(\operatorname{tr} \bar{u}_{b}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\tau^{\mathfrak{F}} *}\left(0, T ; L^{\tilde{q} *}(\partial \widehat{G})\right)}^{2(1+\delta)}+1\right], k \geq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{r}=2(1+\delta) r^{\prime}, \widetilde{q}=2(1+\delta) q^{\prime}, \widetilde{r}_{*}=2(1+\delta) r_{*}^{\prime}, \widetilde{q}_{*}=2(1+\delta) q_{*}^{\prime}$. It is easy to check that $\widetilde{r}, \widetilde{q}$ and $\widetilde{r}_{*}, \widetilde{q}_{*}$ satisfy (16) in the role of $\bar{r}_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{r}_{*}, \widetilde{q}_{*}$ satisfy (16) in the role of $\bar{r}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}$. Using (13) and (15), we arrive at the inequality:

$$
\left\|U_{\gamma_{k}}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+1 \leq C_{3}(1+\delta)^{k}\left[\left\|U_{\gamma_{k-1}}\left(\bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2(1+\delta)}+1\right], \quad k \geq 1
$$

which implies the inequality:

$$
d_{k} \leq C_{3}^{1 / \gamma_{k}}(1+\delta)^{k / \gamma_{k}} d_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1
$$

where $d_{k}=\left(\left\|\left|\bar{u}^{[M]}\right| \gamma_{k}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+1\right)^{1 / \gamma_{k}}$.
Iterating these inequalities, we find:

$$
d_{k} \leq C_{4} d_{0}=C_{4}\left(\left\|\bar{u}^{[M]}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+1\right) \leq C_{4}\left(\|\bar{u}\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+1\right), \quad k \geq 1
$$

Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{u}^{[M]}\right\|_{L^{2 \gamma_{k}\left(Q_{T}\right)}}^{2} & \left.\leq T^{1 / \gamma_{k}} \| \mid \bar{u}^{[M]}\right)\left.\right|^{\gamma_{k}-1} \bar{u}^{[M]} \|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2 / \gamma_{k}} \\
& \leq T^{1 / \gamma_{k}} y_{k} \leq T^{1 / \gamma_{k}} C_{4}\left(\|\bar{u}\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+1\right), \quad k \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit passage as $k \rightarrow \infty$ leads to the estimate:

$$
\left\|\bar{u}^{[M]}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{4}\left(\|\bar{u}\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+1\right) \quad \forall M \geq 1
$$

which implies that $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and:

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{6}\left(\|u\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+A^{2}\right) .
$$

Taking into account the estimate (44) with $\gamma=1$, we obtain the estimate (51).
Theorem 11. Let $u$ be a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$. If the assumptions (46), (47) are satisfied, then there exists a constant $\beta \in\left(0, \beta_{0} / 2\right)$ such that $e^{\beta|u|} \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, the estimate (48) holds and $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{s}(G)\right.$ for all $s \in[1, \infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 11. Let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{\beta}(u)=\left[e^{\beta|u|}-1\right] \operatorname{sgn} u, \quad w_{\beta}(u)=\int_{0}^{u}\left(U_{\beta}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s=\frac{\beta}{2}\left[e^{2 \beta|u|}-1\right] \operatorname{sgn} u \\
& W_{\beta}(u)=\int_{0}^{u} w_{\beta}(s) d s=\frac{1}{4}\left[e^{2 \beta|u|}-2 \beta u\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta>0$. Since:

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left(U_{\beta}(u)\right)^{2} \leq W_{\beta}(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(U_{\beta}(u)\right)^{2}, \quad\left|w_{\beta}(u)\right| \leq \beta\left[U_{\beta}(u)^{2}+1\right]
$$

inequality (72) implies the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|U_{\beta}\left(u^{[M]}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla U_{\beta}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left\|U_{\beta}\left(u^{[M]}(0)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \\
& +C_{1} \beta\left[\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}\left(\left\|U_{\beta}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{T}_{1}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}_{1}}(G)\right)}^{2}+1\right)\right. \\
& +\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{L^{r *}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}(G)\right)}\left(\left\|U_{\beta}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\Gamma^{\gamma_{*}}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}_{*}}(G)\right)}}+1\right) \\
& \left.+\left\|g_{*}\right\|_{L^{r_{*}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{*}}(\partial \widehat{G})\right)}}\left(\left\|U_{\beta}\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{L^{\bar{r}^{*} *}\left(0, T ; L^{\bar{q}_{*}}(\partial \widehat{G})\right)}+1\right)\right], \quad t \in(0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{r}_{1}=2 r^{\prime}, \bar{q}_{1}=2 q^{\prime}, \bar{r}_{*}=2 r_{*}^{\prime}, \bar{q}_{*}=2 q_{*}^{\prime}$.
Using (13) and (14), we derive the estimate:

$$
\left\|U_{\beta}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq 2 C_{1}\left\|U_{\beta}\left(u^{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\beta C_{f, J_{*}}\left(\left\|U_{\beta}\left(u^{[M]}\right)\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+1\right)
$$

where $C_{f, J_{*}}=C_{2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)}+\left\|J_{*}\right\|_{L^{r *}\left(0, T ; \hat{L}^{q *}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)}\right)$.
Taking $\beta=\min \left\{\beta_{0}, C_{f, J_{*}}^{-1}\right\} / 2$, we obtain the estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{\beta \mid u^{[M] \mid}}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|e^{\beta\left|u^{0}\right|}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+1\right) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u^{[M]} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(G)\right)$ and $u^{[M]}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $L^{2}(G)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, the estimate (76) implies that $e^{\beta|u|} \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the estimate (48) holds.

Note that the conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied for all $p \in[3, \infty)$. Therefore, $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{s}(G)\right)$ for all $s \in[1, \infty)$.

## 9. Stability and Uniqueness of Weak Solutions to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ : Comparison Theorem

The proof given in this section uses some ideas of the method [70] proposed for proving comparison theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations. Special modifications of this method for some nonstationary radiative-conductive heat transfer problems were used in [41,53,57].

The following theorem concerns the stability of weak solutions to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to data.

Theorem 12. Let $u^{1}$ and $u^{2}$ be two weak solutions to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ with $\left(u^{0,1}, f^{1}, J_{*}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(u^{0,2}, f^{2}, J_{*}^{2}\right)$ instead of $\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)$. Then, the estimates (39), (40) hold.

Proof of Theorem 12. We put $\Delta u=u^{1}-u^{2}, \Delta u^{0}=u^{0,1}-u^{0,2} \Delta f=f^{1}-f^{2}, \Delta J_{*}=$ $J_{*}^{1}-J_{*}^{2}$.

Let $0<t \leq T, 0<\delta<1, \delta$ is a parameter. We introduce the sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{t}^{+}=\left\{\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \in Q_{t} \mid \Delta u\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)>0\right\}, \quad Q_{t}^{-}=\left\{\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \in Q_{t} \mid \Delta u\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \leq 0\right\}, \\
& Q_{t}^{\delta}=\left\{\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \in Q_{t} \mid \Delta u\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \geq \delta\right\}, \quad Q_{t}^{(0, \delta)}=\left\{\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \in Q_{t} \mid 0<\Delta u\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)<\delta\right\}, \\
& Q_{s, t}^{+}=Q_{s, t} \cap Q_{t}^{+}, \quad Q_{s, t}^{-}=Q_{s, t} \cap Q_{t}^{-}, \quad Q_{s, t}^{\delta}=Q_{s, t} \cap Q_{t}^{\delta}, \quad Q_{s, t}^{(0, \delta)}=Q_{s, t} \cap Q_{t}^{(0, \delta)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce the function $v^{\delta}=\delta^{-1}(\Delta u)^{[0, \delta]}=\min \left\{\delta^{-1}[\Delta u]_{+}, 1\right\}$. It is clear that $0 \leq v^{\delta} \leq 1$; moreover $v^{\delta}(x, t)=0$ for $(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{-}, v^{\delta}(x, t)=1$ for $(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{\delta}$ and $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} v^{\delta}(x, t)=1$ for $(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{+}$.

Subtracting from each other the identities (34) corresponding to the definitions of the weak solutions $u^{1}$ and $u^{2}$ leads to the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} \Delta u(t), v\right)_{G} \frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left[a\left(u^{1}(t), v\right)-a\left(u^{2}(t), v\right)\right] \eta(t) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left[b\left(u^{1}(t), v\right)-b\left(u^{2}(t), v\right)\right] \eta(t) d t=\left(c_{p} \Delta u^{0}, v\right)_{G} \cdot \eta(0)+\int_{0}^{T}(\Delta f(t), v)_{G} \eta(t) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Delta f_{*}(t), v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}} \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\Delta g_{*}(t), t r v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \eta(t) d t \quad \forall v \in V, \forall \eta \in C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T], \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta f_{*}=f_{*}^{1}-f_{*}^{2}=4 \varkappa\langle\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[\Delta J_{*}\right], \Delta g_{*}=g_{*}^{1}-g_{*}^{2}=\pi \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left[\Delta J_{*}\right]$.
Using Lemma 6 with $w(u)=\delta^{-1} u, M=\delta, W^{(\delta)}(u)=\delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{u} s^{[\delta]} d s$ and taking into account that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W^{(\delta)}\left(\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{+}\right) \leq\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{+}, \quad 0 \leq w\left((\Delta u)^{[0, \delta]}\right)=v^{\delta} \leq 1, \\
& \left\|\left[\Delta f_{*}\right]_{+}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{t}\right)}+\left\|\left[\Delta g_{*}\right]_{+}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b_{b}, t}\right.} \leq\left\|\left[\Delta J_{*}\right]\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; T ; \hat{L}^{1}\left(\hat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)} \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

(the inequality (78) follows from (27)), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|c_{p} W^{(\delta)}\left([\Delta u]_{+}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\left(\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{1}\right) \nabla u^{1}-\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{2}\right) \nabla u^{2}, \nabla v^{\delta}\right)_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left[a_{1}\left(u_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)-a_{1}\left(u_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] d t^{\prime} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left[b\left(u^{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)-b\left(u^{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] d t^{\prime}=\left\|c_{p} W^{(\delta)}\left(\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{+}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left[\left(\Delta f\left(t^{\prime}\right), v^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)_{G}+\left(\Delta f_{*}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v_{s}^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\Delta g_{*}\left(t^{\prime}\right), t r v_{b}^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)_{\partial G_{b}}\right] d t^{\prime} \\
& \leq\left\|c_{p}\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{+}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\left\|[\Delta f]_{+}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{t}\right)}+\left\|\left[\Delta J_{*}\right]_{+}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, t, \hat{L}^{1}\left(\hat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)} \quad \forall t \in(0, T] . \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $\nabla v^{\delta}=\delta^{-1} \nabla\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)$ almost everywhere on $Q_{T}^{(0, \delta)}$ and $\nabla v^{\delta}=0$ almost everywhere on $Q_{T}^{-} \cup Q_{T}^{\delta}$ and taking into account assumptions (32), (33), we find that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{1}\right) \nabla u^{1}-\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{2}\right) \nabla u^{2}, \nabla v^{\delta}\right)_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)} \\
& =\delta\left(\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{1}\right) \nabla v^{\delta}, \nabla v^{\delta}\right)_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)}+\left(\left[\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{1}\right)-\lambda\left(\cdot, u^{2}\right)\right] \nabla u^{2}, \nabla v^{\delta}\right)_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}^{(0, \delta)}\right)} \\
& \geq \delta \lambda_{\min }\left\|\nabla v^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)}^{2}-L \delta^{1 / 2}\left\|\nabla u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}^{(0, \delta)}\right)}\left\|\nabla v^{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}\right)} \\
& \geq-\frac{L^{2}}{4 \lambda_{\min }}\left\|\nabla u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{t}^{(0, \delta)}\right)}^{2} . \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

We note also that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}\left(u^{1}, v^{\delta}\right)-a_{1}\left(u^{2}, v^{\delta}\right)=\left(\gamma\left[\operatorname{tr} \Delta u_{s}-\operatorname{tr} \Delta u_{b}\right], \operatorname{tr} v_{s}^{\delta}-\operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \geq 0 \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $\Delta h\left(u_{s}\right)=h\left(u_{s}^{1}\right)-h\left(u_{s}^{2}\right)$ for $(x, t) \in Q_{s, T}, \Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)=h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{1}\right)-h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{2}\right)$ for $(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T}$ and introduce the sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial^{+} Q_{b, T}=\left\{(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T} \mid \operatorname{tr} \Delta u_{b}(x, t)>0\right\} \\
& \partial^{-} Q_{b, T}=\left\{(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T} \mid \operatorname{tr} \Delta u_{b}(x, t) \leq 0\right\} \\
& \partial^{\delta} Q_{b, T}=\left\{(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T} \mid \operatorname{tr} \Delta u_{b}(x, t) \geq \delta\right\} \\
& \partial^{(0, \delta)} Q_{b, T}=\left\{(x, t) \in \partial Q_{b, T} \mid 0<\operatorname{tr} \Delta u_{b}(x, t)<\delta\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the formula (56), it follows that:

$$
b\left(u^{1}, v^{\delta}\right)-b\left(u^{2}, v^{\delta}\right)=\left(4 \varkappa \Delta h\left(u_{s}\right), \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(\Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]\right)_{\partial G_{b}} .
$$

Noticing that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]=v_{s}^{\delta}-\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]=-\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right] \leq 0, \quad(x, t) \in Q_{s, T^{\prime}}^{-} \\
& \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]=v_{s}^{\delta}-\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right] \geq v_{s}^{\delta}-1, \quad(x, t) \in Q_{s, T^{\prime}}^{+} \\
& \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]=\operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}-\mathcal{B}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]=-\mathcal{B}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right] \leq 0, \quad(x, t) \in \partial^{-} Q_{b, T}, \\
& \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]=\operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}-\mathcal{B}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right] \geq \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}-1, \quad(x, t) \in \partial^{+} Q_{b, T},
\end{aligned}
$$

we find that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left[b\left(u^{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)-b\left(u^{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v^{\delta}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] d t^{\prime} \\
& =\left(4 \varkappa \Delta h\left(u_{s}\right), \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]\right)_{Q_{s, t}^{-}}+\left(4 \varkappa\left(\Delta h\left(u_{s}\right), \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]\right)_{Q_{s, t}^{+}}\right. \\
& +\left(\Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]\right)_{\partial Q_{b, t}^{-}}+\left(\Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}^{\delta}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}\right]\right)_{\partial^{+}} Q_{b, t} \\
& \geq\left(4 \varkappa \Delta h\left(u_{s}\right), v_{s}^{\delta}-1\right)_{Q_{s, t}^{+}}+\left(\Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}-1\right)_{\partial+} Q_{b, t} \\
& =\left(4 \varkappa \Delta h\left(u_{s}\right), v_{s}^{\delta}-1\right)_{Q_{s, t}^{(0, \delta)}}+\left(\Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right), \operatorname{tr} v_{b}^{\delta}-1\right)_{\partial(0, \delta)} Q_{b, t} \\
& \geq-4 \varkappa\left\|\Delta h\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{s, t}^{(0, \delta)}\right)}-\left\|\Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\partial^{(0, \delta)}\right.} Q_{b, t} . \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the inequality (79) and the estimates (80)-(82) that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|c_{p} W^{(\delta)}\left([\Delta u]_{+}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \\
& \leq \frac{L^{2}}{4 \lambda_{\min }}\left\|\nabla u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}^{(0, \delta)}\right)}^{2}+4 \varkappa\left\|\Delta h\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{s, T}^{(0, \delta)}\right)}+\left\|\Delta h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\partial^{(0, \delta)} Q_{b, T}\right)} \\
& +\left\|c_{p}\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{+}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\left\|\left([\Delta f]_{+},\left[\Delta J_{*}\right]_{+}\right)\right\|_{1,1,1,1} \quad \forall t \in(0, T] . \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

We pass to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in this inequality. Since:

$$
\left|W^{(\delta)}\left([\Delta u]_{+}\right)-[\Delta u]_{+}\right| \leq \delta,
$$

then:

$$
\left\|c_{p} W^{(\delta)}\left([\Delta u]_{+}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \rightarrow\left\|c_{p}[\Delta u]_{+}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} .
$$

The first three terms on the right hand side of (83) tend to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, since: meas $\left(Q_{T}^{(0, \delta)} ; d x d t\right) \rightarrow 0$, meas $\left(Q_{s, T}^{(0, \delta)} ; d x d t\right) \rightarrow 0$, meas $\left(\partial^{(0, \delta)} Q_{b, T} ; d \sigma(x) d t\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, (83) implies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|c_{p}[\Delta u]_{+}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \leq\left\|c_{p}\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{+}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\left\|\left([\Delta f]_{+},\left[\Delta J_{*}\right]_{+}\right)\right\|_{1,1,1,1} \quad \forall t \in(0, T] \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following inequality can be established in the same way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|c_{p}[\Delta u]_{-}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \leq\left\|c_{p}\left[\Delta u^{0}\right]_{-}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\left\|\left([\Delta f]_{-},\left[\Delta J_{*}\right]_{-}\right)\right\|_{1,1,1,1} \quad \forall t \in(0, T] \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (84) and (85), we obtain the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|c_{p} \Delta u(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \leq\left\|c_{p} \Delta u^{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)}+\left\|\left(\Delta f, \Delta J_{*}\right)\right\|_{1,1,1,1} \quad \forall t \in(0, T] . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (84), (86) imply the estimates (39), (40).

Corollary 2 (Comparison theorem). If $u^{0,1} \leq u^{0,2}, f^{1} \leq f^{2}$ and $J_{*}^{1} \leq J_{*}^{2}$, then $u^{1} \leq u^{2}$.
Corollary 3 (Uniqueness theorem). If a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ exists, then it is unique.

## 10. Solvability of Problem $\mathcal{P}$

Theorem 13. A weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ exists and is unique.
Proof of Theorem 13. Firstly, we suppose that assumptions (49), (50) hold. By Theorems 8 and 10, for all $n>0$, there exists a function $u \in V_{2}^{1,0}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, which is a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}^{[n]}$ and satisfies the estimate:

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq M_{\infty}=C\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{\infty, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}
$$

where $M_{\infty}$ does not depend on $n$. By this estimate, $h^{[n]}(u)=h(u)$ for $n>h\left(M_{\infty}\right)$. Therefore, a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}{ }^{[n]}$ with $n>h\left(M_{\infty}\right)$ is simultaneously a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$.

Now, we prove the existence of a solution without additional assumptions (49), (50). Let $N, M$ be natural numbers. Since $\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M]} \in L^{\infty}(G), f^{[-N, M]} \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \subset L^{\infty}(0, T$; $\left.L^{2}(G)\right), J_{*}^{[-N, M]} \in L^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-} \times(0, T)\right) \subset L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; \widehat{L}^{3}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}^{-}\right)\right)$then, by the first part of the proof, Problem $\mathcal{P}$ with $\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M]}, f^{[-N, M]}$ and $J_{*}^{[-N, M]}$ in the role of $u^{0}, f$, and $J_{*}$ has a weak solution $u^{(-N, M)}$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} u^{(-N, M)}(t), v\right)_{G} \frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} A\left(u^{(-N, M)}(t), v\right) \eta(t) d t \\
& =\left(c_{p}\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M]}, v\right)_{G} \cdot \eta(0)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(f^{[-N, M]}(t), v\right)_{G} \eta(t) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(f_{*}^{[-N, M]}, v_{s}\right)_{G_{s}}+\left(g_{*}^{(-N, M)}(t), t r v_{b}\right)_{\partial G_{b}}\right] \eta(t) d t \quad \forall v \in V, \forall \eta \in C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T] . \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $f_{*}^{(-N, M)}=4 \pi \varkappa\langle\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[J_{*}^{[-N, M]}\right], g_{*}^{(-N, M)}=\pi \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left[J_{*}^{[-N, M]}\right]$.
Note that:

$$
\left.\|\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M]}, f^{[-N, M]}, J_{*}^{[-N, M]}\right)\left\|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}} \leq C_{0}=\right\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right) \|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}} .
$$

So, by Theorem 9, the following uniform parameters $N$ and $M$ estimates hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\|u^{(-N, M)}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C \|\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M]}, f^{[-N, M]}, J_{*}^{[-N, M]}\right) \|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}} \leq C_{1}=C C_{0}  \tag{88}\\
& \left.\left\|\left|u^{[-N, M]}\right|^{1 / 2} u^{[-N, M]}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2 / 3} \leq C \|\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M]}, f^{[-N, M]}, J_{*}^{[-N, M]}\right) \|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}} \leq C_{1} . \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

The estimate (89) and the inequalities (17), (18) imply the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u^{(-N, M)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(G)\right)} \leq C_{1},  \tag{90}\\
& \left\|u^{(-N, M)}\right\|_{L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C_{2},  \tag{91}\\
& \left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N, M)}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)} \leq C_{3} . \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

We fix $N$. Since:

$$
\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M]} \leq\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, M+1]}, \quad f^{[-N, M]} \leq f^{[-N, M+1]}, \quad J_{*}^{[-N, M]} \leq J_{*}^{[-N, M+1]}
$$

then by Corollary 2 , the sequence $\left\{u^{(-N, M)}\right\}_{M=1}^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing with respect to $M$. Therefore, from the estimate (91), by virtue of Levi's monotone convergence theorem, there exists a function $u^{(-N)} \in L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that $u^{(-N, M)} \rightarrow u^{(-N)}$ in $L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and almost everywhere on $Q_{T}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. From (88), it follows that $u^{(-N)} \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), u^{(-N, M)} \rightarrow u^{(-N)}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(G)\right)$ and weakly stars in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(G)\right)$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. From the multiplicative inequality (19), it follows that $\operatorname{tr} u_{s}^{(-N, M)} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{s}^{(-N)}, \operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N, M)} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)}$ in $L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$.

Since the sequence $\left\{\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N, M)}\right\}_{M=1}^{\infty}$ does not decrease with respect to $M$, then it follows from the estimate (92) and Levi's monotone convergence theorem that $\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)} \in$ $L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N, M)} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)}$ in $L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$. So $h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N, M)}\right) \rightarrow h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$.

Passage to the limit as $M \rightarrow \infty$ in (88), (90)-(92) leads to the inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u^{(-N)}\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C_{1}, \quad\left\|u^{(-N)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(G)\right)} \leq C_{1},  \tag{93}\\
& \left\|u^{(-N)}\right\|_{L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C_{2}, \quad\left\|\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)} \leq C_{2} . \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $v \in V$. Since $\nabla u^{(-N, M)} \rightarrow \nabla u^{(-N)}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \lambda\left(\cdot, u^{(-N, M)}\right) \rightarrow \lambda\left(\cdot, u^{(-N)}\right)$ almost everywhere on $Q_{T}$, then $a_{0}\left(u^{(-N, M)}, v\right) \rightarrow a_{0}\left(u^{(-N)}, v\right)$ weakly in $L^{1}(0, T)$ as $M \rightarrow$ $\infty$. It is also clear that $a_{1}\left(u^{(-N, M)}, v\right) \rightarrow a_{1}\left(u^{(-N)}, v\right)$ in $L^{1}(0, T)$. Thus, $a\left(u^{(-N, M)}, v\right) \rightarrow$ $a\left(u^{(-N)}, v\right)$ weakly in $L^{1}(0, T)$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $h\left(u^{(-N, M)}\right) \rightarrow h\left(u^{(-N)}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N, M)}\right) \rightarrow h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h\left(u^{(-N, M)}\right), \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{G_{s}} \rightarrow\left(h\left(u^{(-N)}\right), \mathcal{C}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{G_{s}} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{1}(0, T), \\
& \left(h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N, M)}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \rightarrow\left(h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)}\right), \mathcal{D}\left[v_{s}, \operatorname{tr} v_{b}\right]\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{1}(0, T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $b\left(u^{(-N, M)}, v\right) \rightarrow b\left(u^{(-N)}, v\right)$ in $L^{1}(0, T)$.
Passing to the limit as $M \rightarrow \infty$ in the identity (87), we arrive at the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(c_{p} u^{(-N)}(t), v\right)_{G} \frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} A\left(u^{(-N)}(t), v\right) \eta(t) d t \\
& =\left(c_{p}\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, \infty]}, v\right)_{G} \cdot \eta(0)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(f^{[-N, \infty]}(t), v\right)_{G} \eta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(f_{*}^{(-N, \infty)}(t), v\right)_{G_{s}} \eta(t) d t  \tag{95}\\
& +\left(g_{*}^{(-N, \infty)}(t), v\right)_{\partial G_{b}} \eta(t) d t \quad \forall v \in V, \forall \eta \in C_{*}^{\infty}[0, T] \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, \infty]}=\max \left\{u^{0},-N\right\}, f^{[-N, \infty]}=\max \{f,-N\}, J_{*}^{[-N, \infty]}=\max \left\{J_{*},-N\right\}$, $f_{*}^{(-N, \infty)}=4 \pi \varkappa\langle\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\rangle_{\Omega}\left[J_{*}^{[-N, \infty]}\right], g_{*}^{(-N, \infty)}=\pi \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left[J_{*}^{[-N, \infty]}\right]$.

Theorem 12 implies the estimate:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underline{c}_{p}\left\|u^{\left(-N, M_{1}\right)}-u^{\left(-N, M_{2}\right)}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)} \leq \bar{c}_{p}\left\|\left(u^{0}\right)^{\left[-N, M_{1}\right]}-\left(u^{0}\right)^{\left[-N, M_{2}\right]}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \\
+\left\|\left(f^{\left[-N, M_{1}\right]}-f^{\left[-N, M_{2}\right]}, J_{*}^{\left[-N, M_{1}\right]}-J_{*}^{\left[-N, M_{2}\right]}\right)\right\|_{1,1,1,1} \quad \forall M_{1} \geq 1, M_{2} \geq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This estimate means that $\left\{u^{(-N, M)}\right\}_{M=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)$. Hence, $u^{(-N)} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)$. Taking into account that $u^{(-N)} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(G)\right)$, we have $u^{(-N)} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(G)\right)$.

Thus, the function $u^{(-N)}$ is a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$ corresponding to the data $\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, \infty]}, f^{[-N, \infty]}$ and $J_{*}^{[-N, \infty]}$ in the role of $u^{0}, f$ and $J_{*}$.

Since:

$$
\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-(N+1), \infty]} \leq\left(u^{0}\right)^{[-N, \infty]}, f^{[-(N+1), \infty]} \leq f^{[-N, \infty]}, J_{*}^{[-(N+1), \infty]} \leq g^{[-N, \infty]}
$$

then by virtue of Corollary 2 , the sequence $\left\{u^{(-N)}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is non-increasing. Therefore, from the estimates (93), (94) it follows that there exists a function $u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that $u^{(-N)} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(G)\right)$, weakly stars in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(G)\right)$, strongly in $L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and almost everywhere on $Q_{T}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, $\operatorname{tr} u_{S}^{(-N)} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{s}$ in $L^{2}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} u_{b}$ in $L^{4}\left(\partial Q_{b, T}\right)$. As a consequence, $h\left(u^{(-N)}\right) \rightarrow h(u)$ in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{(-N)}\right) \rightarrow h\left(u_{b}\right)$ in $L^{1}\left(\partial \widehat{Q}_{b, T}\right)$.

Therefore, $a\left(u^{(-N)}, v\right) \rightarrow a(u, v)$ weakly in $L^{1}(0, T)$ and $b\left(u^{(-N)}, v\right) \rightarrow b(u, v)$ in $L^{1}(0, T)$ for all $v \in V$. Passage to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the identity (96) gives the identity (34).

Theorem 12 implies the estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{c}_{p}\left\|u^{\left(-N_{1}\right)}-u^{\left(-N_{2}\right)}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)} \leq \bar{c}_{p}\left\|\left(u^{0}\right)^{\left[-N_{1}, \infty\right]}-\left(u^{0}\right)^{\left[-N_{2}, \infty\right]}\right\|_{L^{1}(G)} \\
& +\left\|\left(f^{\left[-N_{1}, \infty\right]}-f^{\left[-N_{2}, \infty\right]}, J_{*}^{\left[-N_{1}, \infty\right]}-J_{*}^{\left[-N_{2}, \infty\right]}\right)\right\|_{1,1,1,1} \quad \forall N_{1} \geq 1, N_{2} \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality means that $\left\{u^{(-N)}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)$. Hence, $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(G)\right)$. Taking into account that $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(G)\right)$, we have $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(G)\right)$.

We proved the existence of a weak solution to Problem $\mathcal{P}$. Its uniqueness follows from Corollary 3.

## 11. Justification of the Main Results

Proof of Theorem 2. Note that (see Remark 4) the pair $(u, I) \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right) \times L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{1}(D)\right)$ is a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9) if and only if $u \in \mathcal{V}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ is a weak solution to problem $\mathcal{P}$ and $I$ is expressed by the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{A}\left[h\left(u_{s}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right]+\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[J_{*}\right] . \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to the problem (1)-(9) follow directly from Theorem 13.

Proof of Theorem 4. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4 be satisfied.
By Corallary 2 we have $u^{1} \leq u^{2}$. Thus, $h\left(u_{s}^{1}\right) \leq h\left(u_{s}^{2}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{1}\right) \leq h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
I^{1}=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{A}\left[h\left(u_{s}^{1}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{1}\right)\right]+\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[J_{*}^{1}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{A}\left[h\left(u_{s}^{2}\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}^{2}\right)\right]+\widehat{\mathcal{A}}\left[J_{*}^{2}\right]=I^{2} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied.
By Theorem 9, $|u|^{\gamma-1} u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for all $\gamma \in[1, p / 2]$, the estimate (44) is valid and $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{s}(G)\right)$ for all $s \in[1, p)$.

From $|u|^{p / 2-1} u \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, it follows (see (13), (14)) that $h\left(u_{s}\right) \in L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{s}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)$, $h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right) \in L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{s}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)$ for all $r_{s} \in[1, p / 2], q_{s} \in[1, p / 2]$ such that $1 / r_{s}+1 / q_{s} \geq 6 / p$. In addition, the following estimate holds:

$$
\left\|h\left(u_{s}\right)\right\|_{L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{s}}\left(G_{s}\right)\right)}+\left\|h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}\right)\right\|_{L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; L^{q_{s}}\left(\partial G_{b}\right)\right)} \leq C_{1}\left\||u|^{p / 2-1} u\right\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{8 / p} .
$$

From this estimate, the boundedness of the operator $\mathcal{A}: L^{q_{s}}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{q_{s}}\left(D_{s}\right)$ and the estimate (44) with $\gamma=p / 2$, it follows that $I_{s} \in L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{s}}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)$ and:

$$
\left\|I_{s}\right\|_{L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{s}}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)} \leq\left. C_{2}\| \| u\right|^{p / 2-1} u\left\|_{V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{8 / p} \leq C\right\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right) \|_{p, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}^{4} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 6. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 6 are satisfied.
By Theorem 11, there exists a constant $\beta \in\left(0, \beta_{0} / 2\right)$ such that $e^{\beta|u|} \in V_{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the estimate (48) holds.

Note that the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied for all $p \in[3, \infty)$. Thus, $I_{s} \in$ $L^{r_{s}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{W}^{q_{s}}\left(D_{s}\right)\right)$ for all $r_{s} \in[1, \infty), q_{s} \in[1, \infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 7. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied.
By Theorem 10, $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the estimate (51) holds. Consequently,
$\left(h\left(u_{s}(t)\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(t)\right) \in L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)\right.$ for almost all $t \in(0, T)$ and:

$$
\begin{align*}
\underset{t \in(0, T)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \|\left(h\left(u_{s}(t)\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(t)\right)\right. & \|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right)} \\
& \leq \sigma_{0} \underset{t \in(0, T)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(G)}^{4} \leq C_{1}\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{\infty, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $I_{s}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{A}\left[h\left(u_{s}(t)\right), h\left(\operatorname{tr} u_{b}(t)\right)\right] \in \mathcal{W}^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)$ for almost all $t \in(0, T)$ and by virtue of the estimate (98):

$$
\underset{t \in(0, T)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup _{x}}\left\|I_{s}(t)\right\|_{\mathcal{W}^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)} \leq C\left\|\left(u^{0}, f, J_{*}\right)\right\|_{\infty, r, q, r_{*}, q_{*}}^{4}
$$

where $C=C_{1} \frac{1}{\pi}\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(G_{s}, \partial G_{b}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\infty}\left(D_{s}\right)}$.

## 12. Conclusions

In this paper, the author continues to construct a mathematical theory of complex heat transfer problems.

A nonstationary initial-boundary value problem governing a radiative-conductive heat transfer in a convex semitransparent body with an absolutely black inclusions was considered. To describe the process, a system consisting of two heat equations and the
integro-differential radiative transfer equation was used. This system is supplied by boundary conditions, which describe the energy exchange between semitransparent body, external media and opaque inclusions.

The unique solvability of this problem was proven. In addition, the stability of solutions with respect to the data was proven, which established a comparison theorem. Besides, results on improving the properties of solutions with an increase in the summability of the data were established. All results are global in terms of time and data.

The considered mathematical model of radiative-conductive heat transfer contains a number of simplifying assumptions. One should consider the process of heat transfer in a system of bodies, and not in one convex body. In a more complex model, it should be taken into account that the properties of the semitransparent medium and the radiation intensity depend on the radiation frequency. In addition, inclusions may not be completely black, but gray or even "colored". The author expects to study the more complex corresponding models in the near future.
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