

Article

# Determination of the Impulsive Dirac Systems from a Set of Eigenvalues

Ran Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Chuanfu Yang<sup>2</sup> and Kai Wang<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China; ranzhang9203@163.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China

<sup>3</sup> School of Internet of Things, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China

\* Correspondence: 20220007@njupt.edu.cn

**Abstract:** In this work, we consider the inverse spectral problem for the impulsive Dirac systems on  $(0, \pi)$  with the jump condition at the point  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . We conclude that the matrix potential  $Q(x)$  on the whole interval can be uniquely determined by a set of eigenvalues for two cases: (i) the matrix potential  $Q(x)$  is given on  $(0, \frac{(1+\alpha)\pi}{4})$ ; (ii) the matrix potential  $Q(x)$  is given on  $(\frac{(1+\alpha)\pi}{4}, \pi)$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**Keywords:** impulsive dirac operator; eigenvalue; inverse spectral problem

**MSC:** 34A55; 34B24; 47E05

## 1. Introduction

Define  $\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \alpha, & x > \frac{\pi}{2} \end{cases}$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ). Consider the following impulsive Dirac

systems:

$$ly := By'(x) + Q(x)y(x) = \lambda\rho(x)y(x), \quad x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cup \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right), \quad (1)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$y_1(0) = 0, \quad y_2(\pi) = 0, \quad (2)$$

and the jump conditions

$$y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) = Ay\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right), \quad (3)$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q(x) = \begin{pmatrix} p(x) & q(x) \\ q(x) & -p(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  are real-valued functions in  $L^2(0, \pi)$ ,  $\lambda$  is the spectral parameter, and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . The Equations (1)–(3), denoted by  $L = L(p(x), q(x), \rho(x), \beta)$ , are called a boundary value problem of the Dirac equations with the discontinuity conditions at  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

The discontinuous boundary value problems are related to the discontinuous material characters of an intermediary. This kind of problem has been studied by many authors (see, e.g., references [1–5]).



**Citation:** Zhang, R.; Yang, C.; Wang, K. Determination of the Impulsive Dirac Systems from a Set of Eigenvalues. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 4086. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11194086>

Academic Editor: Luca Gemignani

Received: 21 June 2023

Revised: 6 August 2023

Accepted: 6 September 2023

Published: 26 September 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The inverse problem for the Dirac operator was completely solved by two spectra in references [6,7]. Mochizuki and Trooshin [8] studied the problem  $L = L(p(x), q(x), 1, 1)$  with the separable boundary conditions. They gave the uniqueness theorem by a set of values of eigenfunctions in some internal points and spectra. In reference [2], Ozkan and Amirov studied the boundary value problem  $L = L(p(x), q(x), 1, \beta)$  and showed that the potential function can be uniquely determined by a set of values of eigenfunctions at some internal points and one spectrum. Amirov [1] gave representations of solutions of the Dirac equation, properties of spectral data and showed that the Dirac operator can be uniquely determined by the Weyl function on a finite interval  $(0, \pi)$  for the problem  $L = L(p(x), q(x), 1, \beta)$ .

There are also related studies on the spectral theory of partial differential operators (see, e.g., references [9–12]). In reference [9], Cao, Diao, Liu and Zou introduced generalized singular lines of the Laplacian eigenfunctions, and studied these singular lines and the nodal lines. The theoretical findings can be applied directly to the inverse scattering problem. Diao, Liu and Wang [12] derived a comprehensive and complete characterisation of the GHP, and they established novel unique identifiability results by, at most, a few scattering measurements.

For the impulsive Dirac operator, Mamedov and Akcay [13] proved that the sequences of eigenvalues and normalizing numbers can uniquely determine the potential and they gave the theorem on the necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability and a solution algorithm of the inverse problem for the boundary value problem  $L = L(p(x), q(x), \rho(x), 1)$ . In reference [3], Güldü studied the problem  $L$  and proved, using Hochstadt and Lieberman’s method [14], that if the potential function  $p(x)$  is given on the interval  $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ , then one spectrum can determine  $p(x)$  on the whole interval.

In this paper, we consider the problem  $L = L(p(x), q(x), \rho(x), \beta)$ . It is shown with two cases that (i) if the potential  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  are given on  $(0, \frac{(1+\alpha)\pi}{4})$  and (ii) if the potential  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  are given on  $(\frac{(1+\alpha)\pi}{4}, \pi)$ , respectively, then only a single spectrum is sufficient to determine  $p(x), q(x)$  on  $(0, \pi), \rho(x)$  and  $\beta$ .

**2. Preliminaries**

Let  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  and  $\psi(x, \lambda)$  be the solutions of (1), satisfying the initial conditions

$$\varphi(0, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi(\pi, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the jump condition (3), respectively. Denote  $\sigma(x) = \int_0^x \rho(t)dt, \tau = \text{Im}\lambda$ .

From references [3,15], we can ascertain that  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  has the following representation:

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \varphi_0(x, \lambda) + \int_0^x K_1(x, t)\varphi_0(t, \lambda)dt,$$

where  $\varphi_0(x, \lambda) = (\varphi_{01}(x, \lambda), \varphi_{02}(x, \lambda))^T$  satisfies the following forms:

$$\varphi_{01}(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \sin \lambda\sigma(x), & 0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \beta^+ \sin \lambda\sigma(x) + \beta^- \sin \lambda(\pi - \sigma(x)), & \frac{\pi}{2} < x < \pi, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\varphi_{02}(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} -\cos \lambda\sigma(x), & 0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ -\beta^+ \cos \lambda\sigma(x) + \beta^- \cos \lambda(\pi - \sigma(x)), & \frac{\pi}{2} < x < \pi. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Similarly, we can compute that the following representation holds for  $\psi(x, \lambda)$ :

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = \psi_0(x, \lambda) + \int_x^\pi K_2(x, t)\psi_0(t, \lambda)dt, \tag{6}$$

where  $\psi_0(x, \lambda) = (\psi_{01}(x, \lambda), \psi_{02}(x, \lambda))^T$  satisfies the following forms:

$$\psi_{01}(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} A^+ \cos \lambda(\sigma(\pi) - \sigma(x)) \\ -A^- \cos \lambda(\sigma(\pi) + \sigma(x) - \pi), & 0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{cases} \cos \lambda(\sigma(\pi) - \sigma(x)), \\ \sin \lambda(\sigma(\pi) - \sigma(x)), & \frac{\pi}{2} < x < \pi, \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_{02}(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} A^+ \sin \lambda(\sigma(\pi) - \sigma(x)) \\ +A^- \sin \lambda(\sigma(\pi) + \sigma(x) - \pi), & 0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{cases} \sin \lambda(\sigma(\pi) - \sigma(x)), \\ \cos \lambda(\sigma(\pi) - \sigma(x)), & \frac{\pi}{2} < x < \pi, \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\beta \pm \frac{1}{\alpha\beta})$ ,  $A^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\beta} \pm \alpha\beta)$  and  $K_n(x, t) = (K_{ijn}(x, t))_{i,j=1,2} (n = 1, 2)$  with  $K_{ijn}(x, t)$  are real-valued continuous functions for  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

Denote

$$\Delta(\lambda) := W[\varphi(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda)] = \varphi_2(x, \lambda)\psi_1(x, \lambda) - \varphi_1(x, \lambda)\psi_2(x, \lambda). \tag{9}$$

The function  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is called the characteristic function of  $L$ , which is entire in  $\lambda$ . It follows from (6)–(8) and reference [3] that we have

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta_0(\lambda) + o(\exp |\tau|\sigma(\pi)), \tag{10}$$

where  $\Delta_0(\lambda) = -\beta^+ \cos \lambda\sigma(\pi) + \beta^- \cos \lambda(\pi - \sigma(\pi))$ .

Using the standard method in reference [16], or referring to references [3,17], one can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** (1) *The operator  $l$  has an, at most, countable set of eigenvalues such that all of them are real and simple.*

(2) *The eigenvalues denoted by  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  can be represented by the following asymptotic formula for  $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ :*

$$\lambda_n = \frac{2n}{1 + \alpha} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right), \quad \lambda \in G_\varepsilon, \tag{11}$$

where  $G_\varepsilon := \{\lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_n^0| \geq \varepsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

(3)  $|\Delta(\lambda)| \geq C_\varepsilon \exp(|\tau|\sigma(\pi)) = C_\varepsilon \exp\left[\frac{(1+\alpha)\pi|\tau|}{2}\right]$  for  $\lambda \in G_\varepsilon$ , where  $C_\varepsilon$  is a constant.

### 3. Main Results

We agree that if a certain symbol  $v$  denotes an object related to  $L$ , then  $\tilde{v}$  denote the analogous object related to  $\tilde{L}$ . In this paper, the main results are as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $Q(x) = \tilde{Q}(x)$  on  $\left(0, \frac{(1+\alpha)}{4}\pi\right)$ , then  $Q(x) = \tilde{Q}(x)$  a.e. on  $(0, \pi)$ ,  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$  and  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $Q(x) = \tilde{Q}(x)$  on  $\left(\frac{(1+\alpha)}{4}\pi, \pi\right)$ , then  $Q(x) = \tilde{Q}(x)$  a.e. on  $(0, \pi)$ ,  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$  and  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ .*

Before proving the results, we shall mention the following lemma, which will be needed later.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x)$  and  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from (11) that  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ , that is  $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x)$ . We know that  $\Delta(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)$  are entire functions of  $\lambda$  of order 1. According to the Hadamard’s factorization theorem, the characteristic functions can be uniquely determined by the eigenvalues up to multiplicative constants. Similar to reference [17], since  $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can ascertain that  $\Delta(\lambda) = C\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)$ , where  $C \neq 0$  is a constant. From (10), we have  $\beta^+ = C\tilde{\beta}^+$  and  $\beta^- = C\tilde{\beta}^-$ . Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\beta \pm \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{C}{2}(\tilde{\beta} \pm \frac{1}{\alpha\tilde{\beta}}).$$

Consequently,  $\beta = C\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\frac{1}{\beta} = C\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}}$ . In view of  $\beta, \tilde{\beta} > 0$ , we can obtain that  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By virtue of Lemma 2, we know that  $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x)$  and  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$ . For convenience, denote  $d = \frac{(1+\alpha)\pi}{4}$ . Substituting  $\lambda = \lambda_n$  into (9), we can ascertain that for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\varphi_2(d, \lambda_n)\psi_1(d, \lambda_n) - \varphi_1(d, \lambda_n)\psi_2(d, \lambda_n) = 0.$$

If  $\varphi_2(d, \lambda_n) \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{\varphi_1(d, \lambda_n)}{\varphi_2(d, \lambda_n)} = \frac{\psi_1(d, \lambda_n)}{\psi_2(d, \lambda_n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{12}$$

The same relation holds for  $\tilde{L}$ :

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_1(d, \lambda_n)}{\tilde{\varphi}_2(d, \lambda_n)} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(d, \lambda_n)}{\tilde{\psi}_2(d, \lambda_n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{13}$$

Since  $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$  and  $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$  on  $(0, d)$ , we can obtain that  $\varphi(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)$ . That is,  $\varphi_1(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda)$  and  $\varphi_2(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda)$  for  $x \in [0, d]$ . Together, (12) with (13) yields

$$\psi_2(d, \lambda_n)\tilde{\psi}_1(d, \lambda_n) - \tilde{\psi}_2(d, \lambda_n)\psi_1(d, \lambda_n) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Note that  $\varphi_2(d, \lambda_n) = 0$  implies  $\psi_2(d, \lambda_n) = \tilde{\psi}_2(d, \lambda_n) = 0$ , so this case also leads to (14).

Define

$$A(\lambda) = \psi_2(d, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}_1(d, \lambda) - \tilde{\psi}_2(d, \lambda)\psi_1(d, \lambda).$$

It is obvious that  $A(\lambda)$  has zeros  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Next, we will show that  $A(\lambda) \equiv 0$  in the whole complex plane.

From (6)–(8), and the similar representations for  $\tilde{\psi}_1(x, \lambda)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_2(x, \lambda)$ , we have

$$A(\lambda) = O(\exp 2|\tau|(\sigma(\pi) - \sigma(d))) = O(\exp |\tau|\sigma(\pi)), \quad |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty. \tag{15}$$

Define  $G(\lambda) := \frac{A(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$ , which is entire in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It follows from (15) and (3) in Lemma 1 that

$$|G(\lambda)| \leq B_1, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in G_\epsilon,$$

where  $B_1$  is a positive constant. Thus, according to Liouville’s theorem, we know that  $G(\lambda)$  is constant. Furthermore, it follows from (6)–(8), and the Riemann–Lebesgue Lemma, that for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} G(\lambda) = 0,$$

which means  $G(\lambda) = 0$ . Thus,  $A(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence

$$\frac{\psi_2(d, \lambda)}{\psi_1(d, \lambda)} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_2(d, \lambda)}{\tilde{\psi}_1(d, \lambda)}.$$

Note that  $\frac{\psi_2(d,\lambda)}{\psi_1(d,\lambda)}$  is the Weyl function, defined in reference [1], of the boundary value problem for (1) on  $(d, \pi)$  with  $y_1(d, \lambda) = 0$  and the jump condition (3). It has been proved in reference [1] that the Weyl function can uniquely determine the  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  on  $(d, \pi)$ . Thus, we can get that  $Q(x) = \tilde{Q}(x)$  a.e. on  $(d, \pi)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** According to Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, we have  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$ ,  $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$  and  $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$  on  $(d, \pi)$ . So,  $\psi(x, \lambda) = \tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda)$  on  $(d, \pi)$ . From (12) and (13), we show that

$$\varphi_1(d, \lambda_n)\tilde{\varphi}_2(d, \lambda_n) - \tilde{\varphi}_1(d, \lambda_n)\varphi_2(d, \lambda_n) = 0.$$

From (4) and (5), and the similar representations for  $\tilde{\varphi}_1(x, \lambda)$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, \lambda)$ , we have

$$A_1(\lambda) = O(\exp 2|\tau|\sigma(d)) = O(\exp |\tau|\sigma(\pi)), \quad |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty. \tag{16}$$

Define  $G_1(\lambda) := \frac{A_1(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$ , which is entire in  $\mathbb{C}$ . It follows from (16) and (3) in Lemma 1 that

$$|G_1(\lambda)| \leq B_2, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in G_\epsilon,$$

where  $B_2$  is a positive constant. Following the proof of Theorem 1, we have  $A_1(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , so

$$\frac{\varphi_2(d, \lambda)}{\varphi_1(d, \lambda)} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_2(d, \lambda)}{\tilde{\varphi}_1(d, \lambda)}.$$

Note that  $\frac{\varphi_2(d,\lambda)}{\varphi_1(d,\lambda)}$  is the Weyl function, defined in reference [1], of the boundary value problem for (1) on  $(0, d)$  with  $y_1(d, \lambda) = 0$  and the jump condition (3). It has been proved in reference [1] that the Weyl function can uniquely determine the  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  on  $(0, d)$ . Thus, we can get that  $Q(x) = \tilde{Q}(x)$  a.e. on  $(0, d)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Author Contributions:** R.Z. collated the literature, organized the materials and wrote the paper; while K.W. revised the paper and edited the language. Writing—review & editing, C.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors Ran Zhang and Kai Wang were supported, in part, by the Natural Science Research Start-up Foundation of Recruiting Talents of Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications (Grant No.NY222023 and No.NY222085), and Kai Wang was supported, in part, by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52205595).

**Data Availability Statement:** The data described in the manuscript, including all relevant raw data, will be openly available.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Amirov, R.K. On a system of Dirac differential equations with discontinuity conditions inside an interval. *Ukr. Math. J.* **2005**, *57*, 712–727. [CrossRef]
2. Ozkan, A.S.; Amirov, R.K. An interior inverse problem for the impulsive Dirac operator. *Tamkang J. Math.* **2011**, *42*, 259–263. [CrossRef]
3. Güldü, Y. A half-inverse problem for impulsive Dirac operator with discontinuous coefficient. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, *2013*, 181809. [CrossRef]
4. Yang, C.F.; Yurko, V.A.; Zhang, R. On the Hochstadt Lieberman problem for the Dirac operator with discontinuity. *J. Inverse-Ill-Posed Probl.* **2020**, *28*, 849–855. [CrossRef]
5. Zhang, R.; Yang, C.F.; Bondarenko, N.P. Inverse spectral problems for the Dirac operator with complex-valued weight and discontinuity. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, *278*, 100–110. [CrossRef]
6. Gasymov, M.G.; Dzabiev, T.T. Solution of the inverse problem by two spectra for the Dirac equation on a finite interval. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Azerb. Ssr* **1966**, *22*, 3–6.
7. Gasymov, M.G.; Levitan, B.M. The inverse problem for the Dirac system. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Sssr* **1966**, *167*, 967–970.

8. Mochizuki, K.; Trooshin, I. Inverse problem for interior spectral data of the Dirac operator on a finite interval. *Kyoto Univ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **2002**, *38*, 387–395. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Cao, X.L.; Diao, H.A.; Liu, H.Y.; Zou, J. On nodal and generalized singular structures of Laplacian eigenfunctions and applications to inverse scattering problems. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **2020**, *9*, 116–161. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Cao, X.L.; Diao, H.A.; Liu, H.Y.; Zou, J. On novel geometric structures of Laplacian eigenfunctions in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and applications to inverse problems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **2021**, *53*, 1263–1294. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Diao, H.A.; Cao, X.L.; Liu, H.Y. On the geometric structures of transmission eigenfunctions with a conductive boundary condition and applications. *Commun. Partial. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, *46*, 630–679. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Diao, H.A.; Liu, H.Y.; Wang, L. Further results on generalized Holmgren's principle to the Lamé operator and applications. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2022**, *309*, 841–882. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Mamedov, K.R.; Akcay, O. Inverse eigenvalue problem for a class of Dirac operators with discontinuous coefficient. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, *1*, 110. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Hochstadt, H.; Lieberman, B. An inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with mixed given data. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **1978**, *34*, 676–680. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Levitan, B.M.; Sargsjan, I.S. *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Operators*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1991.
16. Freiling, G.; Yurko, V.A. *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and Their Applications*; NOVA Science Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2001.
17. Zhang, R.; Xu, X.C.; Yang, C.F.; Bondarenko, N.P. Determination of the impulsive Sturm-Liouville operator from a set of eigenvalues. *J. Inverse-Ill-Posed Probl.* **2020**, *28*, 341–348. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.