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#### Abstract

Let $G$ and $H$ be graphs. A mapping $f$ from the vertices of $G$ to the vertices of $H$ is known as a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$ if, for every pair of adjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ in $G$, the vertices $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are adjacent in $H$. A rectangular grid graph is the Cartesian product of two path graphs. In this paper, we provide a formula to determine the number of homomorphisms from paths to rectangular grid graphs. This formula gives the solution to the problem concerning the number of walks in the rectangular grid graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

In mathematics, the image is the set of the values of a mapping at all elements in the domain. In such an image, some structures of the domain are preserved. A mapping that preserves a structure, the one that we need to study, is usually known as a homomorphism. For graphs, a homomorphism is defined as follows.

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite and simple, and we denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph $G$ by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs. A mapping $f$ from $V(G)$ to $V(H)$ is known as a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$ if $\{f(x), f(y)\} \in E(H)$ for all $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$. When $G=H, f$ is an endomorphism on $G$. The composition of homomorphisms is also known as a homomorphism. This leads to a preorder on graphs and a category [1]. We use the symbol $\operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$ to denote the set of all homomorphisms from $G$ to $H$ and $\operatorname{End}(G)$ to denote the set of all endomorphisms on $G$.

In a simple graph, a walk is a sequence of consecutive adjacent vertices. A path is a walk in which no vertex is repeated. We shall also use the word 'path' to denote a graph where the first and the last vertices have a degree one, and the other vertices have a degree two. Here, $P_{n}$ stands for a path of order $n$ with $V\left(P_{n}\right)=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $E\left(P_{n}\right)=\{\{i, i+1\} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$. Let us denote the path $P_{n}$ with an edge-labeling $\phi$ by $P_{n}^{\phi}$. Furthermore, refer to [1,2] for more basic definitions and results regarding graphs and algebraic graphs.

The formula for the number of endomomorphisms on $P_{n},\left|\operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}\right)\right|$, was introduced by Arworn [3] in 2009. This number is calculated by the summation of the numbers of shortest paths from point $(0,0)$ to any point $(i, j)$ in a square lattice and an $r$-ladder square lattice. Moreover, in the same year, Arworn and Wojtylak [4] proposed a formula for the number of homomorphisms from $P_{m}$ to $P_{n},\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$, in terms of $\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{j}^{i}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$, where $\operatorname{Hom}_{j}^{i}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right) \mid f(0)=i, f(m-1)=j\right\}$ for all $i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. In 2012, Lina and Zeng [5] constructed another formula for $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$, which was obtained by proving the conjecture in [6]. In 2014, Eggleton and Morayne [7] also gave another formula for $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$. Moreover, they considered finite Laurent series to be
generating functions that can move homomorphisms of a finite path into any path, finite or infinite.

In 2018, Knauer and Pipattanajinda [8] studied a generalization of path endomorphisms, namely weak path endomorphisms. The number of weak path endomorphisms is calculated by the summation of the numbers of shortest paths from point $(0,0,0)$ to any point $(i, j, k)$ in a cubic lattice and in an $r$-ladder cubic lattice. Recently, in 2022, Pomsri et al. [9] proposed a formula for the number of weak homomorphisms from $P_{m}$ to $P_{n}$ in recursive form.

The Cartesian product $G \times H$ of the graphs $G$ and $H$ is a graph with $V(G \times H)=V(G) \times$ $V(H)$ and $E(G \times H)=\{\{(a, b),(a, c)\} \mid a \in V(G),\{b, c\} \in E(H)\} \cup\{\{(a, b),(d, b)\} \mid$ $\{a, d\} \in E(G), b \in V(H)\}$. A rectangular grid graph or an $m \times n$ grid graph is the Cartesian product of two path graphs on $m$ and $n$ vertices. There is one-to-one correspondence between the set of homomorphisms $f: P_{n} \rightarrow G_{1} \square G_{2}$ and the set of walks of $n$ vertices in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. Thus, the number of homomorphisms from a path $P_{n}$ to a grid graph gives the number of walks of $n$ vertices in the rectangular grid graph.

In 2023, Keshavarz-Kohjerdi and Bagheri [10] studied a rectangular grid graph in which some rectangles are removed from its corners, namely a truncated rectangular grid graph. They provided a linear-time algorithm for finding a Hamiltonian cycle problem in a truncated rectangular grid graph. These could be extended to the lower bound for the number of homomorphisms from a cycle to a rectangular grid graph.

Our purpose is to find a formula for the number of homomorphisms from a path $P_{m}$ to another path $P_{n}$ and to a rectangular grid graph $P_{n} \square P_{k}$.

## 2. The Number of Homomorphisms from Paths to Paths with $f(0)=j$

In this section, we provide the formula for finding the number of homomorphisms from paths $P_{m}$ to $P_{n}$, which maps 0 to $j$. We denote the set of homomorphisms from $P_{m}$ to $P_{n}$, which maps 0 to $j$, by $\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)$.

For $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right) \mid f(0)=j\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the symmetry of $P_{n}$, we obtain the following lemma:
Lemma 1. Let $j$ and $n$ be integers such that $0 \leq j<n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{(n-j-1)}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we transform the cardinal number of $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$ to count the shortest paths on square lattices. Figure 1a-c show the possible homomorphisms from $P_{4}$ to $P_{5}$, which map 0 to 0,1 , and 2 , respectively. The numbers on the top are elements of the domain set $V\left(P_{4}\right)$, and the tuples on the left are elements of the image set $V\left(P_{5}\right)$. These become square lattices, as shown in Figure 2a-c after rotating $45^{\circ}$ counterclockwise.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 1. Graphical presentation of the domain and image of all possible homomorphisms $f \in$ Hom $\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)$. (a) $f(0)=0$. (b) $f(0)=1$. (c) $f(0)=2$.


Figure 2. Square lattice presentations of all possible homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right) .(\mathbf{a}) f(0)=0$. (b) $f(0)=1$. (c) $f(0)=2$.

Each homomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right) \mid$ can be visualized using the square lattice, where movement from $(i, j)$ to the next point is depicted as follows:

- $\quad \operatorname{To}(i+1, j)$ if $f(x+1)=f(x)+1$.
- $\quad$ To $(i, j+1)$, if $f(x+1)=f(x)-1$.

For example, if the images of successive vertices of $f \in\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{3}\left(P_{17}, P_{10}\right)\right|$ are 3,4 , $5,4,5,4,3,2,1,0,1,2,3,2,3,4$ and 5 , then the homomorphism can be visualized as shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3. The shortest path from $(0,0)$ to $(9,7)$ that stays between lines $y=x+j$ and $y=x-n+j+1$, where $j=3, m=17$ and $n=10$.

In general, $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$ can be obtained from the number of shortest paths from $(0,0)$ to any point $(i, n-i-1)$ on the square lattice that stays between the lines $y=x+j$ and $y=x-n+j+1$, where touching is allowed.

Lemma 2 ([5]). The number of shortest paths from point $(0,0)$ to any point $(i, n-i-1)$ on the square lattice that stays between the lines $y=x+j$ and $y=x-(n-j-1)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|t| \leq\lfloor(m+n) / n\rfloor}\left(\binom{m-1}{i-t(n+1)}-\binom{m-1}{i+j-t(n+1)+1}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\binom{n}{k}=0$ if $k>n$ or $k<0$.
Hence, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $m, n$ be positive integers and $j$ be a non-negative integer. Let $\mathcal{L}=\max \{0$, $\left.\left\lceil\frac{m-j-1}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ and $\mathcal{U}=\min \left\{m-1,\left\lfloor\frac{m+n-j-2}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{|t| \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m+n}{n}\right\rfloor}\left(\binom{m-1}{i-t(n+1)}-\binom{m-1}{i+j-t(n+1)+1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1. Using Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right| & =\sum_{i=2}^{3} \sum_{t=-1}^{1}\left(\binom{3}{i-6 t}-\binom{3}{i-6 t+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=2}^{3}\left(\binom{3}{i+6}-\binom{3}{i+7}+\binom{3}{i}-\binom{3}{i+1}+\binom{3}{i-6}-\binom{3}{i-5}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{3}{2}-\binom{3}{3}\right)+\left(\binom{3}{3}\right) \\
& =3, \\
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right| & =\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{t=-1}^{1}\left(\binom{3}{i-6 t}-\binom{3}{i-6 t+2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\binom{3}{i+6}-\binom{3}{i+8}+\binom{3}{i}-\binom{3}{i+2}+\binom{3}{i-6}-\binom{3}{i-4}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{3}{1}-\binom{3}{3}\right)+\left(\binom{3}{2}\right)+\left(\binom{3}{3}\right) \\
& =6,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{2}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right| & =\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{t=-1}^{1}\left(\binom{3}{i-6 t}-\binom{3}{i-6 t+3}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\binom{3}{i+6}-\binom{3}{i+9}+\binom{3}{i}-\binom{3}{i+3}+\binom{3}{i-6}-\binom{3}{i-3}\right) \\
& =\left(\binom{3}{1}\right)+\left(\binom{3}{2}\right) \\
& =6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is in line with counting directly from Figure 2. By counting the paths in Figure 2a, we have $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right|=3$ (see Figure 4). By counting the paths in Figure 2b, we have $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right|=6$ (see Figure 5). By counting the paths in Figure 2c, we have $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{2}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right|=6$. (see Figure 6).


Figure 4. All possible presentations of homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)$ on a square lattice.


Figure 5. All possible presentations of homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)$ on a square lattice.


Figure 6. All possible presentations of homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{2}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)$ on a square lattice.
For convenience, we compute $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$ for $2 \leq m, n \leq 9$ (Table 1).
Table 1. Numbers of homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)$ for $2 \leq m, n \leq 9$.

|  |  |  |  |  | $n$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m$ | $j$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 |

Table 1. Cont.

|  |  | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m$ | $j$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 23 | 28 | 29 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| 7 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 36 | 42 | 48 | 49 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 42 | 48 | 54 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 8 | 21 | 27 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 16 | 34 | 54 | 61 | 68 | 69 |
| 8 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 34 | 54 | 75 | 82 | 89 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 54 | 75 | 96 | 103 |

## 3. The Number of Homomorphisms from Paths to Rectangular Grid Graphs

In this section, we provide the formulas for finding the number of homomorphisms from paths $P_{m}$ to rectangular grid graphs $P_{n} \square P_{k}$. We denote the set of homomorphisms from $P_{m}$ to $P_{n} \square P_{k}$, which maps 0 to $(i, j)$, by $\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)$.

For $0 \leq i \leq n-1,0 \leq j \leq k-1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right) \mid f(0)=(i, j)\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the symmetry of $P_{n} \square P_{k}$, we obtain the following lemma:
Lemma 3. Let $i$ and $n$ be integers such that $0 \leq j<n$, and let $m>2$ be a positive integer.
(1) $\quad\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{(n-i-1) j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i(k-j-1)}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|$

$$
=\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{(n-i-1)(k-j-1)}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|,
$$

for all $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$.
(2) $\quad\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n} \square P_{2 k}\right)\right|=4 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n} \square P_{2 k}\right)\right|$.
(3) $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k}\right)\right|=4 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k}\right)\right|$

$$
+2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{n j}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k}\right)\right| .
$$

(4) $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right|=4 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right|$

$$
+2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i k}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right| .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right|=4 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{n j}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right| \\
& +2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i k}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{n k}\left(P_{m}, P_{2 n+1} \square P_{2 k+1}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the main theorem, we define a new operation for two paths with their edge labelings.

Definition 1. Let $P_{m}^{\phi}, P_{n}^{\psi}$ be paths $P_{m}, P_{n}$ with edge labelings $\phi$ and $\psi$. Define $P_{m}^{\phi}$ and $P_{n}^{\psi}$ entwined or $P_{m}^{\phi} \oint P_{n}^{\psi}$ as the set of all paths $P_{m+n-1}$ with edge labels from $\phi$ and $\psi$ that preserve the sequential order of $\phi$ and $\psi$.

Example 2. Consider paths $P_{4}$ and $P_{3}$ with injective edge labelings $\phi$ and $\psi$, as shown below.


This leads to the following lemma:
Lemma 4. Let $P_{m}^{\phi}, P_{n}^{\psi}$ be paths with edge labelings. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{m} \chi P_{n}\right|=\binom{m+n-2}{m-1} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the number of ways to label $P_{m+n-1}$ is equal to the permutations of all $m+n-2$ edge labels with a fixed sequential order.

Next, we observe a simple example to visualize homomorphisms from paths to rectangular grid graphs on a square lattice.

Example $3\left(\operatorname{Hom}^{00}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)=18\right)$. All possible homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{00}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$ are shown in Figure 7. The numbers on the top are elements of the domain set $V\left(P_{4}\right)$, and the tuples on the left are elements of the image set $V\left(P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$. The tuples with the same second elements are represented by circles of the same color.

The mappings $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{00}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$ with $f_{1}(0)=(0,0), f_{1}(1)=(0,1), f_{1}(2)=(0,2)$, $f_{1}(3)=(0,1)$ and $f_{2}(0)=(0,0), f_{2}(1)=(1,0), f_{2}(2)=(2,0), f_{2}(3)=(1,0)$ are represented by the red lines on the top and the black lines (see Figure 8). We note that the normal black lines represent the increment of the first coordinate, the dashed black lines represent the decrement of the first coordinate, the normal red lines represent the increment of the second coordinate, and the red lines represent the decrement of the second coordinate.


Figure 7. Graphical presentation of the domain and image of all possible homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{00}$ $\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$.


Figure 8．Square lattice presentation of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ ．
We now divide all mappings in $\operatorname{Hom}^{00}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$ into groups according to the number of change occurrences in the first coordinate $h$ and rewrite each path as entwined black and red paths．

| $h$ | $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{00}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$ with changes in the first co－ ordinate $h$ times | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paths represent each } \\ f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{00}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right) \\ (\text { Expanded Diagram }) \end{gathered}$ | $P_{h+1} ¢ P_{4-h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |

For each $h \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ ，observe that out of the 3 edges of $P_{4}$ from $P_{h+1} \oint P_{4-h}$ ，there are $\binom{3}{h}$ ways to place $h$ edges from the black path and one way to place $3-h$ edges from the red path． Moreover，the black line $P_{h+1}$ is the square lattice representation of $f_{1} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{h+1}, P_{4}\right)$ ，while the red line $P_{4-h}$ is the square lattice representation of $f_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{4-h}, P_{5}\right)$ ．Thus，there are $\binom{3}{h}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{h+1}, P_{4}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{4-h}, P_{5}\right)\right|$ possible paths in $P_{h+1} \ell P_{4-h}$ ．Hence，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{00}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)\right|= & \binom{3}{0}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{1}, P_{4}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right| \\
& +\binom{3}{1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{2}, P_{4}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{3}, P_{5}\right)\right| \\
& +\binom{3}{2}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{3}, P_{4}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{2}, P_{5}\right)\right| \\
& \left.+\binom{3}{3}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{4}, P_{4}\right)\right| \operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(P_{1}, P_{5}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
= & 1(1)(3)+3(1)(2)+3(2)(1)+1(3)(1) \\
= & 18 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example $4\left(\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{11}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)\right|=47\right)$. All possible homomorphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{11}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$ are shown in Figure 9. The numbers on the top are elements of the domain set $V\left(P_{4}\right)$, and the tuples on the left are elements of the image set $V\left(P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)$. The tuples with the same second elements are represented by circles of the same color.


Figure 9. Graphical presentation of the domain and image of all possible homomorphisms $f \in$ Hom $^{11}$ ( $P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{11}\left(P_{4}, P_{4} \square P_{5}\right)\right|= & \binom{3}{0}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{1}, P_{4}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{4}, P_{5}\right)\right| \\
& +\binom{3}{1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{2}, P_{4}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{3}, P_{5}\right)\right| \\
& +\binom{3}{2}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{3}, P_{4}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{2}, P_{5}\right)\right| \\
& \left.+\binom{3}{3}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{4}, P_{4}\right)\right| \operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{1}, P_{5}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
= & 1(1)(6)+3(2)(3)+3(3)(2)+1(5)(1) \\
= & 47 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $m, n$ and $k$ be positive integers and let $i, j$ be non-negative integers, such that $i<\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $j<\frac{k}{2}-1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{h=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{h}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i}\left(P_{h+1}, P_{n}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m-h}, P_{k}\right)\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)$. For each $x \in\{0,1, m-2\}$ in the domain, either $f(x+1)=f(x) \pm(1,0)$ or $f(x+1)=f(x) \pm(0,1)$. Assume changes in the first coordinate appear $h$ times. Then, changes in the second coordinate appear $m-1-h$ times. The sequence of changes in the first coordinate form a homomorphism $f_{1} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}^{i}\left(P_{h+1}, P_{n}\right)$. Similarly, the sequence of changes in the second coordinate form a
homomorphism $f_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}^{i}\left(P_{m-1-h+1}, P_{k}\right)$. Thus, the corresponding path graph of $f$ can be obtained from path graphs of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ entwined. Hence, $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|=$ $\sum_{h=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{h}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i}\left(P_{h+1}, P_{n}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m-h}, P_{k}\right)\right|$.

From Theorem 1, Lemma 3 and Lemma 5, we get the theorem below.
Theorem 2. Let $m, n$ and $k$ be positive integers. The cardinalities $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|$ of homomorphisms from paths $P_{m}$ to rectangular grid graphs $P_{n} \square P_{k}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|= & 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right| \\
& +\left(1-(-1)^{n}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right| \\
& +\left(1-(-1)^{k}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i[k / 2\rfloor}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right| \\
& +(1 / 4)\left(1-(-1)^{n}\right)\left(1-(-1)^{k}\right)\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{n / 2\rfloor\lfloor k / 2\rfloor}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{h=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{h}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i}\left(P_{h+1}, P_{n}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m-h}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{|t| \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m+n}{n}\right\rfloor}\left(\binom{m-1}{i-t(n+1)}-\binom{m-1}{i+j-t(n+1)+1}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{L}=\max \left\{0,\left\lceil\frac{m-j-1}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ and $\mathcal{U}=\min \left\{m-1,\left\lfloor\frac{m+n-j-2}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}$.
For convenience, we compute $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|$ for $2 \leq m, n, k \leq 8$. The results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Numbers of homomorphisms $f \in\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)$ for $2 \leq m \leq n, k \leq 8$.

| $k$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m$ | $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 2 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 44 |
|  | 3 | 14 | 24 | 34 | 44 | 54 | 64 | 74 |
|  | 4 | 20 | 34 | 48 | 62 | 76 | 90 | 104 |
| 2 | 5 | 26 | 44 | 62 | 80 | 98 | 116 | 134 |
|  | 6 | 32 | 54 | 76 | 98 | 120 | 142 | 164 |
|  | 7 | 38 | 64 | 90 | 116 | 142 | 168 | 194 |
|  | 8 | 44 | 74 | 104 | 134 | 164 | 194 | 224 |
|  | 3 | 34 | 68 | 102 | 136 | 170 | 204 | 238 |
|  | 4 | 52 | 102 | 152 | 202 | 252 | 302 | 352 |
| 3 | 5 | 70 | 136 | 202 | 268 | 334 | 400 | 466 |
| 3 | 6 | 88 | 170 | 252 | 334 | 416 | 498 | 580 |
|  | 7 | 106 | 204 | 302 | 400 | 498 | 596 | 694 |
|  | 8 | 124 | 238 | 352 | 466 | 580 | 694 | 808 |
|  | 4 | 136 | 308 | 488 | 668 | 848 | 1028 | 1208 |
|  | 5 | 190 | 424 | 668 | 912 | 1156 | 1400 | 1644 |
| 4 | 6 | 244 | 540 | 848 | 1156 | 1464 | 1772 | 2080 |
|  | 7 | 298 | 656 | 1028 | 1400 | 1772 | 2144 | 2516 |
|  | 8 | 352 | 772 | 1208 | 1644 | 2080 | 2516 | 2952 |

Table 2. Cont.

|  | $\boldsymbol{k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
| 5 | 5 | 518 | 1330 | 2226 | 3132 | 4038 | 4944 | 5850 |  |
|  | 6 | 680 | 1726 | 2876 | 4038 | 5200 | 6362 | 7524 |  |
|  | 7 | 842 | 2122 | 3526 | 4944 | 6362 | 7780 | 9198 |  |
|  | 8 | 1004 | 2518 | 4176 | 5850 | 7524 | 9198 | 10872 |  |
| 6 | 6 | 1900 | 5528 | 9788 | 14172 | 18568 | 22964 | 27360 |  |
|  | 7 | 2386 | 6880 | 12138 | 17544 | 22964 | 28384 | 33804 |  |
|  | 8 | 2872 | 8232 | 14488 | 20916 | 27360 | 33804 | 40248 |  |
| 7 | 7 | 6774 | 22360 | 41884 | 62454 | 83196 | 103952 | 124708 |  |
|  | 8 | 8232 | 26976 | 50384 | 75020 | 99856 | 124708 | 149560 |  |
| 8 | 8 | 23628 | 88496 | 175476 | 269596 | 365328 | 461288 | 557264 |  |

## 4. The Algorithm

In this section, we provide algorithms used to calculate $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i}\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)\right|$, $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{i j}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|$ and $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{m}, P_{n} \square P_{k}\right)\right|$ with the aforementioned theorems.

Algorithms 1-3 are implementations of Theorem 1, Lemma 5 and Theorem 2, respectively.

```
Algorithm 1 LOCALPATH2PATH: Number of Homomorphisms from \(P_{m}\) to \(P_{n}\) with \(f(0)=j\)
Input:
- \(m\) : the size of the domain
- \(n\) : the size of the range
- Fixed value \(j\) where \(f(0)=j\) (with \(0 \leq j \leq n-1\) )
Output: number of homomorphisms from \(P_{m}\) to \(P_{n}\) with \(f(0)=j\)
    \(\mathcal{L} \leftarrow \max \left\{0,\left\lceil\frac{m-j-1}{2}\right\rceil\right\}\)
    \(\mathcal{U} \leftarrow \min \left\{m-1,\left\lfloor\frac{m+n-j-2}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}\)
    if \(\mathcal{L}>\mathcal{U}\) then
        return 0
    end if
    homj \(\leftarrow 0\)
    for \(i=\mathcal{L}\) to \(\mathcal{U}\) do
        for \(t=-\left\lfloor\frac{m+n}{n}\right\rfloor\) to \(\left\lfloor\frac{m+n}{n}\right\rfloor\) do
            \(\operatorname{hom} j \leftarrow h o m j+\binom{m-1}{i-t(n+1)}-\binom{m-1}{i+j-t(n+1)+1}\)
        end for
    end for
    return homj
```

```
Algorithm 2 LOCALPATH2GRID: Number of Homomorphisms from \(P_{m}\) to \(P_{n} \square P_{k}\) with
\(f(0)=(i, j)\)
Input:
- \(m\) : the size of the domain
\(-n, k\) : the dimensions of the grid representing the range
- Fixed value \(i, j\) where \(f(0)=(i, j)\) (with \(0 \leq i \leq n-1\) and \(0 \leq j \leq k-1\) )
Output: number of homomorphisms from \(P_{m}\) to \(P_{n} \square P_{k}\) with \(f(0)=(i, j)\)
    homij \(\leftarrow 0\)
    for \(h=0\) to \(m-1\) do
        \(c_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{LOCALPATH} 2 \operatorname{PATH}(h+1, n, i)\)
        \(c_{j} \leftarrow \operatorname{LOCALPATH2PATH}(m-h, k, j)\)
        homij \(\leftarrow h o m i j+\binom{m-1}{h} c_{i} c_{j}\)
    end for
    return homij
```

```
Algorithm 3 PATH2GRID: Number of Homomorphisms from \(P_{m}\) to \(P_{n} \square P_{k}\)
Input:
- \(m\) : the size of the domain
\(-n, k\) : the dimensions of the grid representing the range
Output: number of homomorphisms from \(P_{m}\) to \(P_{n} \square P_{k}\)
    homgrid \(\leftarrow 0\)
    sum \(\leftarrow 0\)
    for \(i=0\) to \(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-1\) do
        for \(j=0\) to \(\lfloor k / 2\rfloor-1\) do
            sum \(\leftarrow \operatorname{sum}+\operatorname{LOCALPATH2GRID}(m, n, k, i, j)\)
        end for
    end for
    homgrid \(\leftarrow\) homgrid + sum \(* 4\)
    sum \(\leftarrow 0\)
    for \(j=0\) to \(\lfloor k / 2\rfloor-1\) do
        sum \(\leftarrow \operatorname{sum}+\operatorname{LOCALPATH} 2 \operatorname{GRID}(m, n, k,\lfloor n / 2\rfloor, j)\)
    end for
    homgrid \(\leftarrow\) homgrid \(+\left(1-(-1)^{n}\right) *\) sum
    sum \(\leftarrow 0\)
    for \(i=0\) to \(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-1\) do
        sum \(\leftarrow \operatorname{sum}+\operatorname{LOCALPATH2GRID}(m, n, k, i,\lfloor k / 2\rfloor)\)
    end for
    homgrid \(\leftarrow\) homgrid \(+\left(1-(-1)^{k}\right) *\) sum
    homgrid \(\leftarrow\) homgrid \(+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-(-1)^{n}\right)\left(1-(-1)^{k}\right) \operatorname{LOCALPATH2GRID}(m, n, k,\lfloor n / 2\rfloor,\lfloor k / 2\rfloor)\)
    return homgrid
```

Lemma 6. Algorithm Path2Grid has time-complexity $O(n \cdot m \cdot k \cdot \max (n, m, k))$.
Proof. It is easy to see that the complexity of the algorithm depends on the first loop, which is also nested with $O(n \cdot k)$ rounds. Each round consists of an execution of LOCALPATH2GRID, which is essentially a loop with $O(m)$ rounds. Each of these deeper rounds calls LocalPath2Path twice.

To see the runtime for LOCALPATH2PATH given parameters $m$ and $n$, we first see the complexity of the outer loop:

$$
\begin{align*}
O(\mathcal{U}-\mathcal{L})= & O\left(\operatorname { m i n } \left\{m-1, m-1-\left\lceil\frac{m-j-1}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{m+n-j-2}{2}\right\rfloor,\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left\lfloor\frac{m+n-j-2}{2}\right\rfloor-\left\lceil\frac{m-j-1}{2}\right\rceil\right\}\right)  \tag{8}\\
\leq & O(\min \{m, m+n, n\})=O(\min \{m, n\})
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we consider the following scenarios:

1. $m<n$ : In this case $\lfloor(m+n) / n\rfloor=1$; hence, the inner loop has fixed rounds. Therefore, the complexity is at most $O(\min \{m, n\})$.
2. $m>=n$ : In this case, the complexity of the inner loop is $O(\lfloor(m+n) / n\rfloor)$. Together, we have the overall complexity:

$$
\begin{align*}
O(\min \{m, n\}) O(\lfloor(m+n) / n\rfloor) & =O(n) O(\lfloor(m+n) / n\rfloor) \\
& \leq O(n) O(m / n)  \tag{9}\\
& \leq O(m)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the overall complexity of LOCALPATH2PATH is $O(\min \{m, n\})$. Since each round of LOCALPATH2GRID calls LOCALPATH2PATH twice, respectively with parameters $(h+1, n)$ and $(m-h, k)$, we have its complexity as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& O(\min \{h+1, n\})+O(\min \{m-h, k\}) \\
& \leq O(\min \{m, n\})+O(\min \{m, k\}) \\
& \leq O(\max \{m, n\})+O(\max \{m, k\})  \tag{10}\\
& \leq O(\max \{m, n, k\})
\end{align*}
$$

Together, the total complexity of PATH2GRID is $O(m \cdot n \cdot k \cdot \max \{m, n, k\})$.
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