



Fahad Alzahrani^{1,*}, Yaser M. Alahmadi¹, Sultan S. Al Thagfan¹, Sultan Alolayan¹ and Hossein M. Elbadawy²

- ¹ Department of Clinical and Hospital Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Taibah University, Madinah 42353, Saudi Arabia; yahmadi@taibahu.edu.sa (Y.M.A.); salthagfan@gmail.com (S.S.A.T.); solayan@taibahu.edu.sa (S.A.)
- ² Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, Taibah University, Madinah 42353, Saudi Arabia; hmbadawy@taibahu.edu.sa
- * Correspondence: fzahrani@taibahu.edu.sa; Tel.: +966-5-6113-0077

Abstract: In Saudi Arabia, community pharmacies offer healthcare services for different conditions. However, clarity of the competence of pharmacists in managing migraines is lacking. This study aimed to explore the current knowledge, attitude, and practice patterns of community pharmacists concerning migraine management in the northwestern part of Saudi Arabia. A cross-sectional study was carried out between June and September 2022 among 215 Saudi community pharmacists. Data analysis was performed by descriptive and inferential statistics using SPSS version 27. Most community pharmacists (87.9%) feel that migraine management is essential to their practice, and 83.3% suggest between one and five over-the-counter (OTC) migraine products daily. Among the study pharmacists, 83.7% feel migraine patients should try OTC before prescription medications. Only 9.3% of the community pharmacists do not believe that migraine is a neurological disorder. The medications most prescribed for migraine were triptans, representing 52.1% of prescriptions. There were significant differences between the gender of the pharmacists and their knowledge, attitude, and practice overall score (p-value = 0.04). Male pharmacists exhibited higher knowledge, attitude, and practice scores than female pharmacists. Although many community pharmacists acknowledge their expertise and involvement in managing migraines, there is a requirement for further education and training to enhance their capacity to offer complete care to migraine patients. Pharmacists should also consider non-pharmacological interventions and complementary therapies when treating migraine symptoms.

Keywords: attitude; community pharmacists; pharmacy practice; knowledge; migraine; practice; Saudi Arabia

1. Introduction

Migraines are a lifelong neurological condition that causes primary headaches and contributes to global disability [1]. The exact cause of a migraine is not fully understood; however, it is believed to involve a combination of genetic, environmental, and neurological factors [2]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), migraines are the third-most prevalent neurological disorder and second-most disabling disorder globally [3,4]. It is estimated to affect approximately one billion people worldwide, making it one of the most common neurological disorders. Women are more prone to migraines than men are, with hormonal fluctuations often triggering or worsening them [1]. Migraine onset can occur at any point in life; however, the prevalence tends to be highest before age 45 [5].

In Arab countries, several studies have assessed migraine prevalence. In Saudi Arabia, approximately 25% of the population experiences migraines within one year [6]. Similarly, a recent investigation in Kuwait revealed that 23% of residents in the country suffer from migraines [7]. Another study in Egypt focused on migraine prevalence in the Fayoum Gov-



Citation: Alzahrani, F.; Alahmadi, Y.M.; Thagfan, S.S.A.; Alolayan, S.; Elbadawy, H.M. Migraine Management in Community Pharmacies: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Patterns of Pharmacists in Saudi Arabia. *Pharmacy* **2023**, *11*, 155. https://doi.org/10.3390/ pharmacy11050155

Academic Editor: Conxita Mestres

Received: 21 July 2023 Revised: 29 August 2023 Accepted: 21 September 2023 Published: 24 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). ernorate, reporting a 17.3% rate over one year [8]. These findings highlight the significant impact of migraines on individuals across various Arab countries.

People who suffer from migraines frequently rely on over-the-counter (OTC) medications for alleviation, yet these remedies might offer only partial efficacy when managing intense episodes. Wenzel et al. stated that individuals with disabilities during migraine attacks are poor candidates for OTC medication and should consult a physician for prescription migraine drugs [9]. An earlier research article indicated that 49% of patients used OTC medications exclusively, whereas 29% intermittently combined OTC with prescription medications [10]. Over-reliance on "painkiller" medications is a prevalent issue with farreaching consequences, particularly in migraines. This over-reliance can inadvertently lead to chronic daily headaches (CDH), a condition afflicting a staggering number of individuals daily. Approximately ten million people are estimated to be affected by CDH worldwide. Effective patient education and monitoring play a crucial role in preventing medication misuse. Healthcare providers can significantly reduce the chances of medication misuse by providing patients with essential information and closely monitoring their medication use [11–13].

In collaboration with the WHO, the European Headache Federation (EHF) has published several migraine management guidelines [14]. According to this guideline, a mild migraine should be treated with an analgesic or NSAID. When this first step fails, triptans are indicated. Nevertheless, triptans often necessitate a prescription due to their potential adverse effects and the possibility of interactions with other medications [15]. Additionally, many countries, including Saudi Arabia, still use ergotamine for mild to moderate acute migraines [16]. Despite the availability of migraine treatment guidelines, physicians often treat migraine patients inappropriately [17–19]. Gupta et al. reported that many migraine patients leave the hospital without receiving an outpatient diagnosis, medications, or physician instructions due to the lack of time to manage the complexities of chronic pain, including migraine headaches [18].

Pharmacists are crucial in supporting migraine patients due to their accessibility and expertise in healthcare. Patients often seek their advice, making them well-placed to assist [20]. Patel et al. stated that pharmacists possess valuable information, which allows them to make informed decisions and better guide patients regarding migraines. More specifically, they can explain the reasons behind preventive therapy and provide insights on how and when it should be initiated [21]. According to a Wenzel and his colleagues' survey, -seven percent of community pharmacists were found to recommend at least one OTC daily for headache treatment [22].

Moreover, the latest OTC survey by Pharmacy Times estimated that pharmacists in the United States make nearly 1.9 million OTC recommendations for headaches monthly. Of these recommendations, approximately 800,000 are specifically for migraine treatment [23]. These statistics highlight pharmacists' significant roles in providing OTC recommendations for headache relief, particularly in the case of migraines.

In Saudi Arabia, community pharmacies are regulated by The Ministry of Health (MOH) and the Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA). The SFDA ensures the safety, quality, and effectiveness of pharmaceutical goods within the nation, whereas the MOH oversees the healthcare system [24]. Local pharmacies are authorized to provide prescription drugs prescribed by licensed medical practitioners, except for those classified as non-prescription (OTC) as defined by regulations. Certain specialized medications or therapies might exclusively be accessible at particular healthcare establishments via specialized clinics [25].

There are several opportunities to improve headache care. While community pharmacists are well-positioned to enhance migraine management, little is known about their competence in various complaints related to headaches. A study in Madinah, Saudi Arabia, examined how pharmacists manage headaches and analyzed their knowledge, attitude, and practice toward the condition. However, there were some limitations to the study. Firstly, it was only conducted in one city and only encompassed part of the western region. Secondly, the survey questions were focused on regular headaches rather than migraines. Lastly, the study did not solely focus on community pharmacists but included data from community and hospital pharmacists [26]. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate community pharmacists' present state of knowledge, attitudes, and current practice regarding migraine therapy in the northwestern part of Saudi Arabia. Analyzing the knowledge, attitude, and present practice of local pharmacists in Saudi Arabia concerning the management of migraines can offer healthcare systems valuable comprehension of the particular difficulties and prospects in the nation. This understanding can pave the way for focused measures, protocols, and educational campaigns that augment community pharmacists' contributions and enhance the comprehensive control of migraines within the Saudi Arabian population.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Setting

A cross-sectional observational study was conducted among pharmacists in the northwestern part (Madinah and Tabuk regions) of Saudi Arabia between June and September 2022. A community pharmacist with a bachelor's degree in pharmacy, a higher education degree, and a Saudi Arabian pharmacy license were eligible for this study. A pharmacist who could not consent to participate or was a trained pharmacist during the study was excluded.

2.2. Sample Size and Sampling Procedure

Based on a sample size calculator (www.raosoft.com, accessed on 18 July 2023), the sample size was calculated at a 95% confidence interval (CI), accepting a margin of error of 5% for about 788 pharmacists practicing in community pharmacies in the northwestern part of Saudi Arabia [27]. This study was estimated to have a sample size of 259 pharmacists. A final analysis was performed on 215 pharmacists after the study period had ended. During data collection, 44 community pharmacists were excluded because of their incomplete survey responses.

The survey was conducted using an online Google form. Community pharmacists were recruited through various methods, including social media campaigns on Twitter and WhatsApp groups. Furthermore, several national pharmacy chains advertised the study through internal distribution lists. Besides reaching out to urban pharmacies, the online survey was also shared with regional and rural pharmacies. Regardless of how the questionnaire was obtained, participants were asked to complete it only once.

2.3. Data Collection Form

The investigators developed a modified version of previously used surveys to evaluate 'general practitioners' knowledge, attitude, and practice patterns regarding headaches, which has been transformed into a structured, self-administered pharmacist's migraine survey (PMS) [28]. The survey used for general practitioners was created to adhere to the migraine treatment guidelines of the United States Headache Consortium (the "consortium"). Three faculty members at the College of Pharmacy, Taibah University, evaluated the survey's face and content validity. The study consisted of 27 questions about the sample's demographics and professional characteristics. Pharmacists were provided with statements and asked to select from "strongly disagree", "disagree", "neutral", "agree", or "strongly agree" to evaluate their knowledge, attitudes, and current practices regarding migraine management.

2.4. Validity and Reliability of the Study Tool

The relevance and clarity of the survey questions were evaluated through a pilot study with eight pharmacists to ensure readability and comprehensibility. The pharmacists who first reviewed the questionnaire shared their thoughts on each question. Certain statements were adjusted or reformulated according to the feedback provided by the participants. Data from the pilot sample was excluded from the final one. The consistency of scores was examined through the test-retest technique, evaluating it across a brief time span. To gauge stability, twelve pharmacy alumni who were not part of the study were requested to complete the questionnaire twice, with a short time gap between the two instances. The scores from the same participants in both iterations were compared, and Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was employed to indicate the reliability of the test-retest. It was decided a priori that a more than 80% correlation coefficient would be needed to ensure score stability over the short time between the two rounds. Pearson's correlation coefficient was 0.96 (95% CI of 0.91 to 0.98) with a *p*-value of <0.01, indicating excellent score stability [29]. Cronbach's α determined internal consistency. Internally consistent tools should have $0.70 \leq \alpha \leq 0.95$ [30,31]. When all items were included, Cronbach's α was 0.81. When Cronbach's α was computed for each domain separately, the knowledge items had a Cronbach's alpha of 0.76, attitude items of 0.78, and the use of protective measures of 0.89, which indicated that the items were internally consistent across all domains.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data from community pharmacists were entered into and analyzed using the SPSS version 27 for Windows. Descriptive statistics, including frequency and percentage were used to summarize the data. The overall score by community pharmacists in the survey sections was developed for the study. Regarding the queries concerning knowledge, attitude, and practice, a numerical value was assigned to each response, with "strongly disagree" corresponding to a value of one and "strongly agree" to a value of five. An average score was then computed by aggregating the individual scores from the questions. Greater scores are indicative of increased knowledge, a favorable attitude, and improved practice. The Shapiro-Wilk tests assessed the scores for normality distribution. Without normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney-U or Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to compare differences as appropriate. The effect size is small if the r value varies around 0.1, medium if the r varies around 0.3, and large if the r varies more than 0.5. A two-tailed *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Study Participants

Out of 788 community pharmacists in the northwestern part of Saudi Arabia, only 215 participated in our study, resulting in a response rate of 27.28%. Males represented 89.8% of the participants, while 10.2% were females. The median age in the study was 31.0, and the interquartile range (IQR) was 28–36. Regarding the highest qualification for each participant, 85.6% of pharmacists had a bachelor's degree, 11.2% a PharmD, 2.3% a master's degree, and 0.9% of the participating pharmacists had a doctorate (PhD).

Regarding the years of experience as practicing pharmacists, 36.7% had more than ten years of experience practicing pharmacy, while 1.4% had less than one year. Most pharmacists (76.3%) graduated from non-Saudi universities, while 23.7% were local graduates obtaining their pharmacy degrees from a Saudi university. On average, 96.7% of participants suggested daily OTC medications to their patients.

Community pharmacists can manage various types of headaches. Pharmacists reported that sinus headaches accounted for 28.3% of headaches, followed by migraines (26.5%) and tension (20.9%). Regarding continuous education on migraine or headache management, more than half of the pharmacists (54.9%) reported attending a course or educational event about headache/migraine management. All pharmacists referred at least one patient to a physician every month, primarily on suspicion of chronic illness (45.2%) or inadequate treatment (28.8%). In 41.4% of responses, less than five migraine patients visited the pharmacy monthly. While in 9.8% of pharmacies, 11–15 migraine patients visited their pharmacy monthly (Table 1).

Characteristics Categories		n (%)
Cender	Male	193 (89.8)
Gender	Female	22 (10.2)
Age (Median (IQ	R))	31.0 (28–36)
	Bachelor's Degree (BSc)	184 (85.6)
	PharmD	24 (11.2)
Level of education	Master's degree (MSc)	5 (2.3)
	Doctorate Degree (PhD)	2 (0.9)
	Less than one year	3 (1.4)
	1–5 years	63 (29.3)
Years of experience as a pharmacist	6–10 years	70 (32.6)
	More than ten years	79 (36.7)
	Saudi university	51 (23.7)
Source of the pharmacy degree	Foreign university	164 (76.3)
	1–5	180 (83.7)
Frequency of OTC headache product	Six or more	28 (13.0)
suggestion per day	No suggestion	7 (3.3)
	Migraine	57 (26.5)
	Sinus headache	61 (28.3)
The most common headache conditions	Chronic headache	33 (15.3)
or higher	Tension headache	40 (20.9)
C C	Do not know	24 (11.1)
Attending a course or educational event	No	97 (45.1)
about headache/migraine management	Yes	118 (54.9)
	At least one	110 (51.1)
headache/migraine complaints	At least two	48 (22.3)
per month	At least three	57 (26.5)
	Infectiveness of the current treatment	62 (28.8)
The primary basis for directing a patient	Quality of life	18 (8.4)
to a physician	Overuse of medications	38 (17.6)
	Suspicion of organic illness	97 (45.2)
	Less than 5	89 (41.4)
Number of patients visiting your	5–10 patient	60 (27.9)
pharmacy suffering from		21 (9.8)
migraines monthly _	More than 15	45 (20.9)
		() · · · · /

Table 1. Characteristics of participating pharmacists (N = 215).

3.2. Knowledge, Attitude, and Current Practice of Pharmacists Regarding Migraine Management

Sixteen questions measured pharmacists' knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding migraine. Table 2 summarizes community pharmacists' responses to survey statements, divided into strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, and strongly agree categories. When asked whether headache patients should try OTC drugs first and then try prescription drugs, 83.7% agreed or strongly agreed. Before advising an OTC drug, 86.5% agreed or strongly agreed that they always ask the patient about headache attack-related disability,

while 12.6% were neutral. Pharmacists were asked if they teach patients to guard against OTC drug overuse, and 82.8% agreed or strongly agreed.

Statements	Strongly Disagree <i>n</i> (%)	Disagree <i>n</i> (%)	Neutral <i>n</i> (%)	Agree <i>n</i> (%)	Strongly Agree n (%)	Mean Score (SD)
Migraine is predominantly a brain disorder with a firmly established neurological foundation.	2 (0.9)	18 (8.4)	35 (16.3)	117 (54.4)	43 (20.0)	3.84 (0.87)
Individuals with migraines should initially consider using OTC medications and, if needed, explore prescription medications.	3 (1.4)	15 (7.0)	17 (7.9)	119 (55.3)	61 (28.4)	4.02 (0.88)
Patients who haven't found relief with at least two other prescription medications should be considered for migraine-specific drugs (triptans).	2 (0.9)	10 (4.7)	48 (22.3)	124 (57.7)	31 (14.4)	3.80 (0.78)
Regular doses of NSAIDs are efficient for addressing acute migraine episodes.	4 (1.9)	41 (19.1)	52 (24.2)	92 (42.8)	26 (12.1)	3.44 (0.99)
The routine use of opioids and compounds containing barbiturates should be avoided to immediately treat symptoms linked to migraines.	3 (1.4)	15 (7.0)	41 (19.1)	100 (46.5)	56 (26.0)	3.89 (0.92)
Individuals with headaches constitute a significant portion of my pharmacy-related activities.	1 (0.5)	4 (1.9)	25 (11.6)	105 (48.8)	80 (37.2)	4.20 (0.75)
Ensuring patient contentment is a crucial factor in the treatment of headaches.	0 (0.0)	2 (0.9)	24 (11.2)	94 (43.7)	95 (44.2)	4.31 (0.70)
Abortive therapy treatment of symptoms associated with migraine should be used as early as possible during migraine management.	3 (1.4)	8 (3.7)	32 (14.9)	111 (51.6)	61 (28.4)	4.02 (0.84)
I consistently motivate headache patients to uphold a balanced and nutritious diet.	0 (0)	2 (0.9)	42 (19.5)	91 (42.3)	80 (37.2)	4.16 (0.76)
I consistently engage in conversations about non-medication therapies as an integral aspect of headache treatment.	0 (0)	16 (7.4)	37 (17.2)	97 (45.1)	65 (30.2)	3.98 (0.88)

Statements	Strongly Disagree <i>n</i> (%)	Disagree n (%)	Neutral <i>n</i> (%)	Agree n (%)	Strongly Agree n (%)	Mean Score (SD)
I educate patients about the importance of avoiding excessive use of over-the-counter medications.	0 (0)	4 (1.9)	33 (15.3)	74 (34.4)	104 (48.4)	4.29 (0.79)
I teach patients about the necessity of preventing excessive usage of prescription medications.	1 (0.5)	9 (4.2)	40 (18.6)	80 (37.2)	85 (39.5)	4.11 (0.88)
Prior to recommending an OTC drug, I consistently inquired about the severity of the headache episode from the patient.	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	27 (12.6)	97 (45.1)	89 (41.4)	4.27 (0.73)
I have the ability to recognize patients who require migraine preventive medications.	0 (0)	7 (3.3)	69 (32.1)	117 (54.4)	22 (10.2)	3.72 (0.69)
I am knowledgeable about when to direct individuals experiencing headaches to a physician.	2 (0.9)	8 (3.7)	55 (25.6)	119 (55.3)	31 (14.4)	3.79 (0.77)

Regarding teaching migraine sufferers to guard against prescription medication overuse, 76.7% agreed or strongly agreed, and 18.6% were neutral. When deciding on drug choice, merely 5.6% of the respondents expressed disagreement or strong disagreement with the notion that migraine-specific drugs (triptans) should be used for patients who haven't responded well to at least two other prescription medications. Regarding the statement, "Migraine is primarily a disease of the brain, with a well-established neurological basis", 74.4% concurred or strongly concurred, while 16.3% maintained a neutral stance. Most community pharmacists agreed or strongly agreed that headache patients were essential to their practice. Among all community pharmacists, 64.6% agreed or strongly agreed concerning their ability to identify a patient needing preventive migraine medication. 79.5% of the pharmacists responded, agreed, or strongly agreed to always encourage a patient to maintain a headache diary. Agreed or strongly agreed was reported by 75.3% regarding always discussing nonmedication treatments for headaches, while 17.2% were neutral. The fact that patient satisfaction is an essential consideration in headache treatment was strongly agreed by 44.2% and agreed by 43.7%.

Knowing when to refer a patient to a physician was agreed upon by 69.7%, neutral by 25.6%, and disagreed by 4.6%.

3.3. The Prescription and OTC Dispensing Patterns of Migraine Medications

To investigate the prescription patterns of migraine medications, pharmacists were asked about the most prescribed migraine medications by healthcare specialists and provided with four choices to choose from. The included drugs were triptans, opioids (both are prescription drugs), and NSAIDs and paracetamol (nonprescription drugs). Triptans were most prescribed for migraine patients, accounting for almost half of the pharmacists' opinions (52.1%). NSAIDs such as ibuprofen were the second-most defined according to 26.0% of the study participants. In comparison, paracetamol was third (19.5%), followed by opioids, which were selected as the least prescribed drugs for migraine, among the given choices, as less than 1% of pharmacists' opinions (Table 3).

Table 2. Cont.

Statements	Categories	n (%)
	triptans	112 (52.1)
What is the most prescribed - medication used in migraine therapy?	NSAIDs such as ibuprofen	56 (26.0)
	paracetamol	42 (19.5)
	Opioids	2 (0.9)
	Others	3 (1.4)
	triptans	80 (37.2)
What is the most medication you recommend in migraine therapy? –	NSAIDs such as ibuprofen	73 (33.9)
	paracetamol	50 (23.3%)
	Non-medication therapy	12 (5.6)

Table 3. The most prescribed and recommended medications used in migraine medications.

Pharmacists were given a compilation of over-the-counter medications regarding the migraine medication most recommended by pharmacists. Triptans were the most recommended (37.2%), followed by NSAIDs such as ibuprofen (33.9%). Paracetamol was recommended by 23.3% of the pharmacists, and nonmedication therapy by 5.6% (Table 3).

3.4. Correlating Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Scores to the Characteristics of Pharmacists

Results of the Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis H test showed no significant statistical difference between the community pharmacists' average knowledge, attitude, and practice overall score and their demographic and practice variables (p > 0.05). Still, their overall scores significantly differed according to their gender (p = 0.04). Male pharmacists had higher overall scores than female pharmacists. These results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Comparison of Pharmacists' knowledge and practice scores across characteristics of the pharmacists.

Variables	n	Overall Score Mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size
Age			0.07	
21–29	74	3.81 (0.43)		
30–39	117	3.93 (0.77)		
40–49	8	3.74 (0.18)		
50–60	3	4.12 (0.94)		
Gender			0.04 *	0.14
Male	193	3.87 (0.39)		
Female	22	3.71 (0.43)		
Level of education			0.22	
Bachelor's Degree (BSc)	184	3.86 (0.40)		
PharmD	24	3.92 (0.37)		
Master's degree (MSc)	5	4.2 (0.33)		
Doctorate Degree (PhD)	2	3.75 (0.88)		
Years of experience as a pharmacist			0.37	
Less than one year	3	3.97 (0.48)		
1–5 years	56	3.79 (0.41)		
6–10 years	70	3.90 (0.45)		

Variables	Variables n Overall Score Mean (SD)		<i>p</i> -Value	Effect Size	
More than ten years	79	3.91 (0.32)			
Source of the pharmacy degree			0.22		
Saudi university	51	3.81 (0.41)			
Foreign university	164	3.89 (0.39)			
Frequency of OTC headache product suggestion per day			0.61		
1–5	180	3.85 (0.70)			
Six or more	28	3.97 (0.35)			
No suggestion	7	4.1 (0.27)			
The most common headache conditions that exist in your practice comprise one-third (33.3%) or more of your patients.			0.20		
Migraine	57	3.79 (0.40)			
Sinus headache	61	3.91 (0.35)			
Chronic headache	28	3.79 (0.41)			
Tension headache	45	3.89 (0.43)			
Do not know	24	4.00 (0.37)			
Attending a course or educational event about headache/migraine management			0.30		
No	97	3.83 (0.38)			
Yes	118	3.91 (0.41)			
Frequency of referral to a physician for headache/migraine complaints per month			0.12		
At least one	109	3.84 (0.38)			
At least two	48	3.85 (0.39)			
At least three	58	3.96 (0.43)			
The prevalent cause for directing a patient to a physician.			0.08		
Infectiveness of the current treatment	62	3.83 (0.39)			
Decreased quality of life	18	3.90 (0.35)			
Overuse of OTC and/or prescription medications	38	3.77 (0.36)			
Suspicion of organic illness	97	3.94 (0.41)			
Number of patients visiting your pharmacy suffering from migraines monthly			0.16		
Less than 5	88	3.85 (0.39)			
5–10 patient	60	3.82 (0.38)			
11–15 patient	21	3.92 (0.37)			
More than 15	46	3.97 (0.43)			

4. Discussion

Community pharmacists are considered the first point of contact for the management of migraine patients. They also play an essential role in managing this condition [32,33]. However, the practice and knowledge of community pharmacists regarding migraine management can vary depending on the country and part where they practice and the specific laws and regulations governing migraine in that area. This study aims to assess the knowledge and practices of community pharmacists regarding migraine management. Our findings showed that most community pharmacists (96.7%) suggested OTC daily. Similarly, Salih and Abd found that most Iraqi pharmacists working in community pharmacies recommended OTC headache remedies at least once daily [34].

In this study, the most prescribed migraine treatments were triptans (52.2%), followed by NSAIDs (26.0%). Additionally, community pharmacists recommended prescription triptans (37.2%) as the first choice and OTC NSAIDs (33.9%) as the second choice. This contradicts Al-quliti et al.'s findings that most pharmacists suggest NSAIDs as a treatment for patients suffering from headaches [26]. Booth et al. found that most Western Australian community pharmacists (59.3%) would not recommend triptans when treating migraine [35]. Consistent with the literature [22,34], this study showed that most community pharmacists (86.0%) indicated that they feel that headache patients are an essential part of their community practice. In comparison, approximately 75.3% discuss non-drug treatment options with migraine patients, and 69.7% know when to make a physician referral.

Consistent with previous research [22,26], the findings showed that 9.3% of pharmacists disagreed that migraines are primarily neurological disorders, and 16.3% were neutral, despite the increasing knowledge of the pathophysiology of migraines [36]. Diamond found that many Health care professionals (HCPs) incorrectly attribute migraines to other issues, such as depression, anxiety, or stress [37]. This error, in turn, misdiagnoses and improperly treats the condition. Furthermore, it can cause patients to suffer from migraines for longer and further complicate matters.

Moreover, HCPs and patients may communicate poorly when such beliefs prevail, resulting in poor treatment outcomes [22]. Therefore, pharmacists must understand migraine as a neurobiological disease to help patients and HCPs select appropriate migraine treatments. Additionally, a continuing professional development (CPD) program should be developed to ensure that community pharmacists understand the pathophysiology of migraines.

Among the community pharmacists in this study, 33.9% recommended step care and nonspecific therapy, such as analgesics and NSAIDs, which remain the most common migraine treatment method in Saudi Arabia [16]. A cost-effective alternative to this approach is stratified care, in which the initial treatment is selected based on the patient's treatment needs [38]. Previous research has supported this alternative approach [39–41]. Lipton et al. indicated that stratified care provides significantly better clinical outcomes as a treatment strategy than do step care strategies within or across attacks as measured by headache response and disability time [40]. Kosinski et al. reported that to assess headache-related morbidity and facilitate stratified care, a variety of validated tools have been developed, including the Migraine Disability Assessment Questionnaire (MIDAS) and the Headache Impact Test (HIT) [42]. In community pharmacies, these tools might screen patients complaining of headaches, direct them toward appropriate care, and track their progress over time.

Apart from migraines, a notable proportion of pharmacists' responses were related to "sinus" headaches (28.3%). Previous studies have shown sinus headaches remain poorly defined, misunderstood, and often incorrectly associated with migraines despite being commonly diagnosed by general practitioners and self-diagnosing people [43,44]. Cady and coworkers indicated that the misdiagnosis of sinus headaches is widespread, with numerous instances of self-diagnosed diagnoses made by general practitioners turning

out to be migraines [43]. As a result, pharmacists have the potential to assist patients in dispelling misconceptions surrounding sinus headaches [22].

Although most pharmacists advise patients to avoid excessive use of both OTC and prescription medications, medication overuse headaches still impact millions of individuals [45]. This finding indicates that the current efforts of pharmacists may not be sufficient [46]. To lessen medication-related headaches and decrease healthcare usage, pharmacists can proactively counsel patients on limiting the use of acute agents. Additionally, they can identify patients exceeding these limits and refer them for further assistance. According to Wenzel et al., pharmacists can reduce chronic daily headaches (CDH) related to medication overuse and healthcare resource consumption by proactively counseling patients to limit acute agents to no more than two days per week, which is a Consortium recommendation [22]. Almost 70% of community pharmacists feel confident in recognizing patients needing physician referrals. However, more research is required to determine the reasons behind such referrals and to establish criteria and guidelines for physician referrals, such as elevated MIDAS or HIT scores.

This study observed a significant difference between pharmacists' gender and overall knowledge, attitude, and practice scores. Male pharmacists had more positive overall scores than female pharmacists. This finding broadly supports the work of Alzahrani et al. on smoking cessation, linking community pharmacists' genders with providing smoking cessation activities [47]. This observation may reflect Saudi socio-cultural norms, discouraging female healthcare professionals from discussing or providing services to male patients. A recent study on Saudi female nurses in the Qassim part of Saudi Arabia reveals that more than 70% of them were unwilling to provide services to male patients. Most nurses also prefer working in female units, and 51% did not like night shifts [48].

The study's outcomes imply a requirement for educational programs to enhance awareness and treatment of migraines, particularly involving community pharmacists who have the most frequent interactions with migraine patients [21]. Numerous resources, such as the Saudi guidelines for headache disorders and primary care networks, are accessible to back these endeavors. Multiple publications based on consensus are at hand to provide support, including the Saudi headache disorder guidelines and primary care network documents [16,49]. Providing urgent education and training for pharmacists is essential, particularly in areas such as differentiating levels of care, correctly utilizing OTC medications, addressing misunderstandings related to "sinus" headaches, and preventing medication overuse [9,22,39].

When interpreting the findings of a study, keeping in mind its limitations is essential. Except for one United States study, extensive validation studies have not been performed in a pharmacist population utilizing the study survey [22]. While the sample of community pharmacists in the current research matched the national labor force estimates on variables such as gender and foreign university graduation, the sample was younger than the national estimate [50]. Because of these variations and the inability to reach the required minimum sample size, the community pharmacists involved in this study do not fully represent all community pharmacists in the northwestern region of Saudi Arabia. It is important to note that the survey relied on self-reported data, which means some possible measurement errors. For example, Table 2 item 16, which examines pharmacists' abilities to identify when to refer headache sufferers to a physician, is based on self-reports. This means pharmacists may have overestimated their success in migraine management services. It is worth noting that recall bias may have influenced some of the responses, which is common in studies examining past experiences. Lastly, it is crucial to remember that the study's cross-sectional design does not permit any causal inferences. Despite these limitations, the study still provides valuable insights into pharmacists' experiences and attitudes toward managing migraines in this particular context.

5. Conclusions

Interestingly, most community pharmacists recognize migraine as a primary brain disease, highlighting their knowledge of the condition. As members of healthcare who interact with migraine patients frequently, pharmacists are in a unique position to help promote better care. Nevertheless, it is important to highlight that not all pharmacists may be informed about the most recent guidelines for managing migraines. It's worth noting that a significant number of pharmacists may not be up to date with the latest recommendations for migraine treatment. To address this, implementing enhanced training programs, continuing education initiatives, and fostering greater collaboration between pharmacists and healthcare professionals is important. With these measures in place, the efforts toward more effective migraine management in northwestern Saudi Arabia can be fruitful.

Author Contributions: F.A.: conception and design of the study, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript; Y.M.A.: conception of the study, interpretation of data, revising the manuscript for important intellectual content; S.S.A.T.: interpretation of data, revising the manuscript for important intellectual content; S.A.: revising the manuscript for important intellectual content; S.A.: revising the manuscript for important intellectual content; H.M.E.: interpretation of the data and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. All Authors have critically reviewed and approved the final draft and are responsible for the content and similarity index of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The ethical research committee at the College of Pharmacy, Taibah University, granted ethical clearance with the identification number (COPTU-REC-62-20220129). The study was conducted following the declaration of Helsinki. Before enrolling in the study, all participants provided written informed consent and were briefed on the study's purpose. Only those who gave their consent were included in the study.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available by contacting the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors of this study recognize the participation of community pharmacists. Sharing their perspectives can assist in planning enhancements for managing migraines.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Stovner, L.J.; Nichols, E.; Steiner, T.J.; Abd-Allah, F.; Abdelalim, A.; Al-Raddadi, R.M.; Ansha, M.G.; Barac, A.; Bensenor, I.M.; Doan, L.P. Global, regional, and national burden of migraine and tension-type headache, 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016. *Lancet Neurol.* 2018, *17*, 954–976. [CrossRef]
- 2. Kernich, C.A. Migraine headaches. Neurologist 2008, 14, 337–338. [CrossRef]
- Steiner, T.J.; Stovner, L.J.; Vos, T. Gbd 2015: Migraine is the third cause of disability in under 50s. J. Headache Pain 2016, 17, 1–4. [CrossRef]
- 4. Steiner, T.J.; Stovner, L.J.; Vos, T.; Jensen, R.; Katsarava, Z. Migraine is first cause of disability in under 50s: Will health politicians now take notice? *J. Headache Pain* **2018**, *19*, 17. [CrossRef]
- 5. Dodick, D.W. Migraine. Lancet 2018, 391, 1315–1330. [CrossRef]
- 6. Al Jumah, M.; Al Khathaami, A.M.; Kojan, S.; Hussain, M.; Thomas, H.; Steiner, T.J. The prevalence of primary headache disorders in saudi arabia: A cross-sectional population-based study. *J. Headache Pain* **2020**, *21*, 11. [CrossRef]
- Al-Hashel, J.Y.; Ahmed, S.F.; Alroughani, R. Prevalence of primary headache disorders in Kuwait. *Neuroepidemiology* 2017, 48, 138–146. [CrossRef]
- El-Sherbiny, N.A.; Masoud, M.; Shalaby, N.M.; Shehata, H.S. Prevalence of primary headache disorders in Fayoum governorate, Egypt. J. Headache Pain 2015, 16, 85. [CrossRef]
- Wenzel, R.G.; Sarvis, C.A.; Krause, M.L. Over-the-counter drugs for acute migraine attacks: Literature review and recommendations. *Pharmacother. J. Human Pharmcol. Drug Ther.* 2003, 23, 494–505. [CrossRef]

- Diamond, S.; Bigal, M.E.; Silberstein, S.; Loder, E.; Reed, M.; Lipton, R.B. Patterns of diagnosis and acute and preventive treatment for migraine in the united states: Results from the American migraine prevalence and prevention study: Cme. *Headache J. Head Face Pain* 2007, 47, 355–363. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hu, X.H.; Markson, L.E.; Lipton, R.B.; Stewart, W.F.; Berger, M.L. Burden of migraine in the united states: Disability and economic costs. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **1999**, *159*, 813–818. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Lipton, R.B.; Scher, A.; Kolodner, K.; Liberman, J.; Steiner, T.; Stewart, W. Migraine in the United States: Epidemiology and patterns of health care use. *Neurologist* **2002**, *58*, 885–894. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Gallagher, R.M.; Kunkel, R. Migraine medication attributes important for patient compliance: Concerns about side effects may delay treatment. *Headache J. Head Face Pain* 2003, *43*, 36–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Steiner, T.J.; Jensen, R.; Katsarava, Z.; Linde, M.; MacGregor, E.A.; Osipova, V.; Paemeleire, K.; Olesen, J.; Peters, M.; Martelletti, P. Aids to management of headache disorders in primary care. *J. Headache Pain* **2019**, *20*, 57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Authority, S.F.D. Drug List. 2023. Available online: https://www.sfda.gov.sa/en/drugs-list (accessed on 20 August 2023).
- Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Headache Disorder. 2020. Available online: https://www.moh.gov.sa/Ministry/MediaCenter/ Publications/Documents/Protocol-004.pdf (accessed on 15 May 2023).
- Cevoli, S.; D'amico, D.; Martelletti, P.; Valguarnera, F.; Bene, E.D.; Simone, R.D.; Sarchielli, P.; Narbone, M.; Testa, L.; Genco, S. Underdiagnosis and undertreatment of migraine in Italy: A survey of patients attending for the first time 10 headache centres. *Cephalalgia* 2009, 29, 1285–1293. [CrossRef]
- Gupta, M.X.; Silberstein, S.D.; Young, W.B.; Hopkins, M.; Lopez, B.L.; Samsa, G.P. Less is not more: Underutilization of headache medications in a university hospital emergency department. *Headache J. Head Face Pain* 2007, 47, 1125–1133. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lipton, R.B.; Diamond, S.; Reed, M.; Diamond, M.L.; Stewart, W.F. Migraine diagnosis and treatment: Results from the American migraine study ii. *Headache J. Head Face Pain* **2001**, *41*, 638–645. [CrossRef]
- Manolakis, P.G.; Skelton, J.B. Pharmacists' contributions to primary care in the United States collaborating to address unmet patient care needs: The emerging role for pharmacists to address the shortage of primary care providers. *Am. J. Pharm. Educ.* 2010, 74, S7. [CrossRef]
- 21. Patel, N.; Barnhart, R.; Konkol, P.; Varda, J.; Nelson, R.; Smith, T. Treatment of migraine: A review of disease burden and an update on the therapeutic landscape for pharmacists. *Drugs Ther. Perspect.* **2021**, *37*, 75–86. [CrossRef]
- Wenzel, R.G.; Lipton, R.B.; Diamond, M.L.; Cady, R. Migraine therapy: A survey of pharmacists' knowledge, attitudes, and practice patterns. *Headache J. Head Face Pain* 2005, 45, 47–52. [CrossRef]
- Pharmacy Times. 2020 Pharmacy Times Survey of Pharmacists' Otc Recommendations: Migraine Headache Products. MJH Life Sciences and Contemporary Clinic. 2020. Available online: https://www.contemporaryclinic.com/otcguide/paininflammation/ migraine-headache-products (accessed on 15 May 2023).
- 24. Al Yousuf, M.; Akerele, T.; Al Mazrou, Y. Organization of the saudi health system. *EMHJ—East Med. Health* **2022**, *8*, 645–653. [CrossRef]
- 25. Bawazir, S. Prescribing pattern at community pharmacies in Saudi Arabia. Int. Pharm. J. 1992, 6, 222.
- Al-Quliti, K.W.; Alhujeily, R.M.; Aljarbou, O.M.; Al-Rasheedi, R.S.; Alrewaithi, B.S. Knowledge, attitude, and practice among pharmacists for migraine management in Al-Madinah Al-Munawarah. *Int. J. Med. Dev. Ctries.* 2021, 6, 340–347. [CrossRef]
- 27. AlRuthia, Y.; Alsenaidy, M.A.; Alrabiah, H.K.; AlMuhaisen, A.; Alshehri, M. The status of licensed pharmacy workforce in Saudi Arabia: A 2030 economic vision perspective. *Hum. Resour. Health* **2018**, *16*, 28. [CrossRef]
- Lipton, R.B.; Bigal, M.; Rush, S.; Yenkosky, J.; Liberman, J.; Bartleson, J.; Silberstein, S. Migraine practice patterns among neurologists. *Neurology* 2004, 62, 1926–1931. [CrossRef]
- 29. Guttman, L. A basis for analyzing test-retest reliability. Psychometrika 1945, 10, 255–282. [CrossRef]
- 30. Cortina, J.M. What is coefficient alpha? An examination of theory and applications. J. Appl. Psychol. 1993, 78, 98. [CrossRef]
- 31. Tavakol, M.; Dennick, R. Making sense of cronbach's alpha. Int. J. Med. Educ. 2011, 2, 53. [CrossRef]
- Skomo, M.L.; Desselle, S.P.; Berdine, H.J.; O'Neil, C.K. Impact of pharmacist interventions on seeking of medical care by migraineurs. J. Am. Pharm. Assoc. 2008, 48, 32–37.
- Simoens, S.; Lobeau, M.; Verbeke, K.; Van Aerschot, A. Patient experiences of over-the-counter medicine purchases in flemish community pharmacies. *Pharm. World Sci.* 2009, *31*, 450–457. [CrossRef]
- 34. Salih, M.R.; Abd, A.Y. Migraine therapy by community pharmacists in Iraq—A survey. Syst. Rev. Pharm. 2020, 11, 1545–1550.
- 35. Booth, S.; Parsons, R.; Sunderland, B.; Sim, T.F. Managing migraine with over-the-counter provision of triptans: The perspectives and readiness of Western Australian community pharmacists. *PeerJ* 2019, 7, e8134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goadsby, P.J.; Lipton, R.B.; Ferrari, M.D. Migraine—Current understanding and treatment. N. Engl. J. Med. 2002, 346, 257–270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Diamond, M.L. The role of concomitant headache types and non-headache co-morbidities in the underdiagnosis of migraine. *Neurology* 2002, 58, S3–S9. [CrossRef]
- Pryse-Phillips, W.E.; Dodick, D.W.; Edmeads, J.G.; Gawel, M.J.; Nelson, R.F.; Purdy, R.A.; Robinson, G.; Stirling, D. Worthington. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of migraine in clinical practice. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* 1997, 156, 1273–1287.
- Silberstein, S. For the us headache consortium. Practice parameter: Evidence-based guidelines for migraine headache. Report of the quality standards subcommittee of the American academy of neurology. *Neurology* 2000, 55, 754–763. [CrossRef]

- 40. Lipton, R.B.; Stewart, W.F.; Stone, A.M.; Láinez, M.J.; Sawyer, J.P. Stratified care vs step care strategies for migraine: The disability in strategies of care (disc) study: A randomized trial. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2000, 284, 2599–2605. [CrossRef]
- Sculpher, M.; Millson, D.; Meddis, D.; Poole, L. Cost-effectiveness analysis of stratified versus stepped care strategies for acute treatment of migraine: The disability in strategies for care (disc) study. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2002, 20, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- 42. Kosinski, M.; Bayliss, M.; Bjorner, J.; Ware, J.; Garber, W.; Batenhorst, A.; Cady, R.; Dahlöf, C.; Dowson, A.; Tepper, S. A six-item short-form survey for measuring headache impact: The hit-6[™]. *Qual. Life Res.* **2003**, *12*, 963–974. [CrossRef]
- 43. Cady, R.K.; Schreiber, C.P. Sinus headache or migraine?: Considerations in making a differential diagnosis. *Neurology* **2002**, *58*, S10–S14. [CrossRef]
- 44. Schreiber, C.P.; Hutchinson, S.; Webster, C.J.; Ames, M.; Richardson, M.S.; Powers, C. Prevalence of migraine in patients with a history of self-reported or physician-diagnosed sinus headache. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2004, 164, 1769–1772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vos, T.; Allen, C.; Arora, M.; Barber, R.M.; Bhutta, Z.A.; Brown, A.; Carter, A.; Casey, D.C.; Charlson, F.J.; Chen, A.Z. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. *Lancet* 2016, *388*, 1545–1602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Zed, P.J.; Loewen, P.S.; Robinson, G. Medication-induced headache: Overview and systematic review of therapeutic approaches. *Ann. Pharmacother.* **1999**, *33*, 61–72. [CrossRef]
- Alzahrani, F.; Sandaqji, A.; Alharrah, A.; Alblowi, R.; Alrehaili, S.; Mohammed-Saeid, W. Community pharmacies' promotion of smoking cessationsupport services in Saudi Arabia: Examining current practiceand barriers. *Healthcare* 2023, 11, 1841. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Altakroni, H.; Mahmud, I.; Elmossad, Y.M.; Al-Akhfash, A.; Al-Hindi, A.; Joshva, K. Healthcare productivity, and its sociodemographic determinants, of saudi female nurses: A cross-sectional survey, al-qassim, Saudi Arabia, 2017. *Int. J. Health Sci.* 2019, 13, 19–25.
- 49. Bedell, A.; Cady, R.; Diamond, M.; Farmer, K.; Friesen, C.; Johnson, J. Patient-centered strategies for effective management of migraine. *Prim. Care Netw.* 2000.
- Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Statistical Yearbook 2021. 2022. Available online: https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Ministry/ Statistics/book/Documents/Statistical-Yearbook-2021.pdf (accessed on 11 June 2023).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.