



Article Natural Variation in OASC Gene for Mitochondrial O-Acetylserine Thiollyase Affects Sulfate Levels in Arabidopsis

Anna Koprivova¹, Büsra Elkatmis¹, Silke C. Gerlich¹, Martin Trick², Andrea L. Harper³, Ian Bancroft³, and Stanislav Kopriva^{1,*}

- ¹ Institute for Plant Sciences, Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences, University of Cologne, Zülpicher Str. 47b, 50674 Cologne, Germany
- ² John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Colney, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK
- ³ Department of Biology, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK
- * Correspondence: skopriva@uni-koeln.de

Abstract: Sulfur plays a vital role in the primary and secondary metabolism of plants, and carries an important function in a large number of different compounds. Despite this importance, compared to other mineral nutrients, relatively little is known about sulfur sensing and signalling, as well as about the mechanisms controlling sulfur metabolism and homeostasis. Sulfur contents in plants vary largely not only among different species, but also among accessions of the same species. We previously used associative transcriptomics to identify several genes potentially controlling variation in sulfate content in the leaves of Brassica napus, including an OASC gene for mitochondrial O-acetylserine thiollyase (OAS-TL), an enzyme involved in cysteine synthesis. Here, we show that loss of OASC in Arabidopsis thaliana lowers not only sulfate, but also glutathione levels in the leaves. The reduced accumulation is caused by lower sulfate uptake and translocation to the shoots; however, the flux through the pathway is not affected. In addition, we identified a single nucleotide polymorphism in the OASC gene among A. thaliana accessions that is linked to variation in sulfate content. Both genetic and transgenic complementation confirmed that the exchange of arginine at position 81 for lysine in numerous accessions resulted in a less active OASC and a lower sulfate content in the leaves. The mitochondrial isoform of OAS-TL is, thus, after the ATPS1 isoform of sulfurylase and the APR2 form of APS reductase 2, the next metabolic enzyme with a role in regulation of sulfate content in Arabidopsis.

Keywords: sulfur homeostasis; Arabidopsis; cysteine synthase c; sulfate content; single nucleotide polymorphisms

1. Introduction

The essential nutrient sulfur possesses versatile functions in the plant by forming the amino acids cysteine (Cys) and methionine (Met), different coenzymes, and prosthetic groups, and participating in the structure of defense molecules, such as glucosinolates (GLS) or camalexin [1]. Cysteine acts as a key molecule in the participation of sulfur in metabolism and connects sulfur, nitrogen, and carbon assimilation [2,3]. The bioavailable form of sulfur for plants is sulfate [1,4]. Sulfate taken up from the soil solution by roots is translocated to the leaves by the nutrient flow of the xylem, facilitated by sulfate transporters [5]. For cysteine synthesis, sulfate must be reduced. The sulfate transported into the cells is first activated to APS by the ATP sulfurylase (ATPS) enzyme [6,7]. Sulfide is formed by the reduction of APS by the subsequent action of APS reductase (APR) and sulfide reductase (SIR) [8]. The carbon backbone of cysteine comes from O-acetylserine (OAS), which is formed after the reaction of serine with Coenzyme A, catalyzed by serine-acetyl transferase (SAT) [5,9]. The O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase (OAS-TL) replaces the acetyl group in the OAS with sulfide [9]. SAT and OAS-TL enzymes form the cysteine synthase complex



Citation: Koprivova, A.; Elkatmis, B.; Gerlich, S.C.; Trick, M.; Harper, A.L.; Bancroft, I.; Kopriva, S. Natural Variation in *OASC* Gene for Mitochondrial O-Acetylserine Thiollyase Affects Sulfate Levels in Arabidopsis. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 35. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12010035

Academic Editor: Styliani (Stella) Chorianopoulou

Received: 11 December 2022 Revised: 18 December 2022 Accepted: 19 December 2022 Published: 21 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). (CSC) [10]. Various isoforms of SAT and OAS-TL enzymes are found in different subcellular structures of the cell [5,11,12]. For example, the well-studied OAS-TL isoforms OAS-TL A, OAS-TL B, and OAS-TL C are localized in the cytoplasm, plastids, and mitochondria, respectively [6,10,13]. Similarly, SAT also is present in these compartments; therefore, all three major subcellular structures possess cysteine synthase complexes and have the potential to synthesize cysteine [10]. However, the contribution of the three compartments to total cysteine synthesis in the cell is not the same, and the main site for cysteine synthesis is the cytosol [14]. Interestingly, this requires a precise coordination of the metabolite fluxes in the cell, because sulfate reduction is specific to plastids, and the majority of OAS is produced in the mitochondria [3].

While there is a good understanding of the functions of genes involved in sulfur metabolism, identifying genes regulating sulfur homeostasis, sensing, and signalling still lags. However, given the importance of sulfur for crop plants, finding such regulatory genes is critical to underpin the development of crop varieties with improved sulfur nutrition. Using natural variation in sulfur content is a promising approach to reveal genes that contribute to controlling sulfur homeostasis. Indeed, in experiments with the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, genetic variations in genes encoding the APR2 isoform of APS reductase and the ATPS1 isoform of ATPS were found to underlie differences in sulfate and/or total sulfur content [7,15,16]. In addition, varieties of the crop plant Brassica napus were investigated for variation in nutrient content using associative transcriptomics (AT) [17]. The analysis suggested that the gene encoding OAS-TL C (OASC) controlled sulfate levels in B. napus [17]. This was confirmed by showing that the T-DNA mutant of the OASC gene in Arabidopsis resulted in significantly reduced sulfate accumulation in the leaves [17]. Here, we show that the natural variation in the OASC gene is also linked to variation in sulfate content in Arabidopsis accessions. We identified a nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphism responsible for this variation, as evidenced by both genetic and transgenic complementations. However, the mechanism by which OASC affects sulfate levels still needs to be elucidated.

2. Results

2.1. Characterization of the oasC Mutant

We previously showed that disruption of the *OASC* gene in Arabidopsis leads to reduced accumulation of sulfate [17]. Further metabolite analyses were, therefore, performed in the roots and leaves of Col-0 and *oasC* to understand how the disruption of the *OASC* gene affects the content of sulfur-containing biomolecules. As observed previously with greenhouse grown plants and also in seedlings grown on agarose plates, the loss of *OASC* resulted in significantly less sulfate accumulation in the leaf than in the wild-type Col-0 (Figure 1A). However, the absence of functional *OASC* in the root had no effect on sulfate accumulation (Figure 1E). Loss of *OASC* did not affect Cys content in the leaves; however, there was a decrease in glutathione concentration (Figure 1B,C). As for sulfate, the decrease in GSH content was not observed in the root (Figure 1F). These results strongly indicated that the sulfate assimilation in *oasC* is affected, specifically in leaves. However, the GLS levels were not affected (Figure 1D); hence, the alterations might be limited to primary sulfate assimilation.

To determine the cause of the lower accumulation of sulfate and GSH in the mutant, sulfate uptake and flux through the sulfate assimilation pathway were determined. Indeed, *oasC* showed a dramatic reduction in sulfate uptake of almost 30% compared to Col-0 (Figure 2A). The reduction of uptake in *oasC* also directly affected the translocation of sulfate to leaves, which was reduced to a similar extent (Figure 2B). Measurement of flux through the pathway, determined as incorporation of ³⁵S into thiols and proteins, however, revealed no alteration in the *oasC* mutant compared to the wild type (Figure 2C,D). Therefore, the reduction in sulfate and GSH contents seem to result from the considerable drop in sulfate uptake.



Figure 1. Disruption of *OASC* affects sulfur-containing metabolites. Col-0 (light grey) and *oasC* (dark grey) plants were grown for 2.5 weeks on MS-agarose plates. The content in the leaves of (**A**) sulfate, (**B**) cysteine, (**C**) glutathione, and (**D**) glucosinolates, as well as of (**E**) sulfate and (**F**) glutathione in roots was measured. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. from four biological replicates. Asterisks mark values significantly different from the wild-type Col-0 at *p* < 0.05 (Student's *t*-test).

Expression analysis was performed using RNA isolated from roots and leaves to test whether genes for sulfur metabolism were affected by the loss of the *OASC* (Figure 3). The transcript levels of the three isoforms of APR, the key enzyme in the reduction of sulfate, were not significantly affected by the disruption of the *OASC* gene, neither in the shoot nor in the root (Figure 3). The expression of two markers of sulfur deficiency, *SDI1*, which is involved in GLS regulation, and *GGTC2;1*, which contributes to GSH degradation, were also not different in *oasC* compared to Col-0 [4,18]. In addition, the expression levels of the major isoforms of OAS-TL were compared. As expected, no expression of the *OAS-TL C* isoform was detected in the shoots or roots of the *oasC* mutant (Figure 3). The transcript level of the cytosolic *OAS-TL A* was slightly, but significantly, elevated in the shoot, but not in the root. In contrast, no changes in the transcript levels of the plastidic *OAS-TL B* or the mitochondrial *OAS-TL C1* isoforms were observed in *oasC* compared to Col-0 (Figure 3). Thus, in general, the loss of the *oasC* gene had almost no effect on the expression of genes for sulfate assimilation.



Figure 2. Sulfate uptake and translocation decreased in *oasC* mutant. Col-0 (light grey) and *oasC* (dark grey) seedlings grown on MS-agarose plates for 2.5 weeks were fed with the solution containing ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ for 4 h. (A) Sulfate uptake and (B) translocation to shoots was determined by scintillation counting. Relative flux was measured as incorporation of [${}^{35}S$] in (C) thiols and proteins and (D) from the [${}^{35}S$] sulfate taken up. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. from four biological replicates. Asterisks mark values significantly different from the wild-type Col-0 at *p* < 0.05 (Student's *t*-test).



Figure 3. Disruption of *OASC* has no effect on expression of genes involved in sulfur metabolism. Col-0 (light grey) and *oasC* (dark grey) were grown for 2.5 weeks on MS-agarose plates. RNA was extracted from (**A**) shoots and (**B**) roots and relative transcript levels of nine genes involved in sulfur metabolism were analyzed by qPCR. The *TIP4* gene was used as an internal control to normalize expression levels. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. from four biological replicates analyzed in duplicates. Asterisks mark values significantly different from the wild-type Col-0 at *p* < 0.05 (Student's *t*-test).

Next, we wanted to see if the reduction in sulfate levels in the *oasC* mutant is specific to this OAS-TL isoform, or whether loss of other isoforms might have the same effect. We, therefore, measured sulfate concentrations in the shoot of mutants in six OAS-TL isoforms in Arabidopsis and the double mutants of the main A, B, and C forms, and, in addition, in the *sat2;1* mutant in the mitochondrial form of SAT. Among all the mutants, only *oasC* and *oasC1* caused a significant decrease in sulfate accumulation (Figure 4). In contrast, in oasA, oasAB, oasBC and oasD2, sulfate accumulation in the shoot was slightly increased compared to Col-0. Thus, only changes in mitochondrial OAS-TL have a negative effect on sulfate accumulation. In roots, only *oasB* showed a small, but significant, increase in sulfate accumulation compared to Col-0. The mitochondrial serat2.1 knockout mutant had no significant effect on sulfate levels compared to Col-0, indicating that the changes in sulfate are not caused by the cysteine synthase complex, but are specific to OAS-TL. To test whether the effect of loss of OASC on sulfate levels is dependent on external sulfate supply, various sulfate concentrations were supplied to Col-0 and *oasC*. Interestingly, the sulfate accumulation in oasC and Col-0 was affected differently by low and high sulfur supply. As in previous experiments, sulfate accumulation in the oasC was reduced compared to Col-0 when 750 μ M sulfate was supplied. However, when 15 μ M sulfate was supplied, the loss of OASC resulted in significantly higher sulfate accumulation than in Col-0 (Figure 5). Thus, OASC seems to have different regulatory roles depending on the sulfate status of the plant.



Figure 4. Sulfate accumulation is affected by the mutation of genes for OAS-TL isoforms. Col-0, *oasA*, *oasB*, *oasC*, *oasAB*, *oasAC*, *oasBC*, *oasC1*, *oasD1*, *oasD2* and *serat2.1* mutant plants were grown on MS-agarose plates for 2.5 weeks. The sulfate concentration of root and shoot was determined. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. from four biological replicates. Asterisks mark values significantly different from the wild-type Col-0 at *p* < 0.05 (Student's *t*-test).

2.2. Amino Acid Variation in OASC Responsible for Variation in Sulfate Level

The OASC gene A_JCVI_8073 was identified in an associative transcriptomics with *B. napus* as linked to variation in sulfate content [17]. To find out the responsible genetic variation, the SNP markers within the OASC gene in 84 *B. napus* varieties used for the AT analysis were examined and connected to the sulfate content measured in Koprivova et al. [17] (Supplementary Table S1). Six haplotypes were found to be associated with a difference in sulfate content. However, only one of them, JCVI_8073:377, was a non-synonymous SNP, changing a Q_{335} (the position corresponding to AtOASC) to R, and, therefore, likely to be the causative SNP (Supplementary Table S2). While other SNPs were linked to the JCVI_8073:377, they represented either synonymous SNPs or were located in the 3'non-translated region on the transcript. Thus, it seems that a Q_{335} R amino acid

change in the *OASC* gene might be responsible for at least part of the variation of sulfate levels in *B. napus* varieties.



Figure 5. Exogenous sulfate supply affects sulfate levels in *oasC* mutant. Col-0 and *oasC* were grown on MS-agarose plates containing 15 μ M, 45 μ M and 750 μ M sulfate. Sulfate levels of the leaves were measured. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. from four biological replicates. Asterisks mark values significantly different from the wild-type Col-0 at *p* < 0.05 (Student's *t*-test).

We then interrogated the sequences of Arabidopsis accessions from the 1001 genome project [19] for variation in the *OASC* gene. Interestingly, another non-synonymous SNP, leading to a $K_{81}R$ amino acid alteration, was found in Ha-0 and other accessions. We collected 34 Arabidopsis accessions differing in the $K_{81}R$ haplotype and measured their foliar sulfate level (Figure 6A). While there was clearly a variation among the different genotypes, on average, the haplotypes with the Ha-0 allele had significantly lower sulfate levels between the two haplotypes was very similar to the 16% reduction in sulfate level in *oasC* compared to Col-0 (Figure 1A). The $K_{81}R$ variation may, thus, be associated with the low sulfate content in *oasC*.

To confirm that the $K_{81}R$ causes the variation in sulfate between the two haplotypes, we employed genetic complementation. oasC and Col-0 were reciprocally crossed with Ha-0 and Col-0, and the F1 plants were examined. The sulfate levels of plants obtained by crossing *oasC* with Col-0 accumulated significantly more sulfate than plants obtained by crossing of *oasC* and Ha-0 (Figure 6C). Thus, clearly, the Ha-0 allele was not able to complement the loss of the OASC gene in the oasC mutant. To confirm that it is indeed the K₈₁R variation that underlies the different functionality of the Col-0 and Ha-0 OASC genes, we performed a transgenic complementation of the oasC mutant. To avoid confounding the effects of the other variations between the accessions, we engineered the $K_{81}R$ SNP in the OASC gene from Col-0. Complementing oasC with the Col-0 allele of OASC (K₈₁) significantly increased the sulfate level compared to oasC reaching those in the Col-0 wild type (Figure 7). In contrast, the Ha-0 allele with an R_{81} did not significantly increase sulfate compared to oasC. Thus, the K₈₁R amino acid variation reduces the function of the OASC gene, resulting in a decrease in the sulfate level. However, since the K_{81} is part of the predicted organellar targeting peptide, the mechanism by which this variation affects OASC still needs to be elucidated, as well as the mechanism by which sulfate content is decreased in plants with the less active OASC alleles.



Figure 6. Sulfate content is affected by the Ha-0 allele of *OASC*. Plants were grown in soil in the greenhouse for four weeks. Shoots were harvested, and sulfate levels were measured. (**A**) Sulfate levels in different Arabidopsis accessions. Accessions with Col-0-like allele of *OASC* are dark grey, those with Ha-0-like allele are black. (**B**) Mean sulfate levels from Arabidopsis accessions with the two alleles of *OasC* from (**A**). Asterisks mark significantly different values at p < 0.05 (Student's *t*-test). (**C**) Genetic complementation. Col-0 and *oasC* plants were crossed with Ha-0 and Col-0, F1 plants were grown in greenhouse for four weeks and sulfate was measured in the leaves. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. from four biological replicates.



Figure 7. Transgenic complementation. Homozygous *oasC* mutants complemented either with Ha-0 or Col-0 allele of *OASC* were grown in soil in the greenhouse for four weeks. Sulfate levels in leaves were measured. Three lines were used for each construct. Data are presented as means \pm S.D. from four biological replicates. Different letters denote significantly different values at *p* < 0.05 (Student's *t*-test).

3. Discussion

Sulfur has an indispensable place in plant development in general and in the productivity of crops in particular [20,21]. For example, the sulfur-containing amino acid methionine is essential for animal and human nutrition, sulfur-containing secondary metabolites often promote health, and sulfur deficiency leads to a higher susceptibility to diseases, as well as to the accumulation of acrylamide in baked products from such crops [1,8,20–22]. B. napus is used in many different sectors, from livestock to cosmetics, and needs higher amounts of sulfur than other crop plants [23,24]. Therefore, *B. napus* with insufficient sulfur content shows a yield penalty. This is potentially a serious problem, because in the not-too-distant future, it is expected that abiotic and biotic stress factors, especially global warming, will decrease the availability of various nutrients, such as sulfur in the soil [25]. Therefore, there is a need to elucidate the regulation of genes involved in sulfur homeostasis for the production of *B. napus* (and other) crop plants with improved sulfur content. Indeed, several studies assessed the variation of ionome in *B. napus*, either in different varieties [26] or in response to perturbations in nutrient supply [27,28]. Another approach to learning about the control of nutrient homeostasis in B. napus was an associative transcriptomics study that identified several candidate genes controlling variation in nitrate, phosphate, and sulfate contents [17]. One of the candidate genes was the OASC for mitochondrial OAS-TL, which was the object of this study aimed at understanding how variation in OASC affects sulfate levels.

In Arabidopsis, OAS-TL is encoded by a multigene family with isoforms in different compartments [11,29]. Interestingly, the impact of loss-of-function mutations on growth is not consistent. While Watanabe et al. [11] did not observe any visible symptoms, Heeg et al. [29] described a reduction in growth of *oasB* and *oasC* mutants. Both studies agree on the loss of cytosolic OAS-TL A having the greatest impact on the total enzyme activity and concentration of thiols [11,29]. Watanabe et al. [11], but not Heeg et al. [29], showed a reduction in GSH content in *oasC* mutants, as measured also in our experiments (Figure 1). In contrast, the reduction in sulfate levels in *oasC* observed already in Koprivova et al. [17] and confirmed here (Figure 1) has not been observed before. Corresponding to the literature, however, *oasA* showed lower sulfate accumulation in this study (Figure 4) and in Heeg et al. [29]. Importantly, however, the reduced sulfate content in *oasC* was observed both in seedlings grown on nutrient solution and in plants grown in a greenhouse, pointing to a robustness of the phenotype.

The significantly decreased sulfate accumulation in oasC could be explained by reduced sulfate uptake or by increased sulfate utilisation. Given that GSH content was also reduced in oasC mutants (Figure 1), the latter explanation is most probably not correct. Indeed, feeding radioactively marked sulfate revealed not only reduced sulfate uptake and translocation to leaves, but also no changes in the rate of sulfate reduction (Figure 2). Therefore, the loss of OASC affects sulfate uptake, which in turn results in lower sulfate accumulation. This regulation is specific for OASC and is not compensated by other major OAS-TL isoforms (Figure 3). The reduction in sulfate, however, does not seem to be substantial enough to trigger a sulfate deficiency response, as the markers for sulfate deficiency, upregulated in other mutants with lower sulfate content [30], were not affected in this study (Figure 3). In addition, the effect of loss of OASC on sulfate is reversed during sulfate deficiency, when the mutant contains higher sulfate levels than WT. Although the interplay of the deficiency and the mutation still needs to be elucidated, this points to a better sulfuruse efficiency of the mutants. However, before it could be considered a way to improve the efficiency in crops the effects of the loss of OASC on fitness needs to be carefully assessed. Interestingly, among the SAT isoforms, it is also the mitochondrial SERAT2;2 that has the greatest impact on plant sulfur metabolism and growth [31]. Cysteine synthesis is a critical step in the incorporation of sulfate into sulfur-containing molecules [1,2,6]. The exact mechanism how the mitochondrial cysteine synthase regulates plant sulfur homeostasis, however, still needs to be elucidated.

Apart from the mechanisms explaining the effect of *oasC* mutation on sulfate content, the question on the exact nature of the natural variation in this gene leading to the variation in sulfate was addressed. The haplotype analysis on *B. napus* identified only one non-synonymous SNP, correlating with the sulfate content (Supplementary Table S2). Whether this sequence alteration indeed changes the enzymatic properties of OASC, however, needs to be determined. We instead turned to Arabidopsis and identified another SNP linked to variation in sulfate content. Accessions with a K at position 81 of the OASC sequence (Col-0-like) accumulated sulfate to higher levels than those with R (Ha-0-like) at this position (Figure 6). This result was confirmed by genetic and transgenic complementation analyses (Figures 6 and 7), unequivocally showing that the K₈₁R alteration affects the function of OASC. However, the K₈₁ is located in the transit peptide, and, therefore, it is not clear what functional impact this variation may have. Possibly, it may affect the transport of the OASC precursor protein to the mitochondria. However, this hypothesis still needs to be tested.

In conclusion, we revealed that the loss of the *OASC* gene for the mitochondrial isoform of OAS-TL results in a significant decrease in sulfate content, unlike other OAS-TL mutations. The reduction in sulfate content is caused by a direct drop in the rate of sulfate uptake and translocation to the leaves. We also identified a $K_{81}R$ variation in *OASC* among Arabidopsis accessions that affects sulfate accumulation. Our findings, thus, pave the way towards a better understanding of sulfur homeostasis and the role of the *OASC* gene in sulfur metabolism.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana L. ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) and T-DNA insertion line SALK_000860 that disrupts OASC (At3g59760; Supplementary Figure S1), as well as additional oastl and serat2;1 T-DNA insertion lines, were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC) (Supplementary Table S1). Seeds were surface-sterilized with chlorine gas for 4 h. Under sterile conditions, seeds were placed on modified Long Ashton Medium agarose plates with 0.75 mM MgSO₄ and stratified for 3 days at 4 °C in the dark. For sulfate deficiency experiments, the medium contained 15 μ M or 45 μ M sulfate, and the Mg²⁺ concentration was kept constant by adding 735 μ M or 705 μ M MgCl₂, respectively. Afterward, the plates were incubated in Sanyo growth cabinets at 22 °C in long-day conditions, with a 16/8 h light cycle and 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. After 18 days, shoot and root samples were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Each biological replicate was collected from seedlings grown on different plates. Results were obtained from at least two independent experiments.

The SNP molecular markers in *OASC* gene sequences of 84 varieties of *B. napus* were obtained from Harper et al. [32]. Arabidopsis accessions with Col-0 or Ha-0 alleles of the *OASC* gene were selected from the 1001 genome project and obtained from NASC. F1 plants were obtained after crossing Col-0 and *oasC* with Ha-0 and Col-0. For transgenic complementation, *OASC* gene sequence including 1500 bp upstream promotor sequence was amplified from *A. thaliana* Col-0 genomic DNA by PCR, cloned into pENTR-TOPO, and completely sequenced to exclude PCR artefacts. The A₂₄₂ nucleotide was mutated to G by site-directed mutagenesis to create the K₈₁R Ha-0 like allele (AAG into AGG). Both constructs were transferred into pGWB3 vector by LR clonase reaction. Transgenic plants were selected by hygromycin, and three independent transgenic lines were further analysed. For sulfate measurements, the plants were grown in the soil in the greenhouse for four weeks.

4.2. Measurements of Sulfur-Containing Metabolites

Sulfate levels were measured in root and leaf material by ion chromatography, exactly as described in Dietzen et al. [33]. The plant material was homogenized in 1 mL deionized H₂O, shaken for 1 h at 4 °C, and then heated at 95 °C for 15 min. Inorganic anions were measured with the Dionex ICS-1100 chromatography system and separated on a

Dionex IonPac AS22 RFIC 4 imes 250 mm analytic column (Thermo Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany), using 4.5 mM Na₂CO₃/1.4 mM NaHCO₃ as running buffer [33]. Cysteine and GSH concentrations were measured after conjugation to monobromobimane, using HPLC as described in Dietzen et al. [33]. The thiols were extracted from ca. 20 mg plant material in the 10-fold volume of 0.1 M HCl. Then, 25 μ L extract were incubated with 25 μ L of 0.1 M NaOH and 1 μ L of 100 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 15 min at 37 °C in the dark. Subsequently, 10 μ L 1 M Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 35 μ L water, and 5 μ L 100 mM monobromobimane (Thiolyte[®] MB, Calbiochem) were added for 15 min at 37 °C in the dark. Next, 100 µL of 9% acetic acid was used to stabilize the bimane conjugates, which were separated and measured via high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; Spherisorb™ ODS2, 250×4.6 mm, 5 μ m, Waters, Eschborn, Germany) and detected fluorimetrically (excitation: 390 nm, emission:480 nm), using a linear gradient of methanol in 0.25% acetic acid pH 3.9 [33]. GLS levels in leaves were determined as described in Huseby et al. [34]. The leaf material was extracted with 2 times 250 μ L hot 70% MeOH, with the addition of 10 μ L sinigrin as internal standard, and incubated at 70 °C for 45 min. After centrifugation, the extracts were loaded on DEAE Sephadex A-25 columns and washed twice with 0.5 mL dH₂O and twice with 0.5 mL 0.02 M sodium acetate buffer. Then, 75 µL sulfatase solution was added on the surface of the column, and the columns were incubated overnight at room temperature. The produced desulfo-glucosinolates were eluted twice with water and were measured via HPLC (SpherisorbTM ODS2, 250×4.6 mm, 5 μ m, Waters, Eschborn, Germany) by UV absorption at 229 nm, using a gradient of acetonitrile in water (5–30% in 8 min, 30–50% in 7 min), identified by retention time of the peaks, and quantified with the help of the internal standard sinigrin [34].

4.3. Analysis of Sulfate Uptake and Flux

Sulfate uptake and flux through the assimilation pathway were measured in 18-dayold seedlings grown on full sulfur supply using [35 S] sulfate. The seedlings were incubated in 24-well plates in 1 mL nutrient solution containing 0.2 mM sulfate, supplemented with 12 µCi [35 S] sulfuric acid, for 3 h in the light. Shoots and roots were extracted separately in a 10-fold volume of 0.1 M HCl. Ten microliters of extract were used to determine sulfate uptake, and 50 µL aliquots of the extracts were collected for quantification of [35 S] incorporation into thiols, proteins, and glucosinolates, exactly as in [35].

4.4. RNA Extraction and Quantitative PCR Analysis

Total RNA was isolated by standard phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction and LiCl precipitation. First, strand cDNA was synthesized from 800 ng of total RNA, using QuantiTect Reverse transcription Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Quantitative real time RT-PCT (qPCR) was carried out, using gene specific primers (Supplementary Table S3) and the fluorescent dye SYBR Green (Promega, Walldorf, Germany), as described in Koprivova et al. [36]. The expression level of genes was normalized, according to the *TIP41* (AT4G34270) gene. The qPCR reactions were performed in duplicate for each of the 4 independent samples.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants12010035/s1, Figure S1: Scheme of oasC T-DNA line; Figure S2: Protein sequence comparison of OASC gene from B. napus and A. thaliana; Table S1: OASC haplotypes and sulfate content in B. napus varieties; Table S2: SNP markers in OASC gene in B. napus; Table S3: Primers used for qPCR and cloning.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.K.; Formal analysis, A.K.; Investigation, A.K., S.C.G., A.L.H. and I.B.; Resources, M.T., A.L.H. and I.B.; Supervision, S.K.; Writing—original draft, B.E.; Writing—review & editing, A.K., A.L.H., I.B. and S.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany 's Excellence Strategy—EXC 2048/1—project 390686111. **Data Availability Statement:** All data is contained within the article or Supplementary Material. Additional material can be obtained on request to skopriva@uni-koeln.de.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Irene Klinkhammer for technical assistance.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Li, Q.; Gao, Y.; Yang, A. Sulfur Homeostasis in Plants. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 8926. [CrossRef]
- Scheerer, U.; Haensch, R.; Mendel, R.R.; Kopriva, S.; Rennenberg, H.; Herschbach, C. Sulphur Flux through the Sulphate Assimilation Pathway Is Differently Controlled by Adenosine 5'-Phosphosulphate Reductase under Stress and in Transgenic Poplar Plants Overexpressing Gamma-ECS, SO, or APR. J. Exp. Bot. 2010, 61, 609–622. [CrossRef]
- 3. Jobe, T.O.; Zenzen, I.; Karvansara, P.R.; Kopriva, S. Integration of Sulfate Assimilation with Carbon and Nitrogen Metabolism in Transition from C3 to C4 Photosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2019**, *70*, 4211–4221. [CrossRef]
- Aarabi, F.; Kusajima, M.; Tohge, T.; Konishi, T.; Gigolashvili, T.; Takamune, M.; Sasazaki, Y.; Watanabe, M.; Nakashita, H.; Fernie, A.R.; et al. Sulfur Deficiency-Induced Repressor Proteins Optimize Glucosinolate Biosynthesis in Plants. *Sci. Adv.* 2016, 2, e1601087. [CrossRef]
- Krueger, S.; Donath, A.; Lopez-Martin, M.C.; Hoefgen, R.; Gotor, C.; Hesse, H. Impact of Sulfur Starvation on Cysteine Biosynthesis in T-DNA Mutants Deficient for Compartment-Specific Serine-Acetyltransferase. *Amino. Acids* 2010, 39, 1029–1042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Birke, H.; Heeg, C.; Wirtz, M.; Hell, R. Successful Fertilization Requires the Presence of at Least One Major O-Acetylserine(Thiol)L yase for Cysteine Synthesis in Pollen of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 2013, 163, 959–972. [CrossRef]
- Koprivova, A.; Giovannetti, M.; Baraniecka, P.; Lee, B.R.; Grondin, C.; Loudet, O.; Kopriva, S. Natural Variation in the ATPS1 Isoform of ATP Sulfurylase Contributes to the Control of Sulfate Levels in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 2013, 163, 1133–1141. [CrossRef]
- 8. Takahashi, H.; Kopriva, S.; Giordano, M.; Saito, K.; Hell, R. Sulfur Assimilation in Photosynthetic Organisms: Molecular Functions and Regulations of Transporters and Assimilatory Enzymes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2011**, *62*, 157–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Riemenschneider, A.; Riedel, K.; Hoefgen, R.; Papenbrock, J.; Hesse, H. Impact of Reduced O-Acetylserine(Thiol)Lyase Isoform Contents on Potato Plant Metabolism. *Plant Physiol.* **2005**, *137*, 892–900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Wirtz, M.; Hell, R. Functional Analysis of the Cysteine Synthase Protein Complex from Plants: Structural, Biochemical and Regulatory Properties. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2006**, *163*, 273–286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Watanabe, M.; Kusano, M.; Oikawa, A.; Fukushima, A.; Noji, M.; Saito, K. Physiological Roles of the Beta-Substituted Alanine Synthase Gene Family in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **2008**, *146*, 310–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Brunold, C.; Suter, M. Intracellular Localization of Serine Acetyltransferase in Spinach Leaves. *Planta* **1982**, *155*, 321–327. [CrossRef]
- 13. Álvarez, C.; Calo, L.; Romero, L.C.; García, I.; Gotor, C. An O-Acetylserine(Thiol)Lyase Homolog with l-Cysteine Desulfhydrase Activity Regulates Cysteine Homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **2010**, *152*, 656–669. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hesse, H.; Lipke, J.; Altmann, T.; Höfgen, R. Molecular Cloning and Expression Analyses of Mitochondrial and Plastidic Isoforms of Cysteine Synthase (O-Acetylserine(Thiol)Lyase) FromArabidopsis Thaliana. *Amino. Acids* 1999, 16, 113–131. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Loudet, O.; Saliba-Colombani, V.; Camilleri, C.; Calenge, F.; Gaudon, V.; Koprivova, A.; North, K.A.; Kopriva, S.; Daniel-Vedele, F. Natural Variation for Sulfate Content in Arabidopsis Thaliana Is Highly Controlled by APR2. *Nat. Genet.* 2007, 39, 896–900. [CrossRef]
- Chao, D.Y.; Baraniecka, P.; Danku, J.; Koprivova, A.; Lahner, B.; Luo, H.; Yakubova, E.; Dilkes, B.; Kopriva, S.; Salt, D.E. Variation in Sulfur and Selenium Accumulation Is Controlled by Naturally Occurring Isoforms of the Key Sulfur Assimilation Enzyme ADENOSINE 5'-PHOSPHOSULFATE REDUCTASE2 across the Arabidopsis Species Range. *Plant Physiol.* 2014, 166, 1593–1608. [CrossRef]
- 17. Koprivova, A.; Harper, A.L.; Trick, M.; Bancroft, I.; Kopriva, S. Dissection of the Control of Anion Homeostasis by Associative Transcriptomics in *Brassica napus*. *Plant Physiol*. **2014**, *166*, 442–450. [CrossRef]
- Ito, T.; Kitaiwa, T.; Nishizono, K.; Umahashi, M.; Miyaji, S.; Agake, S.-i.; Kuwahara, K.; Yokoyama, T.; Fushinobu, S.; Maruyama-Nakashita, A.; et al. Glutathione Degradation Activity of γ-Glutamyl Peptidase 1 Manifests Its Dual Roles in Primary and Secondary Sulfur Metabolism in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 2022, *111*, 1626–1642. [CrossRef]
- 19. 1001 Genomes Consortium. 1,135 Genomes Reveal the Global Pattern of Polymorphism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Cell* **2016**, *166*, 481–491. [CrossRef]
- Chorianopoulou, S.N.; Bouranis, D.L. The Role of Sulfur in Agronomic Biofortification with Essential Micronutrients. *Plants* 2022, 11, 1979. [CrossRef]
- 21. Koprivova, A.; Kopriva, S. Sulfur metabolism and its manipulation in crops. *J. Genet. Genom.* **2016**, *43*, 623–629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jobe, T.O.; Karvansara, P.R.; Zenzen, I.; Kopriva, S. Ensuring Nutritious Food Under Elevated CO₂ Conditions: A Case for Improved C₄ Crops. Front. Plant Sci. 2020, 11, 1267. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Raboanatahiry, N.; Li, H.; Yu, L.; Li, M. Rapeseed (Brassica Napus): Processing, Utilization, and Genetic Improvement. Agronomy 2021, 11, 1776. [CrossRef]
- 24. Weese, A.; Pallmann, P.; Papenbrock, J.; Riemenschneider, A. Brassica Napus L. Cultivars Show a Broad Variability in Their Morphology, Physiology and Metabolite Levels in Response to Sulfur Limitations and to Pathogen Attack. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, *6*, 9. [CrossRef]
- Feller, U.; Kopriva, S.; Vassileva, V. Plant Nutrient Dynamics in Stressful Environments: Needs Interfere with Burdens. *Agriculture* 2018, 8, 97. [CrossRef]
- Bus, A.; Körber, N.; Parkin, I.A.P.; Samans, B.; Snowdon, R.J.; Li, J.; Stich, B. Species- and Genome-Wide Dissection of the Shoot Ionome in Brassica Napus and Its Relationship to Seedling Development. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2014, *5*, 485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maillard, A.; Etienne, P.; Diquélou, S.; Trouverie, J.; Billard, V.; Yvin, J.-C.; Ourry, A. Nutrient Deficiencies Modify the Ionomic Composition of Plant Tissues: A Focus on Cross-Talk between Molybdenum and Other Nutrients in Brassica Napus. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2016, 67, 5631–5641. [CrossRef]
- Courbet, G.; D'Oria, A.; Lornac, A.; Diquélou, S.; Pluchon, S.; Arkoun, M.; Koprivova, A.; Kopriva, S.; Etienne, P.; Ourry, A. Specificity and Plasticity of the Functional Ionome of Brassica Napus and Triticum Aestivum Subjected to Macronutrient Deprivation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, *12*, 641648. [CrossRef]
- Heeg, C.; Kruse, C.; Jost, R.; Gutensohn, M.; Ruppert, T.; Wirtz, M.; Hell, R. Analysis of the Arabidopsis O-Acetylserine(Thiol)Lyase Gene Family Demonstrates Compartment-Specific Differences in the Regulation of Cysteine Synthesis. *Plant Cell* 2008, 20, 168–185. [CrossRef]
- Lee, B.-R.; Huseby, S.; Koprivova, A.; Chételat, A.; Wirtz, M.; Mugford, S.T.; Navid, E.; Brearley, C.; Saha, S.; Mithen, R.; et al. Effects of Fou8/Fry1 Mutation on Sulfur Metabolism: Is Decreased Internal Sulfate the Trigger of Sulfate Starvation Response? *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e39425. [CrossRef]
- 31. Haas, F.H.; Heeg, C.; Queiroz, R.; Bauer, A.; Wirtz, M.; Hell, R. Mitochondrial Serine Acetyltransferase Functions as a Pacemaker of Cysteine Synthesis in Plant Cells. *Plant Physiol.* **2008**, *148*, 1055–1067. [CrossRef]
- 32. Harper, A.L.; Trick, M.; Higgins, J.; Fraser, F.; Clissold, L.; Wells, R.; Hattori, C.; Werner, P.; Bancroft, I. Associative Transcriptomics of Traits in the Polyploid Crop Species Brassica Napus. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *30*, 798–802. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Dietzen, C.; Koprivova, A.; Whitcomb, S.J.; Langen, G.; Jobe, T.O.; Hoefgen, R.; Kopriva, S. The Transcription Factor EIL1 Participates in the Regulation of Sulfur-Deficiency Response. *Plant Physiol.* **2020**, *184*, 2120–2136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Huseby, S.; Koprivova, A.; Lee, B.R.; Saha, S.; Mithen, R.; Wold, A.B.; Bengtsson, G.B.; Kopriva, S. Diurnal and Light Regulation of Sulphur Assimilation and Glucosinolate Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2013**, *64*, 1039–1048. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Mugford, S.G.; Lee, B.R.; Koprivova, A.; Matthewman, C.; Kopriva, S. Control of Sulfur Partitioning between Primary and Secondary Metabolism. *Plant J.* **2011**, *65*, 96–105. [CrossRef]
- Koprivova, A.; Schuck, S.; Jacoby, R.P.; Klinkhammer, I.; Welter, B.; Leson, L.; Martyn, A.; Nauen, J.; Grabenhorst, N.; Mandelkow, J.F.; et al. Root-Specific Camalexin Biosynthesis Controls the Plant Growth-Promoting Effects of Multiple Bacterial Strains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, 116, 15735–15744. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.