Profiling of chlorogenic acids from *Bidens pilosa* and differentiation of closely related positional isomers with the aid of UHPLC-qTOF-MS/MS-based in-source collision-induced dissociation

Anza-Tshilidzi Ramabulana¹, Paul Steenkamp¹, Ntakadzeni Madala^{1,2,*} and Ian Dubery^{1,*}



Figure S1: (A) Representative UHPLC-QTOF-MS base peak intensity (BPI) chromatograms showing the separation of secondary metabolite in methanol extracts of callus cultures of *B. pilosa* initiated from leaves (blue) and stems (red) explant materials. (B) Representative BPI chromatograms indicating metabolites in methanol extracts of cell suspension cultures of *B. pilosa* initiated from friable callus obtained from leaves and stems as explant materials. Hydroxycinnamic acids derivatives that are present across the cell cultures are indicated with yellow rectangles.



Figure S2: (A): Principal component analysis (PCA) score scatterplots indicating tissue-specific differences within callus cultures of *B. pilosa* tissue initiated from leaves (blue) and stems (red) explant material. The model obtained was a three-component model and explains 69.87% of the variation. The quality parameters of the model were explained variation/ goodness-of-fit R^2 = 0.631 and the predictive variance Q^2 =0.558. The ellipse in the PCA score scatterplot indicates the Hotelling's T² with a 95% confidence interval. (**B**): A hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) dendrogram showing that the extracts from stem and leaves callus cluster into different groups. (**C**): PCA scores plot of cell suspensions (initiated from callus culture of *B. pilosa* leaves and stems) data set indicating different clustering patterns. The model obtained was a three-component model and explains 72.4% of the variation. R²= 0.785 and Q²= 0.703 reported on the goodness-of-fit and the predictive variance of the model. The ellipse in the PCA score scatterplot indicates the Hotelling's T² with a 95% confidence interval. (**D**): HCA indicates differences between cell suspensions derived from leaves (CS_Leaves) and stems (CS_Stems), forming the two major nodes.



Figure S3: A typical mass spectrum of the fragmentation patterns of 5-coumaroylquinic acid.



Figure S4: Typical mass spectra of the fragmentation patterns of 3-caffeoylquinic acid (A), 4-caffeoylquinic acid (B) and 5-caffeoylquinic acid (C).



Figure S5: Typical mass spectra of the fragmentation patterns of 3-feruloylquinic acid (A) and 4-feruloylquinic acid (B).



Figure S6: A typical mass spectrum of the fragmentation patterns of caffeoylglycoside.



Figure S7: Typical mass spectra of the fragmentation patterns of caftaric acid (A) and chicoric acid (B).



Figure S8: Typical mass spectra of the fragmentation patterns of 3,4-*di*-caffeoylquinic acid (A), 3,5-*di*-caffeoylquinic acid (B) and 4,5-*di*-caffeoylquinic acid (C).



Figure S9: Typical mass spectra of the fragmentation patterns of *tri*-caffeoylquinic acid (A) and *di*-caffeoylquinic acid glycoside (B).