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#### Abstract

rel-(5aR,11bR)-3,5a,6,11b-tetrahydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-2-ones formed by the stereoselective Knoevenagel-hetero-DielsAlder reaction were functionalized at the nitrogen in position 3 via reactions of alkylation, cyanoethylation, and acylation. The synthesized compounds were evaluated for their anticancer activity in NCI60 cell lines. Among the tested compounds, $3 f$ was found to be the most active candidate with the greatest influence on leukemia, non-small cell lung cancer, colon cancer, CNS cancer, melanoma, prostate cancer, and breast cancer subpanel cell lines with $\mathrm{Gl}_{50}$ values over a range of $0.37-0.67 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
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## Introduction

Thiopyrano[2,3- $d$ ]thiazole derivatives as well as their synthetic precursors, namely 5 -ylidene-4-thiazolidinones [1, 2], exhibit various pharmacological activities and may be considered as a possible source of innovative drug-candidates. The earliest reports of thiopyrano[2,3-d]thiazole biological activity is related to the antifungal and antituberculosis activities. It is important to note that these derivatives were highly active towards pathogenic isolates of Trichoderma harzianum, Penicillium simplex, Candida albicans,

Mucor hiemalis, Aspergillus oryzae, Actynomices sp., A. fumigatus at the level of fluconazole [3-5].

Modern research allowed the identification of antitumor potential of different 4-thiazolidinone derivatives and abovementioned heterocycles [6]. Moreover, some directions of highly active compounds design and optimization have been proposed (figure 1). Approach to the design of target compounds was based on the number of generated hypotheses and facts. The presence of substitutes at the C5 position of basic heterocycle is critical for 4-thiazolidinone derivatives antitumor effect realization and value [1, 2, 7-9]. Fixation of highly active 5-arylidene-4-thiazolidinone in thiopyranothiazole system usually saves the activity vector and opens up new possibilities of obtained derivatives optimization [10-13]. Moreover, the introduction of different substitutes in the N3 position is one of the effective methods of target compounds optimization. This approach allowed substantive increasing in the level and/or selectivity of the investigated compounds antitumor effect in comparison with N -unsubstituted analogues [6, 8, 14].



[10, 13]

[12]

[13]

Fig. 1. Background for the synthesis of the target compounds

## Results and Discussion

## Chemistry

Tetracyclic starting compounds (5aR*,11bR*)-3,5a,6,11b-tetrahydro-2H,5H-chromeno[ $\left.4^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ thiopyrano[ $2,3-d$ ]thiazoles 1a-c were obtained in the Knoevenagel-hetero-DielsAlder reaction via isorhodanine (4-thioxo-2-thiazolidinone) and appropriate 2-allyloxybenzaldehydes interaction in acetic medium at room temperature (scheme 1). According to
the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the $\mathbf{1 a - c}$ trans annulated cycloadducts were formed. The configuration of 1a-c was deduced from the coupling constant ( $\mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between the 5 a and 11b protons [11].


Sch.1. Thiopyrano[2,3-đ]thiazoles 1a-c obtained in the Knoevenagel-hetero-DielsAlder reaction.

Starting 1a-c contain NH-acidic centers at position N3 of basic heterocycles that from chemical point of view was the rationale for the synthesis of various 3-substituted derivatives. Such synthetic approach is reasonable because of anticancer activity intensification of structurally simpler N -3-substituted 4-azolidinones containing carboxylic acids moieties in 3-d position. On the other hand SAR analysis showed that simultaneous presence of substitutes in the positions C5 and N3 of basic heterocycle is perspective direction of new antitumor agents rational design based on 4 -azolidinones and related heterocycles $[1,6,8]$.

Alkylation reactions of $\mathbf{1 a - c}$ were carried out through the stage of potassium salts formation that later were used in the reactions with bromoacetophenones, ethylchloroacetate and different acetamides (Scheme 2).

To expand the number of $N$-substituted chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3- $d$ ]thiazole-2-one derivatives and obtain new pharmacologically attractive structures 3-(2-carboxyethyl)-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]thiazole-2-one 5 was synthesized (scheme 3). We worked out two alternative methods of its synthesis. The first one is based on the alkylation reaction of starting compound with sodium 3-chloropropionate via intermediate potassium salt. The above method has a significant disadvantage. The target product contains impurities of starting substance and requires multistage purification. In technological term the best is the second method we have proposed, based on the cyanoethylation reaction. So, via interaction of the compound 1a with acrylonitrile in the pyridine medium propionitrile 4 was obtained with high yield. Hydrolysis of the latter allowed obtainment of target acid 5 qualitative characteristics of which far outweigh the product obtained in the alkylation reaction. For carboxylic group functionalization corresponding acid chloride was synthesized and used in the reaction of aromatic amines acylation.
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2a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
2b: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$
2c: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$
3a: R=H
3b: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$
3c: $\mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{F}$
3d: $\mathrm{Hal}=\mathrm{Cl}$
3e: $R=R^{1}=H$
3f: $R=H, R^{1}=3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3g: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=2-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3h: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=4$-COOEt $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3i: $R=H, R^{1}=2-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3j: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=3-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3k: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=4-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
31: $R=H, R^{1}=2-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3m: R=H, R ${ }^{1}=3-M e-C_{6} H_{4}$
3n: R=H, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=4-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3o: $R=H, R^{1}=4-F-C_{6} H_{4}$
3p: R=H, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
3q: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$
3r: $R=H, R^{1}=2,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$
3s: $R=B r, R^{1}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

Sch. 2. Synthesis of 3-substituted thiopyrano[2,3-d]thiazoles.


Sch. 3. Two alternative methods for the synthesis of 5 and its further modification.

## Biological activity

The main focus of biological activity studies was the search for compounds with antitumor activity. The newly synthesized compounds were selected by the National Cancer Institute $(\mathrm{NCl})$ within the Developmental Therapeutic Program (www.dtp.nci.nih.gov) for in vitro cell line screening. Anticancer assays were performed according to US NCI protocol, which was described elsewhere [15-18]. The compounds were first evaluated at one dose primary anticancer assay towards approximately 60 cell lines (concentration $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ ). The human tumor cell lines represent all forms of cancer (such as non-small cell lung cancer, colon cancer, breast cancer, ovarian cancer, leukemia, renal cancer, melanoma, prostate cancer). In the screening protocol, each cell line was inoculated and pre-incubated for $24-48 \mathrm{~h}$ on a microtiter plate. Test agents were then added at single concentration and the culture was incubated for an additional 48 h . The end point determinations were made with a protein binding dye, sulforhodamine $B$ (SRB). The results for each test agent were reported as the percent growth of the treated cells compared to the untreated control cells. The preliminary screening results are shown in table 1.

Among tested chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]thiazoles except highly active 3a and 3b selected for the in-depth study, noteworthy is the 3-substituted propionitrile 4, for which there was observed the selective effect on breast cancer line HS 578T (GP = 8.59\%). Other compounds tested in one-dose primary assay didn't show any impressive anticancer activity and therefore can't be considered as prospective anticancer agents.

Tab. 1. Anticancer activity screening at one dose assay $\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$

| Comp. | Average <br> growth \% | Range of <br> growth, \% | Most sensitive cancer cell lines, (growth \%) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 3a | 54.59 | $-16.33-112.90$ | Ovarian cancer: OVCAR-3 (-16.33) <br> Leukemia: SR (-12.33), K-562 (16.19) <br> Renal cancer: CAKI-1 (-0.80) <br> Breast cancer: MDA-MB-435 (2.23) |
| Colon cancer: HT-29 (15.51) |  |  |  |

Compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$, $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{3 f}, \mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{3 i}$, $\mathbf{3 n}$, $\mathbf{3 p - r}$ were tested on 60 tumor cell lines over a range of concentrations $\left(10^{-4}-10^{-8}\right)$. In this assay three dose-responce parameters are obtained:

1) growth inhibition of $50 \%-\mathbf{G I}_{50}$;
2) total growth inhibition - TGI;
3) $L C_{50}$.

Whereas the $\mathbf{G I}_{50}$ may be viewed as a growth-inhibitory level of effect, the TGI signifies a "total growth inhibition" or cytostatic level of effect. The $\mathbf{L C}_{50}$ is the lethal concentration, "net cell killing" or cytotoxity parameter. If tested parameters ( $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}, \operatorname{logTGI}$ and $\log \mathrm{LC}_{50}$ ) specified in negative log units are less then < -4.00 these compounds are assigned as active [19-21]. Results estimation of the compound 5 showed that the most sensitive tumor cell lines to this compound are leukemia.

Analyzing the results of a detailed in vitro screening tested chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d]thiazoles except $\mathbf{3 n}$ and $\mathbf{3 p}$ showed significant growth inhibition; the average value of $\operatorname{logGI} I_{50}$ ranges from -4.49 to -6.22 (table 2). The highest anticancer effect was observed for compounds $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 q}$ that showed significant cytostatic effect
on almost all tumor cell lines. Thus for the obtained compounds there was found no expressed selectivity of action toward separate cancer lines or cancer types. The only exception is the compound $3 n$, which against moderate activity (average value loog $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ is -4.14) caused inhibition of cell growth of leukemia lines CCRF-CEM and HL-60(TB) in submicromolar concentrations ( $\operatorname{logG} I_{50}--6.93$ and $<-8.00$, respectively).

Tab. 2. Total values of the in-depth in vitro screening in 5 concenrations $\left(10^{-4}-10^{-8} \mathrm{M}\right)$

| Comp. | NSC <br> NCI code | Average values of <br> the activity parameters |  | Quantity of the <br> "sensitive" lines <br> (s/t, $\%$ ) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | logGI $_{50}$ | logTGI | logLC $_{50}$ |  |
| 3a | 741023 | -5.10 | -4.14 | -4.00 | $57 / 57,100 \%$ |
| 3b | 741958 | -4.92 | -4.29 | -4.01 | $57 / 57,100 \%$ |
| 3f | 735629 | -6.22 | -4.82 | -4.21 | $57 / 57,100 \%$ |
| 3h | 735667 | -4.49 | -4.09 | -4.01 | $50 / 56,89.3 \%$ |
| 3i | 735711 | -4.84 | -4.11 | -4.01 | $54 / 57,94.7 \%$ |
| 3n | 735666 | -4.14 | -4.12 | -4.07 | $3 / 53,5.7 \%$ |
| 3p | 735621 | -4.26 | -4.03 | -4.00 | $39 / 58,67.2 \%$ |
| 3q | 741952 | -5.39 | -4.45 | -4.04 | $58 / 58,100 \%$ |
| 3r | 735709 | -4.18 | -4.00 | -4.00 | $16 / 57,28 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{t}-$ ratio of sensitive lines $\left(\log \mathrm{II}_{50}<-4.00\right)$ to the total number of tested lines.

Functionalization of the position N3 of basic heterocycle structure by introduction of acetamide molecular fragments is the most effective direction for anticancer profile optimization of chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]thiazoles. Results of our work may be used for planning the directed synthesis of potential anticancer agents.

Taking into consideration high antitumor potential of the compounds $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 q}$ and prospects for their enhanced pharmacological studies we have calculated the quantitative indicators of selectivity effects on different types of cancer based on the experimental results of in-depth screening. The selectivity ratios (SR) were calculated by dividing the full panel MID $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ and MID TGI (the average sensitivity of all cell lines towards the test agent) by their individual subpanel MID (the average sensitivity of all cell lines of a particular subpanel towards the test agent). Selectivity ratios of $3-6$ refer to moderate selectivity; ratios greater than 6 indicate high selectivity towards the corresponding cell line, while compounds not meeting either of these criteria are rated non-selective.

Compound 3a was found to be non-selective (SR were between 0.66-2.06 and 0.84-1.66 at the $\mathrm{Gl}_{50}$ and TGI levels respectively). Two other "hit-compounds" 3 f and 3 q showed moderate selectivity toward the prostate cancer subpanel with selectivity ratios $\mathrm{SR}=3.24$ at $\mathrm{Gl}_{50}$ level for 3 f and $\mathrm{SR}=4.41$ at TGI level for $\mathbf{3 q}$.

Tab. 3. Selectivity ratios for the „hit-compounds" 3a, 3f and 3q

| Comp. | Cancer cell lines | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{GI}_{50} \\ (\mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | SR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TGI } \\ (\mathrm{C}, \mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | SR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | Leukemia | 5.4 | 2.06 | 80.40 | 1.05 |
|  | Non-small cell lung cancer | 10.97 | 1.02 | 87.97 | 0.96 |
|  | Colon cancer | 6.15 | 1.81 | 94.80 | 0.89 |
|  | CNS cancer | 6.85 | 1.63 | 51.00 | 1.66 |
|  | Melanoma | 15.59 | 0.71 | 81.40 | 1.04 |
|  | Ovarian cancer | 16.81 | 0.66 | 92.93 | 0.91 |
|  | Renal cancer | 13.68 | 0.81 | 93.54 | 0.90 |
|  | Prostate cancer | 7.14 | 1.56 | 100.00 | 0.84 |
|  | Breast cancer | 12.05 | 0.92 | 82.70 | 1.02 |
| 3 f | Leukemia | 0.67 | 1.80 | 16.83 | 1.34 |
|  | Non-small cell lung cancer | 0.55 | 2.18 | 21.83 | 1.04 |
|  | Colon cancer | 0.65 | 1.85 | 16.87 | 1.34 |
|  | CNS cancer | 0.52 | 2.31 | 23.65 | 0.96 |
|  | Melanoma | 0.63 | 1.90 | 17.50 | 1.30 |
|  | Ovarian cancer | 2.82 | 0.43 | 41.75 | 0.54 |
|  | Renal cancer | 3.03 | 0.40 | 29.29 | 0.77 |
|  | Prostate cancer | 0.37 | 3.24 | 10.95 | 2.07 |
|  | Breast cancer | 0.47 | 2.55 | 13.07 | 1.74 |
| $3 q$ | Leukemia | 3.14 | 1.61 | 20.92 | 2.50 |
|  | Non-small cell lung cancer | 4.86 | 1.04 | 45.68 | 1.14 |
|  | Colon cancer | 5.73 | 0.88 | 79.68 | 0.65 |
|  | CNS cancer | 7.45 | 0.68 | 62.10 | 0.84 |
|  | Melanoma | 4.96 | 1.02 | 58.48 | 0.89 |
|  | Ovarian cancer | 5.23 | 0.97 | 58.89 | 0.88 |
|  | Renal cancer | 6.13 | 0.83 | 65.84 | 0.79 |
|  | Prostate cancer | 3.05 | 1.66 | 11.82 | 4.41 |
|  | Breast cancer | 3.32 | 1.53 | 26.51 | 1.97 |

COMPARE analysis was performed for compounds 3a, 3b and $\mathbf{3 f}$ to investigate the similarity of their cytotoxicity pattern (mean graph fingerprints) with those of known anticancer standard agents, NCI active synthetic compounds and natural extracts, which are present in public available databases (http://dtp.nci.nih.gov/docs/compare/... ...compare.html) [22-25]. Such analysis is based on comparing the patterns of differential growth inhibition for cultured cell lines and can potentially gain insight into the mechanism of the cytotoxic action. If the data pattern correlates well with that of compounds belonging to a standard agent database (Pearson's correlation coefficient (PCC) >0.6), the compound of interest may have the same mechanism of action. On the other hand, if the activity pattern does not correlate with any standard agent, it is possible that the compound has a novel mechanism of action. Standard COMPARE analysis was performed at the $\mathrm{Gl}_{50}$ level.

Tab. 4. Results of the COMPARE analysis at the $\mathrm{Gl}_{50}$ level.

| Comp. | PCC | Target | Target <br> vector NSC | N $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Target mechanism of action |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3a | 0.515 | Macbecin II | S330500 | 49 | Heat shock protein Hsp90 protein <br> inhibition |
| 3b | 0.571 | Macbecin II | S330500 | 50Heat shock protein Hsp90 protein <br> inhibition |  |
| 0.530 | D-Tetrandrine | S77037 | 52Inductor of apoptosis, reversal <br> activity for MDR tumors <br> Tamoxifen competitively binds to <br> estrogen receptors on tumors and <br> other tissue targets, producing a <br> nuclear complex that decreases <br> DNA synthesis and inhibits <br> estrogen effects. <br> Potent microtubule-targeted <br> compound that induces mitotic <br> arrest |  |  |
| 3f | 0.540 | Maytansine | S153858 | 5180973 | 58 |

${ }^{a}$ number of tested lines.

It was established that tested compounds do not have any high correlation levels with the NCl tested drugs or other biological active substances. This may be an argument in favor of the compounds unique mechanism of action that differs from the traditional links of influence on oncogenesis of known anticancer agents. However in our opinion some COMPARE analysis results deserve attention. Thus, there is correlation of the "hitcompounds" anticancer profile with macbecin II (NSC:S330500 Endpt:GI50 ExpId:AVGDATA hiConc: -6.0) which is DNA antimetabolite, which mechanism of action is in part due to heat shock protein Hsp90 protein inhibition [26]. Also one should mention that when comparing the $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values on each cancer cell line for $3 f$ there is some correlation with rhizoxin as inhibitor of tubulin (NSC:S332598 Endpt:GI50 Expld:AVGDATA hiConc: -5.3 ) $p=0.686$. It is interesting that among the possible ways of "hit-compounds" antitumor effect realization COMPARE analysis does not deny the possible induction of apoptosis, which is an argument for the experimental confirmation of this hypothesis considering the anticancer mechanism of action of structurally related 5-(4-methylbenzylidene)-2-phenylamino-1,3-thiazolidin-4-one (MMPT) [27].

## Experimental

## Chemistry

Melting points were measured in open capillary tubes on a BUCHI B-545 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) were performed using the Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer and were within $0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on Varian Gemini 400 MHz or Bruker 125 MHz
for frequencies 100 MHz in $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm units with use of $d$ scale. Mass spectra were obtained using electrospray (ES) ionization techniques on an Agilent 1100 Series LCMS.

## Preparation of compounds 1a-c

A mixture of appropriate 2-allyloxybenzaldehyde ( 0.01 mol ), 4-thioxo-2-thiazolidinone $(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and acetic acid or acetonitryle ( 20 ml ) was stirred at room temperature in the presence of triethylamine ( 0.01 mol ). Obtained solid product was collected by filtration and recrystallized from dioxane.
rel-(5aR,11bR)-3,5a,6,11b-Tetrahydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]-[1,3]thiazol-2-one (1a)
Yield: $80 \%$, mp $230-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Dioxane) [11]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.22-2.36 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.15$ (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.82-4.00 (m, 1H, $6-H), 3.97(d, 1 H, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 11.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): 27.9, 30.2, 38.7, 69.2, 105.6, 117.4, 121.2, 121.6, 123.4, 127.7, 129.2, 153.7, 170.9. ESI-MS m/z 278 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 56.30; H, 4.00; N, 5.05. Found, \% C, 56.35; H,4.06; N, 5.04.
rel-(5aR,11bR)-10-Bromo-3,5a,6,11b-tetrahydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano-[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-2-one (1b)
Yield: 83\%, mp 237-239 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{AcOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): 2.45 (m, 1H, 5a-H), 2.95-3.10 (m, 2H, 2*5-H), 3.90-4.00 (m, 1H, 6-H), 4.08 (d, 1H, J = $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.40$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H})$, $7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 11.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. ESI-MS m/z 356 and $358(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 43.83; H, 2.83; N, 3.93. Found, \%: C, 44.00; H, 2.79; N, 3.93.
rel-(5aR,11bR)-10-Nitro-3,5a,6,11b-tetrahydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano-[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-2-one (1c)
Yield: $55 \%, \mathrm{mp} 214-216^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{AcOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): 2.42 (m, 1H, $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.09-3.16 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.45 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.00-4.11 (m, 1H, 6-H), 4.22 (d, 1H, J = 10.5 Hz, 11b-H), 4.48 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 10.3 Hz, 6-H), 7.06 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, 8H), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 11.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. ESI-MS m/z 323 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ C, 48.44; H, 3.13; N, 8.69. Found, \%: C, 48.64; H, 3.25; N, 8.80.

## Preparation of for potassium salts (2a-c)

To a stirred suspension of 1a-c ( 0.01 mol ) in 30 ml of ethanol potassium hydroxide ( 0.011 mol ) in 15 ml of ethanol was added. Reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The formed potassium salt was filtered, washed with ethanol, diethyl ether and used in the following transformations without additional purification.

## Preparation of compounds 3c,d

To a suspension of $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( 0.01 mol ) in the ethanol 0.011 mol of p-fluoro- or $p$-chlorobromoacetophenone was added. The reaction mixtures were refluxed for 3-9 h, the progress of the reactions was monitored by TLC; formed precipitates were collected by filtration and crystallized from appropriate solvents.
rel-(5aR,11bR)-3-[2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3,5a,6,11b-tetrahydro-2H,5Hchromeno[4', $\left.3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-2-one (3c)
Yield $78 \%$, mp $178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ): 2.10-2.35 (m, 1H, 5a$\mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.10 (d, 1H, J = $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.40 (dd, 1H, J = 3.8, $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 5.25 (s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 7.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5$, 8.2 Hz, 4-F-C $6_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 28.4, 38.0, 39.0, 50.0, 68.7, 105.6, 116.6 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 117.7, 121.2, 122.9, 124.7, 127.7, 128.9, 131.3, 131.8 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 154.9,166.1$ (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=202 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right)$, 169.7, 191.3. ESI-MS m/z $415(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 61.00; H, 3.90; N, 3.39. Found, \%: C, 61.18; H, 3.75; N, 3.47.
rel-(5aR,11bR)-3-[2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3,5a,6,11b-tetrahydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-2-one (3d)
Yield $87 \%$, mp $160-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonotrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.10-2.30 ( m , $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.7,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $5.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $8.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 27.9, 33.8, 38.0, 49.8, $66.2,105.2,117.3,121.0,123.2,127.6,129.2,129.6,130.3,130.6,133.1,139.8,153.6$, 170.1, 191.8. ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 431$ and $433(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 58.67; H, 3.75; N, 3.26. Found, \%: C, 58.84; H, 3.63; N, 3.29.

## Preparation of 3a,b and 3e-t

The mixture of $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathbf{2 a - c}, 0,015 \mathrm{~mol}$ of ethylchloroacetate or appropriate chloroacetamide, 5 ml of DMF, 15 ml of ethanol and catalytic amounts of potassium iodide and potassium carbonate was refluxed for 3 h . The product of the reaction was collected by filtration, washed with water and diethyl ether. Esters 3a,b were recrystallized from ethanol. Amides $\mathbf{3 e} \mathbf{- t}$ were recrystallized from a mixture of DMF-ethanol (1:2)

Ethyl rel-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano-[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetate (3a)
Yield $68 \%$, mp $132-134^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $1.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.05-$ $2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H})$, $3.88(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.16\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.41$ (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 10.7 Hz, 6-H), 4.48 (s, 2H, CH2CO), $6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 364(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 56.18; H, 4.71; N, 3.85. Found, \%: C, 56.28, H, 4.65, N, 3.80.

Ethyl rel-[(5aR,11bR)-10-bromo-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thio-pyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetate (3b)
Yield $76 \%$, mp $148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $1.30\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.28-$ $2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.21\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.2,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{H})$. ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 442$ and $444(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 46.16, H, 3.65, N, 3.17. Found, \%: C, 46.28, H, 3.82, N, 3.01.
rel-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-Oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]-[1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3e)
Yield $94 \%$, mp $210-211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.21-2.23 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.7,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.43 (dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.7,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. ESI-MS m/z $335(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%: \mathrm{C}, 53.87$; H, 4.22; $\mathrm{N}, 8.38$. Found, \%: C, 53.72; H, 4.40; N, 8.27.
rel-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-Oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]-[1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]-N-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]acetamide (3f)
Yield $47 \%$, mp $240-242^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.22-2.40 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.00-3.08$ (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.20 (dd, 1H, J = 3.8, $12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.80-3.98 (m, 1H, $6-H), 4.00(d, 1 H, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 7.49 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 H , arom.), 7.73 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 8.09c (s, 1 H , arom.), 11.78 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 28.5, 38.0, 38.9, 46.2, $68.7,105.4,115.7,117.7,120.5,121.0,121.2,123.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=242 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 123.2,127.6$, 128.9, $130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}\right), 130.7,139.7,154.9,165.5,169.8$. ESI-MS m/z 479 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 55.22; H, 3.58; N, 5.85. Found, \%: C, 55.39; H, 3.41; N, 6.01.
rel-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-Oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]-[1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]-N-[2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]acetamide (3g)
Yield 58\%, mp 218-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.19-2.23 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3,91(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=10,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.7,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $4.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.98$ (t, 1H, J = $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.18-7.24 (m, 2H, 9-H, 11-H), 7.44-7.52 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.70 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz , arom.), 7.76 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz , arom.), 10.04 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 479(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 55.22; H, 3.58; N, 5.85. Found, \%: C, 55.09; H, 3.69; N, 5.95.

Ethyl rel-4-(\{[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano-[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetyl\}amino)benzoate (3h)
Yield 57\%, mp 246-248 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ): 1.34 (t, 3H,
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.20-2.40 (m, 1H, 5a-H), 3.02 (t, 1H, J = 10,6 Hz, 5-H), 3.22 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 12.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.97$ (t, 1H, J = 11,0 Hz, 6-H), $4.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.28$ (q, 2H, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.53$ (d,1H, J = $\left.16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H})$, $7.18(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom. $)$, 7.90 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz , arom.), 10.61 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ): 14.7, $28.5,38.0,38.9,46.3,61.0,68.8,107.4,117.7,119.1,121.2,122.9,124.9,125.2,127.6$, 128.9, 130.8, 143.2, 154.9, 165.4, 165.7, 169.8. ESI-MS m/z 483 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 59.73; H, 4.60; N, 5.80. Found, \%: C, 59.90; H, 4.41; N, 5.96.
rel-N-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3i)
Yield 62\%, mp 210-212 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA:EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.20-2.40 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.03 (t, 1H, J = 10,8 Hz, $5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.25 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.8,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.75-$ 7.06 (m, 3H, arom.), 7.10-7.16 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.22 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz , arom.), 7.43 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.06 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 10.61 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS m/z 441 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 59.98; H, 4.58; N, 6.36. Found, \%: C, 60.10; H, 4.77; N, 6.45.
rel-N-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3j)
Yield $72 \%$, mp $235-237^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.22-2.38 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.00 (t, 1H, J = 11,0 Hz, 5-H), 3.15 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.72 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.98 (d, 1H, J = $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.40 (dd, 1H, J = $3.8,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 6.82 (d, 1H, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}$ ), $6,94(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7,03 (d, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz , arom.), 7.18 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8,7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 7.30 (s, 1H, arom.), 7.46 (t, 1H, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}$ ), 9.40 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS m/z 441 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 59.98; H, 4.58; N, 6.36. Found, \%: C, 59.91; H, 4.69; N, 6.56.
rel-N-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3k)
Yield $57 \%$, mp $262-264^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.25-2.38 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.00-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.86-3.98 (m, 2H, 6-H and 11b-H), $4.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 6.76-6.82 (m, 3H, arom.), 6.92 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.39(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.48$ (m, 3H, arom.), 10.30 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS m/z $441(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : \% C, 59.98; H, 4.58; N, 6.36. Found, \%: C, 59.90; H, 4.70; N, 6.56.
rel-N-(2-Methylphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3I)

Yield 59\%, mp 210-212${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): 2.25 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.26-2.40 (m, 1H, 5a-H), $3.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,12.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.44$ (dd, 1H, J = $3.8,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.73-$ 6.94 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.00-7.22 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.41-7.48 (m, 2H, arom.), 9.98 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS m/z $425(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 62.24; H, 4.75; N, 6.60. Found, \%: C, 62.44; H, 4.62; N, 6.82.
rel-N-(3-Methylphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3m)
Yield 67\%, mp 234-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.25-2.38 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $5-H), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0$, $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.78-6.85(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H , arom.), 6.93 (t, 1H, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.08-7.16$ (m, 2H, arom.), 7.33 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz , arom.), 7.42 (s, 1H, arom.), 7.44 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}$ ), 10.05 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 21.7, 28.5, 38.0, 38.9, 46.2, 68.8, 105.2, 116.8, 117.7, 120.1, 121.2, $122.9,124.7,124.9,127.6,128.9,129.1,138.5,139.0,154.9,164.7,169.7$. ESI-MS $m / z$ $425(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ \%: C, 62.24; H, 4.75; N, 6.60. Found, \%: C, 62.32; H, 4.80; N, 6.56.
rel-N-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3n)
Yield 68\%, mp 230-233 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ): 2.27 (s, 3H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.65-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,11.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), $7.18(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.40-7.50$ (m, 3H, arom.), 10.15 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 20.9, 28.5, 38.0, 39.0, 46.1, 68.8, 105.3, 117.7, 119.6, 121.2, 122.9, 125.0, 127.7, 128.9, 129.7, 133.1, 136.5, 154.9, 164.5, 169.7. ESI-MS m/z 425 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 62.24; H, 4.75; N, 6.60. Found, \%: C, 62.38; H, 4.55; N, 6.67.
rel-N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3o)
Yield $74 \%$, mp $290-291^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : 2.20-2.38 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-$ H), $6.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-$ H), 7.07 (t, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz , arom.), 7.57 (dd, 2H, J = 5.1, 9.0 Hz , arom.), 10.31 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 28.5, 38.0, 38.9, 46.1, 68.8, 105.3, 115.9 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=22.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 117.7, 121.2, 121.4 (d, J $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 122.9, 124.9, 127.7, 128.9, 135.4, 154.9, 158.7 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=240 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 164.7, 169.7. ESI-MS m/z $429(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ C, 58.86; H, 4.00; N, 6.54. Found, \%: C, 58.90; H, 3.87; N, 6.43.
rel-N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3p)
Yield 50\%, mp 260-263 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.25-2.42 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $4.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-$ H), $6.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-$ H ), 7.29 (d, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz , arom.), 7.54 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 10.15 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 28.5, 38.0, 38.9, 46.2, 68.8, 105.3, 117.3, 117.7, 121.2, 122.9, 124.9, 127.7, 128.9, 129.2, 130.3, 138.0, 154.9, 165.0, 169.7. ESI-MS m/z 445 and 447 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 56.69; H, 3.85; N, 6.30. Found, \%: C, 56.80, H, 3.95, N, 6.21.
rel-N-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno-[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3q)
Yield $47 \%$, mp 238-240 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.25-2.38 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-$ H), $7.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-$ H), 7.56-7.62 (m, 2H, arom.), 8.02 (s, 1H, arom.), 10.05 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS m/z 479 and $481(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 52.61; H, 3.36; N, 5.84. Found, \%: C, 52.80; H, 3.20; N, 5.88.
rel-N-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno-[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3r)
Yield 52\%, mp $265-267^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA:EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.23-2.34 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 7.48 (d, 1H, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.97 (s, 1H, arom.), 8.22 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 10.05 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS m/z 479 and $481(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 52.61; H, 3.36; N, 5.84. Found, \%: C, 52.74; H, 3.47; N, 5.86.
rel-N-(4-Acetylphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-10-bromo-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno-[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3s)
Yield 65\%, mp $230-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.51 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 2.25-2.35 (m, 1H, 5a-H), 3.00-3.08 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.16 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $5-\mathrm{H}), 3.93$ (t, 1H, J = $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.98 (d, 1H, J = $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.43 (dd, 1H, J = 3.8, $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 7.88 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz , arom.), 10.51 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 26.9, 28.3, 37.9, 38.8, 46.4, 69.2, 104.3, 118.9, 119.5, 124.6, 125.3, 125.4, 126.9, 128.7, 130.1, 132.6, 143.2, 153.8, 165.4, 169.5, 196.9. ESI-MS m/z 531 and $533(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 51.98; H, 3.60; N, 5.27. Found, \%: C, 52.10; H, 3.61; N, 5.35.
rel-N-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-[(5aR,11bR)-10-nitro-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4', $\left.3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]acetamide (3t)
Yield $45 \%$, mp $248-250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMFA-EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 2.51 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 2.40-2.44 (m, 1H, 5a-H), $3.06(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 5-H), 4.05-4.20 (m, 2H, 6-H), $4.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.10$ (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz , arom.), 7.43 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 8.10 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 9-H), 8.43 (s, 1H, 11-H), 10.23 (s, 1H, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 20.9, 27.9, 37.8, 38.5, 46.2, 70.5, 102.9, 118.4, 119.6, 122.9, 124.7, 124.9, 126.2, 129.7, 133.1, 136.8, 140.8, 160.6, 164.4, 169.5. ESI-MS m/z $470(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 56.28; H, 4.08; N, 8.95. Found, \%: C, 56.40; H, 4.23; N, 8.89.

## Preparation of compound 4

To $0,01 \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathbf{1 a}$ the mixture of 50 ml of pyridine and 10 ml of water containing 3 ml of acrylonitrile was added. Reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 h and then precipitated with water. The deposited solid was collected and crystallized from ethanol.
rel-3-[(5aR,11bR)-2-Oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]-
[1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]propanenitrile (4)
Yield $72 \%$, mp $115-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 2.18-2.30 (m, 1H,5aH), $2.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ ), $3.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $5-\mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.7,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $17.3,28.6,38.1,38.7,39.5,68.7,106.5,117.7,118.4,121.2,122.8$, 124.1, 127.7, 128.9, 154.9, 169.4. ESI-MS m/z $331(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 58.16; H, 4.27; N, 8,48. Found, \%: C, 58.20; H, 4.32; N, 8,43.

## Preparation of compound 5

The solution of $4(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 30 ml of acetic acid and 15 ml of hydrochloric acid was refluxed for 3 h . After cooling the reaction mixture was precipitated with water. After 24 h , the white solid was collected by filtration and treated with toluene, whereupon it was crystallized from ethanol.
rel-3-[(5aR,11bR)-2-Oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]-[1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]propanoic acid (5)
Yield $75 \%$, mp $107-109^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.60-2.80 (m, 1H, 5a$\mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.70\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6-\mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.7,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 12.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, COOH). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 55.0; H, 4.33; N, 4.01. ESI-MS m/z 348 $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$. Found, \%: C, 54.97; H, 4.28; N, 4.00.

## Preparation of Compounds 6a-c

To the solution of $5(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in anhydrous dioxane thionyl chloride ( 0.057 mol ) was added. Reaction mixture was refluxed for 30 min , after cooling it was precipitated with hexane. Obtained acid chloride was used for further transformations without additional purification. To the solution of acid chloride ( 0.01 mol ) in 10 ml of dioxane a mixture of appropriate aniline ( 0.01 mol ) and triethylamine in 10 ml of dioxane was added. Reaction mixture was heated for 10 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and poured into water. Filtered precipitate was crystallized from acetic acid.
rel-N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]propanamide (6a)
Yield $55 \%$, mp $230-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.60-2.80 (m, 1H,5aH), 2.61 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.23(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-$ H), $3.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $4.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, 4.23 (dd, 1H, J = 3.1, $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $10-\mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 7.54 (d, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz , arom.), $10.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $27.8,30.0,33.9,35.8,66.2,105.1,117.3,121.0,121.1,121.3,123.2,127.3,129.0,129.1$, 130.3, 138.3, 153.6, 168.6, 169.6. ESI-MS m/z 459 and $461(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 57.57; H, 4.17; N, 6.10. Found, \%: C, 57.60; H, 4.13; N, 6.20.
rel-N-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-[(5aR,11bR)-2-oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]-thiopyrano[2,3-d][1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]propanamide (6b)
Yield $65 \%$, mp $216-218^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.60-2.80 (m, 1H,5aH), 2.63 (t, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.09 (t, 1H, J = $12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.30 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-$ H), $3.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 4.15 (d, 1H, J = $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.05 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.30 (dd, 1H, J = 3.1, $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $10-\mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (t, 1H, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.20 (d, 1H, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.36 (d, 2H, arom.), 7.55 (d, 2H, arom.), 8.43 (s, 1H, OH), $10.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $27.8,30.0,33.9,35.6,66.2,105.1,115.5,117.3,121.0,121.2,121.6,123.2,129.1,130.3$, 131.0, 153.6, 153.9, 167.6, 169.6. ESI-MS $m / z 441(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, \%: C, 59.98; H, 4.58; N, 6.36. Found, \%: C, 59.88; H, 4.56; N, 6.48.
rel-3-[(5aR,11bR)-2-Oxo-5a,11b-dihydro-2H,5H-chromeno[4',3':4,5]thiopyrano[2,3-d]-[1,3]thiazol-3(6H)-yl]-N-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)propanamide (6c)
Yield $65 \%, \mathrm{mp} 224-226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): 2.60-2.80 (m, 1H, 5aH), $2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.20(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-$ H), $3.78\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, 4.32 (dd, 1H, J = 3.1, 10.5 Hz, 6-H), 6.78 (d, 1H, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $10-\mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 7.52 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arom.), 7.54 (s, 2H, SO2 $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 10.05 (s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 505(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \%$ : C, 52.47; H, 4.20; N, 8.34. Found, \%: C, 52.58; H, 4.11; N, 8.30.

## Cytotoxic activity against malignant human tumor cells

Primary anticancer in vitro assay was performed at human tumor cell lines panel derived from nine neoplastic diseases, in accordance with the protocol of the Drug Evaluation

Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda [15-18]. Tested compounds were added to the culture at a single concentration ( $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ ) and the cultures were incubated for 48 h . End point determinations were made with a protein binding dye, sulforhodamine B (SRB). Results for each tested compound were reported as the percent of growth of the treated cells when compared to the untreated control cells. The percentage growth was evaluated spectrophotometrically versus controls not treated with test agents.

The cytotoxic and/or growth inhibitory effects of the most active selected compounds were tested in vitro against the full panel of about 60 human tumor cell lines at 10 -fold dilutions of five concentrations ranging from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$. A 48-h continuous drug exposure protocol was followed and an SRB protein assay was used to estimate cell viability or growth. Using the seven absorbance measurements [time zero, (Tz), control growth in the absence of drug, (C), and test growth in the presence of drug at the five concentration levels (Ti)], the percentage growth was calculated at each of the drug concentration levels. Percentage growth inhibition was calculated as: $[(\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Tz}) /(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Tz})] \times 100$ for concentrations for which $\mathrm{Ti} \geq \mathrm{Tz},[(\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Tz}) / \mathrm{Tz}] \times 100$ for concentrations for which $\mathrm{Ti}<\mathrm{Tz}$. Three dose response parameters were calculated for each compound. Growth inhibition of $50 \%\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ was calculated from $[(T i-T z) /(C-T z)] \times 100=50$, which is the drug concentration resulting in a 50\% lower net protein increase in the treated cells (measured by SRB staining) as compared to the net protein increase seen in the control cells. The drug concentration resulting in total growth inhibition (TGI) was calculated from $T i=T z$. The $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ (concentration of drug resulting in a $50 \%$ reduction in the measured protein at the end of the drug treatment as compared to that at the beginning) indicating a net loss of cells following treatment was calculated from [(Ti-Tz)/Tz] x $100=-50$. Values were calculated for each of these three parameters if the level of activity is reached; however, if the effect was not reached or was exceeded, the value for that parameter was expressed as greater or less than the maximum or minimum concentration tested. The $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}$, $\operatorname{logTGI}, \operatorname{logLC}{ }_{50}$ were then determined, defined as the mean of the logs of the individual $\mathrm{GI}_{50}, \mathrm{TGI}, \mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values. The lowest values are obtained with the most sensitive cell lines.
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