

# Current scenario of pathogen-detection techniques in agro-food sector

Monika Nehra<sup>1,2</sup>, Virendra Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Rajesh Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Neeraj Dilbaghi<sup>1</sup> and Sandeep Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Bio and Nano Technology, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar-Haryana, 125001, India;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, University Institute of Engineering and Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014, India

*Corresponding author email: ksandeep36@yahoo.com*

## Supplementary Data

### Comparative analysis and future perspectives

A comparison of all discussed techniques is provided in Table 1 with their brief description, advantages, and disadvantages [1–8]. The conventional techniques have their own advantages depending on the type of pathogenic targets and the ease of use. Culture plating is old, but it is still being used for ease of use and visual growth confirmation on selective culture media. Techniques such as MALDI-TOF are more refined and use ribosomal protein fingerprinting for more accurate detection [9], whereas the DNA microarray is a more advanced and sophisticated technique that employs a multiplex approach to detect and differentiate samples of various bacteria on the basis of species, subspecies, and functional and metabolic profiles. The multiplex approach is fascinating, but there is much to do in sample processing before multiplex detection. Techniques that used synthetic receptors (such as MIP) are promising to eliminate the use of antibodies as receptors [10]. The integration of omics and CRISPR technology, where omics studies inform CRISPR-based genome editing, enables the engineering of microorganisms and microbiomes' formulation in food [11]. Integrated biosensors are some of the most advanced approaches for the detection of pathogens. The mass production of electrochemical/optical chips using POC settings can be revolutionary to detect various pathogens [12,13].

**Table S1.** Comparative analysis of different techniques in pathogen detection.

S. no.	Technique	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages	Reference
1	Culture plating and colony counting	Bacterial culture is grown on nutrient medium and their colony-forming units are counted	The most established and relatively easy and cheap method	Requires huge amount of time (16 to 48 hrs) to culture bacteria, prone to contamination, and less specific	[1,14,15]
2	Chromogenic media	Chromogenic bacterial growth occurs due to presence of chromogen in media, which are only catalysed by the specific	Specific for a particular pathogenic stain and	Requires more time and not completely specific	[2,16]

		enzyme present in the pathogenic bacteria	naked-eye detection		
3	PCR	Multiple copies of target DNA are generated	Highly specific and less time consuming	Lack of differentiation between viable and non-viable cells	[3,17–20]
4	Optical biosensors	Optical change is measured when bacterial cell binds to the receptor on the transducer surface	High accuracy, rapid detection and analysis	These optical analyzers are expensive and require expert handling	[21–27]
5	MALDI-TOF	Pathogen-specific peptide mass fingerprint (PMF) is used to identify the pathogen in the given sample after treating the sample in MALDI-tof mass analyzer.	Complements the conventional culture method	The mass spectrometry is expensive and requires expert handling	[4,9,28,29]
6	Paper-based and lateral flow assay	Chromogenic reaction on paper is due to bacterial enzyme or receptor-cell binding and lateral flow of the analyte and its detection due to enzyme-linked chromogenic receptor	Takes less time and is cost effective	Poor detection limits	[5,30,31]
7	Molecular imprinting	Pathogenic capture polymer surfaces are generated using molecular imprinting to select and bind bacteria	Replaces antibody, rapid, and cost effective	Requires generation of molecularly imprinted polymers	[10,32,33]
8	Electrochemical collusion technology	Bacterial detection is done by measuring the change in diffusion	Very sensitive and rapid	Requires the electrochemical station	[34,35]

		current caused by the collusion of cell with the ultramicroelectrode surface			
9	DNA microarray	Simultaneous identification and detection of different bacterial cells by is done by cDNA hybridization of specific genes associated with specific bacterial cells	Identifies different types of bacteria in one reaction	Use of expensive microarray plates and reagents and also requires expert handling	[6,36,37]
10	Antibody-based immunoassay	Target analyte is detected on the basis of antibody-antigen reaction in the presence of enzyme	Specific and possible handling of large number of samples	Low sensitivity and issue of cross-reactivity with closely related antigens	[38,39]
10	Aptamer-based immunoassays	Various immunoassays are developed using synthetic DNA/RNA receptors	Replaces antibody and is highly sensitive	Requires generation of target-specific aptamers	[40–42]
11	Omics-based technologies	These techniques (such as next-generation sequencing, proteomic, etc.) study changes in protein, mRNA, and DNA under different physiological and environmental conditions	Early detection of wide range of bacterial strains	Complicated isolation of components in a complex system	[7,43]
12	CRISPR-based technologies	This technique involves the cleavage of target nucleic acid via endonuclease activity associated with CRISPR-Cas enzyme	Specificity, ease-of-use, and accuracy	Need for complex assays in case of preamplification, which is required for detection of	[7,44]

				targets below fmol range	
13	Electrochemical assays	Various electrochemical techniques are used for detection	Rapid and sensitive detection	Requires expensive electrochemical station	[35,41]
14	Nanomaterial-mediated detection	Unique optical and electrical properties of nanomaterials are utilized for the detection of bacterial cells	Rapid and low-cost detection	Requires generation of nano-bioconjugates, and these conjugates have stability issues	[8,45–48]

CRISPR: Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats

DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid

MALDI-TOF: Matrix-assisted laser desorption-time of flight

PCR: Polymerase chain reaction

RNA: Ribonucleic acid

## References

1. Fredericks, D.N.; Relman, D.A. Sequence-Based Identification of Microbial Pathogens: A Reconsideration of Koch's Postulates. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **1996**, *9*, 18 LP – 33, doi:10.1128/CMR.9.1.18.
2. Perry, J.D. A Decade of Development of Chromogenic Culture Media for Clinical Microbiology in an Era of Molecular Diagnostics. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2017**, *30*, 449 LP – 479, doi:10.1128/CMR.00097-16.
3. Garibyan, L.; Avashia, N. Polymerase Chain Reaction. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **2013**, *133*, 1–4, doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2013.1.
4. Doern, C.D.; Butler-Wu, S.M. Emerging and Future Applications of Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Time-of-Flight (MALDI-TOF) Mass Spectrometry in the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory: A Report of the Association for Molecular Pathology. *J. Mol. Diagnostics* **2016**, *18*, 789–802, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2016.07.007.
5. Alhogail, S.; Suaifan, G.A.R.Y.; Zourob, M. Development of Rapid and Low-Cost Paper Based Sensing Platform for Bacterial Detection. *Procedia Technol.* **2017**, *27*, 146–148, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2017.04.064.
6. Burteau, S.; Bogaerts, P.; de Mendonça, R.; Irenge, L.; Berhin, C.; Hiffe, J.; de San, N.; Beyne, P.; Hamels, S.; Glupczynski, Y.; et al. Design and Validation of a Low Density Array (NosoChip) for the Detection and Identification of the Main Pathogenic Bacteria and Fungi Responsible for Nosocomial Pneumonia. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2008**, *27*, 17–27, doi:10.1007/s10096-007-0394-1.
7. Sun, Y.; Li, J.; Zhu, L.; Jiang, L. Cooperation and Competition between CRISPR- and Omics-Based Technologies in Foodborne Pathogens Detection: A State of the Art Review. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* **2022**, *44*, 100813, doi:10.1016/j.COFS.2022.100813.
8. Wang, J.; Wu, X.; Wang, C.; Rong, Z.; Ding, H.; Li, H.; Li, S.; Shao, N.; Dong, P.; Xiao, R.; et al. Facile Synthesis of Au-Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles and Their Application in Bacteria Detection via a SERS Method. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 19958–19967,

- doi:10.1021/acsami.6b07528.
9. Singhal, N.; Kumar, M.; Kanaujia, P.K.; Viridi, J.S. MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry: An Emerging Technology for Microbial Identification and Diagnosis. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 791, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00791.
  10. Dulay, M.; Zaman, N.; Jaramillo, D.; Mody, A.; Zare, R. Pathogen-Imprinted Organosiloxane Polymers as Selective Biosensors for the Detection of Targeted E. Coli. *C* **2018**, *4*, 29, doi:10.3390/c4020029.
  11. Pan, M.; Barrangou, R. Combining Omics Technologies with CRISPR-Based Genome Editing to Study Food Microbes. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *61*, 198–208, doi:10.1016/J.COPBIO.2019.12.027.
  12. Kishnani, V.; Park, S.; Nakate, U.T.; Mondal, K.; Gupta, A. Nano-Functionalized Paper-Based IoT Enabled Devices for Point-of-Care Testing: A Review. *Biomed. Microdevices* **2021**, *24*, 1–24, doi:10.1007/S10544-021-00588-7.
  13. Lopes, L.C.; Santos, A.; Bueno, P.R. An Outlook on Electrochemical Approaches for Molecular Diagnostics Assays and Discussions on the Limitations of Miniaturized Technologies for Point-of-Care Devices. *Sensors and Actuators Reports* **2022**, *4*, 100087, doi:10.1016/J.SNR.2022.100087.
  14. Staley, J.T.; Konopka, A. MEASUREMENT OF IN SITU ACTIVITIES OF NONPHOTOSYNTHETIC MICROORGANISMS IN AQUATIC AND TERRESTRIAL HABITATS. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **1985**, *39*, 321–346, doi:10.1146/annurev.mi.39.100185.001541.
  15. Lindsay, B.; Pop, M.; Antonio, M.; Walker, A.W.; Mai, V.; Ahmed, D.; Oundo, J.; Tamboura, B.; Panchalingam, S.; Levine, M.M.; et al. Survey of Culture, GoldenGate Assay, Universal Biosensor Assay, and 16S RRNA Gene Sequencing as Alternative Methods of Bacterial Pathogen Detection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2013**, *51*, 3263 LP – 3269, doi:10.1128/JCM.01342-13.
  16. Orega, S.; James, A.L.; Manafi, M.; Perry, J.D.; Pincus, D.H. Enzymatic Substrates in Microbiology. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2009**, *79*, 139–155, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2009.08.001.
  17. Brauns, L.A.; Hudson, M.C.; Oliver, J.D. Use of the Polymerase Chain Reaction in Detection of Culturable and Nonculturable *Vibrio Vulnificus* Cells. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1991**, *57*, 2651–2655.
  18. Mackay, I.M. Real-Time PCR in the Microbiology Laboratory. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2004**, *10*, 190–212, doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1198-743X.2004.00722.x.
  19. Settanni, L.; Corsetti, A. The Use of Multiplex PCR to Detect and Differentiate Food- and Beverage-Associated Microorganisms: A Review. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2007**, *69*, 1–22, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2006.12.008.
  20. Lee, S.-T.; Kim, J.-Y.; Kown, M.-J.; Kim, S.W.; Chung, J.H.; Ahn, M.-J.; Oh, Y.L.; Kim, J.-W.; Ki, C.-S. Mutant Enrichment with 3'-Modified Oligonucleotides: A Practical PCR Method for Detecting Trace Mutant DNAs. *J. Mol. Diagnostics* **2011**, *13*, 657–668, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2011.07.003.
  21. Ivnitski, D.; Abdel-Hamid, I.; Atanasov, P.; Wilkins, E. Biosensors for Detection of Pathogenic Bacteria. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **1999**, *14*, 599–624, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-5663(99)00039-1.
  22. Arwin, H. Spectroscopic Ellipsometry and Biology: Recent Developments and Challenges. *Thin Solid Films* **1998**, *313–314*, 764–774, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6090(97)00993-0.
  23. Tang, S.; Wang, Z.; Zhong, L.; Gao, J.; Guo, J. Error Analysis of a Plane Mirror Interferometer Based on Geometric Optical Paths. *Opt. Express* **2012**, *20*, 5108–5118, doi:10.1364/OE.20.005108.
  24. Homola, J. Surface Plasmon Resonance Sensors for Detection of Chemical and Biological Species. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 462–493, doi:10.1021/cr068107d.
  25. Fratamico, P.M.; Strobaugh, T.P.; Medina, M.B.; Gehring, A.G. Detection of *Escherichia Coli* 0157:H7 Using a Surface Plasmon Resonance Biosensor. *Biotechnol. Tech.* **1998**, *12*, 571–576, doi:10.1023/A:1008872002336.
  26. Bunyakul, N.; Promptmas, C.; Baeumner, A.J. Microfluidic Biosensor for Cholera Toxin

- Detection in Fecal Samples. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, *407*, 727–736, doi:10.1007/s00216-014-7947-9.
27. Bosch, M.E.; Sánchez, A.J.R.; Rojas, F.S.; Ojeda, C.B. Recent Development in Optical Fiber Biosensors. *Sensors* **2007**, *7*, 797–859, doi:10.3390/s7060797.
  28. Kirn, T.J. Commentary: Automatic Digital Plate Reading for Surveillance Cultures. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2016**, *54*, 2424 LP – 2426, doi:10.1128/JCM.01279-16.
  29. Hrabák, J.; Študentová, V.; Walková, R.; Žemličková, H.; Jakubů, V.; Chudáčková, E.; Gniadkowski, M.; Pfeifer, Y.; Perry, J.D.; Wilkinson, K.; et al. Detection of NDM-1, VIM-1, KPC, OXA-48, and OXA-162 Carbapenemases by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization–Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2012**, *50*, 2441 LP – 2443, doi:10.1128/JCM.01002-12.
  30. Noiphung, J.; Laiwattanapaisal, W. Multifunctional Paper-Based Analytical Device for In Situ Cultivation and Screening of Escherichia Coli Infections. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 1555, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-38159-1.
  31. Kim, H.J.; Kwon, C.; Lee, B.S.; Noh, H. One-Step Sensing of Foodborne Pathogenic Bacteria Using a 3D Paper-Based Device. *Analyst* **2019**, *144*, 2248–2255, doi:10.1039/C8AN02151A.
  32. Idil, N.; Mattiasson, B. Imprinting of Microorganisms for Biosensor Applications. *Sensors (Basel)*. **2017**, *17*, 708, doi:10.3390/s17040708.
  33. Jia, M.; Zhang, Z.; Li, J.; Ma, X.; Chen, L.; Yang, X. Molecular Imprinting Technology for Microorganism Analysis. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2018**, *106*, doi:10.1016/j.trac.2018.07.011.
  34. Kumar, V.; Chopra, A.; Bisht, B.; Bhalla, V. Colorimetric and Electrochemical Detection of Pathogens in Water Using Silver Ions as a Unique Probe. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 11986, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-68803-8.
  35. Gao, G.; Wang, D.; Brocenschi, R.; Zhi, J.; Mirkin, M. V Toward the Detection and Identification of Single Bacteria by Electrochemical Collision Technique. *Anal. Chem.* **2018**, *90*, 12123–12130, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.8b03043.
  36. Blaschke, A.J.; Heyrend, C.; Byington, C.L.; Fisher, M.A.; Barker, E.; Garrone, N.F.; Thatcher, S.A.; Pavia, A.T.; Barney, T.; Alger, G.D.; et al. Rapid Identification of Pathogens from Positive Blood Cultures by Multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction Using the FilmArray System. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *74*, 349–355, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.08.013.
  37. Huang, R.S.P.; Johnson, C.L.; Pritchard, L.; Hepler, R.; Ton, T.T.; Dunn, J.J. Performance of the Verigene® Enteric Pathogens Test, Biofire FilmArray™ Gastrointestinal Panel and Luminex XTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel for Detection of Common Enteric Pathogens. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *86*, 336–339, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.09.013.
  38. Mandal, S.M.; Paul, D. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). *Autom. Basic Tech. Med. Microbiol.* **2022**, 87–91, doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-2372-5\_7.
  39. Alamer, S.; Eissa, S.; Chinnappan, R.; Herron, P.; Zourob, M. Rapid Colorimetric Lactoferrin-Based Sandwich Immunoassay on Cotton Swabs for the Detection of Foodborne Pathogenic Bacteria. *Talanta* **2018**, *185*, 275–280, doi:10.1016/J.TALANTA.2018.03.072.
  40. Davydova, A.; Vorobjeva, M.; Pyshnyi, D.; Altman, S.; Vlassov, V.; Venyaminova, A. Aptamers against Pathogenic Microorganisms. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **2016**, *42*, 847–865, doi:10.3109/1040841X.2015.1070115.
  41. Abbaspour, A.; Norouz-Sarvestani, F.; Noori, A.; Soltani, N. Aptamer-Conjugated Silver Nanoparticles for Electrochemical Dual-Aptamer-Based Sandwich Detection of Staphylococcus Aureus. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2015**, *68*, 149–155, doi:10.1016/j.bios.2014.12.040.
  42. Das, R.; Chatterjee, B.; Kapil, A.; Sharma, T.K. Aptamer-NanoZyme Mediated Sensing Platform for the Rapid Detection of Escherichia Coli in Fruit Juice. *Sens. Bio-Sensing Res.* **2020**, *27*, 100313, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbsr.2019.100313.
  43. O'Donnell, S.T.; Ross, R.P.; Stanton, C. The Progress of Multi-Omics Technologies: Determining Function in Lactic Acid Bacteria Using a Systems Level Approach. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *10*, 3084, doi:10.3389/FMICB.2019.03084/BIBTEX.

44. Shin, J.; Miller, M.; Wang, Y. Recent Advances in CRISPR-Based Systems for the Detection of Foodborne Pathogens. *Compr. Rev. food Sci. food Saf.* **2022**, *21*, 3010–3029, doi:10.1111/1541-4337.12956.
45. Raj, V.; Vijayan, A.N.; Joseph, K. Cysteine Capped Gold Nanoparticles for Naked Eye Detection of E. Coli Bacteria in UTI Patients. *Sens. Bio-Sensing Res.* **2015**, *5*, 33–36, doi:10.1016/j.sbsr.2015.05.004.
46. Singh, P.; Kakkar, S.; Bharti, Kumar, R.; Bhalla, V. Rapid and Sensitive Colorimetric Detection of Pathogens Based on Silver-Urease Interactions. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 4765–4768, doi:10.1039/C9CC00225A.
47. Lin, D.; Qin, T.; Wang, Y.; Sun, X.; Chen, L. Graphene Oxide Wrapped SERS Tags: Multifunctional Platforms toward Optical Labeling, Photothermal Ablation of Bacteria, and the Monitoring of Killing Effect. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 1320–1329, doi:10.1021/am405396k.
48. Mocan, T.; Matea, C.T.; Pop, T.; Mosteanu, O.; Buzoianu, A.D.; Puia, C.; Iancu, C.; Mocan, L. Development of Nanoparticle-Based Optical Sensors for Pathogenic Bacterial Detection. *J. Nanobiotechnology* **2017**, *15*, 1–14, doi:10.1186/s12951-017-0260-y.