



# *Article* **H<sup>2</sup> Plasma and PMA Effects on PEALD-Al2O<sup>3</sup> Films with Different O<sup>2</sup> Plasma Exposure Times for CIS Passivation Layers**

**Jehyun An <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7008-6087) Kyeongkeun Choi [2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4950-757X) , Jongseo Park <sup>1</sup> , Bohyeon Kang <sup>1</sup> , Hyunseo You <sup>1</sup> , Sungmin Ahn <sup>1</sup> and Rockhyun Baek 1,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6175-8101)**

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea
- <sup>2</sup> National Institute for Nanomaterials Technology (NINT), Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea
- **\*** Correspondence: rh.baek@postech.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-54-279-2220

Abstract: In this study, the electrical properties of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film were analyzed and optimized to improve the properties of the passivation layer of CMOS image sensors (CISs). During  $A<sub>1</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  deposition processing, the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time was adjusted, and  $H_2$  plasma treatment as well as postmetallization annealing (PMA) were performed as posttreatments. The flat-band voltage ( $V<sub>f<sub>b</sub></sub>$ ) was significantly shifted ( $\Delta V_{\text{fb}}$  = 2.54 V) in the case of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film with a shorter O<sub>2</sub> plasma exposure time; however, with a longer O<sub>2</sub> plasma exposure time, V<sub>fb</sub> was slightly shifted ( $\Delta V_{\text{fb}} = 0.61$  V) owing to the reduction in the carbon impurity content. Additionally, the as-deposited  $Al_2O_3$  sample with a shorter  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time had a larger number of interface traps (interface trap density, D<sub>it</sub> = 8.98 × 10<sup>13</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-2</sup>). However, D<sub>it</sub> was reduced to 1.12 × 10<sup>12</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-2</sup> by increasing the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time and further reduced after PMA. Consequently, we fabricated an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film suitable for application as a CIS passivation layer with a reduced number of interface traps. However, the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film with increased  $\text{O}_2$  plasma exposure time deteriorated owing to plasma damage after H<sup>2</sup> plasma treatment, which is a method of reducing carbon impurity content. This deterioration was validated using the C–V hump and breakdown characteristics.

**Keywords:** high-k gate dielectric; Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; H<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment; interface trap; plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition

# **1. Introduction**

Recently, the importance of CMOS image sensor (CIS) technology has rapidly increased owing to its relevance in mobile products and autonomous driving. As electronic products become ever-smaller in size, smaller CIS devices are also required. Therefore, CIS devices must be scaled, similar to other semiconductor devices. The pixel size of the CIS image sensor has been rapidly scaled, limiting the number of photons entering the pixel. In addition, as a result of scaling, light reflection occurred, causing light loss and cross-talk issues [\[1\]](#page-9-0). Therefore, a backside illumination-type CIS device that illuminates the rear side of the device was developed [\[2\]](#page-9-1). However, the backside illumination structure is adversely affected by dark currents and noise. Hence, in order to decrease dark current and increase quantum efficiency, research on the development of high-k materials for application as a CIS passivation dielectric layer is necessary.

 $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , which is a high-k dielectric material, has a wide energy bandgap and high thermal stability; therefore, it is suitable for application as a passivation dielectric film for CIS [\[3,](#page-9-2)[4\]](#page-9-3). In addition, unlike other dielectric films,  $Al_2O_3$  has negative fixed charges and shows excellent passivation characteristics [\[5\]](#page-9-4). In most semiconductor devices such as complementary metal oxide semiconductors (CMOSs), fixed charges act as defects [\[6\]](#page-9-5). Thus, many studies have been conducted to control these negative fixed charges [\[7\]](#page-9-6). However, in



**Citation:** An, J.; Choi, K.; Park, J.; Kang, B.; You, H.; Ahn, S.; Baek, R. H<sup>2</sup> Plasma and PMA Effects on PEALD-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Films with Different O<sup>2</sup> Plasma Exposure Times for CIS Passivation Layers. *Nanomaterials* **2023**, *13*, 731. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano13040731) [10.3390/nano13040731](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano13040731)

Academic Editor: Andrei Ionut Mardare

Received: 30 January 2023 Revised: 11 February 2023 Accepted: 13 February 2023 Published: 14 February 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

the CIS device, a passivation dielectric layer is required to contain high fixed charges for field effect passivation. Therefore,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is a suitable dielectric material as a passivation layer of CIS. However, a dielectric film with fewer impurities is required for fabricating a more precise CIS device, and defects in  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  must be further cured. In particular, for application as a dielectric film, the interface trap density  $(D_{it})$  should be reduced to increase the amount of light absorbed. There are several causes of trap generation in the interface area between  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  dielectric and substrate. If the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  dielectric is deposited on the silicon substrate, the hydroxyl group (-OH) and Si are bonded, which may act as an interface trap [\[8\]](#page-9-7). In another case, carbon impurities generated during the  $Al_2O_3$  deposition process act as interface traps.

Carbon impurities were generated after the  $Al_2O_3$  film was deposited via plasmaenhanced atomic layer deposition (PEALD) using trimethylaluminum as a precursor [\[9\]](#page-9-8). These impurities act as traps inside the  $Al_2O_3$  and in the interface region. Previously, residual carbon was removed using the  $H_2$  plasma treatment of an  $Al_2O_3$  film [\[10\]](#page-9-9). The quality of the dielectric and interface areas increased with a decrease in carbon impurity contents. In addition, posttreatments provided sufficient fixed charges for the  $Al_2O_3$ dielectric to be used as the passivation layer of the CIS [\[11](#page-9-10)[,12\]](#page-9-11). However, a low  $D_{it}$  is required for next-generation CIS devices. Although well-known defects, such as oxygen vacancies, have been investigated [\[13\]](#page-9-12), limited studies have been conducted to reduce residual carbon contents, except by changing the precursor [\[14\]](#page-9-13).

In this study, the oxygen plasma exposure time was adjusted during  $A<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  deposition to reduce the residual carbon content. The increased  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time sufficiently decreased the  $D_{it}$  of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gate stack. Consequently, it showed a considerably lower  $D_{it}$  compared with that of the sample processed via rapid thermal annealing and  $H_2$ plasma treatment on  $Al_2O_3$ , which exhibited the lowest  $D_{it}$  in a previous study [\[10\]](#page-9-9). In particular,  $D_{it}$  was the lowest after post-metallization annealing (PMA) to  $Al_2O_3$  samples with increased  $O_2$  plasma exposure time. In addition, a positive shift in flat-band voltage ( $\Delta V_{\text{fb}}$ ) was prevented by reducing carbon generation. However, D<sub>it</sub> increases when H<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment is performed after  $Al_2O_3$  deposition. Plasma damage and residual hydrogen impurities were caused by excessive  $H_2$  plasma treatment on  $Al_2O_3$  dielectric and were validated using the C–V hump occurring in the capacitance vs. voltage  $(C-V<sub>G</sub>)$ measurement curve.

### **2. Experimental Materials and Methods**

As shown in Figure [1,](#page-2-0) an  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film was deposited on a Si substrate at 275 °C using PEALD. Substrate included moderately doped p-type Si (1–30  $\Omega$ ·cm, (100)) with a doping concentration of ~1.3  $\times$  10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>. Prior to deposition of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer, Si substrates were cleaned by dipping in a  $NH_4OH:H_2O_2:H_2O$  mixture (1:1:5 by volume), known as Standard Clean 1 (SC1), for 10 min at 70 °C, followed by dipping in dilute HF (100:1) for 1 min to remove native oxides. For deposition of  $Al_2O_3$  dielectric, a commercial 200 mm wafer plasma-enhanced vapor deposition (PECVD; Quros Plus 200) was used. As a precursor, Trimethylaluminum (TMA,  $Al(CH_3)_3$ ) (Up chemical co. Ltd., Pyeongtaek, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea; 99.9999%) was supplied. For sequential surface reactions,  $O_2$  plasma was supplied with TMA. The  $O_2$  plasma exposure times were 3 and 7 s. During the deposition, an Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> container temperature of 25 °C, an Ar purge flow rate of 500 sccm, an  $O_2$  flow rate of 100 sccm and a chamber pressure of 0.4 mTorr were used. Al electrode with a diameter of 300 µm and an area of 7.06  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> µm<sup>2</sup> was deposited on the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dielectric using an e-beam evaporator. The thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  film was measured using transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEM-2100F; JEOL KOREA LTD., Seoul, Republic of Korea) and ellipsometry (M-2000; J. A. Woollam Co., Anyang, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea). After  $A_2O_3$  deposition,  $H_2$  plasma treatment and PMA were performed separately depending on the sample (Table [1\)](#page-2-1).  $H_2$  plasma treatment was performed with a  $H_2$  gas flow rate ratio { $[H_2] = ([H_2] + [Ar])$ } of 0.89 in a PECVD chamber for 15 min. PMA was performed at 400 °C under a  $N_2$  gas flow in a furnace for 30 min. The  $N_2$  gas flow

rate  $\{[N_2] = ([N_2] + [H_2])\}$  was 0.95 (gas pump: 100 sccm; pressure: 0.7 atm). Under the N<sub>2</sub> gas flow, the temperature increased from 25 °C to 400 °C in 1 h and then decreased  $N_2$  gas flow, the temperature increased from 25 °C to 400 °C in 1 h and then decreased From 400  $\degree$ C to 25  $\degree$ C in 2 h. Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) measurements were conducted on a circular area with a diameter of 33  $\mu$ m using the Cs+ software. Selective area diffraction pattern (SADP) analysis was carried out to determine crystallinity of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film. The capacitance and conductance were measured using a B1520A multifrequency capacitance measurement unit at various frequencies (1 kHz–1 MHz). The leakage current and breakdown field were measured using a Keithley 4200-SCS instrument (Tektronix KOREA, Seoul, Republic of Korea). D<sub>it</sub> (≈2.5(qA)<sup>-1</sup>( $G_p / \omega$ )<sub>max</sub>) was calculated following the well-known conductance method  $[15]$ :  $\frac{1}{2}$  maximum conductance method following the well-known conductance method  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$
G_p/\omega = C_{OX}^2 G_M \omega^{-1} / \left\{ (G_M/\omega)^2 + (C_{OX} - C_M)^2 \right\}
$$
 (1)

where q = 1.6  $\times$  10<sup>19</sup> C; A is the area of the electrode;  $(G_p/\omega)_{\text{max}}$  is the normalized parallel conductance peak;  $C_{OX}$  is the capacitance in strong accumulation;  $C_M$  is the measured capacitance; and  $G_M$  is the measured conductance.

> Plasma treatment performed using  $H<sub>2</sub>$  gas

 $Al_2O_3$  deposited on Si via PEALD with  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time (3 and 7 s)

Top Al metal deposited by e-beam evaporation

Post-metallization annealing (PMA) in  $N_2$  95% + H<sub>2</sub> 5% ambient

**Figure 1.** Process flow for the fabrication of the Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Si gate stack.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 1.** Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples under deposition conditions (O<sub>2</sub> plasma exposure time: 3 and 7 s) and posttreatment conditions ( $H_2$  plasma treatment and post-metallization annealing (PMA)).



### **3. Results and Discussion**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>3s

 $7s$ 

**3. Results and Discussion**  *3.1. Post-Metallization Annealing*

<sup>3</sup><br>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was deposited via PEALD using trimethylaluminum as the precursor and O<sub>2</sub> plasma. A flux of O\* radicals reacts with methyl groups and is effused in the CO<sub>X</sub> (x = 1–2) state [\[16\]](#page-9-15). However, residual carbon is generated when a sufficient reaction is not performed and acts as a defect in the inner and interfacial regions of  $Al_2O_3$ . Accordingly, the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time was increased to 7 s to ensure a sufficient response.

The TEM image of the as-deposited  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film is shown in Figure [2a](#page-3-0). An  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film with a thickness of 30 nm was deposited on the Si substrate, and Al electrode was deposited on the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  dielectric. No interfacial layer (IL) was formed at the interface between Si and Al $_2$ O $_3$ . Additionally, based on th[e](#page-3-0) SADP in Figure 2a, the as-deposited Al $_2$ O $_3$  is in an amorphous state. an amorphous state.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Transmission electron microscope (TEM) image and selective area diffraction pattern **Figure 2.** Transmission electron microscope (TEM) image and selective area diffraction pattern (SADP) of (a) as-deposited Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Si gate stack and (b) Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Si gate stack after PMA at  $400 °C$  under a N<sub>2</sub> gas flow in a furnace for 30 min.

PMA was performed at 400 °C for 30 min after  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film deposition. After PMA on the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film, oxygen in the dielectric film diffused toward the Si substrate. Accordingly, Si and oxygen form a bond in the SiO<sub>X</sub> (x = 1–2) state, thereby forming an IL with a thickness of [2.5](#page-3-0) nm [\[8,](#page-9-7)[17\]](#page-9-16) (Figure 2b). As IL was formed between  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and Si, the thickness of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ decreased from 28.7 to 26.9 nm after PMA. Furthermore, as shown in SADP, amorphous  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is converted to polycrystalline  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  via PMA [\[18\]](#page-9-17).

The normalized capacitance vs. voltage curves before and after PMA of S1 and S2 are The normalized capacitance vs. voltage curves before and after PMA of S1 and S2 are s[ho](#page-3-1)wn in Figure 3. The graphical ((C<sub>OX</sub>/C<sub>MOS</sub>)<sup>2</sup>  $-$  1)(V<sub>G</sub>) method [19] was applied to the normalized capacitance vs. voltage curve to extract  $\rm V_{fb}.$  The  $\rm V_{fb}$  of as-deposited S1 was 1.65 V, showing a considerable flat-band voltage shift ( $\Delta V_{\rm fb} \approx$  2.54 V) compared with the theoretical value of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dielectric (V<sub>fb</sub> ≈ −0.89 V). This V<sub>fb</sub> shift resulted from defects, such as carbon impurities that occur during  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  deposition via PEALD. However, in the case of S2 samples with an increased O<sub>2</sub> plasma exposure time, the V<sub>fb</sub> of S2\_as\_dep is 0.61 V, exhibiting a smaller  $\Delta {\rm V}_{\rm fb}$  compared with that of S1. This is because the amount of negatively charged defects inside S2 is smaller than that of S1.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

**Figure 3.** Normalized capacitance vs. voltage graph and graphical (( $C_{OX}/C_{MOS}$ )<sup>2</sup> – 1)( $V_G$ ) method to extract the flat-band voltage ( $V_{fb}$ ) of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples with and without PMA (frequency = 1 MHz).

 $V_{\text{fb}}$  increased by 0.54 V after PMA in the case of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples with short O<sub>2</sub> plasma exposure times. Internal defects that form bonds with carbon impurities have a negative charge and diffuse toward Si  $[10,14]$  $[10,14]$ . However, in the case of S2 samples with long  $O<sub>2</sub>$ charge and diffuse toward Si [10,14]. However, in the case of S2 samples with long  $O_2$  plasma exposure times, the change in  $V_{fb}$  was as small as 0.2 V owing to a decrease in the defects that can be diffused.<br>The permittivity of Al2O3 samples before and after PMA is shown in Figure 4. The permittivity of Al2O3 samples

The permittivity of  $Al_2O_3$  samples before and after PMA is shown in Figure [4.](#page-4-0) The permittivity is 9.5 in the case of the as-deposited S1 sample, which is similar to the generally known permittivity value of amorphous  $Al_2O_3$  (6–9) [\[18,](#page-9-17)[20\]](#page-10-0). However, an IL of  $SiO<sub>X</sub>$  (x = 1–2) is formed between Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Si after PMA, slightly decreasing the permittivity. The permittivity of the as-deposited S2 sample is 12.5, which is considerably higher than that of the S1 sample. This is because of the decrease in the content of various  $\frac{1}{2}$ defects and the increase in the internal carbon concentration owing to the longer  $O_2$  plasma exposure time. After PMA on the as-deposited S2 sample, the permittivity decreases to  $\frac{1}{2}$  sample. However, the S1 sample, the S1 sample. However, the S1 sample. However, the S1 sample. Since the S1 sample. Since the 10.5 because an IL of SiOx ( $x = 1-2$ ) is formed between  $Al_2O_3$  and Si like the S1 sample. However, the S2\_PMA sample still showed a higher permittivity than the S1 samples with However, the S2\_PMA sample still showed a higher permittivity than the S1 samples with shorter  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time.  $\frac{1}{2}$  planet  $\frac{1}{2}$  m

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** Permittivity of  $A_1O_3$  samples under deposition conditions  $(O_2$  plasma exposure time: and 7 s) and post-metallization annealing (PMA). 3 and 7 s) and post-metallization annealing (PMA).

The decrease in the carbon impurity content with increasing  $O_2$  plasma exposure time was validated using SIMS depth profiling. As shown in Figure [5,](#page-5-0) the amount of carbon impurities in the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film deposited with an  $\text{O}_2$  plasma exposure time of 7 s is considerably less than that of the  $Al_2O_3$  sample deposited with a shorter  $O_2$  plasma exposure time. As the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time increased, more carbon was effused into the  $CO<sub>X</sub>$  (x = 1–2) gas state through numerous reactions between the oxygen plasma and carbon [\[16\]](#page-9-15). If the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time is more than 7 s, there is a possibility of improvement as much as carbon is reduced. However, there is a limit to effuse through the reaction with carbon, and the improvement effect is expected to be saturated as carbon is reduced.

To apply  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  as a passivation dielectric film, the quality of the interface region between Si and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is crucial. Carbon in  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  acts as an interface trap in the interface region between the  $Al_2O_3$  dielectric and Si substrate [\[21\]](#page-10-1). The parallel conductance versus frequency plots of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films with various  $D_{it}$  values are shown in Figure [6.](#page-5-1)  $D_{it}$ was measured using the conductance method [\[13\]](#page-9-12). The  $D_{it}$  of the S1\_as\_dep sample was  $8.98\times10^{13}$  eV $^{-1}\cdot$ cm $^{-2}$ , whereas that of the S2\_as\_dep sample was  $1.12\times10^{12}$  eV $^{-1}\cdot$ cm $^{-2}$ . The interface traps of the S2 sample decreased with a decrease in the carbon impurity content in the interface area with increasing  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time. After PMA, the interface region between the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  dielectric and Si was improved due to various reasons. First, an IL was formed after the application of PMA to the  $Al_2O_3$  gate stack. Therefore, the number of hydroxyl groups is reduced, thereby decreasing the number of interface traps [\[22\]](#page-10-2). For

<span id="page-5-0"></span>other reason, as crystallization of  $\rm Al_2O_3$  occurred due to PMA, defects and dangling bonds acting as traps in the interface region were removed. In addition, crystallization of the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  dielectric stabilized the bond between the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and Si substrate [\[10\]](#page-9-9). much as carbon is reduced. However, there is a limit to effuse through the reaction with other reason, as crystallization of  $A_2O_3$  occurred the to f MA, defects and daightig



**Figure 5.** Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) depth profiles of carbon in the Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Si gate stack with an  $O_2$  plasma exposure time of 3 (black line) and 7 s (red line).

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

**Figure 6.** G<sub>P</sub>/w vs. frequency curves of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  samples with and without PMA for measuring interface trap density  $(D_{it})$ .

In summary, the number of interface traps of the S2\_PMA sample, in which the concentrations of both carbon impurities and hydroxyl groups were reduced, were the lowest in this study (D<sub>it</sub> =  $1.35 \times 10^{11}$  eV<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-2</sup>).

The interface improvement owing to the increase in the  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time was also validated using the breakdown characteristics. The gate leakage current with an inmercase in the electrical field of the S1 and S2 Al2O3 samples is shown in Figure 7a. In the case of S1\_as\_dep, the breakdown occurred at 9.73 MV/cm. The breakdown characteristics case of S1\_as\_dep, the breakdown occurred at 9.73 MV/cm. The breakdown characteristics improved after PMA was performed owing to the formation of an IL, which occurred at mproved after PMA was performed owing to the formation of an IL, which occurred at 11.47 MV/cm. However, breakdown did not occur until the application of the maximum electric field (14 MV/cm) of the 4200-SCS equipment in the case of the S2 sample. Furtherelectric field (14 MV) cm) of the 4200-SCS equipment in the case of the S2 sample. I did not occur in the case of the S2\_as\_dep sample without the IL. This more, breakdown and not occur in the case of the  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This case of the S2 as  $\frac{1}{2}$  as  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This interpret with  $\frac{1}{2}$  and with  $\frac{1}{2}$ . In  $\frac{1}{2}$ was because of the reduction in the impurity content in the interface area with an increase in the  $\Omega_2$  plasma exposure time. in the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time. increase in the electrical field of the S1 and S2  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  samples is shown in Figure [7a](#page-6-0). In the



<span id="page-6-0"></span>in the O2 plasma exposure time.

**Figure 7.** (**a**) Leakage current vs. gate electric field of Al2O3 samples with and without PMA. (**b**) **Figure 7.** (a) Leakage current vs. gate electric field of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples with and without PMA. (**b**) Fowler–Nordheim (FN) plots of I–V curves for as-deposited  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  samples with an  $\text{O}_2$  plasma exposure time of 3 (black triangles) and 7 s (red triangles).

In addition, the FN plots to validate the improvement in the interface quality are shown in Figure [7b](#page-6-0). The FN plot is analyzed using the leakage current density caused by FN tunneling, *JFN*, and can be described as follows:

$$
J_{FN} = AE^2 \exp(-B/E), \qquad (2)
$$

where

$$
A = q^3 m_0 / (8 \pi h m^* \Phi_B),
$$

and

$$
B=4(2m^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}(q\Phi_B)^{\frac{3}{2}}/(3qh/2\pi),
$$

where *A* is the Richardson's constant; *q* is the electronic charge; *h* is Planck's constant;  $m_0$  is the free electron mass;  $m^*$  is the effective electron mass in the oxide; and  $\Phi_B$  is the barrier height [\[23\]](#page-10-3). The steeper the slope in the FN plot, the larger the FN barrier height Φ*<sup>B</sup>* [\[4\]](#page-9-3). Since the absolute value of the slope of the  $S2_a$ as\_dep sample (slope =  $-182.06$ ) is larger than that of the S1\_as\_dep sample (slope =  $-103.28$ ), it means that the barrier height is higher in S2\_as\_dep. Therefore, the FN plot shows that the interface region of  $Al_2O_3/Si$ was improved in the S2 sample with increased  $O_2$  plasma exposure time.

In summary, the increase in the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time decreases the carbon content in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which reduces D<sub>it</sub>, improves the breakdown field, and prevents the V<sub>fb</sub> shift. However, the  $H_2$  plasma treatment decreased the quality of the oxide and interface owing to the increase in the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time, which is discussed later.

# *3.2. H<sup>2</sup> Plasma Treatment*

 $H_2$  plasma treatment significantly decreased the carbon impurity content in  $Al_2O_3$ in previous studies [\[10\]](#page-9-9), thereby preventing the  $V_{fb}$  shift and improving the breakdown characteristics. However, further improvements in the interface quality is required for next-generation CIS devices. Therefore, we analyzed the effects of the  $H_2$  plasma treatment on  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  films with increasing  $\text{O}_2$  plasma exposure time.

 $D_{it}$  values depending on various treatments on the  $Al_2O_3$  samples are shown in Figure [8.](#page-7-0) The average  $D_{it}$  of the sample with the  $H_2$  plasma treatment was  $4.45 \times 10^{12}$  eV<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-2</sup>, which was significantly smaller than that of as-deposited S1. However, the average  $\rm D_{it}$  of the sample with the  $\rm H_2$  plasma treatment was  $\rm 1.13 \times 10^{12} \, eV^{-1} \cdot cm^{-2}$ in the case of S2 samples with an increased  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time, which increased compared with the average D<sub>it</sub> of as-deposited S2 (D<sub>it,as\_dep</sub>  $_{S2}$  = 5.79  $\times$  10<sup>11</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-2</sup>). A

similar trend was observed after PMA.  $D_{it}$  was higher in the S2 sample with the  $H_2$  plasma treatment and PMA than that of the S2 sample treated with only PMA.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** Interface trap density (Dit) of Al2O3 samples under deposition conditions; O2 plasma expoexposure time: 3 (**left** side) and 7 s (**right** side) and posttreatment conditions: H<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment post-metallization annealing (PMA). and post-metallization annealing (PMA). **Figure 8.** Interface trap density  $(D_{it})$  of  $A_2O_3$  samples under deposition conditions;  $O_2$  plasma

A large amount of carbon impurities was removed owing to the increased  $O_2$  plasma exposure time in the S2 sample. Therefore, there are not enough carbon impurities for the reaction with the  $H_2$  plasma. As a result, owing to the excessive postprocessing  $H_2$ plasma treatment on the S2 sample, H impurities remained inside the  $Al_2O_3$  film [\[24\]](#page-10-4). In addition, additional  $H_2$  plasma treatment for carbon impurities, whose content was reduced owing to an increase in the  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time, had a more significant effect on the formation of defects owing to damage due to the plasma treatment compared with the effects of curing defects owing to carbon content reduction [\[25\]](#page-10-5). In conclusion, in the case of the S2 sample with increased  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time, excessive postprocessing H<sup>2</sup> plasma treatment caused residual H impurities and plasma damage, which contributed to increase  $D_{it}$  by forming dangling bonds in interface region.

Using the capacitance vs. voltage curve, the plasma damage to the gate stack was confirmed. The normalized capacitance before and after the  $H_2$  plasma treatment in the S2 sample with an increased  $O_2$  plasma exposure time is shown in Figure [9.](#page-8-0) In contrast to the S2\_as\_dep sample, the C–V hump occurs near  $V_{fb}$  in the S2\_H<sub>2</sub> plasma sample. Therefore, the hydrogen plasma, which should be effused via the reaction with carbon, damaged the Al2O<sup>3</sup> dielectric.

The formation of defects in the oxide and interface regions of the S2 sample owing to the  $H_2$  plasma treatment resulted in more leakage flow in the gate stack. In contrast to the S2\_as\_dep sample, where breakdown does not occur even under the electric field limit of the 4200-SCS equipment ( $E_{field} = 14 \text{ MV/cm}$ ), the breakdown occurs at 11.2 MV/cm in the S2\_H<sub>2</sub> plasma sample (Figure [10\)](#page-8-1). As a result, in the case of the  $Al_2O_3$  film with increased  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time,  $H<sub>2</sub>$  plasma treatment rather deteriorates the interface quality between  $Al_2O_3$  dielectric and Si.

In summary,  $H_2$  plasma treatment has different effects depending on the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time during deposition of the  $Al_2O_3$  dielectric.  $H_2$  plasma treatment was effective for S1 samples with a large amount of carbon impurities because of the short  $O_2$  plasma exposure time. Due to the reduction of carbon impurities, the  $D_{it}$  of the S1 sample was greatly reduced after  $H_2$  plasma treatment. However, the treatment effects on S2 samples was rather poor, resulting in reduced carbon content owing to the long  $O<sub>2</sub>$  plasma exposure time. H<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment produced residual H impurities in the S2 samples and also caused plasma damage. Therefore,  $H_2$  plasma treatment rather increased  $D_{it}$  in the  $Al_2O_3$ with increased  $O_2$  plasma exposure time.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Al2O3 dielectric.

**Figure 9.** C–V hump effect (yellow circle) owing to excessive H<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment in the normalized capacitance vs. voltage curves of  $\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$  films with an increased  $\mathrm{O}_2$  plasma exposure time  $(frequency = 1 MHz).$ 

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

**Figure 10.** Leakage current vs. gate electric field of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  samples with an increased  $\text{O}_2$  plasma posure time. exposure time.

#### I. Summary, H2 plasma treatment effects depending on the O2 plasma treatment effects depending on the O2 plasma **4. Conclusions**

The criterion of fixed charges in the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film for application as a CIS passivation layer was satisfied in a previous study; however, the issue of interface traps remained unresolved. Further improvement in the interface area is required for  $Al_2O_3$  to be used as a passivation dielectric layer. Therefore, this study investigated the conditions to reduce defect contents and the  $D_{it}$  of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film. The carbon content inside the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was significantly decreased by adjusting the  $O_2$  plasma exposure time to induce more reactions during dielectric deposition.  $D_{it}$  was significantly decreased owing to the reduction in the amount of carbon impurities, and the improvement in the interface region was validated D<sub>it</sub> in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films with a short O<sub>2</sub> plasma exposure time during deposition. However, H<sub>2</sub> phisma dedificition of the  $M_2O_3$  film deposited with a long  $O_2$  phisma exposure time radicion increased  $D_{it}$  due to plasma damage. PMA slightly decreased the permittivity after  $Al_2O_3$ deposition; however,  $D_{it}$  significantly decreased. In particular, in the case of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples with increased  $O_2$  plasma exposure time, after PMA, it had the lowest  $D_{it}$ , which is suitable for use as a passivation layer for CIS. defect contents and the Dit of the  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{I}}$ using the breakdown characteristics. Moreover,  $H_2$  plasma treatment effectively reduced plasma treatment of the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film deposited with a long  $\text{O}_2$  plasma exposure time rather **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.A.; methodology, J.A., K.C., J.P. and B.K.; formal analysis, J.A.; investigation, J.A., J.P., B.K. and H.Y.; resources, K.C.; data curation, J.A. and J.P.; writing—original draft preparation, J.A.; writing—review and editing, J.P., B.K., H.Y. and S.A.; supervision, S.A. and R.B.; project administration, R.B.; funding acquisition, R.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the Ministry of Trade, Industry, and Energy (MOTIE) (20010574, 20019450, 20020286); the Korea Semiconductor Research Consortium (KSRC) support program for the development of future semiconductor devices; and a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. NRF-2020R1A4A4079777 and NRF-2020M3F3A2A02082436) and BK21 FOUR program.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Agranov, G.; Berezin, V.; Tsai, R.H. Crosstalk and Microlens Study in a Color CMOS Image Sensor. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **2003**, *50*, 4–11. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2002.806473)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Wuu, S.G.; Wang, C.C.; Hseih, B.C.; Tu, Y.L.; Tseng, C.H.; Hsu, T.H.; Hsiao, R.S.; Takahashi, S.; Lin, R.J.; Tsai, C.S.; et al. A Leading-Edge 0.9 µm Pixel CMOS Image Sensor Technology with Backside Illumination: Future Challenges for Pixel Scaling. *Tech. Dig.-Int. Electron Devices Meet. IEDM* **2010**, *332–335*, 14.1.1–14.1.4. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/IEDM.2010.5703358)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. Wilk, G.D.; Wallace, R.M.; Anthony, J.M. High-κ Gate Dielectrics: Current Status and Materials Properties Considerations. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2001**, *89*, 5243–5275. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.1361065)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. Groner, M.D.; Elam, J.W.; Fabreguette, F.H.; George, S.M. Electrical Characterization of Thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Films Grown by Atomic Layer Deposition on Silicon and Various Metal Substrates. *Thin Solid Films* **2002**, *413*, 186–197. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6090(02)00438-8)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>5. Dingemans, G.; Kessels, W.M.M. Status and Prospects of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> -Based Surface Passivation Schemes for Silicon Solar Cells. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A Vac. Surf. Film.* **2012**, *30*, 040802. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1116/1.4728205)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>6. Kühnhold-Pospischil, S.; Saint-Cast, P.; Richter, A.; Hofmann, M. Activation Energy of Negative Fixed Charges in Thermal ALD Al2O<sup>3</sup> . *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2016**, *109*, 061602. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.4960097)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>7. Buckley, J.; De Salvo, B.; Deleruyelle, D.; Gely, M.; Nicotra, G.; Lombardo, S.; Damlencourt, J.F.; Hollinger, P.; Martin, F.; Deleonibus, S. Reduction of Fixed Charges in Atomic Layer Deposited Al2O<sup>3</sup> Dielectrics. *Microelectron. Eng.* **2005**, *80*, 210–213. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mee.2005.04.070)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>8. Uenuma, M.; Takahashi, K.; Sonehara, S.; Tominaga, Y.; Fujimoto, Y.; Ishikawa, Y.; Uraoka, Y. Influence of Carbon Impurities and Oxygen Vacancies in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Film on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaN MOS Capacitor Characteristics. *AIP Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 105103. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.5041501)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>9. Puurunen, R.L. Surface Chemistry of Atomic Layer Deposition: A Case Study for the Trimethylaluminum/Water Process. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2005**, *97*, 9. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.1940727)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>10. An, J.; Choi, K.K.; Kang, B.; Baek, R.H. Curing Defects in Plasma-Enhanced Atomic Layer Deposition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by Six Methods. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **2022**, *152*, 107070. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2022.107070)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>11. Sacchettini, Y.; Carrère, J.P.; Doyen, C.; Duru, R.; Courouble, K.; Ricq, S.; Goiffon, V.; Magnan, P. A Highly Reliable Back Side Illuminated Pixel against Plasma Induced Damage. *Int. Electron Devices Meet. IEDM* **2019**, *382*, 16.5.1–16.5.4. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/IEDM19573.2019.8993561)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>12. Hoex, B.; Gielis, J.J.H.; Van de Sanden, M.C.M.; Kessels, W.M.M. On the c-Si surface passivation mechanism by the negativecharge-dielectric Al2O<sup>3</sup> . *J. Appl. Phys.* **2008**, *104*, 113703. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.3021091)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>13. Liu, D.; Robertson, J. Oxygen Vacancy Levels and Interfaces of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. *Microelectron. Eng.* **2009**, 86, 1668–1671. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mee.2009.03.011)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>14. Uren, M.H.; Stathis, J.H.; Cartier, E. Conductance Measurements on Pb Centers at the (111) Si:SiO<sup>2</sup> Interface. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1996**, *80*, 3915. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.363349)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>15. Schmidt, J.; Veith, B.; Werner, F.; Zielke, D.; Brendel, R. Silicon Surface Passivation by Ultrathin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Films and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SiN<sub>x</sub> Stacks. In Proceedings of the 35th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, Honolulu, HI, USA, 20–25 June 2010; pp. 885–890. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1109/PVSC.2010.5614132)
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>16. Naumann, V.; Otto, M.; Wehrspohn, R.B.; Werner, M.; Hagendorf, C. Interface and Material Characterization of Thin ALD-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Layers on Crystalline Silicon. *Energy Procedia* **2012**, *27*, 312–318. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2012.07.069)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>17. Gakis, G.P.; Vahlas, C.; Vergnes, H.; Dourdain, S.; Tison, Y.; Martinez, H.; Bour, J.; Ruch, D.; Boudouvis, A.G.; Caussat, B.; et al. Investigation of the Initial Deposition Steps and the Interfacial Layer of Atomic Layer Deposited (ALD) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on Si. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, *492*, 245–254. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.06.215)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>18. Jakschik, S.; Schroeder, U.; Hecht, T.; Gutsche, M.; Seidl, H.; Bartha, J.W. Crystallization Behavior of Thin ALD-Al2O<sub>3</sub> Films. Thin *Solid Films* **2003**, *425*, 216–220. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6090(02)01262-2)
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>19. Piskorski, K.; Przewlocki, H.M. The Methods to Determine Flat-Band Voltage VFB in Semiconductor of a MOS Structure. In Proceedings of the 33rd International Convention MIPRO, Opatija, Croatia, 24–28 May 2010; pp. 37–42.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>20. Birey, H. Thickness Dependence of the Dielectric Constant and Resistance of Al2O<sup>3</sup> Films. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1977**, *48*, 5209–5212. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.323603)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>21. Choi, M.; Lyons, J.L.; Janotti, A.; Van De Walle, C.G. Impact of Carbon and Nitrogen Impurities in High-κ Dielectrics on Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Devices. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *102*, 142902. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.4801497)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>22. Langereis, E.; Keijmel, J.; Van de Sanden, M.C.M.; Kessels, W.M.M. Surface chemistry of plasma-assisted atomic layer deposition of studied by infrared spectroscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, *92*, 231904. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.2940598)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>23. Mahajan, A.M.; Khairnar, A.G.; Thibeault, B.J. Electrical Properties of MOS Capacitors Formed by PEALD Grown  $Al_2O_3$  on Silicon. *Semiconductors* **2014**, *48*, 497–500. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1134/S1063782614040204)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>24. Kim, J.; Bang, S.; Lee, S.; Shin, S.; Park, J.; Seo, H.; Jeon, H. A Study on H<sub>2</sub> Plasma Treatment Effect on A-IGZO Thin Film Transistor. *J. Mater. Res.* **2012**, *27*, 2318–2325. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1557/jmr.2012.199)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>25. Lebreton, F.; Abolmasov, S.N.; Silva, F.; Roca, P. In situ photoluminescence study of plasma-induced damage at the a-Si:H/c-Si interface. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2016**, *108*, 051603. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1063/1.4941298)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.