



# **Review Recent Tendency on Transition-Metal Phosphide Electrocatalysts for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction in Alkaline Media**

Seo Jeong Yoon <sup>+</sup>, Se Jung Lee <sup>+</sup>, Min Hui Kim, Hui Ae Park, Hyo Seon Kang, Seo-Yoon Bae <sup>\*</sup> and In-Yup Jeon <sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemical Engineering, Nanoscale Environmental Sciences and Technology Institute, Wonkwang University, 460 Iksandae-ro, Iksan 54538, Jeonbuk, Republic of Korea; dbstjwjd1210@wku.ac.kr (S.J.Y.); lse1222@wku.ac.kr (S.J.L.); kpp0112@wku.ac.kr (M.H.K.); alicia3207@wku.ac.kr (H.A.P.); hyoseon0131@wku.ac.kr (H.S.K.)

\* Correspondence: sybae8693@wku.ac.kr (S.-Y.B.); iyjeon79@wku.ac.kr (I.-Y.J.)

<sup>+</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** Hydrogen energy is regarded as an auspicious future substitute to replace fossil fuels, due to its environmentally friendly characteristics and high energy density. In the pursuit of clean hydrogen production, there has been a significant focus on the advancement of effective electrocatalysts for the process of water splitting. Although noble metals like Pt, Ru, Pd and Ir are superb electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), they have limitations for large-scale applications, mainly high cost and low abundance. As a result, non-precious transition metals have emerged as promising candidates to replace their more expensive counterparts in various applications. This review focuses on recently developed transition metal phosphides (TMPs) electrocatalysts for the HER in alkaline media due to the cooperative effect between the phosphorus and transition metals. Finally, we discuss the challenges of TMPs for HER.

Keywords: hydrogen evolution; transition metal phosphides; electrocatalysts



Citation: Yoon, S.J.; Lee, S.J.; Kim, M.H.; Park, H.A.; Kang, H.S.; Bae, S.-Y.; Jeon, I.-Y. Recent Tendency on Transition-Metal Phosphide Electrocatalysts for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction in Alkaline Media. *Nanomaterials* **2023**, *13*, 2613. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nano13182613

Academic Editor: Liang Huang

Received: 1 September 2023 Revised: 19 September 2023 Accepted: 20 September 2023 Published: 21 September 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Given the challenges posed by rising global energy demand and the associated climate changes attributed to fossil fuel consumption, it has become imperative to explore sustainable and environmentally friendly alternative energy sources, thereby reducing our reliance on fossil fuels [1]. Amidst the array of clean energy sources, hydrogen is magnified as a particularly crucial and promising contender. This clean energy source has garnered substantial interest within the realm of renewable energy due to its environmentally friendly characteristics, absence of emissions, and an impressive gravimetric caloric value reaching 120 MJ/kg [2]. According to the International Energy Agency and the Hydrogen Council, more than 500 Mt of global hydrogen will be required and about USD \$3 trillion in revenue generated through the hydrogen-value chains in 2050 [3]. So, it is important to efficiently produce hydrogen for sustainable development. At present, the predominant method for producing hydrogen at a large scale involves steam reforming of fossil fuels. However, this approach worsens the depletion of finite fossil resources and contributes to a higher carbon footprint [4]. In stark contrast, water electrolysis stands out as an environmentally viable and sustainable alternative. This method harnesses the advantages of using water as a raw material and avoids the emission of greenhouse gases, making it an attractive option for hydrogen production [5–9].

Indeed, the advancement of efficient electrocatalysts for water splitting is crucial for the large-scale manufacture of  $H_2$  gas and its commercialization as a clean energy source. Historically, precious metals like platinum (Pt), ruthenium (Ru), palladium (Pd), iridium (Ir), and others have been highly regarded for their exceptional electrocatalytic properties

in facilitating the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) [10–12]. Nonetheless, the extensive adoption of these noble metals for electrocatalysis is hindered by their significant drawbacks, primarily the substantial cost and limited availability. As a result, the activation and optimization of non-noble transition-metal electrocatalysts for HER presents itself as a viable avenue towards achieving cost-effective hydrogen production [13]. Researchers have directed their efforts towards creating electrocatalysts for HER using elements that are plentiful in the Earth's crust. This involves a notable emphasis on economically viable transition metals like iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), molybdenum (Mo), tungsten (W), and non-metal elements like carbon (C), oxygen (O), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and sulfur (S). These materials have undergone extensive investigation in alkaline environments as potential replacements for precious noble metal catalysts [14]. Especially in alkaline media, HER catalysts using abundant elements actively have been intensively developed because the alkaline medium serves as a promising platform for materials that might not be as appealing in acidic conditions due to their limited stability. For instance, catalyst materials like pure transition metals [15], alloys [16–18], oxides [19,20], and hydroxides [21] often face challenges in maintaining stability within acidic environments. However, the alkaline medium provides a conducive environment for these materials to exhibit their catalytic prowess [22]. Therefore, enhancing the HER electrocatalytic performance in alkaline media is particularly significant to developing water electrolysis for hydrogen production [23]. Furthermore, the utilization of the alkaline environment for the HER opens the door to compelling replacements that enhance electrocatalyst stability and cost-effectiveness. This is achieved by opting for non-precious transition metals as electrocatalysts, leading to improved sustainability and reduced expenses [14,24–28].

Transition-metal phosphides (TMPs) have earned significant interest as auspicious catalysts for HER due to their catalytic mechanism resembling that of hydrogenases. This resemblance underscores their potential advantages in driving efficient HER processes [29–34]. Typically, hydrogen exhibits a strong binding to TMPs, prompting extensive research into doping cations or anions as an efficient strategy to adjust their electronic properties and optimize the free energy of hydrogen adsorption ( $\Delta G_{H^*}$ ) to further improve their performance in the HER [35–38]. TMPs have garnered interest as electrocatalysts primarily because of the synergistic fusion of their distinctive structural characteristics [39]. Owing to the presence of metal-metal bonding networks, these characteristic TMPs demonstrate metallic conductivity when certain combinations of metals and phosphorus are present. This inherent property is critical for facilitating the development of high-performance electrocatalysts [40,41]. The elevated electronegativity of phosphorus (P) atoms in TMPs enables them to effectively attract electrons from metal atoms, facilitated by the negatively charged nature of these phosphorus atoms. Conversely, the P atoms can also play a "base" role by capturing charged protons during the course of electrocatalytic reactions [42]. To clarify, in the case of TMPs, the high electronegativity of phosphorus (P) atoms results in negatively charged P atoms, while the metal atoms become positively charged. These charged P atoms and metal atoms play as centers that can accept protons and hydrides, respectively. This dual role leads to a cooperative effect that enhances the efficiency of HER [43]. The negative charge on the P atom, which functions as a proton acceptor, serves to weaken the strength of the metal bonds. This weakening effect facilitates the desorption of hydrogen [44,45]. The moderate bonding between phosphorus and the reaction intermediates plays an important role in preventing the slow desorption of H<sub>2</sub>. This is in contrast to pure metals, where considerably stronger adsorption can lead to sluggish  $H_2$  desorption [46–49].

This review summarizes the recent TMP electrocatalysts for HER. It briefly introduces the HER mechanism for scientific understanding and the preparation of TMPs. Then, the HER performance of TMPs with phosphorus (P) and transition metals (e.g., Ni, Co, Cu, Fe, Mo, etc.) are shown. Finally, this review discusses the challenges of TMPs as electrocatalysts for HER.

# 2. HER Mechanism

The HER, constituting the cathodic half-reaction of water splitting, facilitates the generation of  $H_2$  gas by reducing protons and water molecules (Figure 1). This process is elucidated by the equations detailed in Table 1. Typically, the HER follows a multi-step pathway, often involving the Volmer–Heyrovsky or Volmer–Tafel mechanisms, regardless of the medium. Irrespective of the specific pathway, the reaction consistently progresses through the adsorption of hydrogen intermediates (H<sub>ads</sub>) on the surface of catalysts [50,51].



Figure 1. Hydrogen evolution reaction through water splitting.

Table 1. Mechanism of the HER.

Electrolyte	Reaction Pathway	Equation
Alkaline electrolyte	$\label{eq:Volmer} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Volmer} \\ H_2O + e^- \rightarrow H_{ads} + OH^- \\ \textbf{Heyrovsky} \\ H_{ads} + H_2O + e^- \rightarrow H_2 + OH^- \\ \textbf{Tafel} \\ H_{ads} + H_{ads} \rightarrow H_2 \ (g) \end{array}$	$2H_2O+2e^- \rightarrow H_2+2OH^-$
Acidic electrolyte	$\label{eq:Volmer} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Volmer} \\ H_3O^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_{ads} \\ \textbf{Heyrovsky} \\ H_{ads} + H_3O^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2 \\ \textbf{Tafel} \\ H_{ads} + H_{ads} \rightarrow H_2 \ (g) \end{array}$	$2\mathrm{H^{+}}+2\mathrm{e^{-}}\rightarrow\mathrm{H_{2}}$

In alkaline media, the concentration of protons in the electrolyte is exceedingly minimal; therefore, the initial Volmer step is crucial for reducing H<sub>2</sub>O and dissociating O-H bonds to form H<sub>ads</sub> on the active sites of the catalytic surface [52,53]. This marks the initial stage of the HER, referred to as the Volmer reaction. During this process, transferred electrons have the capability to generate adsorbed hydrogen species (H<sub>ads</sub>) alongside negatively charged hydroxide anions. During the subsequent phase of the HER, the second step involves the potential production of gaseous hydrogen, which can materialize through either the Heyrovsky or Tafel pathways. The Heyrovsky reaction is as follows. H<sub>ads</sub> binds to another water molecule and an electron to produce a hydrogen molecule and a hydroxide anion [54]. The Tafel reaction combines two H<sub>ads</sub> atoms regardless of the pH in the media [55]. In theory, the Tafel slope can be used to evaluate the dominant

reaction mechanism in the HER process [1]. The smaller the Tafel slope, the faster HER electrocatalytic kinetics, and the HER rate-determining step (RDS) can be identified from the Tafel slope [56].

## 3. Preparation of Transition-Metal Phosphides (TMPs)

The synthesis process of TMPs is significantly impacted by the reaction conditions and precursors employed, ultimately exerting a critical influence on the ensuing electrocatalytic performance. This impact is achieved by controlling the composition, crystal phase, and overall structure of the resulting catalysts [57]. As a result, various physical and chemical approaches have been developed to augment the performance of diverse nanomaterials (Figure 2). The chosen synthesis approaches play a pivotal role in determining the characteristics of TMPs, including factors like size, morphology, and the distribution of P atoms within the structure [58].



Figure 2. Preparation of transition-metal phosphides (TMPs).

#### 3.1. Solution-Phase Reaction

Tri-n-octylphosphine (TOP), trioctylphosphineoxide (TOPO), and triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>) are three common phosphorus sources that have been extensively utilized to synthesize transition metal phosphides (TMPs) through solution-phase reactions [59,60]. The P atom can be readily liberated through the breaking of the covalent C-P bond within the P source molecule [61]. Furthermore, the utilization of P source materials can expedite the reaction process and result in the formation of unconventional structures [29]. Nonetheless, it should be noted that rigorous air-free operations are required to avoid highly flammable P at high-reaction temperatures. While the synthesis of metal phosphides with controllable sizes and defined nanostructures can be achieved through the use of organophosphorus compounds, the advancement of this synthetic approach is constrained due to its complexity. This process involves pyrophoric and toxic organic phosphine, which poses challenges for further development [62]. For example, Xu et al. reported the nanoporous FeP nanosheets via an anion exchange reaction [63].

Until now, hypophosphite (e.g.,  $NaH_2PO_2$  and  $NH_4H_2PO_2$ ) has been frequently utilized as an alternative P precursor [64]. As an inorganic P source, hypophosphite can decompose in situ to generate gaseous PH<sub>3</sub>. The in situ generated PH<sub>3</sub> can react with various metal precursors while maintaining the dimension and structure of the precursors.

#### 3.2. Solid-Phase Reaction

The solid-phase reaction involves the combination of solid metal and phosphorus sources, succeeded by thermal treatment in an inert or vacuum conditions [65]. Solid metal sources encompassing metal nanoparticles, metal oxides, or metal hydroxides, as well as phosphorus sources like red phosphorus or di-ammonium phosphate, have been employed. By altering the metal precursors and adjusting reaction temperatures, it is possible to produce various crystalline phases of TMPs. Each of these phases is distinguished by uniform distributions of shapes and sizes [58]. Consequently, adjusting the reaction temperature and reactant molar ratio offers the ability to optimize the performance of TMPs [66]. Xiao et al. reported MoP for the electrocatalyst using this method [67].

#### 3.3. Gas-Solid Phase Reaction

Pre-synthesized solid-state precursors can be effectively transformed into TMPs using the gas–solid phase reaction. The use of PH<sub>3</sub> gas proves to be efficient in phosphorization, reacting with various metal sources like bulk metal, metal oxides, metal–organic complexes, and metal hydroxides, leading to the formation of TMPs at different temperatures [58]. Beyond the phosphorization of pre-synthesized precursors, substrates composed of transition metals, like nickel foam [68], nickel foil [69] and iron foam [70], can undergo direct phosphorization via the gas–solid phase reaction to generate TMPs [52]. The common approach for the gas–solid phase reaction of the precursor materials. For example, Geng et al. synthesized the hole-rich CoP nanosheets through the gas–solid phase reaction [71].

## 3.4. Decomposition

The decomposition of a metal–organic precursor is a commonly employed method, executed at moderate temperatures. This approach offers the advantage of mitigating challenges related to the handling and storage of highly pyrophoric reagents like phosphine gas or white phosphorus. In this process, a combination of metal–organic and organic phosphorus compounds are blended, leading to the formation of a metal–organic precursor. Subsequent thermal decomposition results in the ultimate formation of TMPs. By adjusting the temperature during the phosphidation reaction, various crystalline phases of TMPs can be obtained. Additionally, manipulation of the metal (M)/phosphide (P) ratios is achievable by modifying the proportions of the metal and TOP [58].

TMPs can be synthesized via the pyrolysis of precursors that encompass metal, phosphorus, and carbon components [72]. Notably, phytic acid (PA,  $C_6H_6(H_2PO_4)_6$ ), which comprises six phosphonic acid groups, holds substantial appeal as a phosphorus source owing to its robust coordination capability and elevated phosphorus content. The six phosphoryl groups present in PA make it an efficient crosslinker with metal ions, leading to the formation of metal–PA complexes. These complexes, containing both metal and phosphorus, undergo carbonization to yield the final TMPs [51,73].

# 3.5. Hydrothermal (or Solvothermal) Reaction

The hydrothermal (or solvothermal) reaction, a prominent bottom-up synthetic technique, serves as a prevalent method for producing nanomaterials. It involves the thorough mixing of specific chemicals in distilled water and subsequent sealing within an autoclave [52]. Hydrothermal processes predominantly utilize water as the solvent, while solvothermal methods involve the use of organic solvents to synthesize TMPs. Precise quantities of metal and phosphorus sources are dissolved in an appropriate volume of distilled water or an alternative solvent. The resultant mixture is then moved to a sealed stainless steel (or Teflon-lined) autoclave. Hydrothermal reactions are conducted at diverse temperatures (ranging from 120 to 200 °C) and durations [58]. The elevated temperature and pressure within the autoclave facilitate the expedited arrangement of various nanostructures [74,75]. Changes in the temperature, time, and concentration of the precursor materials can lead to different sizes and morphologies of TMPs. Due to the mild reaction conditions, the hydrothermal reaction has a greater possibility of achieving the economic production of heterostructure materials on a large scale [76]. Das et al. synthesized a binary metal phosphide (NiCoP) using the hydrothermal method [77].

## 3.6. Electrochemical Deposition

Electrochemical deposition stands out as an appealing technique for crafting heterostructure electrocatalysts intended for the HER. This method is prized for its adaptability and mild reaction conditions, effectively sidestepping the necessity for high pressure, elevated temperatures, and environmentally harmful gases [76]. The precursors generated through the electrochemical deposition showcase diverse compositions and morphologies, which can be subsequently phosphorized to yield TMPs. This technique accommodates a wide array of compositions and affords nanometer-level precision for modifying crystal growth—a level of precision that proves challenging to attain through alternative means. Moreover, electrochemical deposition can be harnessed for the creation of bimetallic phosphide precursors [52]. This versatile approach offers the potential to fine-tune the inherent activity of heterostructure catalysts designed for HER [76]. Lu et al. developed an electrodepositions process to synthesize FeP film for electrocatalysts [78].

## 3.7. Electroreduction

Electroreduction is a graceful method for phosphorization using metal and hypophosphite ions. Under reducing potentials,  $H_2PO_2^-$  is converted into PH<sub>3</sub>, which is then accumulated with metal ions to make TMPs [79]. This approach necessitates mild conditions, rendering it environmentally friendly and efficient, aligning with the principles of green and straightforward TMP fabrication. Zhu et al. studied Ni-based phosphide fabricated by the electroreduction method [80].

#### 4. Electrocatalytic Performance for HER in Alkaline

## 4.1. Ni-Based TMPs

# 4.1.1. Ni-P Structure

Nickel phosphides have eight mono- or poly-phosphides with different Ni/P ratios, and the stoichiometric compositions, crystal phases, and crystal facets affect electrocatalytic activity. In other words, nickel phosphides that have unique electronic properties and excellent anti-corrosion properties have been widely studied as an electrocatalyst [81].

Shi et al. introduced a novel Ni<sub>2</sub>P nanosheets/Ni foam (Ni<sub>2</sub>P/Ni) via a straightforward chemical conversion approach. They utilized a surface-oxidized Ni foam as a precursor and employed a low concentration of trioctylphosphine (TOP) as the phosphorus source [82]. Intriguingly, the recorded overpotential at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> was approximately 41 mV, with a calculated Tafel slope of 50 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, the Ni<sub>2</sub>P/Ni composite demonstrated remarkable stability within an alkaline environment.

Yan et al. presented a study involving nickel-based metal–organic frameworks (MOF-74-Ni) integrated with reduced graphene oxide (rGO) to create a Ni<sub>2</sub>P/rGO composite [83]. Through a one-step calcination process at low temperature, using sodium hypophosphite as the phosphorus source, they obtained Ni<sub>2</sub>P/rGO. This composite exhibited significant merits, including a substantial active surface area, optimal distribution of active sites with ultra-small particle dimensions, and evident defects on the exposed rGO sheets. These defects indicated the formation of ultra-small nickel phosphide nanocrystals on both sides of the rGO, resulting in a sandwich-like Ni<sub>2</sub>P/rGO structure. The Ni<sub>2</sub>P/rGO composite displayed a notably low overpotential of 142 mV under 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Noteworthy Tafel slope data were observed, registering at 58 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> for the Ni<sub>2</sub>P/rGO with a calculated exchange current density of  $3.1 \times 10^{-5}$  A cm<sup>-2</sup>. Impressively, the Ni<sub>2</sub>P/rGO demonstrated exceptional endurance within an alkaline medium, evident from a stable chronopotentiometric curve sustained over 20 h.

Jin et al. introduced a multifaceted heteroatom doping technique for the direct and continuous fine-tuning of the electronic structure and HER activity of non-noble metals, while retaining their chemical composition [13]. They utilized a pyrolysis method to obtain carbon-supported nickel nanoparticles, subsequently achieving N-P doping using NH<sub>3</sub> and NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. The outcome, labeled as N-P-Ni, exhibited remarkable performance, manifesting a minimal overpotential of 25.8 mV at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 3a,b). Impressively, the exchange current density (i<sub>0</sub>) of N-P-Ni (1.22 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) closely paralleled that of commercial Pt/C catalysts (1.3 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>), exceeding pristine Ni by eight-fold (0.154 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, Figure 3c).



**Figure 3.** Electrochemical performance for the HER: (a) LSV curves; (b) Tafel slopes; (**c**–**e**) Comparison of the overpotential ( $\eta_{10}$ ) at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, exchange current density (i<sub>0</sub>), and electrochemical double layer capacitance (C<sub>dl</sub>); (**f**) Chronoamperometric curves (Inset: LSV of N-P-Ni in a long-term stability test). Adapted with permission from Ref. [13].

Furthermore, the N-P-Ni displayed a low Tafel slope of 34 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 3d), implying that the rate-determining step had shifted from the Volmer reaction, showcasing enhanced water dissociation kinetics. The electrochemical double-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) values of the catalysts were also documented (Figure 3e). Chronoamperometry of N-P-Ni conducted for 50 h at a consistent overpotential of 30 mV showcased minimal alteration in the current response, even after 1000 cycles (Figure 3f).

# 4.1.3. Ni-M-P (M: Metal) Structure

Hu et al. reported on Ni-Co-P hollow nano-bricks (Ni-Co-P HNBs) for HER [84]. A rapid microwave-assisted technique was employed to synthesize regular cuboid  $Ag_2WO_4$  solid nano-bricks (SNBs). Subsequently, these  $Ag_2WO_4$  SNBs were combined with  $Co(NO_3)_2$ , Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) to create a core–shell structure in the form of  $Ag_2WO_4$ @Ni-Co precursor core–shell SNBs. The  $Ag_2WO_4$  cores were removed and reacted with NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> to obtain the Ni-Co-P HNBs. The resulting Ni-Co-P HNBs displayed favor-able electrocatalytic performance, evidenced by an overpotential of 107 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, coupled with a reduced Tafel slope measuring 46 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>. Subsequent chronoamperometry testing revealed a mere 4.3% reduction in current density over a

20 h duration, underscoring the robust durability of the Ni-Co-P HNBs in facilitating the HER within an alkaline environment.

Du et al. devised a sequential method involving hydrothermal, oxidation, and phosphidation steps to craft 3D nest-like ternary NiCoP/carbon cloth (NiCoP/CC) electrocatalysts [85]. Remarkably, the NiCoP/CC composite exhibited outstanding electrocatalytic prowess for the HER, showcasing an extraordinary overpotential of 62 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> within an alkaline medium, alongside a Tafel slope measuring 68.2 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>. Even after undergoing 2000 cyclic voltammetry (CV) cycles, the NiCoP/CC experienced a mere 2 mV drop from its initial CV value at the same current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. The superior electrocatalytic activity was further confirmed by the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (C<sub>dl</sub>), recorded at 51.5 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>. Moreover, the NiCoP/CC continued to generate hydrogen and oxygen over 40,000 s at a current density of 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, with the voltage experiencing only a minimal increase to 80 mV after the duration.

Yao et al. successfully prepared mesoporous nanorods of nickel–cobalt–iron–sulfur– phosphorus (NiCoFe-PS), tightly self-supported on a nickel foam substrate (NiCoFe-PS nanorod/NF) [86]. Initially, a NiCoFeZn alloy film was made on the Ni foam via a novel hydrothermal electrodeposition technique. Subsequently, by precisely controlling the potential, selective dealloying was performed, leading to the formation of NiCoFe-PS nanorod/NF. The synthesis process involved the reaction of NiCoFe-PS nanorod/NF with phosphorus and sulfur, facilitated by the decomposition of Na<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> to yield P. The subsequent sulfidization and phosphorization processes over a span of 1 h resulted in the formation of well-crystallized catalysts. The NiCoFe-PS nanorod/NF electrocatalysts showed remarkable performance metrics, notably an overpotential of merely 97.8 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, with a notably low Tafel slope of 51.8 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 4.2. Co-Based TMPs

## 4.2.1. Co-P Structure

Cobalt phosphides can cause an "ang-semble effect" in the HER process, such as a metal complex. In addition, Co and P atoms can act as a hydride-acceptor and proton-accepter site to improve electrocatalyst performance [87].

Jiang et al. presented a facile potentiodynamic electrodeposition technique to synthesize Co-P, utilizing common cobalt and phosphorous reagents [49]. The resulting Co-P exhibited exceptional HER performance within an alkaline, demonstrated by an overpotential of 94 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, and a Tafel slope measuring 42 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>. While the commercial Pt/C catalysts showcased a minute catalytic onset potential, their larger Tafel slope (108 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) in comparison to the Co-P film was noteworthy. This led to the Co-P film surpassing the catalytic current density of the commercial Pt/C catalysts beyond -167 mV vs. RHE. Moreover, the Co-P film exhibited enhanced long-term stability. Controlled potential electrolysis (at  $\eta = -107$  mV) conducted over 24 h demonstrated nearly linear charge accumulation and a consistent current profile throughout the electrolysis process.

Lv et al. detailed the fabrication of well-defined CoP/Co<sub>2</sub>P nanohybrids enveloped within N-doped graphitized carbon shell (CoP/Co<sub>2</sub>P@NC) via a straightforward hydrothermal reaction [88]. Initially, cobalt phosphonate (CoPi) precursors were synthesized through heat treatment, and these precursors were subsequently annealed to yield the CoP/Co<sub>2</sub>P@NC composite. The CoP/Co<sub>2</sub>P@NC-2 variant showcased noteworthy performance, characterized by low overpotentials of 198 mV within an alkaline at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Its Tafel slope, a little higher than that of commercial Pt/C catalysts (82 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> compared with 73 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), signified enhanced kinetics for HER. Impressively, after undergoing 1000 cycles of cyclic voltammetry (CV), the polarization curves of CoP/Co<sub>2</sub>P@NC-2 exhibited negligible degradation, underscoring the robust durability of this composite. After long-term HER stability test, it can be confirmed that the core–shell

structure without change and clear lattice fringes of CoP/Co2P@NC-2 in HRTEM image showed high structural stability in 1.0 M KOH.

Tabassum et al. introduced a bottom-up synthesis method for encapsulating CoP nanostructures within a B, N co-doped graphene-like carbon framework, referred to as BCN nanotubes (CoP@BCN). This was achieved through a process involving pyrolysis and subsequent phosphorization steps [89]. The CoP@BCN composite showcased remarkable electrochemical prowess in the context of HER across all pH media, sustaining a high level of stability for an extended duration of 8 h. Particularly noteworthy was the CoP@BCN-1 variant, which exhibited the most optimal catalytic HER activities, as evidenced by an overpotential of 215 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Notably, in alkaline, the catalytic current densities were stable at 7.30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, experiencing only a minor 10% decline in stability.

## 4.2.2. Cu-Co-P Structure

Xu et al. showed a successful copper and oxygen dual-doping approach to amplify the count of active sites within CoP (designated as O-Cu-Co-P-2), thereby achieving superior HER performance in alkaline environments [90]. In a 1.0 M KOH, the electrocatalytic effectiveness of O-Cu-Co-P-2 manifested notably lower overpotential (72 mV) at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and a Tafel slope of 57.6 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, compared to CoP (137 mV and 76.8 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Impedance spectra were acquired at an overpotential of 0.2 V across a frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz, using an applied voltage amplitude of 10 mV. Notably, the charge transfer resistance of O-Cu-Co-P-2 was smaller than that of CoP. The quantity of generated hydrogen closely aligned with the theoretical amount, suggesting nearly 100% Faradaic efficiency during the electrolysis process. moreover, accelerated degradation studies underscored the robust durability of O-Cu-Co-P-2 nanowire arrays, as the HER current density exhibited minimal decay even after 5000 cyclic voltammetry (CV) cycles. Additionally, O-Cu-Co-P-2 demonstrated stable overpotentials at both 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> current densities, maintained over a 24 h duration.

#### 4.2.3. N-Co-P Structure

Luo et al. prepared N-doped  $Co_2P$  supported on carbon cloth (N- $Co_2P/CC$ ) [91]. The Co(OH)F/CC was prepared by heat-treatment, and then a phosphorization process was conducted with NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> to yield the N-Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC (Figure 4a). The N-Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC catalyst demonstrated remarkable catalytic prowess, registering an overpotential of 34 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. This performance was similar with the commercial Pt/C catalyst (28 mV), and significantly superior to the Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC (110 mV) and CoP/CC (88 mV) counterparts (as depicted in Figure 4b). Additionally, the Tafel slope of N- Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC was calculated at 51 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 4c). Although this value was a little higher than Pt/CC (42 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), it was notably lower than the Tafel slopes of  $Co_2P/CC$  (71 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) and CoP/CC (66 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>). In addition, the almost unchanged LSV curve after 3000 CV cycles with the N-Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC demonstrated its outstanding electrochemical stability (Figure 4d). Furthermore, the EIS outcomes (Figure 4e) illuminated that N-Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC exhibited a notably diminished charge transfer resistance in comparison to pristine  $Co_2P/CC$ . Examining the double-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) test curves, it's evident that N-  $Co_2P/CC$  (141 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>) boasted a larger electrochemical surface area (ECSA) in contrast to CoP/CC (116 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>) and  $Co_2P/CC$  (53 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>), as displayed in Figure 4f. This enlarged ECSA is advantageous in promoting HER performance.

#### 4.3. Fe-Based TMPs

#### 4.3.1. Fe-P Structure

Iron phosphides show superb electrical conductivity due to the alloying of metals and phosphorus, and has outstanding electrochemical properties due to its excellent catalytic activity, corrosion resistance, and stability compared to other TMPs [1].



**Figure 4.** (a) Preparation of the N-Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC. Electrochemical performance for the HER: (b) Polarized curves; (c) Tafel slopes; (d) Polarized curves of the N-Co<sub>2</sub>P/CC at the first cycle and after 3000 cycles (Inset: the chronopotentiometric curve with a constant current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 120,000 s); (e) Nyquist plots; (f) Electrochemical double-layer capacitance (C<sub>dl</sub>). Adapted with permission from Ref. [91].

Huang et al. employed a straightforward and gentle sol–gel technique to produce a self-supported electrode comprised of mesoporous FeP (designated as meso-FeP/CC) [92]. The catalytic activity of meso-FeP/CC for HER was highly impressive, prompting a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> with an overpotential of 84 mV. Notably, this overpotential was 61 mV and 72 mV lower than that of FeP/CC and meso-FeP, respectively. Furthermore, the meso-FeP/CC boasted the smallest Tafel slope among other FeP-based electrodes, measuring at 60 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>. This indicated swifter kinetics for the HER process. Remarkably, the electrode also demonstrated robust stability during a 20 h chronoamperometric assessment conducted within an alkaline medium. After HER measurement, there was no significant change in the XRD and XPS results, and original mesoporous morphology of meso-FeP/CC was maintained in TEM, confirming excellent durability.

Shi et al. introduced an innovative approach involving the electrodeposition of transition metal phosphide (FeP) cubes with nanoporous structures onto carbon paper (CP) as an effective catalyst for the HER [79]. This involved the rapid fabrication of nanoporous FeP cubes on CP, referred to as NPC FeP/CP, through electrodeposition followed by acidetching (Figure 5a). To assess its effectiveness, HER polarization curves were generated for Fe/FeOOH, Fe/FeOOH/FeP, and FeP in a 1.0 M KOH, with commercial Pt/C catalysts serving as a reference for comparison (Figure 5b). The commercial Pt/C catalysts showed outstanding HER activity, marked by a low overpotential of 29 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. A small Tafel slope of 42.70 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> was measured through linear fitting of the polarization curves using the Tafel equation (Figure 5c). Following the acid-etching process that eliminated Fe and FeOOH species, the resulting NPC FeP/CP manifested an overpotential of 140 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, coupled with a notably diminished Tafel slope of 61.92 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>. This reduction in the Tafel slope indicated favorable HER kinetics for NPC FeP, operating through the Volmer-Heyrovsky mechanism within alkaline environments. Remarkably, all the FeP/CP samples exhibited commendable HER activity in 1.0 M KOH, with their overpotentials at a current density

of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> registering at 249, 181, 140, 152, and 170 mV for FeP5min, FeP15min, FeP30min, FeP45min, and FeP60min, respectively. This data showed that the optimal HER performance was achieved by NPC FeP30min (Figure 5d). Indeed, the NPC FeP30min/CP configuration demonstrated the most favorable Tafel slope of 61.92 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> among all the FeP samples (Figure 5e). As shown in Figure 5f, the multi-current HER processes on NPC FeP were observed in both acid and alkaline electrolytes. Upon gradually increasing the potential by 0.01 V every 500 s, the corresponding current density underwent a continuous enhancement before swiftly stabilizing. This succession of rapid and cyclic staircase-like steps vividly illustrated the advantageous mass transport characteristics, encompassing the inward diffusion of OH<sup>-</sup> or H<sup>+</sup> ions and the outward diffusion of H<sub>2</sub> bubbles, as well as the exceptional conductivity of NPC FeP.



**Figure 5.** (a) Schematic illustration for the preparation process of FeP-CP. Electrochemical performance for the HER: (b) Polarization curves; (c) Tafel plots; (d) Polarization curves of the FeP samples prepared with different electrodeposition times; (e) Tafel plots of the FeP samples prepared with different electrodeposition times; (f) Multi-current HER processes with a step of -0.01 V every 500 s for the FeP samples in 1.0 M KOH with potential increases from -0.17 to -0.22 V (vs. RHE) and in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with potential increases from -0.12 to -0.17 V (vs. RHE), respectively. Adapted with permission from Ref. [79].

# 4.3.2. M-Fe-P (M = Metal) Structure

Lu et al. detailed the creation of uniform hollow nanorods composed of Ni-doped FeP (NFP/C) nanocrystals hybridized with carbon, designed as electrocatalysts for HER [93]. The incorporation of Ni led to abundant active sites and an improved capability for mass and charge transport, rendering the optimized Ni-doped FeP/C hollow nanorods as exceptional catalysts for the HER in a 1.0 M KOH environment. These doped hollow nanorods outperformed the FeP/C sample, displaying superior HER activities characterized by a smaller overpotential and Tafel slope (measured at 72 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>). Furthermore, these Ni-doped FeP/C hollow nanorods demonstrated heightened properties, notably showcasing a smaller overpotential and a higher exchange current density ( $J_0 = 0.481 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ ).

Yang et al. prepared porous nanospindle composed of a carbon-encapsulated MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP (MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP@C) [94]. The fabrication process of MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP@C involved a PMo<sub>12</sub>@Fe complex (Fe)/FeOOH precursor, which was transformed using the POMOF method with

FeOOH serving as a self-sacrificial template [95,96]. The MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP@C showcased an elevated catalytic activity, demonstrating overpotentials of 103 mV and 190 mV at current densities of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. These values were notably lower than those observed for FeP@C (155 mV and 258 mV), MoO<sub>2</sub>@C (182 mV and 274 mV), and MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP (128 mV and 214 mV). The Tafel slope for MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP@C measured 48 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, presenting a lower value than that of FeP@C (55 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) and MoO<sub>2</sub>@C (60 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>). This finding highlighted the accelerated reaction kinetics exhibited by MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP@C.

## 4.3.3. Fe-P/M-P (M = Metal) Structure

Qin et al. presented their research on well-defined FeP–CoMoP hierarchical nanostructures (FeP–CoMoP HNSs/CC) used as electrocatalysts for the HER in alkaline [97]. Their study included comparisons with Co NRs/CC, Co-Mo NTs/CC, and Fe-Co-Mo HNSs/CC, all of which showed negligible performance due to their intrinsic low conductivity and limited active sites. However, the situation changed significantly after phosphorization. The FeP–CoMoP HNSs/CC displayed an extraordinarily low overpotential of 33 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, a remarkable improvement over the CoP NRs/CC (93 mV) and CoMoP NTs/CC (72 mV). Additionally, in terms of Tafel slope, the FeP– CoMoP HNSs/CC (51 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) outperformed the CoMoP NTs/CC (87 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) and CoP NRs/CC (102 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), indicating significantly faster HER kinetics for FeP–CoMoP HNSs/CC.

#### 4.4. Mo-Based TMPs

#### 4.4.1. Mo-P Structure

Molybdenum phosphide is a catalyst mainly used in hydrodesulfurization (HDS) reaction. MoP has an excellent HER catalytic performance because HDS and HER depend on the reversible combination of catalyst and hydrogen [98].

Zhang et al. prepared MoP/CNTs with small-sized and well-crystallized MoP nanoparticles coated uniformly on the sidewalls of carbon nanotubes (CNTs, multiwall carbon nanotubes) for HER [99]. The conductivity, HER activity, and stability of the MoP/CNTs were higher than  $MoS_2/CNTs$ ,  $MoN_x/CNTs$ , and  $MoO_x/CNTs$ . Thus, in 1.0 M KOH, the MoP/CNTs showed an even higher HER activity (overpotential of 86 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>), and a much higher stability (27 mV decay at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 40 h). Post HER measurement, it can be seen that the MoP/CNT-700 maintained its original structure by SEM, TEM, XRD, indicating high stability in morphology and structure of the hybrids.

Wu et al. devised a straightforward and scalable procedure to produce molybdenum phosphide coupled with reduced graphene oxide (MoP–RGO) [100]. In a 1.0 M KOH, MoP– RGO-800 displayed overpotentials of 238 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, which were comparatively lower than MoP-RGO-700 (424 mV) and MoP-RGO-900 (247 mV). This highlights the significance of pyrolysis temperature in fine-tuning the electrocatalytic performance for the HER. Furthermore, the introduction of NaCl as a template was employed to enhance the specific surface area of the catalysts, enabling the creation of pores. The electrocatalytic performance of MoP-RGO-800 was assessed in 1.0 M KOH, showcasing an overpotential of 238 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. This value was comparatively lower than that of MoP-RGO-700 (424 mV) and MoP-RGO-900 (247 mV), highlighting the impact of pyrolysis temperature as a crucial factor for tuning electrocatalytic performance in the HER. To further enhance specific surface area, NaCl was utilized as a template to create pores within the catalysts [101]. With the addition of 0.5 g of NaCl, the overpotential at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> decreased from 238 to 183 mV. MoP-RGO-0.5 exhibited the lowest Tafel slope (69 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) compared with MoP–RGO (74 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), MoP-RGO-0.2 (95 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), and MoP-RGO-0.8 (134 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), approaching the Tafel slope of commercial Pt/C catalysts (53 mV dec $^{-1}$ ).

Song et al. conducted a study on N-doped, defect-containing, carbon-dot (CDs)loaded molybdenum phosphide (MoP/CDs) nanoparticles by utilizing CDs with varying N contents [102]. To increase the N content in the CDs, the electrocatalytic activity for HER was progressively enhanced. The overpotential of MoP/0.5CM-CDs1100 at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> was 70 mV, although this was higher than the commercial Pt/C catalysts (32 mV) (Figure 6a). The Tafel slope of MoP/0.5CM–CDs1100 (77.49 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) was a little higher than the commercial Pt/C catalysts (54.45 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), yet lower than MoP/0.5CE-CDs1100 (98.61 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>) and MoP/0.5CA-CDs1100 (102.57 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), suggesting the dominance of the Volmer–Heyrovsky mechanism in 1.0 M KOH (Figure 6b). EIS results revealed that MoP/0.5CM-CDs1100 displayed a smaller charge transfer resistance than MoP/0.5CE-CDs1100 or MoP/0.5CA-CDs1100 (Figure 6c). Stability testing of MoP/0.5CM-CDs1100 through 1000 CV cycles demonstrated minimal change in overpotential at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 6d). The trend in overpotentials was ranked as follows: MoP/0.5CM-CDs1100 (70 mV) < MoP/0.5CM-CDs1000 (93 mV) < MoP/0.5CM-CDs900 (134 mV) < MoP/0.5CM–CDs800 (296 mV), indicating that activity increased with higher annealing temperatures (Figure 6e). Notably, employing CM–CDs at half the reference mass yielded the optimal (i.e., smallest) overpotential and Tafel slope (70 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 77.49 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) among the tested catalysts with different masses of CM-CDs (Figure 6f).



**Figure 6.** Electrochemical performance for the HER: (**a**) Polarization curves; (**b**) Corresponding Tafel slopes; (**c**) Nyquist curves; (**d**) Durability test for the MoP/0.5CM–CDs1100 in 1.0 M KOH (Inset: the current-time (*i*–*t*) curves at a constant overpotential for 12 h); (**e**) Polarization curves for the MoP/CM–CDs annealed at different temperatures; (**f**) Polarization curves of the MoP/CM–CDs1100 prepared by adding different CM–CDs ratios. Adapted with permission from Ref. [102].

## 4.4.2. Mo-Ni-P Structure

Sun et al. presented a study on the utilization of Mo-doped Ni<sub>2</sub>P nanowires on Ni foam (Mo-Ni<sub>2</sub>P NWs/NF) as a proficient and enduring electrocatalyst for HER [103]. The Mo-Ni<sub>2</sub>P/NWs on conductive Ni foam were synthesized by converting NiMoO<sub>4</sub> nanowire arrays through a topotactic phosphidation reaction. The excellent HER performance of the Mo-Ni<sub>2</sub>P NWs/NF can be ascribed to the inclusion of Mo into Ni<sub>2</sub>P and the synergistic effects between the components. The Mo-Ni<sub>2</sub>P NWs/NF exhibited a small overpotential of 78 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and maintained long-term stability (>24 h) in 1.0 M KOH. This performance surpasses that of many Co- and Fe-doped binary transition metal phosphides. Even after 2500 sweeps, the polarization curve displayed minimal

deviation from the initial curve, indicating minimal corrosion of the electrocatalyst in the alkaline environment.

## 4.4.3. Mo-P/Ni-P Structure

Du et al. prepared hierarchical MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P heterostructures on a 3D Ni foam (MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P/NF) and evaluated its potential as an effective bifunctional electrocatalyst for water splitting [104]. In comparison to both the precursor and pure Ni foam, the MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P/NF exhibited a remarkable enhancement in its catalytic performance for HER, demonstrating low overpotentials of 75 mV and 191 mV at current densities of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. This underscores the superior efficiency of the MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P/NF as a cathode for HER. The Tafel slope was measured to be 100.2 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, which aligns with the typical range of 40 to 120 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, implying that the HER process likely followed the Volmer–Heyrovsky mechanism on the MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P/NF surface. Through stability testing in 1.0 M KOH under a constant overpotential of 125 mV (~22 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>), the MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P/NF exhibited negligible deterioration in current density over 24 h, illustrating the excellent electrochemical durability of the hierarchical MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P/NF heterostructures for HER in alkaline conditions.

#### 4.5. Others

Pu et al. conducted research on the synthesis of tungsten phosphide nanorod arrays on carbon cloth (WP NAs/CC) through a two-step process involving the hydrothermal growth of WO<sub>3</sub> nanorod arrays on carbon cloth (WO<sub>3</sub> NAs/CC) followed by phosphidation to chemically convert the WO<sub>3</sub> NAs/CC precursor into WP NAs/CC [105]. The electrocatalytic performance of WP NAs/CC was evaluated, yielding overpotentials of 150 mV and 271 mV at current densities of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Additionally, the Tafel slope of 102 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> was observed in the potential region of  $\eta = 120-250$  mV. These results indicate the potential of WP NAs/CC as an effective electrocatalyst for the HER in alkaline.

Hou et al. presented a study involving the growth of cedarlike semimetallic Cu<sub>3</sub>P nanoarrays directly on a 3D copper foam (CF) substrate [106]. The significant roughness factor (RF) of the Cu<sub>3</sub>P nanoarrays contributed to a highly electrochemically active surface area, while the semimetallic nature of the Cu<sub>3</sub>P core facilitated efficient charge transfer. In terms of electrocatalytic activity, the Cu<sub>3</sub>P/CF, CF, and commercial Pt/C catalysts exhibited overpotentials of 222 mV, 541 mV, and 57 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. In addition, the Tafel slope for Cu<sub>3</sub>P/CF was measured at 148 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, which is similar to the reported value for CoP on carbon cloth in alkaline and lower than CF (184 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>).

Electrocatalyst	Overpotential (mV) at a Current Density of 10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	Tafel Slope (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
Ni <sub>2</sub> P/Ni composite	41	50	[82]
Ni-FeP/TiN/CC	75	73	[107]
Ni/Ni <sub>2</sub> P@3DNSC	92	65	[108]
NiCoFe-PS nanorod/NF	97.8	51.8	[86]
NSP-Ni <sub>3</sub> FeN/NF	45	75	[109]
Ni <sub>2</sub> P/Ni <sub>0.96</sub> S/NF	72	149	[110]
NiCoP/CC	62	68.2	[85]
Ni <sub>2</sub> P/MoO <sub>2</sub> /NF HNRs	34	45.8	[111]

Table 2. Comparison of the performance of HER electrocatalysts in alkaline media.

C-(Fe-Ni)P@PC/(Ni-Co)P@CC

CoP-CeO<sub>2</sub>/Ti

 $\mathrm{Co}_{0.75}\mathrm{Fe}_{0.25}\mathrm{P}$ 

sc-Ni2 $P^5$ /NiHO     60     75       Ni2P-Ni3S2 HNAs/NF     80     65       NiMoP     400     163       NiMnP     490     238       NiFeP     690     116       NiCoP     530     116       NiCoP     530     116       NiCoP     530     116       NiCoP     70     103       N-NiCoP/NCF     78     83.2       v-Ni <sub>12</sub> P5     27.7     30.88       Ni2P-Ni72 HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/Ni2HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/Ni/NF     98     72       Nisp4     47     56       NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       NiFe-DM0NCOP/NCS     116     79       (Ni <sub>033</sub> Fe <sub>0.67</sub> )2P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       NiColP NWS/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/G02P@NC     198     82	Ref.	Tafel Slope (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	Overpotential (mV) at a Current Density of 10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	Electrocatalyst
Ni <sub>2</sub> P-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> HNAs/NF     80     65       NiMoP     400     163       NiMoP     490     238       NiFeP     690     116       NiCoP     530     116       NiCoP     530     116       NiCoP     710     103       N-NiCoP/NCF     78     83.2       v-Ni <sub>12</sub> P <sub>5</sub> 27.7     30.88       Ni <sub>2</sub> P-Ni <sub>17</sub> HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/GO     142     58       Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni <sub>8</sub> P <sub>4</sub> 47     56       NiCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>x</sub> NW     58     34.3       Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiOPONCCs     116     79       (Ni <sub>0.33</sub> Fe <sub>0.67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni/OH <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94	[112]	75	60	sc-Ni <sub>2</sub> P <sup><math>\delta</math>-</sup> /NiHO
NiMoP     400     163       NiMnP     490     238       NiFeP     690     116       NiCoP     530     116       NiQP     710     103       N-NiCoP/NCF     78     83.2       v-Ni12P5     27.7     30.88       Ni2P-NiP2 HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/rGO     142     58       Ni2P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni3P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni4P/Ni/NF     98     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni0a)3Fe0.67)2P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       NiGOH_2-Fe2P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWS/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BEN     215     77	[113]	65	80	Ni <sub>2</sub> P-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> HNAs/NF
NiMnP     490     238       NiFeP     690     116       NiCoP     530     116       Ni2P     710     103       N-NiCoP/NCF     78     83.2       v-Ni12Ps     27.7     30.88       Ni2P-NiP2 HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/rGO     142     58       Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni2P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni5P4     47     56       NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni0_33Fe0.67)2P NS     84        NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH)2-Fe3P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/GDSCN     215     57.7 <td< td=""><td>[114]</td><td>163</td><td>400</td><td>NiMoP</td></td<>	[114]	163	400	NiMoP
NiFeP     690     116       NiCoP     530     116       Ni2P     710     103       N-NiCoP/NCF     78     83.2       v-Ni12P5     27.7     30.88       Ni2P-NiP2 HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/GO     142     58       Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni2P/NiVF     98     72       Ni5P4     47     56       NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Nio_3Fe0.67)2P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     105     R-NiZnP/NF       50     53     34       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/GON     115     59.8	[114]	238	490	NiMnP
NiCoP     530     116       Ni2P     710     103       N-NiCoP/NCF     78     83.2       v-Ni12P5     27.7     30.88       Ni2P-NiP2 HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/RO     142     58       Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni2P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni5P4     47     56       NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni0.33Fe0.67)2P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH)2-Fe2P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/GD/SP     175     57.7       S-CoP@NC     198     82       CoP/GD/SP NRCS/CP     37     41.5 <td>[114]</td> <td>116</td> <td>690</td> <td>NiFeP</td>	[114]	116	690	NiFeP
Ni $_2$ P     710     103       N-NiCoP/NCF     78     83.2       v-Ni $_12P_5$ 27.7     30.88       Ni $_2P$ -Ni $_2$ HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni $_2P$ /rGO     142     58       Ni $_2P$ /Ni/NF     98     72       Ni $_5P_4$ 47     56       NiCo $_2P_x$ NW     58     34.3       Ni $_2P$ -NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni $_{0.33}Fe_{0.67}/_2P$ NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH) $_2$ -Fe $_2P$ /TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CCQP@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[114]	116	530	NiCoP
N-NiCoP/NCF     78 $83.2$ v-Ni <sub>12</sub> P <sub>5</sub> 27.7     30.88       Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiP <sub>2</sub> HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/rGO     142     58       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/rGO     142     58       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/NF     98     72       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/Ni/NF     98     34.3       Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni <sub>0,33</sub> Fe <sub>0,67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[114]	103	710	Ni <sub>2</sub> P
v-Ni12P5     27.7     30.88       Ni2P-NiP2 HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/rGO     142     58       Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni2P/NI/NF     98     72       Ni5P4     47     56       NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni0,33Fe0.67)2P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH)2-Fe2/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[115]	83.2	78	N-NiCoP/NCF
Ni2P-NiP2 HNPs/NF     59.7     58.8       Ni2P/rGO     142     58       Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni2P/NI/NF     98     72       Ni5P4     47     56       NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni <sub>0.33</sub> Fe <sub>0.67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS     84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     74     57.7       S-CoP@NF     109     79       Zno_075, S-Co_0.925 P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFP     177     72       Co354/MoS2/Ni2P     178     98       Zno_036Cou.92 P/TM     67	[116]	30.88	27.7	v-Ni <sub>12</sub> P <sub>5</sub>
Ni $_{12}P/rGO$ 142     58       Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni $_{2}P/Ni/NF$ 98     72       Ni $_{5}P_{4}$ 47     56       NiC $_{02}P_x$ NW     58     34.3       Ni $_{2}P-NiCOP@NCCs$ 116     79       (Ni $_{0.33}Fe_{0.67})_{2}P$ NS     84	[117]	58.8	59.7	Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiP <sub>2</sub> HNPs/NF
Ni-Co-P HNBs     107     46       Ni <sub>2</sub> P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni <sub>5</sub> P <sub>4</sub> 47     56       NiCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>x</sub> NW     58     34.3       Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79 $(Ni_{0.33}Fe_{0.67})_2^P NS$ 84        NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/Co     209     129       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[83]	58	142	Ni <sub>2</sub> P/rGO
Ni2P/Ni/NF     98     72       Ni5P4     47     56       NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79 $(Ni_{0.33}Fe_{0.67})_2P$ NS     84	[84]	46	107	Ni-Co-P HNBs
Ni <sub>5</sub> P <sub>4</sub> 47     56       NiCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>x</sub> NW     58     34.3       Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni <sub>0,33</sub> Fe <sub>0,67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS     84	[118]	72	98	Ni <sub>2</sub> P/Ni/NF
NiCo2Px NW     58     34.3       Ni2P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni <sub>0.33</sub> Fe <sub>0.67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS     84	[119]	56	47	Ni <sub>5</sub> P <sub>4</sub>
Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiCoP@NCCs     116     79       (Ni <sub>0,33</sub> Fe <sub>0,67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS     84       NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co <sub>2</sub> P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215     74       O, Cu-CoP-2     74     57.7       S-CoP@NF     109     79       Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFeP     177     72       Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     74       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[120]	34.3	58	NiCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>x</sub> NW
(Ni <sub>0.33</sub> Fe <sub>0.67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS     84       NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co <sub>2</sub> P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[121]	79	116	Ni <sub>2</sub> P-NiCoP@NCCs
NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF     120     88.2       Ni(OH)2-Fe2P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/CC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[122]		84	(Ni <sub>0.33</sub> Fe <sub>0.67</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P NS
Ni(OH)2-Fe2P/TM     76     105       R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215     0, Cu-CoP-2       O, Cu-CoP-2     74     57.7       S-CoP@NF     109     79       Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFeP     177     72       Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     109       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[123]	88.2	120	NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF
R-NiZnP/NF     50     53       N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[124]	105	76	Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> P/TM
N-P-Ni     25.8     34       N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[125]	53	50	R-NiZnP/NF
N-NiCoP NWs/CFP     105.1     59.8       Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215     0, Cu-CoP-2     74     57.7       S-CoP@NF     109     79     2       Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFeP     177     72       Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     41       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[13]	34	25.8	N-P-Ni
Co-P     94     42       CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co <sub>2</sub> P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[126]	59.8	105.1	N-NiCoP NWs/CFP
CoP/CC     209     129       CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215	[49]	42	94	Co-P
CoP/Co2P@NC     198     82       CoP@BCN     215       O, Cu-CoP-2     74     57.7       S-CoP@NF     109     79       Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFeP     177     72       Co3S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     41.5       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[30]	129	209	CoP/CC
CoP@BCN     215       O, Cu-CoP-2     74     57.7       S-CoP@NF     109     79       Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFeP     177     72       Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     41.5       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[88]	82	198	CoP/Co <sub>2</sub> P@NC
O, Cu-CoP-2     74     57.7       S-CoP@NF     109     79       Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFeP     177     72       Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     41.5       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[89]		215	CoP@BCN
S-CoP@NF     109     79       Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP     37     41.5       CoFeP     177     72       Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     41.5       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[90]	57.7	74	O, Cu-CoP-2
Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP   37   41.5     CoFeP   177   72     Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P   178   98     Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM   67   41.5     Al-CoP/CC   38   45	[127]	79	109	S-CoP@NF
CoFeP     177     72       Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P     178     98       Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM     67     45       Al-CoP/CC     38     45	[128]	41.5	37	Zn <sub>0.075</sub> , S-Co <sub>0.925</sub> P NRCs/CP
Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P 178 98   Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM 67   Al-CoP/CC 38 45	[129]	72	177	CoFeP
Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM 67   Al-CoP/CC 38 45	[130]	98	178	Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P
Al-CoP/CC     38     45       Al-C.P.(NE)     64     64	[131]		67	Zn <sub>0.08</sub> Co <sub>0.92</sub> P/TM
	[132]	45	38	Al-CoP/CC
AI-COT/INF 66 94	[133]	94	66	Al-CoP/NF
N-Co <sub>2</sub> P/CC 34 51	[91]	51	34	N-Co <sub>2</sub> P/CC

142

43

209

98

45

55.5

[134]

[135]

[136]

## Table 2. Cont.

Electrocatalyst	Overpotential (mV) at a Current Density of 10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	Tafel Slope (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
FeP (NPC FeP/CP)	140	61.92	[79]
FeP NAs/CC	218	146	[137]
meso-FeP/CC	84	60	[92]
FeP-CoMoP	33	51	[97]
NFP/C	95	72	[93]
MoO <sub>2</sub> -FeP@C	103	48	[94]
MoP/CNT	86	73	[99]
MoP <sub>2</sub> NS/CC	67	70	[138]
MoP-RGO	152	69	[100]
MoP/MWCNTs	155	56.8	[139]
Mo-Ni <sub>2</sub> P NWs/NF	78	109	[103]
MoP/Ni <sub>2</sub> P/NF	75	100.2	[104]
MoP/CDs	70	77.49	[102]
A-MoP@PC	67	40	[140]
C-WP/W	133	70.1	[141]
Cu <sub>3</sub> P/CF	222	148	[106]
WP NAs/CC	150	102	[105]

## Table 2. Cont.

#### 5. Conclusions and Perspectives

The inventive development and preparation of non-noble metal HER electrocatalysts are crucial because of the strong correlation between material characteristics, such as morphology and structure [142]. Despite considerable advancements of high-performance HER electrocatalysts, further endeavors are required to enable their practical use in commercial settings for sustainable hydrogen production. So, future research should integrate theoretical and experimental investigations to anticipate and validate the electrocatalytic efficiency of TMPs (Figure 7). Gaining a comprehensive understanding of the electrocatalyst's structural evolution during electrolysis holds immense importance, as the reconfigured surface plays a pivotal role in determining the sustained performance over time [143]. Accurate synthesis control leading to meticulously crafted surfaces featuring minimal defects and targeted crystalline structures or facets holds substantial significance in constructing theoretical models. This is crucial due to the potential impact of hydrogen adsorption on interatomic forces at the surface of catalysts, subsequently influencing the performance of hydrogen evolution [29,144].

Investigating the molecular-level interfacial structure of catalysts during electrochemical hydrogen evolution stands as a significant challenge. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of the catalytic behavior of transition metal phosphide (TMP)-based catalysts in HER is crucial for exploring the catalysts' structural changes and the conversion of reactants, intermediates, and products. Given the dynamic nature of transient states and the inevitable oxidation of catalysts, as well as the presence of unstable intermediate species, conventional ex situ approaches may fall short in capturing the evolving surface and providing precise insights into intermediate transformations.





Figure 7. Key points for determining the HER performance of TMPs.

To address this, employing in situ characterization methods, such as in situ Raman measurements and in situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy, holds promise in revealing interface signals of non-noble metal HER electrocatalysts. These techniques offer the potential to observe catalysts' behaviors in real-time, mitigating challenges associated with transient states and oxidation effects. Attaining these research objectives, which illuminate the true active sites and reaction mechanisms, could pave the way for the development of advanced electrocatalysts [29,62].

Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations have become an increasingly valuable method for gaining insights into performance predictions and catalyst design, and their power is continuously growing [29,62]. Understanding how the crystal structure, chemical composition, and electronic state of TMPs influence HER performance, as well as elucidating the effects of doping with other elements and the intricate interactions between coupled materials and TMPs, presents challenges. Nevertheless, DFT calculations offer a promising avenue for unraveling these complexities. By revealing the modulations in electronic structure and interactions among reactive species and surface structures, DFT can shed light on the evolution of intermediates. Moreover, DFT calculations enable the prediction of optimal crystal structures and chemical compositions, facilitating the quest for improved catalyst designs. Acquiring these fundamental understandings will provide us with profound insights into the underlying mechanisms governing the functionality and enduring stability of TMPs throughout the process of electrolysis.

Hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) will become an integral part of sustainable energy in the future because hydrogen is considered the most promising candidate for clean fuel used in fuel cell technologies. Therefore, it is important to achieve economical hydrogen production. Precious metals like Pt, Ru, Pd, Ir, etc. have been considered for HER electrocatalysts, but they are expensive and scarce and have poor stability. Thus, research on finding inexpensive and practical electrocatalysts should be actively conducted. This review provides an inclusive overview of the latest development in electrocatalysts for the HER, particularly highlighting the potential of cost-effective TMPs. Notably, TMPs have exhibited exceptional activity, stability, and affordability across a broad pH spectrum. TMPs can be prepared easily with phosphorus precursors through various reactions. The P content of TMPs has a crucial role in the performance for the HER because P atoms affect electrical conductivity, reactivity and stability. TMPs possess a notable electrical conductivity, a characteristic that proves advantageous in expediting charge transfer. Additionally, the Gibbs free energy associated with the HER intermediates falls within a moderate range, signifying a pronounced intrinsic activity conducive to efficient hydrogen evolution. In other words, due to its highly active properties, TMPs show great potential to replace expensive precious metals as HER electrocatalysts. Nonetheless, there remains a need for further enhancement in the electrocatalytic performance of TMP-based materials, aiming to surpass the capabilities of commercial Pt/C catalysts. Therefore, even though the development of catalysts has made significant progress, further advances are needed for sustainable hydrogen production and commercial applications.

Funding: This research was supported by Wonkwang University in 2021.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Xu, S.; Zhao, H.; Li, T.; Liang, J.; Lu, S.; Chen, G.; Gao, S.; Asiri, A.M.; Wu, Q.; Sun, X. Iron-based phosphides as electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction: Recent advances and future prospects. J. Mater. Chem. A 2020, 8, 19729–19745. [CrossRef]
- Balderas-Xicohténcatl, R.; Schmieder, P.; Denysenko, D.; Volkmer, D.; Hirscher, M. High Volumetric Hydrogen Storage Capacity using Interpenetrated Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Energy Technol.* 2018, 6, 510–512. [CrossRef]
- Guan, D.; Xu, H.; Zhang, Q.; Huang, Y.-C.; Shi, C.; Chang, Y.-C.; Xu, X.; Tang, J.; Gu, Y.; Pao, C.-W.; et al. Identifying A Universal Activity Descriptor and a Unifying Mechanism Concept on Perovskite Oxides for Green Hydrogen Production. *Adv. Mater.* 2023, 2305074. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Zheng, Y.; Jiao, Y.; Qiao, S.Z. Engineering of Carbon-Based Electrocatalysts for Emerging Energy Conversion: From Fundamentality to Functionality. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 5372–5378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Wu, C.; Liu, D.; Li, H.; Li, J. Molybdenum Carbide-Decorated Metallic Cobalt@Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Polyhedrons for Enhanced Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution. *Small* **2018**, *14*, 1704227. [CrossRef]
- 6. Jin, H.; Joo, J.; Chaudhari, N.K.; Choi, S.-I.; Lee, K. Recent Progress in Bifunctional Electrocatalysts for Overall Water Splitting under Acidic Conditions. *ChemElectroChem* **2019**, *6*, 3244–3253. [CrossRef]
- Xiong, B.; Chen, L.; Shi, J. Anion-Containing Noble-Metal-Free Bifunctional Electrocatalysts for Overall Water Splitting. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 3688–3707. [CrossRef]
- 8. Hu, Q.; Li, G.; Han, Z.; Wang, Z.; Huang, X.; Yang, H.; Zhang, Q.; Liu, J.; He, C. Recent progress in the hybrids of transition metals/carbon for electrochemical water splitting. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2019**, *7*, 14380–14390. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, H.; Zhu, Y.-P.; Yuan, Z.-Y. Three-Dimensional Electrocatalysts for Sustainable Water Splitting Reactions. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 2016, 1916–1923. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gray, H.B. Powering the planet with solar fuel. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 7. [CrossRef]
- 11. Millet, P.; Andolfatto, F.; Durand, R. Design and performance of a solid polymer electrolyte water electrolyzer. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **1996**, *21*, 87–93. [CrossRef]
- 12. Bae, S.-Y.; Mahmood, J.; Jeon, I.-Y.; Baek, J.-B. Recent advances in ruthenium-based electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *Nanoscale Horiz.* 2020, *5*, 43–56. [CrossRef]
- 13. Jin, H.; Liu, X.; Chen, S.; Vasileff, A.; Li, L.; Jiao, Y.; Song, L.; Zheng, Y.; Qiao, S.-Z. Heteroatom-Doped Transition Metal Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 805–810. [CrossRef]
- 14. Mahmood, N.; Yao, Y.; Zhang, J.-W.; Pan, L.; Zhang, X.; Zou, J.-J. Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution in Alkaline Electrolytes: Mechanisms, Challenges, and Prospective Solutions. *Adv. Sci.* **2018**, *5*, 1700464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Abbas, S.A.; Iqbal, M.I.; Kim, S.-H.; Jung, K.-D. Catalytic Activity of Urchin-like Ni nanoparticles Prepared by Solvothermal Method for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction in Alkaline Solution. *Electrochim. Acta* 2017, 227, 382–390. [CrossRef]
- 16. Rosalbino, F.; Delsante, S.; Borzone, G.; Angelini, E. Electrocatalytic behaviour of Co–Ni–R (R=Rare earth metal) crystalline alloys as electrode materials for hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline medium. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2008**, *33*, 6696–6703. [CrossRef]
- 17. Rosalbino, F.; Macciò, D.; Saccone, A.; Angelini, E.; Delfino, S. Fe–Mo–R (R = rare earth metal) crystalline alloys as a cathode material for hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline solution. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2011**, *36*, 1965–1973. [CrossRef]
- Sun, T.; Cao, J.; Dong, J.; Du, H.; Zhang, H.; Chen, J.; Xu, L. Ordered mesoporous Ni-Co alloys for highly efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2017, 42, 6637–6645. [CrossRef]
- 19. Xing, Z.; Gan, L.; Wang, J.; Yang, X. Experimental and theoretical insights into sustained water splitting with an electrodeposited nanoporous nickel hydroxide@nickel film as an electrocatalyst. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5*, 7744–7748. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liu, H.; Xia, G.; Zhang, R.; Jiang, P.; Chen, J.; Chen, Q. MOF-derived RuO<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> heterojunctions as highly efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for HER and OER in alkaline solutions. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 3686–3694. [CrossRef]
- Jia, Y.; Zhang, L.; Gao, G.; Chen, H.; Wang, B.; Zhou, J.; Soo, M.T.; Hong, M.; Yan, X.; Qian, G.; et al. A Heterostructure Coupling of Exfoliated Ni–Fe Hydroxide Nanosheet and Defective Graphene as a Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Overall Water Splitting. *Adv. Mater.* 2017, 29, 1700017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Ruqia, B.; Choi, S.-I. Pt and Pt–Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> Electrodes for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction in Alkaline Electrolytes and Their Nanoscaled Electrocatalysts. *ChemSusChem* 2018, 11, 2643–2653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, Q.; Wang, E.; Sun, G. Layered transition-metal hydroxides for alkaline hydrogen evolution reaction. *Chin. J. Catal.* 2020, 41, 574–591. [CrossRef]
- Miles, M.H. Evaluation of electrocatalysts for water electrolysis in alkaline solutions. J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 1975, 60, 89–96. [CrossRef]
- Barber, J.H.; Conway, B.E. Structural specificity of the kinetics of the hydrogen evolution reaction on the low-index surfaces of Pt single-crystal electrodes in 0.5 M dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1999, 461, 80–89. [CrossRef]
- Danilovic, N.; Subbaraman, R.; Strmcnik, D.; Stamenkovic, V.; Markovic, N. Electrocatalysis of the HER in acid and alkaline media. J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 2013, 78, 2007–2015. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zeng, K.; Zhang, D. Recent progress in alkaline water electrolysis for hydrogen production and applications. *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **2010**, *36*, 307–326. [CrossRef]
- Schiller, G.; Henne, R.; Mohr, P.; Peinecke, V. High performance electrodes for an advanced intermittently operated 10-kW alkaline water electrolyzer. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 1998, 23, 761–765. [CrossRef]
- Shi, Y.; Zhang, B. Recent advances in transition metal phosphide nanomaterials: Synthesis and applications in hydrogen evolution reaction. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2016, 45, 1529–1541. [CrossRef]
- Tian, J.; Liu, Q.; Asiri, A.M.; Sun, X. Self-Supported Nanoporous Cobalt Phosphide Nanowire Arrays: An Efficient 3D Hydrogen-Evolving Cathode over the Wide Range of pH 0–14. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7587–7590. [CrossRef]
- 31. Jiang, P.; Liu, Q.; Liang, Y.; Tian, J.; Asiri, A.M.; Sun, X. A Cost-Effective 3D Hydrogen Evolution Cathode with High Catalytic Activity: FeP Nanowire Array as the Active Phase. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12855–12859. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Ge, Y.; Dong, P.; Craig, S.R.; Ajayan, P.M.; Ye, M.; Shen, J. Transforming Nickel Hydroxide into 3D Prussian Blue Analogue Array to Obtain Ni<sub>2</sub>P/Fe<sub>2</sub>P for Efficient Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2018**, *8*, 1800484. [CrossRef]
- 33. Xiao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Lv, Q.; Jing, F.; Chi, K.; Wang, S. Large-scale printing synthesis of transition metal phosphides encapsulated in N, P co-doped carbon as highly efficient hydrogen evolution cathodes. *Nano Energy* **2018**, *51*, 223–230. [CrossRef]
- Xiao, P.; Chen, W.; Wang, X. A Review of Phosphide-Based Materials for Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, 5, 1500985. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.; Yan, M.; Zhou, X.; Huang, Z.-Q.; Xia, Z.; Chang, C.-R.; Ma, Y.; Qu, Y. Mechanistic Insights on Ternary Ni<sub>2-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>P for Hydrogen Evolution and Their Hybrids with Graphene as Highly Efficient and Robust Catalysts for Overall Water Splitting. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2016, 26, 6785–6796. [CrossRef]
- Hu, F.; Zhu, S.; Chen, S.; Li, Y.; Ma, L.; Wu, T.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, C.; Liu, C.; Yang, X.; et al. Amorphous Metallic NiFeP: A Conductive Bulk Material Achieving High Activity for Oxygen Evolution Reaction in Both Alkaline and Acidic Media. *Adv. Mater.* 2017, 29, 1606570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, Q.; Shen, Z.; Zhu, C.; Li, J.; Ding, Z.; Wang, P.; Pan, F.; Zhang, Z.; Ma, H.; Wang, S.; et al. Nitrogen-Doped CoP Electrocatalysts for Coupled Hydrogen Evolution and Sulfur Generation with Low Energy Consumption. *Adv. Mater.* 2018, 30, 1800140. [CrossRef]
- 38. Elshahawy, A.M.; Guan, C.; Li, X.; Zhang, H.; Hu, Y.; Wu, H.; Pennycook, S.J.; Wang, J. Sulfur-doped cobalt phosphide nanotube arrays for highly stable hybrid supercapacitor. *Nano Energy* **2017**, *39*, 162–171. [CrossRef]
- Kim, B.; Kim, T.; Lee, K.; Li, J. Recent Advances in Transition Metal Phosphide Electrocatalysts for Water Splitting under Neutral pH Conditions. *ChemElectroChem* 2020, 7, 3578–3589. [CrossRef]
- 40. Blanchard, P.E.R.; Grosvenor, A.P.; Cavell, R.G.; Mar, A. X-ray Photoelectron and Absorption Spectroscopy of Metal-Rich Phosphides M<sub>2</sub>P and M<sub>3</sub>P (M = Cr Ni). *Chem. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 7081–7088. [CrossRef]
- 41. Callejas, J.F.; Read, C.G.; Roske, C.W.; Lewis, N.S.; Schaak, R.E. Synthesis, Characterization, and Properties of Metal Phosphide Catalysts for the Hydrogen-Evolution Reaction. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 6017–6044. [CrossRef]
- 42. Wang, Q.; Liu, Z.; Zhao, H.; Huang, H.; Jiao, H.; Du, Y. MOF-derived porous Ni<sub>2</sub>P nanosheets as novel bifunctional electrocatalysts for the hydrogen and oxygen evolution reactions. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2018**, *6*, 18720–18727. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Q.; Tian, J.; Cui, W.; Jiang, P.; Cheng, N.; Asiri, A.M.; Sun, X. Carbon Nanotubes Decorated with CoP Nanocrystals: A Highly Active Non-Noble-Metal Nanohybrid Electrocatalyst for Hydrogen Evolution. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 6710–6714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, P.; Rodriguez, J.A. Catalysts for Hydrogen Evolution from the [NiFe] Hydrogenase to the Ni<sub>2</sub>P(001) Surface: The Importance of Ensemble Effect. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14871–14878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Popczun, E.J.; McKone, J.R.; Read, C.G.; Biacchi, A.J.; Wiltrout, A.M.; Lewis, N.S.; Schaak, R.E. Nanostructured Nickel Phosphide as an Electrocatalyst for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 9267–9270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tian, J.; Liu, Q.; Cheng, N.; Asiri, A.M.; Sun, X. Self-Supported Cu<sub>3</sub>P Nanowire Arrays as an Integrated High-Performance Three-Dimensional Cathode for Generating Hydrogen from Water. *Angew. Chem.* 2014, 126, 9731–9735. [CrossRef]
- Hou, C.-C.; Cao, S.; Fu, W.-F.; Chen, Y. Ultrafine CoP Nanoparticles Supported on Carbon Nanotubes as Highly Active Electrocatalyst for Both Oxygen and Hydrogen Evolution in Basic Media. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 28412–28419. [CrossRef]
- Jiao, L.; Zhou, Y.-X.; Jiang, H.-L. Metal–organic framework-based CoP/reduced graphene oxide: High-performance bifunctional electrocatalyst for overall water splitting. *Chem. Sci.* 2016, 7, 1690–1695. [CrossRef]

- 49. Jiang, N.; You, B.; Sheng, M.; Sun, Y. Electrodeposited Cobalt-Phosphorous-Derived Films as Competent Bifunctional Catalysts for Overall Water Splitting. *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 6349–6352. [CrossRef]
- Greeley, J.; Jaramillo, T.F.; Bonde, J.; Chorkendorff, I.; Nørskov, J.K. Computational high-throughput screening of electrocatalytic materials for hydrogen evolution. *Nat. Mater.* 2006, *5*, 909–913. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, G.; Wang, G.; Liu, Y.; Liu, H.; Qu, J.; Li, J. Highly Active and Stable Catalysts of Phytic Acid-Derivative Transition Metal Phosphides for Full Water Splitting. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 14686–14693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Ge, Z.; Fu, B.; Zhao, J.; Li, X.; Ma, B.; Chen, Y. A review of the electrocatalysts on hydrogen evolution reaction with an emphasis on Fe, Co and Ni-based phosphides. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2020, *55*, 14081–14104. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, Y.; Ling, T.; Chen, S.; Jin, B.; Vasileff, A.; Jiao, Y.; Song, L.; Luo, J.; Qiao, S.-Z. Non-metal Single-Iodine-Atom Electrocatalysts for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, 58, 12252–12257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gong, M.; Wang, D.-Y.; Chen, C.-C.; Hwang, B.-J.; Dai, H. A mini review on nickel-based electrocatalysts for alkaline hydrogen evolution reaction. *Nano Res.* 2016, 9, 28–46. [CrossRef]
- Wei, J.; Zhou, M.; Long, A.; Xue, Y.; Liao, H.; Wei, C.; Xu, Z.J. Heterostructured Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction Under Alkaline Conditions. *Nano-Micro Lett.* 2018, 10, 75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, D.; Xu, G.; Yang, H.; Wang, H.; Xia, B.Y. Rational Design of Transition Metal Phosphide-Based Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2023, 33, 2208358. [CrossRef]
- Jiao, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S.Z. Design of electrocatalysts for oxygen- and hydrogen-involving energy conversion reactions. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 2060–2086. [CrossRef]
- Theerthagiri, J.; Murthy, A.P.; Lee, S.J.; Karuppasamy, K.; Arumugam, S.R.; Yu, Y.; Hanafiah, M.M.; Kim, H.-S.; Mittal, V.; Choi, M.Y. Recent progress on synthetic strategies and applications of transition metal phosphides in energy storage and conversion. *Ceram. Int.* 2021, 47, 4404–4425. [CrossRef]
- Perera, S.C.; Fodor, P.S.; Tsoi, G.M.; Wenger, L.E.; Brock, S.L. Application of De-silylation Strategies to the Preparation of Transition Metal Pnictide Nanocrystals: The Case of FeP. *Chem. Mater.* 2003, 15, 4034–4038. [CrossRef]
- Wang, J.; Yang, Q.; Zhang, Z. Selective Synthesis of Magnetic Fe<sub>2</sub>P/C and FeP/C Core/Shell Nanocables. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 102–106. [CrossRef]
- Muthuswamy, E.; Brock, S.L. Oxidation Does Not (Always) Kill Reactivity of Transition Metals: Solution-Phase Conversion of Nanoscale Transition Metal Oxides to Phosphides and Sulfides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15849–15851. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Weng, C.-C.; Ren, J.-T.; Yuan, Z.-Y. Transition Metal Phosphide-Based Materials for Efficient Electrochemical Hydrogen Evolution: A Critical Review. *ChemSusChem* **2020**, *13*, 3357–3375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Xu, Y.; Wu, R.; Zhang, J.; Shi, Y.; Zhang, B. Anion-exchange synthesis of nanoporous FeP nanosheets as electrocatalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 6656–6658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Zeng, M.; Li, Y. Recent advances in heterogeneous electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 14942–14962. [CrossRef]
- Stein, B.F.; Walmsley, R.H. Magnetic Susceptibility and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in Transition-Metal Monophosphides. *Phys. Rev.* 1966, 148, 933–939. [CrossRef]
- Su, J.; Zhou, J.; Wang, L.; Liu, C.; Chen, Y. Synthesis and application of transition metal phosphides as electrocatalyst for water splitting. *Sci. Bull.* 2017, *62*, 633–644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Xiao, P.; Sk, M.A.; Thia, L.; Ge, X.; Lim, R.J.; Wang, J.-Y.; Lim, K.H.; Wang, X. Molybdenum phosphide as an efficient electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 2624–2629. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.; Kolen'ko, Y.V.; Bao, X.Q.; Kovnir, K.; Liu, L. One-Step Synthesis of Self-Supported Nickel Phosphide Nanosheet Array Cathodes for Efficient Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Generation. *Angew. Chem.* 2015, 127, 8306–8310. [CrossRef]
- Ledendecker, M.; Krick Calderón, S.; Papp, C.; Steinrück, H.-P.; Antonietti, M.; Shalom, M. The Synthesis of Nanostructured Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> Films and their Use as a Non-Noble Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Full Water Splitting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 12361–12365. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Ma, B.; Chen, Y. Iron phosphides supported on three-dimensional iron foam as an efficient electrocatalyst for water splitting reactions. J. Mater. Sci. 2019, 54, 14872–14883. [CrossRef]
- Geng, S.; Tian, F.; Li, M.; Guo, X.; Yu, Y.; Yang, W.; Hou, Y. Hole-rich CoP nanosheets with an optimized d-band center for enhancing pH-universal hydrogen evolution electrocatalysis. J. Mater. Chem. A 2021, 9, 8561–8567. [CrossRef]
- Singh, K.P.; Bae, E.J.; Yu, J.-S. Fe–P: A New Class of Electroactive Catalyst for Oxygen Reduction Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3165–3168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pu, Z.; Amiinu, I.S.; Zhang, C.; Wang, M.; Kou, Z.; Mu, S. Phytic acid-derivative transition metal phosphides encapsulated in N,P-codoped carbon: An efficient and durable hydrogen evolution electrocatalyst in a wide pH range. *Nanoscale* 2017, 9, 3555–3560. [CrossRef]
- 74. Byrappa, K.; Adschiri, T. Hydrothermal technology for nanotechnology. *Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater.* **2007**, *53*, 117–166. [CrossRef]
- 75. Tam, K.H.; Cheung, C.K.; Leung, Y.H.; Djurišić, A.B.; Ling, C.C.; Beling, C.D.; Fung, S.; Kwok, W.M.; Chan, W.K.; Phillips, D.L.; et al. Defects in ZnO Nanorods Prepared by a Hydrothermal Method. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006, *110*, 20865–20871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 76. Wang, H.; Fu, W.; Yang, X.; Huang, Z.; Li, J.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y. Recent advancements in heterostructured interface engineering for hydrogen evolution reaction electrocatalysis. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2020, *8*, 6926–6956. [CrossRef]
- 77. Das, J.K.; Samantara, A.K.; Satyarthy, S.; Rout, C.S.; Behera, J.N. Three-dimensional NiCoP hollow spheres: An efficient electrode material for hydrogen evolution reaction and supercapacitor applications. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 4650–4656. [CrossRef]
- 78. Lu, Z.-P.; Sepunaru, L. Electrodeposition of iron phosphide film for hydrogen evolution reaction. *Electrochim. Acta* 2020, 363, 137167. [CrossRef]
- 79. Shi, J.; Qiu, F.; Yuan, W.; Guo, M.; Yuan, C.; Lu, Z.-H. Novel electrocatalyst of nanoporous FeP cubes prepared by fast electrodeposition coupling with acid-etching for efficient hydrogen evolution. *Electrochim. Acta* 2020, 329, 135185. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Y.-P.; Liu, Y.-P.; Ren, T.-Z.; Yuan, Z.-Y. Self-Supported Cobalt Phosphide Mesoporous Nanorod Arrays: A Flexible and Bifunctional Electrode for Highly Active Electrocatalytic Water Reduction and Oxidation. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2015, 25, 7337–7347. [CrossRef]
- Hu, C.; Lv, C.; Liu, S.; Shi, Y.; Song, J.; Zhang, Z.; Cai, J.; Watanabe, A. Nickel Phosphide Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Catalysts* 2020, 10, 188. [CrossRef]
- Shi, Y.; Xu, Y.; Zhuo, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, B. Ni<sub>2</sub>P Nanosheets/Ni Foam Composite Electrode for Long-Lived and pH-Tolerable Electrochemical Hydrogen Generation. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 2376–2384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yan, L.; Jiang, H.; Xing, Y.; Wang, Y.; Liu, D.; Gu, X.; Dai, P.; Li, L.; Zhao, X. Nickel metal–organic framework implanted on graphene and incubated to be ultrasmall nickel phosphide nanocrystals acts as a highly efficient water splitting electrocatalyst. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2018, *6*, 1682–1691. [CrossRef]
- 84. Hu, E.; Feng, Y.; Nai, J.; Zhao, D.; Hu, Y.; Lou, X.W. Construction of hierarchical Ni–Co–P hollow nanobricks with oriented nanosheets for efficient overall water splitting. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *11*, 872–880. [CrossRef]
- 85. Du, C.; Yang, L.; Yang, F.; Cheng, G.; Luo, W. Nest-like NiCoP for Highly Efficient Overall Water Splitting. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 4131–4137. [CrossRef]
- Yao, M.; Hu, H.; Sun, B.; Wang, N.; Hu, W.; Komarneni, S. Self-Supportive Mesoporous Ni/Co/Fe Phosphosulfide Nanorods Derived from Novel Hydrothermal Electrodeposition as a Highly Efficient Electrocatalyst for Overall Water Splitting. *Small* 2019, 15, 1905201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Deng, R.; Guo, M.; Wang, C.; Zhang, Q. Recent advances in cobalt phosphide-based materials for electrocatalytic water splitting: From catalytic mechanism and synthesis method to optimization design. *Nano Mater. Sci.* **2022**. [CrossRef]
- Lv, X.; Ren, J.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Yuan, Z.-Y. Well-Defined Phase-Controlled Cobalt Phosphide Nanoparticles Encapsulated in Nitrogen-Doped Graphitized Carbon Shell with Enhanced Electrocatalytic Activity for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction at All-pH. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 8993–9001. [CrossRef]
- Tabassum, H.; Guo, W.; Meng, W.; Mahmood, A.; Zhao, R.; Wang, Q.; Zou, R. Metal–Organic Frameworks Derived Cobalt Phosphide Architecture Encapsulated into B/N Co-Doped Graphene Nanotubes for All pH Value Electrochemical Hydrogen Evolution. Adv. Energy Mater. 2017, 7, 1601671. [CrossRef]
- Xu, K.; Sun, Y.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Jia, G.; Zhang, Q.; Gu, L.; Li, S.; Li, Y.; Fan, H.J. Yin-Yang Harmony: Metal and Nonmetal Dual-Doping Boosts Electrocatalytic Activity for Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution. ACS Energy Lett. 2018, 3, 2750–2756. [CrossRef]
- 91. Men, Y.; Li, P.; Zhou, J.; Cheng, G.; Chen, S.; Luo, W. Tailoring the Electronic Structure of Co<sub>2</sub>P by N Doping for Boosting Hydrogen Evolution Reaction at All pH Values. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 3744–3752. [CrossRef]
- Huang, G.; Zhang, C.; Liu, Z.; Yuan, S.; Yang, G.; Wang, K.; Li, X.; Li, N.; Jing, S. Self-Supported Mesoporous Iron Phosphide with High Active-Site Density for Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution in Acidic and Alkaline Media. *ChemElectroChem* 2020, 7, 4943–4948. [CrossRef]
- 93. Lu, X.F.; Yu, L.; Lou, X.W. Highly crystalline Ni-doped FeP/carbon hollow nanorods as all-pH efficient and durable hydrogen evolving electrocatalysts. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, eaav6009. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, G.; Jiao, Y.; Yan, H.; Xie, Y.; Wu, A.; Dong, X.; Guo, D.; Tian, C.; Fu, H. Interfacial Engineering of MoO<sub>2</sub>-FeP Heterojunction for Highly Efficient Hydrogen Evolution Coupled with Biomass Electrooxidation. *Adv. Mater.* 2020, 32, 2000455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Chen, C.; Wu, A.; Yan, H.; Xiao, Y.; Tian, C.; Fu, H. Trapping [PMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> clusters into pre-synthesized ZIF-67 toward Mo<sub>x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>C particles confined in uniform carbon polyhedrons for efficient overall water splitting. *Chem. Sci.* 2018, 9, 4746–4755. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, J.-S.; Tang, Y.-J.; Liu, C.-H.; Li, S.-L.; Li, R.-H.; Dong, L.-Z.; Dai, Z.-H.; Bao, J.-C.; Lan, Y.-Q. Polyoxometalate-based metalorganic framework-derived hybrid electrocatalysts for highly efficient hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2016, *4*, 1202–1207. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Q.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Li, F.; Xu, L.; Wang, X.; Jiao, H.; Chen, Y. Self-Supported FeP-CoMoP Hierarchical Nanostructures for Efficient Hydrogen Evolution. *Chem. Asian J.* 2020, 15, 1590–1597. [CrossRef]
- 98. Hua, W.; Sun, H.-H.; Xu, F.; Wang, J.-G. A review and perspective on molybdenum-based electrocatalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction. *Rare Met.* **2020**, *39*, 335–351. [CrossRef]
- 99. Zhang, X.; Yu, X.; Zhang, L.; Zhou, F.; Liang, Y.; Wang, R. Molybdenum Phosphide/Carbon Nanotube Hybrids as pH-Universal Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, 1706523. [CrossRef]

- Wu, Z.; Song, M.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, J.; Liu, X. Various strategies to tune the electrocatalytic performance of molybdenum phosphide supported on reduced graphene oxide for hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019, 536, 638–645. [CrossRef]
- 101. Wang, J.; Xia, H.; Peng, Z.; Lv, C.; Jin, L.; Zhao, Y.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, C. Graphene Porous Foam Loaded with Molybdenum Carbide Nanoparticulate Electrocatalyst for Effective Hydrogen Generation. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 855–862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Song, H.; Li, Y.; Shang, L.; Tang, Z.; Zhang, T.; Lu, S. Designed controllable nitrogen-doped carbon-dots-loaded MoP nanoparticles for boosting hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline medium. *Nano Energy* 2020, 72, 104730. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Y.; Hang, L.; Shen, Q.; Zhang, T.; Li, H.; Zhang, X.; Lyu, X.; Li, Y. Mo doped Ni<sub>2</sub>P nanowire arrays: An efficient electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction with enhanced activity at all pH values. *Nanoscale* 2017, *9*, 16674–16679. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Du, C.; Shang, M.; Mao, J.; Song, W. Hierarchical MoP/Ni<sub>2</sub>P heterostructures on nickel foam for efficient water splitting. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5*, 15940–15949. [CrossRef]
- 105. Pu, Z.; Liu, Q.; Asiri, A.M.; Sun, X. Tungsten Phosphide Nanorod Arrays Directly Grown on Carbon Cloth: A Highly Efficient and Stable Hydrogen Evolution Cathode at All pH Values. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 21874–21879. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Hou, C.-C.; Chen, Q.-Q.; Wang, C.-J.; Liang, F.; Lin, Z.; Fu, W.-F.; Chen, Y. Self-Supported Cedarlike Semimetallic Cu<sub>3</sub>P Nanoarrays as a 3D High-Performance Janus Electrode for Both Oxygen and Hydrogen Evolution under Basic Conditions. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 23037–23048. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Peng, X.; Qasim, A.M.; Jin, W.; Wang, L.; Hu, L.; Miao, Y.; Li, W.; Li, Y.; Liu, Z.; Huo, K.; et al. Ni-doped amorphous iron phosphide nanoparticles on TiN nanowire arrays: An advanced alkaline hydrogen evolution electrocatalyst. *Nano Energy* 2018, 53, 66–73. [CrossRef]
- 108. Sun, Y.; Zhang, T.; Li, X.; Bai, Y.; Lyu, X.; Liu, G.; Cai, W.; Li, Y. Bifunctional Hybrid Ni/Ni<sub>2</sub>P Nanoparticles Encapsulated by Graphitic Carbon Supported with N, S Modified 3D Carbon Framework for Highly Efficient Overall Water Splitting. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 2018, 5, 1800473. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Xie, C.; Liu, D.; Huang, X.; Huo, J.; Wang, S. Nanoparticle-Stacked Porous Nickel–Iron Nitride Nanosheet: A Highly Efficient Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Overall Water Splitting. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 18652–18657. [CrossRef]
- He, M.; Feng, C.; Liao, T.; Hu, S.; Wu, H.; Sun, Z. Low-Cost Ni<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>0.96</sub>S Heterostructured Bifunctional Electrocatalyst toward Highly Efficient Overall Urea-Water Electrolysis. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 2225–2233. [CrossRef]
- Yang, M.; Jiang, Y.; Qu, M.; Qin, Y.; Wang, Y.; Shen, W.; He, R.; Su, W.; Li, M. Strong electronic couple engineering of transition metal phosphides-oxides heterostructures as multifunctional electrocatalyst for hydrogen production. *Appl. Catal. B* 2020, 269, 118803. [CrossRef]
- 112. You, B.; Zhang, Y.; Jiao, Y.; Davey, K.; Qiao, S.Z. Negative Charging of Transition-Metal Phosphides via Strong Electronic Coupling for Destabilization of Alkaline Water. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 11796–11800. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Zeng, L.; Sun, K.; Wang, X.; Liu, Y.; Pan, Y.; Liu, Z.; Cao, D.; Song, Y.; Liu, S.; Liu, C. Three-dimensional-networked Ni<sub>2</sub>P/Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> heteronanoflake arrays for highly enhanced electrochemical overall-water-splitting activity. *Nano Energy* 2018, *51*, 26–36. [CrossRef]
- 114. Man, H.-W.; Tsang, C.-S.; Li, M.M.-J.; Mo, J.; Huang, B.; Lee, L.Y.S.; Leung, Y.-c.; Wong, K.-Y.; Tsang, S.C.E. Transition metal-doped nickel phosphide nanoparticles as electro- and photocatalysts for hydrogen generation reactions. *Appl. Catal. B* 2019, 242, 186–193. [CrossRef]
- 115. Zhang, R.; Huang, J.; Chen, G.; Chen, W.; Song, C.; Li, C.; Ostrikov, K. In situ engineering bi-metallic phospho-nitride bi-functional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting. *Appl. Catal. B* 2019, 254, 414–423. [CrossRef]
- Duan, J.; Chen, S.; Ortíz-Ledón, C.A.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S.-Z. Phosphorus Vacancies that Boost Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution by Two Orders of Magnitude. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, 59, 8181–8186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Liu, T.; Li, A.; Wang, C.; Zhou, W.; Liu, S.; Guo, L. Interfacial Electron Transfer of Ni<sub>2</sub>P–NiP<sub>2</sub> Polymorphs Inducing Enhanced Electrochemical Properties. *Adv. Mater.* 2018, 30, 1803590. [CrossRef]
- You, B.; Jiang, N.; Sheng, M.; Bhushan, M.W.; Sun, Y. Hierarchically Porous Urchin-Like Ni<sub>2</sub>P Superstructures Supported on Nickel Foam as Efficient Bifunctional Electrocatalysts for Overall Water Splitting. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 714–721. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Xie, Y.; Cao, H.; Li, Y.; Li, L.; Xu, Z.; Wang, X.; Xiong, N.; Pan, K. Flower-Like Nickel Phosphide Microballs Assembled by Nanoplates with Exposed High-Energy (0 0 1) Facets: Efficient Electrocatalyst for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *ChemSusChem* 2017, 10, 4899–4908. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, R.; Wang, X.; Yu, S.; Wen, T.; Zhu, X.; Yang, F.; Sun, X.; Wang, X.; Hu, W. Ternary NiCo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>x</sub> Nanowires as pH-Universal Electrocatalysts for Highly Efficient Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Adv. Mater.* 2017, *29*, 1605502. [CrossRef]
- 121. Han, L.; Yu, T.; Lei, W.; Liu, W.; Feng, K.; Ding, Y.; Jiang, G.; Xu, P.; Chen, Z. Nitrogen-doped carbon nanocones encapsulating with nickel–cobalt mixed phosphides for enhanced hydrogen evolution reaction. J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 16568–16572. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.; Zhang, H.; Jiang, M.; Zhang, Q.; He, P.; Sun, X. 3D Self-Supported Fe-Doped Ni<sub>2</sub>P Nanosheet Arrays as Bifunctional Catalysts for Overall Water Splitting. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2017, 27, 1702513. [CrossRef]
- 123. Zhang, H.; Li, X.; Hähnel, A.; Naumann, V.; Lin, C.; Azimi, S.; Schweizer, S.L.; Maijenburg, A.W.; Wehrspohn, R.B. Bifunctional Heterostructure Assembly of NiFe LDH Nanosheets on NiCoP Nanowires for Highly Efficient and Stable Overall Water Splitting. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2018, 28, 1706847. [CrossRef]
- 124. Zhang, X.; Zhu, S.; Xia, L.; Si, C.; Qu, F.; Qu, F. Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>–Fe<sub>2</sub>P hybrid nanoarray for alkaline hydrogen evolution reaction with superior activity. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 1201–1204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 125. Lin, J.; Wang, C.; Wang, S.; Chen, Y.; He, W.; Ze, T.; Chen, B. Preparation of rimose NiZnP electrode for hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline medium by electroless and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> etching. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2017**, *719*, 376–382. [CrossRef]
- 126. Zhang, L.; Qi, Y.; Sun, L.; Chen, G.; Wang, L.; Zhang, M.; Zeng, D.; Chen, Y.; Wang, X.; Xu, K.; et al. Facile route of nitrogen doping in nickel cobalt phosphide for highly efficient hydrogen evolution in both acid and alkaline electrolytes. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2020, 512, 145715. [CrossRef]
- Anjum, M.A.R.; Okyay, M.S.; Kim, M.; Lee, M.H.; Park, N.; Lee, J.S. Bifunctional sulfur-doped cobalt phosphide electrocatalyst outperforms all-noble-metal electrocatalysts in alkaline electrolyzer for overall water splitting. *Nano Energy* 2018, 53, 286–295. [CrossRef]
- 128. Yan, L.; Zhang, B.; Zhu, J.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, H.; Li, Y. Callistemon-like Zn and S codoped CoP nanorod clusters as highly efficient electrocatalysts for neutral-pH overall water splitting. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2019, *7*, 22453–22462. [CrossRef]
- 129. Du, Y.; Qu, H.; Liu, Y.; Han, Y.; Wang, L.; Dong, B. Bimetallic CoFeP hollow microspheres as highly efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting in alkaline media. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, *465*, 816–823. [CrossRef]
- Lin, H.; Li, H.; Li, Y.; Liu, J.; Wang, X.; Wang, L. Hierarchical CoS/MoS<sub>2</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>/Ni<sub>2</sub>P nanotubes for efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution in alkaline media. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5*, 25410–25419. [CrossRef]
- Liu, T.; Liu, D.; Qu, F.; Wang, D.; Zhang, L.; Ge, R.; Hao, S.; Ma, Y.; Du, G.; Asiri, A.M.; et al. Enhanced Electrocatalysis for Energy-Efficient Hydrogen Production over CoP Catalyst with Nonelectroactive Zn as a Promoter. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 7, 1700020. [CrossRef]
- 132. Zhang, R.; Tang, C.; Kong, R.; Du, G.; Asiri, A.M.; Chen, L.; Sun, X. Al-Doped CoP nanoarray: A durable water-splitting electrocatalyst with superhigh activity. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 4793–4800. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Lv, X.; Hu, Z.; Ren, J.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Yuan, Z.-Y. Self-supported Al-doped cobalt phosphide nanosheets grown on threedimensional Ni foam for highly efficient water reduction and oxidation. *Inorg. Chem. Front.* 2019, *6*, 74–81. [CrossRef]
- Lv, C.-N.; Zhang, L.; Huang, X.-H.; Zhu, Y.-X.; Zhang, X.; Hu, J.-S.; Lu, S.-Y. Double functionalization of N-doped carbon carved hollow nanocubes with mixed metal phosphides as efficient bifunctional catalysts for electrochemical overall water splitting. *Nano Energy* 2019, 65, 103995. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, R.; Ren, X.; Hao, S.; Ge, R.; Liu, Z.; Asiri, A.M.; Chen, L.; Zhang, Q.; Sun, X. Selective phosphidation: An effective strategy toward CoP/CeO<sub>2</sub> interface engineering for superior alkaline hydrogen evolution electrocatalysis. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2018, *6*, 1985–1990. [CrossRef]
- 136. Huang, Y.; Li, M.; Yang, W.; Yu, Y.; Hao, S. 3D ordered mesoporous cobalt ferrite phosphides for overall water splitting. *Sci. China Mater.* **2020**, *63*, 240–248. [CrossRef]
- 137. Liang, Y.; Liu, Q.; Asiri, A.M.; Sun, X.; Luo, Y. Self-Supported FeP Nanorod Arrays: A Cost-Effective 3D Hydrogen Evolution Cathode with High Catalytic Activity. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 4065–4069. [CrossRef]
- 138. Zhu, W.; Tang, C.; Liu, D.; Wang, J.; Asiri, A.M.; Sun, X. A self-standing nanoporous MoP<sub>2</sub> nanosheet array: An advanced pH-universal catalytic electrode for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 7169–7173. [CrossRef]
- 139. Xiao, W.; Li, X.; Fu, C.; Zhao, X.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, J. Morphology and distribution of *in-situ* grown MoP nanoparticles on carbon nanotubes to enhance hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2021**, *877*, 160214. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, H.; Yan, L.; Zhang, S.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, X.; Wang, Y.; Shen, J.; Zhao, X.; Wang, L. Electrochemical Surface Restructuring of Phosphorus-Doped Carbon@MoP Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen Evolution. *Nano-Micro Lett.* 2021, 13, 215. [CrossRef]
- 141. Wu, L.; Pu, Z.; Tu, Z.; Amiinu, I.S.; Liu, S.; Wang, P.; Mu, S. Integrated design and construction of WP/W nanorod array electrodes toward efficient hydrogen evolution reaction. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *327*, 705–712. [CrossRef]
- 142. Wu, H.; Feng, C.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, J.; Wilkinson, D.P. Non-noble Metal Electrocatalysts for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction in Water Electrolysis. *Electrochem. Energy Rev.* 2021, *4*, 473–507. [CrossRef]
- 143. Lee, S.W.; Carlton, C.; Risch, M.; Surendranath, Y.; Chen, S.; Furutsuki, S.; Yamada, A.; Nocera, D.G.; Shao-Horn, Y. The Nature of Lithium Battery Materials under Oxygen Evolution Reaction Conditions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16959–16962. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, Y.; Jiao, Y.; Vasileff, A.; Qiao, S.-Z. The Hydrogen Evolution Reaction in Alkaline Solution: From Theory, Single Crystal Models, to Practical Electrocatalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 7568–7579. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.