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#### Abstract

The surface morphology of Mg-Al-layered double hydroxide (LDH) was successfully controlled by reconstruction during systematic phase transformation from calcined LDH, which is referred to as layered double oxide (LDO). The LDH reconstructed its original phase by the hydration of LDO with expanded basal spacing when reacted with water, including carbonate or methyl orange molecules. During the reaction, the degree of crystal growth along the ab-plane and stacking along the c-axis was significantly influenced by the molecular size and the reaction conditions. The lower concentration of carbonate gave smaller particles on the surface of larger LDO ( 2000 nm ), while the higher concentration induced a sand-rose structure. The reconstruction of smaller-sized LDH ( 350 nm ) did not depend on the concentration of carbonate due to effective adsorption, and it gave a sand-rose structure and exfoliated the LDH layers. The higher the concentration of methyl orange and the longer the reaction time applied, the rougher the surface was obtained with a certain threshold point of the methyl orange concentration. The surface roughness generally increased with the loading mount of methyl orange. However, the degree of the surface roughness even increased after the methyl orange loading reached equilibrium. The result suggested that the surface roughening was mediated by not only the incorporation of guest molecules into the LDH but also a crystal arrangement after a sufficient amount of methyl orange was accommodated.
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## 1. Introduction

Controlling surface morphology such as roughness has attracted particular attention in bulk materials in terms of energy saving and environmentally friendly applications. Surface controlled materials are widely utilized as antireflection surfaces [1-4], light harvesting films [5-7], superhydrophobic surfaces [8-12], etc. In parallel, the surface control of an individual particle composing bulk material on the nanoscale is also an important issue considering the fabrication and engineering for versatile applications, for example, heterogeneous catalysts $[13,14]$, biological cellular uptake $[15,16]$, and so on. Layered double hydroxide (LDH), one of the layered materials with a chemical formula of $\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}{ }_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{M}^{3+}{ }_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{\mathrm{x}+}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}-}\right)_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{mH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right.$ : divalent metal, $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ : trivalent metal, $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}-}$ : interlayer anion, m : number of interlayer water), is a biologically and environmentally friendly material [17-20] and is easily synthesized under mild conditions [21,22] to have high anisotropy [23,24]. LDH is a well-known precursor for mixed metal oxide [25,26], of which the particle morphology is fairly dependent on that of the precursor, LDH [27-30]. From a macroscopic point of view, LDH particles tend to form a rough surface resulting
from interparticle edge-to-face interactions by forming a house-of-cards structure, and this was exploited as an antireflection film [31] and photocontrol of the water contact angle [32]. Controlling the surface roughness of an individual LDH particle is an attractive topic in terms of microscopic surface modification, such as the effective adsorption of dye [33,34] or gas molecules [35,36]; however, there has been only limited research on this issue.

We expected, in this study, that the surface roughness of an LDH particle could be controlled if the reconstruction condition from a mixed metal oxide, also called layered double oxide (LDO), to LDH is regulated. It is empirically known that LDOs recover the original crystal structure through simultaneous hydration and intercalation of anionic species [37-39]. Metal hydroxides formed resulting from hydrolysis of metal oxide would undergo two crystal growth processes during the reconstruction of the LDH structure: (i) metal hydroxide layer propagation along the ab-plane and (ii) stacking along the c-axis. The competition between those two processes could result in various types of surface roughness in an individual LDH particle. Although general physicochemical changes of LDHs during reconstruction, such as crystal phase, global crystallinity, porosity, etc., are fairly well-known [39-43], detailed research on the surface roughness through competitive crystal growth is quite limited so far [44-46].

In this report, we are going to demonstrate how the surface roughness of the individual LDH particle is controlled through reconstruction with the presence of small $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ and large organic methyl orange ( MO ) anions, which can be incorporated with LDHs by various supramolecular interactions, such as ionic, van der Waals, and $\pi-\pi$ interactions [47-50]. With the goal of establishing reaction parameters, such as time and concentration, the surface roughness upon changing lattice morphologies and sizes was systematically monitored. Therefore, the obvious relationship between the surface roughness of the particles and the loading amount of the anions was investigated. Furthermore, the roughness of the particles was profound as the reaction time elapsed longer. Interestingly, it was found for the first time that there was a certain threshold amount of organic substance to mediate the surface morphology, as the detailed mechanism illustrated in Figure 1 shows. The rougher surface was obtained at a higher loading of MO and with a longer reaction time.


Figure 1. Schematic mechanism of surface roughening of LDH by systematic reconstruction with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ and MO. (a) pristine LDH , (b) LDO obtained by calcination of LDH, and reconstructed LDHs for short time by $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ (c) and $\mathrm{MO}(\mathbf{d})$ and at the final stages by $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})$ and $\mathrm{MO}(\mathbf{g})$.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Materials

Aluminum nitrate nonahydrate $\left(\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, magnesium nitrate hexahydrate $\left(\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, urea $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, sodium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$, and methyl orange $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co., LLC. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NaOH})$ in pellet form was purchased from Daejung Chemicals \& Metals Co., Ltd. (Siheung, Republic of Korea). All reagents were used without further purification.

### 2.2. Synthesis

2.2.1. MgAl-LDH with a Diameter of $2000 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}\right)[44,51]$

The $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ was obtained by the urea hydrolysis method. A mixed metal solution was prepared by mixing $0.66 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $0.33 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ aqueous solutions. Solid urea was added to the mixed metal solution until the molar ratio of urea $/\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right)$ reached a value of 3.3. The transparent solution was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under stirring for 2 days, to obtain a suspension. The obtained suspension was transported to a Teflon®-lined stainless-steel bomb and then aged at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. The resulting precipitate was lyophilized after centrifugation and washed with deionized water.

### 2.2.2. MgAl-LDH with a Diameter of $350 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{LDH}_{350}\right)$

The $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ was synthesized by coprecipitation followed by hydrothermal treatment, as reported previously [52]. A mixed metal solution of $0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $0.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was titrated with an alkaline solution containing 0.9 M NaOH and $0.7 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until the pH reached 9.5 . The suspension was placed in a Teflon®®-lined stainless-steel bomb and aged at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. White precipitates were collected by centrifugation, thoroughly washed with deionized water, and then lyophilized.
2.2.3. Calcination of $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}\left(\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{LDO}_{350}\right)$

The layered double oxides $\left(\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{LDO}_{350}\right)$ were obtained by calcination of $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ in a muffle furnace at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 h , respectively.

### 2.2.4. Reconstruction of the LDHs with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$

$\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was used in the reconstruction of $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ as a carbonate source, and the amount of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$concentration was set the same as that for MO. $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ ( 50 mg for each) were added to aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solutions ( 50 mL ) at concentrations of $1.52 \times 10^{-3}$ and $2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ (corresponding to 0.2 and 3 equivalents of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ to $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ). The suspensions were stirred for $0.5,4$, and 12 h , respectively. The precipitates were centrifuged and washed with deionized water 4 times.

### 2.2.5. Reconstruction of the LDHs with Various MO Concentrations and Reaction Times

The LDOs ( 50 mg ) were added to aqueous methyl orange ( MO ) solutions ( 50 mL ) at concentrations of $1.52 \times 10^{-3}, 3.8 \times 10^{-3}, 7.6 \times 10^{-3}$, and $2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ (corresponding to $0.2,0.5,1$, and 3 equivalents of MO to $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ), and the suspensions were stirred for $0.5,4$, and 12 h . The resulting precipitates were centrifuged and washed with deionized water 4 times. The pH values of the suspensions did not significantly change by the reaction time, as summarized in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

### 2.3. Characterization

The crystal structure of all the samples was investigated by a powder X-ray diffractometer (PXRD: D2 Phaser, Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) with Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ X-ray $(\lambda=1.5406 \AA)$. X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained in the $2 \theta$ range, $2-30^{\circ}$, with time step increments of $0.02^{\circ}$ and $0.5 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{step}$.

The particle size and morphology were visualized by using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; QUANTA 250 FEG, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA, and SU8220, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan at KBSI Western Seoul Center). For preparing samples for SEM
measurement, the sample suspensions were put on a Si wafer and then dried in vacuo. The samples immobilized on the Si wafer were attached on a stub using carbon tape and sputtered with $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pd}$ plasma with 20 mA for 60 s . The sample images were obtained under 30 kV acceleration voltage.

Surface roughness and height profiles of all samples were obtained using atomic force microscopy (AFM; NX-10, Parksystems, Suwon, Republic of Korea). In order to measure AFM, sample suspensions were dropped on the Si wafer and dried under ambient conditions. The sample images and height profiles were obtained by non-contact mode with 0.5 Hz scan speed. The AFM images were analyzed using XEI 1.6 software provided by Parksystems.

In order to calculate the loading ratio of MO , the concentrations of MO in the supernatants before and after the reaction, as well as in the washing supernatant, were quantified by using a UV-vis spectrometer (UV-1800, SHIMADZU, Kyoto, Japan). The loading ratio, $r \%$, of MO was estimated from Equation (1). The $M O_{o b s}$ stands for the experimentally absorbed amount $(\mathrm{g})$ of MO uptake during LDO's phase transformation, and $M O_{\text {calc }}$ indicates the theoretically calculated amount $(\mathrm{g})$ of MO , which can be taken up by the charge neutralization between LDH and MO. $M O_{o b s}$ was calculated from the absorbance at 470 nm of MO that remained in the supernatant and in aqueous solutions used for washing; $M O_{\text {calc }}$ was calculated with hypothesizing that MO was fully intercalated into LDH through charge neutralization.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \%=\frac{M O_{o b s}}{M O_{\text {calc }}} \times 100 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Results

### 3.1. XRD Patterns of the LDHs and the LDOs

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the LDHs and the LDOs are shown in Figure 2. Both $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ exhibited similar diffraction patterns with peaks at $11.7^{\circ}$ and $23.5^{\circ}$, which were attributed to the reflection of (003) and (006), as shown in Figure 2(a,b). The diffraction peak at $11.7^{\circ}$ indicated that the basal spacings of both the LDHs were 0.75 nm , corresponding to the carbonate interlayered LDH. There are also clearly observed characteristic diffraction peaks of (012), (015), (018), (110), and (113) at $2 \theta$ values of $35^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}, 47^{\circ}, 61^{\circ}$, and $62^{\circ}$, suggesting the sufficiently high crystallinity of both the samples [53-55].


Figure 2. Powder XRD patterns of (a) $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$, (b) $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ divided 10, (c) $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$, and (d) $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ multiplied 5, respectively.

Upon heat treatment at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 h , all the diffraction peaks of the LDHs disappeared, as shown in Figure 2(c,d). There were observed (111), (200), and (220) peaks at $36^{\circ}$, $45^{\circ}$, and $62^{\circ}$, which are typical patterns for rock-salt type $\mathrm{MgO}[44,55,56]$. It is well-known that MgO nanocrystallites are developed during mild heat treatment and that those crystallites are interconnected by tetrahedral $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$, which is migrated from the octahedral site of LDH [45]. Thus, we could not find any crystalline signals of $\mathrm{AlO}_{x}$ moiety in the XRD patterns of the calcined LDHs [57].

### 3.2. SEM Images of the LDHs and the LDOs

As shown in the SEM images (Figure 3a,c), both $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ had hexagonal shapes with smooth surfaces. As shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information, the surface roughness, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$, was estimated to be 37 nm and 0.8 nm , respectively, for $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ from the AFM. As the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and root-mean-square (RMS) values of single LDH particles were less reliable due to a tilted arrangement of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and unclear particle edge of the small particle size of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$, we considered these values as a tendency of the surface roughness rather than the quantitative results. The reason why $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ had a rougher surface than $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ is due to the large lateral dimension. The SEM images of $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ in Figure 3b,d showed that the hexagonal shapes were maintained after the heat treatment. The surface flatness was also maintained after the heat treatment, according to the surface roughness of 35 nm and 0.8 nm , respectively, for the $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ (Figure S1b,d in the Supporting Information). The change of morphology due to the conversion from LDH to LDO was negligibly small in terms of the microscopic observation. An LDH crystal has an edge-shared $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}$ octahedron along the ab-plane, and thus its surface can be very flat. The LDO contains $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ (tetrahedron)-mediated MgO domains through an interconnected manner on the surface, and, therefore, it might have a rougher surface. However, the MgO domains are very small in dimension, and their array is fairly homogeneous, resulting in the flatness on the surface. Similar morphology preservation of LDHs after calcination was reported in the previous literature [44,46,58].


Figure 3. Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images of (a) $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$, (b) $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$, (c) $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$, and (d) $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$, respectively.

### 3.3. XRD Pattern of the Reconstructed LDHs

Figure $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ represent powder XRD patterns of $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ reconstructed with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ at 0.2 eq and 3 eq to $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ at several reaction times. In both reaction systems, the diffraction peaks attributable to $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ decreased, and those of $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ increased by reacting with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, which indicated the LDH phase was reconstructed by the hydration of the LDO phase with the intercalation of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. At 4 h of the reconstruction, the clearer (003) and (006) peaks attributable to the LDH were observed in the 3 eq-treated sample than that reconstructed in 0.2 eq , while the XRD patterns at 12 h were similar in both concentrations. As summarized in Table S2 in the Supporting Information, the crystalline size of the reconstructed LDH after 4 h at 3 eq , which was estimated from Sherrer's equation ( 19.3 nm ) and was larger than that reconstructed at 0.2 eq for $4 \mathrm{~h}(4.66 \mathrm{~nm})$; however, that after 12 h at $3 \mathrm{eq}(9.01 \mathrm{~nm})$ was similar to that at $0.2 \mathrm{eq}(8.63 \mathrm{~nm})$. It was thought that the reconstruction of LDH at high and low concentrations of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ gave different nanostructures.


Figure 4. Powder XRD patterns of ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) reconstructed $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and ( $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ ) $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$, where bottom black lines: pristine $\mathrm{LDHs}\left(\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{LDH}_{350}\right)$, top black lines: LDO precursors $\left(\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}\right.$ and $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ ) and reconstructed LDHs with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ at the reaction time of blue lines: 0.5 h , green lines: 4 h and red lines: 12 h at the concentrations of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ of ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}$ ) 0.2 eq and ( $\left.\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}\right) 3 \mathrm{eq}$.

The clearer growth of the peaks attributed to the LDH and the decrease of the peaks of the LDO were shown in the $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ systems compared to the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ systems (Figure $4 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). The higher surface area that originated with the smaller particle size of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ occurred as a result of effective adsorption and reconstruction of the LDH layers. As summarized in Table S3 in the Supporting Information, the particle sizes of the reconstructed LDH for the 0.5 h reaction estimated by Sherrer's equation were 8.02 nm at 0.2 eq and 9.03 nm at 3 eq, which were comparable to the ones obtained in the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ system for the 12 h reactions. It suggested that the quick hydration along the ab-plane reconstructed the larger area simultaneously to give the larger LDH particles.

Figures 5 and 6 represent low-angle powder XRD patterns of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ before and after reconstruction with MO at various concentrations and reaction times. As can be seen, the ( 003 ) peaks of the pristine LDHs shifted from $2 \theta=11.7^{\circ}$ to $3.5^{\circ}$, indicating the intercalation of the MO between the LDH layers. The (003) peak intensity of $0.5-3$ eq was higher than that of 0.2 eq as the higher MO concentration, leading to effective intercalation and interlayer molecular arrangement of the MO [49]. We could also find that the crystallinity along the (003) plane generally became better upon the reaction time. These results suggest that the interlayer molecular arrangement of MO became ordered upon the reaction time. The peak around $2 \theta=5^{\circ}$, which is only seen with 3 eq, might be attributed to the inter-molecular packing among the MO moieties.


Figure 5. Powder XRD patterns of (black line) $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and reconstructed LDHs at the reaction time of 0.5 h (blue line), 4 h (green line), and 12 h (red line) at the concentrations of MO of (a) 0.2 eq, (b) 0.5 eq , (c) 1 eq , and (d) 3 eq .


Figure 6. Powder XRD patterns of (black line) $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ and reconstructed LDHs at the reaction time of 0.5 h (blue line), 4 h (green line), and 12 h (red line) at the concentrations of MO of (a) 0.2 eq , (b) 0.5 eq , (c) 1 eq , and (d) 3 eq .

### 3.4. SEM Images of the Reconstructed LDHs

Figure 7 shows the SEM images of the reconstructed $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ in $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solutions at 0.2 eq and 3.0 eq to $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ in the $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ at several reaction times. At the low concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(0.2 \mathrm{eq})$, the surface of the reconstructed $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ was covered by small particles. The number of surface particles seemed to be larger at the longer reaction time (Figure 1e). According to the increase of the LDH phase in the XRD patterns (Figure 4) and the size of the crystal (Table S2 in the Supporting Information), the surface particles were thought to be reconstructed LDH. The relatively small-sized $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ is adsorbed on the surface of the $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ and then incorporated through the surface defect with the reconstruction of the LDH layer to give the rough surface. The reconstruction at 3 eq for 0.5 h did not change the surface morphology significantly, while particles with a sand-rose structure were observed at 4 h . The rough surface with small particles on the sand-rose structure appeared by further reaction for 8 h (a total time of 12 h ). It is thought that the adsorption of the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ was slow at the low concentration of 0.2 eq so that the surface along the ab-plane was hydrated to give small particles of metal hydroxide about 5 nm . On the other hand, the adsorption of the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ was fast at a high concentration of 3 eq to develop $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ intercalated particles and collapse the larger ab-plane, the formation of relatively large particles of about 20 nm , resulting in the sand-rose structure at 4 h . The massive
consumption of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ before the 4 h reaction induced the rough surface, generating small particles on the sand-rose surface. The phenomenon corresponded to the decrease of the particle size estimated from Sherrer's equation from 19.3 nm to 9.01 nm , as summarized in Table S2 in the Supporting Information.

LDH2000

## LDH350

 Concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$

Figure 7. SEM images of $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ and $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ reconstructed with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ at the concentrations of 0.2 eq and 3 eq and the reaction time at $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, and 12 h .

The reconstruction for 0.5 h with 0.2 eq and 3 eq of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ did not alter the morphology of $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ significantly (Figure 7 right columns). The reconstruction at 0.2 eq for 4 h exfoliated a part of the LDH layers and the sand-rose-like structure, and thus thinner LDH particles were obtained by the 12 h reaction. A similar change of the morphology was observed by the reaction at 3 eq for 4 h . The 12 h reaction time gave a clear sand-rose structure and thinner particles. It is worth noting that the small particles, which were observed in the reconstruction of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$, were not observed in the $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ systems, suggesting the size effect on the reconstruction by $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. The larger lateral size of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ would not allow the exfoliation of a whole layer by the reconstruction, while the whole layer of $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ was exfoliated, giving the thinner particles.

Figure 8 shows the SEM images of $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ reconstructed under four different MO concentrations ( $0.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$, and 3 eq to $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ in $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ ) at $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, and 12 h . In contrast to the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ systems, the smooth surface of the $\mathrm{LDO}_{2000}$ was maintained at the concentration of 0.2 eq , with a $16-21 r \%$. The slower reconstruction with MO than that with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, which has a strong affinity with LDH , would be a reason. At the concentration of 0.5 eq , the smooth surface was also preserved at 4 h with $41 r \%$, while a rough surface began to appear after 12 h with $44 r \%$. In the case of the 1 eq concentration, the loading ratio increased up to $48 r \%$ in the 0.5 h , and surface roughening began to appear. According to the relationship between the surface roughness and the loading ratio shown in Figure 8, it can be suggested that the initial smooth surface was maintained up to $41 r \%$ of the MO loading, while an obvious rough surface began to be seen at over $44 r \%$; in other words, a threshold loading ratio to roughen the surface was $\sim 45 r \%$ (Figure $1 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). It is thought that the rigid LDO structure, which is structured by covalent bonds, did not
accept the increase of the c-axis (the interlayer space) induced by the crystal growth of the LDH along the ab-plane at less than $45 r \%$ (Figure 1d), while the larger $r \%$ than the threshold collapsed the LDO structure to give a rough surface (Figure 1 g ). It should be noted that the 1 eq-treated sample showed severe surface roughness change upon the reaction time despite the comparable loading ratio (48 and $46 r \%$ ), suggesting that the surface roughness progressed even after the MO uptake was equilibrated. Taking into account the XRD results shown in Figure 5c, a probable reason for the surface roughening at the equilibrium is the molecular rearrangement of MO in the interlayer space of the LDH to reach a thermodynamically stable state by $\pi-\pi$ interactions [49]. It is worth noting here that the small particles, which were observed in the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ systems, were not observed, even at a high loading ratio ( $\sim 100 r \%$ ), which suggests that the size of intercalated molecules affected the morphology of the reconstructed LDHs. The 3-dimensional AFM images and height profiles (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) also showed that both the loading ratio and reaction time affected the surface roughness, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$, of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$, although they were rather qualitative compared to the SEM images. The $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ reacted at the 0.2 eq for 0.5 h was 39 nm , which was comparable with pristine $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim 37 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$, while the 1 eq at 12 h -treated sample had a significantly enhanced $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of 105 nm . The reconstruction of the LDHs was reported as a memory effect by mixing the LDOs with water and guest anions [40,41,43,46,59], where the small MgO crystallites grew to the LDH layers with ordering at the surface. However, large MO molecules would prohibit an ordered arrangement of the crystallites at the surface through the expansion of the gallery space (Figure 1g) [37,44].


Figure 8. SEM images of $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$ reconstructed with MO at the concentrations of 0.2 eq, $0.5 \mathrm{eq}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$, and 3 eq and the reaction time at $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, and 12 h with the inset values of the loading ratio, $r \%$.

The $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ samples reconstructed with MO showed a similar trend compared with the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$, as shown in Figure 9. The smooth surface of the $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$ was fairly wellpreserved until 12 h at 0.2 eq , showing loading ratios of $15-19 r \%$. On the other hand, we
could observe a rough surface at 12 h of the reaction time when 0.5 eq was applied. In the case of the 0.5 eq-treated sample, the surface roughness was different at 4 h and 12 h , in spite of the comparable loading ratio of $45 r \%$. As can be figured out with the results of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{2000}$, the surface roughening seemed to progress after the MO incorporation was equilibrated. When the MO concentrations of 1 eq and 3 eq were applied, all the reconstructed LDHs showed a discrete surface roughness at all the time points with loading amounts higher than $45 r \%$. This suggested that the threshold loading ratio was also $45 r \%$ for $\mathrm{LDO}_{350}$. Moreover, the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ was governed by both $r \%$ values of the MO and reaction time, as shown in the AFM images in Figure S 4 in the Supporting Information ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.9 \mathrm{~nm}$ at 0.2 eq for 0.5 h and 3 nm at 1 eq for 12 h ), although the changes were not sufficiently clear due to the small particle size.


Figure 9. SEM images of $\mathrm{LDH}_{350}$ reconstructed with MO at the concentration of 0.2 eq, $0.5 \mathrm{eq}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$, and 3 eq and the reaction time at $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, and 12 h with the inset value of the loading ratio, $r \%$.

## 4. Conclusions

To summarize, the surface roughness of $\mathrm{MgAl}-\mathrm{LDH}$ was successfully controlled by taking advantage of the reconstruction of LDO in the presence of small and large guests. The surface roughness was attributed to the denaturation of layer stacking by the intercalation of small $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ and large methyl orange molecules into the LDH during the reconstruction of the LDO. The change in the surface morphology of the LDH by the reconstruction was controlled by the concentration of the small-sized guest $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right)$ and the loading ratio of the large-sized guest (methyl orange, MO ), respectively. An obvious size effect of the LDO precursors on the resulting morphology after reconstruction by $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ was observed. The reconstruction of larger-sized LDH ( 2000 nm ) at low concentrations of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(0.2 \mathrm{eq})$ caused the small LDH particles on the external surface to give a rough surface (Figure 1e), and a sand-rose morphology was given at the high concentration of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ (3 eq, Figure 1f), suggesting the possibility that the reaction rate affected the resulting morphology. The reconstruction of the LDH with a smaller lateral size ( 350 nm ) by the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ partially
exfoliated the LDH layers rather than giving small particles at the surface (Figure 1f). The partial exfoliation of the layers was only facilitated in the smaller lateral size in the whole layer region. The surface roughening by the intercalation of the MO had a threshold of incorporation ( $\sim 45 \%$ ) regardless of the particle size of the pristine LDHs (Figure 1g). The higher incorporation and the longer reaction time gave the rougher surface. As shown in the time-dependent loading ratio values, the MO uptake was equilibrated in an early time, while the roughening continued afterwards. This strongly suggested that the adsorption and the roughening occurred in a different time stage. The $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, which has a smaller and more rigid structure and higher affinity to the LDH than the MO, effectively adsorbed and collapsed the LDO structure to alter the morphology even at low concentrations and in a short reaction time; on the other hand, MO , which has a more flexible structure than $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, could maintain the crystalline structure of the LDO until $45 \%$ of the leading ratio. It is, therefore, concluded that by choosing appropriate reaction conditions for reconstruction, such as the type of guest molecules, concentration of anions, and reaction time, the surface roughness of an individual LDH particle could be controlled. Furthermore, the control of the roughness of the particle surface could expand the application of LDHs and LDOs from versatile nanomaterials to practical applications, like functional film components.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:/ / www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nano13162363/s1. Table S1: Change of pH during reconstruction. Tables S2 and S3: Particle sizes $(\mathrm{nm})$ of the reconstructed LDH in $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. Figures S1-S4: AFM images of the reconstructed LDHs to estimate the surface roughness (PDF).
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