



Article Ethanol Electrooxidation at 1–2 nm AuPd Nanoparticles

Juliette W. Strasser 💿 and Richard M. Crooks *💿

Department of Chemistry, Texas Materials Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, 2506 Speedway, Stop A5300, Austin, TX 78712-1224, USA

* Correspondence: crooks@cm.utexas.edu; Tel.: +1-512-475-8674

Abstract: We report a systematic study of the electrocatalytic properties and stability of a series of 1–2 nm Au, Pd, and AuPd alloy nanoparticles (NPs) for the ethanol oxidation reaction (EOR). Following EOR electrocatalysis, NP sizes and compositions were characterized using aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy (ac-STEM) and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). Two main findings emerge from this study. First, alloyed AuPd NPs exhibit enhanced electrocatalytic EOR activity compared to either monometallic Au or Pd NPs. Specifically, NPs having a 3:1 ratio of Au:Pd exhibit an ~8-fold increase in peak current density compared to Pd NPs, with an onset potential shifted ~200 mV more to the negative compared to Au NPs. Second, the size and composition of AuPd alloy NPs do not (within experimental error) change following 1.0 or 2.0 h chronoamperometry experiments, while monometallic Au NPs increase in size from 2 to 5 nm under the same conditions. Notably, this report demonstrates the importance of post-catalytic ac-STEM/EDS characterization for fully evaluating NP activity and stability, especially for 1–2 nm NPs that may change in size or structure during electrocatalysis.

Keywords: electrocatalysis; ethanol electrooxidation; alloy nanoparticles; gold nanoparticles; palladium nanoparticles; scanning transmission electron microscopy; energy dispersive spectroscopy



Citation: Strasser, J.W.; Crooks, R.M. Ethanol Electrooxidation at 1–2 nm AuPd Nanoparticles. *Nanomaterials* 2022, 12, 4093. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/nano12224093

Academic Editors: Prashanth W. Menezes and Kamlendra Awasthi

Received: 29 October 2022 Accepted: 16 November 2022 Published: 21 November 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

We report a systematic study of electrochemical ethanol oxidation reaction (EOR) activity at 1–2 nm AuPd dendrimer-encapsulated nanoparticles (DENs) [1–4], with particular attention focused on the stability of the nanoparticles (NPs). Two conclusions emerge from this study. First, alloyed AuPd DENs exhibit improved electrocatalytic EOR activity compared to either monometallic Au or Pd DENs. Specifically, DENs having a 1:1 or 3:1 ratio of Au:Pd exhibit higher peak current densities compared to Pd DENs, and more negative onset potentials compared to Au DENs. Second, chronoamperometry experiments, 1.0 or 2.0 h in length, result in growth of monometallic Au DENs, but the size and composition of AuPd alloy DENs are stable. Notably, this study demonstrates the importance of post-catalytic microscopy when evaluating EOR activity and stability, especially for 1–2 nm NPs.

AuPd NP catalysts have previously been shown to have enhanced activity for the electrocatalytic EOR compared to monometallic Au or Pd NPs [5–12]. In one study of 3–5 nm AuPd NPs, for example, EOR activity was reported to improve compared to that of similarly sized Pd-only NPs [8]. Specifically, increased catalytic current density and resistance to poisoning were observed at a 3:1 Au:Pd alloy. In a similar study, the EOR activity of a 10 nm AuPd alloy reached a maximum for a 1:1 ratio of Au:Pd [9]. In both cases, the authors proposed that alloying Au with Pd resulted in decreased binding of reaction intermediates that could poison the NP surface, thereby improving the EOR activity. Taken together, these studies suggest that alloying Au with Pd is an effective means for tuning the binding energies of the reaction intermediates, and consequently improving the catalytic activity of NPs.

When evaluating the electrocatalytic activity of NP catalysts, the degree of catalyst stability is important. For the electrocatalytic EOR, catalyst stability is usually assessed

using chronoamperometry [5,8,9]. Specifically, the potential of the electrode is typically held at the peak potential of the electrocatalytic cyclic voltammogram (CV) for times ranging from 30 min to 12 h. Significantly, chronoamperometric stability measurements do not provide insight into size or structural changes of the catalysts under investigation. Recently, Peng et al. used identical location transmission electron microscopy (IL-TEM) to assess the stability of 5 nm Pd NPs following a 1 h chronoamperogram (CA) under EOR conditions [13]. The IL-TEM indicated that continuous EOR induced NP aggregation and Pd dissolution, thereby demonstrating that long-term electrocatalytic studies can significantly change the size and composition of the catalysts under study.

The size and structure of NPs both before and after electrochemical measurements can be characterized using techniques such as scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) [14,15]. For example, our group used STEM to show that AuNPs grow from 2 to 6 nm during the electrochemical CO_2 reduction reaction [16]. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was also used to demonstrate that 1-2 nm AuNPs grow to a limiting size of ~2 nm as a result of electrochemical cleaning scans [17]. Additionally, it has been shown that 2–6 nm Pd NPs rapidly degrade during potential cycling in acid, which leads to a loss of HER activity for the catalyst [18]. Similarly, it has been reported that a 60% loss of electrochemically active surface area occurs for 2–5 nm Pt NPs upon potential cycling in alkaline solutions [19]. In all cases, electrochemical measurements led to changes in NP size, which often corresponded to significant changes in the electrocatalytic activity of the NPs. Alloy NPs may also undergo additional structural or chemical changes such as dealloying or atomic rearrangement, which can be characterized using EDS [20–22]. Changes in catalyst size and structure during electrochemical measurements are particularly problematic when experimental measurements are correlated to theory [23]. Consequently, it is important to carry out post-catalytic physical characterization of NPs when reporting electrocatalytic activity.

In the present report, monometallic 1–2 nm Au and Pd DENs and a series of 1–2 nm DENs having 3:1, 1:1, and 1:3 ratios of Au:Pd (denoted as G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉) in the same size range were prepared using a dendrimer templating method [24]. DENs were evaluated for their electrocatalytic EOR activity using cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry. The results showed that, compared to G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs, an ~8-fold increase in peak current density is observed for G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉ DENs. Moreover, the onset potential is shifted ~200 mV more to the negative compared to G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs. Finally, and most importantly, post-catalytic STEM and EDS characterization reveal that G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ alloy DENs retain their initial size and composition after 1.0 or 2.0 h of continuous EOR. In contrast, monometallic Au DENs aggregate under the same conditions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Materials

All chemicals were used as received unless otherwise noted. Sixth-generation poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimers terminated with hydroxyl groups (G6-OH) were purchased from Dendritech Inc. (Midland, MI, USA). Dendrimers were purchased as a 10–15% solution in methanol. The methanol was removed under vacuum before use, and then the dendrimers were resuspended in water at a concentration of 100 μ M prior to DEN synthesis. HAuCl₄·3H₂O (\geq 99.9%), K₂PdCl₄ (98%), NaBH₄ (99.99%), Nafion 117 solution (5.0 wt% in methanol), and 1.0 M KOH and NaOH solutions were purchased from MilliporeSigma (Burlington, MA, USA). Ethanol (200 proof, 99.5+%), isopropanol (99.9%), and HPLC-grade water were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Vulcan carbon (XC-72R) was purchased from Fuel Cell Store (College Station, TX, USA). N₂ (99.9999%) was purchased from Linde (Austin, TX, USA).

2.2. Synthesis of Au and Pd DENs

Dendrimer-encapsulated Au or Pd NPs having an average of 309 atoms per NP were synthesized according to previously published literature reports [16,24–27]. Dendrimers are

highly branched, spherical polymers that can be used to template and stabilize NPs [1,28,29]. The resulting DENs are sterically confined to the interior cavity of the dendrimer, with only minimal interaction between the dendrimer and the NP surface [2,30,31]. Note that the stoichiometry of the DENs (e.g., G6-OH(Au₃₀₉)) represents the HAuCl₄:dendrimer ratio used during synthesis, and does not necessarily correspond to a precise NP structure. We have previously reported, however, that this ratio controls the average size of DENs and that the size distribution is narrow [2,32]. These comments also apply to Pd and Au_xPd_y DENs.

For the Au DEN synthesis, 309 equiv. of a 20 mM HAuCl₄ solution were added dropwise to a stirred solution of 2.0 μ M G6-OH dendrimer. After 2 min, an 11-fold excess of NaBH₄ in 0.30 M NaOH was added to the stirred solution. The mixture was stirred for ~12 h in air at 22 \pm 2 °C to deactivate excess BH₄-. The final concentration of G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs was 2.0 μ M.

For the Pd DEN synthesis, 309 equiv. of 20 mM K_2PdCl_4 were added dropwise to a 2.0 μ M G6-OH solution and stirred for 30 min under N_2 to allow for complexation of the Pd²⁺ precursor with the dendrimer [26]. After 30 min, an 11-fold excess of NaBH₄ dissolved in water was added to the stirred solution. The final G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) concentration was 2.0 μ M.

2.3. Synthesis of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs

G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs were also prepared according to literature procedures [33,34]. Here, x and y are used to describe the ratio of Au:Pd added during DEN synthesis (e.g., G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉ denotes a DEN having a 1:1 ratio of Au:Pd).

Using the synthesis of G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉ DENs as an example, 154.5 equiv. of 20 mM K₂PdCl₄ solution were added to a 2.0 μ M solution of G6-OH dendrimer and stirred for 30 min under N₂. After 30 min, 154.5 equiv. of a 20 mM HAuCl₄ solution were added dropwise to the stirred solution. Following an additional 2 min of stirring, an 11-fold excess of NaBH₄ was added to the solution. This method was also used to prepare G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉ and G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ DENs. In these cases, the Au:Pd ratio was modified accordingly while keeping the total metal ion concentration constant. The final G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ concentration in solution was 2.0 μ M.

2.4. Electrode Preparation

Conductive inks containing DENs were prepared by first sonicating 200 μ L of isopropanol, 10.0 μ L of 5.0 wt% Nafion 117 (in methanol), and 1.0 mg of Vulcan carbon for 5–10 min. Next, 1.0 mL of a 2.0 μ M DEN solution was added to the slurry and sonicated for an additional 5–10 min. Catalyst-coated electrodes were prepared by dropcasting 6.0 μ L of the conductive ink on a 3.0 mm glassy carbon electrode (GCE) and drying under N₂. Between each set of electrochemical measurements, the GCE was polished using a 0.05 μ m alumina slurry.

2.5. Electrochemical Measurements

Electrochemical measurements were collected using a CH Instruments Model 650C Electrochemical Analyzer (Austin, TX, USA). The GCEs and the reference electrode, Hg/Hg₂SO₄, were purchased from CH Instruments (Austin, TX, USA). The counter electrode was a glassy carbon rod purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA).

The electrocatalytic EOR measurements were carried out as follows. First, three background CVs were collected at a scan rate of 50 mV/s in a N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution. After the background CVs, ethanol was added to the solution, and N₂ was bubbled through for an additional 5 min. Next, three electrocatalytic CVs were collected at a scan rate of 50 mV/s in N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol. The potentials used for collecting the CVs depended on the catalyst being analyzed, but were between 0 and -1.4 V.

For the cases where DENs were analyzed by ac-STEM and EDS after the CVs, the catalyst-coated GCE was removed from solution, gently rinsed, and used to prepare a TEM grid. Otherwise, the electrocatalytic CAs were carried out next using the same electrode. For CAs, the potential was held for 1.0 or 2.0 h at the peak potential of the CV. The CA solutions were not stirred unless otherwise indicated. Following the CA, three additional CVs were collected in the same solution. Finally, the catalyst-coated GCE was removed from solution, gently rinsed, and used to prepare a TEM grid.

2.6. ac-STEM and EDS Characterization

For ac-STEM and EDS, lacey carbon-coated, 400 mesh Cu TEM grids were purchased from Electron Microscopy Sciences (Hatfield, PA, USA). Two types of samples were analyzed. For the as-prepared catalyst inks, 0.5 μ L of conductive ink containing DENs was diluted with 2.0 μ L of H₂O, and the resulting solution was dropcasted onto the lacey carbon-coated TEM grid. Following electrochemical analysis, TEM grids were prepared by gently wiping the lacey carbon-coated TEM grid across the wetted surface of the GCE. In both cases, the TEM grids were dried in a glove bag under N₂.

ac-STEM and EDS analysis were carried out using a JEOL Neoarm ac-STEM (JEOL USA, Inc., Peabody, MA, USA) at an accelerating voltage of 80 keV and a resolution of 0.11 nm. For NP size analysis, ImageJ was used to evaluate 200 randomly selected NPs from each sample. The data were fit using the Gaussian function in the OriginLab software package (Version E8, Northampton, MA, USA). EDS data for each sample were collected and analyzed using the Pathfinder software package from ThermoFisher Scientific (Version 2.8, Waltham, MA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Preparation and Characterization of DENs

As described in the Experimental Section, G6-OH dendrimers were used as templates to prepare G6-OH(Au₃₀₉), G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉), G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉, G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉, and G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ DENs. Vulcan carbon and Nafion binder were then used to prepare conductive inks containing DENs, which is also described in the Experimental Section [16,24].

Figure 1 shows ac-STEM micrographs and size-distribution histograms, obtained by sizing 200 randomly selected NPs, for the as-prepared DEN inks.



Figure 1. Size-distribution histograms and representative ac-STEM micrographs for conductive inks containing as-prepared (**a**,**b**) G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉; (**c**,**d**) G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉; (**e**,**f**) G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉; (**g**,**h**) G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉); and (**i**,**j**) G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs. The scale bar is 10.0 nm.

Quantitative information about the distributions is shown in Table 1. The sizes provided in the table are consistent with previous reports [24]. The key point is that, within error, all of the as-prepared DEN compositions display the same initial average size.

	As Prepared	After EOR CVs	After 1.0 h EOR CA	As Prepared		After EOR CVs		After 1.0 h EOR CA	
	nm	nm	nm	Au %	Pd %	Au %	Pd %	Au %	Pd %
G6-OH(AuPd) ₃₀₉	2.0 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.4	47 ± 7	53 ± 7	55 ± 6	45 ± 6	65 ± 6	35 ± 6
G6-OH(Au3Pd)309	1.8 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.7	77 ± 7	23 ± 7	81 ± 7	19 ± 7	84 ± 7	16 ± 7
G6-OH(AuPd3)309	1.6 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.5	31 ± 6	69 ± 6	31 ± 6	69 ± 6	40 ± 5	60 ± 5
G6-OH(Pd ₃₀₉)	1.8 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.8	-	100	-	100	-	100
G6-OH(Au ₃₀₉)	2.3 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.4	5 ± 2	100	-	100	-	100	-

Table 1. Size distributions and Au/Pd compositions of DENs both as prepared and after electrocatalytic EOR measurements. Size distributions were obtained from 200 NPs. The atomic percentages of Au and Pd were extracted from EDS maps of 5 individual NPs.

EDS was used to confirm and quantify the alloy compositions of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs. Figure 2 shows the EDS line scans and corresponding micrographs for as-prepared G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs. For example, Figure 2a displays the line scans for the Au and Pd signals for G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉ DENs. Figure 2b is a representative micrograph for G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉ DENs, where the yellow arrow represents the line on which the EDS signal was collected. The atomic profiles for all compositions of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs indicate that the NPs are alloyed [24].



Figure 2. EDS line scans and corresponding ac-STEM micrographs for conductive inks containing as-prepared (**a**,**b**) G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉; (**c**,**d**) G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉; and (**e**,**f**) G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ DENs. The yellow arrow on each ac-STEM micrograph corresponds to the x-axis of the EDS line scan. The scale bar is 5.0 nm.

Additionally, Table 1 contains the percentages of Au and Pd extracted from EDS maps of five individual NPs. The key results are that the percentages of Au and Pd quantified by EDS reflect the ratios of Au and Pd used for DEN synthesis, and that $G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)_{309}$ DENs have an alloy structure.

3.2. Electrocatalytic EOR Activity of DENs

As described in the Experimental Section, G6-OH(Au₃₀₉), G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉), and G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs were evaluated for the EOR using cyclic voltammetry in N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Electrocatalytic EOR CVs for (**a**) G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) and G6-OH(Au₃₀₉); (**b**) G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉; (**c**) G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉; and (**d**) G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ DENs. The CVs were carried out at a scan rate of 50 mV/s in a N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol. Electrocatalytic EOR CVs were background subtracted using the background CVs shown in Figure S1.

The CVs shown in Figure 3 were background subtracted using the CVs in Figure S1 and normalized to the total weight (in mg) of the metal present. Representative background CVs are provided in Figure S1 of the Supplementary Materials. Table 2 contains quantitative values for the EOR onset potential (defined as the potential at which the current density is 10% of the forward peak current density, j_f), the forward peak current density (j_f), and the ratio of the forward to reverse catalytic peaks (j_f/j_r).

Table 2. Results extracted from electrocatalytic EOR CVs for DEN catalysts both before and after a 1.0 h CA. The first three columns correspond to the values for CVs collected before the 1.0 h CA. The first column provides the EOR onset potential (defined as the potential at which the current density is 10% of the forward peak current density, j_f). The second column lists the forward peak current density, j_f . The third column shows the ratio of the forward to reverse catalytic peak current densities (j_f/j_r). The fourth, fifth, and sixth columns provide the same parameters, but for CVs collected following a 1.0 h CA. CVs were carried out at a scan rate of 50 mV/s in a N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol and background subtracted using the background CVs in Figure S1. Standard deviations are based on three independent experiments.

		Before 1.0 h CA		After 1.0 h CA			
	Onset Potential (V)	Peak Current Density, j _f (μA/mg Au + Pd)	j _f /j _r	Onset Potential (V)	Peak Current Density, j _f (μA/mg Au + Pd)	j _f /j _r	
G6-OH(AuPd)309	-0.82 ± 0.01	16.2 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.1	-0.85 ± 0.01	14.1 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.2	
G6-OH(Au3Pd)309	-0.79 ± 0.03	25 ± 2	2.1 ± 0.7	-0.76 ± 0.01	22 ± 1	1.3 ± 0.6	
G6-OH(AuPd ₃) ₃₀₉	-0.91 ± 0.01	15 ± 1	0.56 ± 0.03	-0.88 ± 0.03	8 ± 3	0.3 ± 0.1	
G6-OH(Pd ₃₀₉)	-1.03 ± 0.01	3.2 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.2	-0.97 ± 0.03	2.8 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.2	
G6-OH(Au ₃₀₉)	-0.56 ± 0.04	29 ± 3	4.8 ± 0.3	-0.51 ± 0.03	19 ± 5	4.5 ± 0.2	

Using the CV for G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉ DENs (Figure 3b) as an example, the peaks in the CV are identified as follows. When the potential is scanned from -1.4 V to -0.2 V, a peak is observed at ~ -0.6 V. This peak is designated as the forward catalytic peak, and corresponds to the direct oxidation of ethanol on the catalyst surface [35]. When the potential sweep is reversed, a peak is present at ~ -0.8 V. This peak, defined as the reverse catalytic peak, results from the oxidation of adsorbed intermediates on the catalyst surface, as well as

additional oxidation of ethanol [35]. Although the forward and reverse catalytic peak potentials vary somewhat depending on catalyst composition, the interpretation of the peaks is the same for all catalysts.

Figure 3a shows representative electrocatalytic EOR CVs for monometallic G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) (red) and G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs (black). The value of j_f for G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs is ~13-fold higher than for G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉). The onset potential for the G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs, however, occurs at a potential that is 450 mV more negative than that of G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs. An improved EOR catalyst will display both a higher j_f compared to G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs, and a more negative onset potential compared to G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs.

Figure 3b–d show representative electrocatalytic EOR CVs for G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉, G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉, and G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ DENs, respectively. The CVs reveal three key observations about the EOR activity of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs. First, the j_f values for G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs are ~5–10-fold higher than the j_f values for G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs. The significantly increased j_f values indicate that the EOR activity is enhanced at the alloy DENs compared to the monometallic Pd DENs.

Second, G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs exhibit more negative onset potentials for the EOR compared to G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs. For example, the EOR onset potential at G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉ DENs is shifted ~230 mV more to the negative than the onset potential at the monometallic Au DENs. More generally, as the Pd content increases in the alloy DENs, the EOR onset potential shifts to increasingly more negative values compared to G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs.

Third, G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉ and G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉ DENs exhibit the same j_f/j_r ratios, within error, as the Pd-only DENs. This result indicates that the specified alloy DENs have similarly good resistance to poisoning as the monometallic Pd DENs. To summarize, the foregoing results demonstrate that 1–2 nm G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs exhibit improved EOR activity when compared to either monometallic Au or Pd DENs.

3.3. ac-STEM/EDS Characterization of DENs after CVs

Following electrocatalytic EOR CVs, ex-situ ac-STEM and EDS characterization of G6-OH(Au₃₀₉), G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉), and G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs were carried out. As described in the Experimental Section, TEM grids for post-electrochemical analysis were prepared by gently wiping the lacey carbon-coated TEM grid across the wetted surface of the GCE [36]. TEM grids were dried under N₂ and subsequently used for analysis.

Quantitative information about the size distributions of DENs following electrocatalytic EOR CVs is shown in Table 1. The ac-STEM micrographs and size-distribution histograms, obtained by sizing 200 randomly selected NPs, for DEN inks following electrocatalytic EOR CVs, are provided in Figure S2. The important result is that the size distributions of DENs following CVs are the same, within error, as for the as-prepared DENs.

EDS was used to quantify the compositions of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs following electrocatalytic EOR CVs. Figure 4 shows the EDS line scans and corresponding micrographs for G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs following EOR CVs.

The EDS line scans for all compositions of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs indicate that the NPs retain their initial alloy compositions following CVs. Additionally, Table 1 contains the quantitative percentages of Au and Pd following EOR CVs extracted from EDS maps of five individual NPs. Although small changes in Au and Pd composition occur following CVs, the percent compositions of Au and Pd are the same, within error, as for the as-prepared DENs. The key result from this section is that electrocatalytic EOR CVs do not significantly change the size or composition of 1–2 nm DENs.



Figure 4. EDS line scans and corresponding ac-STEM micrographs for conductive inks containing (**a**,**b**) G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉; (**c**,**d**) G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉; and (**e**,**f**) G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ DENs following EOR CVs. The CVs were carried out at a scan rate of 50 mV/s in a N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol. The yellow arrow on each ac-STEM micrograph corresponds to the x-axis of the EDS line scan. The scale bar is 5.0 nm.

3.4. Electrocatalytic EOR Chronoamperograms (CAs)

For the electrocatalytic EOR, catalyst stability is usually assessed using chronoamperometry [5,6,8,9,37]. Specifically, the potential of the electrode is typically held at the peak potential of the preceding electrocatalytic CV for times ranging from 30 min to 12 h. In the present case, 1.0 h CAs for G6-OH(Au₃₀₉), G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉), and G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs were carried out in N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH containing 0.50 M ethanol. The electrode was held at the peak potential for each catalyst.

Figure 5 presents the electrocatalytic EOR CAs for the DENs in this study. For clarity, the first 10 s of the CAs, where an initial sharp decrease in *j* occurs, are not shown.



Figure 5. Electrocatalytic EOR CAs for the indicated DENs. The CAs were carried out in a N_2 -satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol, by holding the electrode potential for 1.0 h at the peak potential of the forward scan of the EOR CV. For clarity, the first 10 s of the CA are not shown in the figure.

Qualitatively, the CA data exhibit a current decay for all the DEN catalysts. Similar results from others have been interpreted to mean that NP catalysts are electrochemically

stable [8,9,38]. This interpretation seems odd given the decaying current, but perhaps the authors of these earlier studies meant that because the current does not go to zero the catalysts are stable. Our own interpretation is that EOR CAs such as these do not provide much insight into changes in catalyst size or structure that might occur during prolonged electrochemical measurements [13]. One final point is worth mentioning. The authors of these previous studies indicated (sometimes by omission) that the CAs were obtained in quiescent solutions. For comparative purposes, we followed this precedent for the data in Figure 5. As a control, however, we obtained a set of CAs that were carried out with stirring (Figure S3). There are no significant differences between the stirred and unstirred CAs.

CVs were collected for each DEN composition both before and after the 1.0 h CA measurement (Figure S4). The results are shown in Table 2, which provides quantitative values for the EOR onset potential, j_f , and j_f/j_r . The values shown in Table 2 indicate that the 1.0 h CAs do not induce significant changes in the electrocatalytic onset potential or j_f/j_r for the DEN catalysts. Significant decreases in j_f , however, are observed for the G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ and G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs. For the G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs, j_f decreases by ~34% compared to the as-prepared Au DENs. The decrease in j_f will be discussed in the next section.

3.5. ac-STEM/EDS Characterization of DENs after CAs

Following the 1.0 h electrocatalytic EOR CAs described in the previous section, the DENs were characterized using ex-situ ac-STEM and EDS. As described in the Experimental Section, TEM grids for ex-situ analysis were prepared by gently wiping the lacey carbon-coated TEM grid across the wetted surface of the GCE and drying under N_2 .

Figure 6 displays ac-STEM micrographs and size-distribution histograms, obtained by sizing 200 randomly selected NPs, for DEN inks following 1.0 h electrocatalytic EOR CAs.



Figure 6. Size-distribution histograms and ac-STEM micrographs for conductive inks containing (a,b) G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉; (c,d) G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉; (e,f) G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉; (g,h) G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉); and (i,j) G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs after electrocatalytic EOR CAs. CAs were carried out in a N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol, by holding the electrode potential for 1.0 h at the peak potential of the forward scan of the EOR CV. The scale bar is 10.0 nm.

Table 1 provides quantitative information extracted from these data. The size distributions reveal two key results. First, within error, the average sizes of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ and G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs do not change following the 1.0 h CAs. Second, a significant increase is observed in the size and polydispersity of monometallic Au DENs (from 2.5 \pm 0.4 nm following CVs, to 5 \pm 2 nm) following the 1.0 h CA. Increased NP size and polydispersity, as is observed for G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs, likely leads to the decreases in *j*_f values discussed in the previous section [13]. These results demonstrate that G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ and G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs are stable in size during 1.0 h CAs, particularly compared to monometallic Au DENs. Figure 7 shows EDS line scans and corresponding micrographs for G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs following 1.0 h electrocatalytic CAs. The similarity of the atomic profiles for all compositions of the G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs indicate that they retain their alloy character following 1.0 h CAs.



Figure 7. EDS line scans and corresponding ac-STEM micrographs for conductive inks containing (a,b) G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉; (c,d) G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉; and (e,f) G6-OH(AuPd₃)₃₀₉ DENs after a 1.0 h EOR CA. CAs were carried out in a N₂-satd., 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.50 M ethanol, by holding the electrode potential for 1.0 h at the peak potential of the forward scan of the EOR CV. The yellow arrow on each ac-STEM micrograph corresponds to the x-axis of the EDS line scan. The scale bar is 5.0 nm.

Table 1 shows the percentages of Au and Pd extracted from EDS maps of five individual NPs. Within error, the Au and Pd percentages do not change significantly from those observed prior to the 1.0 h CAs. In addition to the 1.0 h EOR CAs, longer 2.0 h CAs were also carried out for the G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs which were subsequently characterized using ac-STEM and EDS. Within error, the average sizes and compositions of the G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs are the same for the 1.0 and 2.0 h CAs (Table S1).

There are two key results discussed in this section. First, following 1.0 or 2.0 h CAs, the sizes of G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) and G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs do not change significantly, while the size and polydispersity of G6-OH(Au₃₀₉) DENs do change. Second, the structure and composition of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ DENs do not change significantly following 1.0 or 2.0 h CAs.

4. Summary and Conclusions

The electrocatalytic EOR activity of 1–2 nm G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉, G6-OH(Au₃₀₉), and G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs was evaluated using cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry. Following electrocatalysis, ac-STEM and EDS were used to characterize DEN size and composition. Two main findings emerge from this study. First, alloyed AuPd DENs demonstrate enhanced electrocatalytic EOR activity compared to either monometallic Au or Pd DENs. Specifically, G6-OH(AuPd)₃₀₉ and G6-OH(Au₃Pd)₃₀₉ DENs exhibit higher peak current densities compared to Pd DENs and more negative onset potentials compared to Au DENs. Second, ac-STEM and EDS characterization reveal that the size and composition of G6-OH(Au_xPd_y)₃₀₉ and G6-OH(Pd₃₀₉) DENs do not change significantly following 1.0 or 2.0 h electrocatalytic EOR CAs, while monometallic Au DENs significantly increase in size and polydispersity under the same conditions.

Notably, we used ac-STEM and EDS to characterize the size and composition of 1–2 nm DENs before and after EOR electrocatalysis. NP stability during the electrocatalytic EOR is typically determined using chronoamperometry. The resulting CAs, however, do not provide insight into changes in catalyst size, structure, or composition that may occur

during electrocatalysis, which is particularly problematic when experimental measurements are correlated to theory [23].

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nano12224093/s1, Figure S1: Representative background CVs in 1.0 M KOH; Figure S2: ac-STEM micrographs and size-distribution histograms of DENs after EOR CVs; Figure S3: Electrocatalytic EOR CAs in stirred solution; Figure S4: Electrocatalytic EOR CVs collected before and after 1.0 h EOR CA; Figure S5: ac-STEM micrographs and size-distribution histograms of G6-OH(AuxPdy)309 DENs after 2.0 h EOR CA; Figure S6: EDS line scans and micrographs for G6-OH(AuxPdy)309 DENs after 2.0 h EOR CA; Table S1: Size distributions and Au/Pd compositions of G6-OH(AuxPdy)309 DENs after 2.0 h EOR CA.

Author Contributions: J.W.S. was responsible for designing, performing, and analyzing experiments; R.M.C. was responsible for funding acquisition and project administration. J.W.S. prepared the original manuscript. R.M.C. revised and edited the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We gratefully acknowledge support from the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy (Contract: DE-SC0010576). We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grant F-0032) for sustained support of our research. J.W.S. acknowledges support from The University of Texas at Austin Provost's Graduate Excellence Fellowship.

Data Availability Statement: The data are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Yamamoto, K.; Imaoka, T.; Tanabe, M.; Kambe, T. New Horizon of Nanoparticle and Cluster Catalysis with Dendrimers. *Chem. Rev.* 2020, 120, 1397–1437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Myers, V.S.; Weir, M.G.; Carino, E.V.; Yancey, D.F.; Pande, S.; Crooks, R.M. Dendrimer-Encapsulated Nanoparticles: New Synthetic and Characterization Methods and Catalytic Applications. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1632–1646. [CrossRef]
- 3. Wang, H.; Rempel, G.L. Bimetallic Dendrimer-Encapsulated Nanoparticle Catalysts. Polym. Rev. 2016, 56, 486–511. [CrossRef]
- 4. Bronstein, L.M.; Shifrina, Z.B. Dendrimers as Encapsulating, Stabilizing, or Directing Agents for Inorganic Nanoparticles. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 5301–5344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Yang, Y.; Jin, L.; Liu, B.; Kerns, P.; He, J. Direct Growth of Ultrasmall Bimetallic AuPd Nanoparticles Supported on Nitrided Carbon towards Ethanol Electrooxidation. *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 269, 441–451. [CrossRef]
- Liu, C.; Cai, X.; Wang, J.; Liu, J.; Riese, A.; Chen, Z.; Sun, X.; Wang, S.D. One-Step Synthesis of AuPd Alloy Nanoparticles on Graphene as a Stable Catalyst for Ethanol Electro-Oxidation. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2016, 41, 13476–13484. [CrossRef]
- Cui, Y.; Zhao, M.; Zou, Y.; Zhang, J.; Han, J.; Wang, Z.; Jiang, Q. Ultrasmall AuPd Nanoclusters on Amine-Functionalized Carbon Blacks as High-Performance Bi-Functional Catalysts for Ethanol Electrooxidation and Formic Acid Dehydrogenation. *J. Energy Chem.* 2022, 68, 556–563. [CrossRef]
- 8. Lin, H.; Muzzio, M.; Wei, K.; Zhang, P.; Li, J.; Li, N.; Yin, Z.; Su, D.; Sun, S. PdAu Alloy Nanoparticles for Ethanol Oxidation in Alkaline Conditions: Enhanced Activity and C1 Pathway Selectivity. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *2*, 8701–8706. [CrossRef]
- Feng, Y.Y.; Liu, Z.H.; Xu, Y.; Wang, P.; Wang, W.H.; Kong, D.S. Highly Active PdAu Alloy Catalysts for Ethanol Electro-Oxidation. J. Power Sources 2013, 232, 99–105. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, Y.; Wan, X.; Cheng, X.; Cheng, K.; Dai, Z.; Liu, Z. Advanced Catalytic Materials for Ethanol Oxidation in Direct Ethanol Fuel Cells. *Catalysts* 2020, 10, 166. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Zou, S.; Cai, W. Bin Recent Advances on Electro-Oxidation of Ethanol on Pt- and Pd-Based Catalysts: From Reaction Mechanisms to Catalytic Materials. *Catalysts* 2015, *5*, 1507–1534. [CrossRef]
- 12. Monyoncho, E.A.; Woo, T.K.; Baranova, E.A. *Ethanol Electrooxidation Reaction in Alkaline Media for Direct Ethanol Fuel Cells*; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2019; Volume 15, ISBN 9781788013734.
- Peng, D.; Wang, Y.; Lv, L.; Zhou, Z.; Wang, Y.; Lv, A.; Lin, T.W.; Xin, Z.; Zhang, B.; Qian, X. Insight into Degradation Mechanism of Pd Nanoparticles on NCNTs Catalyst for Ethanol Electrooxidation: A Combined Identical-Location Transmission Electron Microscopy and X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Study. *Chem. Phys.* 2021, 548, 111244. [CrossRef]
- 14. Yang, J.C.; Small, M.W.; Grieshaber, R.V.; Nuzzo, R.G. Recent Developments and Applications of Electron Microscopy to Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, 41, 8179–8194. [CrossRef]

- Kabir, S.; Myers, D.J.; Kariuki, N.N.; Park, J.; Wang, G.; Baker, A.; Macauley, N.; Mukundan, R.; More, K.L.; Neyerlin, K.C. Elucidating the Dynamic Nature of Fuel Cell Electrodes as a Function of Conditioning: An Ex-Situ Materials Characterization and In-Situ Electrochemical Diagnostic Study. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 45016–45030. [CrossRef]
- Trindell, J.A.; Clausmeyer, J.; Crooks, R.M. Size Stability and H₂/CO Selectivity for Au Nanoparticles during Electrocatalytic CO₂ Reduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 16161–16167. [CrossRef]
- 17. Strasser, J.W.; Hersbach, T.J.P.; Liu, J.; Lapp, A.S.; Frenkel, A.I.; Crooks, R.M. Electrochemical Cleaning Stability and Oxygen Reduction Reaction of 1–2 Nm Dendrimer-Encapsulated Au Nanoparticles. *ChemElectroChem* **2021**, *8*, 2545–2555. [CrossRef]
- Smiljanić, M.; Bele, M.; Moriau, L.; Ruiz-Zepeda, F.; Šala, M.; Hodnik, N. Electrochemical Stability and Degradation of Commercial Pd/C Catalyst in Acidic Media. J. Phys. Chem. C 2021, 125, 27534–27542. [CrossRef]
- Zadick, A.; Dubau, L.; Sergent, N.; Berthomé, G.; Chatenet, M. Huge Instability of Pt/C Catalysts in Alkaline Medium. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 4819–4824. [CrossRef]
- Huang, Y.; Mohamed, A.G.A.; Xie, J.; Wang, Y. Surface Evolution of Electrocatalysts in Energy Conversion Reactions. *Nano Energy* 2021, 82, 105745. [CrossRef]
- Tuaev, X.; Rudi, S.; Petkov, V.; Hoell, A.; Strasser, P. In Situ Study of Atomic Structure Transformations of Pt-Ni Nanoparticle Catalysts during Electrochemical Potential Cycling. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 5666–5674. [CrossRef]
- Pizzutilo, E.; Freakley, S.J.; Geiger, S.; Baldizzone, C.; Mingers, A.; Hutchings, G.J.; Mayrhofer, K.J.J.; Cherevko, S. Addressing Stability Challenges of Using Bimetallic Electrocatalysts: The Case of Gold-Palladium Nanoalloys. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2017, 7, 1848–1856. [CrossRef]
- Trindell, J.A.; Duan, Z.; Henkelman, G.; Crooks, R.M. Well-Defined Nanoparticle Electrocatalysts for the Refinement of Theory. *Chem. Rev.* 2020, 120, 814–850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Trindell, J.A.; Duan, Z.; Henkelman, G.; Crooks, R.M. AuxPd(300-x) Alloy Nanoparticles for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Alkaline Media. *ChemElectroChem* 2020, 7, 3824–3831. [CrossRef]
- Strasser, J.W.; Crooks, R.M. Single Atoms and Small Clusters of Atoms May Accompany Au and Pd Dendrimer-Encapsulated Nanoparticles. *Soft Matter* 2022, 18, 5067–5073. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Scott, R.W.J.; Ye, H.; Henriquez, R.R.; Crooks, R.M. Synthesis, Characterization, and Stability of Dendrimer-Encapsulated Palladium Nanoparticles. *Chem. Mater.* 2003, 15, 3873–3878. [CrossRef]
- 27. Grohn, F.; Bauer, B.J.; Akpalu, Y.A.; Jackson, C.L.; Amis, E.J. Dendrimer Templates for the Formation of Gold Nanoclusters. *Macromolecules* **2000**, *33*, 6042–6050. [CrossRef]
- 28. Tomalia, D.A.; Baker, H.; Dewald, J.; Hall, M.; Kallos, G.; Martin, S.; Roeck, J.; Ryder, J.; Smith, P. A New Class of Polymers: Starburst-Dendritic Macromolecules. *Polym. J.* **1985**, 17, 117–132. [CrossRef]
- 29. Yamamoto, D.; Watanabe, S.; Miyahara, M.T. Coordination and Reduction Processes in the Synthesis of Dendrimer-Encapsulated Pt Nanoparticles. *Langmuir* 2010, *26*, 2339–2345. [CrossRef]
- 30. Gomez, M.V.; Guerra, J.; Velders, A.H.; Crooks, R.M. NMR Characterization of Fourth-Generation PAMAM Dendrimers in the Presence and Absence of Palladium Dendrimer-Encapsulated Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 341–350. [CrossRef]
- Petkov, V.; Bedford, N.; Knecht, M.R.; Weir, M.G.; Crooks, R.M.; Tang, W.; Henkelman, G.; Frenkel, A. Periodicity and Atomic Ordering in Nanosized Particles of Crystals. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 8907–8911. [CrossRef]
- Kim, Y.-G.; Oh, S.-K.; Crooks, R.M. Preparation and Characterization of 1–2 Nm Dendrimer-Encapsulated Gold Nanoparticles Having Very Narrow Size Distributions. *Chem. Mater.* 2004, 16, 167–172. [CrossRef]
- Knecht, M.R.; Weir, M.G.; Frenkel, A.I.; Crooks, R.M. Structural Rearrangement of Bimetallic Alloy PdAu Nanoparticles within Dendrimer Templates to Yield Core/Shell Configuration. *Chem. Mater.* 2008, 20, 1019–1028. [CrossRef]
- Luo, L.; Zhang, L.; Henkelman, G.; Crooks, R.M. Unusual Activity Trend for CO Oxidation on PdxAu140-X@Pt Core@Shell Nanoparticle Electrocatalysts. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 2562–2568. [CrossRef]
- 35. Liang, Z.X.; Zhao, T.S.; Xu, J.B.; Zhu, L.D. Mechanism Study of the Ethanol Oxidation Reaction on Palladium in Alkaline Media. *Electrochim. Acta* 2009, 54, 2203–2208. [CrossRef]
- Yancey, D.F.; Zhang, L.; Crooks, R.M.; Henkelman, G. Au@Pt Dendrimer Encapsulated Nanoparticles as Model Electrocatalysts for Comparison of Experiment and Theory. *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 1033–1040. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, L.D.; Zhao, T.S.; Xu, J.B.; Liang, Z.X. Preparation and Characterization of Carbon-Supported Sub-Monolayer Palladium Decorated Gold Nanoparticles for the Electro-Oxidation of Ethanol in Alkaline Media. J. Power Sources 2009, 187, 80–84. [CrossRef]
- Caglar, A.; Kivrak, H. Highly Active Carbon Nanotube Supported PdAu Alloy Catalysts for Ethanol Electrooxidation in Alkaline Environment. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2019, 44, 11734–11743. [CrossRef]