



The Use of Warm Air for Solvent Evaporation in Adhesive Dentistry: A Meta-Analysis of In Vitro Studies

Rim Bourgi ^{1,2}^(D), Louis Hardan ^{1,†}^(D), Carlos Enrique Cuevas-Suárez ³^(D), Francesco Scavello ^{4,*}^(D), Davide Mancino ^{2,5,6}^(D), Naji Kharouf ^{2,5,*}^(D) and Youssef Haikel ^{2,5,6,†}

- ¹ Department of Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Saint-Joseph University, Beirut 1107 2180, Lebanon; rim.bourgi@net.usj.edu.lb (R.B.); louis.hardan@usj.edu.lb (L.H.)
- ² Department of Biomaterials and Bioengineering, INSERM UMR_S 1121, University of Strasbourg, 67000 Strasbourg, France; mancino@unistra.fr (D.M.); youssef.haikel@unistra.fr (Y.H.)
- ³ Dental Materials Laboratory, Academic Area of Dentistry, Autonomous University of Hidalgo State, San Agustín Tlaxiaca 42160, Mexico; cecuevas@uaeh.edu.mx
- ⁴ IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, 20089 Rozzano, Milan, Italy
- ⁵ Department of Endodontics and Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, University of Strasbourg, 67000 Strasbourg, France
- ⁶ Pôle de Médecine et Chirurgie Bucco-Dentaire, Hôpital Civil, Hôpitaux Universitaire de Strasbourg, 67000 Strasbourg, France
- * Correspondence: francesco.scavello@humanitasresearch.it (F.S.); dentistenajikharouf@gmail.com (N.K.); Tel.: +33-66752-2841 (N.K.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Any excess solvent from dental adhesive systems must be eliminated prior to material photopolymerization. For this purpose, numerous approaches have been proposed, including the use of a warm air stream. This study aimed to investigate the effect of different temperatures of warm air blowing used for solvent evaporation on the bond strength of resin-based materials to dental and nondental substrates. Two different reviewers screened the literature in diverse electronic databases. In vitro studies recording the effect of warm air blowing to evaporate solvents of adhesive systems on the bond strength of resin-based materials to direct and indirect substrates were included. A total of 6626 articles were retrieved from all databases. From this, 28 articles were included in the qualitative analysis, and 27 remained for the quantitative analysis. The results of the meta-analysis for etch-and-rinse adhesives revealed that the use of warm air for solvent evaporation was statistically significantly higher (*p* = 0.005). For self-etch adhesives and silane-based materials, this effect was observed too (*p* < 0.001). The use of a warm air stream for solvent evaporation enhanced the bonding performance of alcohol-/water-based adhesive systems for dentin. This effect seems to be similar when a silane coupling agent is submitted to a heat treatment before the cementation of a glass-based ceramic.

Keywords: dentine; silane; solvent-based; total-etch; warm air

1. Introduction

Adhesive systems contain resin monomers with hydrophilic and hydrophobic characteristics, polymerization modulators, and a high concentration of solvents [1]. Organic solvents act as diluting agents that improve wetting and the infiltration of resin monomers into the dentinal surface [2]. It is crucial that any excess solvent must be eliminated from the dental substrate by means of air drying prior to the photopolymerization of the applied adhesive, in addition to allowing time between these two processes [3]. A presence of residual solvents might affect the polymerization of monomers and hinder the integrity of the bond, creating unwanted pathways for voids inside the adhesive interface and causing a decrease in the bond strength [4,5].



Citation: Bourgi, R.; Hardan, L.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Scavello, F.; Mancino, D.; Kharouf, N.; Haikel, Y. The Use of Warm Air for Solvent Evaporation in Adhesive Dentistry: A Meta-Analysis of In Vitro Studies. *J. Funct. Biomater.* **2023**, *14*, 285. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jfb14050285

Academic Editors: Ping Li, Guojiang Wan, Shulan Xu and An Li

Received: 20 April 2023 Revised: 15 May 2023 Accepted: 17 May 2023 Published: 20 May 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Various approaches favoring solvent evaporation have been proposed. These include an increased adhesive application time [6], multiple adhesive layers [7], delayed light curing [8], vigorous adhesive rubbing [9], longer exposure duration of bonding systems [10], and extended air drying [11]. Additionally, using a warm air stream was found to sufficiently evaporate solvents included in an adhesive system [12]. Authors have experimented on external sources for heating before photopolymerization, ranging within biologically acceptable limits [13–15], leading to immediate monomer conversion gains while reducing the concentration of the final solvent in the adhesive system [16].

The application of a warm air stream was found to adequately evaporate solvents from an adhesive system [12]; this might clinically increase the dentin bond strength. Authors have experimented on external sources of heating before photopolymerization, ranging within biologically acceptable limits [12–15,17,18], resulting in immediate monomer conversion gains while reducing the concentration of the final solvent in the adhesive system [16]. Furthermore, this approach enhances resin infiltration into the decalcified dentin, consequently improving dentinal bond strength. It is important to mention that a warm air stream within the thermal tolerance zone of the dentin pulp organ (29–56 °C) will not yield any undesirable pathological effects on the dentin pulp organ and dentin will respond physiologically to warm air blowing [19–21]. A previous meta-analysis proposed that the dentin bond strength of adhesive systems might be improved by using warm air streams for solvent evaporation [22]. Several air temperatures for solvent evaporation, comprising 37 °C, 38 °C, 50 °C, 60 °C, and 80 °C, were recognized in the aforementioned review. Approximately 40 °C and 60 °C warm-air-drying temperatures were considered efficient for improving solvent evaporation. Nonetheless, the 60 °C temperature was more favorable in terms of stable bond strength and reduced bond degradation [22]. Fittingly, a better description of the gold-standard temperature for air drying should be of great attention.

The use of warm air for an adequate evaporation of solvents has been also explored for silane-based products [23]. In a clinical situation, silanes reduce the contact angle, leaving a film with a thickness ranging between approximately 10 and 50 nm [24]. The outcomes of a study support the theory that silane is capable of raising the surface wettability, causing the formation of chemical bridges with substrates covered by hydroxyl groups (OH) (e.g., glass or quartz fibers) [25]. Furthermore, it has been conveyed that the silane coupling agent significantly improves the bond strength between the fiber posts and the composite core build-up materials [26].

Several layers or a thick film of silane lead to internal cohesive tendencies, and eventually bond failure. Due to this, clinically, an ultrathin silane layer is required to enhance bond strength [27]. An approach to improving its implementation includes thermal drying with temperatures ranging between 50 and 100 °C of silanes once applied to substrates. This permits the evaporation of vehicles, thus accelerating the condensation on the surface and promoting the efficient formation of covalent bonds [28]. However, silane heat treatment at high temperatures (70–80 °C) may not be practical for clinical practices, but a warm air stream (38 °C) acceptable to patients can be used to aid in solvent evaporation and the products of the reaction on the silane-treated surface, leading to a dried surface [29].

The purpose of this manuscript was to investigate the effect of different temperatures of warm air blowing used for solvent evaporation on the bond strength of resin-based materials to dental and nondental substrates. Accordingly, the null hypothesis of the present systematic review and meta-analysis was that the use of a different temperature of warm air stream for solvent evaporation does not affect the bond strength of resin-based materials to dental and nondental substrates.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Protocol and Registration

This systematic review was registered in the Open Science Framework under the identifier DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/JUQT5 and it respected the suggestions of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement.

2.2. Information Sources and Search Strategy

The search strategy (Table 1) was firstly described for the MEDLINE database using keywords for each concept of the following PICOT strategy: population, permanent enamel and dentin, and indirect substrates; intervention: application of warm air for solvent evaporation; control, application of room-temperature air; outcome, bond strength; and type of studies, in vitro studies. The MEDLINE search strategy was adapted to other electronic databases, including Scielo, Web of Science, Scopus, and Embase. Additionally, the first 100 results of Google Scholar were also consulted.

Table 1. Search strategy used.

Search	Keywords
# 1	Dental Bonding OR Self-Cured Dental Bonding OR Chemical-Curing of Dental Adhesives OR Chemical Curing of Dental Adhesives OR Dentin-Bonding Agents OR dental primer OR Dental Materials OR Dental Material OR dental resin OR Dental Resins OR bonding interface OR adhesive OR Dentin-Bonding Agents OR Dentin Bonding Agents
# 2	warm air OR temperature air OR air-blowing OR air-drying OR air-stream
#3	# 1 and # 2

2.3. Selection Process and Data Collection Process

After running a search strategy, an online software program (Rayyan, Qatar Computing Research Institute, HBKU, Doha, Qatar) was used to store the files from all databases, and for duplicate detection. The same software program was used for evaluating the title and abstract of the articles. This phase was carried out by two independent reviewers to check whether they encountered the following inclusion criteria: (1) in vitro studies recording the effect of the use of different temperatures of warm air blowing to evaporate solvents from an adhesive system on the bond strength of resin-based materials to enamel, dentin, glass-based ceramics, oxide-based ceramics, metal alloys, and indirect composites; (2) evaluated the bond strength of adhesive systems to the aforementioned substrates with 2 antagonists: composite resin or resin-based cement; (3) comprised a control group; (4) included mean and standard deviation (SD) data in MPa on shear, microshear, microtensile, and tensile bond tests; and (5) available in the English, Spanish, or Portuguese language. Case series, case reports, pilot studies, and reviews were omitted.

Each adequate article received a study identification, merging the last name of the first author with the year of publication. The same two referees reviewed and categorized data, such as the material tested, the solvent contained in the material, the temperature of warm air stream used, the substrate tested, and the bond strength test.

2.4. Quality Assessment

The methodological quality of, respectively, integrated manuscripts was evaluated independently by two authors (L.H. and R.B.). The risk of bias in individual articles was considered via the description of the following factors: specimens' randomization, single operator, operator blinded, control group, standardized specimens, failure mode, manufacturer's instructions, sample size calculation, and coefficient of variation. If the article included the factor, the study received a "Yes" for that specific parameter. In the case of missing data, the parameter received a "No". The risk of bias was classified regarding the sum of "Yes" answers received: 1 to 3 denoted a high bias, 4 to 6 medium, and 7 to 9 showed a low risk of bias.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

A meta-analysis was executed by means of a software program (Review Manager version 5.3.5; The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark). A random-effect model was used, and estimates were acquired by comparing the standardized mean difference between the bond strength values for the groups where a warm air stream was used against

the control group in which a room-temperature air stream was considered. The bond strength from etch-and-rinse (ER), self-etch (SE), and silane-based materials was analyzed separately. For each analysis, subgroups were formed considering the type of solvent used for the adhesive formulation. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The heterogeneity was designed by means of the Cochran Q test and the inconsistency I² test.

3. Results

A total of 6626 articles were retrieved from all databases. Figure 1 shows the flowchart that summarizes the study selection according to the PRISMA statement. A total of 4867 documents were screened by title and abstract after duplicate removal. From these, 4831 were excluded after the initial screening. Thirty-six studies were chosen for full-text reading, and one article was included after hand searching the references from these documents. After reading the full text, nine articles were excluded due to several reasons: in (4), the bond strength was not evaluated [18,30–32]; in (4), a warm air stream was not tested [33–36]; and (1) was a thesis [37]. Then, a total of 28 articles were included in the qualitative analysis [12–14,16,20,21,23,24,29,38–56]. One study was excluded from the meta-analysis because there were no more studies for comparison [53].

Identification of studies via databases and registers



Figure 1. Study selection according to the PRISMA statement.

The features of the included articles are recapitulated in Tables 2 and 3. Numerous warm-air temperatures, including 37 °C, 38 °C, 50 °C, 60 °C, and 80 °C, were acknowledged in the present review for solvent evaporation. Most of the included articles assessed the bond strength of both SE and ER adhesive systems. Universal adhesives were found too; however, due their composition, these adhesives were considered as SE adhesives. Different ceramic primers were evaluated too; all of them had a silane coupling agent within its composition. All studies immediately evaluated the bond strength, but only two tested this property after some aging. Microtensile bond strength was the most commonly used test to evaluate bond strength; other tests such as shear and push-out were used too.

Study	Year	Material Tested and Category of the Material	Solvent Contained within the Material	Temperature of Warm Air Stream	Substrate Tested	Bond Strength Test	
		Optibond XTR (Kerr Co., Orange, CA, USA): Two step self-etch adhesive	Water, ethanol, and acetone		Human dentin	Microtensile bond	
Al-Salamony [38]	2020	Optibond All-In-One (Kerr Co., Orange, CA, USA): One step self-etch adhesive	Water, ethanol, and acetone	39 and 50 °C	(Permanent)	strength (µTBS)	
Carvalho [41]	2016	Adper Single Bond 2 (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Two-step total-etch adhesive system	Ethanol, water	50 °C	Human dentin (Permanent)	μTBS	
		Adhese Universal Vivapen (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein)	Ethanol, water				
		Gluma Bond Universal (Heraeus Kulzer, Hanau, Germany)	Acetone, water				
Chen [42]	2021	All Bond Universal [®] (Bisco, Schaumburg, USA)	Ethanol, water	$60 \pm 2 \ ^{\circ}\text{C}$	Human dentin (Permanent)	μTBS	
		Single Bond Universal (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA)	Ethanol, water				
		Clearfil Universal Bond (Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Osaka, Japan)	Ethanol, water				
		Clearfil SE Bond (Kuraray Co. Inc., Osaka, Japan): Two-step self-etch	Ethanol, water				
		Clearfil SE Protect (Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc.). Two-step self-etch	Water		Human dentin		
Garcia [47]	2009	Adper Prompt-L-Pop (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): One-step self-etch	Water	38 °C	(Permanent)	μTBS	
		Xeno III (Dentsply, Konstanz, Germany): Two-step self-etch	Ethanol, water				
		Adper Single Bond 2 (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Two-step etch-and-rinse	Ethanol, water		Human dentin		
Klein-Júnior [13]	2008	Prime & Bond 2.1 (Dentsply, Konstanz, Germany): Two-step etch-and-rinse	Acetone	60 ± 2 °C	(Permanent)	μTBS	
		Adper Scotchbond Multi-Purpose (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Three- step etch- and-rinse	Water				
Marsiglio [21]	2012	Adper Single Bond 2 (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Two-step etch-and-rinse	Ethanol, water	38 °C	Human dentin (Permanent)	μTBS	
		Prime & Bond 2.1 (Dentsply, Mildford, Germany): Two-step etch-and-rinse	Acetone				
		Adper SE Plus (3M ESPE; St. Paul, MN, USA): Two-step self-etch	Water				
Moure [17]	2014	Clearfil 3S Bond (Kuraray Medical Inc, Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Water, ethanol	60 + 2 °C	Human dentin	uTBS	
	_011	OptiBond All in-one (Kerr Co., Orange, CA, USA): One-step self-etch	Water, ethanol, and acetone		(Permanent)	F20	
		Silorane (3M ESPE; St. Paul, MN, USA): Two-step self-etch	Water, ethanol				

 Table 2. Demographic and study design data of the included studies regarding direct substrates.

Study	Year	Material Tested and Category of the Material	Solvent Contained within the Material	Temperature of Warm Air Stream	Substrate Tested	Bond Strength Test
		Adper Easy Bond (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): One-step self-etch	Ethanol, water			
Ogura [20]	2012	Clearfil tri-S Bond (Kuraray Medical Inc., Tokyo, Japan): Ethanol, water 37 °C One-step self-etch		37 °C	Bovine dentin	Shear bond strength (SBS)
		G-Bond Plus (GC Corp., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Acetone, water	-		
Poin [12]	2010	Adper Single Bond 2 (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Two-step etch-and-rinse	Ethanol, water	(0 2%)	Human dentin	TPC
Keis [12]	2010	Prime & Bond 2.1 (Dentsply, Mildford, Germany): Two-step etch-and-rinse	Acetone	60 ± 2 °C	(Permanent)	μισσ
Riad [52]		Adper Single Bond 2 (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Two-step etch-and-rinse	Ethanol, water		Human dentin	
	2022	Single Bond Universal (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Universal adhesive applied in a self-etch mode	Ethanol, water	50 °C	(Permanent)	505
		Bond Force (Tokuyama Dental, Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Isopropanol, water			
Shiratsuchi [53]	2013	Clearfil tri-S Bond (Kuraray Medical Inc., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Ethanol, water 37 °C		Bovine enamel	SBS
		G-Bond Plus (GC Corp., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Acetone, water			
		Clearfil Bond SE ONE (Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Water, ethanol			
Taguchi [14]	2018	Unifil Core EM Self-etch Bond (GC Corp., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Water, ethanol	80 ± 1 °C	Human dentin (Permanent)	μTBS
		Estelink (Tokuyama Dental Corp., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Water, ethanol, and acetone	-		
		Beauti Dual bond EX (Shofu Inc., Kyoto, Japan): One-step self-etch	Water, ethanol, and acetone	-		
		Scotchbond Universal (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Universal adhesive used in a self-etch mode	Water, ethanol			
Yonekura [55]	2020	Clearfil Bond SE ONE (Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Water, ethanol	60 ± 1 °C	Human dentin	μTBS
		Unifil Core EM Self-etch Bond (GC Corp. Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch	Water, acetone		(Permanent)	

Water, ethanol, and acetone

Ethanol, water

Table 2. Cont.

Estelink (Tokuyama Dental Corp., Tokyo, Japan): One-step self-etch

Single Bond Universal (3M Oral Care, St. Paul, MN, USA): Universal adhesive system

2022

Zimmer [56]

The outcomes of the meta-analysis for ER adhesives are accessible in Figure 2. The overall analysis revealed that the use of warm air for solvent evaporation was statistically significantly higher (p = 0.005). This effect was observed only for the adhesives based in water/alcohol (p = 0.03), while for acetone-based adhesive systems, the use of warm air did not increase the bond strength (p = 0.15).

50 °C

Human dentin

(Permanent)

μTBS

Study	Year	Material Tested and Category of the Material	Solvent Contained within the Material	Temperature of Warm Air Stream	Substrate Tested	Bond Strength Test				
Baratto [39]	2015	Silano (Dentsply, Santiago, Chile) Silane (DMG, Hamburg, Germany): Silanes	Ethanol	50 ± 5 °C, 80 °C	Heat-pressed lithium disilicate glass-ceramic discs (IPS e.max Press; Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein)	SBS				
Carvalho [40]	2015	Clearfil Ceramic Primer (Kuraray Medical Inc., Tokyo, Japan): Silane	Ethanol	$50\pm5~^\circ C$	Ceramic (Vacumat, Vita Zahnfabrik)	μTBS				
Colares [43]	2013	RelyX Ceramic Primer (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA): Silane	Ethyl alcohol, water	Ethyl alcohol, water $45\pm5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ gl		μTBS				
Cotes [44]	2013	RelyX Ceramic Primer (3M ESPE; St. Paul, MN, USA): Silane	Ethyl alcohol, water	$50\pm5~^\circ C$	Ceramic block (VITA Zahnfabrik; Bad Säckingen, Germany)	μTBS				
Fabianelli [46]	2010	Monobond-S (Ivoclar-Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein): Pre-hydrolyzed silane coupling agent	Ethanol	100 °C	Leucite-reinforced ceramic blocks IPS Empress (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein)	μTBS				
Kim [48]	2013	Porcelain Liner M (Sun Medical, Moriyama City, Japan): Two-component silane coupling agent	Ethanol	38 °C	Glass-fiber post (FRC Postec Plus (Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein; and D.T. Light Post, BISCO Inc., Schaumburg, IL, USA)	SBS				
Melo-Silva [49]	2012	Silane (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA)	Ethanol	70 °C	Ceramic-type (Y- TZP and feldspar)	SBS				
	2006	2006	2006	2006	2006	Monobond-S (Ivoclar-Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein): Pre-hydrolyzed silane coupling agent	Monobond-S voclar-Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein): Ethanol Pre-hydrolyzed silane coupling agent			
Monticelli [50]						2006	2006	Porcelain Liner M (Sun Medical Co., Ltd., Japan): Two-component silane coupling agent	Ethanol	38 °C
		Porcelain Silane (BJM Lab, Or-Yenuda, Israel): Pre-hydrolyzed silane coupling agent	Ethanol							
		Silano (Angelus, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil)	Ethanol							
		Prosil (FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil)	Ethanol, water		Glass fiber posts (Exacto; Angelus, Londrina, PR, Brazil; size 2, 17.0 mm long × 1.50 mm diameter)					
Novais [51]	2012	RelyX Ceramic Primer (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA)	Ethyl alcohol, water	60 °C		Push-out testing				
		Silane coupling agent (Dentsply, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil): Silanes	Ethanol, acetic acid							
Damán Laonarda		RelyX Ceramic Primer (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA)	Ethyl alcohol, water		Lithium-disilicate-r- inforced glass ceramic					
[24]	2020	Monobond N (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein): Silanes	Ethanol	100 °C	(e.max ČAD Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein)	μSBS				
		Silano (Angelus, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil)	Ethanol							
		Prosil (FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil)	GM, Joinville, SC, Ethanol, water		Fiberglass posts (Exacto.	Duch (1.1				
ae Kosatto [45]	2014	RelyX Ceramic Primer (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA)	Ethyl alcohol, water	60 °C	Angelus)	rusn-out testing				
		Silane coupling agent (Dentsply, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil): Silanes	Ethanol, acetic acid							

Table 3. Demographic and study design data of the included studies regarding indirect substrates.

Study	Year	Material Tested and Category of the Material	Solvent Contained within the Material	Temperature of Warm Air Stream	Substrate Tested	Bond Strength Test
Shen [29]	2004	Monobond-S (Ivoclar-Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein): Pre-hydrolyzed silane coupling agent	Ethanol	$45\pm5^\circ\mathrm{C}$	Eris (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) IPS Empress (Ivoclar Vivadent)	μTBS
Silva [54]	2013	Monobond-S (Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Linchtenstein): Pre-hydrolyzed silane coupling agent	Ethanol	100 °C	Monocrystalline alumina premolar brackets (Pure [®] , OrthoTechnology, Tampa, FL, USA)	SBS
Yanakiev [23]	2017	Monobond Plus (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Lichtenstein): Silane coupling agent	Ethanol	38 °C, 50 °C, 100 °C, 120 °C	EX-3 veneering ceramic (Kuraray Noritake Dental, Japan)	Tensile bond strength (TBS)

	Control Warm air							Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI		
1.1.1 Water/alcohol											
Carvalho 2016	48.52	5.2	8	47.21	5.3	8	8.3%	0.24 [-0.75, 1.22]			
Klein-Júnior 2008	47.8	3.5	5	50.3	5.4	5	5.2%	-0.50 [-1.77, 0.77]			
Marsiglio 2012	33.5	11.9	32	41.1	16.5	21	22.1%	-0.54 [-1.10, 0.02]			
Marsiglio 2012	44.3	15.6	22	51.8	12.6	29	21.9%	-0.53 [-1.09, 0.04]			
Reis 2010	35.7	7.3	5	50.1	7.3	5	3.3%	-1.78 [-3.38, -0.19]			
Riad 2022	11.5	3.03	9	11.29	3.17	9	9.3%	0.06 [-0.86, 0.99]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			81			77	70.1%	-0.42 [-0.78, -0.05]	•		
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.03$; $Chi^2 = 5.89$, $df = 5$ (P = 0.32); $l^2 = 15\%$											
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.2	22 (P =	0.03)								
1.1.2 Acetone											
Klein-Júnior 2008	36.2	5.2	5	39.3	6.3	5	5.2%	-0.48 [-1.75, 0.78]			
Marsiglio 2012	38.8	14.9	23	42.4	17.3	24	21.3%	-0.22 [-0.79, 0.35]			
Reis 2010	34.1	6.2	5	45.8	6.3	5	3.5%	-1.69 [-3.25, -0.13]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			33			34	29.9%	-0.55 [-1.28, 0.19]	-		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.16; 0	$hi^2 =$	3.02, d	f = 2 (P	= 0.2	2); I ² =	34%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.4	45 (P =	0.15)								
Total (95% CI)			114			111	100.0%	-0.42 [-0.72, -0.13]	\bullet		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.02; 0	$2hi^2 =$	8.91, d	f = 8 (P	= 0.3	5); I ² =	10%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.8	31 (P =	0.005)					-4 -2 0 2 4 Warm air Control		
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.02$; $Chi^2 = 8.91$, $df = 8$ (P = 0.35); $I^2 = 10\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 2.81 (P = 0.005)									-4 -2 0 2 4 Warm air Control		

Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 0.09$, df = 1 (P = 0.76), $I^2 = 0\%$

Figure 2. Forest plot showing the effect of warm air stream on the bond strength for etch-andrinse adhesives.

For SE adhesives (Figure 3), the overall analysis privileged the use of warm air (p < 0.001). In the subgroup analysis, the use of a warm air stream allowed statistically significantly higher values for the water- and water/alcohol-based adhesive systems (p = 0.03, and p < 0.0001). This effect was not perceived for the acetone-based products (p = 0.24).

Finally, in Figure 4 the meta-analysis for the silane-based materials is shown. The overall analysis privileged the use of a warm air stream for solvent evaporation (p = 0.001). When analyzing the subgroups, it could be observed that this result was only valid when the silane was applied in glass ceramic materials (p < 0.001), while for glass fiber posts and oxide-based ceramics, the use of a warm air stream did not represent any advantage (p = 0.49, p = 0.97).

Table 4 shows the outcomes from the methodological quality evaluation. Most of the included articles recorded between a medium and low risk of bias. Some of the studies failed to report the specimen randomization, operator blinded, single operator, and sample size calculation factors.

Table 3. Cont.

	C	ontrol		w	arm air			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.3.1 Water									
García 2009	27.06	10.8	39	29.8	11	40	6.1%	-0.25 [-0.69, 0.19]	+
García 2009	36.92	12.6	48	49.6	11.1	46	6.1%	-1.06 [-1.49, -0.62]	
Moura 2014	34.2	4.3	5	39.4	3.8	5	1.6%	-1.16 [-2.56, 0.24]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			92			91	13.8%	-0.73 [-1.39, -0.07]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :	= 0.22; 0	Chi ² =	7.01, d	f = 2 (P)	= 0.03); $I^2 = 7$	1%		
Test for overall effect	t: Z = 2.1	17 (P =	0.03)						
2.3.2 Water/alcohol									
Chen 2021	31.26	9.86	5	27.17	7.17	5	1.9%	0.43 [-0.83, 1.69]	
Chen 2021	23.55	7.78	5	37.49	10.08	5	1.5%	-1.40 [-2.87, 0.07]	
Chen 2021	23.66	7.88	5	20.02	6.38	5	1.8%	0.46 [-0.81, 1.72]	
Chen 2021	30.59	9.61	5	31.01	6.44	5	1.9%	-0.05 [-1.29, 1.19]	
García 2009	46.76	14.9	57	61.23	15.6	46	6.3%	-0.94 [-1.35, -0.53]	
García 2009	32.56	17.6	54	44.89	17.2	38	6.2%	-0.70 [-1.13, -0.27]	
Moura 2014	35.3	4.6	5	40.4	5.1	5	1.7%	-0.95 [-2.30, 0.40]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Moura 2014	34.2	4.3	5	39.4	3.8	5	1.6%	-1.16 [-2.56, 0.24]	
Ogura 2012	17.1	1.25	30	18.1	1.96	30	5.4%	-0.60 [-1.12, -0.08]	
Ogura 2012	16.2	2.41	30	17.67	2.21	30	5.4%	-0.63 [-1.15, -0.11]	
Riad 2022	12.94	0.96	9	13.11	2.19	9	2.9%	-0.10 [-1.02, 0.83]	
Taguchi 2018	34.6	15.9	32	43.2	13.45	32	5.6%	-0.58 [-1.08, -0.08]	
Taguchi 2018	33.3	12.2	32	44.45	15.47	32	5.5%	-0.79 [-1.30, -0.28]	
Yonekura 2020	32.65	5.8	8	35.1	7.33	8	2.7%	-0.35 [-1.34, 0.64]	
Yonekura 2020	33.1	6.2	8	32.25	9.16	8	2.7%	0.10 [-0.88, 1.08]	
Yonekura 2020	25.5	7.4	8	26	5.24	8	2.7%	-0.07 [-1.05, 0.91]	
Zimmer 2022	55.8	1.7	6	53.4	1.5	6	1.7%	1.38 [0.06, 2.70]	·····
Zimmer 2022	56.7	0.7	6	60.4	1.9	6	1.2%	-2.39 [-4.01, -0.76]	<
Zimmer 2022	57.3	1.6	6	66.4	3.1	6	0.8%	-3.41 [-5.42, -1.39]	←
Subtotal (95% CI)			316			289	59.5%	-0.55 [-0.82, -0.27]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	= 0.15; 0 t: Z = 3.9	Chi² = €2 (P <	36.71, 0.000	df = 18 1)	(P = 0.	006); I ²	= 51%		
2.3.3 Acetone									
Chen 2021	18.72	5.68	5	25.3	8.11	5	1.7%	-0.85 [-2.18, 0.48]	
Ogura 2012	15.8	1.6	30	16.13	1.61	30	5.5%	-0.20 [-0.71, 0.30]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			35			35	7.2%	-0.29 [-0.76, 0.19]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	= 0.00; 0 t: Z = 1.1	Chi ² = 18 (P =	0.79, d 0.24)	f = 1 (P	= 0.37); I ² = C)%		
2.3.4 Water/alcohol,	/acetone	2							
Al-Salamony 2020	31.4	6	5	40	7.87	10	2.1%	-1.10 [-2.27, 0.07]	
Al-Salamony 2020	15.9	3.5	5	18.9	5.1	10	2.3%	-0.61 [-1.71, 0.50]	
Moura 2014	29.4	6.4	5	35.2	5.4	5	1.7%	-0.88 [-2.22, 0.45]	
Taguchi 2018	28	12.1	32	41.8	13.1	32	5.4%	-1.08 [-1.61, -0.55]	
Taguchi 2018	22.15	6.41	32	34.15	14.5	32	5.4%	-1.06 [-1.58, -0.53]	— <u> </u>
Yonekura 2020	32.65	7.4	8	34.35	6.74	8	2.7%	-0.23 [-1.21, 0.76]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.00	- L:2	87	£ = /=	0.75	97	19.5%	-0.94 [-1.25, -0.63]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² : Test for overall effect	= 0.00; 0 t: Z = 5.9	∠ni² = 94 (P <	2.92, d 0.000	τ = 5 (P 01)	= 0.71); I [_] = C	1%		
Total (95% CI)			530			512	100.0%	-0.63 [-0.82, -0.43]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :	= 0.11:0	Chi ² =	53.25	df = 29	(P = 0)	004): I ²	= 46%		
Test for overall effect	$T = 6^{-2}$	34 (P -	0.000	01)	(, = 0 ,	55 I), I	1070		-4 -2 0 2
Test for subgroup dif	fferences	: Chi ²	= 6.28	. df = 3	(P = 0)	10). I ² =	= 52.2%		Warm air Control
an ann Brank au				,		/ / / ·			

Figure 3. Forest plot showing the effect of warm air stream on the bond strength for self-etch adhesives.

Study	Specimen Random- ization	Single Operator	Operator Blinded	Control Group	Standardized Specimens	Failure Mode	Manufacturer's Instructions	Sample Size Calculation	Coefficient of Variation	Risk of Bias
Al-Salamony [38]	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Baratto [39]	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Carvalho [40]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low
Carvalho [41]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Chen [42]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Colares [43]	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Cotes [44]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Fabianelli [46]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Garcia [47]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Klein-Júnior [13]	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Low
Kim [48]	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Marsiglio [21]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Melo-Silva [49]	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medium
Monticelli [50]	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medium
Moura [16]	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium
Novais [51]	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Medium

 Table 4. Methodological quality assessment.

Study

Ogura [20]

Ramón-Leonardo

[24] Reis [12]

Riad [52]

de Rosatto [45]

Shen [29]

Shiratsuchi [53]

Silva [54]

Specimen Random-

ization

No

Yes

No

Yes

No

No

Yes

Risk of

Bias

Medium

Low

Medium

Low

Medium

Medium

Medium

Medium

Medium Medium

Medium

Medium

511Va [54]	res	res		INC)	res		res	INO	res	INO	res
Taguchi [14]	No	No		No)	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Yanakiev [23]	l No	No		No)	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Vonekura [55]	l No	No		No		Ves		Vec	Ves	Vec	No	Vee
7:	j No	NI-		INC.	,	- 1C3		V	Nee Vee	103 V	NG NI-	Yee
Zimmer [56]	INO	INO		INC)	res		res	res	res	INO	res
		<i>C</i> /	antrol		14/-	rm air			Std Moon Difforance		Std Moon Difforence	
			ontroi		VV a	urm air			sta. Mean Difference		Stu. Mean Difference	
-	Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	otal	weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI	
	3.3.1 Glass-based Cera	mic										
	Baratto 2015	17.44	7.2	4	22.95	7.8	4	1.8%	-0.64 [-2.09, 0.82]			
	Baratto 2015	14 63	88	4	25 13	6.8	4	1 7%	-1 16 [-2 76 0 44]			
	Baratto 2015	12.66	6.0		10.70	4.1		1.0%	0.20 [1.11 1.60]			
	Barallo 2015	12.00	0.0	4	10.78	4.1	4	1.9%	0.29 [-1.11, 1.69]			
	Baratto 2015	10.6	4.4	4	12.3	4.6	4	1.9%	-0.33 [-1.73, 1.08]			
	Carvalho 2015	9.16	0.6	6	12.58	1	6	1.2%	-3.83 [-6.01, -1.64]	•	-	
	Carvalho 2015	8.05	0.4	6	9.47	0.3	6	1.2%	-3.71 [-5.84, -1.57]	+ .		
	Colares 2013	32.14	8	5	35	7.8	5	2.1%	-0.33 [-1.58, 0.93]			
	Cotes 2013	16.01	1 12	5	9.66	1 47	5	0.8%	4 39 [1 62 7 15]			>
	Eabianelli 2010	22.01	4.2	6	27.0	4.7	6	2 10/	1 02 [2 26 0 21]	-		
	Fabianelli 2010	22.0	4.5	0	27.0	4.7	0	2.1/0	-1.02 [-2.20, 0.21]			
	Fabianelli 2010	18.5	5.8	6	28.5	5.7	6	1.9%	-1.61 [-2.98, -0.23]			
	Ramón-Leonardo 2020	16.61	3.8	14	23.26	6.7	14	2.7%	-1.19 [-2.00, -0.37]			
	Ramón-Leonardo 2020	14.43	5.3	14	19.53	8.4	14	2.7%	-0.71 [-1.47, 0.06]			
	Shen 2004	9.9	2.6	33	18	6.7	33	3.0%	-1.58 [-2.13, -1.02]			
	Shen 2004	171	37	33	22.7	5	33	3.0%	-1 26 [-1 79 -0 73]			
	Shan 2004	11.0	E /	22	20.4	71	22	2.0%	1 22 [1 97 0 90]			
	Shell 2004	11.9	5.4	22	20.4	/.1	22	5.0%	-1.33 [-1.87, -0.80]			
	Shen 2004	14	4	33	22.6	4.8	33	3.0%	-1.92 [-2.51, -1.33]			
	Shen 2004	11.4	5.4	33	17.8	6.2	33	3.0%	-1.09 [-1.61, -0.57]			
	Shen 2004	9.3	2.8	33	14.8	4.5	33	3.0%	-1.45 [-2.00, -0.90]			
	Shen 2004	15.8	4.3	33	18.8	3.9	33	3.1%	-0.72 [-1.22, -0.22]			
	Shen 2004	23.2	6.8	33	28.7	4 4	33	3.0%	-0.95 [-1.46 -0.44]			
	Vanakiov 2017	0 05	4.4	0	0 75	2.2	0	2 50/0	0.03[0.00 0.05]			
	Subtotal (05% CI)	0.05	4.4	251	0.75	2.2	251	49 70/				
	Subtotal (95% CI)			221	202 107	21 0 121	221	40.7%	-1.06 [-1.57, -0.75]		-	
	Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$.	28; Chi ²	= 56.2	9, df =	= 20 (P	< 0.00	01); l²	= 64%				
	Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.70 (P < 0.0	0001)								
	3.3.2 Fiber post											
	de Rosatto 2014	10	4 1	10	25 5	45	10	2 1%	-1 45 [-2 46 -0 44]	_		
	de Rosatto 2014	10.0	7.1	10	23.5	4.5	10	2.4/0	-1.45 [-2.40, -0.44]			
	de Rosatto 2014	16.9	2.6	10	14.7	2.8	10	2.5%	0.78 [-0.14, 1.70]			
	de Rosatto 2014	15.7	2.1	10	13.6	2.8	10	2.5%	0.81 [-0.11, 1.73]		· · · · ·	
	de Rosatto 2014	16.4	2.6	10	15	2.6	10	2.6%	0.52 [-0.38, 1.41]		+	
	de Rosatto 2014	16.5	2.7	10	15.5	2.8	10	2.6%	0.35 [-0.54, 1.23]			
	de Rosatto 2014	14.2	2.3	10	21.4	6.1	10	2.4%	-1.50[-2.51 -0.48]	_		
	de Rosatto 2014	17.0	2.5	10	22.0	4 1	10	2.1%	1 42 [2 42 0 42]			
	de Rosatto 2014	17.5	2.4	10	22.9	4.1	10	2.4/0	-1.43 [-2.43, -0.42]		1.2.0	
	de Rosatto 2014	18.8	5.2	10	20.6	5.5	10	2.6%	-0.32 [-1.21, 0.56]			
	Kim 2013	30.72	3.6	7	30.48	4.8	7	2.3%	0.05 [-0.99, 1.10]			
	Kim 2013	24.24	2.3	7	24.17	4.5	7	2.3%	0.02 [-1.03, 1.07]			
	Monticelli 2006	11	2.9	5	11.4	2.5	5	2.1%	-0.13 $[-1.38, 1.11]$			
	Monticelli 2006	8.8	3 1	5	117	27	5	2.0%	-0 90 [-2 24 0 44]	-		
	Monticelli 2006	6.0	2	5	11.6	2.8	5	1 7%	-1 74 [-3 33 -0 16]			
	Novais 2012	20.20	2 22	10	10.7	2.0	10	2.00				
	Novais 2012	20.29	2.32	10	19.7	2.00	10	2.0%	0.22 [-0.66, 1.10]			
	Novais 2012	20.7	2.33	10	19.37	1.04	10	2.5%	0.71 [-0.20, 1.62]			
	Novais 2012	18.52	2.84	10	19.91	2.27	10	2.6%	-0.52 [-1.41, 0.38]			
	Novais 2012	21.66	2.49	10	19.44	1.32	10	2.5%	1.07 [0.12, 2.02]			
	Subtotal (95% CI)			149			149	40.5%	-0.14 [-0.56, 0.27]		-	
	Hotorogeneity: $T_{2}u^{2} = 0$	10. Chi2	- 16 9	A df	16 (P	< 0.00	01). 12	- 66%			~	
	Test for succell offerts 7	- J, CIII	- +0.0	, ui -	- 10 (1	< 0.00	01), 1	- 00%				
	rest for overall effect. Z	= 0.08 (r = 0.4	9)								
	3.3.3 Oxide-based cera	mic										
	Melo-Silva 2012	9.25	1.2	10	10.68	3.4	10	2.6%	-0.54 [-1.43, 0.36]			
	Melo-Silva 2012	13.27	1.9	10	13.93	0.6	10	2.6%	-0.45 [-1.34. 0.44]			
	Silva 2013	19 16	82	20	8 4 5	67	20	2 8%	1 40 [0 70 2 10]			
	Silva 2013	24 22	5 1	20	27 50	6.4	20	2.0%	_0.55 [_1 10 0.00]			
	Subtotal (05% CI)	24.33	5.1	20	27.59	0.4	20	2.9%	-0.55 [-1.18, 0.08]			
	Subtotal (95% CI)	-		60			60	10.8%	-0.02 [-1.03, 0.99]			
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	90; Chi ²	= 20.6	9, df =	= 3 (P =	0.000	1); $ ^2 =$	86%				
	Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.04 (P = 0.9	7)								
	Total (95% CI)			560			560	100.0%	-0.57 [-0.860.27]		•	
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² 0	67. Chi2	- 101	51 df	- 41 /	2 - 0 0	0001)	12 - 700/		L		
	Tast for overall effect 7	_ 2 00 0	- 191	.51, UI	- +1 (1	< 0.0	0001),	- 19%		-4 -	-2 Ó Ż	4
	rest for overall effect: Z	= 5.80 (1	r = 0.0	001)							Warm air Control	
	Test for subgroup differe	ences: Ch	$11^2 = 13$	3.81, d	t = 2 (P	r = 0.00	01), l ²	= 85.5%				

Table 4. Cont.

Operator

Blinded

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

Control

Group

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Standardized Failure

Mode

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Specimens

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Manufacturer's

Instructions

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Sample Size

Calculation

No

No

No

Yes

No

No

No

Coefficient

of Variation

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Single

Operator

No

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

No

Forest plot showing the effect of warm air stream on the bond strength for Figure 4. silane-based materials.

4. Discussion

This article aimed to evaluate the effect of different warm-air temperatures used for solvent evaporation on the bond strength of resin-based materials to dental and nondental substrates. For ER or SE adhesives, and for silane as well, the use of a warm air stream for solvent evaporation enhanced the bond strength of direct and indirect restorations. This improvement is directly related to the adhesive system's base solvent and the tested substrate to which the resin-based materials adhere. The bond strength was enhanced when using a warm air stream for water/alcohol-based systems. On the other hand, the same result was not witnessed for the acetone-based adhesive. For glass-based ceramics, the bond strength was enhanced when a warm air stream was used. In contrast, this enhancement was not observed for fiber post and oxide-based ceramics. Based on the obtained results, the bond strength of the tested resin-based materials improved when using a warm air stream for solvent evaporation. Thus, the null hypothesis tested in this systematic review and meta-analysis was rejected.

Solvent evaporation is a very crucial step in any adhesive system application [13]. That said, solvents and water should be properly eliminated from the dental surface prior to light polymerization. To achieve this evaporation, researchers suggest a process known as air drying for dental adhesion. However, such a task is complicated since the density of the monomer rapidly rises as solvents and water are evaporating [57]. This limits the evaporation capacity and results in reduced water and solvent elimination [58]. However, the exact amount of unevaporated solvents is unspecified; yet, what is known is that this excess can lead to the deterioration of the hybrid layer, as well as a negative impact on the long-term bond performance [59,60]. Other complications include nanoleakage due to the pathways created, in addition to a reduced polymerization of resin monomers [61,62].

The ability of solvents to evaporate is reliant on vapor pressure (mmHg), which is defined as the value of the pressure needed for a liquid to transform into its gaseous state [63,64]. The vapor pressure of dissimilar solvents differs based on the value of the vapor pressure for each solvent, for example, the vapor pressure of acetone was higher (184 mm Hg at 20 °C) than that of water (17.5 mm Hg) and ethanol (43.9 mm Hg) [1,61,65]. It is worth noting that solvents can be bound to the collagen matrix, which reduces evaporation. This explains why ethanol-based bonding systems can retain a bigger number of organic/water mixtures than acetone-based bonding systems following evaporation [66]. Yet, assuming the temperature of air drying was the same for all the solvents, the boiling temperature can still affect the volatility of solvents; in this case, at a lower boiling temperature, ethanol-based adhesive systems will be easier to store than acetone-based adhesive systems [42,67].

Adhesive systems containing a mixture of water and alcohol showed an improvement in the bond strength after a stream of warm air was applied. Hydroxyl group interactions occurring between water and ethanol lead to higher boiling temperatures, which hinder the evaporation of the solvents [61]. A previous study deduced that the percentage of the unevaporated mixture is nearly 13% after a full minute of evaporation [61]. The excess solvents alter the bond strength and the degree of conversion of the adhesive, acting like a plasticizer [68]. Additionally, air drying at a higher temperature increases the kinetic energy of the molecules found inside the adhesive system, which promotes a stronger molecular vibration and alters the bonding process between the polar groups of the resin monomer and the solvent [69]. This in turn enhances solvent evaporation, facilitates polymerization, and strengthens the bond between the adhesive system and the dental substrate [70].

Aside from the air-drying temperature, prolonging the air-drying time also plays an important part in improving the evaporation of the solvents [60,71]. An insufficient air-drying time weakens the bond strength due to the presence of residual solvents such as water and ethanol, which inhibit monomer penetration and polymerization [72,73]. However, the concentration of the solvent does not have a direct impact on the degree of cure of the adhesive [74]. This shows that there should be a threshold level of residual solvents to encourage an improved conversion. Ethanol-based adhesives are a good example of the previous statement. Regarding the amount of solvent, an ideal percentage would be less than 10%. This percentage is not estimated as a remaining solvent after evaporation, but rather incorporated purposefully inside the adhesive bottle depending on the desired amount [47]. The assumption should be that the ideal amount required for the cure is less than that of the remaining solvents after evaporation [75]. All in all, warm air drying decreases the viscosity of the monomers and increases their temperature, which facilitates their diffusion into the dental substrate and leads to a stronger resin bond, justified by the findings of this meta-analysis.

Regarding acetone-based adhesives, warm air stream application did not enhance the bond strength. Acetone is known for its poor hydrogen bonding ability to water and to monomers inside the adhesive bottles [61,76,77]. This is due to its high volatility and vapor pressure [78]. Thus, acetone can be easily eliminated from the adhesives, especially after repeated usage and after storage, which alters its shelf-life [79,80]. Normally, adhesives containing acetone possess a lower monomer/solvent ratio, which dictates multiple layer applications for a better bond integrity [58]. Although, it should be noted that acetone evaporates 5 min after the application, but this is clinically unacceptable. Still, other components can also influence the evaporation time despite the solvent being a crucial factor [21]. Moreover, experimental acetone-based adhesives evaporated at the same rate after a room-temperature or 40 °C air stream was applied [69]. This might prove why the application of warm air did not enhance the bond strength of the acetone-based adhesives in a significant manner.

For the glass-based ceramics, the bond strength was improved when a warm air stream was used. On the contrary, this enhancement was not observed for the fiber post and oxide-based ceramics. The presence of a consistent bond is one of the main aspects of a successful all-ceramic restoration [81]. This requires the integration of all the different parts into one comprehensible and clear system [82]. Etching with hydrofluoric acid (HF) is the preferred process to condition the ceramic restoration surface [83], after which a silane coupling agent is applied to ensure a strong bond [81,84–86]. HF dissolves the glassy part of the ceramic network by generating surface pits [87]. The application of silane on the etched ceramic surface enhances the wettability and produces a covalent bond between the luting cement and the ceramic [88]. Both mechanisms can lead to micromechanical attachment as well as chemical bonding [44,89]. Silane can provide a mean for a chemical bond to occur at the silica-based ceramic surface by binding inorganic components to organic ones to achieve "coupling" [90]. Silanol is produced after the inorganic components of silane hydrolyze. This produces a metal hydroxide, also known as a siloxane bond, with the inorganic group. A covalent bond is produced when the organic component of silane causes a reaction with the organic material. Consequently, the organic and the inorganic materials will be bound to each other after heat treatment [91].

Heat treatment can evaporate alcohol and water as well as other products, while aiding with the silane–silica condensation reaction and the covalent bond formation [88,89,92]. This enhancement in the procedure of silane bonding can lead to a reliable and durable bond to the indirect substrate, making micromechanical retention unnecessary [89]. HF can be avoided due to reasons that include its high toxicity [93], its ability to create insoluble silicafluoride salts which might be retained on the surface and weaken the bond strength [50,94], in addition to the fact that some ceramic structures do not require HF [95]. Despite that, the silane bond should be adequate to justify the absence of HF. This can be performed using heat treatment. After silane application, the restoration can be heat-treated for 2 min at 100 $^{\circ}$ C in an oven, allowing the removal of solvents along with other by-products on the ceramic surface, thus fulfilling the reaction of condensation between silane and silica. After that, the adhesion will be stronger and more effective due to the formation of many covalent bonds on the surface of the ceramic [46,96]. The same result can be obtained by the application of hot air at 50 ± 5 °C for 15 s [97]. A previous study attempted to determine which silane heat treatment process yields a better bond strength value. The researchers of that study found that even without etching, the heat treatment of silane containing a

functional monomer called 10-Methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (10-MDP) enhanced the bond strength between the ceramic and the luting agent, whether it was heated in an oven (100 °C for 2 min) or with hot air (50 \pm 5 °C) [40]. Undergoing heat treatment at a temperature of 100 $^{\circ}$ C showed that when applying three layers of the silane on the ceramic surface, these multiple layers become consolidated into a monolayer, which increases the bond strength of the composite to the ceramic [88,92]. This heat treatment is also capable of evaporating the solvent and the volatile products formed after the condensation reaction of the silanol groups [88]. Another study carried out by Moniticelli et al. proved that warm air drying at a temperature of 38 °C increased the efficiency of the silane coupling agents when bonding ceramic to composite resin [50]. Additionally, a study concluded that, after treatment through surface roughening, the shear bond strength was significantly improved with heat treatment at a temperature of 100 °C for 60 s [88]. Likewise, Shen et al. discovered that silane drying with a stream of warm air (45 $^{\circ}$ C) improved the bond strength of glass ceramic [29]. Thus, the bond between the ceramic and the resin is significantly strengthened when a drying step is added to the application of silane at 100 $^{\circ}$ C [46]. Heat treatment at high temperatures (70–80 $^{\circ}$ C) for silane coupling agents might not be suitable for dental chair-side operations. Yet, a warm air stream (38 °C) can be tolerated to a better extent by the patient [29]. Warm air streams can aid in solvent evaporation while promoting the condensation reaction of silanol groups. As demonstrated in this meta-analysis, warm air drying may stimulate the formation of a chemical bond, not only in ceramic, but in the silane group as well [29]. Thus, the possession of a mini blow dryer may be viable.

When the tooth is endodontically treated and an essential part of the coronal tooth substance is lost, in addition to being prone to masticatory forces, a post is required inside the root canal to retain the end restoration [98]. Fiberglass has been used in recent years as a type of post since it can improve the performance of the restoration with a better stress distribution on the residual tooth when compared to ceramic or metallic posts [99]. However, a previous study concluded that the most common reason for the clinical failure of this restoration is the detachment of the fiberglass post from the root dentin [100].

A vital step in any clinical situation is to undergo silanization on the post surface after treating it chemically or mechanically [101]. The key factors influencing the efficiency include: the silane type, the pH, the solvent's content, the molecule of the silane, the molecule dimensions, and the mode of application such as drying settings, duration between the application of the silane and the adhesive resin, and the environmental humidity and temperature [102]. The rule is that the molecules known as organosilanes are bifunctional; one end is able to react with the inorganic part of the fiberglass and the other end can copolymerize with the resin which is organic [102].

The bond strength to fiberglass posts might be influenced by the composition of silane and the temperature used for air drying [88]. The temperature will act as a catalyst for the silane reaction, which accelerates the chemical interaction that occurs between the silane and the inorganic surface. Moreover, solvent evaporation plays a significant role in silane performance by encouraging silane wetting, but, an inadequate solvent evaporation may negatively affect the interaction with fiberglass [103]. Warm air thus aids with the aforementioned process [29]. Multiple opinions exist regarding the use of warm air. One study showed that the application of warm air on silane at a temperature of 38 °C improved the bond strength of the composite resin to the fiber post [50]. However, previously, it was argued that air drying at room temperature (23 °C) is more effective than at a high temperature (60 °C) when bonding resin cement to fiber-reinforced composite posts [48]. The main speculation is that despite the improvement that heating can have on solvent evaporation, the extent to which the evaporation is enhanced is possibly linked to the volatility of the specified solvent, such that the bond strength may be reduced after heating [51]. When the temperature is raised on the fiber post, the reaction may be finalized and the remaining solvent may be evaporated adequately, thus enhancing the bond strength

of resin-based materials to indirect restoration, and which does not support the findings of this review [45].

For oxide-based ceramics, the use of warm air did not improve the bond strength of indirect restorations. Few articles have researched this topic [49,54]. The researchers involved in this field experimented on the effect of silane air-drying temperature (room temperature and 70 °C) on the adhesion of oxide-based ceramics (feldspar and yttrium-stabilized zirconia) and found that the increased air-drying temperature enhanced the bond resistance of zirconia ceramics, but not feldspar ceramics [49]. This is justified by the different structures of both materials tested. Different to ceramic, zirconia is comprised of small particles with the absence of a glassy stage which does not retain the resin bonding agents in a satisfactory way [104]. Alternative methods to enhance the adhesion of zirconia include aluminum oxide gushing and silica tribochemical coating [105]. The latest technique is warm air which did not match with the findings of this meta-analysis as no improvement in the bond strength was observed [49].

Finally, it should be highlighted that the use of a warm air stream for solvent evaporation can enhance the bond strength of adhesive systems and silane-based materials; thus, this review might identify that in a clinical situation, this variable should be explored, leading to long-term direct and indirect adhesive restorations. Scientists must be encouraged to design randomized clinical trials in order to explore if the use of warm air for restorative procedures is reliable.

5. Conclusions

Within the limitation of this systematic review of in vitro studies, it could be concluded that the use of a warm air stream for solvent evaporation enhanced the bonding performance of alcohol-/water-based adhesive systems when applied to dentin. According to the studies examined, the gold-standard temperature of a warm air stream should be around 50 and 60 °C when applied to dentin. In addition, this improvement seems to be similar when a silane coupling agent is submitted to heat treatment before the cementation of a glass-based ceramic. For indirect substrates, a temperature above 60 °C is recommended for the warm air stream.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.H. and R.B.; methodology, L.H., R.B., Y.H. and C.E.C.-S.; software, L.H., R.B., Y.H. and C.E.C.-S.; validation, F.S., N.K. and D.M.; formal analysis, L.H., R.B., Y.H. and C.E.C.-S.; investigation, L.H., R.B., Y.H., N.K. and C.E.C.-S.; resources, L.H., R.B., Y.H., N.K., F.S. and C.E.C.-S.; data curation, L.H., R.B., Y.H., D.M., F.S. and C.E.C.-S.; writing—original draft preparation, L.H., R.B. and C.E.C.-S.; writing—review and editing, L.H., R.B., N.K., Y.H. and C.E.C.-S.; visualization, D.M.; supervision, L.H. and Y.H.; project administration, L.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on reasonable request from the authors (R.B. and L.H.).

Acknowledgments: Authors Louis Hardan and Rim Bourgi would like to recognize the Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Lebanon. Additionally, the authors would also recognize the University of Hidalgo State, Mexico and the University of Strasbourg for supporting this research.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Hardan, L.; Bourgi, R.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Zarow, M.; Kharouf, N.; Mancino, D.; Villares, C.F.; Skaba, D.; Lukomska-Szymanska, M. The Bond Strength and Anti-bacterial Activity of the Universal Dentin Bonding System: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9, 1230. [CrossRef]
- Sofan, E.; Sofan, A.; Palaia, G.; Tenore, G.; Romeo, U.; Migliau, G. Classification Review of Dental Adhesive Systems: From the IV Generation to the Universal Type. Ann. Stomatol. 2017, 8, 1–17.
- Kameyama, A.; Haruyama, A.; Abo, H.; Kojima, M.; Nakazawa, Y.; Muramatsu, T. Influence of Solvent Evaporation on Ultimate Tensile Strength of Contemporary Dental Adhesives. *Appl. Adhes. Sci.* 2019, 7, 4. [CrossRef]

- Ferreira, J.C.; Pires, P.T.; Azevedo, A.F.; Oliveira, S.A.; Melo, P.R.; Silva, M.J. Influence of Solvents and Composition of Etchand-Rinse and Self-Etch Adhesive Systems on the Nanoleakage within the Hybrid Layer. *J. Contemp. Dent. Pract.* 2013, 14, 691. [CrossRef]
- Hardan, L.; Bourgi, R.; Kharouf, N.; Mancino, D.; Zarow, M.; Jakubowicz, N.; Haikel, Y.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E. Bond Strength of Universal Adhesives to Dentin: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Polymers* 2021, *13*, 814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. El-Din, A. Effect of Changing Application Times on Adhesive Systems Bond Strengths. Am. J. Dent. 2002, 15, 321–324. [PubMed]
- Hardan, L.; Bourgi, R.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Devoto, W.; Zarow, M.; Monteiro, P.; Jakubowicz, N.; Zoghbi, A.E.; Skaba, D.; Mancino, D.; et al. Effect of Different Application Modalities on the Bonding Performance of Adhesive Systems to Dentin: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cells* 2023, *12*, 190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Reis, A.; Pellizzaro, A.; Dal-Bianco, K.; Gomes, O.; Patzlaff, R.; Loguercio, A.D. Impact of Adhesive Application to Wet and Dry Dentin on Long-Term Resin-Dentin Bond Strengths. *Oper. Dent.* 2007, *32*, 380–387. [CrossRef]
- 9. Hardan, L.; Orsini, G.; Bourgi, R.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Nicastro, M.; Lazarescu, F.; Filtchev, D.; Cornejo-Ríos, E.; Zamarripa-Calderón, J.E.; Sokolowski, K. Effect of Active Bonding Application after Selective Dentin Etching on the Immediate and Long-Term Bond Strength of Two Universal Adhesives to Dentin. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 1129. [CrossRef]
- 10. Cadenaro, M.; Antoniolli, F.; Sauro, S.; Tay, F.R.; Di Lenarda, R.; Prati, C.; Biasotto, M.; Contardo, L.; Breschi, L. Degree of Conversion and Permeability of Dental Adhesives. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* 2005, *113*, 525–530. [CrossRef]
- 11. Carvalho, C.N.; Lanza, M.D.S.; Dourado, L.G.; Carvalho, E.M.; Bauer, J. Impact of Solvent Evaporation and Curing Protocol on Degree of Conversion of Etch-and-Rinse and Multimode Adhesives Systems. *Int. J. Dent.* **2019**, 2019, 5496784. [CrossRef]
- 12. Reis, A.; Klein-Junior, C.A.; de Souza, F.C.; Stanislawczuk, R.; Loguercio, A.D. The Use of Warm Air Stream for Solvent Evaporation: Effects on the Durability of Resin-Dentin Bonds. *Oper. Dent.* **2010**, *35*, 29–36. [CrossRef]
- 13. Klein-Junior, C.A.; Zander-Grande, C.; Amaral, R.; Stanislawczuk, R.; Garcia, E.J.; Baumhardt-Neto, R.; Meier, M.M.; Loguercio, A.D.; Reis, A. Evaporating Solvents with a Warm Air-Stream: Effects on Adhesive Layer Properties and Resin–Dentin Bond Strengths. *J. Dent.* **2008**, *36*, 618–625. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Taguchi, K.; Hosaka, K.; Ikeda, M.; Kishikawa, R.; Foxton, R.; Nakajima, M.; Tagami, J. The Effect of Warm Air-Blowing on the Microtensile Bond Strength of One-Step Self-Etch Adhesives to Root Canal Dentin. *J. Prosthodont. Res.* **2018**, *62*, 330–336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Trujillo, M.; Newman, S.M.; Stansbury, J.W. Use of Near-IR to Monitor the Influence of External Heating on Dental Composite Photopolymerization. *Dent. Mater.* **2004**, *20*, 766–777. [CrossRef]
- 16. Moura, S.K.; Murad, C.G.; Reis, A.; Klein-Júnior, C.A.; Grande, R.H.M.; Loguercio, A.D. The Influence of Air Temperature for Solvent Evaporation on Bonding of Self-Etch Adhesives to Dentin. *Eur. J. Dent.* **2014**, *8*, 205–210. [CrossRef]
- 17. Reis, A.; Klein-Júnior, C.A.; Accorinte, M.d.L.R.; Grande, R.H.M.; dos Santos, C.B.; Loguercio, A.D. Effects of Adhesive Temperature on the Early and 6-Month Dentin Bonding. *J. Dent.* **2009**, *37*, 791–798. [CrossRef]
- Klein-Junior, C.A.; Sobieray, K.; Zimmer, R.; Portella, F.F.; Reston, E.G.; Marinowic, D.; Hosaka, K. Effect of Heat Treatment on Cytotoxicity and Polymerization of Universal Adhesives. *Dent. Mater. J.* 2020, *39*, 970–975. [CrossRef]
- 19. Malekipour, M.R.; Shirani, F.; Ebrahimi, M. The Effect of Washing Water Temperature on Resin-Dentin Micro-Shear Bond Strength. *Dent. Res. J.* 2016, *13*, 174.
- Ogura, Y.; Shimizu, Y.; Shiratsuchi, K.; Tsujimoto, A.; Takamizawa, T.; Ando, S.; Miyazaki, M. Effect of Warm Air-Drying on Dentin Bond Strength of Single-Step Self-Etch Adhesives. *Dent. Mater. J.* 2012, *31*, 507–513. [CrossRef]
- Marsiglio, A.A.; Almeida, J.C.F.; Hilgert, L.A.; D'Alpino, P.H.P.; Garcia, F.C.P. Bonding to Dentin as a Function of Air-Stream Temperatures for Solvent Evaporation. *Braz. Oral Res.* 2012, 26, 280–287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bourgi, R.; Hardan, L.; Rivera-Gonzaga, A.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E. Effect of Warm-Air Stream for Solvent Evaporation on Bond Strength of Adhesive Systems: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of in Vitro Studies. *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* 2021, 105, 102794. [CrossRef]
- Yanakiev, S.; Yordanov, B.; Dikov, V. Influence of Silane Heat Treatment on the Tensile Bond Strength between EX-3 Synthetic Veneering Porcelain and Composite Resin Using Five Different Activation Temperatures. *J. IMAB—Annu. Proceeding Sci. Pap.* 2017, 23, 1456–1459. [CrossRef]
- 24. Ramón-Leonardo, P.-G.; Juan-Norberto, C.-R.; Wahjuningrum, D.A.; Tanzil, M.I.; Alberto-Carlos, C.-G. Effect of a Thermal Treatment of Two Silanes on the Bond Strength between a Lithium Disilicate and a Resin Cement. *J. Int. Dent. Med. Res.* **2020**, *13*, 868–872.
- 25. Monticelli, F.; Ferrari, M.; Toledano, M. Cement System and Surface Treatment Selection for Fiber Post Luting. *Med. Oral Patol. Oral Cir. Bucal* **2008**, *13*, 214.
- Goracci, C.; Raffaelli, O.; Monticelli, F.; Balleri, B.; Bertelli, E.; Ferrari, M. The Adhesion between Prefabricated FRC Posts and Composite Resin Cores: Microtensile Bond Strength with and without Post-Silanization. *Dent. Mater.* 2005, 21, 437–444. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zakir, M.; Ashraf, U.; Tian, T.; Han, A.; Qiao, W.; Jin, X.; Zhang, M.; Tsoi, J.K.-H.; Matinlinna, J.P. The Role of Silane Coupling Agents and Universal Primers in Durable Adhesion to Dental Restorative Materials-a Review. *Curr. Oral Health Rep.* **2016**, *3*, 244–253. [CrossRef]
- 28. de Carvalho, R.F.; Martins, M.E.M.N.; de Queiroz, J.R.C.; Leite, F.P.P.; Oezcan, M. Influence of Silane Heat Treatment on Bond Strength of Resin Cement to a Feldspathic Ceramic. *Dent. Mater. J.* **2011**, *30*, 392–397. [CrossRef]

- 29. Shen, C.; Oh, W.; Williams, J.R. Effect of Post-Silanization Drying on the Bond Strength of Composite to Ceramic. *J. Prosthet. Dent.* **2004**, *91*, 453–458. [CrossRef]
- Kaykhine, P.; Tichy, A.; Abdou, A.; Hosaka, K.; Foxton, R.M.; Sumi, Y.; Nakajima, M.; Tagami, J. Long-Term Evaluation of Warm-Air Treatment Effect on Adaptation of Silane-Containing Universal Adhesives to Lithium Disilicate Ceramic. *Dent. Mater.* J. 2021, 40, 379–384. [CrossRef]
- Moreno, M.B.P.; Murillo-Gómez, F.; de Goes, M.F. Physicochemical and Morphological Characterization of a Glass Ceramic Treated with Different Ceramic Primers and Post-Silanization Protocols. *Dent. Mater.* 2019, 35, 1073–1081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Kay Khine, P.P.; Tichy, A.; Abdou, A.; Hosaka, K.; Sumi, Y.; Tagami, J.; Nakajima, M. Influence of Silane Pretreatment and Warm Air-Drying on Long-Term Composite Adaptation to Lithium Disilicate Ceramic. *Crystals* **2021**, *11*, 86. [CrossRef]
- De Figueiredo, V.M.G.; Corazza, P.H.; Lepesqueur, L.S.S.; Miranda, G.M.; Pagani, C.; De Melo, R.M.; Valandro, L.F. Heat Treatment of Silanized Feldspathic Ceramic: Effect on the Bond Strength to Resin after Thermocycling. *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* 2015, 63, 96–101. [CrossRef]
- Dal Piva, A.M.; Carvalho, R.L.; Lima, A.L.; Bottino, M.A.; Melo, R.M.; Valandro, L.F. Silica Coating Followed by Heat-treatment of MDP-primer for Resin Bond Stability to Yttria-stabilized Zirconia Polycrystals. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater. 2019, 107, 104–111. [CrossRef]
- 35. Sutil, B.G.d.S.; Susin, A.H. Dentin Pretreatment and Adhesive Temperature as Affecting Factors on Bond Strength of a Universal Adhesive System. *J. Appl. Oral Sci.* 2017, 25, 533–540. [CrossRef]
- 36. Corazza, P.H.; Cavalcanti, S.C.; Queiroz, J.R.; Bottino, M.A.; Valandro, L.F. Effect of Post-Silanization Heat Treatments of Silanized Feldspathic Ceramic on Adhesion to Resin Cement. *J. Adhes. Dent.* **2013**, *15*, 473–479.
- 37. Papacchini, F. A Study into the Materials and Techniques for Improving the Composite-Repair Bond; University of Siena: Siena, Italy, 2006.
- Al-Salamony, H.; Naguibb, E.A.; Hamzac, H.S.; Younisd, S.H. The Effect of Warm Air Solvent Evaporation on Microtensile Bond Strength of Two Different Self-Etch Adhesives to Dentin (In Vitro Study). Sylwan 2020, 5, 479–497.
- 39. Baratto, S.S.P.; Spina, D.R.F.; Gonzaga, C.C.; da Cunha, L.F.; Furuse, A.Y.; Baratto Filho, F.; Correr, G.M. Silanated Surface Treatment: Effects on the Bond Strength to Lithium Disilicate Glass-Ceramic. *Braz. Dent. J.* **2015**, *26*, 474–477. [CrossRef]
- 40. Carvalho, R.F.; Cotes, C.; Kimpara, E.T.; Leite, F.P.P. Heat Treatment of Pre-Hydrolyzed Silane Increases Adhesion of Phosphate Monomer-Based Resin Cement to Glass Ceramic. *Braz. Dent. J.* **2015**, *26*, 44–49. [CrossRef]
- Carvalho, M.P.M.; Rocha, R.d.O.; Krejci, I.; Bortolotto, T.; Bisogno, F.E.; Susin, A.H. Influence of a Heating Device and Adhesive Temperature on Bond Strength of a Simplified Ethanol-Based Adhesive System. *Rev. Odontol. UNESP* 2016, 45, 97–102. [CrossRef]
- 42. Chen, Y.; Yan, X.; Li, K.; Zheng, S.; Sano, H.; Zhan, D.; Fu, J. Effect of Air-Blowing Temperature and Water Storage Time on the Bond Strength of Five Universal Adhesive Systems to Dentin. *Dent. Mater. J.* **2021**, *40*, 116–122. [CrossRef]
- Colares, R.C.R.; Neri, J.R.; de Souza, A.M.B.; Pontes, K.M.d.F.; Mendonca, J.S.; Santiago, S.L. Effect of Surface Pretreatments on the Microtensile Bond Strength of Lithium-Disilicate Ceramic Repaired with Composite Resin. *Braz. Dent. J.* 2013, 24, 349–352. [CrossRef]
- 44. Cotes, C.; de Carvalho, R.F.; Kimpara, E.T.; Leite, F.P.; Ozcan, M. Can Heat Treatment Procedures of Pre-Hydrolyzed Silane Replace Hydrofluoric Acid in the Adhesion of Resin Cement to Feldspathic Ceramic. *J. Adhes. Dent.* **2013**, *15*, 569–574. [PubMed]
- 45. de Rosatto, C.M.P.; Roscoe, M.G.; Novais, V.R.; Menezes, M.d.S.; Soares, C.J. Effect of Silane Type and Air-Drying Temperature on Bonding Fiber Post to Composite Core and Resin Cement. *Braz. Dent. J.* **2014**, *25*, 217–224. [CrossRef]
- Fabianelli, A.; Pollington, S.; Papacchini, F.; Goracci, C.; Cantoro, A.; Ferrari, M.; van Noort, R. The Effect of Different Surface Treatments on Bond Strength between Leucite Reinforced Feldspathic Ceramic and Composite Resin. *J. Dent.* 2010, *38*, 39–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Garcia, F.C.; Almeida, J.C.; Osorio, R.; Carvalho, R.M.; Toledano, M. Influence of Drying Time and Temperature on Bond Strength of Contemporary Adhesives to Dentine. *J. Dent.* 2009, *37*, 315–320. [CrossRef]
- 48. Kim, H.-D.; Lee, J.-H.; Ahn, K.-M.; Kim, H.-S.; Cha, H.-S. Effect of Silane Activation on Shear Bond Strength of Fiber-Reinforced Composite Post to Resin Cement. J. Adv. Prosthodont. 2013, 5, 104–109. [CrossRef]
- 49. Melo-Silva, C.L.; de Carvalho, C.F.; Santos, C.; Lins, J.F.C. Evaluation of the Influence of the Silane Drying Temperature on the Feldspar and Zirconia-Based Ceramics Surfaces. *Trans Tech Publ.* **2012**, 2012, 826–830. [CrossRef]
- Monticelli, F.; Toledano, M.; Osorio, R.; Ferrari, M. Effect of Temperature on the Silane Coupling Agents When Bonding Core Resin to Quartz Fiber Posts. *Dent. Mater.* 2006, 22, 1024–1028. [CrossRef]
- 51. Novais, V.R.; Simamotos Júnior, P.C.; Rontani, R.M.P.; Correr-Sobrinho, L.; Soares, C.J. Bond Strength between Fiber Posts and Composite Resin Core: Influence of Temperature on Silane Coupling Agents. *Braz. Dent. J.* **2012**, *23*, 08–14. [CrossRef]
- 52. Riad, M.; Othman, H.I.; Mohamed, H.R. Influence of Diode Laser and Warm Air Drying on the Shear Bond Strength of Lithium Di-Silicate to Dentin. An in-Vitro Study. *Braz. Dent. Sci.* 2022, 25, e2782. [CrossRef]
- Shiratsuchi, K.; Tsujimoto, A.; Takamizawa, T.; Furuichi, T.; Tsubota, K.; Kurokawa, H.; Miyazaki, M. Influence of Warm Airdrying on Enamel Bond Strength and Surface Free-energy of Self-etch Adhesives. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* 2013, 121, 370–376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Silva, E.A.; Trindade, F.Z.; Reskalla, H.N.J.F.; de Queiroz, J.R.C. Heat Treatment Following Surface Silanization in Rebonded Tribochemical Silica-Coated Ceramic Brackets: Shear Bond Strength Analysis. J. Appl. Oral Sci. 2013, 21, 335–340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Yonekura, K.; Hosaka, K.; Tichy, A.; Taguchi, K.; Ikeda, M.; Thanatvarakorn, O.; Prasansuttiporn, T.; Nakajima, M.; Tagami, J. Air-Blowing Strategies for Improving the Microtensile Bond Strength of One-Step Self-Etch Adhesives to Root Canal Dentin. Dent. Mater. J. 2020, 39, 892–899. [CrossRef]
- Zimmer, R.; Leite, M.; de Souza Costa, C.; Hebling, J.; Anovazzi, G.; Klein, C.; Hosaka, K.; Reston, E. Effect of Time and Temperature of Air Jet on the Mechanical and Biological Behavior of a Universal Adhesive System. *Oper. Dent.* 2022, 47, 87–96. [CrossRef]
- 57. Fu, J.; Pan, F.; Kakuda, S.; Sidhu, S.K.; Ikeda, T.; Nakaoki, Y.; Selimovic, D.; Sano, H. The Effect of Air-Blowing Duration on All-in-One Systems. *Dent. Mater. J.* **2012**, *31*, 1075–1081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reis, A.F.; Oliveira, M.T.; Giannini, M.; De Goes, M.F.; Rueggeberg, F.A. The Effect of Organic Solvents on One-Bottle Adhesives' Bond Strength to Enamel and Dentin. *Oper. Dent.* 2003, 28, 700–706.
- Hardan, L.; Lukomska-Szymanska, M.; Zarow, M.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Bourgi, R.; Jakubowicz, N.; Sokolowski, K.; D'Arcangelo, C. One-Year Clinical Aging of Low Stress Bulk-Fill Flowable Composite in Class II Restorations: A Case Report and Literature Review. *Coatings* 2021, *11*, 504. [CrossRef]
- 60. Fu, J.; Saikaew, P.; Kawano, S.; Carvalho, R.M.; Hannig, M.; Sano, H.; Selimovic, D. Effect of Air-Blowing Duration on the Bond Strength of Current One-Step Adhesives to Dentin. *Dent. Mater.* **2017**, *33*, 895–903. [CrossRef]
- 61. Yiu, C.K.; Pashley, E.L.; Hiraishi, N.; King, N.M.; Goracci, C.; Ferrari, M.; Carvalho, R.M.; Pashley, D.H.; Tay, F.R. Solvent and Water Retention in Dental Adhesive Blends after Evaporation. *Biomaterials* **2005**, *26*, 6863–6872. [CrossRef]
- 62. Carvalho, R.M.; Manso, A.P.; Geraldeli, S.; Tay, F.R.; Pashley, D.H. Durability of Bonds and Clinical Success of Adhesive Restorations. *Dent. Mater.* 2012, 28, 72–86. [CrossRef]
- 63. Pashley, D.H.; Agee, K.; Nakajima, M.; Tay, F.; Carvalho, R.; Terada, R.; Harmon, F.; Lee, W.; Rueggeberg, F. Solvent-induced Dimensional Changes in EDTA-demineralized Dentin Matrix. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Off. J. Soc. Biomater. Jpn. Soc. Biomater. Aust. Soc. Biomater. Korean Soc. Biomater.* **2001**, *56*, 273–281. [CrossRef]
- 64. Pashley, D.H.; Carvalho, R.M.; Tay, F.R.; Agee, K.A.; Lee, K.W. Solvation of Dried Dentin Matrix by Water and Other Polar Solvents. *Am. J. Dent.* **2002**, *15*, 97–102.
- Moszner, N.; Salz, U.; Zimmermann, J. Chemical Aspects of Self-Etching Enamel–Dentin Adhesives: A Systematic Review. *Dent. Mater.* 2005, 21, 895–910. [CrossRef]
- 66. Nihi, F.M.; Fabre, H.S.C.; Garcia, G.; Fernandes, K.B.P.; Ferreira, F.B.d.A.; Wang, L. In Vitro Assessment of Solvent Evaporation from Commercial Adhesive Systems Compared to Experimental Systems. *Braz. Dent. J.* **2009**, *20*, 396–402. [CrossRef]
- 67. Miyazaki, M.; Tsujimoto, A.; Tsubota, K.; Takamizawa, T.; Kurokawa, H.; Platt, J.A. Important Compositional Characteristics in the Clinical Use of Adhesive Systems. *J. Oral Sci.* 2014, *56*, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Boeira, P.O.; Meereis, C.T.W.; Suárez, C.E.C.; de Almeida, S.M.; Piva, E.; da Silveira Lima, G. Coumarin-Based Iodonium Hexafluoroantimonate as an Alternative Photoinitiator for Experimental Dental Adhesives Resin. *Appl. Adhes. Sci.* 2017, *5*, 2. [CrossRef]
- Bail, M.; Malacarne-Zanon, J.; Silva, S.; Anauate-Netto, A.; Nascimento, F.; Amore, R.; Lewgoy, H.; Pashley, D.H.; Carrilho, M. Effect of Air-Drying on the Solvent Evaporation, Degree of Conversion and Water Sorption/Solubility of Dental Adhesive Models. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 2012, 23, 629–638. [CrossRef]
- Daronch, M.; Rueggeberg, F.A.; De Goes, M.; Giudici, R. Polymerization Kinetics of Pre-Heated Composite. J. Dent. Res. 2006, 85, 38–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saikaew, P.; Fu, J.; Chowdhury, A.A.; Carvalho, R.M.; Sano, H. Effect of Air-Blowing Time and Long-Term Storage on Bond Strength of Universal Adhesives to Dentin. *Clin. Oral Investig.* 2019, 23, 2629–2635. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Paul, S.; Leach, M.; Rueggeberg, F.; Pashley, D.H. Effect of Water Content on the Physical Properties of Model Dentine Primer and Bonding Resins. *J. Dent.* **1999**, *27*, 209–214. [CrossRef]
- Miyazaki, M.; Platt, J.; Onose, H.; Moore, B. Influence of Dentin Primer Application Methods on Dentin Bond Strength. Oper. Dent. 1996, 21, 167–172. [PubMed]
- 74. Jacobsen, T.; Söderholm, K. Effect of Primer Solvent, Primer Agitation, and Dentin Dryness on Shear Bond Strength to Dentin. *Am. J. Dent.* **1998**, *11*, 225–228. [PubMed]
- 75. Cadenaro, M.; Breschi, L.; Antoniolli, F.; Navarra, C.O.; Mazzoni, A.; Tay, F.R.; Di Lenarda, R.; Pashley, D.H. Degree of Conversion of Resin Blends in Relation to Ethanol Content and Hydrophilicity. *Dent. Mater.* **2008**, 24, 1194–1200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Amaral, R.; Stanislawczuk, R.; Zander-Grande, C.; Gagler, D.; Reis, A.; Loguercio, A.D. Bond Strength and Quality of the Hybrid Layer of One-Step Self-Etch Adhesives Applied with Agitation on Dentin. *Oper. Dent.* **2010**, *35*, 211–219. [CrossRef]
- 77. Irmak, Ö.; Baltacıoğlu, İ.H.; Ulusoy, N.; Bağış, Y.H. Solvent Type Influences Bond Strength to Air or Blot-Dried Dentin. *BMC Oral Health* **2016**, *16*, 77. [CrossRef]
- 78. Abate, P.; Rodriguez, V.; Macchi, R. Evaporation of Solvent in One-Bottle Adhesives. J. Dent. 2000, 28, 437–440. [CrossRef]
- 79. Iliev, G.; Hardan, L.; Kassis, C.; Bourgi, R.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Lukomska-Szymanska, M.; Mancino, D.; Haikel, Y.; Kharouf, N. Shelf Life and Storage Conditions of Universal Adhesives: A Literature Review. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 2708. [CrossRef]
- 80. Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Ramos, T.S.; Rodrigues, S.B.; Collares, F.M.; Zanchi, C.H.; Lund, R.G.; da Silva, A.F.; Piva, E. Impact of Shelf-Life Simulation on Bonding Performance of Universal Adhesive Systems. *Dent. Mater.* **2019**, *35*, e204–e219. [CrossRef]
- Özcan, M.; Vallittu, P.K. Effect of Surface Conditioning Methods on the Bond Strength of Luting Cement to Ceramics. *Dent. Mater.* 2003, 19, 725–731. [CrossRef]

- 82. Davidson, C.L. Luting Cement, the Stronghold or the Weak Link in Ceramic Restorations? *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **2001**, *3*, 763–767. [CrossRef]
- Canay, Ş.; Hersek, N.; Ertan, A. Effect of Different Acid Treatments on a Porcelain Surface 1. J. Oral Rehabil. 2001, 28, 95–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zarow, M.; Hardan, L.; Szczeklik, K.; Bourgi, R.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Jakubowicz, N.; Nicastro, M.; Devoto, W.; Dominiak, M.; Pytko-Polończyk, J.; et al. Porcelain Veneers in Vital vs. Non-Vital Teeth: A Retrospective Clinical Evaluation. *Bioengineering* 2023, 10, 168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Harouny, R.; Hardan, L.; Harouny, E.; Kassis, C.; Bourgi, R.; Lukomska-Szymanska, M.; Kharouf, N.; Ball, V.; Khairallah, C. Adhesion of Resin to Lithium Disilicate with Different Surface Treatments before and after Salivary Contamination—An In-Vitro Study. *Bioengineering* 2022, 9, 286. [CrossRef]
- Hardan, L.; Devoto, W.; Bourgi, R.; Cuevas-Suárez, C.E.; Lukomska-Szymanska, M.; Fernández-Barrera, M.á.; CornejoRíos, E.; Monteiro, P.; Zarow, M.; Jakubowicz, N.; et al. Immediate Dentin Sealing for Adhesive Cementation of Indirect Restorations: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Gels* 2022, *8*, 175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Al Edris, A.; Al Jabr, A.; Cooley, R.L.; Barghi, N. SEM Evaluation of Etch Patterns by Three Etchants on Three Porcelains. J. Prosthet. Dent. 1990, 64, 734–739. [CrossRef]
- Roulet, J.; Söderholm, K.; Longmate, J. Effects of Treatment and Storage Conditions on Ceramic/Composite Bond Strength. J. Dent. Res. 1995, 74, 381–387. [CrossRef]
- Hooshmand, T.; van Noort, R.; Keshvad, A. Bond Durability of the Resin-Bonded and Silane Treated Ceramic Surface. *Dent. Mater.* 2002, *18*, 179–188. [CrossRef]
- 90. Bertolotti, R.L. Adhesion to Porcelain and Metal. Dent. Clin. N. Am. 2007, 51, 433-451. [CrossRef]
- 91. Moon, J.H.; Shul, Y.G.; Hong, S.Y.; Choi, Y.S.; Kim, H.T. A Study on UV-Curable Adhesives for Optical Pick-Up: II. Silane Coupling Agent Effect. *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* 2005, 25, 534–542. [CrossRef]
- 92. Barghi, N. To Silanate or Not to Silanate: Making a Clinical Decision. Compend. Contin. Educ. Dent. 2000, 21, 659–662.
- 93. Bertolini, J.C. Hydrofluoric Acid: A Review of Toxicity. J. Emerg. Med. 1992, 10, 163–168. [CrossRef]
- Shimada, Y.; Yamaguchi, S.; Tagami, J. Micro-Shear Bond Strength of Dual-Cured Resin Cement to Glass Ceramics. *Dent. Mater.* 2002, 18, 380–388. [CrossRef]
- 95. Valandro, L.F.; Della Bona, A.; Bottino, M.A.; Neisser, M.P. The Effect of Ceramic Surface Treatment on Bonding to Densely Sintered Alumina Ceramic. J. Prosthet. Dent. 2005, 93, 253–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moharamzadeh, K.; Hooshmand, T.; Keshvad, A.; Van Noort, R. Fracture Toughness of a Ceramic–Resin Interface. *Dent. Mater.* 2008, 24, 172–177. [CrossRef]
- 97. Abduljabbar, T.; AlQahtani, M.A.; Al Jeaidi, Z.; Vohra, F. Influence of silane and heated silane on the bond strength of lithium disilicate ceramics-An in vitro study. *Pak. J. Med. Sci.* 2016, *32*, 550–554. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schwartz, R.S.; Robbins, J.W. Post Placement and Restoration of Endodontically Treated Teeth: A Literature Review. J. Endod. 2004, 30, 289–301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Grandini, S.; Goracci, C.; Tay, F.R.; Grandini, R.; Ferrari, M. Clinical Evaluation of the Use of Fiber Posts and Direct Resin Restorations for Endodontically Treated Teeth. *Int. J. Prosthodont.* 2005, 18, 399–404.
- 100. Cagidiaco, M.C.; Goracci, C.; Garcia-Godoy, F.; Ferrari, M. Clinical Studies of Fiber Posts: A Literature Review. *Int. J. Prosthodont*. **2008**, *21*, 328–336.
- Vano, M.; Goracci, C.; Monticelli, F.; Tognini, F.; Gabriele, M.; Tay, F.; Ferrari, M. The Adhesion between Fibre Posts and Composite Resin Cores: The Evaluation of Microtensile Bond Strength Following Various Surface Chemical Treatments to Posts. *Int. Endod. J.* 2006, *39*, 31–39. [CrossRef]
- 102. Abel, M.-L.; Allington, R.; Digby, R.; Porritt, N.; Shaw, S.; Watts, J. Understanding the Relationship between Silane Application Conditions, Bond Durability and Locus of Failure. *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* **2006**, *26*, 2–15. [CrossRef]
- 103. Madruga, E.L.; Fernández-García, M. A Kinetic Study of Free-radical Copolymerization of Butyl Acrylate with Methyl Methacrylate in Solution. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *197*, 3743–3755. [CrossRef]
- Luthardt, R.; Holzhüter, M.; Sandkuhl, O.; Herold, V.; Schnapp, J.; Kuhlisch, E.; Walter, M. Reliability and Properties of Ground Y-TZP-Zirconia Ceramics. J. Dent. Res. 2002, 81, 487–491. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Cavalcanti, A.N.; Pilecki, P.; Foxton, R.M.; Watson, T.F.; Oliveira, M.T.; Gianinni, M.; Marchi, G.M. Evaluation of the Surface Roughness and Morphologic Features of Y-TZP Ceramics after Different Surface Treatments. *Photomed. Laser Surg.* 2009, 27, 473–479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.