



Editorial

Emerging Trends in Research on Self-Regulated Learning and Implications for Education: An Introduction to the Special Issue

Sarah K. Tauber ^{1,*} and Robert Ariel ²

- Department of Psychology, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, TX 76129, USA
- Department of Psychology, Virginia Wesleyan University, Virginia Beach, VA 23455, USA
- * Correspondence: uma.tauber@tcu.edu

Students in higher education face a multitude of challenges when gaining and refining their knowledge. They are assigned educational activities to complete on their own, and they learn new information in preparation for class assessments. To succeed, students must effectively take charge of their learning to meet achievement goals and maintain knowledge over time. This often occurs outside of classes and with minimal supervision. Thus, it is critical that students make effective self-regulated study choices.

Self-regulated learning is a multifaceted construct that involves any self-initiated cognitive or behavioral activity used to achieve a learning goal. This includes goal setting, planning, judgment and decision making, and strategy utilization (Dunlosky and Ariel 2011; Morisano et al. 2010; Winne and Hadwin 1998; Zimmerman and Schunk 2001). The choices that students make about their learning can be influenced by metacognitive processing (Dunlosky and Rawson 2012; Metcalfe and Finn 2008; Thiede et al. 2003). Metacognition refers to knowledge about learning, and the interactive relationship between assessments of learning and control of study behavior. Essential metacognitive processes include knowledge about effective study strategies and accurate awareness of knowledge acquisition (for reviews, see Dunlosky and Tauber 2014, 2016). Because these interrelated metacognitive and self-regulated processes can directly and indirectly impact actual learning, they are the focus of this Special Issue.

This Special Issue was open to empirical and theoretical contributions to education and cognitive psychology that specifically increased understanding of self-regulated learning and metacognition. We welcomed basic research with implications for educational contexts and applied research adopting educational materials and considering classroom environments. Our goal was for the Special Issue to address key concepts vital to multiple fields and to highlight a variety of experimental practices that are effective for answering long-standing questions about students' self-regulated learning and education.

Each paper in this Special Issue provides unique insight into aspects of students' self-regulated learning and thought-provoking discussion of application to educational contexts. All papers merit dedicated attention. In combination, multiple themes emerge from this outstanding work. Many of the papers used a mixed-methods approach, which is an exciting direction for the future of the field (Babineau et al. 2022; Rea et al. 2022; Zepeda and Nokes-Malach 2023). Mixed-method approaches combining quantitative and qualitative measures provide rich information about self-regulated learning and more insight than can be gathered by using one form of measurement. In further consideration of measurement, the form and types of judgments that students make can be critical for drawing conclusions about the accuracy of students' metacognitive processing (Hughes and Thomas 2022; Zepeda and Nokes-Malach 2023). Another clear theme was the focus on students' beliefs and knowledge about their learning in contrast with their study choices (Babineau et al. 2022; Macaluso et al. 2022; Rea et al. 2022). In some cases, students were knowledgeable about effective strategies (Rea et al. 2022), but they also demonstrated gaps in their understanding (Macaluso et al. 2022), and in all cases, disconnects between their



Citation: Tauber, Sarah K., and Robert Ariel. 2023. Emerging Trends in Research on Self-Regulated Learning and Implications for Education: An Introduction to the Special Issue. *Journal of Intelligence* 11: 52. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jintelligence11030052

Received: 23 February 2023 Accepted: 3 March 2023 Published: 9 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

J. Intell. **2023**, 11, 52

knowledge and strategy utilization arose (Babineau et al. 2022; Macaluso et al. 2022; Rea et al. 2022).

The research in this Special Issue can inform interventions for improving students' selfregulated learning skills. Interventions should target strategy knowledge, metacognitive monitoring processes, and the perceived costs of strategy implementation. Students' default study strategies can create a false sense of fluency during learning (Macaluso et al. 2022). We need to break students' bad study habits. The first step for doing so is to build a knowledgeable student who understands the appropriate strategies for achieving their learning goals. The next step is to find ways to properly motivate students to use effortful strategies. Even a knowledgeable student will avoid using effective strategies when they perceive the costs in effort for strategy implementation are too high (Macaluso et al. 2022; Rea et al. 2022). Learners strive for efficiency during self-regulated learning (Ariel et al. 2009) and effortful strategy use can be in conflict with this goal. A final step is to ensure that students can accurately monitor their learning (Hughes and Thomas 2022; Zepeda and Nokes-Malach 2023). Students' ideas about their learning are imperfect (cf. Finn and Tauber 2015; Carpenter et al. 2020), and a simple way to improve monitoring is for students to retrieve criterial information during learning. Doing this can increase their preference for using some effortful strategies such as interleaved practice (Babineau et al. 2022).

In conclusion, the contributions in this Special Issue increase our understanding of students' study choices and metacognitive processes, and they bring new excitement to these fields. These issues are directly relevant for students' learning, and our hope is that this Special Issue encourages research with educational materials, in classroom settings, and focused on application to educational contexts. Discovering methods to improve self-regulated processes remains critical, and the work in this Special Issue should serve as a foundation for developing interventions to support students' self-regulated learning.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.K.T. and R.A.; writing—original draft preparation, S.K.T. and R.A.; writing—review and editing, S.K.T. and R.A.; funding acquisition, S.K.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the James S. McDonnell Foundation 21st Century Science Initiative in Understanding Human Cognition, Collaborative Grant number 220020483.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Ariel, Robert, John Dunlosky, and Heather Bailey. 2009. Agenda-based regulation of study-time allocation: When agendas override item-based monitoring. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 138: 432–47. [CrossRef]

Babineau, Addison L., Amber E. Witherby, Robert Ariel, Michael A. Pelch, and Sarah K. Tauber. 2022. Do domain knowledge and retrieval practice predict students' study order decisions? *Journal of Intelligence* 10: 122. [CrossRef]

Carpenter, Shana K., Amber E. Witherby, and Sarah K. Tauber. 2020. On students' (mis)judgments of learning and teaching effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* 9: 137–51. [CrossRef]

Dunlosky, John, and Katherine A. Rawson. 2012. Overconfidence produces underachievement: Inaccurate self-evaluations undermine students' learning and retention. *Learning and Instruction* 22: 271–80. [CrossRef]

Dunlosky, John, and Robert Ariel. 2011. Self-regulated learning and the allocation of study time. In *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*. Edited by Brian H. Ross. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press, vol. 54, pp. 103–40.

Dunlosky, John, and Sarah K. Tauber. 2014. Understanding people's metacognitive judgments: An isomechanism framework and its implications for applied and theoretical research. In *Handbook of Applied Memory*. Edited by Timothy Perfect and Stephen Lindsay. Thousand Oaks: Sage, pp. 444–64.

Dunlosky, John, and Sarah Uma K. Tauber, eds. 2016. The Oxford Handbook of Metamemory. New York: Oxford University Press.

Finn, Bridgid, and Sarah K. Tauber. 2015. When confidence is not a signal of knowing: How students experiences and beliefs about processing fluency can lead to miscalibrated confidence. *Educational Psychology Review* 27: 567–86. [CrossRef]

Hughes, Gregory Isaac, and Ayanna Kim Thomas. 2022. When memory and metamemory align: How processes at encoding influence delayed judgment-of-learning accuracy. *Journal of Intelligence* 10: 101. [CrossRef]

Macaluso, Jessica A., Ramya R. Beuford, and Scott H. Fraundorf. 2022. Familiar strategies feel fluent: The role of study strategy familiarity in the misinterpreted-effort model of self-regulated learning. *Journal of Intelligence* 10: 83. [CrossRef]

Metcalfe, Janet, and Bridgid Finn. 2008. Evidence that judgments of learning are causally related to study choice. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 15: 174–79. [CrossRef]

J. Intell. **2023**, 11, 52 3 of 3

Morisano, Dominique, Jacob B. Hirsh, Jordan B. Peterson, Robert O. Pihl, and Bruce M. Shore. 2010. Setting, elaborating, and reflecting on personal goals improves academic learning. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 95: 255–64. [CrossRef]

- Rea, Stephany Duany, Lisi Wang, Katherine Muenks, and Veronica X. Yan. 2022. Students can (mostly) recognize effective learning, so why do they not do it? *Journal of Intelligence* 10: 127.
- Thiede, Keith W., Mary Anderson, and David Therriault. 2003. Accuracy of metacognitive monitoring affects learning of texts. *Journal of Educational Psychology* 95: 66–73.
- Winne, Philip H., and Allyson F. Hadwin. 1998. Studying as self-regulated learning. In *Metacognition in Educational Theory and Practice*. Edited by Douglas J. Hacker, John Dunlosky and Arthur C. Graesser. Hillsdale: LEA, pp. 277–304.
- Zepeda, Cristina D., and Timothy J. Nokes-Malach. 2023. Assessing metacognitive regulation during problem solving: A comparison of three measures. *Journal of Intelligence* 11: 16. [PubMed]
- Zimmerman, Barry J., and Dale H. Schunk. 2001. Reflections on theories of self-regulated learning and academic achievement. In Self-REGULATED Learning and Academic Achievement: Theoretical Perpectives. Edited by Barry J. Zimmermann and Dale H. Schunk. Mahwah: NH Erlbaum, pp. 289–308.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.