



Article Evaluation of Resistance to Stem Rust and Identification of Sr Genes in Russian Spring and Winter Wheat Cultivars in the Volga Region

Olga Baranova ^{1,*}, Valeriya Solyanikova ¹, Elena Kyrova ¹, Elmira Kon'kova ², Sergey Gaponov ², Valery Sergeev ², Sergey Shevchenko ³, Pyotr Mal'chikov ³, Dmitrij Dolzhenko ³, Lyudmila Bespalova ⁴, Irina Ablova ⁴, Aleksandr Tarhov ⁴, Nuraniya Vasilova ⁵, Damir Askhadullin ⁵, Danil Askhadullin ⁵ and Sergey Sibikeev ²

- ¹ All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, Shosse Podbelskogo 3, 1986608 St. Petersburg, Russia
- Federal Center of Agriculture Research of the South-East Region, Tulaikov Street 7, 410010 Saratov, Russia
 Samara Federal Research Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Samara Scientific Research
- Agriculture Institute Named after N.M. Tulaikov, K. Marksa Street 41, 446254 Bezenchuk, Russia
 Federal State Budget Scientific Organization "National Center of Grain Named after P.P. Lukyanenko",
- Central Estate KNIISKH, 350012 Krasnodar, Russia
- ⁵ Tatar Scientific Research Institute of Agriculture, FRC Kazan Scientific Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, Orenburgskij trakt 48, 420059 Kazan, Russia
- * Correspondence: baranova_oa@mail.ru; Tel.: +79-046-108-908

Abstract: The Volga region is one of the main grain-producing regions of Russia. Wheat stem rust caused by *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* is among the most destructive fungal diseases of wheat. Recently, its harmfulness has increased in the Volga region. In this regard, an analysis of the resistance and diversity of the *Sr* genes in the Russian wheat cultivars is necessary. In this work, 126 wheat cultivars (including 23 durum wheat cultivars and 103 bread wheat cultivars) approved for use in the Volga region were evaluated for their resistance to two samples of *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* populations from different Volga region areas at the seedling stage. Specific DNA primers were used to identify resistance genes (*Sr2, Sr24, Sr25, Sr26, Sr28, Sr31, Sr32, Sr36, Sr38, Sr39,* and *Sr57*). Highly resistant cultivars (30 from 126) were identified. In bread wheat cultivars, the genes *Sr31* (in 19 cultivars), *Sr24* (in one cultivar), *Sr25* (in 15 spring wheat cultivars), *Sr28* (in six cultivars), *Sr38, Sr31 + Sr28, Sr31 + Sr38, Sr31 + Sr28, Sr31 + Sr38, Sr31 + Sr28,*

Keywords: bread wheat; durum wheat; cultivars; resistance; *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici; Sr* genes; molecular markers

1. Introduction

The Volga region (Middle and Lower Volga) occupies a vast territory—of 536.4 thousand km². Along with the Krasnodar Krai and Western Siberia, the Volga region is the main grain-producing region of the Russian Federation. In this region, spring bread wheat is mainly cultivated, but recently the number of areas under winter bread wheat has been increasing, and the sown area under durum wheat cultivars has also increased.

Of the cultivars of spring bread wheat in the Middle Volga region, the most common are the cultivars created by the Samara Federal Research Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences Samara Research Institute of Agriculture, named after N. M. Tulaikov, including 'Tulaikovskaya 5', 'Tulaikovskaya 10', 'Tulaikovskaya 100', 'Tulaikovskaya 108', and 'Tulaikovskaya 110'. In the Lower Volga region, the cultivars of the Federal State Budgetary Scientific Organization "Federal Center of Agriculture Research of the South-East Region" (23 cultivars) dominate. In the Saratov region, the sown area is 205,583



Citation: Baranova, O.; Solyanikova, V.; Kyrova, E.; Kon'kova, E.; Gaponov, S.; Sergeev, V.; Shevchenko, S.; Mal'chikov, P.; Dolzhenko, D.; Bespalova, L.; et al. Evaluation of Resistance to Stem Rust and Identification of *Sr* Genes in Russian Spring and Winter Wheat Cultivars in the Volga Region. *Agriculture* **2023**, *13*, 635. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agriculture13030635

Academic Editors: Elena Gultyaeva, Jevtić Radivoje, Philipp B. Gannibal and Ming Chen

Received: 30 January 2023 Revised: 28 February 2023 Accepted: 1 March 2023 Published: 7 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). hectares, and it is mostly occupied by cultivars 'Saratovskaya 55' (8865 ha), 'Saratovskaya 68' (7017 ha), 'Saratovskaya 70' (10,550 ha), 'Saratovskaya 73' (6872 ha), 'Saratovskaya 42' (27,053 ha), 'Saratovskaya 74' (728 ha), 'Albidum 32' (10,016 ha), 'Prokhorovka' (107 ha), 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 2' (155 ha), 'Dobrynya' (15,286 ha), 'Favorit' (18,776 ha), 'Voevoda' (15,567 ha), and 'Lebedushka' (940 ha).

Stem rust caused by a biotrophic fungus *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* Eriks. & E. Henn (Pgt) is one of the most dangerous wheat diseases. Yield losses during the epidemic development of the disease on susceptible cultivars can reach 50–100% [1]. In Eurasian countries, including Russia, since 2016, there has been an increase in the harmfulness of this pathogen. Aggressive races of the fungus appeared, causing the strongest epidemics of the pathogen both in Europe and in Russia, especially in Western Siberia and the Volga region [2–5]. There is also a possibility of bringing the Ug99 stem rust race to Russia. Affecting cultivars with the *Sr31* gene, it was first recorded in Uganda in 1999 [6]. Later, pathotypes of the Ug99 race appeared, also affecting cultivars with resistance genes *Sr24* (TTKST) and *Sr36* (TTTSK). By 2020, there were already 15 biotypes of the pathogen [7], and the Ug99 race spread across the African continent to Iran and Pakistan according to the wind rose.

Due to the increasing harmfulness of stem rust, the molecular screening of disease resistance genes (*Sr* genes) in the spring and winter cultivars of bread wheat is carried out all over the world. In the United States, the resistance genes *Sr2*, *Sr6*, *Sr17*, *Sr24*, *Sr31*, *Sr36*, and *SrTmp* are common in winter wheat cultivars, while *Sr6*, *Sr9b*, *Sr11*, and *Sr17* are common in spring wheat cultivars [8]. The analysis of Chinese wheat cultivars identified the *Sr2*, *Sr31*, *Sr25*, and *Sr38* genes [9], as well as *Sr28* [10]. In recent years, stem rust resistance genes have also been identified in European wheat cultivars. For example, the *Sr8a*, *Sr31*, *Sr36*, and *Sr38* genes were identified in Croatian cultivars [11], while *Sr38* was widespread in German cultivars, and *Sr31* and *Sr24* were rather less common [12].

The evaluation of the resistance of spring and winter durum and bread wheat cultivars to stem rust grown in the Volga region allows for the detection of resistant cultivars and the identification of their resistance genes, which shows the genetic base of the host on which the modern pathogen population is formed.

Thus, the aim of this work was to analyze resistance to stem rust and identify *Sr* genes in spring and winter wheat cultivars approved for cultivation in the Middle and Lower Volga regions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material

Commercial Russian wheat cultivars recommended for cultivation in the Volga region were taken into account. There were 23 cultivars of durum wheat—22 cultivars of spring durum wheat and one cultivar ('Kermen') of winter durum wheat—61 cultivars of spring bread wheat, and 42 cultivars of winter bread wheat. A total of 126 commercial wheat cultivars were analyzed. All cultivars and a list of originators are presented in the Supplementary Materials (Tables S1–S3).

2.2. Virulence Analysis of P. graminis f. sp. tritici and Resistance Study of Wheat Cultivars

Stem rust samples collected in 2022 in the Arsky district of the Republic of Tatarstan (from the cultivar 'Nadira') and in the Samoilovsky district of the Saratov region (from the cultivar 'Voevoda') were used for inoculation in laboratory conditions (Figure 1). These cultivars are susceptible to stem rust. 'Nadira' is a new high-yielding purple grain cultivar, and 'Voevoda' is an old high-yielding cultivar, widely grown in the Volga region. The stem rust samples were multiplied on the susceptible cultivars, 'Khakasskaya' and 'Morocco', and wheat cultivars and lines were inoculated with the resulting inoculum. A phytopathological assessment was carried out according to the standard, using laboratory techniques on seedlings [13]. Samples were grown in $11 \times 15 \times 6$ cm plastic boxes filled with 'Terra Vita' peat soil (https://nevatorf.ru/) on light fixtures at 21–23 °C with a 14 h photoperiod.

A total of 10 samples were planted in each box (three plants per sample and one susceptible control variant, the 'Khakasskaya' cultivar). Ten-day-old seedlings with a fully unfolded first leaf were inoculated with a urediniospores suspension of *P. graminis* (the suspension concentration was 1 mg of urediniospores of the fungus per 1 mL of water with a 'Tween 20'). The inoculated plants were placed in a dark, humid chamber for 16 h at a temperature of 23 °C and 100% relative humidity and then returned to the light fixture. Reaction types were considered on the 12th day after the infection of seedlings according to a 0-4 Stakman scale [14]: "0"—no visible symptoms (immune reaction); "0;"—small necrotic spots, no urediniopustules; "1"-the smallest urediniopustules, surrounded by necrotic areas; "2"—small urediniopustules, surrounded by necrosis or chlorosis; "3"—medium urediniopustules with no necrosis, and perhaps surrounded by chlorosis; and "4"-large, often coalescing uredia pustules, usually without chlorosis. The resistance or susceptibility of a line was determined according to the type of reaction. Resistance was shown by reaction types "0", "0;", "1", "2"; susceptibility by "3", "4", "X". Signs "+" and "-" after the value of the type of reaction indicated a larger or smaller size of the urediniopustules of the fungus. The experiments were carried out in two replications. The virulence analysis of P. graminis f. sp. tritici was performed using a set of 20 differential lines (North American differential set: Sr5, Sr21, Sr9e, Sr7b, Sr11, Sr6, Sr8a, Sr9g, Sr36, Sr9b, Sr30, Sr17, Sr9a, Sr9b, Sr10, SrTmp, Sr24, Sr31, Sr38, and SrMcN), as well as other additional lines with Sr genes (Sr2compl, Sr8b, Sr12, Sr13, Sr15, Sr20, Sr22, Sr25, Sr26, Sr27, Sr28, Sr29, Sr32, Sr33, Sr35, *Sr*37, *Sr*39, *Sr*40, *Sr*44, *Sr*WLD, *Sr*24 + 31, *Sr*36 + 31, *Sr*24 + 36, *Sr*7a + 12, *Sr*17 + 13, *Sr*7b + 18, Sr26 + 9g, and Sr33 + 5), and cultivars 'Avrora' (Sr31) and 'Khakasskaya' (the susceptible control). Cultivars and lines with Sr genes are shown in Supplementary Table S4.



Figure 1. Map of the Volga region that shows areas where pathogen populations were collected (marked with a red circle); original maps taken from Fedorov E.E. https://fedoroff.net/ (accessed on 6 March 2023).

2.3. DNA Extraction and PCR Analysis

DNA was extracted from five-day-old wheat seedlings using the CTAB method [15]. To identify resistance genes (*Sr2*, *Sr24*, *Sr25*, *Sr26*, *Sr28*, *Sr31*, *Sr32*, *Sr36*, *Sr38*, *Sr39*, and *Sr57*), DNA markers used in marker-assisted selection (MAS) were applied: *Sr2-* CAPS marker csSr2 [16], *Sr24* gene—STS markers Sr24#12 and Sr24#50 [17], *Sr25*—STS marker

Gb [18], Sr26—STS marker Sr26#43 [17], Sr28—DaRT marker wPt-7004-PCR and SSR marker Xwmc332 [19], Sr31—STS markers SCM9 and IAG95 [20,21], Sr32—STS marker csSr32#2 [22], Sr36—SSR marker Xstm773-2 [23], Sr38—STS marker VENTRIUP-LN2 [24], *Sr*39—STS marker Sr39#22 [25], and *Sr*57/*Lr*34—STS marker csLV34 [26]. To set up PCR, the PCR mixture BioMaster HS-Taq PCR-Color (2×) (BIOLABMIX LLC, Novosibirsk, Russia) and the following amplification conditions were applied: 95°-5 min, 35 cycles $(95^{\circ}-20 \text{ s}, \text{ annealing temperature}-30 \text{ s}, 72^{\circ}-1 \text{ min})$, and $72^{\circ}-5 \text{ min}$. The annealing temperature was individual for each pair of primers. For the Sr2 gene marker, csSr2, the following PCR mixture was used: 20 µL of the reaction mixture; bidistilled H2O— 17.6 μL; a mixture of dNTPs (25 mM)—0.4 μL; primer R (10–15 pmol)—0.5 μL; primer F (10-15 pmol)—0.5 μ L; $10 \times$ PCR buffer—2.5 μ L; MgCl2 (50 mM)—1 μ L; Taq polymerase (5 U)—0.5 μ L; and genomic DNA—2 μ L. The amplification conditions were as follows: 94°—4 min 30 s, 45 cycles (94°—1 min, 60°—1 min, 72°—2 min), 72—10 min. After PCR, the amplification products were treated with restriction endonuclease BspHI. PCR was performed on a C1000 Thermal Cycler (BioRad) device in two repetitions. Wheat cultivars and lines with the analyzed Sr gene were the positive control variant in the reaction. The susceptible wheat cultivars 'Khakasskaya' and 'Inna' were the negative control variant. The PCR mixture without DNA was the control variant for contamination. Amplification products were separated in agarose gels (2%) and stained with ethidium bromide. GeneRulerTM 50bp DNA Ladder Fementas was used as a molecular weight marker. Electrophoregrams were visualized using the ChemiDoc XRS+ (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) gel-documenting system.

3. Results

3.1. Virulence Analysis of P. graminis f. sp. tritici

The virulence analysis of Tatarstan and Saratov fungus populations was carried out using a set of wheat lines with different resistance genes. Its results are presented in Table 1.

Wheat Lines with	Type of Reaction to <i>Puccinia graminis</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i> (Infection Type) Pgt Sample from Cultivar		Wheat Lines with	Type of Reaction to <i>Puccinia graminis</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i> (Infection Type) Pgt Sample from Cultivar	
Sr Genes			Sr Genes		
	Nadira	Voevoda		Nadira	Voevoda
Sr2 compl	0;1 *	0;1	Sr28	3	3
Sr5	3	3	Sr29	2	2
Sr6	3	3	Sr30	3+	3+
Sr7b	3	3	Sr31	1;	0;1
Sr8a	3	4	Sr32	12	1
Sr8b	3	3	Sr33	2	3
Sr9a	3	3	Sr35	12	1
Sr9b	3	3	Sr36	3	3
Sr9d	3	3	Sr37	3	3
Sr9e	3	3	Sr38	3	3
Sr9g	3–	3	Sr39	1	3
Sr10	3	3	Sr40	3	3

Table 1. Resistance of Sr wheat lines to population samples of P. graminis f. sp. tritici 2022.

Wheat Lines with	Type of Reaction to <i>Puccinia graminis</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i> (Infection Type) Pgt Sample from Cultivar		Wheat Lines with Sr Genes	Type of Reaction to <i>Puccinia graminis</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i> (Infection Type) Pgt Sample from Cultivar	
Sr Genes					
	Nadira	Voevoda		Nadira	Voevoda
Sr11	3	3	Sr44	3	3
Sr12	3+	2	SrWld	3	3
Sr13	2	1	SrTmp	3	3
Sr15	3	3	SrNcM	3	3
Sr17	3	3	Sr24 + Sr31	1	1
Sr20	3-	3	Sr36 + Sr31	1	1
Sr21	3	3	Sr24 + Sr36	1	0;12
Sr22	3	1	Sr26 + Sr9g	1	1
Sr24	0;1	0;1	Sr7a + Sr12	3	2+
Sr25	3	3	Sr7b + Sr18	3-	4
Sr26	0;1	0;	Sr17 + Sr13	12+	1
Sr27	2+	1	Sr33 + Sr5	2	3

Table 1. Cont.

*-Type of reaction: "0", "0;", "1", "2"-resistance, "3", "4"-susceptibility.

The following genes and their combinations were effective against both populations of the fungus: Sr2compl, Sr13, Sr24, Sr26, Sr27, Sr29, Sr31, Sr32, Sr35, Sr24 + Sr31, Sr36 + Sr31, Sr24 + Sr36, Sr26 + Sr9g, and Sr17 + Sr13. In addition to the listed resistance genes, the Sr33 and Sr39 genes and the combination of Sr33 + Sr5 genes were effective against the sample of the Tatarstan pathogen population, while Sr22 and the combination of Sr7a + Sr12 genes were effective against the Saratov population sample. In this paper, we specifically used the inoculums obtained from multiplying stem rust samples on susceptible cultivars rather than individual isolates of the fungus in order to maximize the full spectrum of virulence and to select the resistant cultivars. It should be noted that the Sr31 gene so far remains effective in Russia and, in particular, in the Volga region [4,27,28].

3.2. Analysis of the Wheat Cultivars Resistance to Stem Rust

3.2.1. Resistance of Durum Wheat Cultivars

In this work, 22 cultivars of spring durum wheat and one cultivar of winter durum wheat were analyzed. The results are presented in Table 2.

Only four durum wheat cultivars (the spring cultivars 'Bezenchukskaya krepost', 'Krasnokutka 13', and 'Triada', as well as the only winter cultivar, 'Kermen') were resistant to both samples of fungus populations used in the analysis (17.4% of the analyzed durum wheat cultivars).

Fourteen durum wheat cultivars (61%) were resistant to the sample of the Tatarstan population of the fungus, which significantly exceeded the number of cultivars that were resistant to the Saratov stem rust population sample. Only five cultivars out of 23 (21.7%) were resistant to the Saratov sample of the fungus population collected from the 'Voevoda' cultivar. Most durum wheat cultivars were susceptible to this sample of the pathogen population (78.3% of cultivars).

		Ste	Identified Sr					
N⁰	Wheat Cultivar							
	_	From Culti	var Nadira	From Cultiv	var Voevoda	- Genes		
		1 rep. *	2 rep.	1 rep.	2 rep.			
Spring Durum Wheat								
1	Annushka	2	2+	2 + 33 -	3			
2	Bezenchukskaya 182	3–	3—	3	3			
3	Bezenchukskaya 205	4	4	4	3			
4	Bezenchukskaya 209	3–	3–	3	3			
5	Bezenchukskaya 210	0;	0;	3–	3—			
6	Bezenchukskaya kreposť	1	0;1	2+	2+	_		
7	Bezenchukskaya niva	4	3	3–	3–	_		
8	Bezenchukskaya stepnaya	2+	1	3—	3	no		
9	Bezenchukskaya yubilejnaya	3	3	3	3+			
10	Bezenchukskaya zolotistaya	3	3	3-	3–			
11	Elizavetinskaya	2+	2+	3	3			
12	Krasnokutka 10	2+3	3	3—	3			
13	Krasnokutka 13	1	12	2	2			
14	Luch 25	3	3	4	3	_		
15	Lyudmila	2+	2	3-	3–	—		
16	Marina	0;	0;	2++3-	3–	—		
17	Nik	2+	2+	3	3	—		
18	Nikolasha	2+	2+	3-	3–	—		
19	Pamyati Vasil'chuka	2	2++	3-	3–	—		
20	Saratovskaya zolotistaya	2+	2+	3	3	—		
21	Triada	1	1	2	1	_		
22	Valentina	3–	3-	2+	2	_		
		Winter	Durum Wheat					
23	Kermen	2	2	2+	2++	no		

Table 2. Evaluation of resistance to stem rust and identification of *Sr* genes in durum wheat cultivars in the Volga region.

*-Replication.

3.2.2. Resistance of Bread Wheat Cultivars

In this work, 61 cultivars of spring bread wheat were analyzed. The results of the resistance evaluation are presented in Table 3.

Fifteen cultivars that were resistant to both populations were identified: '100 let TASSR', 'Balkysh', 'Burlak', 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Ekada 253', 'Ekada 258', 'Ekada 265', 'Ershovskaya 36', 'Kinel'skaya' niva, 'Kur'er', 'Kvartet L 375', 'Prohorovka, Ul'yanovskaya 105', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 2', and 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4'. A total of 40 out of 61 cultivars of the spring bread wheat (65.6%) were resistant to the Tatarstan pathogen population, and 17 cultivars (27.9%) were resistant to the Saratov population sample.

		Ste	- Identified Sr			
24		Pgt Population Samples				
N≌	Wheat Cultivar	From Cultivar Nadira		From Cultivar Voevoda		Genes
		1 rep. *	2 rep.	1 rep.	2 rep.	_
1	100 let TASSR	1	1	2	2++	Sr31
2	Al' Varis	3	3	3—	3-	-
3	Al'bidum 32	2+	1	3	3	-
4	Al'bidum 33	3	3	2+3-	3	-
5	Aleksandrit	3–	3	3—	3-	Sr25
6	Amir	3	3	3	3	-
7	Balkysh	2	2	2	2	Sr31
8	Barakat	1	1	3	3	-
9	Belyanka	0;	0;	3-	3	-
10	Bulyak	3	3	3	3	-
11	Burlak	0;	0;	2+	2+	-
12	Chistopol'skaya	1	1	1	1	Sr31, Sr28, Sr57/Lr34
13	Dobrynya	3	3+	3+	3+	Sr25
14	Ekada 109	3	3	3–	3=	-
15	Ekada 113	0;	0;	3	4	Sr25
16	Ekada 214	10;	10;	3	3	-
17	Ekada 253	1	0;1	1	1	Sr31, Sr57/Lr34
18	Ekada 258	1	1	2	2	Sr31
19	Ekada 265	1	1	2	2	Sr31, Sr24
20	Ershovskaya 36	1	1	1	1	Sr31
21	Favorit	0	1	3—	3	-
22	Hayat	3	3	3–	3—	-
23	Hazine	0	0	3–	3—	Sr25
24	Idelle	3+	3	3+	3	-
25	Joldyz	3	3	3—	3	-
26	Kazanskaya Yubilejnaya	1	1	3	3	Sr57/Lr34
27	Kinel'skaya 2010	3	3-	2++3-	3—	Sr25
28	Kinel'skaya 59	0	0;	3	3	-
29	Kinel'skaya niva	2	2	12	1,2++	Sr25
30	Kinel′skaya yubilejnaya	2	0;	3	3	Sr25
31	Kur'er	1	1	1	1	Sr31, Sr28
32	Kvartet L 375	0;	0;	1	1	Sr31, Sr25

Table 3. Evaluation of resistance to stem rust and identification of Sr genes in spring bread wheat cultivars in the Volga region.

Meat Cultivar $Prom Cultivar Nadira From Cultivar Voevoda Itep.* 2 rep. 2 rep. 2 rep. 33 L 503 3 3 3 4 5/25 34 L 505 3 3 3 3 3 5/25 35 Lebedushka 1 1 3 3 5/25 36 Margarita 1 2+ 3 3 5/26 37 Nadira 3 3 4 43+ 5/28 38 Nikon 0, 0, 3 3 - 39 Prohorovka 1 11 12 12 5/31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3+ - 41 Saratovskaya58 2 2+ 3 3 - 42 Saratovskaya74 3- 3 - - - 43 Saratovskaya74 3- 3 - - - $			St	- Identified Sr			
Wheat Cultivar From Cultivar Nadira From Cultivar Voevoda Genes 1rep.* 2 rep. 1 rep. 2 rep. 33 L 503 3 3 3 4 5r25 34 L 505 3 3 3 5r25 35 Lebedushka 1 1 3 3 5r25 36 Margarita 1 2+ 3 3 5r28 37 Nadira 3 3 4 43+ - 39 Prohorovka 1 1 12 5r31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ - 41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3++ - 42 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3 - - 45 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 3 -	№	-					
Irep.*Irep.Irep.Zrep.33L5033334 $5r25$ 34L5053 $3-$ 33 $8r25$ 35Lebedushka1133 $8r25$ 36Magarita12+33 $5r28-$ 37Nadira334 $43+$ -38Nikon0;0;33-39Prohorovka111212 $5r31$ 40Sakara223+ $3++$ $5r28-$ 41Saratovskaya 550;0;3+3+-42Saratovskaya 5822+33-43Saratovskaya 700;233-44Saratovskaya 73223-3-45Saratovskaya 743-3346Saratovskaya 700;233-47Saratovskaya 700;33+48Sitara33249Tulaikovskaya 102133-50Tulaikovskaya 103-33-51Tulaikovskaya 1082-2-33-52Tulaikovskaya 1003-3355Tulaikovskaya 1000;0;3-356<		Wheat Cultivar –	From Cultivar Nadira		From Cultivar Voevoda		Genes
33 L 503 3 3 3 4 Sr25 34 L 505 3 3- 3 3 Sr25 35 Lebedushka 1 1 3 3 Sr25 36 Margarita 1 2+ 3 3 Sr25 37 Nadira 3 4 43+ - 38 Nikon 0; 0; 3 3 - 39 Prohorovka 1 1 12 12 Sr31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ - 41 Saratovskaya 58 2 2+ 3 3 - 42 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 445 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3 - 446 Saratovskaya 100 3- 3 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 100 3- 3 3 - </th <th></th> <th>-</th> <th>1 rep. *</th> <th>2 rep.</th> <th>1 rep.</th> <th>2 rep.</th> <th>-</th>		-	1 rep. *	2 rep.	1 rep.	2 rep.	-
34 L 505 3 3- 3 3 Sr25 35 Lebedushka 1 1 3 3 Sr25 36 Margarita 1 2+ 3 3 Sr28- 37 Nadira 3 3 4 43+ - 38 Nikon 0; 0; 3 3 - 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ Sr28 41 Saratovskaya55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ - 42 Saratovskaya58 2 2+ 3 3 - 43 Saratovskaya70 0; 2 3- 3 - 44 Saratovskaya74 3- 3 3- - - 44 Saratovskaya76 0; 0; 3 3 - 44 Sitara 3 3 - - - - 49 Tulaikovsk	33	L 503	3	3	3	4	Sr25
35 Lebedushka 1 1 3 3 Sr25 36 Margarita 1 2+ 3 3 Sr28- 37 Nadira 3 3 4 43+ - 38 Nikon 0; 0; 3 3 - 39 Prohoroka 1 1 12 12 Sr31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ Sr28 41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ - 42 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 43 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- - - 45 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 - 50 Tulaikovs	34	L 505	3	3-	3	3	Sr25
36 Margarita 1 2+ 3 3 Sr28- 37 Nadira 3 3 4 43+ - 38 Nikon 0; 0; 3 3 - 39 Prohorovka 1 1 12 12 Sr31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ Sr28- 41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ - 42 Saratovskaya 58 2 2+ 3 3 - 43 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 45 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 100 3- 3 3 - - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - - 50	35	Lebedushka	1	1	3	3	Sr25
37 Nadira 3 3 4 43+ - 38 Nikon 0; 0; 3 3 - 39 Prohorovka 1 1 12 12 Sr31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ Sr28 41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ - 42 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 43 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 45 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- - - 46 Saratovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 - - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - - 49 Tulaikovskaya 100 3- 3 3 - -	36	Margarita	1	2+	3	3	Sr28-
38 Nikon 0; 0; 3 3 - 39 Prohorovka 1 1 12 12 5r31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ 5r28 41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ - 42 Saratovskaya 58 2 2+ 3 3 - 43 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 45 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 3 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 100 2- 1 3 3 - 51 Tu	37	Nadira	3	3	4	43+	-
39 Prohorovka 1 1 12 12 Sr31 40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ Sr28 41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ - 42 Saratovskaya 58 2 2+ 3 3 - 43 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 45 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 - 46 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 - 52	38	Nikon	0;	0;	3	3	-
40 Sakara 2 2 3+ 3++ Sr28 41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ 42 Saratovskaya 58 2 2+ 3 3 43 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ 44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 44 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 45 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 46 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 47 Saratovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2- 2- 3 3 51 Tulaikovskaya 10 0; 0; 3 3	39	Prohorovka	1	1	12	12	Sr31
41 Saratovskaya 55 0; 0; 3+ 3+ - 42 Saratovskaya 58 2 2+ 3 3 - 43 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 45 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 - 46 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 100 2- 1 3 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 - 52 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya 2 0; 0; 3- 3- - 55 <td>40</td> <td>Sakara</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>3+</td> <td>3++</td> <td>Sr28</td>	40	Sakara	2	2	3+	3++	Sr28
42 Saratovskaya 58 2 2+ 3 3 - 43 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 44 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 46 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3 - - 47 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 100 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 100 3- 3 3 - - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3- 3- - 55 Tulaikovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- -	41	Saratovskaya 55	0;	0;	3+	3+	-
43 Saratovskaya 68 1 2 3+ 3++ - 44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 45 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 46 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3- 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 - 52 Tulaikovskaya 100 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 0; 0; 3 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya 0; 0; 3- 3- - 55 Tulaikovskaya 3+ 3 3 4 - 56	42	Saratovskaya 58	2	2+	3	3	-
44 Saratovskaya 70 0; 2 3 3 - 45 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 46 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3- 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 - 52 Tulaikovskaya 100 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 0; 3- 3- - 55 Tulaikovskaya zolotistaya 3+ 3 3 4 - - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3-	43	Saratovskaya 68	1	2	3+	3++	-
45 Saratovskaya 73 2 2 3- 3 - 46 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3- 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 - 52 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya 0; 0; 0; 3 3 4 - 55 Tulaikovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- - - 55 Tulaikovskaya 20 3+ 3 3 4 - - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+	44	Saratovskaya 70	0;	2	3	3	-
46 Saratovskaya 74 3- 3 3- 3 - 47 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3- 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 - 52 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya 0; 0; 0; 3 3 Sr25 55 Tulaikovskaya 20 3+ 3 3 - - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25	45	Saratovskaya 73	2	2	3—	3	-
47 Saratovskaya 76 0; 0; 3 4 - 48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 100 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3– 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2– 2– 3 3 Sr25 52 Tulaikovskaya 100 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3– 3– 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 0; 3 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3– 3– 4 - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 <td>46</td> <td>Saratovskaya 74</td> <td>3-</td> <td>3</td> <td>3–</td> <td>3</td> <td>-</td>	46	Saratovskaya 74	3-	3	3–	3	-
48 Sitara 3 3 2 2 - 49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3– 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2– 2– 3 3 Sr25 52 Tulaikovskaya 110 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3– 3– 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya 0; 0; 0; 3 3 Sr25 55 Tulaikovskaya 2 0; 0; 3 3 - 55 Tulaikovskaya 2 0; 0; 3 3 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3– 3– - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31+Sr28 <	47	Saratovskaya 76	0;	0;	3	4	-
49 Tulaikovskaya 10 2 1 3 3 Sr25 50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3– 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2– 2– 3 3 Sr25 52 Tulaikovskaya 110 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3– 3– 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 4 - 55 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3– 3– - - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3– 3– - - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0	48	Sitara	3	3	2	2	-
50 Tulaikovskaya 100 3- 3 3+ 3 - 51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 Sr25 52 Tulaikovskaya 110 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 4 - 55 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3- 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- - - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	49	Tulaikovskaya 10	2	1	3	3	Sr25
51 Tulaikovskaya 108 2- 2- 3 3 Sr25 52 Tulaikovskaya 110 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 Sr25 55 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 Sr25 55 Tulaikovskaya zolotistaya 3+ 3 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	50	Tulaikovskaya 100	3–	3	3+	3	-
52 Tulaikovskaya 110 0; 0; 3 3 - 53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3– 3– 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 \$\$r25 55 Tulaikovskaya zolotistaya 3+ 3 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3– 3– - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ \$\$r25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 - - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 \$\$r31+\$\$r28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 \$\$r57/Lr34\$	51	Tulaikovskaya 108	2—	2-	3	3	Sr25
53 Tulaikovskaya 5 3- 3- 4 3 - 54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 Sr25 55 Tulaikovskaya zolotistaya 3+ 3 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	52	Tulaikovskaya 110	0;	0;	3	3	-
54 Tulaikovskaya nadezhda 0; 0; 3 3 Sr25 55 Tulaikovskaya zolotistaya 3+ 3 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	53	Tulaikovskaya 5	3–	3-	4	3	-
55 Tulaikovskaya zolotistaya 3+ 3 3 4 - 56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3- 3- - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	54	Tulaikovskaya nadezhda	0;	0;	3	3	Sr25
56 Ul'yanovskaya 100 0; 0; 3– 3– - 57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2– Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	55	Tulaikovskaya zolotistaya	3+	3	3	4	-
57 Ul'yanovskaya 105 2 1 2+ 2+ Sr25 58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	56	Ul'yanovskaya 100	0;	0;	3–	3–	-
58 Voevoda 3 3+ 4 4 - 59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	57	Ul'yanovskaya 105	2	1	2+	2+	Sr25
59 Yugo-Vostochnaya 2 1 0; 2 2 Sr31 60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	58	Voevoda	3	3+	4	4	-
60 Yugo-Vostochnaya 4 1 1 1 2- Sr31+Sr28 61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	59	Yugo-Vostochnaya 2	1	0;	2	2	Sr31
61 Zhigulevskaya 0;1 1 3 4 Sr57/Lr34	60	Yugo-Vostochnaya 4	1	1	1	2-	Sr31+Sr28
	61	Zhigulevskaya	0;1	1	3	4	Sr57/Lr34

Table 3. Cont.

*—Replication.

The results of the evaluation of the resistance of winter cultivars of bread wheat are presented in Table 4.

		St				
N	Wheat Cultivars —	From t Cultivar Nadira		From Cultivar Voevoda		— Identified Sr Genes
		1 rep. *	2 rep.	1 rep.	2 rep.	
1	Aelita	1	1	2	2++	-
2	Al'ternativa	0;	0;	3	3	-
3	Antonina	2	2	3-	3-	Sr31
4	Bair	2	2+	3	3–	Sr57/Lr34
5	Bazis	0;	0;	3	3	Sr57/Lr34
6	Bezenchukskaya 380	3-	3—	3—	3–	-
7	Bezostaya 100	2	2	1	2	Sr31
8	Biryuza	0;	1	3+	3+	-
9	Brigada	1	1	3	3	-
10	Bulgun	2	12	2	2	Sr31
11	Chernozemka 115	1	1	3	3	Sr57/Lr34
12	Dolya	3	3	3	3-	-
13	Dzhangal'	1	1	0	0	-
14	Elanchik	3	3	3	3	-
15	Esaul	3	3	4	4	-
16	Estafeta	3–	3–	4	4	-
17	Gerda	3	3	3	3	-
18	Graf	3	3	4	4	Sr38
19	Grom	2	2+	3	3=	-
20	Gurt	2+	2++	2	2+	Sr31
21	Hasyr	1	1	2	2++	Sr57/Lr34
22	Integratsiya	3–	3-2++	0;	0;	-
23	Kinel'skaya 4	1	2	3	3	-
24	Laureat	1	1	2+	2+	-
25	Levoberezhnaya 1	4	4	2	2+	-
26	Levoberezhnaya 3	1	1+	2	2	-
27	Liga 1	1	1	2++	2++	Sr57/Lr34
28	Malahit	0;	0;	3	4	Sr57/Lr34
29	Novoershovskaya	4	4	3–	3=	-
30	Povolzhskaya 86	3–	3–	3	3	-
31	Povolzhskaya niva	3	3	3-	3-	-
32	Proton	4	4	3	3	Sr57/Lr34
33	Resurs	3–	3—	4	3	Sr57/Lr34

Table 4. Evaluation of resistance to stem rust and identification of *Sr* genes in winter bread wheat cultivars in the Volga region.

		Ste				
	-		_			
JN≌	Wheat Cultivars –	From t Cultivar Nadira		From Cultivar Voevoda		Identified Sr Genes
	_	1 rep. *	2 rep.	1 rep.	2 rep.	
34	Skirda	0;	0;	3+	3	Sr57/Lr34
35	Soberbash	2	2	4	4	
36	Svarog	2	2	3	3+	Sr31, Sr38
37	Svetoch	0;	0;	3	3	Sr57/Lr34
38	Timiryazevka 150	2	2	2	2+	Sr31
39	Vekha	2	2	2	2+	Sr31
40	Vertikal'	3–	4	3	3+	Sr28
41	V'yuga	2	2+	3	3	Sr57/Lr34
42	Yashkulyanka	3	3	3–	3–	-

Table 4. Cont.

*—Replication.

As for the 42 analyzed cultivars of winter bread wheat, there were 11 cultivars (26,1%) that were resistant to both populations' samples of stem rust: 'Aelita', 'Bezostaya 100', 'Bulgun', 'Dzhangal', 'Gurt', 'Hasyr', 'Laureat', 'Levoberezhnaya 3', 'Liga 1', 'Timiryazevka 150', and 'Vekha'. Twenty-six cultivars (61,9%) were resistant to the sample of the Tatarstan population of the fungus, and thirteen (31%) were resistant to the population sample from the 'Voevoda' cultivar.

3.3. Identification of Resistance Genes in Wheat Cultivars

The results obtained from the identification of *Sr* genes in the analyzed wheat cultivars are presented in Tables 3 and 4. No resistance genes were identified in durum wheat cultivars. The resistance genes *Sr31*, *Sr24*, *Sr25*, *Sr28*, *Sr38*, and *Sr57/Lr34* were identified in bread wheat cultivars. Among the genes effective against Russian populations of *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* but ineffective against the Ug99 fungus race, the *Sr31* gene was identified in spring and winter bread wheat cultivars. To identify *Sr31*, two markers were used in the study. These included SCM9, which reveals the wheat-rye translocation 1RS.1BL carrying a number of resistance genes, *Sr31/Lr26/Yr9/Pm8*, and the IAG95 marker (Figure 2A,B).

Translocation 1RS.1BL (gene Sr31) was identified in 19 cultivars, namely in 12 spring cultivars—'100 let TASSR', 'Balkysh', 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Ekada 253', 'Ekada 258', 'Ekada 265', 'Ershovskaya 36', 'Kur'er', 'Kvartet L 375', 'Prohorovka', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 2', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4'---and in 7 winter wheat cultivars---- 'Antonina', 'Bezostaya 100', 'Bulgun', 'Gurt', 'Svarog', 'Timiryazevka 150' and 'Vekha'. The presence of Sr31 in the cultivars was confirmed by both markers. Using the Sr24#50 and Sr24#12 markers, the Sr24 gene was identified in only one of the analyzed spring bread wheat cultivars: 'Ekada 265' (Figure 2C,D). In 'Ekada 265', a combination of Sr31 + Sr24 genes was identified. Using VENTRIUP-LN2 primers, the Sr38 gene was identified in two winter wheat cultivars: 'Graf' and 'Svarog'. This gene was not identified in spring wheat cultivars. However, in 15 spring cultivars of bread wheat (Table 3), using the Gb marker recommended for MAS, the Sr25/Lr19 gene was identified. It was effective against the Ug99 race and its biotypes. Sr25/Lr19 was not identified in winter wheat cultivars. The Sr28 gene was identified using two markers: wPt-7004-PCR and Xwmc 332. The coincidence of diagnostic fragments for both markers was considered evidence of the presence of Sr28 in the test material. Thus, we postulated the presence of Sr28 in six cultivars: the spring wheat cultivar 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Kur'er', 'Margarita', 'Sakara', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4', and the winter wheat cultivar 'Vertikal'. In 15 cultivars (four spring and 11 winter cultivars), the

Sr57/Lr34 resistance gene (marker csLV34) was identified. The combination of *Sr31* + *Sr25* genes was identified in the spring cultivar 'Kvartet L 375'. The combination of *Sr31* + *Sr38* genes was found in the winter cultivar 'Svarog'. The combination of *Sr31* + *Sr28* genes was found in two spring cultivars: 'Kur'er' and 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4'. The combination of *Sr31*+*Sr57/Lr34* genes was identified in the spring cultivar 'Ekada 253'. The combination of *Sr31* + *Sr28* + *Sr57/Lr34* genes was identified in the spring cultivar 'Chistopol'skaya', and a combination of resistance genes *Sr31* + *Sr24*, which is very rare for Russian cultivars, was identified in the spring cultivar 'Ekada 265'.



Figure 2. Identification of the Sr31 and Sr24 genes using molecular markers. (A) Identification of the Sr31 gene using the molecular marker SCM 9. (B) Identification of the Sr31 gene using the molecular marker IAG 95: Sr31-cultivar 'Avrora', positive control; Khak., In.-cultivars 'Khakasskaya' and 'Inna' negative controls; №№ 1–19 (the cultivars: '100 let TASSR', 'Balkysh', 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Ekada 253', 'Ekada 258', 'Ekada 265', 'Ershovskaya 36', 'Kur'er', 'Kvartet L 375', 'Prohorovka', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 2', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4', 'Antonina', 'Bezostaya 100', 'Bulgun', 'Gurt', 'Svarog', 'Timiryazevka 150', and 'Vekha'); K-control without DNA; M-molecular ladder 50 bp «Thermo Scientific[™] GeneRuler[™] DNA Ladder»; and the arrow indicates the diagnostic fragment with a molecular weight of 207 bp. (A), the arrow indicates the diagnostic fragment with a molecular weight of 1100 bp. (B); (C) Identification of the Sr24 gene in cultivar 'Ekada 265' using the molecular marker Sr24#50: Sr24—cultivar 'Payne', positive control; Ek.—cultivar 'Ekada 265'; Khak.—cultivar 'Khakasskaya', negative control; K-control without DNA; M-molecular ladder 50 bp «Thermo ScientificTM GeneRulerTM DNA Ladder»; and the arrow indicates the diagnostic fragment with a molecular weight of 200 bp. (D) Identification of the Sr24 gene in cultivar 'Ekada 265' using the molecular marker Sr24#12: Sr24—cultivar 'Payne', positive control; Ek.—cultivar 'Ekada 265'; Khak., In.-cultivars 'Khakasskaya' and 'Inna' negative controls; K-control without DNA; M-molecular ladder 50 bp «Thermo ScientificTM GeneRulerTM DNA Ladder»; and the arrow indicates the diagnostic fragment with a molecular weight of 500 bp.

The Sr2, Sr26, Sr36, Sr32, Sr36, and Sr39 genes were not found.

4. Discussion

When analyzing wheat cultivar resistance to the Tatarstan and Saratov stem rust populations samples, we saw a general trend. The number of cultivars resistant to the Tatarstan population sample of *P. graminis* significantly increased compared with the number of cultivars resistant to the Saratov population of the pathogen population sample. The cultivars 'Nadira' and 'Voevoda' themselves, used as a source of the fungus samples of the populations, were highly susceptible to stem rust both in the field and in laboratory conditions. 'Nadira' is a new cultivar created by the Kazan Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences breeders. 'Voevoda' is a relatively old cultivar bred by the Federal Center of Agriculture Research of the South-East Region. It is known that the 'Voevoda' genome has a substitution of the wheat chromosome by the Agropyron intermedium chromosome 6(D)6Agi [29]. The virulence analysis of *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* samples of populations carried out on wheat lines with the Sr gene showed that they differed from each other in virulence against the lines with the Sr33, Sr12, Sr39, Sr33+Sr5, and Sr7a+Sr12 genes. However, as the 'Voevoda' cultivar had the Sr6Agi gene, the population sample collected from it also differed by virulence against this gene. The resistance genes Sr33 and Sr39 and the genes combination Sr33+Sr5 were effective against the Tatarstan population sample collected from the 'Nadira' cultivar, and genes Sr12 and Sr7a+Sr12 were effective against the Saratov population of the fungus from 'Voevoda'. The Sr39 resistance gene was not found in the cultivars. It is unlikely that the Sr33 gene which introgressed into wheat from Aegilops tauschii present in Russian commercial cultivars, since "no wheat with Sr33 has been commercialized" [30]. Additionally, when analyzing the racial composition of the *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* populations samples used in this study, it was shown that an isolate of the TTTTF race was obtained from the Saratov population sample collected from the 'Voevoda' cultivar. Work to determine the racial composition of *P. graminis* f. sp. tritici populations in the Volga region is currently ongoing, and a separate article is being prepared. However, it can be already said that the presence of the TTTTF race in the Saratov population sample of *P. graminis* f. sp. tritici can explain the low number of cultivars resistant to it. In 2016 in Sicily, the TTTTF race infested several thousand hectares of durum wheat. It was the largest stem rust outbreak in Europe. The Sicilian race TTTTF is virulent against lines with the Sr9e and Sr13 resistance genes and avirulent against the Sr31, Sr24, and Sr25 genes [31]. However, in the same 2016, the TTTTF race, which differs from the Sicilian race, was identified in Western Siberia in Russia (according to the Global Rust Reference Center) [5]. Both the Tatarstan and Saratov stem rust population samples used in our work were avirulent against Sr13 and virulent against Sr25. The TTTTF race we obtained is likely to differ both from the Sicilian race and from the race obtained from Western Siberia. However, this assumption needs to be tested in further studies.

As for the juvenile resistance of the analyzed wheat cultivars, the following highly resistant cultivars were identified: durum wheat 'Bezenchukskaya krepost', 'Krasnokutka 13', 'Triada', and 'Kermen'; spring bread wheat cultivars '100 let TASSR', 'Balkysh', 'Burlak', 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Ekada 253', 'Ekada 258', 'Ekada 265', 'Ershovskaya 36', 'Kinel'skaya niva', 'Kur'er', 'Kvartet L 375', 'Prohorovka', 'Ul'yanovskaya 105', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 2', and 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4'; and winter wheat cultivars 'Aelita', 'Bezostaya 100', 'Bulgun', 'Dzhangal', 'Gurt', 'Hasyr', 'Laureat', 'Levoberezhnaya 3', 'Liga 1', 'Timiryazevka 150', and 'Vekha'. Long-used commercial wheat cultivars such as spring cultivars 'Prohorovka', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 2', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4', 'Kinel'skaya niva', 'Kur'er', durum wheat 'Krasnokutka 13', and 'Kermen', alongside winter cultivars 'Bulgun,' 'Dzhangal', 'Levoberezhnaya 3' and 'Liga 1', were among the resistant cultivars. They were included in the Annual State Register of the Admitted Breeding Achievements of the State Commission of the Russian Federation for Breeding Achievements Test and Protection (FSBI «Gossortcommission» https://gossortrf.ru/en/) in 1996–2009 and marked as approved for use. Most of the resistant cultivars listed above are new. Among them, there are those that were included in the FSBI "Gossortcommission" Register in 2019-2022 ('Triada', '100 let TASSR',

'Balkysh', 'Burlak', 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Ekada 253', 'Ekada 258', and 'Timiryazevka 150') and a completely new 'Ekada 265'.

In this study, the *Sr31*, *Sr24*, *Sr25*/*Lr25*, *Sr28*, *Sr38*, and *Sr57*/*Lr34* genes were identified in the analyzed bread wheat cultivars. The *Sr* genes were not identified in durum wheat cultivars. The resistant durum wheat cultivars may have other genes that are not included in the analysis or some new resistance genes. However, this requires further research to be conducted. The *Sr31* gene was identified in 12 cultivars of spring and seven cultivars of winter bread wheat—19 cultivars in total, which accounted for 18.4% of the total number of 103 analyzed bread wheat cultivars (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Representation of *Sr* genes in the studied wheat cultivars. *Sr31* ('100 let TASSR', 'Balkysh', 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Ekada 253', 'Ekada 258', 'Ekada 265', 'Ershovskaya 36', 'Kur'er', 'Kvartet L 375', 'Prohorovka', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 2', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4', Antonina', 'Bezostaya 100', 'Bul-gun', 'Gurt', 'Svarog', 'Timiryazevka 150', and 'Vekha'); *Sr25* ('Aleksandrit', 'Dobrynya', 'Ekada 113', 'Hazine', 'Kinel'skaya 2010', 'Kinel'skaya niva', 'Kinel'skaya yubilejnaya', 'Kvartet L 375', 'L 503', 'L 505', 'Lebedushka' 'Tulaikovskaya 10', 'Tulaikovskaya 108', 'Tulaikovskaya nadezhda', and 'Ul'yanovskaya 105'); *Sr24* ('Ekada 265'); *Sr28* ('Chistopol'skaya', 'Kur'er', 'Margarita', 'Sakara', 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4', and 'Vertikal'); *Sr38/Lr37* ('Graf' and 'Svarog'); and *Sr57/Lr34* ('Bair', 'Bazis', 'Chernozemka 115', 'Hasyr', 'Liga 1', 'Malahit', 'Proton', 'Resurs', 'Skirda', 'Svetoch', 'V'yuga', 'Chistopol'skaya', 'Ekada 253', 'Kazanskaya Yubilejnaya', and 'Zhigulevskaya).

Despite the fact that wheat cultivars with the *Sr31* gene are affected by the Ug99 race and its biotypes, Sr31 remains the only gene present in Russian commercial cultivars that is effective against all Russian populations of the stem rust pathogen [4,27,28]. However, there were alarming reports about *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* isolates from the West Siberian stem rust population that were virulent against Sr31 [5]. As mentioned above, Sr31 is introgressed into the wheat from rye (Secale cereale L.), localized in the 1BL.1RS translocation, and closely linked to genes for resistance to leaf (Lr26) and yellow (Yr9) rusts, as well as to powdery mildew (*Pm8*). 'Avrora' and 'Kavkaz' cultivars, as carriers of 1BL.1RS, have long been used as parental forms in the development of wheat cultivars resistant to stem rust. The 1BL.1RS translocation is present in more than 650 bread wheat cultivars in Europe, Asia, Australia, and America [32,33]. In the present study, almost all cultivars carrying Sr31 were resistant to both stem rust population samples, except for winter cultivars 'Antonina' and 'Svarog', which turned out to be heterogeneous in the 1BL.1RS translocation. The Sr38 gene was not effective against the Volga populations of stem rust, although it was effective against the Novosibirsk and Altai populations of the pathogen [34]. It is linked to the gene for adult plant resistance (APR) to leaf rust (Lr37) and yellow rust Yr17 as part of the 2NS.2AS translocation from Triticum ventricosum L. In the present study, Sr38 was first identified in two winter cultivars: 'Graf' and 'Svarog'. In the spring wheat cultivar

'Aleksandrit', a combination of the Sr25 + Sr38 genes was previously identified, which ensured the resistance of this cultivar to leaf rust and to the stem rust race Ug99 + Sr24 [35]. However, in this study, only the Sr25 gene was identified in 'Aleksandrit', and it was susceptible to the Tatarstan and Saratov populations samples of *P. graminis*.

At present, the resistance genes Sr28, Sr29, SrTmp (T. aestivum L.), Sr2, Sr13, Sr14 (T. turgidum L.), Sr22, Sr35 (T. monococcum L.), Sr37 (T. timopheevii Zhuk.), Sr32, Sr39 (Aegilops speltoides Tausch.), Sr47, Sr33, Sr45 (Ae. tauschii Coss.), Sr40 (T. araraticum Jakubz.), Sr25, Sr26, Sr43 (Agropyron elongatum Host.), Sr44 (Ag. intermedium Host.), Sr27, and 1A.1R (Secale cereale L.) remain effective for the Ug99 race and its biotypes. The combination of such juvenile genes as Sr22, Sr25, and Sr26 with APR resistance genes Sr57 and Sr55 remains effective [36,37]. The Sr25 gene was identified in 15 spring wheat cultivars (14,6%). As expected, it was not detected in winter cultivars. As previous studies have shown, the Sr25 gene, as well as the Sr6Agi gene, which were traditionally widely used in the breeding of spring wheat cultivars in the Volga region, lost their effectiveness in the Lower Volga region [4,38]. This was also confirmed in the present study. Such old cultivars as 'Dobrynya', 'L 503', and 'L 505', in which the presence of Sr25 was confirmed, as well as the new cultivar 'Kinel'skaya 2010' with identified Sr25, were susceptible to both stem rust populations' samples. However, such cultivars as 'Ekada 113', 'Hazine', 'Lebedushka', 'Tulaikovskaya 10', and 'Tulaikovskaya nadezhda' were susceptible to the fungus population sample from the 'Voevoda' cultivar and resistant to the population sample P. graminis from 'Nadira'. This is due to the possible presence of the *Sr*6Agi gene in them. Its presence was not identified in this study; however, it is known that 'Lebedushka' and 'Tulaikovskaya 10' cultivars have substitution combinations from Agropyron intermedium and A. elongatum— 6(D)6Agi and 7DS-7DL-7Ae#1L, with genes Sr25 and Sr6Agi [29]. The cultivars 'Kinel'skaya niva' and 'Ul'yanovskaya 105', in which the presence of Sr25 was confirmed, were resistant to both pathogen populations' samples. They probably contain other unidentified genes that affect the determination of the trait. Of the entire sample of cultivars, the Sr24 gene was identified only in one new spring bread wheat cultivar, 'Ekada 265', in which, for the first time, we identified an extremely promising combination of resistance genes, Sr31 + Sr24. The leaf rust resistance gene Lr24 linked to the Sr24 gene is highly effective against Russian populations of Puccinia triticina [39,40]. The Sr28 gene was identified in six bread wheat cultivars. Although it is not effective against Russian stem rust populations, it is effective against the race Ug99, which makes it valuable for breeding, especially when combined with Sr31, as, for example, in 'Kur'er' and 'Yugo-Vostochnaya 4' cultivars. The *Sr28* gene was also identified in Chinese commercial cultivars [10]. Russian wheat cultivars were analyzed for the presence of this gene for the first time. The pleiotropic locus *Sr57/Lr34/Yr18/Pm38/Bdv1*, which determines APR non-specific resistance to biotrophic pathogens of the "slow rusting" type, was identified in five spring and ten winter cultivars of bread wheat (14.6% of the total number of analyzed cultivars of bread wheat). Wheat cultivars containing the Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Pm38 locus are often susceptible to stem rust [41]. However, it was shown that the STS marker of the Lr34 gene, csLV34, was closely associated with resistance to Ug99 in winter and spring CIMMYT cultivars [42]. Additionally, the interaction of *Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Pm38* with other resistance genes was shown. Thus, when Lr34 was combined with the stem rust resistance gene SrCad, an additive effect was observed, i.e., a significant increase in resistance to the Ug99 stem rust pathogen race [43]. A new resistant cultivar 'Chistopol'skaya' stood out among the cultivars, in which the Sr57/Lr34 gene was identified during the study. A valuable combination of Sr31 + Sr28 +Sr57/Lr34 genes was identified in it for the first time, providing protection against Russian populations of stem rust and against the Ug99 race. It should be noted that according to originators, the bread wheat cultivars that we identified as resistant to stem rust at the seedling stage were also resistant to leaf rust in field conditions (Gossortcommission). Cultivars 'Veha' and 'Bezostaya 100' were highly resistant to all three types of rust (brown, yellow, and stem) in the field conditions.

5. Conclusions

Among the Russian cultivars approved for use in the Volga region, the spring and winter durum and bread wheat cultivars most resistant to stem rust were identified. These durum wheat cultivars were, namely, 'Bezenchukskaya krepost', 'Krasnokutka 13', 'Triada', and 'Kermen'. They may be carriers of unknown resistance genes. In this work, *Sr2*, *Sr24*, *Sr25*, *Sr26*, *Sr28*, *Sr31*, *Sr32*, *Sr36*, *Sr38*, *Sr39*, and *Sr57* were not identified in the resistant durum wheat cultivars. The identification of their resistance genes is a matter for further research.

The *Sr31*, *Sr24*, *Sr25*, *Sr28*, *Sr38*, and *Sr57/Lr34* genes were identified in bread wheat cultivars. *Sr31*, *Sr25*, and *Sr57* were the most common genes we identified, and only *Sr31* was effective against the Volga region stem rust populations. *Sr31* and *Sr25* are widely used in Russian spring bread wheat breeding to ensure stem rust resistance. The *Sr31* was identified in only 19 cultivars, and it can be concluded that the current Volga region *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. tritici population forms on susceptible wheat cultivars. We confirmed the partial loss of *Sr25* gene efficiency in the Volga region. The *Sr24* gene was identified in only one bread wheat cultivar, 'Ekada 265', in which it was combined with *Sr31*. It should be noted that the cultivars with combinations of the *Sr31* gene, which are effective against Russian populations of *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*, and those with combinations of the *Sr28* and *Sr57* genes, which are effective against the Ug99 race biotypes, are promising for cultivation under the conditions of the epidemic development of the disease.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/agriculture13030635/s1. Table S1: Durum wheat cultivars used in the study; Table S2: Spring bread wheat cultivars used in the study; Table S3: Winter bread wheat cultivars used in the study; Table S4: Sources of resistance genes used in the study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, and project administration, O.B.; conceptualization, resources, writing—review and editing, E.K. (Elmira Kon'kova); methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing—review and editing, V.S. (Valeriya Solyanikova); methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing—review and editing, E.K. (Elena Kyrova); conceptualization, resources, writing—review and editing, S.S. (Sergey Sibikeev); resources, writing—review and editing, V.S. (Valery Sergeev), S.G., S.S. (Sergey Shevchenko), P.M., D.D., L.B., I.A., A.T., N.V., D.A. (Damir Askhadullin) and D.A. (Danil Askhadullin). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was funded by the RSF (Russian Science Foundation), grant number 22-26-00172.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data are provided in the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: The creation of wheat cultivars was performed with financial support from the government assignment.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Ashagre, Z.A. Detection of wheat stem rust (*Puccinia graminis f.* sp. *tritici*) physiological races from major wheat producing regions of Ethiopia. *Aquac. Fish. Stud.* **2022**, *4*, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- Lewis, C.M.; Persoons, A.; Bebber, D.P.; Kigathi, R.N.; Maintz, J.; Findlay, K.; Bueno-Sancho, V.; Corredor-Moreno, P.; Harrington, S.A.; Kangara, N.; et al. Saunders1Potential for re-emergence of wheat stem rust in the United Kingdom. *Commun. Biol.* 2018, 1, 13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vasilova, N.Z.; Askhadullin, D.F.; Askhadullin, D.F. Epiphytoty of stem rust on spring wheat in Tatarstan. *Plant Prot. Quar.* 2017, 2, 27–28. (In Russian)
- Baranova, O.A.; Sibikeev, S.N.; Druzhin, A.E. Molecular identification of the stem rust resistance genes in the introgression lines of spring bread wheat. *Vavilov J. Genet. Breed.* 2019, 23, 296–303. [CrossRef]

- Patpour, M.; Hovmøller, M.S.; Rodriguez-Algaba, J.; Randazzo, B.; Villegas, D.; Shamanin, V.P.; Berlin, A.; Flath, K.; Czembor, P.; Hanzalova, A.; et al. Wheat stem rust back in Europe: Diversity, prevalence and impact on host resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, 13, 882440. [CrossRef]
- 6. Pretorius, Z.A.; Singh, R.P.; Wagoire, W.W.; Payne, T.S. Detection of virulence to wheat stem rust resistance gene *Sr31* in *Puccinia* graminis f. sp. tritici in Uganda. *Plant Dis.* **2000**, *84*, 203. [CrossRef]
- 7. RustTracker.org. Available online: https://rusttracker.cimmyt.org/?page_id=22 (accessed on 28 January 2023).
- 8. Kolmer, J.A.; Jin, Y.; Long, D.L. Wheat leaf and stem rust in the United States. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 2007, 58, 631–638. [CrossRef]
- 9. Xu, X.; Yuan, D.; Li, D.; Gao, Y.; Wang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Wang, S.; Xuan, Y.; Zhao, H.; Li, T.; et al. Identification of stem rust resistance genes in wheat cultivars in China using molecular markers. *PeerJ* 2018, *6*, e4882. [CrossRef]
- 10. Li, T.Y.; Cao, Y.Y.; Wu, X.X.; Xu, X.F.; Wang, W.L. Seedling Resistance to Stem Rust and Molecular Marker Analysis of Resistance Genes in Wheat Cultivars of Yunnan, China. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0165640. [CrossRef]
- 11. Spanic, V.; Rouse, M.N.; Kolmer, J.A.; Anderson, J.A. Leafand stem seedling rust resistance in wheat cultivars grown in Croatia. *Euphytica* **2015**, 203, 437–448. [CrossRef]
- 12. Flath, K.; Miedaner, T.; Olivera, P.; Matthew, N.; Jin, Y. Genes for wheat stem rust resistance postulated in German cultivars and their efficacy in seedling and adult-plant field tests. *Plant Breed.* **2018**, *137*, 301–312. [CrossRef]
- Jin, Y.; Singh, R.P.; Ward, R.W.; Wanyera, R.; Kinyua, M.; Njau, P.; Fetch, T.; Pretorius, Z.A.; Yahuaoui, A. Characterization of seedling infection types and adult plant infection responses of monogenic *Sr* gene lines to race TTKS of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici. Plant Dis.* 2007, *9*, 1096–1099. [CrossRef]
- 14. Stakman, E.C.; Stewart, D.M.; Loegering, W.Q. *Identification of Physiologic Races of Puccinia graminis var. tritici*; United States Department of Agriculture—Agricultural Research Service: Washington, DC, USA, 1962; E-617.
- 15. Murray, M.G.; Thompson, W.F. Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1980**, *8*, 4321–4325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mago, R.; Simkova, H.; Brown-Guedira, G.; Dreisigacker, S.; Breen, J.; Jin, Y.; Singh, R.; Appels, R.; Lagudah, E.S.; Ellis, J.; et al. An accurate DNA marker assay for stem rust resistance gene *Sr2* in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2011, 122, 735–744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mago, R.; Bariana, H.S.; Dundas, I.S.; Spielmeyer, W.; Lawrence, G.J.; Pryor, A.J.; Ellis, J.G. Development or PCR markers for the selection of wheat stem rust resistance genes *Sr24* and *Sr26* in diverse wheat germplasm. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2005, 111, 496–504. [CrossRef]
- 18. Prins, R.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Marais, G.F.; Snape, J.W.; Koebner, R. AFLP and STS tagging of *Lr19*, a gene conferring resistance to leaf rust in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2001**, *103*, 618–624. [CrossRef]
- 19. Rouse, M.N.; Nava, I.C.; Chao, S.; Anderson, J.A.; Jin, Y. Identification of markers linked to the race Ug99 effective stem rust resistance gene *Sr28* in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2012**, *125*, 877–885. [CrossRef]
- 20. Weng, Y.; Azhaguvel, P.; Devkota, R.N.; Rudd, J.C. PCR-based markers for detection of different sources of 1AL.1RS and 1BL.1RS wheat–rye translocations in wheat background. *Plant Breed.* 2007, *126*, 482–486. [CrossRef]
- Mago, R.; Spielmeyer, W.; Lawrence, J.; Lagudah, E.S.; Ellis, G.J.; Pryor, A. Identification and mapping of molecular markers linked to rust resistance genes located on chromosome 1RS of rye using wheat-rye translocation lines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2002, 104, 1317–1324. [CrossRef]
- 22. Mago, R.; Verlin, D.; Zhang, P.; Bansal, U.; Bariana, H.; Jin, Y.; Ellis, J.; Hoxha, S.; Dundas, I. Development of wheat–*Aegilops speltoides* recombinants and simple PCR-based markers for *Sr32* and a new stem rust resistance gene on the 2S#1 chromosome. *Theor Appl Genet.* **2013**, *126*, 2943–2955. [CrossRef]
- 23. Tsilo, T.J.; Jin, Y.; Anderson, J.A. Diagnostic microsatellite markers for detection of stem rustresistance gene *Sr36* in diverse genetic backgrounds of wheat. *Crop Sci.* 2008, *48*, 253–261. [CrossRef]
- 24. Helguera, M.; Khan, I.A.; Kolmer, J.; Lijavetzky, D.; Zhong-qi, L.; Dubcovsky, J. PCR assays for the Lr37-Yr17-Sr38 cluster of rust resistance genes and their use to develop isogenic hard red spring wheat lines. *Crop Sci.* 2003, *43*, 1839–1847. [CrossRef]
- Mago, R.; Zhang, P.; Bariana, H.S.; Verlin, D.C.; Bansal, U.K.; Ellis, J.G.; Dundas, I.S. Development of wheat lines carrying stem rust resistance gene *Sr39* with reduced *Aegilops speltoides* chromatin and simple PCR markers for marker assisted selection. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2009, 119, 1441–1450. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lagudah, E.S.; McFadden, H.; Singh, R.P.; Huerta-Espino, J.; Bariana, H.S.; Spielmeyer, W. Molecular genetic characterization of the Lr34/Yr18 slow rusting resistance gene region in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2006, 114, 21–30. [CrossRef]
- Skolotneva, E.S.; Kosman, E.; Patpour, M.; Kelbin, V.N.; Morgounov, A.I.; Shamanin, V.P.; Salina, E.A. Virulence Phenotypes of Siberian Wheat Stem Rust Population in 2017–2018. Front. Agron. 2020, 2, 6. [CrossRef]
- 28. Volkova, G.V.; Gladkova, E.V.; Miroshnichenko, O.O. Virulence of the North Caucasian population of *Puccinia graminis* Pers. f. sp. *tritici. Bull. Russ. Agric. Sci.* 2021, 2, 40–45. (In Russian) [CrossRef]
- Sibikeev, S.N.; Badaeva, E.D.; Gultyaeva, E.I.; Druzhin, A.E.; Shishkina, A.A.; Dragovich, A.Y.; Kroupin, P.Y.; Karlov, G.I.; Khuat, T.M.; Divashuk, M.G. Comparative Analysis of Agropyron intermedium (Host) Beauv 6Agi and 6Agi2 Chromosomes in Bread Wheat Cultivars and Lines with Wheat–Wheatgrass Substitutions. *Russ. J. Genet.* 2017, *53*, 314–324. [CrossRef]
- 30. McIntosh, R.A.; Wellings, C.R.; Park, R.F. *Wheat Rusts: An Atlas of Resistance Genes*; CSIRO Canberra: Canberra, Australia, 1995; p. 200.
- 31. Bhattacharya, S. Deadly new wheat disease threatens Europe's crops. Nature 2017, 542, 145–146. [CrossRef]

- 32. Bulojchik, A.A.; Dolmatovich, T.V. Molecular identification of resistance genes to leaf rust of winter wheat cultivars released in the areas of the republic of Belarus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Belarus Biol. Ser.* **2015**, *3*, 46–50. (In Russian)
- 33. Oak, M.D.; Tamhankar, S.A. *1BL/1RS* translocation in durum wheat and its effect on end use quality traits. *J. Plant Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *26*, 91–96. [CrossRef]
- Skolotneva, E.S.; Kelbin, V.N.; Shamanin, V.P.; Boyko, N.I.; Aparina, V.A.; Salina, E.A. The gene Sr38 for bread wheat breeding in Western Siberia. *Vavilov J. Genet. Breed.* 2021, 25, 740–745. [CrossRef]
- 35. Druzhin, A.E.; Sibikeev, S.N.; Vlasovets, L.T.; Golubeva, T.D.; Kalintseva, T.V. The study of agronomic valuable and adaptive traits in a new cultivar of spring bread wheat Alexandrite produced by introgression breeding. *Agric. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 12–17. (In Russian) [CrossRef]
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available online: http://www.fao.org/agriculture/crops/rust/stem/ stem-pathotypetracker/stem-effectivesrgenes/en/ (accessed on 20 January 2023).
- 37. Kojshybaev, M. Wheat Diseases. Available online: https://www.fao.org/3/i8388ru/I8388RU.pdf (accessed on 28 January 2023).
- Baranova, O.A.; Sibikeev, S.N.; Druzhin, A.E.; Sozina, I.D. Loss of effectiveness of stem rust resistance genes Sr25 and Sr6Agi in the lower Volga region. Plant Prot. News 2021, 104, 105–112. [CrossRef]
- 39. Gultyaeva, E.; Levitin, M.; Shaydayuk, E. Variability of the Russian populations of Puccinia triticina under the influence of the host plant. *Biol. Commun.* **2021**, *66*, 28–35. [CrossRef]
- 40. Gultyaeva, E.; Gannibal, P.; Shaydayuk, E. Long-Term Studies of Wheat Leaf Rust in the North-Western Region of Russia. *Agriculture* **2023**, *13*, 255. [CrossRef]
- 41. Voluevich, E.A. Pleiotropic effects of resistance genes of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to biotrophic fungal pathogens. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Belarus Biol. Ser.* **2016**, *2*, 115–125. (In Russian)
- 42. Yu, L.-X.; Barbier, H.; Rouse, M.N.; Singh, S.; Singh, R.P.; Bhavani, S.; HuertaEspino, J.; Sorrells, M.E. A consensus map for Ug99 stem rust resistance loci in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2014, 127, 1561–1581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hiebert, C.W.; Fetch, T.G.; Zegeye, T.; Thomas, J.B.; Somers, D.J.; Humphreys, D.G.; McCallum, B.D.; Cloutier, S.; Singh, D.; Knott, D.R. Genetics and mapping of seedling resistance to *Ug99* stem rust in Canadian wheat cultivars 'Peace' and 'AC Cadillac'. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2011, 122, 143–149. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.