



Article Use of High Resolution Spatiotemporal Gene Expression Data to Uncover Novel Tissue-Specific Promoters in Tomato

Lulu Chen^{1,2}, Yuhang Li¹, Yuting Wang¹, Wenzhen Li¹, Xuechao Feng¹ and Lingxia Zhao^{1,*}

- ¹ Plant Biotechnology Research Center, School of Agriculture and Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China; chenll@yctu.edu.cn (L.C.); l-sunshine@sjtu.edu.cn (Y.L.);
- yutingwang3103@gmail.com (Y.W.); liwz2022@hotmail.com (W.L.); mycjcaq@gmail.com (X.F.)
 ² Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Bioresources of Saline Soils, Jiangsu Synthetic Innovation Center for Coastal
- Bio-Agriculture, School of Wetlands, Yancheng Teachers University, Yancheng 224007, China
- Correspondence: lxzhao@sjtu.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-21-3420-5775

Abstract: Genetic modification can be an effective strategy for improving the agronomic traits of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) to meet demands for yield, quality, functional components, and stress tolerance. However, limited numbers of available tissue-specific promoters represent a bottleneck for the design and production of transgenic plants. In the current study, a total of 25 unigenes were collected from an RNA-sequence dataset based on their annotation as being exclusively expressed in five type of tissues of tomato pericarp (outer and inner epidermis, collenchyma, parenchyma, and vascular tissues), and every five unigenes, was respectively selected from each tissue based on transcription expression. The 3-kb 5' upstream region of each unigene was identified from the tomato genome sequence (SL2.50) using annotated unigene sequences, and the promoter sequences were further analyzed. The results showed an enrichment in T/A (T/A > 70%) in the promoter regions. A total of 15 putative tissue-/organ-specific promoters were identified and analyzed by real-time (RT) quantitative (q) PCR analysis, of which six demonstrated stronger activity than widely used tissue-specific tomato promoters. These results demonstrate how high spatiotemporal and high throughput gene expression data can provide a powerful means of identifying spatially targeted promoters in plants.

Keywords: *cis*-regulatory element; genetic improvement; omics; *Solanum lycopersicum*; tissue-specific promoter

1. Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) is at most a dual-purpose crop for vegetable and fruit worldwide, and it has also served as a model plant in many aspects of fruit physiology, development, and metabolism, as well as genetics, evolutionary biology, and developmental biology [1]. Currently, the progress of tomato breeding was prompted by molecular maker-assisted breeding techniques with molecular biology developments, and efficiency and the predicted breeding was sharply rapid with the biotechnological development and dissection of several metabolic pathways. It especially concerned the medical industry when tomato was used as a model system to express therapeutic proteins [2–4]. However, the content of the foreign protein was limited to a low-activity promoter that controls gene expression encoding. This limits tomato application as an expression system for the development of molecular farming.

A gene promoter usually, but not exclusively, comprises a DNA sequence upstream of the transcribed portion of the gene, and has sequences/sites that mediate the recognition and binding of the RNA polymerase, leading to a transcriptional initiation. Indeed, promoters with multiple *cis*-regulatory elements play critical roles in gene transcriptional expression regulation [5]. Thereafter, a large number of promoters have been identified by



Citation: Chen, L.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Li, W.; Feng, X.; Zhao, L. Use of High Resolution Spatiotemporal Gene Expression Data to Uncover Novel Tissue-Specific Promoters in Tomato. *Agriculture* 2021, *11*, 1195. https:// doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11121195

Academic Editor: Domenico Pignone

Received: 10 October 2021 Accepted: 24 November 2021 Published: 27 November 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). isolating the target genes in a wide range of prokaryotes and eukaryotes and by analyzing the upstream sequences, and the characteristics and functions of the promoters have since been extensively investigated in model organisms [6,7]. Promoters have been widely deployed through genetic modification to alter organismal traits, such as crop yield and quality, and for the production of functional secondary metabolites that can be used as pharmaceuticals to benefit human health and to treat diseases [3,8–12].

Promoters can generally be classified into three categories: constitutive [13,14], tissuespecific [15,16], and inducible [17–19]. The constitutive promoters 35S (cauliflower mosaic virus 35S RNA) and Ubiquitin have been widely used to drive the expression of the genes of interest in dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous species, respectively [20–24]. However, the use of constitutive promoters can have negative effects, such as gene silencing, an excessive consumption of plant energy, disease symptoms, and effects on morphogenesis, growth, and development [25,26]. These factors limit the application of constitutive promoters when improving traits such as plant yield and quality [27–29]. As a result, researchers have come to recognize the potential advantages of organ- or tissue-specific promoters, such as avoiding the excessive accumulation of heterologous proteins in non-targeted tissues and the precise control of the expression [30]. Accordingly, tissue-specific promoters have been used for improving agricultural traits and to drive the production of proteins and secondary metabolites in target organs/tissues in a process that has been referred to as molecular farming [4,29,31].

An example of specific promoters that have been characterized to date include the fruit-specific promoters from tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), such as those of the genes *E4*, *E8*, *PG*, and *2A11* [32–37], and the anther- and/or pollen-specific *LAT52* and *LAT59* gene promoters [15,38–40]. In other species, notable organ- or tissue-specific gene promoters include *RTS* from *Oryza sativa* [41], *pchiA*, and *pchiB* from *Petunia hybrida* [42], the seed-specific *Les4* promoter from *Vicia faba* [43], the stem-specific *SHDIR16* and *pSHOMT* promoters from a *Saccharum* hybrid [44], the *ScLSG* promoter from *Saccharum officinarum* [45], and the vascular tissue-specific *LICCR* and *LICAD* promoters from *Leucaena leucocephala* [46]. However, the number of robust tissue-specific promoters is limited and has not met the demand for plant molecular farming and the genetic improvement of specific crop traits [2,4].

However, Cortés et al. (2020, 2021) proposed that the genetics of adaptation to new environments is an important field for tolerance to abiotic stress in plant-genetics improvement [47,48]. This implies that the spatiotemporal gene expression maybe prefers epigenetic, rather than plastic. Manning et al. (2006) firstly found that a natural epigenetic mutation in a gene-encoding and SBP-box transcription factor alters tomato fruit color [49].

In this current study, to identify novel and highly efficient tissue-specific promoters, a total of 25 unigenes that were exclusively expressed in one of the five tissues (the top five from each tissue) were selected from a publicly available high spatiotemporal resolution RNA-seq dataset that was derived from five pericarp tissues of tomato fruit (*S. lycopersicum*, cv. Ailsa Craig) at 10 days post anthesis (dpa) [50], and 15 tissue-/organ-specific promoters were identified by an analysis of the expressional patterns of all 25 unigenes via real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). This provides a batch of highly efficient promoters to improve the agronomic traits of crops, and also exploit a kind of strategy to find novel, highly efficient tissue-/organ-specific promoters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Promotor Sequence of Tissue-Specific Unigenes

We took advantage of a publicly available high spatiotemporal resolution RNAseq dataset that was derived from five pericarp tissues: the oep (outer epidermis), col (collenchyma), par (parenchyma), vas (vascular tissues), and iep (inner epidermis) of tomato fruit (*S. lycopersicum*, cv. Ailsa Craig) at 10 dpa (Supplementary dataset S1) [50]. A total of 25 unigenes were chosen from among 20,976 high-quality expressed unigenes. Unigenes were identified that corresponded to the five most-abundantly expressed tissuespecific genes from each of the five tomato fruit (cv. Ailsa Craig) pericarp tissues: oep, col, par, vas, and iep. Then, the 25 unigenes were mapped to the tomato genome (SL2.50; http://solgenomics.net/ accessed on 15 October 2021) to capture the 3 kb region sequence upstream of the initiation codon (ATG).

2.2. Promoter Sequence Analysis and Examination of cis-Regulatory Elements

The DNA sequence of each of the 25 unigenes was used to search the Sol Genomics Network database (http://solgenomics.net/ accessed on 15 October 2021) to identify the 3 kb upstream sequence from the ATG initiation codon. The genetic distance between the 25 promoter sequences was determined using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis, v. 6.0 software package (Supplementary file S2). A cluster analysis was performed using the genetic distance values, and a heatmap was drawn using Multi-Experiment Viewer software [51]. The 3 kb promoter sequence from each unigene was submitted to the New PLACE database to identify regulatory elements (https://sogo.dna.affrc.go.jp/cgi-bin/sogo.cgi?lang=en&pj=640&action=page&page=newplace accessed on 15 October 2021) [52].

2.3. Plant Materials

Tomato (cv. M82) seeds, provided by the Tomato Genetics Resource Center (University of California, Davis, CA, USA, http://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/ accessed on 15 October 2021), were sown in 60-cell breeding plug trays (Taizhou Sophia Import & Export Co., Ltd., Taizhou, China) with humid peat pellets. Seeds were germinated at 26/20 °C (day/night) in a light-seeding box (SG650, Shanghai, China) and seedlings were grown under standard greenhouse conditions at the Pujiang Experimental Farm at the School of Agriculture and Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University (Shanghai, China). Seedlings were transferred to natural light polycarbonate greenhouse conditions when they had four true leaves.

2.4. RNA Extraction and Identification of Tissue-Specific Genes

Total RNA was extracted from tomato (cv. M82) pericarp at 10 dpa, 35 dpa (mature green stage), 47 dpa (breaker stage), and 54 dpa (red ripe stage) using the RNA prep pure Plant Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was similarly extracted from different organs: roots, stems, leaves, and flowers. Each biological replicate comprised three individual plants, and a total of three biological replicates were collected. The concentration and quality of the total RNA samples were evaluated using a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and a total RNA of 1 µg was used as the template to synthesize first-strand cDNA with the PrimeScriptTM RT Master Mix Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The cDNA was diluted 100-fold with RNase-free water to perform RT-qPCR with gene specific primers (Supplementary file S9) and the SYBR Premix Ex TaqTM II Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RT-qPCR program was 95 °C for 120 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, 60 °C for 20 s, 72 °C for 20 s, and finally, 72 °C for 5 min, followed by a 10 °C hold, and the reactions were run on a Roche Light-Cycler[®] 96 (Roche, Germany). The ACTIN (GenBank: BT013524) gene was used as the reference for data normalization. The $2^{-\Delta CT}$ values were calculated, and statistically significant differences in the expression levels were determined using a Duncan's multiple range test [53].

3. Results

3.1. Identification of the Five Most-Abundantly Expressed Tissue-Specific Unigenes from Each of the Five Tomato Pericarp Tissues

A total of 624 tomato pericarp tissue-specific unigenes were reported by Matas et al. (2011), which were distributed as follows: oep (217), col (39), par (24), vas (284), and iep (60) (Figure 1 and Supplementary file S1). The authors also found that the tissue-specific unigenes were mostly distributed in both tissues of the oep and vas (501/624). We selected



the five most-abundantly expressed tissue-specific unigenes from each of the five tissues, giving a total of 25 genes (Table 1).

Figure 1. Number of unigenes exclusively expressed in one of the five tissues of tomato pericarp (cv. Ailsa Craig). oep, outer epidermis; col, collenchyma; par, parenchyma; vas, vascular; iep, inner epidermis. Data from Supplementary dataset 1 of Matas et al. (2011).

3.2. Promoter A/T Content and Cluster Analysis

The 3-kb promoter sequences upstream of the predicted ATG initiation codon of each of the 25 unigenes were identified in the Sol Genomics Network database (http: //solgenomics.net/ accessed on 15 October 2021) (Supplementary file S2). The A/T content of each ~3000 bp region was calculated, as well as that of a series of promoter fragments (~2500 bp, ~2000 bp, ~1500 bp, ~1000 bp, and ~500 bp) (Supplementary file S3). The A/T content ranged from 42% (~2500 bp of *pSolyc06g024380.1*) to 78% (~1000 bp at *pSolyc01g067730.2*), and was >70% and higher than that for C/G, except for *pSolyc06g024380.1* in oep (Supplementary file S4). The average A/T content varied from 68.67% (~3000 bp) to 70.74% (~1000 bp) among the 25 promoters. The A/T content gradually increased, moving from ~3000 bp upstream of the ATG toward the ATG but decreased from ~1000 bp upstream of the ATG (Figure 2). The A/T-enriched promoter regions might facilitate double-strand melting for transcription initiation [54].

Gene ID	Annotation	Locus	Promoter Region (-3000 to -1)
Outer epidermis			
Solyc03g098700.1	putative Kunitz-type tuber invertase inhibitor precursor (Solanum tuberosum)	SL2.50ch03:6098183060981171	SL2.50ch03:6098483060981831
Solyc06g024380.1	PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC101232191 (Cucumis sativus)	SL3.0ch06:1124903411249552	SL3.0ch06:1124603411249033
Solyc10g075090.1	PREDICTED: non-specific lipid-transfer protein 1-like (Solanum lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch10:5880102458800507	SL2.50ch10:5880402458801025
Solyc10g075100.1	non-specific lipid transfer protein precursor (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch10:5881121258810582	SL2.50ch10:881421258811213
Solyc10g076200.1	non-specific lipid-transfer protein 2-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch10:5905177059052216	SL2.50ch10:5904877059051769
Collenchyma			
Solyc01g105040.2	PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC101251628 (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch01:9333160893329788	SL2.50ch01:9333459293331593
Solyc03g005000.2	PREDICTED: protease HtpX homolog 2-like isoform 1 (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch03:1671219799	SL2.50ch03:1381716816
Solyc07g020860.2	thioredoxin peroxidase 1 (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch07:1429527214300912	SL2.50ch07:1429247014295469
Solyc09g013150.2	PREDICTED: probable anion transporter 3, chloroplastic-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch09:55584285552677	SL2.50ch09:55612825558283
Solyc10g006790.2	PREDICTED: probable serine/threonine-protein kinase abkC-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch10:12315721237111	SL2.50ch10:12285721231571
Parenchyma			
Solyc01g067730.2	PREDICTED: acyl carrier protein 1, chloroplastic-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch01:7667453276676885	SL2.50ch01:7667178176674780
Solyc01g096270.2	PREDICTED: cytochrome b5-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch01:8734996987350651	SL2.50ch01:8734717487350173
Solyc04g007770.2	PREDICTED: major latex-like protein (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch04:14589081456588	SL2.50ch04: 14616931458694
Solyc07g049140.2	PREDICTED: metallocarboxypeptidase inhibitor, fruit-specific protein (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch07:5936909659367495	SL2.50ch07:5937182859368829
Solyc09g007940.2	PREDICTED: adenosine kinase 2-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch09:14400581444221	SL2.50ch09:14370581440057
Vascular			
Solyc01g111310.2	LAX2 protein (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch01:9759595597592414	SL2.50ch01:9759890597595906
Solyc04g026020.2	PREDICTED: sieve element-occluding protein 3 (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch04:1981793319814414	SL2.50ch04:1982093319817934
Solyc05g006830.2	PREDICTED: thioredoxin H2-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch05:14542321453126	SL2.50ch05:14570941454095
Solyc06g075220.1	PREDICTED: fasciclin-like arabinogalactan protein 11-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch06:4667787746678626	SL2.50ch06:4667487746677876
Solyc09g010080.2	invertase 5, an extracellular invertase (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch09:34754803479343	SL2.50ch09:34725223475521
Inner epidermis			
Solyc04g072310.2	PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC101262106 (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch04:5934411859341881	SL2.50ch04:5934691859343919
Solyc04g082170.2	PREDICTED: alcohol dehydrogenase-like 7-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch04:6594652065949299	SL2.50ch04:6594352065946519
Solyc06g065530.2	PREDICTED: GDSL esterase/lipase At1g29670-like (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch06:4091394840910209	SL2.50ch06:4091691240913913
Solyc09g062960.2	PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC101264365 (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch09:6086469060864224	SL2.50ch09:6086747260864473
Solyc09g062970.1	PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC101264365 (S. lycopersicum)	SL2.50ch09:6087700160877273	SL2.50ch09:60874001-60877000

Table 1. The 25 unigenes expressed exclusively in one of the five tomato pericarp tissues (5 per tissue) *.

* Data in the table was obtained from an RNA-seq dataset [50]; the top five unigenes exclusively expressed in one of the five tomato (cv. Ailsa Craig) fruit pericarp tissues at 10 dpa (days post anthesis) were selected.



Percentage of A/T in different regiones in promoters(%)

Figure 2. A/T content in different promoter regions, from ~3000 to ~1 bp. The data were collected from different promoter regions, ~3000 to ~1 bp from the 25 promoters; the 'A' of the translation initiation codon (ATG) was designated as bp + 1, while the next upstream 'A' nucleotide was designated bp -1.

Genetic distances were estimated between the promoter sequences and lengths (~3000 bp, ~2500 bp, ~2000 bp, ~1500 bp, ~1000 bp, and ~500 bp) using the pairwise distance function of the MEGA 6.0 software. The values ranged from 0.479 (Solyc01g111310.2 and Solyc07g020860.2, ~500 bp) to 0.768 (Solyc06g024380.1 and Solyc09g010080.2, ~1000 bp). However, average genetic distances varied from 0.659 (~1000 bp) to 0.668 (~3000 bp), and there did not appear to be distinct differences between promoter fragments (~500 bp to ~3000 bp) (Supplementary files S5 and S6). A cluster analysis revealed that the distal end (~1501-~3000 bp) of six of the promoters (*pSolyc06g024380.1*, *pSolyc09g007940.2*, Solyc03g005000.2, pSolyc01g096270.2, pSolyc01g105040.2, and pSolyc04g082170.2) was evolutionarily distant from the other 19 promoters, while the proximal end (~1500 bp) of pSolyc06g024380.1 and Solyc03g005000.2 was evolutionary distant from the other 23 promoters. The distal end of pSolyc01g067730.2 and pSolyc09g013150.2 was evolutionarily close to the other 23 promoters, while the proximal ends of *pSolyc01g067730.2* and *pSolyc07g020860.2* were close to the other 23 promoters (Figure 3 and Supplementary file S6). We concluded that there was a low level of homology between promoters that are specific to each individual tissue, or between promoters that are associated with different tissues.



Figure 3. Cluster analysis heatmap drawn based on genetic distance values. The genetic distance between pairs of promoter sequences was calculated by the pairwise distance function of the MEGA 6.0 software package, and each genetic distance value in a heatmap line indicates the average from each promoter sequence compared to the other 24 (of the same size). Blue to red values on the scale represent genetic distances, from close to distant.

3.3. Expression Profiles of Pericarp Tissue-Specific Unigenes in Other Organs

The five most-abundantly expressed unigenes (Solyc03g098700.1, Solyc06g024380.1, Solyc10g075090.1, Solyc10g075100.1, and Solyc10g076200.1) associated with the oep had diverse expression profiles in different organs. The expression of Solyc10g076200.1 was significantly higher in anthers (111.26) than in petals (58.70) and other organs (Figure 4a). Solyc03g098700.1 was exclusively expressed in expanding green fruit (10 dpa), and both Solyc10g075100.1 and Solyc10g075090.1 were specifically expressed in leaves and expanding fruit (10 dpa). Solyc06g024380.1 expression did not appear to be organ-specific and was expressed at higher levels in roots than in the other organs (Figure 4a).

Of the five unigenes (Solyc01g067730.2, Solyc01g096270.2, Solyc04g007770.2, Solyc07g04-9140.2, and Solyc09g007940.2) associated with the par tissue, both Solyc04g007770.2 and Solyc07g049140.2 showed fruit-specific expression, with Solyc04g007770.2 exclusively expressed in the mature green stage (35 dpa), while Solyc07g049140.2 was expressed during fruit development, reaching its peak at 54 dpa (red ripe stage). Solyc09g007940.2 was expressed in anthers at significantly higher levels than in other organs. Solyc01g096270.2 was expressed at high levels in flowers, and significantly higher in anthers than in other organs. Solyc01g067730.2 was expressed in all organs investigated, and its expression in petals was significantly higher than in other organs (Figure 4b).

Two unigenes, Solyc06g075220.1 and Solyc04g026020.2, selected from the vas tissue unigene set, were expressed in roots and stems at a significantly higher level than in other organs. Solyc09g010080.2 was expressed in both anthers and carpels, and significantly higher than that in sepals, petals, and vegetative organs. Both Solyc05g006830.2 and Solyc01g111310.2 seemed to be constitutively expressed in all organs, but with significantly higher level in the leaves than in other organs (Figure 4c).



Figure 4. Transcriptional profiles of 25 tomato unigenes expressed in fruit, exclusively in one of the five pericarp tissues, across multiple organs. Expression profiles of 25 unigenes that were exclusively expressed in one of the five tissues (five from each tissue): outer epidermis (**a**), parenchyma (**b**), vascular (**c**), collenchyma (**d**), and inner epidermis (**e**). Real-time (RT) quantitative (**q**) PCR was used to examine expression in roots, stems, leaves, anthers, carpels, sepals, petals, and fruit (10 dpa, 35 dpa, 47 dpa and 54 dpa), with *ACTIN* as the reference gene. The data are the mean \pm SD of three independent biological replicates (**n** = 3), and the capital letters indicate statistically significant differences between the measured values (p < 0.01), as determined by the Duncan's multiple range test, while lowercase letters indicate significant differences at the p < 0.05 level.

Of the five most-abundantly expressed genes associated with the col tissue (*Solyc07g02-0860.2, Solyc03g005000.2, Solyc10g006790.2, Solyc09g013150.2,* and *Solyc01g105040.2*), the expression of three (*Solyc01g105040.2, Solyc03g005000.2,* and *Solyc09g013150.2*) was significantly higher in the sepals than in other organs, with *Solyc01g105040.2* showing sepal-specific expression. Both *Solyc07g020860.2* and *Solyc10g006790.2* were expressed at a significantly higher level in anthers than in other organs, and *Solyc07g020860.2* showed anther-specific expression (Figure 4d).

Of the top five unigenes from the iep tissue (Solyc09g062970.1, Solyc09g062960.2, Solyc04g082170.2, Solyc04g072310.2, and Solyc06g065530.2), both Solyc09g062960.2 and Solyc09g062970.1 had leaf-specific expression. Solyc04g082170.2 and Solyc06g065530.2 were exclusively expressed in the anthers and sepals, respectively, and Solyc04g072310.2 was expressed in the carpels at statistically higher levels than in others organ, although expression was not carpel-specific (Figure 4e).

To summarize, a total of 15 tissue-specific genes were expressed exclusively or nearly exclusively in one of the investigated organs. The expression patterns were as follows: four in anthers (*pSolyc04g082170.2*, *pSolyc09g007940.2*, *pSolyc09g010080.2*, and *pSolyc10g076200.1*); four in fruit (*pSolyc03g098700.1*, *pSolyc04g007770.2*, *pSolyc07g049140.2*, and *pSolyc10g075090.1*); three in leaves (*pSolyc09g062960.2*, *pSolyc09g062970.1*, and *pSolyc10-g075100.1*); two in the roots/stems (*pSolyc04g026020.2* and *pSolyc06g075220.1*) and two in sepals (*pSolyc01g105040.2* and *pSolyc06g065530.2*) (Figure 4).

3.4. Distribution of cis-Regulatory Elements in Tissue-Specific Promoters

A total of 15 tissue-specific promoter sequences (~3 kb) were submitted to the New PLACE database to search for expressional regulatory elements. We focused on the three major promoter elements: core elements, elements associated with phytohormones, and those that confer tissue specificity (Figure 5, Supplementary files S7 and S8).



Figure 5. Distribution of cis-regulatory elements in different tissue-specific promoters. Elements in the core promoter: CAAT box (CAAT), transcriptional activation [55]; CCAAT box (CCAAT), transcriptional activation; CAT box (GCCAAC), cis-acting regulatory element related to meristem expression [56]; DPE, downstream promoter element $(A/G_{+28}-G-A/T-C/T-G/A/C)$, located approximately 30 nucleotides downstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS) of many TATAless promoters [57]; GC box (GGGCGG), enhancer [56]; TATA box (box1, CTATAAATAC; box2, TATAAAT; box4, TATATAA), transcription initiation [58]. Phytohormone-responsive elements: ABARE, abscisic acid (ABA)-responsive element (CATGCA) [59]; ARE1/ARE2, auxin-responsive element (CGTGG/ACTTTA) [59]; ARFbs, auxin response factor-binding site (TGt/cCTC), primary/early auxin response [60]; ASR, auxin- and salicylic acid-responsive site (TGACG) [59]; ERE, ethylene-responsive element (ATTTCAAA), senescence- and ethylene-responsive [61]; GARE (AAACAGA), gibberellin-responsive element [62]; GASRE, response to gibberellin, sugar element (TATCCA) [59]. Tissue-specific elements: AnSE1/AnSE2, anther-specific element (GAAT and ATTGTGA/TGTGGTT) [39,42]; AC-I/AC-II (ACCTACC/ACCAACC), responsible/enhanced xylem and/or repressed phloem for vascular tissue expression [63]; Box P (c/aACCAAAC), phenylpropanoid/lignin biosynthesis [64-66]; BS1 (AGCGGG), vascular tissue-specific expression [67]; (CA)n, (CNAACAC), embryo- and endosperm-specific transcription [68]; FSE (TGTc/tACA, fruitspecific expression, enhancer element) [69]; SITEIIATCYTC (TGGGCC/T), anther- and meristemspecific gene-expression element [70].

Core promoter elements were mostly located at the end proximal to ATG at \sim -900 bp and included CAAT boxes (CAAT), downstream promoter elements (DPE, A/G₊₂₈-G-A/T-C/T-G/A/C), GC boxes (GGGCGG), and TATA boxes (box1, CTATAAATAC; box2, TATAAAT; box4, TATATAA), while CCAAT boxes (CCAAT) were distributed along the entire promoter regions. The TATA box is an initiation site for transcription, and was found in eight organ-enriched promoters, included two anther-, three fruit-, two root-/stem-, and one sepal-specific promoter. Although the TATA box was not detected in the other seven tissue-specific promoters, one to four copies of the DPE were found in these cases (Figure 5 and Supplementary file S7).

Plant hormone-responsive elements were present in all the promoters and were distributed at $\sim -2100 \text{ bp}/\sim -3000 \text{ bp}$ in the fruit-/leaf-specific promoters. Elements involved in auxin and gibberellin activity, such as ARE (auxin-responsive elements, ACTTTA or CGTGG), ARFbs (auxin response-factor binding site, TGt/cCTC) and GARE (gibberellinresponsive element motif, AAACAGA) were significantly more enriched than ABAresponsive elements (CATGCA) and EREs (ethylene-responsive elements, ATTTCAAA) (Figure 5 and Supplementary file S7).

Certain tissue-specific elements were also detected in some of the promoters, but none were found in *pSolyc06g065530.2*, a sepal-specific promoter (Figure 5 and Supplementary file S7). The anther-specific element SITEIIATCYTC (TGGGCY) was not only detected in the three anther-specific promoters (*pSolyc09g007940.2*, *pSolyc09g010080.2*, and *pSolyc10g076200.1*), but was also found in two fruit- (*pSolyc03g098700.1* and *pSolyc10g07509-0.1*), one leaf- (*pSolyc09g062970.1*), and one root-/stem- (*pSolyc01g105040.2*) associated promoter. An anther-specific element (GAAT/ATTGTGA), known from the *pchiB* (*CHAL-CONE FLAVANONE ISOMERASE B promoter*) gene [42], was detected in *pSolyc09g010080.2*, whereas an anther-specific pB core motif (TGTGGTT) [39] was found in the promoter of the leaf-specific *pSolyc09g062960.2*. The TGTc/tACA motif, a fruit-specific element, was detected in six tissue-specific promoters, which included one leaf- (*pSolyc01g105040.2*), and two fruit-specific (*Solyc03g098700.1* and *Solyc07g049140.2*) promoters.

The conserved C/AACCAAAC sequence from the Box P motif has been associated with lignin biosynthesis in monocotyledonous species such as rice (*Oryza sativa*), maize (*Zea mays*), and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*), and was also detected in the anther-specific promoter of *pSolyc10g076200.1* and the fruit-specific promoter of *pSolyc03g098700.1* in our tomato data. The conserved BS1 (Biding site 1) motif (AGCGGG) has been shown to be required for vascular-specific expression, and was only detected in a root-/stem-specific promoter (*Solyc04g026020.2*). The AC-I motif (ACCTACC), known to be responsible for driving expression in the xylem, was detected in the anther-specific *pSolyc09g007940.2* promoter, whereas AC-II (ACCAACC), which is known to be highly expressed in the xylem and to have low expression in the phloem, was found in two anther-specific (*Solyc09g007940.2*) and *Solyc04g082170.2*), one leaf-specific (*Solyc09g062970.1*), and one root-/stem-specific promoter (*Solyc04g082170.2*). (Figure 5 and Supplementary file S7).

4. Discussion

The identification of tissue-/organ-specific promoters in plants would be beneficial for the genetic improvement of economically important traits, as well as the development of plant-derived functional products, such as antibodies, vaccines, and/or proteins for clinical use [3,4,8,9,31]. However, to date, the limited number and weak expression of known tissue-/organ-specific promoters limited their utilization in such plant-improvement programs. The exploitation of high resolution gene-expression data provides a potential path to the identification of novel promoters or the facilitation of the development of tissue-/organ-specific synthetics. Substantial amounts of gene-expression data are available for tomato, including transcriptome datasets [50,71]. Of the 25 tomato fruit-tissue-specific unigenes [50], we verified 15 tissue-/organ-specific promoters by RT-qPCR, which corresponds to 60% (15/25) of the identified genes (Table 1 and Figure 4). We also found that there was a low level of DNA sequence homology in the promoters by cluster analysis sequences (Figure 3).

Many functional elements have been identified in plant promoters [32,33,39,43,44,46,55, 67,72,73], and this has resulted in the development of the PLACE database (https://sogo.dna. affrc.go.jp/cgi-bin/sogo.cgi?lang=en&pj=640&action=page&page=newplace accessed on 15 October 2021) [52]. However, there is still an elementary understanding of which elements or conserved motif sequences precisely and effectively regulate the expression of the target

gene [41,74]. Moreover, strong, especially tissue-/organ-specific, promoters have not always been advantageous for improving all agricultural traits or in the production of therapeutic proteins in molecular farming [25,26].

Here, the activity of 15 tissue-/organ-specific promoters was compared to common tissue-specific promoters (*pLAT52*, *p2A11*, *pE8*, *pE4*, and *pPG*) [32,33,35–38,75,76] and a constitutive promoter (CaMV 35S) [20,21]. We found that an anther-specific promoter, pSolyc10g076200.1, had a 15-fold higher expression than the LAT52 promoter [15], and a 35-fold higher expression than a 2×355 promoter (Table 2) [14,20,21,77]. Three fruitspecific promoters (pSolyc04g007770.2, pSolyc07g049140.2, and pSolyc10g075090.1) showed 3- to 73-fold stronger expressions than the E8 and PG promoters, and pSolyc07g049140.2 had an 8-fold higher expression than the 2×355 promoter (Table 2). pSolyc10g075100.1 was expressed 1.91-fold stronger than the $2 \times 35S$ promoter, and *pSolyc09g062970.1* was as strong as the 2×355 promoter (Table 2). The expression of a root-/stem-specific promoter, *pSolyc06g075220.1*, was 2.5-fold higher than that of the *pRSS1* promoter [78]. The enhancer element for fruit-specific expression, TGTc/tACA, was found in pSolyc07g049140.2, but not in *pSolyc10g075090.1* or *pSolyc04g007770.2* (Figure 5). This suggests that other fruit-specific elements are present in addition to TGTc/tACA. Pollen- and/or anther-specific motifs were also not detected in *pSolyc04g082170.2*, an anther-specific promoter (Figure 5 and Supplementary file S7). The vascular-specific AC-II (ACCAACC) element was only found in the promoter of the leaf-specific gene pSolyc09g062970.1 (Figure 5 and Supplementary file S7). These results suggest that many of the tissue-/organ-specific elements have not yet been identified, and that complex regulatory systems underlie tissue- and/or organspecific expression.

TSP ID *	Ratio (TSP/CP **)			
	pE8	pPG	pLAT52	2×35S
Anther-specific				
pSolyc04g082170.2			0.23	0.54
pSolyc09g007940.2			0.01	0.01
pSolyc09g010080.2			0.06	0.14
pSolyc10g076200.1			15.32	35.07
Fruit-specific				
pSolyc03g098700.1	0.03	0.20		0.02
pSolyc04g007770.2	0.46	3.35		0.38
pSolyc07g049140.2	10.05	72.98		8.22
pSolyc10g075090.1	0.42	3.03		0.34
Leaf-specific				
pSolyc09g062960.2				0.28
pSolyc09g062970.1				1.02
pSolyc10g075100.1				1.91
Root-/stem-specific				
pSolyc04g026020.2				0.02/0.03
pSolyc06g075220.1				0.08/0.04
Sepal-specific				
pSolyc01g105040.2				0.05
pSoluc069065530.2				0.02

Table 2. Activity of tissue-specific promoters (*TSPs*) compared with commonly used promoters (*CPs*).

* In the column *TSP* ID, the *p* in front of a gene ID indicates that it is the promoter region of that target gene. ** Both *pE8* and *pPG* are tomato fruit-specific promoters. *pLAT 52* is an anther-specific promoter with a LAT 52 box; 2×355 is a constitutive promoter with a double repeat of the 35S promoter sequence. The value for each promoter activity in the table has been normalized by the expression of the *ACTIN* gene. The expression values of *pE8*, *pPG*, and the 15 tissue-specific promoters were obtained by RT-qPCR. The activity of *pLAT52* was estimated from six flower RNA-seq data points (C34, C36, C37, C38, C39, and C40) on the TomExpress website [77] (Zouine et al. 2017). The activity of 2×35S was estimated by overexpression of the *PHYTOENE SYNTHASE 1* (*PSY1*) gene in a yellow fruited cherry tomato and transcriptome data (unpublished data from our lab). *ACTIN* (GenBank accession BT013524) expression was always used as a reference gene. Here, what we must emphasize is that 25 unigenes collected from an RNA-sequence dataset of the tomato cultivar Ailsa Craig [50], while the M82 was used to identify 15 tissue/organ-specific promoters in the present research, the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), or a few nucleotide differences of the promoter derived from the orthologs gene, may be residing between two tomato cultivars. This case was also observed at E8 promoter in tomato, although the identity of the E8 promoter DNA sequence reaches 99.64% among four cultivars of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*, Zaofen No.2, Zhongshu No.5, Red cherry, and Cherry) [29]. Therefore, we must concentrate on whether the mutations or different nucleotides fall to key *cis*-elements in the promoters.

Simultaneously, all of DNA methylation, histone modification and non-coding RNA regulation exhibit epigenetic aspects, and suppress the expression of target genes [79]. Although DNA methylation is tissue-specific, however, a great scientific problem is to clarify the relationship between DNA methylation regions and tissue-specific expressed genes [80]. In addition, DNA methylation also affects alternative splicing of mRNA at the post-transcriptional level [81].

To excavate tissue-specific promotors more effectively, an expression genome-wide association study (eGWAS) across several databases would be a more effective strategy than RNA-sequence, and LD patterns within the associated cis-regulatory promoter-linked regions of previous eGWAS, especially, would be an alternative approach to find active promoters in the future [82]. Of course, point mutation or single nucleotide polymorphisms frequently occur between orthologous genes in plants, even different cultivars. The slight difference in E8 promotors was previously observed in the cultivated cultivars of tomato (S. lycopersicum) [29]. This mutation would affect promoter activity, especially when it falls into cis-regulatory elements. Plasmids of the RCc3:OsNAC5 and GOS2:OsNAC5 were used to transform rice (Oryza sativa), and RCc3:OsNAC5 plants showed a significantly higher grain yield of 22-63%, while the GOS2:OsNAC5 plants showed a reduced or similar yield to the nontransgenic (NT) controls [83]. Yang et al. (2021) found that the relevant transcription factors bind to the cis-regulatory elements within the promoters of auxin transporter genes to respond to diverse stresses in transformed potato (Solanum tuberosum) [84]. However, a differential expression of the auxin transporter genes under abscisic acid and abiotic stresses indicated their specific adaptive mechanisms regulating tolerance to environmental stimuli. Wang et al. (2015) created the artificial green-tissue-specific promoters by assembling several DNA regulatory sequences, which include some *cis*-elements associated with tissue-specific expression [85]. Despite the novel promoters showing the property of the green-tissue-specific ones, the different expression efficiencies of GUS genes derived by those novel promotors occurred between various tissues. All of those results showed the relationship between specific stresses and potential shared genomic bases; this also especially exhibited the pleiotropic and epistatic effects that are associated with epigenetic Gene-Environment Interreaction (GxE) and plasticity effects mediated by the promoter.

5. Conclusions

Genetic modification can be an effective method for the improvement of plant traits, and in this context, the promoter region is an essential *cis*-regulatory element for the expression of target genes. Genome walking or thermal asymmetric interlaced polymerase chain reaction (TAIL-PCR) have, until recently, been the primary strategies for sequencing the promoters of genes of interest [56]. This approach is time consuming and laborious and the resulting limited set of tissue-/organ-specific promoters has not met the demands of biotechnological applications. However, this bottleneck may be addressed through the use of large datasets derived from analysis of genomes, transcriptomes, proteomes, and metabolome, which are being reported at an increasing rate, in line with technological developments.

We chose the model plant, tomato, an economically important crop, and designed an effective pipeline for identifying tissue-/organ-specific promoters. Based on a previously published RNA-seq dataset [50,71] created from five tomato fruit pericarp tissues (outer

and inner epidermal layers, collenchyma, parenchyma, and vascular tissues), a total of 25 genes were chosen from 20,976 high-quality unigenes, and mapped onto the tomato genome sequence (http://solgenomics.net accessed on 15 October 2021) to identify the promoter sequence for each. A total of 15 tissue-specific promoters were then verified as organ-specific by RT-qPCR, and six of these as more strongly expressed than other commonly used organ-specific promoters, such as fruit- and/or anther-specific promoters.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/agriculture11121195/s1, Supplementary file S1: Number of tissue-specific genes; Supplementary file S2: Promoters (DNA sequence 3 kb upstream from the ATG initiation codon). A total of 25 promoters were obtained from five tissues (targeting five per tissue): outer epidermis (oep), collenchyma (col), parenchyma (par), vascular (vas), and inner epidermis (iep); Supplementary file S3: Number of A, T, C, and G bases in different regions of the 25 tissue-specific promoters; Supplementary file S4: Percentage (%) of A/T and C/G in different regions of the 25 tissue-specific promoters; Supplementary file S5: Average genetic distance between different lengths of the 25 promoters; Supplementary file S6: Genetic distance between each pair of promoter sequence;. Supplementary file S7: Distribution of *cis*-regulatory elements in the tissue-specific promoters; Supplementary file S8 Number of *cis*-regulatory elements in the tissue-specific promoters; Supplementary file S9: Primers used for RT-qPCR in this study.

This study lays a foundation for investigating the functions of target genes and dissecting

the roles of *cis*-regulatory elements in different promoters.

Author Contributions: L.Z. conceived and designed the research and wrote the manuscript. L.C. performed the main experimental work, data analysis, and wrote the manuscript. Y.L. performed the RT-qPCR experiment on the tissues of the outer epidermis and inner epidermis. Y.W. collected and prepared the samples. Y.W. performed the RT-qPCR experiment on the tissues of parenchyma. W.L. analyzed the RT-qPCR data. X.F. participated in the genetic distance analysis. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31872112, 32072583), the Key Technology Research and the Development Program of Shanghai Technology Committee, Shanghai, China (19391904100), the SJTU Global Strategic Partnership Fund (2019 SJTU-HUJI, WF610561702), and the Jiangsu Provincial Double-Innovation Doctor Program, Jiangsu Province, China [JSSCBS20211141].

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article and Supplementary Material.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to Dani Zamir and the TGRC (Tomato Genetic Resource Center, UC Davis, CA, USA) for providing the tomato seeds. We are particularly grateful to Lida Zhang (School of Agriculture and Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China) for the cluster analysis. We are grateful to Jocelyn K. C. Rose (Plant Biology Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA) for editing the manuscript and providing constructive suggestions for the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

ARE: auxin responsive elements; ARFbs: auxin response factor-binding site; BR stage: breaker stage; BS1: Biding site 1; col: collenchyma; dpa: days post anthesis; DPE: downstream promoter element; ERE: ethylene-responsive element; GARE: gibberellin-responsive element motif; iep: inner epidermis; kb: kilo base; MG stage: mature green stage; oep: outer epidermis; par: parenchyma; pchiB: CHALCONE FLAVANONE ISOMERASE B promoter; RR stage: red ripe stage; RT-qPCR: real time quantitative PCR; TAIL-PCR: thermal asymmetric interlaced polymerase chain reaction; vas: vascular.

References

- Chen, L.; Li, W.; Li, Y.; Feng, X.; Du, K.; Wang, G.; Zhao, L. Identified trans-splicing of *YELLOW-FRUITED TOMATO* 2 encoding the PHYTOENE SYNTHASE 1 protein alters fruit color by map-based cloning, functional complementation and RACE. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2019, 100, 647–658. [CrossRef]
- 2. Chen, Y.; Wang, A.; Zhao, L.; Shen, G.; Cui, L.; Tang, K. Expression of thymosin α1 concatemer in transgenic tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruits. *Appl. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2009**, *52*, 303–312. [CrossRef]
- 3. Ma, J.K.; Drake, P.M.; Christou, P. Genetic modification: The production of recombinant pharmaceutical proteins in plants. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2003, *4*, 794–805. [CrossRef]
- Butelli, E.; Titta, L.; Giorgio, M.; Mock, H.P.; Matros, A.; Peterek, S.; Schijlen, E.G.W.M.; Hall, R.D.; Bovy, A.G.; Luo, J.; et al. Enrichment of tomato fruit with health-promoting anthocyanins by expression of select transcription factors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2008, 26, 1301–1308. [CrossRef]
- 5. Deaner, M.; Alper, H.S. Promoter and terminator discovery and engineering. In *Synthetic Biology–Metabolic Engineering*; Zhao, H., Zeng, A.P., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; pp. 21–44.
- 6. Hieno, A.; Naznin, H.A.; Hyakumachi, M.; Sakurai, T.; Tokizawa, M.; Koyama, H.; Sato, N.; Nishiyama, T.; Hasebe, M.; Zimmer, A.D.; et al. ppdb: Plant promoter database version 3.0. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2014**, *42*, D1188–D1192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Dreos, R.; Ambrosini, G.; Groux, R.; Périer, R.C.; Bucher, P. The eukaryotic promoter database in its 30th year: Focus on non-vertebrate organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2016**, *45*, D51–D55. [CrossRef]
- 8. Hiatt, A.; Caffferkey, R.; Bowdish, K. Production of antibodies in transgenic plants. Nature 1989, 342, 76–78. [CrossRef]
- Paine, J.A.; Shipton, C.A.; Chaggar, S.; Howells, R.M.; Kennedy, M.J.; Vernon, G.; Wright, S.Y.; Hinchliffe, E.; Adams, J.L.; Silverstone, A.L.; et al. Improving the nutritional value of Golden Rice through increased pro-vitamin A content. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2005, 23, 482–487. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, Z.; Xu, X.; Gong, Q.; Li, Z.; Li, Y.; Wang, S.; Yang, Y.; Ma, W.; Liu, L.; Zhu, B.; et al. Engineering broad-spectrum bacterial blight resistance by simultaneously disrupting variable tale-binding elements of multiple susceptibility genes in Rice. *Mol. Plant* 2019, 12, 1434–1446. [CrossRef]
- 11. Liu, X.; Li, S.; Yang, W.; Mu, B.; Jiao, Y.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, C.; Fan, Y.; Chen, R. Synthesis of seed-specific bidirectional promoters for metabolic engineering of anthocyanin-rich maize. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **2018**, *59*, 1942–1955. [CrossRef]
- Takaiwa, F.; Ogo, Y.; Wakasa, Y. Specific region affects the difference in accumulation levels between apple food allergen Mal d 1 and birch pollen allergen Bet v 1 which are expressed in vegetative tissues of transgenic rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2018, *98*, 439–454. [CrossRef]
- 13. Conway, T.; Sewell, G.W.; Osman, Y.A.; Ingram, L.O. Cloning and sequencing of the alcohol dehydrogenase II gene from *Zymomonas mobilis. J. Bacteriol.* **1987**, *169*, 2591–2597. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ow, D.W.; Jacobs, J.D.; Howell, S.H. Functional regions of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S RNA promoter determined by use of the firefly luciferase gene as a reporter of promoter activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1987**, *84*, 4870–4874. [CrossRef]
- Muschietti, J.; Dircks, L.; Vancanneyt, G.; McCormick, S. LAT52 protein is essential for tomato pollen development: Pollen expressing antisense *LAT52* RNA hydrates and germinates abnormally and cannot achieve fertilization. *Plant J.* 1994, *6*, 321–338. [CrossRef]
- 16. Hirai, T.; Kim, Y.-W.; Kato, K.; Hiwasa-Tanase, K.; Ezura, H. Uniform accumulation of recombinant miraculin protein in transgenic tomato fruit using a fruit-ripening-specific *E8* promoter. *Transgenic Res.* **2011**, *20*, 1285–1292. [CrossRef]
- 17. Taylor, W.E.; Straus, D.B.; Grossman, A.D.; Burton, Z.F.; Gross, C.A.; Burgess, R.R. Transcription from a heat-inducible promoter causes heat shock regulation of the sigma subunit of *E. coli* RNA polymerase. *Cell* **1984**, *38*, 371–381. [CrossRef]
- Cordingley, M.G.; Riegel, A.T.; Hager, G.L. Steroid-dependent interaction of transcription factors with the inducible promoter of mouse mammary tumor virus in vivo. *Cell* 1987, 48, 261–270. [CrossRef]
- 19. Johns, A.M.B.; Love, J.; Aves, S.J. Four inducible promoters for controlled gene expression in the oleaginous yeast *Rhodotorula toruloides. Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 1666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Odell, J.T.; Nagy, F.; Chua, N.H. Identification of DNA sequences required for activity of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. *Nature* **1985**, *313*, 810–812. [CrossRef]
- Benfey, P.N.; Chua, N.H. The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter: Combinatorial regulation of transcription in plants. *Science* 1990, 250, 959–966. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Matsuura, H.; Takenami, S.; Kubo, Y.; Ueda, K.; Ueda, A.; Yamaguchi, M.; Hirata, K.; Demura, T.; Kanaya, S.; Kato, K. A computational and experimental approach reveals that the 5'-proximal region of the 5'-UTR has a *cis*-regulatory signature responsible for heat stress-regulated mRNA translation in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **2013**, *54*, 474–483. [CrossRef]
- Christensen, A.H.; Quail, P.H. Ubiquitin promoter-based vectors for high-level expression of selectable and/or screenable marker genes in monocotyledonous plants. *Transgenic Res.* 1996, 5, 213–218. [CrossRef]
- 24. Rooke, L.; Byrne, D.; Salgueiro, S. Marker gene expression driven by the maize ubiquitin promoter in transgenic wheat. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2000**, *136*, 167–172. [CrossRef]
- 25. Gurr, S.J.; Rushton, P.J. Engineering plants with increased disease resistance: How are we going to express it? *Trends Biotechnol.* **2005**, *23*, 283–290. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Zheng, H.; Lin, S.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Lei, Y.; Hou, L. Isolation and analysis of a TIR-specific promoter from poplar. *For. Stud. China* **2007**, *9*, 95–106. [CrossRef]

- 27. Cornejo, M.J.; Luth, D.; Blankenship, K.M.; Anderson, O.D.; Blechl, A.E. Activity of a maize ubiquitin promoter in transgenic rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **1993**, 23, 567–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pooggin, M.M.; Fütterer, J.; Skryabin, K.G.; Hohn, T. Ribosome shunt is essential for infectivity of cauliflower mosaic virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, *98*, 886–891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, L.; Lu, L.; Zhang, L.; Wang, A.; Wang, N.; Liang, Z.; Lu, X.; Tang, K. Molecular evolution of the *E8* promoter in tomato and some of its relative wild species. *J. Biosci.* 2009, 34, 71–83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yi, N.; Kim, Y.S.; Jeong, M.H.; Oh, S.J.; Jeong, J.S.; Park, S.H.; Jung, H.; Choi, Y.D.; Kim, J.K. Functional analysis of six droughtinducible promoters in transgenic rice plants throughout all stages of plant growth. *Planta* 2010, 232, 743–754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ma, J.K.C.; Drossard, J.; Lewis, D.; Altmann, F.; Boyle, J.; Christou, P.; Cole, T.; Dale, P.; van Dolleweerd, C.J.; Isitt, V.; et al. Regulatory approval and a first-in-human phase I clinical trial of a monoclonal antibody produced in transgenic tobacco plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2015, 13, 1106–1120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Deikman, J.; Fischer, R.L. Interaction of a DNA binding factor with the 5'-flanking region of an ethylene-responsive fruit ripening gene from tomato. *EMBO J.* **1988**, *7*, 3315–3320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Deikman, J.; Kline, R.; Fischer, R.L. Organization of ripening and ethylene regulatory regions in a fruit-specific promoter from tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Plant Physiol.* **1992**, *100*, 2013–2017. [CrossRef]
- Deikman, J.; Xu, R.; Kneissl, M.L.; Ciardi, J.A.; Kim, K.N.; Pelah, D. Separation of *cis* elements responsive to elements responsive to ethylene, ethylene, fruit development, and ripening in the 5'-flanking region of the ripening-related *E8* gene. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 1998, 37, 1001–1011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Van Haaren, M.J.; Houck, C.M. A functional map of the fruit-specific promoter of the tomato 2*A*11 gene. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **1993**, 21, 625–640. [CrossRef]
- Xu, R.; Goldman, S.; Coupe, S.; Deikman, J. Ethylene control of E4 transcription during tomato fruit ripening involves two cooperative *cis* elements. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 1996, *31*, 1117–1127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lau, J.M.; Cooper, N.G.; Robinson, D.L.; Korban, S.S. Sequence and in silico characterization of the tomato polygalacturonase (PG) promoter and terminator regions. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2009, 27, 250–256. [CrossRef]
- Twell, D.; Wing, R.; Yamaguchi, J.; McCormick, S. Isolation and expression of an anther-specific gene from tomato. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 1989, 217, 240–245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Twell, D.; Yamaguchi, J.; Wing, R.A.; Ushiba, J.; McCormick, S. Promoter analysis of genes that are coordinately expressed during pollen development reveals pollen-specific enhancer secluences and shared regulatory elements. *Genes Dev.* 1991, *5*, 496–507. [CrossRef]
- 40. Eyal, Y.; Curie, C.; McCormick, S. Pollen specificity elements reside in 30 bp of the proximal promoters of two pollen-expressed genes. *Plant Cell* **1995**, *7*, 373–384.
- Luo, H.; Lee, J.Y.; Hu, Q.; Nelson-Vasilchik, K.; Eitas, T.K.; Lickwar, C.; Kausch, A.P.; Chandlee, J.M.; Hodges, T.K. *RTS*, a rice anther-specific gene is required for male fertility and its promoter sequence directs tissue-specific gene expression in different plant species. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2006, 62, 397–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. van Tunen, A.J.; Mur, L.A.; Brouns, G.S.; Rienstra, J.D.; Koes, R.E.; Mol, J.N. Pollen- and anther-specific *chi* promoters from petunia: Tandem promoter regulation of the *chi*A gene. *Plant Cell* **1990**, *2*, 393–401.
- 43. Bäumlein, H.; Nagy, I.; Villarroel, R.; Inzé, D.; Wobus, U. *Cis*-analysis of a seed protein gene promoter: The conservative RY repeat CATGCATG within the legumin box is essential for tissue-specific expression of a legumin gene. *Plant J.* **1992**, *2*, 233–239.
- Damaj, M.B.; Kumpatla, S.P.; Emani, C.; Beremand, P.D.; Reddy, A.S.; Rathore, K.S.; Buenrostro-Nava, M.T.; Curtis, I.S.; Thomas, T.L.; Mirkov, T.E. Sugarcane *DIRIGENT* and *O-METHYLTRANSFERASE* promoters confer stem-regulated gene expression in diverse monocots. *Planta* 2010, 231, 1439–1458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Moyle, R.L.; Birch, R.G. Sugarcane Loading Stem Gene promoters drive transgene expression preferentially in the stem. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2013**, *82*, 51–58. [CrossRef]
- 46. Prashant, S.; Sunita, M.S.L.; Sirisha, V.L.; Bhaskar, V.V.; Rao, A.M.; Narasu, M.L.; Kishor, P.B.K. Isolation of cinnamoyl CoA reductase and cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene promoters from *Leucaena leucocephala*, a leguminous tree species, and characterization of tissue-specific activity in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* 2012, 108, 421–436. [CrossRef]
- 47. Cortés, A.J.; Restrepo-Montoya, M.; Bedoya-Canas, L.E. Modern strategies to assess and breed forest tree adaptation to changing climate. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020, *11*, 583323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cortés, A.J.; López-Hernández, F. Harnessing Crop Wild Diversity for Climate Change Adaptation. Genes 2021, 12, 783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Manning, K.; Tör, M.; Poole, M.; Hong, Y.; Thompson, J.A.; King, J.G.; Giovannoni, J.J.; Seymour, B.G. A naturally occurring epigenetic mutation in a gene encoding an SBP-box transcription factor inhibits tomato fruit ripening. *Nat. Genet.* **2006**, *38*, 948–952. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Matas, A.J.; Yeats, T.H.; Buda, G.J.; Zheng, Y.; Chatterjee, S.; Tohge, T.; Ponnala, L.; Adato, A.; Aharoni, A.; Stark, R.; et al. Tissue-and cell-type specific transcriptome profiling of expanding tomato fruit provides insights into metabolic and regulatory specialization and cuticle formation. *Plant Cell* 2011, 23, 3893–3910. [CrossRef]
- 51. Saeed, A.I.; Sharov, V.; White, J.; Li, J.; Liang, W.; Bhagabati, N.; Braisted, J.; Klapa, M.; Currier, T.; Thiagarajan, M.; et al. TM4: A free, open-source system for microarray data management and analysis. *Biotechniques* **2003**, *34*, 374–378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 52. Higo, K.; Ugawa, Y.; Iwamoto, M.; Korenaga, T. Plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements (PLACE) database: 1999. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1999**, 27, 297–300. [CrossRef]
- Visser, M.; Zubakov, D.; Ballantyne, K.N.; Kayser, M. mRNA-based skin identification for forensic applications. *Int. J. Legal. Med.* 2011, 125, 253–263. [CrossRef]
- 54. Taylor, B.J.M.; Wu, Y.L.; Rada, C. Active RNAP pre-initiation sites are highly mutated by cytidine deaminases in yeast, with AID targeting small RNA genes. *eLife* **2014**, *3*, e03553. [CrossRef]
- 55. Shirsat, A.; Wilford, N.; Croy, R.; Boulter, D. Sequences responsible for the tissue specific promoter activity of a pea legumin gene in tobacco. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **1989**, *215*, 326–331. [CrossRef]
- 56. Porto, M.S.; Pinheiro, M.P.N.; Batista, V.G.L.; dos Santos, R.C.; de Albuquerque, M.; Filho, A.; de Lima, L.M. Plant promoters: An approach of structure and function. *Mol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *56*, 38–49. [CrossRef]
- 57. Burke, T.W.; Kadonaga, J.T. *Drosophila* TFIID binds to a conserved downstream basal promoter element that is present in many TATA-box-deficient promoters. *Genes Dev.* **1996**, *10*, 711–724. [CrossRef]
- 58. Zhu, Q.; Dabi, T.; Lamb, C. TATA box and initiator functions in the accurate transcription of a plant minimal promoter in vitro. *Plant Cell* **1995**, *7*, 1681–1689.
- 59. Munir, S.; Liu, H.; Xing, Y.; Hussain, S.; Ouyang, B.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H.; Ye, Z. Overexpression of calmodulin-like (*ShCML44*) stress-responsive gene from *Solanum habrochaites* enhances tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 31772. [CrossRef]
- 60. Ulmasov, T.; Hagen, G.; Guilfoyle, T.J. Dimerization and DNA binding of auxin response factors. *Plant J.* **1999**, *19*, 309–319. [CrossRef]
- 61. Itzhaki, H.; Maxson, J.M.; Woodson, W.R. An ethylene-responsive enhancer element is involved in the senescence-related expression of the carnation glutathione-S-transferase (*GST1*) gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 8925–8929. [CrossRef]
- 62. Gubler, F.; Jacobsen, J.V. Gibberellin-responsive elements in the promoter of a barley high-pI alpha-amylase gene. *Plant Cell* **1992**, *4*, 1435–1441. [CrossRef]
- 63. Patzlaff, A.; Newman, L.J.; Dubos, C.; Whetten, R.W.; Smith, C.; McInnis, S.; Bevan, M.W.; Sederoff, R.R.; Campbell, M.M. Characterisation of *Pt*MYB1, an R2R3-MYB from pine xylem. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2003**, *53*, 597–608. [CrossRef]
- 64. Da Costa e Silva, O.; Klein, L.; Schmelzer, E.; Trezzini, G.F.; Hahlbrock, K. BPF-1, a pathogen-induced DNA-binding protein involved in the plant defense response. *Plant J.* **1993**, *4*, 125–135. [CrossRef]
- 65. Feuillet, C.; Lauvergeat, V.; Deswarte, C.; Pilate, G.; Boudet, A.; Grima-Pettenati, J. Tissue-and cell-specific expression of a cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase promoter in transgenic poplar plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **1995**, *27*, 651–667. [CrossRef]
- 66. Ito, H.; Hiraga, S.; Tsugawa, H.; Matsui, H.; Honma, M.; Otsuki, Y.; Murakami, T.; Ohashi, Y. Xylem-specific expression of wound-inducible rice peroxidase genes in transgenic plants. *Plant Sci.* 2000, 155, 85–100. [CrossRef]
- 67. Lacombe, E.; Van Doorsselaere, J.; Boerjan, W.; Boudet, A.M.; Grima-Pettenati, J. Characterization of *cis*-elements required for vascular expression of the *Cinnamoyl CoA Reductase* gene and for protein-DNA complex formation. *Plant J.* **2000**, *23*, 663–676. [CrossRef]
- 68. Ellerström, M.; Stålberg, K.; Ezcurra, I.; Rask, L. Functional dissection of a napin gene promoter: Identification of promoter elements required for embryo and endosperm-specific transcription. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **1996**, *32*, 1019–1027. [CrossRef]
- 69. Yamagata, H.; Yonesu, K.; Hirata, A.; Aizono, Y. TGTCACA motif is a novel *cis*-regulatory enhancer element involved in fruit-specific expression of the *cucumisin* gene. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, 277, 11582–11590. [CrossRef]
- Welchen, E.; Gonzalez, D.H. Differential expression of the Arabidopsis cytochrome c genes *Cytc-1* and *Cytc-2*. Evidence for the involvement of TCP-domain protein-binding elements in anther-and meristem-specific expression of the *Cytc-1* gene. *Plant Physiol.* 2005, *139*, 88–100. [CrossRef]
- 71. Tomato Genome Consortium. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. *Nature* **2012**, *485*, 635–641. [CrossRef]
- Wellmer, F.; Riechmann, J.L.; Alves-Ferreira, M.; Meyerowitz, E.M. Genome-wide analysis of spatial gene expression in Arabidopsis flowers. *Plant Cell* 2004, 16, 1314–1326. [CrossRef]
- 73. Moyle, R.L.; Birch, R.G. Diversity of sequences and expression patterns among alleles of a sugarcane loading stem gene. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2013**, *126*, 1775–1782. [CrossRef]
- 74. Koltunow, A.M.; Truettner, J.; Cox, K.H.; Wallroth, M.; Goldberg, R.B. Different temporal and spatial gene expression patterns occur during anther development. *Plant Cell* **1990**, *2*, 1201–1224. [CrossRef]
- 75. Bird, C.R.; Smith, C.J.S.; Ray, J.A.; Moureau, P.; Bevan, M.W.; Bird, A.S.; Hughes, S.; Morris, P.C.; Grierson, D.; Schuch, W. The tomato polygalacturonase gene and ripening-specific expression in transgenic plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **1988**, *11*, 651–662. [CrossRef]
- 76. Bate, N.; Twell, D. Functional architecture of a late pollen promoter: Pollen specific transcription is developmentally regulated by multiple stage specific and codependent activator elements. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **1998**, *37*, 859–869. [CrossRef]
- Zouine, M.; Maza, E.; Djari, A.; Lauvernier, M.; Frasse, P.; Smouni, A.; Pirrello, J.; Bouzayen, M. TomExpress, a unified tomato RNA-Seq platform for visualization of expression data, clustering and correlation networks. *Plant J.* 2017, 92, 727–735. [CrossRef]
- 78. Shi, Y.; Wang, M.B.; Powell, K.S.; Van Damme, E.; Hilder, V.A.; Gatehouse, A.M.R.; Boulter, D.; Gatehouse, J.A. Use of the rice sucrose synthase-1 promoter to direct phloem-specific expression of β-glucuronidase and snowdrop lectin genes in transgenic tobacco plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* **1994**, 45, 623–631. [CrossRef]
- 79. Adrian, B. Perceptions of epigenetics. Nature 2007, 447, 396–398.

- 80. Jones, P.A. The role of dna methylation in mammalian epigenetics. Science 2001, 293, 1068–1070. [CrossRef]
- 81. Zhou, L.; Tian, S.; Qin, G. RNA methylomes reveal the m6A-mediated regulation of DNA demethylase gene *SlDML2* in tomato fruit ripening. *Genome Biol.* **2019**, *20*, 156. [CrossRef]
- 82. Arenas, S.; Cortés, A.J.; Mastretta-Yanes, A.; Jaramillo-Correa, P.J. Evaluating the accuracy of genomic prediction for the management and conservation of relictual natural tree populations. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **2021**, *17*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 83. Jeong, J.S.; Kim, Y.S.; Redillas, M.C.F.R.; Jang, G.; Jung, H.; Bang, S.W.; Choi, Y.D.; Ha, S.; Reuzeau, C.; Kim, J. *OsNAC5* overexpression enlarges root diameter in rice plants leading to enhanced drought tolerance and increased grain yield in the field. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2013**, *11*, 101–114. [CrossRef]
- Yang, C.; Wang, D.; Zhang, C.; Ye, C.; Kong, N.; Ma, H.; Chen, Q. Comprehensive Analysis and Expression Profiling of PIN, AUX/LAX, and ABCB Auxin Transporter Gene Families in *Solanum tuberosum* under Phytohormone Stimuli and Abiotic Stresses. *Biology* 2021, 10, 127. [CrossRef]
- 85. Wang, R.; Zhu, M.; Ye, R.; Liu, Z.; Zhou, F.; Chen, H.; Lin, Y. Novel green tissue-specific synthetic promoters and *cis*-regulatory elements in rice. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 1–11. [CrossRef]