



Supplementary Materials: Characterization of initial fouling layer on membrane surface in membrane bioreactor: Effects of permeation drag

Shengli Wang^{1a}, Xin Lu^{1a}, Lanhe Zhang¹, Jingbo Guo² and Haifeng Zhang^{1,*}

Materials and Methods

Surface Thermodynamics Analysis

Surface tension components are calculated by the extended Young equation (Hoek and Agarwal, 2006):

$$(1+\cos\theta)\gamma_1^{Tot} = 2\left(\sqrt{\gamma_s^{LW}\gamma_1^{LW}} + \sqrt{\gamma_s^+\gamma_1^-} + \sqrt{\gamma_1^+\gamma_s^-}\right)$$
(1)

$$\gamma^{Tot} = \gamma^{LW} + \gamma^{AB} = \gamma^{LW} + 2\sqrt{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}$$
⁽²⁾

where θ is the contact angle, γ^{TOT} is the total surface tension, γ^{LW} is the Lifshitz-van der Waals component, γ^{AB} is the acid-based surface tension component, and γ^+ and γ^- are the electron-acceptor and electron-donor components, respectively. The subscripts *s* and *l* represent the solid surface and the liquid, respectively.

With the equations above, the adhesion free energy (ΔG_{adh}^{Tot}) between initial fouling layer and membrane and the cohesion free energy (ΔG_{coh}^{Tot}) between foulants are evaluated with the following equations (Wang et al., 2013):

$$\Delta G_{d_0}^{LW} = -2\left(\sqrt{\gamma_{m/f}^{LW}} - \sqrt{\gamma_w^{LW}}\right)\left(\sqrt{\gamma_f^{LW}} - \sqrt{\gamma_{m/f}^{LW}}\right)$$
(3)

$$\Delta G_{d_0}^{AB} = 2 \left[\sqrt{\gamma_w^+} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_f^-} + \sqrt{\gamma_{m/f}^-} - \sqrt{\gamma_w^-} \right) + \sqrt{\gamma_w^-} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_f^+} + \sqrt{\gamma_{m/f}^+} - \sqrt{\gamma_w^+} \right) - \sqrt{\gamma_f^- \gamma_{m/f}^+} - \sqrt{\gamma_f^+ \gamma_{m/f}^-} \right]$$
(4)

$$\Delta G_{d_0}^{EL} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \kappa}{2} \left(\xi_f^2 + \xi_{m/f}^2 \right) \left[1 - \coth\left(\kappa d_0\right) + \frac{2\xi_f \xi_{m/f}}{\xi_f^2 + \xi_{m/f}^2} \operatorname{csch}\left(\kappa d_0\right) \right]$$
(5)

$$\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{1000N_{\rm A}e^2}{\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_{\rm r}K_{\rm B}T}2I} \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta G_{d_0}^{tot} = \Delta G_{d_0}^{LW} + \Delta G_{d_0}^{AB} + \Delta G_{d_0}^{EL}$$
(7)

where d_0 is a minimum equilibrium cut-off distance ($d_0 = 0.158 \text{ nm}$), $\mathcal{E}_0 \mathcal{E}_r$ is the permittivity of the sludge suspension, ξ is the surface zeta potential of membrane (subscript *m*) and foulants (subscript *f*), *K* is the inverse Debye screening length, N_A is the Avogadro number ($6.0 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$) and I is the ionic strength (mol/L), \mathcal{E}_0 is the vacuum permittivity ($8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ CV}^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$), \mathcal{E}_r is the

relative permittivity of the background solution (80 for water), *e* is the elementary charge (1.60×10^{-19} C), $K_{\rm B}$ is Boltzmann constant (1.38×10^{-23} J/K), T is the absolute temperature (K).

The free interaction energy (ΔG_{sws}) between two identical surfaces in water can be considered as an indicator of surface hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity. The ΔG_{sws} can be calculated as Equation (8) (Hong et al., 2014):

$$\Delta G_{sws} = -2\left(\sqrt{\gamma_s^{LW}} - \sqrt{\gamma_w^{LW}}\right)^2 - 4\left(\sqrt{\gamma_s^+ \gamma_s^-} + \sqrt{\gamma_w^+ \gamma_w^-} - \sqrt{\gamma_s^+ \gamma_w^-} - \sqrt{\gamma_w^+ \gamma_s^-}\right) \tag{8}$$

Extended DLVO Theory

The calculations of ΔG^{AB} , ΔG^{LW} and ΔG^{EL} between initial fouling layer and membrane surface at separation distance (*d*) are calculated as Equations (9)–(11) (Lin et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016).

$$\Delta G^{LW}(d) = \Delta G_{d_0}^{LW} \frac{d_0^2}{d^2}$$
(9)

$$\Delta G^{AB}(d) = \Delta G^{AB}_{d_0} \exp(\frac{h_0 - h}{\lambda})$$
(10)

$$\Delta G^{EL}(d) = \kappa \xi_m \xi_f \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \left(\frac{\xi_f^2 + \xi_m^2}{2\xi_f \xi_m} (1 - \coth(\kappa d)) + \frac{1}{\sinh(\kappa d)} \right)$$
(11)

The calculations of U_{fwm}^{LW} , U_{fwm}^{AB} , U_{fwm}^{EL} and U_{fwm}^{Tot} between initial fouling layer and membrane surface at separation distance (*d*) are calculated as Equations (12)–(15) (Lin et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016).

$$U_{form}^{LW}(D) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \Delta G^{LW}(D + R + z - \sqrt{R^{2} - r^{2}} - f(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta$$
(12)

$$U_{fwm}^{AB}(D) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \Delta G^{AB}(D + R + z - \sqrt{R^{2} - r^{2}} - f(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta$$
(13)

$$U_{firm}^{EL}(D) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \Delta G^{EL}(D + R + z - \sqrt{R^{2} - r^{2}} - f(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta$$
(14)

$$U_{fwm}^{XDLVO}\left(d\right) = U_{fwm}^{LW}\left(d\right) + U_{fwm}^{AB}\left(d\right) + U_{fwm}^{EL}\left(d\right)$$
(15)

$$f(r,\theta) = zsin(\pi r\cos\theta / 2z + \varphi)$$
(16)

where *D* is the closest distance between a particle and membrane surface; *R* is the particle radius; *z* is the roughness of membrane surface; *r* is the radius of differential circular ring on particle surface; $d\theta$ is the differential angle of the differential circular arc in the circular ring, φ is assumed to be zero for simplicity in this study.

The double integrals were estimated through composite Simpson's rule (Lin et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016).

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f(x, y) dx dy = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{x_{2i-2}}^{x_{2j}} \int_{y_{2j-2}}^{y_{2j}} f(x, y)$$

$$\approx \frac{hk}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (f_{2i-2,2j-2} + f_{2i,2j-2} + f_{2i-2,2j}) + 4(f_{2i-1,2j-2} + f_{2i-2,2j-1}) + 16f_{2i-1,2j-1} + 6f_{2i-1,2j-1})$$
(17)

where certain point $x_1 = a$, $x_i = x_1 + ih$ (*i*=1, 2,..., 2*m*+1) and $y_1 = b$, $y_i = y_1 + jk$ (*j*=1, 2,..., 2*n*+1) were used to subdivide the interval [*a*,*b*] of variable *x* and the interval [*c*,*d*] of variable *y* in a double integral,

respectively (h = (b-a)/2m), and k = (d-c)/2n), and *m* and *n* are the number of segments for the variable interval of *x* and *y*, respectively.



Figure S1. EEM fluoreacence spectra of EPS extracted from the initial fouling layer on membrane with no flux (0 $L/m^2 \cdot h$) and normal flux (10 $L/m^2 \cdot h$).

References

- 1. Chen J.; Mei R.; Shen L.; Ding L.; He Y.; Lin H.; Hong H. Quantitative assessment of interfacial interactions with rough membrane surface and its implications for membrane selection and fabrication in a MBR. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2015**, *179*, 367–372.
- 2. Cai H.; Fan H.; Zhao L.; Hong H.; Shen L.; He Y.; Lin H.; Chen J. Effects of surface charge on interfacial interactions related to membrane fouling in a submerged membrane bioreactor based on thermodynamic analysis. J. *Colloid Interface Sci.* **2016**, *465*, 33–41.

- 4. Hong H.; Zhang M.; He Y.; Chen J.; Lin H. Fouling mechanisms of gel layer in a submerged membrane bioreactor. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2014**, *166*, 295–302.
- 5. Lin H.; Zhang M; Mei R.; Chen J.; Hong H. A novel approach for quantitative evaluation of the physicochemical interactions between rough membrane surface and sludge foulants in a submerged membrane bioreactor. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2014**, *171*, 247–252.
- 6. Wang Q.; Wang Z.; Zhu C.; Mei X.; Wu Z. Assessment of SMP fouling by foulant-membrane interaction energy analysis. *J. Membr. Sci.* **2013**, *446*, 154–163.



© 2019 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).