

*Supplementary Material*

# Evaluation of Fertilizer-drawn Forward Osmosis for the Treatment of Anaerobic Palm Oil Mill Effluent Using Commercial Fertilizer as Draw Solution

Ruwaida Abdul Wahid <sup>1</sup>, Wei Lun Ang <sup>1,2\*</sup>, Abdul Wahab Mohammad <sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel James Johnson <sup>3</sup> and Nidal Hilal <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical and Process Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Sustainable Process Technology (CESPRO), Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup> NYUAD Water Research Center, New York University Abu Dhabi, P.O. Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates


\* Correspondence: wl\_ang@ukm.edu.my

## Appendix A


**Table S1.** Experimental design matrix and results of 2<sup>3</sup> FFD.

| Run | Level |   |   | J <sub>w</sub><br>LMH | J <sub>s</sub><br>GMH |
|-----|-------|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|     | A     | B | C |                       |                       |
| 1   | -     | + | + | 6.126                 | 1.825                 |
| 2   | +     | - | - | 9.132                 | 3.372                 |
| 3   | -     | + | + | 6.177                 | 1.898                 |
| 4   | +     | + | - | 9.607                 | 3.515                 |
| 5   | +     | - | - | 8.714                 | 2.933                 |
| 6   | +     | - | - | 8.995                 | 2.819                 |
| 7   | -     | + | - | 6.594                 | 2.734                 |
| 8   | -     | - | + | 5.965                 | 2.05                  |
| 9   | +     | - | + | 9.999                 | 5.55                  |
| 10  | +     | + | - | 8.964                 | 2.406                 |
| 11  | +     | + | - | 9.465                 | 3.342                 |
| 12  | +     | - | + | 9.29                  | 3.628                 |
| 13  | +     | + | + | 9.607                 | 4.162                 |
| 14  | +     | + | + | 10.218                | 4.246                 |
| 15  | +     | - | + | 9.619                 | 4.71                  |
| 16  | -     | - | - | 6.296                 | 2.389                 |
| 17  | -     | + | - | 6.279                 | 2.387                 |
| 18  | -     | - | - | 6.054                 | 2.138                 |
| 19  | +     | + | + | 10.176                | 4.095                 |
| 20  | -     | - | - | 6.011                 | 1.987                 |
| 21  | -     | - | + | 6.138                 | 2.118                 |
| 22  | -     | + | + | 6.262                 | 1.825                 |
| 23  | -     | + | - | 6.267                 | 2.158                 |
| 24  | -     | - | + | 5.994                 | 2.236                 |

## Appendix B



**Figure S1.** Normal probability plot of residuals for: (a) Average water flux,  $J_w$ ; (b) Reverse salt flux,  $J_s$ .



**Figure S2.** Cube plot of optimum conditions for: (a) Desirability (b) Average water flux,  $J_w$ ; (c) Reverse salt flux,  $J_s$ .