

Article Interaction and Redox Chemistry between Iron, Dopamine, and Alpha-Synuclein C-Terminal Peptides

Fabio Schifano^{1,2}, Simone Dell'Acqua¹, Stefania Nicolis¹, Luigi Casella^{1,*} and Enrico Monzani^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Pavia, Via Taramelli 12, 27100 Pavia, Italy
- ² IUSS School for Advanced Studies of Pavia, Palazzo del Broletto, Piazza della Vittoria 15, 27100 Pavia, Italy
- * Correspondence: luigi.casella@unipv.it (L.C.); enrico.monzani@unipv.it (E.M.)

Abstract: α-Synuclein (αS), dopamine (DA), and iron have a crucial role in the etiology of Parkinson's disease. The present study aims to investigate the interplay between these factors by analyzing the DA/iron interaction and how it is affected by the presence of the C-terminal fragment of αS (Ac-αS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂) that represents the iron-binding domain. At high DA:Fe molar ratios, the formation of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex prevents the interaction with αS peptides, whereas, at lower DA:Fe molar ratios, the peptide is able to compete with one of the two coordinated DA molecules. This interaction is also confirmed by HPLC-MS analysis of the post-translational modifications of the peptide, where oxidized αS is observed through an inner-sphere mechanism. Moreover, the presence of phosphate groups in Ser129 (Ac-αS^pS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂) and both Ser129 and Tyr125 (Ac-αS^pY^pS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂) increases the affinity for iron(III) and decreases the DA oxidation rate, suggesting that this post-translational modification may assume a crucial role for the αS aggregation process. Finally, αS interaction with cellular membranes is another key aspect for αS physiology. Our data show that the presence of a membrane-like environment induced an enhanced peptide effect over both the DA oxidation and the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex formation and decomposition.

Keywords: α-synuclein; iron; dopamine; Parkinson's disease; oxidative stress

check for **updates**

Citation: Schifano, F.; Dell'Acqua, S.; Nicolis, S.; Casella, L.; Monzani, E. Interaction and Redox Chemistry between Iron, Dopamine, and Alpha-Synuclein C-Terminal Peptides. *Antioxidants* **2023**, *12*, 791. https://doi.org/10.3390/ antiox12040791

Academic Editors: Vinood B. Patel and Mohammed Gulrez Zariwala

Received: 25 February 2023 Revised: 17 March 2023 Accepted: 20 March 2023 Published: 24 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). 1. Introduction

The interplay between iron, dopamine (DA), and α -synuclein (α S) seems to be a key feature of degenerating neurons in Parkinson's disease (PD) [1]. In fact, from one side, it is well known that iron is able to exacerbate DA toxicity by promoting its oxidation to neurotoxic species [2], while, on the other hand, α S is able to bind to iron in both oxidation states [3]. The presence of an intracellular pool of labile, chelatable iron has long been recognized [4], and recent progress toward its characterization and distribution has been made in bacterial cells [5]. More iron can be released by damaged neurons, particularly those of the substantia nigra (SN), which contain the iron-rich neuromelanin pigment [6]. The dopaminergic neurons of SN are, in fact, particularly vulnerable to oxidative stress, mainly due to their direct involvement in DA metabolism which, if not controlled, can lead to the production of reactive quinones and ROS [7]. Furthermore, the produced quinones are able to react with proteins, like αS , thus preventing the protein from exerting its physiological role and ultimately leading to a vicious cycle in which the increased cytosolic DA exacerbates the oxidative stress [8]. Iron, being a redox-active transition metal, can promote DA oxidation (Scheme 1) by overcoming the spin restriction nature of dioxygen reduction. In addition, the produced superoxide and hydrogen peroxide can be converted into hydroxyl radical through Fenton- and Haber-Weiss-type reactions. Alongside the quinones and ROS production, iron-DA interaction can produce 6-hydroxydopamine, a neurotoxin used to simulate PD in animal models, although to a modest extent [2].

Scheme 1. Iron-mediated DA oxidation occurs mainly by the $[Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^-$ complex, through internal electron transfer from bound DA to Fe^{III} , yielding Fe^{II} and DA semi-quinone (DA^{•-}). The $[Fe^{II}-DA]$ complex is then readily oxidized by O_2 , producing superoxide anion [9]. Alternatively, amorphous aggregates of iron hydroxide promote DA oxidation with concomitant Fe^{II} release. Please note that when DA is complexed to iron(III), as in $[Fe^{III}DA]^+$, it is assumed that it binds in its dianionic form (DA^{•-}).

The interaction between iron and DA is strongly dependent on the DA:Fe molar ratio; at low molar ratio the interaction is pro-oxidant, leading to the production of ROS and Fenton chemistry, while at high molar ratio, where the coordinatively saturated $[Fe^{III}(DA)_3]^{3-}$ species is formed, the interaction is protective, since the strong DA complexation hinders the reaction between iron and hydrogen peroxide. Despite this, the internal electron transfer is still possible, leading to the same toxic DA quinones [9]. It is worth noting that DA is able to mobilize iron from amorphous aggregates of iron hydroxide through a reductive mechanism (Scheme 1). These latter aspects of Fe–DA redox chemistry are of paramount importance in promoting toxicity in the long term, also considering the boundary conditions observed in PD, like decreased ferritin levels, impaired iron homeostasis, and decreased DA levels as well [10].

Regarding the effects of α S-iron interaction, in addition to the ability of the protein to bind to the metal ion, the protein contains an iron-responsive element in its 5'-untranslated region, like ferritin; thus, the protein translation appears to be regulated by iron levels. In depleted iron conditions, protein synthesis is inhibited, while, in the case of iron overload, the protein is synthesized [3]. Nevertheless, metal binding makes the protein more prone to aggregation by stabilizing particular local conformations, reducing electrostatic repulsion, and even acting as a cross-linker [11]. Remarkably, iron overload could start a vicious toxic cycle in which the excess iron level promotes protein translation and, ultimately, the related toxicity.

The iron-binding site in α S is located in the C-terminus of the protein encompassing the ¹¹⁹DPDNEA¹²⁴ motif, in which D119 acts as the main anchoring residue. The coordination sphere is poorly characterized, but it seems that D121, E123, and S129 participate in iron binding (Figure 1) [12,13]. Furthermore, precise binding location also relies on the phosphorylation state of the protein where, upon such modification, a shift in the coordination sphere is likely to occur [12,13]. Phosphorylation also enhances the iron-binding properties between 10 and 50-fold when compared to the wild type [13,14]. In spite of the appreciable protein affinity towards iron [15], in aerated solutions at circumneutral pH and in the presence of DA, iron hydrolysis and [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex formation overcomes iron binding to α S, as can be deducted from the log K values reported in Scheme 2 [9,16].



Figure 1. (a) Schematic representation of the putative iron coordination sphere of αS [12,13]. (b) Schematic representation of one of the possible [Fe(DA)(Ac- $\alpha S_{119-132}$)] ternary complexes, where Fe^{III} is bound to D119, D121, and S129. Both sketches were drawn using the Avogadro and PyMol softwares [17,18]; the peptide's backbone was drawn as poly-Gly.

The reactivity of DA and iron ions has been extensively studied by Waite et al. in various conditions, and complex kinetic modeling was used to deduce rate constants for the various reactions involved [9,10]. The effect of iron binding by α S on this chemistry, however, is not known, and we aimed to investigate the reactivity of the Fe–DA system using the α S *C*-terminal peptide Ac-¹¹⁹DPDNEAYEMPSEEG¹³²-NH₂ (Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂), containing the iron-binding site and the phosphorylated mutant Ac-¹¹⁹DPDNEAYEMP(pS)EEG¹³²-NH₂ (Ac- α S^pS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂). The choice of this particular mutant was made based on the finding that phosphorylated α S at S129 is the major form present in Lewy bodies [19], and that phosphorylation increases the binding affinity of the protein for both iron(II) and iron(III) [14,20].

Furthermore, the phosphorylation of Y125 is indicated to be involved in the pathological mechanism of α S aggregation [21,22]; however, to date, the role of this post-translational modification has not been analyzed at the molecular level. For this reason, we decided to study the interaction between iron and α S also with the peptide bearing the phosphate group at both S129 and Y125 (Ac-¹¹⁹DPDNEA(pY)EMP(pS)EEG¹³²-NH₂ (Ac- α S^PY^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂).

$Fe^{\parallel} + \alpha S \rightleftharpoons [Fe^{\parallel}(\alpha S)]$	log K ≈ 6
$Fe^{II} + DA^{2-} \rightleftharpoons [Fe^{II}DA]$	log K = 9.1
Fe ^{III} + DA ²⁻ ≓ [Fe ^{III} DA]+	log K = 21.4
$Fe^{III} + 2 DA^{2-} \rightleftharpoons [Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^{-1}$	log β = 36.5
$Fe^{III} + 2 H_2O \rightleftharpoons [Fe(OH)_2]^+ + 2 H^+$	log β = -5.8
$Fe^{III} + 3 H_2O \rightleftharpoons Fe(OH)_3 + 3 H^+$	log β = -14.3
Fe(OH)₃ ≓ Fe ^{III} + 3 OH⁻	log K _{sp} = −38.6

Scheme 2. Reactions and related constants for Fe^{II}-DA and Fe^{III}-DA binding, Fe^{III} hydrolysis, and Fe(OH)₃ solubility. All reported constants refer to 25 °C and infinite dilution [9,15,16].

Another important issue to consider is that, whereas α S is unstructured in solution, its interaction with lipid membrane modulates the conformation by inducing an α -helical structure of the *N*-terminal region [23]. This conformational change delays the oligomerization of the protein [24] and modulates the interaction with metal ions, as recently observed for copper- α S complex formation [25,26]. For this reason, the analysis of the interaction between iron and α S should also consider the presence of a membrane-like environment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Protected amino acids, rink amide resin, and benzotriazole-1-yl-oxy-tris-pyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP) were purchased from Novabiochem, while all other reagents were purchased from Merck at the highest purity available and used as received. All glassware was acid-washed with 6 M HCl for 1 week and rinsed thoroughly with ultrapure water. All aqueous solutions were prepared in ultrapure 18 M Ω Milli-Q water. Stock solutions of Fe^{II} were prepared by dissolving FeSO₄·7H₂O in 10 mM H₂SO₄. Stock solutions of Cu(II) were made by dissolving CuSO₄·5H₂O in water. Stock solutions of dopamine were prepared in HCl 10 mM. Stock solutions of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂, Ac- α S^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂, and Ac- α S^PY^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ were prepared by dissolving the corresponding peptide in H₂O; the concentrations were assessed by UV-Vis spectroscopy, measuring the absorbance of Y125 $(\lambda_{max} = 275 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon_{275} = 1420 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}) \text{ or } \text{pY125} (\lambda_{max} = 266 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon_{266} = 500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ [20]. Stock solutions of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) were prepared by dissolving the enzyme in H_2O , and the concentration was assessed by UV-Vis spectroscopy, measuring the absorbance of the Soret band of the protein ($\lambda_{max} = 402 \text{ nm}, \epsilon_{402} = 103,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The buffers used were adjusted to proper pH using NaOH, H₃PO₄, HCl, or HNO₃, depending on the buffer type.

2.2. Instrumentation

2.2.1. RP-HPLC

The chromatographic separations were operated with a Shimadzu Prominence instrument equipped with a DGU-20A_{3R} degassing unit, two LC-20AD pumps, an SPD-M20A photodiode array (190–800 nm wavelength range), and a CTO-20A column oven. The columns used were a Phenomenex Jupiter 4U Proteo 90A, 250×10 mm as semi-preparative and a Phenomenex Jupiter 4U Proteo 90A, 250×4.6 mm or a Supelco Ascentis Express 2.7 µm C18, 100×4.6 mm as analytical. The solvents used were CH₃CN and H₂O both with 0.1% TFA for gradient elution or 98% HCOOH pH 2 plus 2% CH₃CN for isocratic elution.

2.2.2. Mass Spectrometry

The MS and MS/MS spectra were recorded with a Thermo Finnigan LCQ ADV MAX equipped with an ionic-trap and an ESI ion source. The spectra were analyzed with Xcalibur 2.0.7 SP1 software.

2.2.3. HPLC-MS

The HPLC-MS analysis was performed on a Thermo Finnigan HPLC autosampler Surveyor IC pump coupled with a Thermo Finnigan LCQ ADV MAX. Fragmentation was achieved by collision-induced dissociation (CID), with an isolation width of 2 Th (m/z); the activation amplitude was around 35% of the ejection radio frequency amplitude of the instrument. The column used was a Phenomenex Jupiter 4U Proteo 90A, 150 × 2 mm, flow rate 0.2 mL/min. The solvents used were H₂O plus 0.1% HCOOH (A) and CH₃CN plus 0.1% HCOOH (B). Separations were performed with a semi-linear gradient (0–5 min 2% B, 5–50 min 2–33% B, 50–55 min 33–100% B, 55–73 min 100% B, 73–78 100–2% B, and 78–90 min 2% B). Data were analyzed with XcaliburTM 2.0.7 SP1 software.

2.2.4. UV-Vis Spectroscopy

The UV-Vis spectra were acquired with an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer, equipped with a 1024 photodiode array detector (190–1100 nm wavelength range) and a magnetically stirred, thermostated sample holder. All spectra were recorded in a quartz cell with an optical path of 1 cm.

2.3. General Methods

2.3.1. Peptide Synthesis

The Ac-αS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ (Ac-¹¹⁹DPDNEAYEMPSEEG¹³²-NH₂, M.W. 1623.6 g/mol) was synthesized by standard 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) in DMF, using a 0.33 scale. In order to obtain the amidated C-terminus of the peptide, MBHA rink amide resin (100-200 mesh) was employed as solid support. Resin deprotection was achieved by treating the solid phase with 2×10 mL of 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMF for 3 and 7 mins. After this, the first amino acid was condensed by adding 2 equivalents (vs reactive sites) 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt), PyBOP and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (Dipea). Coupling time was set to 45 min and, after this, the resin was washed with 10 mL of DMF and the coupling procedure was repeated. Unreacted N-terminal groups were acetylated with 2 \times 10 mL 4.7% acetic anhydride and 4% pyridine in DMF for 15 and 7 mins, respectively. Coupling yield was evaluated spectrophotometrically reading the absorbance of the dibenzoful vene-piperidine adduct (λ_{max} = 301 nm, ε_{301} = 7800 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) generated upon the deprotection process. In order to have acetylated N-terminus of the peptide, after the last coupling, the peptide was first deprotected and then acetylated. Resin was dried by washing with 3×10 mL of DMF, DCM, 2-propanol, and Et₂O. Cleavage of the peptide from the resin and deprotection of the side chains were achieved at once by treating the resin with 25 mL 95/2.5/2.5 (v/v) of TFA/H₂O/triisopropylsilane, under stirring in the dark at r.t. for 3 h. Then, the resin was filtered out and the peptide was precipitated with 200 mL of cold Et_2O , washed with Et_2O , and filtered. The crude peptide was solubilized in H_2O and purified by RP-HPLC (flow rate 5 mL/min, loop 2 mL, oven 25 °C, semi-preparative

column) using a semi-linear gradient of 0.1% TFA in H₂O (A) and 0.1% of TFA in CH₃CN (B) (0–8 min 2% B, 8–40 min 2–55% B, and 40–50 min 55–100% B, retention time 16.5 min). Elution was monitored reading the absorbance at 200 and 280 nm. The purified peptide was lyophilized and stored at -20 °C. The product was characterized by ESI-MS (direct injection, MeOH, negative-ion mode, capillary temperature 200 °C): m/z 1622 ([M-H]⁻), 811 ([M-2H]^{2–}). The purity was determined by HPLC-MS (>95%).

Ac- α S^pS_{119–132} (Ac-¹¹⁹DPDNEAYEMP(pS)EEG¹³²-NH₂, M.W. 1703.6 g/mol) was synthesized and purified following the same protocol; phosphorylated amino acid was incorporated as monobenzyl-protected Fmoc-Ser(PO(OBzl)OH). RP-HPLC: retention time 17.4 min; ESI-MS: *m*/*z* 1702 ([M-H]⁻), 851 ([M-2H]²⁻); purity > 95%.

Ac-αS^pY^pS_{119–132} (Ac-¹¹⁹DPDNEA(pY)EMP(pS)EEG¹³²-NH₂, M. W. 1783.6 g/mol) was synthesized and purified following the same protocol, but the elution was monitored reading the absorbance at 200 and 266 nm. Phosphorylated amino acids were incorporated as monobenzyl-protected Fmoc-Tyr(PO(OBzl)OH)OH and Fmoc-Ser(PO(OBzl)OH). RP-HPLC: retention time 18.8 min; ESI-MS: m/z 1782 ([M-H]⁻), 891 ([M-2H]²⁻); purity > 95%.

2.3.2. Effect of α S-Peptides on Fe^{III}-DA Interaction

The effect of peptides on Fe^{III}-DA complex formation was studied at 37 °C in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 with 100 mM NaCl as the ionic strength buffer. The reactions were monitored by UV-Vis spectroscopy, following the absorption of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex ($\lambda_{max} = 580$ nm, $\varepsilon_{580} = 3312$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for 150 s with 0.5 s shutter cycle. The kinetic traces were obtained by subtracting the absorbance at 900 nm to the absorbance of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex at 580 nm and plotting the results against time. The DA:Fe molar ratio was kept constant at 2:1, with 200 µM DA and 100 µM Fe^{II}, while the selected peptide concentration was changed from 0 to 200 µM, with Fe^{II} being the last reagent added. The experiments with 20 mM SDS, as the membrane model, were carried out with 200 µM DA, 100 µM Fe^{II}, and 200 µM of the selected peptide. The experiments were also repeated with a higher DA:Fe molar ratio of 20:1, changing the Fe^{II} concentration to 10 µM.

2.3.3. Kinetic Studies on the Oxidation of DA Promoted by Fe^{III}-Peptide Complexes

UV-Vis spectroscopy: The catalytic oxidation of DA promoted by Fe^{III}-peptide complexes was studied at 37 °C in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 with 100 mM NaCl as the ionic strength buffer. The reactions were monitored by UV-Vis spectroscopy, following the absorption of DA ($\lambda_{max} = 281$ nm) for 24 h, recording spectra at 0, 1.5, 3, 6, and 24 h. The background contribution was removed with a three-point-dropline correction. Briefly: two reference wavelengths, which define the slope, were selected on either side of the analytical wavelength and used to extrapolate the background contribution at the analytical wavelength (i.e., 281 nm). The absorbance readings were plotted against time obtaining the kinetic traces. For DA autoxidation, in a plastic tube covered with tinfoil, DA was added to a final volume of 10 mL of buffer (DA final concentration 200 µM). An aliquot of 2 mL was then taken and used to record a UV-Vis spectrum (t = 0 h), and then unified with the bulk solution. The tube was covered with a holed sheet of tinfoil and left in a thermostatic bath at 37 °C. After 1.5 h, a new aliquot was taken, centrifuged (14,000 rpm, 4 min), analyzed, and unified again with the bulk solution. The latter procedure was repeated at the selected times. For evaluating the effect of Fe, after the recording of the first spectrum, Fe^{II} was added to the DA solution (final concentration 10 μ M) and an aliquot of 2 mL was newly taken and used to record a second UV-Vis spectrum (t = 0 h), and then reunified with the bulk solution. Last, the effect of Fe^{III}-peptide complexes was evaluated by adding 20 µM of selected peptide before adding the metal ion. The whole protocol was repeated adding 20 mM of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) to the buffer solution in order to mimic the effect of the membrane [27].

RP-HPLC: The catalytic oxidation of DA promoted by Fe^{III}-peptide complexes was studied at 37 °C in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 with 100 mM NaCl as the ionic strength buffer. The reaction was followed through DA quantification by isocratic RP-HPLC at 0, 1.5, 3, 6,

and 24 h. Chromatographic separations were carried out by adapting the protocol reported by Sun et al. [28]. A solution made of 98% H₂O, 0.1% HCOOH, and 2% CH₃CN was used as the mobile phase, while the C18 Supelco Ascentis Express 100 × 4.6 mm column was used as the solid phase. In these conditions, DA retention time was 5.8 min. A 0–250 μ M calibration curve was also prepared. For DA autoxidation, in a plastic tube covered with tinfoil, DA was added to a final volume of 10 mL of buffer (DA final concentration 200 μ M). A 20 μ L aliquot of this solution was withdrawn and injected into the HPLC system. The tube was covered with a holed sheet of tinfoil and left in a thermostatic bath at 37 °C. The procedure was repeated at the selected times. The effects of Fe and Fe^{III}-peptide complexes were evaluated by adding 10 μ M of metal ion and 20 μ M of selected peptide, with iron as the last reagent. The procedure was also repeated with a lower DA:Fe ratio of 2:1 by adding 100 μ M of the metal ion and 200 μ M of the selected peptide.

HPLC-MS: The samples analyzed with RP-HPLC were also subjected to HPLC-MS analysis in order to identify peptide modifications. Samples at 0, 6, and 24 h were diluted with buffer to a final peptide concentration of 20 μ M and analyzed in negative-ion mode.

2.3.4. Kinetic Studies on DA Oxidation Promoted by Cu^{II}-Peptide Complexes

The catalytic oxidation of DA (3 mM) promoted by Cu(II)-peptide complexes was studied at 37 °C in 25 mM Hepes buffer pH 7.4, following the absorbance of aminochrome at $\lambda_{max} = 475$ nm, according to the procedure reported previously [29]. The reaction rates were evaluated with 25 μ M Cu^{II} and increasing amounts of Ac- α S_{119–132} (0–2 eq vs. copper(II)), with copper added as the last reagent.

2.3.5. Identification of *aS*-Peptides Modification by HPLC-MS

Chemical modifications of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ promoted by Fe^{III}-DA complexes were studied at 37 °C in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 with 100 mM NaCl as the ionic strength buffer. The reaction was followed every 90 min by HPLC-MS for 6 h, in negative-ion mode. The Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ oxidation by buffer, Fe^{III} and DA were firstly considered. In a dark vial, 20 μ M of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ were added to the buffer, loaded into the HPLC sample holder, and thermostated to 37 °C. Fractions of 100 μ L were automatically injected into the HPLC systems every 90 min and analyzed. The effect of DA was taken into account by adding 200 μ M of Fe^{II} as the last reagent. The whole protocol was repeated with a lower DA:Fe ratio of 2:1 by adding 20 μ M of DA and 10 μ M of Fe^{II}. The effect of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ concentration was evaluated by preparing two samples: one with 40 μ M peptide, 10 μ M Fe^{II}, and 200 μ M DA, and another sample with a lower DA:Fe ratio of 2:1 with 20 μ M of DA. The samples were incubated at 37 °C in a thermostated bath for 6 h. Later, the samples were diluted to a peptide final concentration of 20 μ M and analyzed. The whole protocol was also repeated for Ac- α S^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂.

2.3.6. Ac- α S_{119–132} Enzymatic Oxidation

The enzymatic oxidation of Ac- α S_{119–132} was carried out in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 with 100 mM NaCl as the ionic strength buffer, at 37 °C, using HRP. In a dark vial were added 20 μ M of Ac- α S_{119–132}, 0.2 μ M of HRP, and 1.5 mM of hydrogen peroxide, as the last reagent, and the mixture was left at 37 °C for 2 h. Then, 100 μ L of the sample were taken and analyzed through HPLC-MS in negative-ion mode.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of a S C-Terminal Peptides on Fe^{III}-DA Interaction

The effect of α S model peptides on the interaction between iron and DA was investigated in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 by varying both the DA:Fe ratio and the peptide concentration. The selected pH was slightly acidic compared to the physiological pH due to the tissue acidosis found in PD brains [7,10]. The combined use of iron and DA gives rise to some problems: (i) the well-known propensity of DA to form insoluble DA-quinone oligomers upon oxidation; (ii) the strong competition of Fe^{III} hydrolysis at pH above 4 in aerated solutions [30]; and (iii) the formation of the bis-catecholato-Fe^{III} complex [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻, which has a strong LMCT band in the visible region ($\lambda_{max} = 580 \text{ nm}$, $\varepsilon_{580} = 3300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) [9,31] thus preventing observation of the UV-Vis band of aminochrome ($\lambda_{max} = 475 \text{ nm}$), the observable product of DA oxidation [32]. Therefore, the experiments with Fe–DA- α S model peptides were monitored through the formation and decay of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ species at 580 nm.

First, the effect of Ac- α S_{119–132} on the formation and decay of [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ was evaluated, at a DA:Fe ratio of 2:1, and the kinetic traces of absorbance against time are reported in Figure 2. The obtained kinetic traces display a biphasic behavior due to the complex mechanism described below. As reported by Sun et al. [9], upon mixing Fe^{ll} and DA, the initial Fe^{II}-DA complex is readily oxidized by dioxygen resulting in superoxide radical anion and Fe^{III}-DA; this is then converted in the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex which is responsible for the strong absorption in the visible region (Scheme 3). The growth rate of the 580 nm band depends on the DA:Fe ratio but, at the lowest ratio (i.e., 2:1), Fe^{III} hydrolysis is competitive [16] and, thus, the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex decomposes causing the decrease of the complex concentration and, consequently, of the kinetic traces slope. When the experiment was carried out in the presence of Ac- α S_{119–132}, a decrease in both the final concentration and formation rate of the $[Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^-$ complex was observed. It is worth noting that these effects are greater as the peptide concentration increases. This effect could be explained considering the establishment of a competition between the peptide and the DA towards iron(II) or iron(III) binding, hence reducing the amount of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex. Nevertheless, peptide binding seems to also affect the second phase of the reaction, decreasing the rate of iron(III) hydrolysis. Further information can be extracted from the UV-Vis spectra (Figure 3) recorded during the kinetic study, in which a red-shift of ca. 15 nm of the LMCT band suggests that the Ac- α S_{119–132} reaction with the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex is likely to occur, forming a ternary complex $[Fe(DA)(Ac-\alpha S_{119-132})]$ (Figure 1) showing the characteristic band of the Fe^{III}-monocatecholato complex [Fe^{III}DA]⁺. This last assumption is in good agreement with the results reported by Jiang et al., which claim that the formation of the ternary complex [Fe^{III}(DA)(ATP)] is followed by a marked red shift of the LMCT band [33].



Figure 2. Kinetic traces of DA-Fe^{II} (2:1) interaction as a function of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ concentration ($\lambda_{max} = 580 \text{ nm}$) in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0, 37 °C, Fe^{II} 0.1 mM, DA 0.2 mM (blue), and increasing amounts of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ (0.5 equiv.: red, 1 equiv.: green, 1.5 equiv.: purple, 2 equiv.: black).

 $[Fe^{II}DA] + O_2 \rightarrow [Fe^{III}DA]^+ + O_2^{\bullet-}$ $[Fe^{III}DA]^+ + DA \rightleftharpoons [Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^ n[Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^- \rightleftharpoons Fe_x(OH)_y + 2nDA$ $Fe^{II} + \alpha S \rightleftharpoons [Fe^{II}(\alpha S)]$ $[Fe^{III}DA]^+ + \alpha S \rightleftharpoons [Fe^{III}(DA)(\alpha S)]$

Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism for $[Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^-$ complex formation and degradation [9]. αS peptides compete with DA towards iron binding with either Fe^{II} or $[Fe^{III}DA]^+$.



Figure 3. (a) Kinetic traces ($\lambda_{max} = 580 \text{ nm}$) and (b) UV-Vis spectra of DA-Fe^{II} (2:1) interaction as a function of peptide concentration in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0, 37 °C, Fe^{II} 0.1 mM, DA 0.2 mM (blue), and 2 equiv. of peptide (Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂: red, Ac- α S^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂: green, Ac- α S^PY^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂: purple).

The phosphorylated peptides $Ac \cdot \alpha S^p S_{119-132}$ and $Ac \cdot \alpha S^p Y^p S_{119-132}$ have a stronger impact on the formation and degradation rate of the $[Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^-$ complex as, in both cases, the rates decrease more significantly than with the non-phosphorylated analogue (Figure 3). Furthermore, the shift towards lower energies of the LMCT band is slightly larger compared to the one caused by the non-phosphorylated analogue (Figure 3), also suggesting the formation of the ternary complex. This finding is in line with the gain in the stability constant of the peptides for Fe^{III} binding, offered by the phosphorylation of S129 and Y125 [13,14,20]. Compared to the kinetic trace of $Ac \cdot \alpha S^p S_{119-132}$, it is worth noting that the kinetic trace of $Ac \cdot \alpha S^p Y^p S_{119-132}$ appears to be different: the initial rate of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex formation is higher, but the final amount of complex is lower. We hypothesize that this phenomenon is caused by an initial competition between the two phosphorylated sites due to difficult conformational changes needed for Fe^{III} coordination coupled with the negative repulsion offered by two phosphate groups, with the pY125 being the strongest ligand [14], thus resulting in a lower final concentration of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex.

Since the interaction of α S peptides with lipid membrane modulates their conformation, analysis of the interaction between iron and α S is incomplete if it remains confined in the aqueous *milieu*. For this reason, the anionic surfactant SDS is used in this investigation because the negative surface charge and high affinity to hydrophobic domains of the micelles formed above the critical concentration (\approx 8 mM in water at 25 °C) [34,35] represent a useful and simple model of biological membranes. The addition of SDS (Figure 4) to the buffer enhances the effect of α S peptides over [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ formation and consumption, making the slope of the first phase of the kinetics much less steep. A possible explanation could be that, at the investigated pH (i.e., 7.0), the DA aromatic hydroxyl group is protonated and, thus, the aromatic ring can be inserted into the SDS micelles [27] causing a decrease in the effective DA concentration and making DA less competitive towards Fe^{III} binding compared to the α S peptides.



Figure 4. (a) Kinetic traces ($\lambda_{max} = 580 \text{ nm}$) and (b) UV-Vis spectra of DA-Fe^{II} (2:1) interaction as a function of peptide concentration in 10 mM Mops buffer and 20 mM SDS, pH 7.0, 37 °C, Fe^{II} 0.1 mM, DA 0.2 mM (blue), and 2 equiv. of peptide (Ac- α S_{119–132}: red, Ac- α S^PS_{119–132}: green, Ac- α S^PY^PS_{119–132}: purple).

Similar conclusions were drawn after performing the experiments at the 20:1 DA:Fe molar ratio (Figure S1). The main difference highlighted upon increasing the DA concentration is the diminution of the peptide effect. This aspect was expected since the large DA excess and its high affinity for Fe^{III} binding hampers the interaction of α S-peptides with the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex.

3.2. Kinetic Studies on the Oxidation of Catechol Substrates Promoted by Fe^{III}-Peptide Complexes

The catalytic oxidation of DA promoted by Fe- α S peptides was studied in Mops buffer at pH 7.0, at the two different DA:Fe ratios of 2:1 and 20:1, adding 2 equiv. of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂, Ac- α SPS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂, or Ac- α SPYPS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂. In order to overcome the difficulties related to monitoring Fe^{III}-DA chemistry, different techniques were applied—i.e., RP-HPLC, UV-Vis spectroscopy, and HPLC-MS/MS. Due to the intense [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ LMCT band, it is not possible to follow DA oxidation through the development of the absorption band of aminochrome at 475 nm, which accumulates in the reaction initial stages. Furthermore, the DA oxidation products can polymerize and form insoluble aggregates with a general increase of baseline absorption over the whole wavelength range of the spectrum due to light scattering. For these reasons, DA oxidation was monitored following a decrease of the absorbance of DA ($\lambda_{max} = 280$ nm) over time, removing background contribution by a three-point-dropline correction.

Starting from the experiments at the higher DA:Fe molar ratio of 20:1, the kinetic traces of absorbance against time are reported in Figure 5, which refers to DA oxidation by Fe^{III}- α S-peptides. The results clearly show that the catalytic oxidation of DA by iron is not significantly promoted by the addition of either Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂, Ac- α S^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂, or Ac- α S^PY^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂, since the kinetic traces obtained with the peptides are almost identical to the control experiment without peptides, in line with what is expected. In fact, as seen for the Fe–DA coordination experiments, a large excess of DA makes the interaction of α S-peptides with the metal center difficult. Furthermore, comparing the UV-Vis spectra obtained with and without α S-peptides (Figure 6), the following similarities are observed: (i) the DA absorption band ($\lambda_{max} = 280 \text{ nm}$) and the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ band ($\lambda_{max} = 580 \text{ nm}$) show a similar decrease; (ii) the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex band shows the same shape, and at 6 h the available Fe^{III} is still bound to the DA; (iii) the DA band has a shoulder at around 305 nm, better highlighted in the first derivative spectra (panel C), which is due to the DA fraction bound to Fe^{III} [36]; and (iv) the band intensity decrease is similarly followed by a progressive background increase in both cases, due to the melanic pigment particle formation [37].



Figure 5. (a) Kinetic traces of 200 μ M DA in Mops buffer pH 7.0 and 37 °C (blue) in the presence of 10 μ M of Fe^{II} (red) and 2 equiv. of peptide (Ac- α S_{119–132}: green, Ac- α S^pS_{119–13}: purple, Ac- α S^pYPS_{119–132}: black). (b) Kinetic traces of 200 μ M DA in Mops buffer pH 7.0, SDS 20 mM, 37 °C (blue) in the presence of 10 μ M of Fe^{II} (red) and 2 equiv. of peptide (Ac- α S_{119–132}: green, Ac- α S^pS_{119–13}: black).



Figure 6. UV-Vis spectra of DA oxidation kinetics by Fe^{III} -Ac- $\alpha S_{119-132}$ complex (DA:Fe 20:1) in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 and 37 °C; progression of time is rendered as color hue transition from black (0 h) towards red (24 h). Spectra were recorded at 0, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5, 6, and 24 h. (**a**) 250–350 nm region magnification of UV-Vis spectra. (**b**) 350–900 nm region magnification of UV-Vis spectra. (**c**) UV-Vis spectra first derivative.

Further, DA oxidation was also followed by RP-HPLC (Figure S2). As in the UV-Vis experiments, the chromatographic data show no difference in DA oxidation rates between the control experiment and the experiments in the presence of α S.

The addition of SDS (Figure 5–panel B) to the buffer caused a slight increase in global DA oxidation rate in all samples. We hypothesize that this effect is driven by the possible interaction between SDS and DA oligomers. In the UV-Vis spectra in the presence of SDS (Figure S3), an increase of the background absorption in the first 3 h is observed, followed by a decrease from 3 to 6 h due to the formation of melanic aggregates. These aggregates may stick to the SDS micelles [27], thus accumulating in the solution before precipitating as melanin particles. Consequently, the melanic pigments do not bind iron which can, in turn, catalyze DA oxidation.

Considering the experiments at the lower DA:Fe molar ratio of 2:1, a different situation is observed. Herein, DA oxidation cannot be reliably monitored following the absorbance

of DA ($\lambda_{max} = 280 \text{ nm}$) over time, because this band is altered by coordination to Fe^{III} and overlapped with the peptide tyrosine absorption band (Figure S4). Therefore, the LMCT band of the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex at 580 nm was monitored instead. In Figure 7, the kinetic traces of absorbance against time are reported for DA oxidation by Fe^{III}-Ac- α S_{119–132}. The starting points of the kinetic traces are different among the samples due to the impact of the peptides on the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex, already discussed in the previous section. Nevertheless, the results clearly indicate that addition of Ac- α S_{119–132} and particularly Ac- α S^PS_{119–132} causes a decrease in the DA oxidation rate, as suggested by the lower slope of the traces, probably due to the [Fe(DA)(Ac- α S_{119–132})] ternary complex formation (Figure 1) which stabilizes the Fe^{III} oxidation state, or by hampering DA binding and thus redox cycling.



Figure 7. Kinetic traces of DA (200 μ M) oxidation in Mops buffer pH 7.0 and 37 °C in the presence of 100 μ M of Fe^{II} (red) and 200 μ M (2 equiv.) of peptide (Ac- α S_{119–132}: green, Ac- α S^pS_{119–132}: purple).

In the UV-Vis spectra with and without Ac- α S_{119–132}, reported in Figure 8, the main difference appears to be the higher absorption in the 350–500 nm portion of the 1.5 h spectra. Even if we do not know the nature of this spectral feature, it may be related to peptide-promoted Fe^{III} aggregation or to a form of melanic intermediate. Both are in line with the reduced oxidation rate of DA.



Figure 8. (a) UV-Vis spectra of DA oxidation at various times by Fe^{II} (DA:Fe 2:1) in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 and 37 °C; progression of time is rendered as color hue transition from black (0 h) towards red (24 h). Spectra were recorded at 0, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5, 6, and 24 h. (b) UV-Vis spectra of DA oxidation progress by Fe^{III}-Ac- α S_{119–132} complex (DA:Fe:peptide 2:1:1) in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0 and 37 °C, progression of time is rendered as color hue transition from black (0 h) towards red (24 h). Spectra were recorded at 0, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5, 6, and 24 h.

Also in this case, DA oxidation was followed by RP-HPLC (Figure S5). In agreement with UV-Vis spectral changes, the chromatographic data show a reduction in DA oxidation rate upon the addition of α S-peptides, but no significant difference was found between Ac- α S_{119–132} and Ac- α S^PS_{119–132}.

3.3. Identification of α S-Peptide Modifications by HPLC-MS

It is well known that upon metal-catalyzed oxidation of catechols such as DA, ROS species are generated [7,38,39]. Such harmful species include $O_2^{\bullet-}$, H_2O_2 , and OH^{\bullet} , which ultimately lead to protein damage. Based on the results obtained so far, one important target of this damage are the α S-peptides themselves due to their ability to influence both the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex formation and degradation. Furthermore, studies on the peptide modification could also shed light on the type of interaction and on the mechanism of reaction. The modifications undergone by Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ and Ac- α S^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ concomitantly with DA oxidation were identified by performing a series of HPLC-MS/MS analyses. The experiments were run in 10 mM Mops buffer pH 7.0, by varying both the DA:Fe ratio and the peptide concentration. Typical oxidative modifications usually consist of an O-atom insertion (+16 Da) in oxidation-sensitive residues such as tyrosine and methionine, which are converted into 3-hydroxytyrosine and methionine sulfoxide, respectively [40]. Unfortunately, the mass spectra recorded in negative-ion mode fail to be fed into algorithms like turboSEQUEST and, hence, the MS/MS spectra had to be analyzed by inspection.

3.3.1. Ac- α S_{119–132} Peptide

When the Ac- α S_{119–132} peptide was used at the lower DA:Fe ratio of 2:1, the elution profiles of Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ together with the modifications detected by the HPLC-MS/MS analysis were obtained as shown in Figure 9. After 6 h of incubation, the elution profile is dominated by two main species: (i) the unmodified Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ peptide (t_r 39 min, 56%) and (ii) the single oxidized derivative (tr 33.8 min, 43%). After 24 h, the overall amount of oxidized peptide increased (66%) and a new species was detected at t_r 35.2 min (3.5%), which appears to be the double oxidized peptide. Together with the above species, other minor modifications were detected, mainly related to peptide truncation. However, due to the low intensities of such signals, it was not possible to assess the exact nature of the corresponding species. Unfortunately, none of the peptide-DA and DA-quinone oligomeric species could be detected, probably due to the lack of strong nucleophilic residues in the peptide. Considering the peptide primary sequence, the most likely sites of oxidation are Y125 and M127. However, from the analysis of the ion fragmentation series found in the MS/MS spectra, it was not possible to assess the exact oxidation site. To overcome this problem, the peptide was selectively oxidized by hydrogen peroxide and horseradish peroxidase, which can differentiate the two amino acids by promoting the methionine sulfoxidation and tyrosine dimerization. The elution profile obtained showed an intense peak at tr 33.6 min with the same MS/MS fragmentation patterns of the mono oxidized Ac- α S₁₁₉₋₁₃₂; hence. we can easily conclude that the oxidation occurs at M127 since HRP does not catalyze tyrosine hydroxylation but its dimerization. Based on this finding, the second oxidation of the peptide could occur either at the oxidized M127 (to form sulfone) or at the Y125. Furthermore, control experiments were carried out by incubating the peptide alone and in the presence of only DA or only Fe^{II}. The data obtained showed no appreciable oxidation of M127 when the peptide was incubated alone in the buffer or with only DA. On the other hand, in the case of only Fe^{II} , the single oxidized peptide was only detected after 90 min, albeit being less than 10%.

When the reaction was carried out at the higher DA:Fe molar ratio of 20:1, the elution profiles of $Ac-\alpha S_{119-132}$ and the peptide modifications detected by HPLC-MS shown in Figure S6 were obtained. Also in this case, as seen above for the DA oxidation, the increase in the DA:Fe ratio is followed by a decrease of the observed modification, being 15% at 6 h and 28% at 24 h.



Figure 9. (a) HPLC-MS elution profiles of Ac- α S_{119–132} (20 µM) in Mops buffer pH 7.0 at 37 °C, upon reaction with Fe^{II} (10 µM) and DA (20 µM) at the beginning (blue), and after 6 h (red) and 24 h of incubation (green). (b) Per cent modification detected by the HPLC-MS analysis: Ac- α S_{119–132} (t_r 39 min, blue), Ac- α S_{119–132} +16 (t_r 33.8 min, red), Ac- α S_{119–132} +32 (t_r 35.2 min, green).

To further investigate both the type of Fe-peptide interaction and the peptide modification mechanism, the experiments were replicated by doubling the peptide concentration $(40 \ \mu M)$ for the studied case. Considering the possible scenarios, two representative cases were considered: (i) the peptide oxidation occurs through an *outer-sphere* (OS) mechanism, where the peptide is not directly bound to the iron site, or (ii) the oxidation occurs through an inner-sphere (IS) mechanism and, therefore, it undergoes oxidation when bound to iron (Scheme 4). As a rule of thumb, in the former case, by doubling the peptide concentration, we expect to observe the same percentage of modification, while, in the latter case, a lower (a halving in the case of strong binding) of the percentage is expected. However, it is worth noting that the two mechanisms could be operative at the same time, with IS generally more efficient. The data obtained (Table 1) suggest an overlap between the two mechanisms depending on the DA:Fe ratio. In fact, at the lower DA:Fe ratio, upon doubling the peptide concentration, a 25% decrease of oxidized peptide was observed, thus suggesting an initial IS mechanism with the excess peptide being oxidized through an OS mechanism, which accounts for the observed decrease of the percentage. At the higher DA:Fe ratio, an initial OS mechanism is likely to occur as the percentage of oxidized peptide is lower, even though we cannot exclude that the mechanism could be IS-type but with a low fraction of the peptide coordinated. By doubling the peptide concentration, a doubling in the modification percentage is observed, suggesting that the higher Ac- α S_{119–132} concentration leads to an increase of the coordinated fraction which will be oxidized faster through the IS mechanism.



Scheme 4. The possible oxidation mechanisms of Ac- α S_{119–132} upon Fe^{III}-DA promoted oxidation: left, *inner-sphere*, where the bound peptide is oxidized; right: *outer-sphere*, where the unbound peptide is oxidized.

DA:Fe:Peptide (Equiv.)	Incubation Time (h)	Ac-αS _{119–132} +16 (%)
2:1:2	6	43
2:1:4	6	33
20:1:2	6	15
20:1:4	6	28

Table 1. Effect of Ac- α S_{119–132} concentration on the extent of peptide oxidation.

We can, therefore, conclude that α S-peptide oxidation is likely to occur through an IS-type mechanism, in which the coordinated peptide is oxidized, while the OS mechanism could contribute only to a modest extent. These findings are in line with what was found in the previous sections, where a strong interaction between Ac- α S_{119–132} and [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ can only occur at a low DA:Fe ratio.

3.3.2. Ac- $\alpha S^{p}S_{119-132}$ Peptide

Considering the oxidation undergone by the phosphorylated peptide $Ac-\alpha S^{p}S_{119-132}$, Figure 10 shows the elution profiles together with the modifications detected by the HPLC-MS/MS analysis, at the lower DA:Fe ratio of 2:1. As discussed in the previous sections, phosphorylation of S129 has a strong impact on the ability of $Ac-\alpha S^{p}S_{119-132}$ to compete with DA for the interaction with the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex. In fact, the unmodified peptide (t_r 40 min) found in solution is 35% at 6 h of incubation, with a further decrease to less than 20% after 24 h. Contrary to the previous case, the oxidative modifications appear to be dominant species, especially at 24 h. Among them, it is worth noting the presence of the double oxidized peptide (t_r 36.4 min, 15%) and several truncated species. The exact nature of such species is currently undefined, but we hypothesize that the species eluted at t_r 32 min (14%) corresponds to the truncation of the mono oxidized peptide, with sequence Ac^{-119} DPDNEAYEM*¹²⁷, where M127 is oxidized to sulfoxide. This latter peptide fragment suggests that the presence of the phosphate group on S129 causes a shift of the metal coordinating region towards the peptide C-terminus.



Figure 10. (a) HPLC-MS elution profiles of Ac- α S^pS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ (20 μ M) in Mops buffer pH 7.0 at 37 °C, in the presence of Fe^{II} (10 μ M) and DA (20 μ M) at the beginning (blue), and after 6 h (red) and 24 h of incubation (green). (b) Per cent modification detected by HPLC-MS analysis: Ac- α S^pS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ (t_r 40 min, blue), Ac- α S^pS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ +16 (t_r 34.6 min, red), Ac- α S^pS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ +32 (t_r 36.4 min, green), Ac-¹¹⁹DPDNEAYEM^{*127} fragment (t_r 32 min, purple).

At the higher DA:Fe ratio of 20:1, a decrease in the oxidation rate of $Ac-\alpha S^p S_{119-132}$ was observed (Figure S7), even though it was less pronounced compared with that of $Ac-\alpha S_{119-132}$, again in agreement with the higher affinity towards Fe^{III} contributed by the phosphoserine pS129. Interestingly, after 24 h of incubation, two new peaks appeared at t_r 38.6 and 31.8 min, which share the same MS/MS pattern of the $Ac-\alpha S_{119-132}$ peptide and the corresponding mono oxidized product, respectively; hence, dephosphorylation of pS129 is likely to occur.

Upon doubling the concentration of $Ac - \alpha S^p S_{119-132}$ peptide to 40 µM, the data obtained (Table 2) suggest that the oxidation mechanism still depends on the DA:Fe ratio but appear to be less ambiguous. In fact, at the lower ratio of 2:1, after doubling the peptide concentration, a halving of the per cent of oxidized peptide was observed, indicating an IS mechanism. At the higher ratio of 20:1, an OS mechanism occurs instead since the percentage of oxidized peptide remained almost unchanged with only a small decrease probably related to the competitive peptide truncation. Similar conclusions can be drawn for $Ac - \alpha S^p S_{119-132}$ when compared to $Ac - \alpha S_{119-132}$. Again, serine phosphorylation has a strong impact on the peptide effect over Fe–DA chemistry since, at both DA:Fe ratios, a greater percentage of peptide modification and truncation was found, in line with a stronger α S-peptide-Fe interaction.

DA:Fe:Peptide (Mol Ratio)	Incubation Time (h)	Ac- $\alpha S^{p}S_{119-132}$ +16 (%)
2:1:2	6	56
2:1:4	6	29
20:1:2	6	54
20:1:4	6	41

Table 2. Effect of Ac- $\alpha S^{p}S_{119-132}$ concentration over oxidation mechanism.

3.4. Comparison with Copper

Besides iron, it is well known that copper is able to promote DA oxidation in the presence of neuronal peptides [41]. It is, thus, useful to compare the behavior of the two metal ions bound to the same α S peptide. Following our previous studies on the ability of Cu^{II}-A β 16 complexes to promote catechol oxidation [29], we aimed to investigate whether α S, and in particular the Ac- α S_{119–132} peptide, can catalyze this reaction. In fact, the protein region contains a binding site for divalent metal ions in the ¹¹⁹DPDNEA¹²⁴ motif [12].

The catalytic oxidation of DA by Cu(II)-Ac- α S_{119–132} complex was studied in Hepes buffer at pH 7.4 adding increasing amounts of Ac- α S_{119–132}. The kinetic traces of absorbance against time are reported in Figure 11, where the readings at 475 nm refer to the formation of aminochrome [29]. As can be observed from Figure 11, catalytic oxidation of DA by Cu^{II} is not promoted by the addition of Ac- α S_{119–132}, since kinetic traces obtained with the peptide are almost identical. These findings are even clearer by plotting the slope of the kinetic traces against equivalents of peptide added, as reported in Figure S8. Again, since the slope is quite constant over the peptide addition, we can conclude that Ac- α S_{119–132} is neither able to catalyze nor suppress DA oxidation.



Figure 11. Kinetic traces of 3 mM DA in Hepes buffer pH 7.4 and 37 °C (blue) in the presence of 25 μ M of Cu(II) (red) and increasing amounts of Ac- α S_{119–132} (0.5 eq: green, 1 eq: purple, 1.5 eq: black, 2 eq: orange). The DA autoxidation (without copper or peptide) overlaps with the lower, purple, trace.

4. Conclusions

The interaction between C-terminal fragments of α S with biologically relevant metal ions and DA was investigated. The data suggest that Ac- α S_{119–132} and particularly Ac- α S^pS_{119–132} and Ac- α S^pY^pS_{119–132} are able to interact with the [Fe^{III}(DA)₂]⁻ complex, likely forming a ternary complex—[Fe(DA)(α S)]. The interplay between the three species is dominated by two factors: (i) the DA:Fe molar ratio and (ii) the phosphorylation of the peptide. At high DA:Fe molar ratios, DA coordination is too strong and hampers the peptide coordination, while, at lower DA:Fe molar ratios, the peptide is able to compete with one of the two coordinated DA molecules. This kind of interaction is also confirmed by the analysis of the post-translational modifications (PTMs) of the peptide, where an inner-sphere mechanism was observed only at the DA:Fe ratio of 2:1. Nevertheless, peptide phosphorylation enhances affinity for Fe^{III}, causing a decrease in DA oxidation rate and increase in peptide oxidation. Furthermore, phosphorylation at S129 causes the shift of the coordination sphere towards the C-terminus of the peptide, as a consistent fraction of fragmented peptide was observed.

Studies conducted in a membrane-like environment showed an enhanced peptide effect over both the DA oxidation and the $[Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^-$ complex formation and degradation by lowering the effective DA concentration and thus shifting the DA:Fe molar ratio towards lower values.

In the case of copper(II), we demonstrated that the $Ac-\alpha S_{119-132}$ peptide does not influence the DA oxidation promoted by the free ion. This behavior contrasts with what was observed with other neuronal peptides like A β and prion fragments [41], and is due to the lack of free *N*-terminal amine and histidine in the *C*-terminal αS , which are the residues that typically offer a strong Cu^{II} coordination sphere and stabilize the Cu^I redox state necessary to cycle during the catalytic event.

Future perspectives will address the full characterization of the bis-phosphorylated α S fragment at both Y125 and S129. Despite that, the preliminary data indicate that the second phosphorylation (i.e., on Y125) does not enhance interaction with $[Fe^{III}(DA)_2]^-$, if compared with the Ac- α S^PS₁₁₉₋₁₃₂ peptide, and rather a competition between the two residues occurs. A further aspect to be taken into consideration will be the use of more sophisticated membrane models than the micelles formed by SDS; for example, with large or small unilamellar vesicles (LUV and SUV, respectively). Since the membrane alters the effective DA concentration, other DA:Fe molar ratios than those used in the present work need to be investigated.

Supplementary Materials: Additional kinetic traces (Figures S1, S2, S4, S5 and S8), additional UV-vis spectra (Figure S3), additional HPLC-MS elution profiles, and percentage modifications (Figures S6 and S7) tables with kinetic constants (Table S1) are available online and can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/antiox12040791/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.S., S.D., S.N., E.M. and L.C.; methodology, F.S.; formal analysis, F.S., S.D., S.N. and E.M.; writing—original draft preparation, F.S., E.M. and L.C.; writing—review and editing, F.S., S.D., S.N., E.M. and L.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Italian Ministry of Education, University, and Research (MIUR)-Research Projects of National Interest (PRIN) 2015 prot. 2015T778JW.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data supporting the reported results can be obtained from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge support from the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MUR) and the University of Pavia through the program "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2023–2027".

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Wise, R.M.; Wagener, A.; Fietzek, U.M.; Klopstock, T.; Mosharov, E.V.; Zucca, F.A.; Sulzer, D.; Zecca, L.; Burbulla, L.F. Interactions of dopamine, iron, and alpha-synuclein linked to dopaminergic neuron vulnerability in Parkinson's disease and Neurodegeneration with Brain Iron Accumulation disorders. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2022, 175, 105920. [CrossRef]
- 2. Hare, D.J.; Double, K.L. Iron and dopamine: A toxic couple. Brain 2016, 139, 1026–1035. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Lingor, P.; Carboni, E.; Koch, J.C. Alpha-synuclein and iron: Two keys unlocking Parkinson's disease. *J. Neural Transm.* 2017, 124, 973–981. [CrossRef]
- 4. Rothman, R.J.; Serroni, A.; Farber, J.L. Cellular pool of transient ferric iron, chelatable by deferoxamine and distinct from ferritin, that is involved in oxidative cell injury. *Mol. Pharm.* **1992**, *42*, 703–710.
- Brawley, H.N.; Kreinbrink, A.C.; Hierholzer, J.D.; Vali, S.W.; Lindahl, P.A. Labile Iron Pool of Isolated Escherichia coli Cytosol Likely Includes Fe-ATP and Fe-Citrate but not Fe-Glutathione or Aqueous Fe. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 2104–2117. [CrossRef]
- 6. Zucca, F.A.; Segura-Aguilar, J.; Ferrari, E.; Muñoz, P.; Paris, I.; Sulzer, D.; Sarna, T.; Casella, L.; Zecca, L. Interactions of iron, dopamine and neuromelanin pathways in brain aging and Parkinson's disease. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **2017**, *155*, 96–119. [CrossRef]
- Monzani, E.; Nicolis, S.; Dell'Acqua, S.; Capucciati, A.; Bacchella, C.; Zucca, F.A.; Mosharov, E.V.; Sulzer, D.; Zecca, L.; Casella, L. Dopamine, Oxidative Stress and Protein-Quinone Modifications in Parkinson's and Other Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2019, *58*, 6512–6527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Bisaglia, M.; Mammi, S.; Bubacco, L. Kinetic and structural analysis of the early oxidation products of dopamine: Analysis of the interactions with alpha-synuclein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2007, 282, 15597–15605. [CrossRef]
- 9. Sun, Y.; Pham, A.N.; Waite, T.D. Elucidation of the interplay between Fe(II), Fe(III), and dopamine with relevance to iron solubilization and reactive oxygen species generation by catecholamines. *J. Neurochem.* **2016**, *137*, 955–968. [CrossRef]
- 10. Sun, Y.; Pham, A.N.; Hare, D.J.; Waite, T.D. Kinetic modeling of pH-dependent oxidation of dopamine by iron and its relevance to Parkinson's disease. *Front. Neurosci.* **2018**, *12*, 859. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Viles, J.H. Metal ions and amyloid fiber formation in neurodegenerative diseases. Copper, zinc and iron in Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and prion diseases. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2012, 256, 2271–2284. [CrossRef]
- Binolfi, A.; Rasia, R.M.; Bertoncini, C.W.; Ceolin, M.; Zweckstetter, M.; Griesinger, C.; Jovin, T.M.; Fernández, C.O. Interaction of alpha-synuclein with divalent metal ions reveals key differences: A link between structure, binding specificity and fibrillation enhancement. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9893–9901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, Y.; Prudent, M.; Fauvet, B.; Lashuel, H.A.; Girault, H.H. Phosphorylation of α-Synuclein at Y125 and S129 Alters Its Metal Binding Properties: Implications for Understanding the Role of α-Synuclein in the Pathogenesis of Parkinson's Disease and Related Disorders. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2011, 2, 667–675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Liu, L.L.; Franz, K.J. Phosphorylation of an α-synuclein peptide fragment enhances metal binding. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 9662–9663. [CrossRef]
- 15. Rao, K.S.J. Thermodynamics imprinting reveals differential binding of metals to α-synuclein: Relevance to parkinson's disease. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2007**, *359*, 115–120. [CrossRef]
- 16. Stefánsson, A. Iron (III) hydrolysis and solubility at 25 degrees C. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2007, 41, 6117–6123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Hanwell, M.D.; Curtis, D.E.; Lonie, D.C.; Vandermeersch, T.; Zurek, E.; Hutchison, G.R. Avogadro: An advanced semantic chemical editor, visualization, and analysis platform. *J. Cheminform.* **2012**, *4*, 17. [CrossRef]
- 18. Schrödinger, L.; DeLano, W. PyMOL. 2020. Available online: http://www.pymol.org/pymol (accessed on 1 October 2022).
- Anderson, J.P.; Walker, D.E.; Goldstein, J.M.; de Laat, R.; Banducci, K.; Caccavello, R.J.; Barbour, R.; Huang, J.; Kling, K.; Lee, M.; et al. Phosphorylation of Ser-129 is the dominant pathological modification of alpha-synuclein in familial and sporadic Lewy body disease. J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281, 29739–29752. [CrossRef]
- Liu, L.L.; Franz, K.J. Phosphorylation-dependent metal binding by α-synuclein peptide fragments. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 12, 234–247. [CrossRef]
- 21. Xu, Y.; Deng, Y.; Qing, H. The phosphorylation of α-synuclein: Development and implication for the mechanism and therapy of the Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurochem.* **2015**, *135*, 4–18. [CrossRef]
- Chen, L.; Periquet, M.; Wang, X.; Negro, A.; McLean, P.J.; Hyman, B.T.; Feany, M.B. Tyrosine and serine phosphorylation of alpha-synuclein have opposing effects on neurotoxicity and soluble oligomer formation. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2009, 119, 3257–3265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Davidson, W.S.; Jonas, A.; Clayton, D.F.; George, J.M. Stabilization of alpha-synuclein secondary structure upon binding to synthetic membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, 273, 9443–9449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kurochka, A.S.; Yushchenko, D.A.; Bouř, P.; Shvadchak, V.V. Influence of Lipid Membranes on α-Synuclein Aggregation. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2021**, *12*, 825–830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bacchella, C.; Camponeschi, F.; Kolkowska, P.; Kola, A.; Tessari, I.; Baratto, M.C.; Bisaglia, M.; Monzani, E.; Bubacco, L.; Mangani, S.; et al. Copper Binding and Redox Activity of α-Synuclein in Membrane-Like Environment. *Biomolecules* 2023, *13*, 287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Wang, H.; Mörman, C.; Sternke-Hoffmann, R.; Huang, C.Y.; Prota, A.; Ma, P.; Luo, J. Cu(2+) ions modulate the interaction between α-synuclein and lipid membranes. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2022**, *236*, 111945. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Bacchella, C.; Nicolis, S.; Dell'Acqua, S.; Rizzarelli, E.; Monzani, E.; Casella, L. Membrane Binding Strongly Affecting the Dopamine Reactivity Induced by Copper Prion and Copper/Amyloid-beta (Abeta) Peptides. A Ternary Copper/Abeta/Prion Peptide Complex Stabilized and Solubilized in Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Micelles. *Inorg. Chem.* 2020, 59, 900–912. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Y.; Pham, A.N.; Hider, R.C.; Zheng, H.; Waite, T.D. Effectiveness of the Iron Chelator CN128 in Mitigating the Formation of Dopamine Oxidation Products Associated with the Progression of Parkinson's Disease. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2020, 11, 3646–3657. [CrossRef]
- Pirota, V.; Dell'Acqua, S.; Monzani, E.; Nicolis, S.; Casella, L. Copper-Aβ Peptides and Oxidation of Catecholic Substrates: Reactivity and Endogenous Peptide Damage. *Chem.*—*A Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 16964–16973. [CrossRef]
- 30. Morgan, B.; Lahav, O. The effect of pH on the kinetics of spontaneous Fe(II) oxidation by O2 in aqueous solution—Basic principles and a simple heuristic description. *Chemosphere* **2007**, *68*, 2080–2084. [CrossRef]
- 31. Sever, M.J.; Wilker, J.J. Visible absorption spectra of metal–catecholate and metal–tironate complexes. *J. Chem. Society. Dalton Trans.* **2004**, *4*, 1061–1072. [CrossRef]
- 32. Herlinger, E.; Jameson, R.F.; Linert, W. Spontaneous autoxidation of dopamine. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1995, 2, 259–263. [CrossRef]
- 33. Jiang, D.; Shi, S.; Zhang, L.; Liu, L.; Ding, B.; Zhao, B.; Yagnik, G.; Zhou, F. Inhibition of the Fe(III)-catalyzed dopamine oxidation by ATP and its relevance to oxidative stress in Parkinson's disease. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2013**, *4*, 1305–1313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sachin, K.M.; Karpe, S.A.; Singh, M.; Bhattarai, A. Self-assembly of sodium dodecylsulfate and dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide mixed surfactants with dyes in aqueous mixtures. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 2019, *6*, 181979. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Niraula, T.P.; Shah, S.K.; Chatterjee, S.K.; Bhattarai, A. Effect of methanol on the surface tension and viscosity of sodiumdodecyl sulfate (SDS) in aqueous medium at 298.15–323.15 K. *Karbala Int. J. Mod. Sci.* **2018**, *4*, 26–34. [CrossRef]
- 36. Barreto, W.J.; Barreto, S.R.G.; Ponzoni, S.; Kawano, Y.; Di Mauro, E.; Magosso, H.A.; Silva, W.P. Preparation and characterization of a stable semiquinone-iron complex. *Mon. Chem.* **2005**, *136*, 701–712. [CrossRef]
- 37. Young, A.R. Chromophores in human skin. Phys. Med. Biol. 1997, 42, 789-802. [CrossRef]
- Greenough, M.A.; Camakaris, J.; Bush, A.I. Metal dyshomeostasis and oxidative stress in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurochem. Int.* 2013, 62, 540–555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Valko, M.; Morris, H.; Cronin, M.T. Metals, toxicity and oxidative stress. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2005, 12, 1161–1208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Verrastro, I.; Pasha, S.; Jensen, K.T.; Pitt, A.R.; Spickett, C.M. Mass spectrometry-based methods for identifying oxidized proteins in disease: Advances and challenges. *Biomolecules* **2015**, *5*, 378–411. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Bacchella, C.; Dell'Acqua, S.; Nicolis, S.; Monzani, E.; Casella, L. The reactivity of copper complexes with neuronal peptides promoted by catecholamines and its impact on neurodegeneration. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2022**, 471, 214756. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.