Table S1. Percentages of trials with correctly identified targets.

|  | Target: Left |  | Target: Right |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1-T2 Lag | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| T1\&T2 Side | diff | same | diff | diff | same | diff |
|  |  |  | T1 |  |  |  |
| no lures | 80.9 | 85.1 | 82.6 | 83.3 | 89.9 | 80.9 |
|  | (10.2) | (11.7) | (11.4) | (10.8) | (10.7) | (10.3) |
| digit lures, same side as T2 | 77.0 | 82.2 | 82.0 | 85.2 | 79.9 | 89.3 |
|  | (12.3) | (16.3) | (13.0) | (12.5) | (10.6) | (8.0) |
| digit lures, other side than T2 | 84.7 | 83.3 | 82.0 | 83.1 | 87.2 | 83.5 |
|  | (10.2) | (11.3) | (13.9) | (12.8) | (10.7) | (15.1) |
| color lures, same side as T2 |  | 84.3 | 84.8 | 81.8 | 76.8 | 80.6 |
|  | (14.6) | (14.3) | (12.3) | (11.8) | (14.9) | (12.9) |
| color lures, other side than T2 | 81.7 | 76.8 | 81.1 | 81.6 | 81.0 | 82.0 |
|  | (16.0) | (19.1) | (11.0) | (12.5) | (14.3) | (14.0) |
| T2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| no lures | 57.8 | 75.3 | 66.5 | 46.8 | 67.5 | 53.5 |
|  | (24.3) | (15.0) | (19.7) | (22.7) | (20.3) | (23.8) |
| digit lures, same side as T2 | 55.9 | 60.4 | 65.9 | 47.9 |  |  |
|  | (20.9) | (19.5) | (17.7) | (18.4) | (18.0) | (18.3) |
| digit lures, other side than T2 | 65.8 |  | 72.6 |  | $64.9$ | 58.1 |
|  | (16.3) | (16.4) | (19.9) | (23.0) | (12.1) | (24.5) |
| color lures, same side as T2 | 52.7 | 63.4 | 66.7 | 43.6 | 62.9 | 51.1 |
|  | (28.3) | (16.2) | (16.8) | (17.0) | (12.8) | (14.0) |
| color lures, other side than T2 | 54.0 | 60.0 | 63.1 | 42.6 | 63.2 | 44.3 |
|  | (22.2) | (16.2) | (23.5) | (26.2) | (18.0) | (20.4) |

The upper half shows T1 values, the lower half T2 values. Values are mean percentages (and standard deviations) across participants. Numbers of trials with correctly identified targets were referred to all trials, both for T 1 and T 2 (rather than referring T 2 to only those trials in which T 1 was identified) to have the same scale for lure effects on T 1 and on T 2 .

