

# **Amino Acid Requirements for Nile Tilapia: An Update**

Wilson Massamitu Furuya<sup>1,\*</sup>, Thais Pereira da Cruz<sup>2</sup> and Delbert Monroe Gatlin III<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Science, State University of Ponta Grossa, Ponta Grossa 84030-900, Brazil
- <sup>2</sup> Animal Science Graduate Degree Program, State University of Maringá, Maringá 87020-900, Brazil
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Ecology and Conservation Biology, Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX 77840, USA
- \* Correspondence: wmfuruya@uepg.br; Tel.: +55-42-3220-3082

**Simple Summary:** The concept of optimizing growth performance and supporting fish health through precise amino acid (AA) nutrition is well-accepted in current Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, farming. Emerging studies have evidenced the crucial role of essential amino acids (EAAs) and non-essential amino acids (NEAAs) beyond protein synthesis, regulating growth and reproductive performance, health status, fillet yield, and flesh quality responses of Nile tilapia. Balanced AAs can contribute to accurately implementing the "Precision Nutrition" concept and may help assess the economic dimension of the aquaculture system. Additionally, information on the precise dietary AA requirements may help produce environmentally sustainable diets for Nile tilapia farming in compliance with sustainability principles.

Abstract: This review aims to consolidate the relevant published data exploring the amino acid (AA) requirements of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, and to reach a new set of recommendations based on those data. There are still inconsistencies in lysine, sulfur-containing AA, threonine, tryptophan, branched-chain AA, and total aromatic AA recommendations in data that have appeared since 1988. This review finds that strain, size, basal diet composition, and assessment method may have contributed to the inconsistencies in AA recommendations. Currently, the expansion of precision AA nutrition diets for Nile tilapia is receiving more attention because of the demand for flexibility in widespread ingredient substitutions which will allow compliance with environmentally sustainable principles. Such approaches involve changes in diet ingredient composition with possible inclusions of non-bound essential and non-essential AAs. Increasing the inclusion of non-bound AAs into Nile tilapia diets may modify protein dynamics and influence AA requirements. Emerging evidence indicates that not only essential but also some non-essential amino acids regulate growth performance, fillet yield, and flesh quality, as well as reproductive performance, gut morphology, intestinal microbiota, and immune responses. Thus, this review considers current AA recommendations for Nile tilapia industry.

**Keywords:** amino acid requirement; ideal amino acid ratio; growth performance; health status; Nile tilapia

# 1. Introduction

Global tilapia production is projected to continue growing until 2031 through the sustainable management and utilization of natural resource principles based on improved nutrition practices [1]. In this way, the growth performance, reproduction, and health of tilapias have been improved by genetic selection breeding programs coupled with precision nutritional strategies to meet this increasing demand. However, this need has generated challenges for the tilapia industry, including concerns of food security, food safety, feed ingredient shortages, diseases, and environmental issues.

Emerging evidence suggests a variety of economically and environmentally sustainable feedstuffs for use in aquafeeds [2–5]. One of these groups is the plant-protein feedstuffs;



Citation: Furuya, W.M.; Cruz, T.P.d.; Gatlin, D.M., III. Amino Acid Requirements for Nile Tilapia: An Update. *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 900. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ani13050900

Academic Editors: Benjamín Costas, Sofia Engrola and Cláudia Aragão

Received: 26 January 2023 Revised: 25 February 2023 Accepted: 27 February 2023 Published: 1 March 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). however, vegetable feedstuffs may contain antinutrients and limiting amounts of certain amino acids (AAs) that may impair protein synthesis [3,6–9]. Consistently, the deficiency of a single essential AA may impair several physiological functions and, subsequently, growth performance [10–12]. Emerging evidence suggests that AAs also act as signaling molecules regulating protein synthesis [13,14] and energy metabolism in the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* [15]. Therefore, the provision of tilapia feeds closely matching optimum AA requirements is a crucial strategy for overcoming various challenges, including optimizing sustainable raw materials, lowering feeding costs, and attenuating nitrogen loss into the environment.

Fish, like other animals, synthesize body proteins from AAs that are provided in the diet as well as some AAs that can be synthesized in the body from precursors. Those which must be provided in the diet due to lack of endogenous synthesis capabilities have traditionally been referred to as dietary indispensable or essential amino acids (EAAs). Classical fish nutrition textbooks consider arginine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tryptophan, and valine as nutritionally essential AAs to maintain normal physiological functions of cells and tissues [16,17]. These EAAs are most critical to provide in the diet because a deficiency of any one can limit protein synthesis, which is often manifested as reduced weight gain as well as other specific deficiency signs.

Another group of AAs commonly referred to as dispensable or non-essential amino acids (NEAAs) includes alanine, asparagine, aspartate, cysteine, glutamate, glutamine, glycine, proline, serine, and tyrosine. Those NEAAs traditionally have been classified as such because they can be synthesized in the body from precursor biochemicals. They also may be found in dietary protein and used for synthesizing tissue proteins as well as participating in various metabolic pathways. Emerging evidence has indicated that dietary supplementation of NEAAs also may have beneficial effects on the growth performance [18,19], reproduction [20], health [21,22], and flesh quality [23] of Nile tilapia that are fed plant-based diets. Some AAs may be classified as conditionally essential amino acids (CEAAs) because their rates of use may exceed their rates of synthesis under certain physiological conditions. AAs in this category include glutamate, glutamine, glycine, proline, and hydroxyproline, as well as the sulfonic acid taurine. The CEAA term also has been applied where the reduction or elimination of certain protein feedstuffs in the diet which are rich in certain AAs requires the supplementation of such AAs to prevent growth reduction or other metabolic impairment. The participation of AAs in various metabolic processes beyond protein synthesis such as cell signaling, gene expression, and metabolic regulation has led to the term "functional" AAs, which can include EAAs, CEAAs, and NEAAs [24,25]. The relevance of the concept of functional AAs to aquatic animal nutrition has been established [26] and is beginning to receive heightened attention.

Notably, many relevant outcomes on AA requirements of tilapia have been published since the last National Research Council (NRC) edition in 2011 [27]. However, the summary of AA requirements of tilapia has not been updated for more than a decade. Variables such as fish strain and size, feed composition and processing technology, as well as feed management and statistical methods, may influence experimental estimates of AA requirements of Nile tilapia and may explain some of the inconsistencies in AA recommendations throughout the literature. Importantly, some of these new data provide insights for optimal production efficiency, welfare, health, and flesh quality responses. Thus, this review comprehensively consolidates the relevant data on dietary AA requirements, identifies the shortfalls, and recommends directions for future research in tilapia nutrition.

## 2. Methodology

The studies selected in this review were completed since 1988, and the impacts of single AA additions on the growth performance of Nile tilapia were determined in most of them, although statistical models using the deletion method were applied to estimate AA requirements in the remaining studies. Where data permit, the impacts of dietary

AA recommendations based on growth performance, reproduction, and health responses are tabulated. Also, all data are expressed on a dry-matter basis. Generally, growth rate, feed conversion ratio, and protein retention efficiency were identified as the most appropriate parameters for deriving AA recommendations, and their simple mean ( $\pm$ standard deviation) recommendations were used to summarize the AA recommendations reported during the past 34 years. While it is well-established that feedstuffs contain more total than digestible amino acids, few studies have reported dietary amino acid requirements that consider amino acid digestibility. Therefore, in this review, we focused on data based on diets' total amino acid content. Furthermore, relevant Nile tilapia production stage ranges for the recommendations are included as footnotes. Standard deviations of the mean AA values are recorded to illustrate the variations caused by units in expressing requirements (g/kg diet or % crude protein). These mean values are somewhat problematic because AA requirements are expressed on a total basis, and variations in respective fish size ranges, feed ingredients and diet composition, response variable, and statistical methods for estimating AA requirements are the main influential factors on these outcomes. Recent studies have used various estimation methods to arrive at AA recommendations for Nile tilapia, including linear, quadratic, and polynomial broken-line regressions. An alternative method proposed to determine the optimal AA ratio for pigs [28] and applied for other species such as Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar [29], and Nile tilapia [12] is the deletion method. This method involves monitoring the nitrogen balance as AAs are reduced from the diet and assuming that reducing a non-limiting AA does not affect nitrogen retention [30]. This approach differs from the conventional procedure by allowing for the determination of the requirements for all essential AAs in one set of experiments [30] and is well-accepted as an efficient and rapid tool to estimate the ideal AA profile in Nile tilapia [10,11]. Additionally, there are some studies in which more than one assessment method was applied to compare the impacts of different methods on estimated AA requirements. Broken-line models generated 27% of tabulated recommendations, while both linear and broken-line assessments generated 9%. Additionally, polynomial regressions generated 50%, while the deletion method generated 14% of the recommendations. Finally, we established recommendations for the dietary essential AA profile (plus cystine and tyrosine) for each Nile tilapia production stage relative to dietary protein, as these values have not yet been established for all AAs and production stage ranges. For this, we considered previously established dietary protein requirement values in the literature for each production stage.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Amino Acid Composition of Tilapia Tissues

The AA composition of eggs and whole bodies of Nile tilapia at different production stages are shown in Table 1. Lysine was the dominant essential AA, while glutamic acid was the major non-essential AA. However, there is little information in the literature about the impact of dietary AA supplementation on egg and tissue AA profiles.

AA	Egg <sup>1</sup>	Fry <sup>2</sup>	Nursery <sup>3</sup>	Pre-growout <sup>4</sup>	Growout <sup>5</sup>	$\textbf{Mean} \pm \textbf{SD}$
EAA						
Arg	4.7	3.7	4.2	5.4	6.3	$4.9\pm1.0$
His	1.9	5.2	2.3	2.1	1.5	$2.6 \pm 1.5$
Ile	2.8	3.2	3.9	3.9	4.3	$3.6\pm0.6$
Leu	5.9	6.8	6.0	6.5	7.1	$6.5\pm0.5$
Lys	5.8	6.0	7.2	6.7	7.4	$6.6\pm0.7$
Met	2.9	5.3	2.0	2.3	2.5	$3.0\pm1.3$
Phe	2.2	3.5	3.2	3.7	3.5	$3.2\pm0.6$
Thr	4.5	3.7	3.3	3.9	4.1	$3.9\pm0.4$
Trp	n.d.	n.d.	0.9	0.9	0.8	$0.9\pm0.1$
Val	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.7	$4.5\pm0.1$

Table 1. Amino acid profile (g/100 crude protein) of eggs and whole bodies of Nile tilapia.

AA	Egg <sup>1</sup>	Fry <sup>2</sup>	Nursery <sup>3</sup>	Pre-growout <sup>4</sup>	Growout <sup>5</sup>	$\mathbf{Mean} \pm \mathbf{SD}$
NEAA						
Ala	8.4	7.1	5.6	6.1	7.0	$6.8 \pm 1.1$
Asp	8.1	7.0.	8.2	8.2	8.1	$8.2\pm0.1$
Cys	n.d.	n.d.	0.9	0.7	0.7	$0.8\pm0.1$
Glu	9.4	11.8	12.1	12.0	14.5	$12.0\pm1.8$
Gly	4.3	n.d.	6.8	7.3	9.5	$7.0\pm2.1$
Pro	7.2	7.2	n.d	n.d	n.d	$7.2 \pm 0.0$
Ser	8.8	5.2	3.2	3.6	3.6	$4.9\pm2.3$
Tyr	2.7	3.4	2.9	3.0	2.8	$3.0\pm0.3$

Table 1. Cont.

Abbreviations: EAA, essential amino acid; NEAA, non-essential amino acid; n.d., non-determined; <sup>1</sup> Egg composition of Nile tilapia females fed a 350 g/kg crude protein diet [31]; <sup>2</sup> Yolk-sac resorbed Nile tilapia fry of 12 mg body weight [32]; <sup>3</sup> Body weight of ~1 g [33]; <sup>4</sup> Body weight of ~44 g [13]; <sup>5</sup> Body weight of ~829 g [34].

Previous research identified that the AA composition of eggs varies with dietary protein [31]. Conversely, early studies have reported that dietary AA supplementation does not alter the whole-body AA composition of nursery, pre-growout, and growout Nile tilapia [35–37].

## 3.2. Dietary Amino Acid Recommendations for Nile Tilapia

The AA requirements recommended for some Nile tilapia production stages are presented in Table 2. Of note, there are tangible differences across the literature, and the genesis of these inconsistencies could include genetic differences between strains, diet composition, fish management, rearing conditions, and the statistical method by which AA requirements were assessed. The AA requirements of Nile tilapia were published by the NRC (2011) and are considered one of the main references for AA recommendations. However, genetic improvements and higher performance objectives set by the modern tilapia industry have motivated researchers to review the NRC (2011) recommendations over the past decade. Notably, a number of recent studies have been published, although different experimental methodologies have been used. Several experiments were conducted in which the requirement of one single or multiple AAs was determined, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Amino acid recommendations for Nile tilapia.

		<b>Dietary Requirement</b>				
Amino Acid	Fish Stage	g/kg Diet (DM)	% Protein	Response	P:E	Reference
Arg	1	11.8	4.2	WG	26.8	[38]
0	1	18.2	6.2	WG	n.p.	[39]
	1	13.6	4.9	WG	21.4	[40]
	2	16.7	5.2	WG	18.5	[41]
	2	13.7	4.9	NR	16.1	[11]
	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	$14.8\pm2.6$	$5.1\pm0.7$		$18.7\pm2.7$	
His	1	4.8	1.7	WG	26.8	[38]
	2	4.8	1.8	NR	16.1	[11]
	2	8.2	3.1	WG	15.4	[42]
	3	8.8	2.8	WG	19.4	[43]
	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	$6.7\pm2.2$	$2.4\pm0.7$		$19.4\pm5.2$	
Ile	1	8.7	3.1	WG	26.8	[38]
	2	9.1	3.3	NR	16.1	[11]
	1	13.7	5.0	WG	19.6	[13]
	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	$10.5\pm2.8$	$3.8\pm1.0$		$20.8\pm5.5$	

		<b>Dietary Requirement</b>				
Amino Acid	Fish Stage	g/kg Diet (DM)	% Protein	Response	P:E	Reference
Leu	1	9.5	0.34	WG	26.8	[38]
	2	13.5	0.48	NR	16.1	[11]
	1	12.5	0.43	WG	n.p.	[44]
	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	$11.8\pm2.1$	$4.2\pm007$		$21.5\pm7.6$	
Lys	1	14.3	5.1	WG	26.8	[38]
-	2	16.5	5.9	NR	16.1	[11]
	3	15.1	6.0	WG	19.2	[35]
	2	18.0	5.6	WG	18.5	[45]
	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	$16.0\pm1.6$	$5.7\pm0.4$		$20.2\pm4.6$	
Met	1	7.5	2.7	WG	26.8	[38]
	3	6.8	2.3	WG	20.6	[46]
	1	9.1	3.2	WG	n.p.	[47]
	1	8.1	2.9	WG	19.1	[48]
	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	$7.9\pm1.0$	$2.8\pm0.4$		$\textbf{22.2} \pm \textbf{4.1}$	
Met +Cys	1	9.0	3.2	WG	26.8	[38]
	3	11.2	3.8	WG, FY	20.6	[46]
	1	10.0	3.5	WG	n.p.	[47]
	1	8.5	3.0	WG	19.1	[48]
	Mean $\pm$ SD	$9.7 \pm 1.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.4$		$22.2\pm4.1$	[]
Phe	1	10.5	3.8	WG	26.8	[38]
1110	1 <sup>1</sup>	8.8	3.0	WG	21.4	[49]
	1	12.1	3.5	WG	20.9	[50]
	Mean $\pm$ SD	$10.5 \pm 1.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.4$		$23.0 \pm 3.3$	[00]
Phe + Tyr	1	15.5	5.6	WG	26.8	[38]
riic + ryr	$1^{1}$	18.6	6.4	WG	20.0	[49]
	1	20.6	5.9	WG		[50]
	Mean $\pm$ SD	$18.2 \pm 2.6$	$6.0 \pm 0.4$	WG	$23.0 \pm 3.3$	
Thr	1		3.8	WG		[20]
1111	1	10.5 13.3	3.8 4.7	WG	26.8	[38] [51]
	2	13.5	4.7	NR	16.1	
	2 3	13.5	4.8	WG, FY	22.7	[11] [34]
	Mean $\pm$ SD	11.5 $12.2 \pm 1.4$	$4.0 \pm 0.5$	WG, P1	$21.9 \pm 5.4$	[34]
Trus	1	2.8	1.0 ± 0.0	WG	26.8	[20]
Trp	1 2	2.8 2.4	0.9	NR	26.8 16.1	[38]
	2	2.4 3.4	0.9	WG	19.3	[11]
	1	3.4 3.8	1.1 1.2	WG WG	19.5	[52]
	1		1.2 1.0	WG WG		[53]
	Mean $\pm$ SD	3.1 $3.1\pm0.5$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	WG	n.p. 20.2 ± 4.6	[54]
Val	1	7.8	2.8	WG		[20]
Val					26.8	[38]
	2	9.7 12.7	3.5	NR	16.1	[11]
	1 Maar   CD	12.7	4.5	WG	17.4	[55]
	Mean $\pm$ SD	$10.1 \pm 2.5$	$3.6\pm0.9$		$20.1\pm5.8$	

Table 2. Cont.

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; DM, dry matter; WG, weight gain; NR, nitrogen retention; FY, fillet yield; P:E, protein-to-energy ratio (g/MJ gross or digestible energy); n.p., non-presented; <sup>1</sup> Hybrid tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*  $\times$  *Oreochromis aureus*.

Based on data displayed in Table 1, the dietary recommendation of each essential AA (plus cystine and tyrosine) was computed relative to the dietary crude protein content and shown in Table 3. For this, we considered the dietary protein content for each fish production stage based on previous values established for Nile tilapia.

	Production Stage <sup>2</sup>				
	Fry	Nursery/Pre-Growout	Growout		
Amino Acid	Crude Protein (g/kg Diet) <sup>3</sup>				
	460	350	320		
Arg	23.5	17.9	16.3		
His	11.0	8.4	7.7		
Ile	17.5	13.3	12.2		
Leu	19.3	14.7	13.4		
Lys	26.2	20.0	18.2		
Met	12.9	9.8	9.0		
Met + Cys	15.6	11.9	10.9		
Phe	15.6	11.9	10.9		
Phe + Tyr	27.6	21.0	19.2		
Thr	19.8	15.1	13.8		
Trp	0.5	0.4	0.3		
Val	16.1	12.3	11.2		

**Table 3.** Dietary amino acid recommendation (g/kg diet) for Nile tilapia<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Each amino acid is estimated relative to crude protein (mean value) displayed in Table 2; <sup>2</sup> Previously established for Nile tilapia as nursery (1.6 to 30 g of body weight), pre-growout (31 to  $\leq$ 220 g of body weight), and growout (>220 g of body weight) [56]; <sup>3</sup> Mean values previously established for Nile tilapia fry [57,58], nursery and pre-growout [57], and growout Nile tilapia [59].

Previous evidence shows that the culture system influences the dietary protein requirement of Nile tilapia broodstock. Thus, based on the percentage of each AA relative to dietary protein, the dietary AA recommendations for Nile tilapia broodstock are displayed in Table 4.

	Crude	Matter)	
Amino Acid	350 <sup>2</sup>	380 <sup>3</sup>	400 <sup>4</sup>
Arg	17.9	19.4	20.4
His	8.4	9.1	9.6
Ile	13.3	14.4	15.2
Leu	14.7	16.0	16.8
Lys	20.0	21.7	22.8
Met	9.8	10.6	11.2
Met + Cys	11.9	12.9	13.6
Phe	11.9	12.9	13.6
Phe + Tyr	21.0	22.8	24.0
Thr	15.1	16.3	17.2
Trp	0.4	0.4	0.4
Val	12.3	13.3	14.0

**Table 4.** Dietary amino acid recommendations (g/kg diet) for broodstock Nile tilapia<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Each amino acid is estimated relative to crude protein (mean value) displayed in Table 2; <sup>2</sup> Broodstock raised in earthen pond [31,60]; <sup>3</sup> Broodstock raised in recycling system [61]; <sup>4</sup> Broodstock raised in water salinity up to 14‰ [62].

The concept of ideal protein for domestic animals was first proposed by Mitchell over 60 years ago [63] and remains relevant in poultry and pig nutrition [64]. The ideal protein concept refers to dietary protein with an AA profile that exactly meets an animal's requirements [65]. According to this concept, dietary protein should have an AA profile that exactly meets the animal's requirements, as shown in Table 5. Lysine is used as a reference AA to express the requirements for other AAs, which simplifies diet formulation, as solid requirement data for most AAs are not readily available [66]. Additionally, the concept of ideal AA ratios was introduced for Nile tilapia in 1994 to aid in the development of cost-effective feed formulations [67,68].

	I			
Amino Acid	Nursery <sup>2</sup>	Pre-Growout <sup>2</sup>	Growout <sup>2</sup>	- Mean $\pm$ SD
Lysine	100	100	100	$10.0\pm 0$
Arginine	86	125	81	$97\pm24$
Histidine	30	34	34	$33\pm2$
Isoleucine	56	57	51	$55\pm3$
Leucine	84	96	66	$82\pm15$
Methionine	41	64 <sup>3</sup>	41 <sup>3</sup>	$49\pm13$
Phenylalanine	64	101 <sup>4</sup>	$70^{4}$	$78\pm20$
Threonine	103	93	89	$95\pm7$
Tryptophan	16	24	23	$21\pm4$
Valine	60	76	73	$70\pm9$

Table 5. Dietary amino acid profile (% of lysine) based on the ideal protein concept for Nile tilapia.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation; <sup>1</sup> Previously established for Nile tilapia as nursery (1.6 to 30 g of body weight), pre-growout (31 to  $\leq$ 220 g of body weight), and growout (>220 g of body weight) [56]; <sup>2</sup> Data established for nursery [11], pre-growout [12], and growout [10] Nile tilapia by deletion method; <sup>3</sup> Methionine plus cystine; <sup>4</sup> Phenylanaline plus tyrosine.

Of note, the ideal protein concept also has been applied to reduce dietary protein [69] and optimize fishmeal-free diets [70] for Nile tilapia. Importantly, further studies are required to continuously update ideal AA profiles considering the ideal protein concept in Nile tilapia diets.

# 3.3. Importance of Amino Acids in Nile Tilapia

#### 3.3.1. Lysine

Lysine is the first limiting essential AA in typical corn, wheat, and cereal coproduct– based diets for Nile, and supplementation of feed-grade lysine has been largely adopted in practical and experimental diets for Nile tilapia. Lysine's primary metabolic role in protein synthesis also is the basis for it to be the reference AA in computing ideal AA ratios. Interestingly, an early study demonstrated that the effectiveness of using intact lysine from high-lysine corn protein concentrate was not significantly different from that of crystalline lysine in Nile tilapia [71]. It is noteworthy that accurate estimations of dietary lysine requirements are critical because recommendations for the balance of AAs based on the ideal protein concept are expressed as ratios to lysine (Table 5). In this sense, dietary lysine is generally considered the first limiting essential AA. As shown in Table 3, there is a relatively low variation in dietary lysine recommendations for body weight gain of fish. On the basis of body weight gain, the simple means of total [45] and digestible lysine [72] intakes are 14 and 12 mg/g body weight gain, respectively. Previous work indicated that lysine utilization efficiency remained relatively high (+63%) in nursery and pre-growout Nile tilapia and decreased (48%) in growout Nile tilapia [73]. In this sense, the dietary lysine requirements for the maintenance of nursery, pre-growout, and growout Nile tilapia have been established to be 2.7, 45.1, and 56.3 mg lysine/kg<sup>0.8</sup> body, respectively [74]. Previous work determined that growout Nile tilapia required an intake of 23 mg of lysine to deposit 1 g of body weight gain [35]. Moreover, lysine has been shown to improve body weight, feed efficiency, and fillet yield in Nile tilapia [35,75]. Additionally, high quantitative lysine requirements have been described for Nile tilapia reared in saline water [76], with Nile tilapia reared in brackish water (8‰) showing higher lysine requirements than those raised in 0‰ water (23 vs. 21 g/kg diet, respectively) [77].

### 3.3.2. Sulfur-Containing Amino Acids

Methionine and cysteine (cystine forms from two cysteine residues) are the total sulfur-containing AAs considered in tilapia feeds. Of note, methionine is considered the first limiting AA in Nile tilapia fed cereal-based diets, particularly soybean-meal-rich diets [3,4]. Methionine plays an essential role in cellular metabolism as a methyl donor and acts as the precursor to cysteine [17]. Notably, methionine is one of the most supplemented

feed-grade AAs in fish feeds, including those for Nile tilapia [48]. In addition, the total sulfur-containing AA requirement of Nile tilapia is often met by supplementing methionine and considering the replacement values of cysteine and methionine in tandem. Previous research identified that cystine could spare up to 49% of the methionine requirement on a molar sulfur basis in diets for Nile tilapia [48]. Moreover, reports on quantitative methionine requirements for body weight gain are very similar when expressed as individual methionine contents (CV of 13%) compared to methionine-plus-cystine contents (CV of 12%). These suggest that total sulfur-containing AAs may be used to express the dietary requirement, along with considering the minimum level of dietary methionine. The optimal methionine-plus-cystine requirement is well established in the literature, averaging 9.7 g/kg diet (3.5% of crude protein), as displayed in Table 2. A previous study established methionine utilization efficiencies of 0.76 and 0.55 and determined the methionine maintenance requirements of 3.12 and 16.5 mg methionine/kg<sup>0.7</sup> body for pre-growout and growout Nile tilapia, respectively [78]. Importantly, a previous work determined that growout Nile tilapia required an intake of ~15.2 mg of methionine to deposit 1 g of body weight gain [34].

## 3.3.3. Threonine

Threonine is a potential limiting AA in conventional corn-, wheat-, soybean-, and coproducts-based diets fed to Nile tilapia [6,7]. Feed-grade threonine is commercially available and may be included in diets for Nile tilapia. Threonine is an important AA because it is prominent in intestinal mucin secretion and in the production of antibodies [79], as well as influencing digestive and absorptive capacities and antioxidant status in the intestine [80]. However, the underlying mechanisms of action of threonine on mucin secretion and intestine health status in Nile tilapia are not fully understood, although many studies have reported the positive impacts of adequate and excess provision of dietary threonine on Nile tilapia performance. Noteworthily, the optimal threonine requirement is well established in the literature and averages 12 g/kg diet (4.3% crude protein), as shown in Table 2. An early study identified the dietary threonine requirement as lower for body weight gain (10.5 g/kg diet; 3.6% crude protein) compared to fillet yield (11.5 g/kg diet; 4% crude protein) in growout Nile tilapia [34]. Additionally, these authors also established that growout Nile tilapia require an intake of 15.9 mg of threonine to deposit 1 g of body weight.

### 3.3.4. Tryptophan

Tryptophan is considered a potential limiting AA in conventional plant-based diets, particularly in Nile tilapia fed corn and its coproducts [6]. Feed-grade tryptophan is also commercially available for inclusion in Nile tilapia aquafeeds. In addition to protein synthesis, tryptophan plays an important role in producing several metabolites, mainly the neurotransmitter/neuromodulator serotonin and the hormone melatonin in teleost fish [81]. Thus, as a precursor of serotonin, dietary tryptophan has been linked to various behavioral patterns [82]. Consistently, adequate tryptophan supplementation leads to reduced aggressive behavior and stress in Nile tilapia [83], resulting in positive effects on growth, feed efficiency [54], and survival [84]. In this review, the tryptophan requirement averages 3.1 g/kg diet (1% crude protein), as shown in Table 2. Previous work established that pre-growout Nile tilapia require an intake of ~3 mg of tryptophan to deposit 1 g of body weight [52].

### 3.3.5. Branched-Chain Amino Acids

Isoleucine, leucine, and valine are branched-chain AAs that attract less attention, as their requirements are usually met by typical protein feedstuffs in conventional Nile tilapia diets. In addition, it is important to elaborate diets with a balanced profile of branched-chain AAs because interactions between leucine and valine exist, and imbalances have been reported to depress performance [85]. In this sense, it is possible that branched-chain AA interactions may have influenced the tabulated requirement values. Variations in

branched-chain AA recommendations are summarized in Table 2. Growing evidence has suggested the optimal branched-chain AAs ratio (Ile:Leu:Val) to be 1:1.3:0.9 in diets for pre-growout Nile tilapia [13]. However, very few studies have been designed to investigate the interactive effects of branched-chain AAs in Nile tilapia. Feed-grade isoleucine, leucine, and isoleucine have yet to become economically feasible. In addition to protein synthesis, an adequate supply of leucine and valine is important for maintaining immune responses [44,55]. Recently, a study reported that leucine and valine improved intestinal function, enhanced digestive and absorptive capacities, and positively regulated glucose and fatty acid metabolism in the liver, thereby improving the growth performance of Nile tilapia [85]. Dietary isoleucine, leucine and valine requirements average 10.5 g/kg diet (3.8% crude protein), 11.8 g/kg diet (4.2% crude protein), and 10.1 g/kg diet (3.6% crude protein), respectively, as described in Table 2. Previous studies reported that Nile tilapia require intakes of ~15 [13], ~18 [44], and 19 mg [55] of isoleucine, leucine, and valine, respectively, to deposit 1 g of body weight.

### 3.3.6. Arginine

Arginine has received little consideration in Nile tilapia because its requirement is usually met by typical protein feedstuffs in conventional Nile tilapia aquafeeds. It is noteworthy that the optimal arginine requirement is well established in the literature and averages 14.8 g/kg diet (5.1% crude protein), as shown in Table 2. Early works showed that Nile tilapia required an intake of 20–27 mg of arginine to deposit 1 g of body weight [39,41]. Additionally, a previous study found that arginine at 16.7 g/kg diet stimulated the mRNA expression of myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs), growth hormone (GH), insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) [41], and consequently hypertrophic muscle processes, supporting enhanced growth of Nile tilapia [40]. Also of note, a previous study found that Nile tilapia fed 23.9 g arginine/kg diet exhibited higher immune responses and survival when challenged by Streptococcus agalactiae [86]. Furthermore, emerging evidence suggests that arginine positively changes intestinal microbiota, activates intestinal fatty acid oxidation, and alleviates triglyceride accumulation in intestinal tissue and intracellular cells of Nile tilapia [87]. Additionally, the beneficial effect of 29 g arginine/kg diet on liver health was reported in Nile tilapia reared under high-density (500 fish/m<sup>3</sup>;  $63 \pm 20$  g body weight) conditions in floating net cages [88]. On the contrary, the above authors also reported that a higher level of arginine (41 g/kg diet) promoted the incidence of liver necrosis, further supporting the observation that excess arginine may lead to increased plasma ammonia concentration, decreasing the excretion efficiency of this metabolite, as described in Jian carp, Cyprinus carpio var. Jian [89]. However, more extensive research is necessary to investigate the effects of excess arginine on the nitrogen excretion of Nile tilapia.

## 3.3.7. Histidine

Histidine is considered a marginally limiting AA in typical plant-based protein feedstuffs used in Nile tilapia diets [6,7,90]. Feed-grade histidine is generally not commercially available for use in Nile tilapia aquafeeds. As shown in Table 2, dietary histidine requirements average 7.3 g/kg diet (3.4% crude protein), and previous studies reported that Nile tilapia require an intake of ~9 mg of histidine to deposit 1 g of body weight. An early work reported the positive effects of adequate histidine supplementation on muscle growth by hypertrophy and hyperplasia in pre-growout Nile tilapia [43]. Another study established that histidine also increased mRNA levels of muscle growth-related genes, myoblast determination protein (MyoD), and myogenin, as well as protein synthesis of growout Nile tilapia [42]. Furthermore, emerging evidence has identified the antioxidant capacity of histidine to improve flesh quality attributes in grass carp [91], while another study reported influences on fillet quality of growout Nile tilapia [75]. However, few studies have been conducted to evaluate the health status of Nile tilapia in response to dietary histidine supplementation. This approach is of major importance in applying the precision nutrition concept in Nile tilapia operations.

# 3.3.8. Total Aromatic Amino Acids

The academic community has not extensively explored the dietary total aromatic AA (phenylalanine plus tyrosine) requirements of Nile tilapia, possibly because both AAs are not considered marginally limiting in feed ingredients typically used for tilapia. Therefore, feed-grade phenylalanine and tyrosine are not commercially available for diet supplementation. However, it is possible that in low-protein diets, phenylalanine may be a limiting AA for Nile tilapia. Importantly, the total aromatic AA requirements of Nile tilapia can be met by supplementing phenylalanine and also considering phenylalanine and tyrosine in tandem because tyrosine can spare some of the dietary phenylalanine otherwise required for tyrosine synthesis [92]. In this regard, the total aromatic AA requirement is influenced by dietary tyrosine levels. Recent work determined the tyrosine replacement value for phenylalanine on a molar basis to be 37% in Nile tilapia [50]. Another recent study confirmed that adequate phenylalanine supplementation influenced growth rate in nursery Nile tilapia [38]. As displayed in Table 2, the optimal phenylalanine-plus-tyrosine requirement averaged 18.3 g/kg diet (5.9% crude protein). A recent study reported that Nile tilapia require an intake of ~35 mg of phenylalanine plus tyrosine to deposit 1 g of body weight [50].

## 3.3.9. Non-Essential Amino Acids

Growing evidence suggests that non-essential AAs are closely related to the growth performance, health, and flesh quality of Nile tilapia. Non-essential AAs assume more important roles in fish fed plant-rich diets because of their more limited presence [3,4,6,7,90,93]. Additionally, anti-nutritional factors in vegetable ingredients may have adverse effects on AA digestion and absorption and also impair fish health [94]. Emerging evidence has identified that glutamine plays crucial roles in growth and intestinal function [95] and enhances leucocyte function in Nile tilapia [22]. In addition, early studies identified that supplementation of glycine could enhance the antioxidant ability of Nile tilapia [96] and has beneficial effects on growth [19,21]. Previous research reported a positive association between dietary taurine intake and lipid digestion/absorption with carbohydrate and AA metabolism that promoted enhanced growth performance of Nile tilapia [97]. Along with these beneficial effects, taurine plays an antioxidant role [98] which may have positive effects on flesh quality attributes [23] as well as on reproductive performance [20]. Of note, it has been well established that carnitine plays a central role in regulating the lipid β-oxidation of long-chain fatty acids to produce energy in fish species such as zebrafish, *Danio rerio* [99], and this may explain the decreased mesenteric and fillet fat accumulation in growout hybrid tilapia [100]. In addition, carnitine supplementation was found to improve antioxidant functionality in flesh quality attributes [100] and ameliorate or prevent induced liver, intestine, and gill histopathological lesions in Nile tilapia [101].

### 4. Conclusions and Implications

The environmental impact of fish farming is becoming a major challenge that could warrant restrictions on tilapia production. Higher nitrogen excretion levels into the environment are an increasing issue, and well-balanced aquafeeds have been identified as a potential solution. Of note, most studies have estimated the requirement of one individual AA at a time without considering the interactive effects of other dietary AAs. Therefore, it is important to design AA requirement assays for Nile tilapia that take AA interactions into consideration. A lack of attention to protein synthesis dynamics in non-protein-bound AA diets is important because they are supplemented at relatively higher levels in plant-based diets. Therefore, it is important to investigate the digestive dynamics of proteins as well as protein synthesis while maintaining good health status and flesh quality. Moreover, it is evident that AA nutrition of broodstock needs more attention in terms of the impact of AAs on reproductive performance responses. Interestingly, some new studies have reported that non-essential AAs improve growth and reproductive performance as well as the health of fish. Worthy of note is the fact that emerging evidence shows that genomic approaches

constitute an important tool for better understanding the underlying mechanisms of fish growth, behavior, and health in order to estimate the AA requirements of Nile tilapia. However, it is clear that the AA requirements of Nile tilapia might vary as a consequence of numerous experimental conditions, including fish strain, size, culture system, and the basal diet used. Notably, genetic selection in Nile tilapia has improved growth performance, fillet yield, and feed efficiency. Therefore, dietary formulations should consider increased amino acid requirements. Interestingly, the current survey highlighted low variations in lysine recommendations relative to protein content, considering that lysine is used as the reference AA in applying the ideal protein concept. This review also identified that emerging studies considered this concept to determine multiple AA requirements using the deletion method. More comprehensive data in the literature are needed for AA requirements through growout in tilapia production in addition to confirming previously established values for lysine, methionine, and threonine. This review observed variations in AA recommendations for different commercial production parameters such as weight gain, feed efficiency, and fillet yield. Therefore, it is important to choose the most appropriate parameter or combination of parameters that represents the business model of the tilapia industry. Finally, these considerations indicated that well-balanced AA diets might be useful for improving the economic and ecological sustainability of tilapia farming into the future.

**Author Contributions:** W.M.F. and T.P.d.C., conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, and data curation; W.M.F., writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, visualization, supervision, and project administration; D.M.G.III, writing—review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful for the support of their corresponding organizations.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no financial and personal circumstances of interest with other people or organizations that may be perceived as inappropriately influencing the representation or interpretation of data and in the writing of the article. The funder had no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

### References

- 1. FAO. Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics 2019/FAO Annuaire. Statistiques Des Pêches et de l'aquaculture 2019/FAO Anuario. Estadísticas de Pesca y Acuicultura 2019; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2021.
- Hardy, R.W. Utilization of Plant Proteins in Fish Diets: Effects of Global Demand and Supplies of Fishmeal. Aquac. Res. 2010, 41, 770–776. [CrossRef]
- Gatlin, D.M.; Barrows, F.T.; Brown, P.; Dabrowski, K.; Gaylord, T.G.; Hardy, R.W.; Herman, E.; Hu, G.; Krogdahl, Å.; Nelson, R.; et al. Expanding the Utilization of Sustainable Plant Products in Aquafeeds: A Review. *Aquac. Res.* 2007, 38, 551–579. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ghosh, K.; Ray, A.K.; Ringø, E. Applications of Plant Ingredients for Tropical and Subtropical Freshwater Finfish: Possibilities and Challenges. *Rev. Aquac.* 2019, *11*, 793–815. [CrossRef]
- Tacon, A.G.J.; Metian, M.; McNevin, A.A. Future Feeds: Suggested Guidelines for Sustainable Development. *Rev. Fish. Sci. Aquac.* 2022, 30, 271–279. [CrossRef]
- Vidal, L.V.O.; Xavier, T.O.T.; Michelato, M.; Martins, E.N.; Pezzato, L.E.; Furuya, W.M. Apparent Protein and Energy Digestibility and Amino Acid Availability of Corn and Co-Products in Extruded Diets for Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis Niloticus*. J. World Aquac. Soc. 2015, 46, 183–190. [CrossRef]
- Vidal, L.V.O.; Xavier, T.O.; de Moura, L.B.; Graciano, T.S.; Martins, E.N.; Furuya, W.M. Apparent Digestibility of Soybean Coproducts in Extruded Diets for Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus. Aquac. Nutr.* 2017, 23, 228–235. [CrossRef]

- Krogdahl, Å.; Penn, M.; Thorsen, J.; Refstie, S.; Bakke, A.M. Important Antinutrients in Plant Feedstuffs for Aquaculture: An Update on Recent Findings Regarding Responses in Salmonids. *Aquac. Res* 2010, 41, 333–344. [CrossRef]
- Kokou, F.; Fountoulaki, E. Aquaculture Waste Production Associated with Antinutrient Presence in Common Fish Feed Plant Ingredients. *Aquaculture* 2018, 495, 295–310. [CrossRef]
- Rodrigues, A.; Mansano, C.; Khan, K.; Nascimento, T.; Boaratti, A.; Sakomura, N.; Fernandes, J. Ideal Profile of Essential Amino Acids for Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in the Finishing Growth Phase. *Aquac. Res.* 2020, *51*, 4724–4735. [CrossRef]
- Do Nascimento, T.M.T.; Mansano, C.; Peres, H.; Rodrigues, F.H.F.; Khan, K.U.; Romaneli, R.S.; Sakomura, N.K.; Fernandes, J.B.K. Determination of the Optimum Dietary Essential Amino Acid Profile for Growing Phase of Nile Tilapia by Deletion Method. *Aquaculture* 2020, 523, 735204. [CrossRef]
- Diógenes, A.F.; Fernandes, J.B.K.K.; Dorigam, J.C.P.P.; Sakomura, N.K.; Rodrigues, F.H.F.F.; Lima, B.T.M.M.; Gonçalves, F.H. Establishing the Optimal Essential Amino Acid Ratios in Juveniles of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) by the Deletion Method. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2016, 22, 435–443. [CrossRef]
- Araújo, F.E.; Michelato, M.; Schemberger, M.O.; Salaro, A.L.; Vidal, L.V.O.; da Cruz, T.P.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Furuya, W.M. Assessment of Isoleucine Requirement of Fast-Growing Nile Tilapia Fingerlings Based on Growth Performance, Amino Acid Retention, and Expression of Muscle Growth-Related and MTOR Genes. *Aquaculture* 2021, 539, 736645. [CrossRef]
- Gaye-Siessegger, J.; Focken, U.; Abel, H.; Becker, K. Influence of Dietary Non-Essential Amino Acid Profile on Growth Performance and Amino Acid Metabolism of Nile Tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus (L.). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A 2007, 146, 71–77. [CrossRef]
- Li, L.Y.; Lu, D.L.; Jiang, Z.Y.; Limbu, S.M.; Qiao, F.; Chen, L.Q.; Zhang, M.L.; Du, Z.Y. Dietary L-Carnitine Improves Glycogen and Protein Accumulation in Nile Tilapia via Increasing Lipid-Sourced Energy Supply: An Isotope-Based Metabolic Tracking. *Aquac. Rep.* 2020, *17*, 100302. [CrossRef]
- Hou, Y.; Yin, Y.; Wu, G. Dietary Essentiality of "Nutritionally Non-Essential Amino Acids" for Animals and Humans. *Exp. Biol.* Med. 2015, 240, 997–1007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Li, X.; Zheng, S.; Wu, G. Nutrition and Functions of Amino Acids in Fish. In *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2021; Volume 1285, pp. 133–168.
- Michelato, M.; Furuya, W.M.; Gatlin III, D.M. Metabolic Responses of Nile Tilapia Oreochromis niloticus to Methionine and Taurine Supplementation. Aquaculture 2018, 485, 66–72. [CrossRef]
- 19. Nguyen, L.; Salem, S.M.R.; Davis, D.A. Indispensable and Dispensable Amino Acid Supplementation in Diets Offered to Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2022**, 290, 115361. [CrossRef]
- Al-Feky, S.S.A.; El-Sayed, A.F.M.-F.M.; Ezzat, A.A. Dietary Taurine Improves Reproductive Performance of Nile Tilapia (*Ore-ochromis niloticus*) Broodstock. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2016, 22, 392–399. [CrossRef]
- Pereira, R.T.; Rosa, P.V.; Gatlin, D.M., III. Glutamine and Arginine in Diets for Nile Tilapia: Effects on Growth, Innate Immune Response, Plasma Amino Acid Profiles and Whole-Body Composition. *Aquaculture* 2017, 473, 135–144. [CrossRef]
- 22. Carvalho, P.L.P.F.; Yamamoto, F.Y.; Barros, M.M.; Gatlin, D.M. L-Glutamine in Vitro Supplementation Enhances Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) Leukocyte Function. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **2018**, *80*, 592–599. [CrossRef]
- Urbich, A.V.; Furuya, W.M.; Michelato, M.; Panaczevicz, P.A.P.; da Cruz, T.P.; Furuya, L.B.; Marinho, M.T.; Gonçalves, G.S.; Furuya, V.R.B. Synergistic Effects of Dietary Methionine and Taurine on Growth Performance, Blood Parameters, Expression in Hepatic Sulfur-Metabolism Genes, and Flesh Quality of Large Nile Tilapia. *Anim. Feed. Sci. Technol.* 2022, 288, 115291. [CrossRef]
- 24. Wu, G. Functional Amino Acids in Growth, Reproduction, and Health. Adv. Nutr. 2010, 1, 31–37. [CrossRef]
- 25. Wu, G.; Bazer, F.W.; Dai, Z.; Li, D.; Wang, J.; Wu, Z. Amino Acid Nutrition in Animals: Protein Synthesis and Beyond. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* **2014**, *2*, 387–417. [CrossRef]
- Li, P.; Mai, K.; Trushenski, J.; Wu, G. New Developments in Fish Amino Acid Nutrition: Towards Functional and Environmentally Oriented Aquafeeds. *Amino Acids* 2009, 37, 43–53. [CrossRef]
- 27. NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp; National Research Council, Ed.; National Academy Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2011.
- Wang, T.C.; Fuller, M.F. The Optimum Dietary Amino Acid Pattern for Growing Pigs. Br. J. Nutr. 1989, 62, 77–89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rollin, X.; Mambrini, M.; Abboudi, T.; Larondelle, Y.; Kaushik, S.J. The Optimum Dietary Indispensable Amino Acid Pattern for Growing Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar L.*) Fry. Br. J. Nutr. 2003, 90, 865–876. [CrossRef]
- Fuller, M.F.; McWilliam, R.; Wang, T.C.; Giles, L.R. The Optimum Dietary Amino Acid Pattern for Growing Pigs. Br. J. Nutr. 1989, 62, 255–267. [CrossRef]
- 31. Gunasekera, R.M.; Shim, K.F.; Lam, T.J. Effect of Dietary Protein Level on Spawning Performance and Amino Acid Composition of Eggs of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. Aquaculture **1996**, 146, 121–134. [CrossRef]
- Gunasekera, R.M.; Shim, K.F.; Lam, T.J. Influence of Protein Content of Broodstock Diets on Larval Quality and Performance in Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). Aquaculture 1996, 146, 245–259. [CrossRef]
- Graciano, T.S.; Michelato, M.; Neu, D.H.; Vitor, L.; Vidal, O.; Xavier, T.O.; Moura, L.B.; Furuya, W.M. Desempenho Produtivo e Composição Corporal de Tilápias Do Nilo Alimentadas Com AminoGut<sup>®</sup> no período de reversão sexual. *Semin. Cienc. Agrar.* 2014, 35, 2779–2789. [CrossRef]
- Michelato, M.; Vidal, L.V.O.O.; Xavier, T.O.; Graciano, T.S.; de Moura, L.B.; Furuya, V.R.B.B.; Furuya, W.M.; Moura, L.B.; Furuya, V.R.B.B.; Furuya, W.M. Dietary Threonine Requirement to Optimize Protein Retention and Fillet Production of Fast-Growing Nile Tilapia. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2016, 22, 759–766. [CrossRef]

- Michelato, M.; Vidal, L.V.O.V.O.; Xavier, T.O.O.; Moura, L.B.B.; Almeida, F.L.A.L.A.; Pedrosa, V.B.B.; Furuya, V.R.B.R.B.; Furuya, W.M.M. Dietary Lysine Requirement to Enhance Muscle Development and Fillet Yield of Finishing Nile Tilapia. *Aquaculture* 2016, 457, 124–130. [CrossRef]
- 36. Da Cruz, T.P.; Michelato, M.; Dal-Pai-Silva, M.; Paula, T.G.; Macedo, A.A.; Peres, H.; Oliva-Teles, A.; Urbich, A.v.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Furuya, W.M. Growth Performance, Amino Acid Retention and MRNA Levels of MTORC1 Signaling Pathway Genes in Nile Tilapia Fingerlings Fed Protein-Bound and Crystalline Amino Acids. *Aquaculture* 2021, 543, 736953. [CrossRef]
- Zaminhan, M.; Michelato, M.; Furuya, V.R.B.B.; Boscolo, W.R.; Araújo, F.E.; Cruz, T.P.; Urbich, A.V.; Furuya, W.M. Total and Available Tryptophan Requirement of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, Fingerlings. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2018, 24, 1553–1562. [CrossRef]
   Santiago, C.B.; Lovell, R.T. Amino Acid Requirements for Growth of Nile Tilapia, *J. Nutr.* 1988, 118, 1540–1546. [CrossRef]
- Santiago, C.B.; Lovell, R.T. Amino Acid Requirements for Growth of Nile Tilapia. *J. Nutr.* 1988, *118*, 1540–1546. [CrossRef]
   Yue, Y.; Zou, Z.; Zhu, J.; Li, D.; Xiao, W.; Han, J.; Yang, H. Effects of Dietary Arginine on Growth Performance, Feed Utilization, Haematological Parameters and Non-Specific Immune Responses of Juvenile Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). *Aquac. Res.*
- 2015, 46, 1801–1809. [CrossRef]
  40. Neu, D. Growth Performance, Biochemical Responses, and Skeletal Muscle Development of Juvenile Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, Fed with Increasing Levels of Arginine. J. Word Aquac. Soc. 2016, 47, 248–259. [CrossRef]
- Prabu, E.; Felix, N.; Uma, A. Dietary Arginine Requirement in Diets of GIFT Strain of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*: Effects on Growth Performance, Whole-Body Composition, Growth-Related Gene Expression and Haemato-Biochemical Responses. *Aquac. Res.* 2021, 52, 4816–4828. [CrossRef]
- Michelato, M.; Zaminhan, M.; Rogério, W.R.; Nogaroto, V.; Vicari, M.; Ferreira, R.A.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Furuya, W.M. Dietary Histidine Requirement of Nile Tilapia Juveniles Based on Growth Performance, Expression of Muscle-Growth-Related Genes and Haematological Responses. *Aquaculture* 2017, 467, 63–70. [CrossRef]
- Zaminhan-Hassemer, M.; Michelato, M.; Boscolo, W.R.; Urbich, A.V.; da Cruz, T.P.; de Almeida, F.L.A.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Furuya, W.M. Dietary Histidine Requirement of Grow-out Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), Based on Growth Performance, Muscle Development, Expression of Muscle-Growth-Related Genes, and Blood Parameters. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* 2020, 49, e20180210. [CrossRef]
- Gan, L.; Zhou, L.L.; Li, X.X.; Yue, Y.R. Dietary Leucine Requirement of Juvenile Nile Tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus. Aquac. Nutr. 2016, 22, 1010–1046. [CrossRef]
- Prabu, E.; Felix, N.; Uma, A.; Praveenraj, J. Effects of Dietary L-Lysine Supplementation on Growth, Body Composition and Muscle-Growth-Related Gene Expression with an Estimation of Lysine Requirement of GIFT Tilapia. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2020, 26, 568–578. [CrossRef]
- Michelato, M.; Furuya, W.M.; Graciano, T.S.; Vítor, L.; Vidal, L.V.O.; Xavier, T.O.; Moura, L.B.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Vidal, O.; Xavier, T.O.; et al. Digestible Methionine + Cystine Requirement for Nile Tilapia from 550 to 700 g. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* 2013, 42, 7–12. [CrossRef]
- He, J.Y.; Long, W.Q.; Han, B.; Tian, L.X.; Yang, H.J.; Zeng, S.L. Effect of Dietary L -Methionine Concentrations on Growth Performance, Serum Immune and Antioxidative Responses of Juvenile Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus. Aquac. Res.* 2017, 48, 665–674. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, T.N.; Davis, D.A. Re-evaluation of Total Sulphur Amino Acid Requirement and Determination of Replacement Value of Cystine for Methionine in Semi-purified Diets of Juvenile Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus. Aquac. Rep.* 2009, 15, 247–253. [CrossRef]
- Xiao, W.; Zou, Z.; Li, D.; Zhu, J.; Yue, Y.; Yang, H. Effect of Dietary Phenylalanine Level on Growth Performance, Body Composition, and Biochemical Parameters in Plasma of Juvenile Hybrid Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus × Oreochromis aureus*. J. World Aquac. Soc. 2020, 51, 437–451. [CrossRef]
- Zehra, S.; Yousif, R.A. Dietary Total Aromatic Amino Acid Requirement and Tyrosine Replacement Value for Phenylalanine for Fingerling *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus). *Aquac. Nutr.* 2021, 27, 1009–1018. [CrossRef]
- 51. Yue, Y.; Zou, Z.; Zhu, J.; Li, D.; Xiao, W.; Han, J.; Yang, H. Dietary Threonine Requirement of Juvenile Nile Tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus. Aquac. Int. 2014, 22, 1457–1467. [CrossRef]
- 52. Zaminhan, M.; Boscolo, W.R.; Neu, D.H.; Feiden, A.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Furuya, W.M. Dietary Tryptophan Requirements of Juvenile Nile Tilapia Fed Corn-Soybean Meal-Based Diets. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2017**, 227, 62–67. [CrossRef]
- 53. Prabu, E.; Felix, N.; Uma, A.; Ahilan, B.; Antony, C. Metabolic Responses of Juvenile GIFT Strain of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) to Dietary L-Tryptophan Supplementation. *Aquac. Nutr.* **2020**, *26*, 1713–1723. [CrossRef]
- 54. Nguyen, L.; Salem, S.M.R.; Salze, G.P.; Dinh, H.; Davis, D.A. Tryptophan Requirement in Semi-Purified Diets of Juvenile Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquaculture* **2019**, *502*, 258–267. [CrossRef]
- 55. Xiao, W.; Li, D.Y.; Zhu, J.L.; Zou, Z.Y.; Yue, Y.R.; Yang, H. Dietary Valine Requirement of Juvenile Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquac. Nutr.* **2018**, 24, 315–323. [CrossRef]
- 56. Chowdhury, M.A.K.; Siddiqui, S.; Hua, K.; Bureau, D.P. Bioenergetics-Based Factorial Model to Determine Feed Requirement and Waste Output of Tilapia Produced under Commercial Conditions. *Aquaculture* **2013**, 410–411, 138–147. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Tawwab, M.; Ahmad, M.H.; Khattab, Y.A.E.; Shalaby, A.M.E. Effect of Dietary Protein Level, Initial Body Weight, and Their Interaction on the Growth, Feed Utilization, and Physiological Alterations of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture* 2010, 298, 267–274. [CrossRef]
- 58. El-Sayed, A.-F.M.; Teshima, S.-I. Protein and Energy of Nile Tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. Aquaculture 1992, 103, 55–63. [CrossRef]

65.

- Fernandes, A.C., Jr.; Carvalho, P.L.P.F.C.; Pezzato, L.E.; Koch, J.F.A.; Teixeira, C.P.; Cintra, F.T.; Damasceno, F.M.; Amorin, R.L.; Padovani, C.R.; Barros, M.M. The Effect of Digestible Protein to Digestible Energy Ratio and Choline Supplementation on Growth, Hematological Parameters, Liver Steatosis and Size-Sorting Stress Response in Nile Tilapia under Field Condition. *Aquaculture* 2016, 456, 83–93. [CrossRef]
- Freccia, A.; Sousa, S.M.d.N.; Meurer, F.; Butzge, A.J.; Mewes, J.K.; Bombardelli, R.A. Essential Oils in the Initial Phase of Broodstock Diets of Nile Tilapia. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* 2014, 43, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- De Oliveira, M.M.; Ribeiro, T.; Orlando, T.M.; de Oliveira, D.G.S.; Drumond, M.M.; de Freitas, R.T.F.; Rosa, P.v. Effects Crude Protein Levels on Female Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Reproductive Performance Parameters. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 2014, 150, 62–69. [CrossRef]
- 62. El-Sayed, A.-F.M.; Kawanna, M. Effects of Dietary Protein and Energy Levels on Spawning Performance of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Broodstock in a Recycling System. *Aquaculture* **2008**, 280, 179–184. [CrossRef]
- 63. Mitchel, H.H. Comparative Nutrition of Man and Domestic Animals, 1st ed.; Mitchell, M.M., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1962.
- 64. Marín-García, P.J.; Llobat, L.; López-Lujan, M.C.; Cambra-López, M.; Blas, E.; Pascual, J.J. Urea Nitrogen Metabolite Can Contribute to Implementing the Ideal Protein Concept in Monogastric Animals. *Animals* **2022**, *12*, 2344. [CrossRef]
  - van Milgen, J.; Dourmad, J.Y. Concept and Application of Ideal Protein for Pigs. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 2015, 6, 15. [CrossRef]
- Emmer, J.L.; Baker, D.H. Use of the Ideal Protein Concept for Precision Formulation of Amino Acid Levels in Broiler Diets' Description of Problem. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 1997, 6, 462–470. [CrossRef]
- 67. Furuya, W.M.; Rossetto, V.; Furuya, B. Nutritional Innovations on Amino Acids Supplementation in Nile Tilapia Diets. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* **2010**, 39, 88–94. [CrossRef]
- Furuya, W.M.M.; Pezzato, L.E.; Barros, M.M.; Pezzato, A.C.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Miranda, E.C. Use of Ideal Protein Concept for Precision Formulation of Amino Acid Levels in Fish-Meal-Free Diets for Juvenile Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). *Aquac. Res.* 2004, 35, 1110–1116. [CrossRef]
- 69. Nguyen, L.; Dinh, H.; Davis, D.A. Efficacy of Reduced Protein Diets and the Effects of Indispensable Amino Acid Supplements for Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *268*, 114593. [CrossRef]
- Koch, J.F.; Rawles, S.D.; Webster, C.D.; Cummins, V.; Kobayashi, Y.; Thompson, K.R.; Gannam, A.L.; Twibell, R.G.; Hyde, N.M. Optimizing Fish Meal-Free Commercial Diets for Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus. Aquaculture* 2016, 452, 357–366. [CrossRef]
- 71. Nguyen, L.; Davis, D.A. Comparison of Crystalline Lysine and Intact Lysine Used as a Supplement in Practical Diets of Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) and Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture* **2016**, *464*, 331–339. [CrossRef]
- 72. Furuya, W.M.; dos Santos, V.G.; Silva, L.C.R.; Furuya, V.R.B.; Sakaguti, E.S. Digestible Lysine Requirements of Nile Tilapia Juveniles. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* 2006, *35*, 937–942. [CrossRef]
- 73. Hua, K.; Suwendi, E.; Bureau, D.P. Effect of Body Weight on Lysine Utilization Efficiency in Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture* **2019**, 505, 47–53. [CrossRef]
- Romaneli, R.d.S.; do Nascimento, T.M.T.; Gous, R.M.; Reis, M.d.P.; Mansano, C.F.M.; Khan, K.U.; Sakomura, N.K.; Fernandes, J.B.K. Response of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) to Lysine: Performance, Body Composition, Maintenance and Efficiency of Utilization. *Aquaculture* 2021, 538, 736522. [CrossRef]
- Richter, B.L.; Silva, T.S.d.C.; Michelato, M.; Marinho, M.T.; Gonçalves, G.S.; Furuya, W.M. Combination of Lysine and Histidine Improves Growth Performance, Expression of Muscle Growth-Related Genes and Fillet Quality of Grow-out Nile Tilapia. *Aquac. Nutr.* 2020, 27, 568–580. [CrossRef]
- Garg, C.K.; Sardar, P.; Sahu, N.P.; Maiti, M.K.; Shamna, N.; Varghese, T.; Deo, A.D.; Harikrishna, V. Dietary Lysine Requirement of Genetically Improved Farmed Tilapia (GIFT) Juvenile Reared in Inland Saline Water of 10 Ppt Salinity. *Aquaculture* 2022, 555, 738223. [CrossRef]
- Shao, M.; Xu, H.; Ge, X.; Zhu, J.; Huang, D.; Ren, M.; Liang, H. Salinity Levels Affect the Lysine Nutrient Requirements and Nutrient Metabolism of Juvenile Genetically Improved Farmed Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Br. J. Nutr. 2022, 129, 564–575. [CrossRef]
- He, J.Y.; Tian, L.X.; Lemme, A.; Gao, W.; Yang, H.J.; Niu, J.; Liang, G.Y.; Chen, P.F.; Liu, Y.J. Methionine and Lysine Requirements for Maintenance and Efficiency of Utilization for Growth of Two Sizes of Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquac. Nutr.* 2013, 19, 629–640. [CrossRef]
- Dong, Y.-W.; Jiang, W.-D.; Wu, P.; Liu, Y.; Kuang, S.-Y.; Tang, L.; Tang, W.-N.; Zhou, X.-Q.; Feng, L. Nutritional Digestion and Absorption, Metabolism Fates Alteration Was Associated with Intestinal Function Improvement by Dietary Threonine in Juvenile Grass Carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). Aquaculture 2022, 555, 738194. [CrossRef]
- Hong, Y.; Jiang, W.; Kuang, S.; Hu, K.; Tang, L.; Liu, Y.; Jiang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhou, X.; Feng, L. Growth, Digestive and Absorptive Capacity and Antioxidant Status in Intestine and Hepatopancreas of Sub-Adult Grass Carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella* Fed Graded Levels of Dietary Threonine. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2015, *6*, 34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoseini, S.M.; Pérez-Jiménez, A.; Costas, B.; Azeredo, R.; Gesto, M. Physiological Roles of Tryptophan in Teleosts: Current Knowledge and Perspectives for Future Studies. *Rev. Aquac.* 2019, 11, 3–24. [CrossRef]
- 82. Sahu, S.; Ngasotter, S.; Mog, M.; Tesia, S.; Sharma, S.; Dayakar, B.; Waikhom, D. A Review on Physiological, Behavioral and Metabolic Role of Dietary Tryptophan in Fish. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.* **2020**, *8*, 2411–2417. [CrossRef]

- Vieira, B.R.M.; Guermandi, I.I.; Bellot, M.S.; Camargo-dos-Santos, B.; Favero-Neto, J.; Giaquinto, P.C. The Effects of Tryptophan Supplementation on Stress and Aggression in Nile Tilapia. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 2021, 37, 578–584. [CrossRef]
- 84. Prabu, E.; Rajagopalsamy, C.B.T.; Ahilan, B.; Jeevagan, I.J.M.A.; Renuhadevi, M. Effect of Dietary Supplementation of Biofloc Meal with Tryptophan on Growth and Survival of GIFT Tilapia. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 2017, *6*, 3426–3434. [CrossRef]
- Xu, C.; Huang, X.P.; Guan, J.F.; Chen, Z.M.; Ma, Y.C.; Xie, D.Z.; Ning, L.J.; Li, Y.Y. Effects of Dietary Leucine and Valine Levels on Growth Performance, Glycolipid Metabolism and Immune Response in Tilapia GIFT *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Fish Shellfish. Immunol.* 2022, 121, 395–403. [CrossRef]
- Vianna, R.A.; Chideroli, R.T.; da Costa, A.R.; Ribeiro Filho, O.P.; de Oliveira, L.L.; Donzele, J.L.; Lanna, E.A.T.; Gonçalves, D.D.; de Pereira, U.P. Effect of Experimental Arginine Supplementation on the Growth, Immunity and Resistance of Tilapia Fingerlings to Streptococcus agalactiae. Aquac. Res. 2020, 51, 1276–1283. [CrossRef]
- 87. Li, S.; Wang, C.; Wu, Z. Dietary L-Arginine Supplementation of Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Alters the Microbial Population and Activates Intestinal Fatty Acid Oxidation. *Amino Acids* 2022, 54, 339–351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fujimoto, R.Y.; Santos, R.F.B.; Pala, G.; Gallani, S.U.; Valladão, G.M.R.; Morais, G.C.; Lee, J.T.; da Costa Sousa, N.; dos Santos Cunha, F.; Maria, A.N.; et al. Supplementation with Arginine in the Diet of Nile Tilapia Reared in Net Cages. *Pesqui Agropecu Bras.* 2019, 54. [CrossRef]
- Chen, G.; Feng, L.; Kuang, S.; Liu, Y.; Jiang, J.; Hu, K.; Jiang, W.; Li, S.; Tang, L.; Zhou, X. Effect of Dietary Arginine on Growth, Intestinal Enzyme Activities and Gene Expression in Muscle, Hepatopancreas and Intestine of Juvenile Jian Carp (*Cyprinus carpio* Var. Jian). Br. J. Nutr. 2012, 108, 195–207. [CrossRef]
- Vidal, L.V.O.L.O.; Xavier, T.O.; Moura, L.B.; Michelato, M.; Martins, E.N.; Furuya, W.M.; de Moura, L.B.; Graciano, T.S.; Martins, E.N.; Furuya, W.M.; et al. Apparent Digestibility of Wheat and Coproducts in Extruded Diets for the Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus. Rev. Bras. Saúde Prod. Anim.* 2017, 18, 479–491. [CrossRef]
- Wu, P.; Qu, B.; Feng, L.; Jiang, W.D.; Kuang, S.Y.; Jiang, J.; Tang, L.; Zhou, X.Q.; Liu, Y. Dietary Histidine Deficiency Induced Flesh Quality Loss Associated with Changes in Muscle Nutritive Composition, Antioxidant Capacity, Nrf2 and TOR Signaling Molecules in on-Growing Grass Carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). Aquaculture 2020, 526, 735399. [CrossRef]
- Clarke, J.T.R.; Bier, D.M. The Conversion of Phenylalanine to Tyrosine in Man. Direct Measurement by Continuous Intravenous Tracer Infusions of L-[Ring-2H5]Phenylalanine and L-[1-13C] Tyrosine in the Postabsorptive State. *Metabolism* 1982, 31, 999–1005. [CrossRef]
- 93. Maas, R.M.; Verdegem, M.C.J.; Wiegertjes, G.F.; Schrama, J.W. Carbohydrate Utilisation by Tilapia: A Meta-Analytical Approach. *Rev. Aquac.* 2020, 12, 1851–1866. [CrossRef]
- Francis, G.; Makkar, H.P.S.; Becker, K. Anti-nutritional Factors Present in Plant-Derived Alternate Fish Feed Ingredients and Their Effects in Fish. *Aquaculture* 2001, 199, 197–227. [CrossRef]
- 95. Carvalho, P.L.P.F.; Xavier, W.D.S.; Guimarães, M.G.; Rodrigues, E.J.D.; Furuya, W.M.; Yamamoto, F.Y.; Pezzato, L.E.; Gatlin, D.M.; Barros, M.M. Dietary Glutamine Improves Growth and Intestinal Morphology of Juvenile GIFT Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) but Has Limited Effects on Innate Immunity and Antioxidant Capacity. *Aquaculture* 2023, 563, 738976. [CrossRef]
- Xie, S.; Zhou, W.; Tian, L.; Niu, J.; Liu, Y. Effect of N-Acetyl Cysteine and Glycine Supplementation on Growth Performance, Glutathione Synthesis, Anti-Oxidative and Immune Ability of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2016, 55, 233–241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Shen, G.P.; Ding, Z.N.; Dai, T.; Feng, J.H.; Dong, J.Y.; Xia, F.; Xu, J.J.; Ye, J.D. Effect of Dietary Taurine Supplementation on Metabolome Variation in Plasma of Nile Tilapia. *Animal* **2021**, *15*, 100167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mohamed, A.A.R.; Rahman, A.N.A.; Salem, G.A.; el Deib, M.M.; Nassan, M.A.; Rhouma, N.R.; Khater, S.I. The Antioxidant Role of a Taurine-Enriched Diet in Combating the Immunotoxic and Inflammatory Effects of Pyrethroids and/or Carbamates in Oreochromis niloticus. Animals 2021, 11, 1318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Li, J.M.; Li, L.Y.; Qin, X.; Ning, L.J.; Lu, D.L.; Li, D.L.; Zhang, M.L.; Wang, X.; Du, Z.Y. Systemic Regulation of L-Carnitine in Nutritional Metabolism in Zebrafish, *Danio rerio. Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 40815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Der Yang, S.; Wen, Y.C.; Liou, C.H.; Liu, F.G. Influence of Dietary L-Carnitine on Growth, Biological Traits and Meat Quality in Tilapia. Aquac. Res. 2009, 40, 1374–1382. [CrossRef]
- Guzmán-Guillén, R.; Prieto Ortega, A.I.; Moyano, R.; Blanco, A.; Vasconcelos, V.; Cameán, A.M. Dietary L-Carnitine Prevents Histopathological Changes in Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Exposed to Cylindrospermopsin. *Environ. Toxicol.* 2017, 32, 241–254. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.