



Complex of Lauric Acid Monoglyceride and Cinnamaldehyde Ameliorated Subclinical Necrotic Enteritis in Yellow-Feathered Broilers by Regulating Gut Morphology, Barrier, Inflammation and Serum Biochemistry

Chaojun Zheng ^{1,†}, Gengsheng Xiao ^{1,†}, Xia Yan ², Ting Qiu ¹, Shun Liu ¹, Jiancun Ou ¹, Mingzhu Cen ¹, Li Gong ¹, Jiansheng Shi ^{3,*} and Huihua Zhang ^{1,*}

- ¹ School of Life Science and Engineering, Foshan University, Foshan 528000, China
- ² Laboratory of Livestock and Poultry Breeding, Institute of Animal Science, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou 510000, China
- ³ College of Life Science and Food Engineering, Hebei University of Engineering, Handan 056038, China
- * Correspondence: hbgcdxsjs@sina.com (J.S.); zhh@fosu.edu.cn (H.Z.)
- + This authors contributed equally to this work.

Simple Summary: Under the background of total prohibition, it has always been the goal of the broiler industry to improve the harm of necrotizing enteritis and the performance of broiler chickens. As a potential green and healthy feed additive, lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde have important research significance. The results showed that 350 and 500 mg/kg combined plant essential oils of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde could increase ADG and F:G, improve intestinal morphology and antioxidant capacity, and downregulate the expression of inflammatory factors.

Abstract: This experiment investigated the benefits of plant essential oil (EO) composed with lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde on necrotic enteritis-challenged broilers. A total of 180 1-day-old healthy yellow-feathered broilers were randomly divided into 4 groups with 3 replicates of 15 chicks each. The experimental groups were as follows: the control group (CON) was fed with the basal diet and was not challenged by Eimeria acervulina (EA) and Clostridium perfringens (CP); CPEA group was also fed with a basal diet, but infected with CP and EA; CPEA_EO350 group and CPEA_EO500 group were fed with a basal diet supplemented with 350 and 500 mg/kg EO, respectively, and all infected with CP and EA. On the 7th day, each bird in the CPEA group, CPEA_EO350 group and CPEA_EO500 group was orally administrated with 1 mL Eimeria acervulina containing 5000 oocytes/mL, and the birds of the CON group were orally administrated with 1 mL normal saline. From the 15th day, 1 mL of CP type A CVCC-2030 strain (about 5×10^8 cfu/mL) was orally inoculated into each bird of the CPEA, CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 groups for three consecutive days. Similarly, the CON group was orally given 1 mL of normal saline. The CPEA stimulation reduced the average daily gain (ADG) of broilers, increased the feed-to-gain ratio (F:G), and increased the intestinal lesions of the broilers (p < 0.01), indicating that CPEA stimulation was clinically successful. Compared with the CPEA group, the ADG of CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 increased, the F:G decreased (p < 0.01), and the intestinal score of CPEA_EO500 decreased (p < 0.01). The expression of the tight junction protein of the jejunum and ileum on 21d was upregulated (p < 0.01), and the expression of jejunum inflammation factors TNF- α on 21d and jejunum and ileum inflammatory factor IL-6 on 28d were also downregulated. The CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 increased antioxidant capacity. To sum up, 350 and 500 mg/kg of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex plant essential oils can improve ADG and F:G, improve intestinal morphology and the body's antioxidant capacity, and downregulate the expression of inflammatory factors. The concentration of 500 mg/kg performed even better.



Citation: Zheng, C.; Xiao, G.; Yan, X.; Qiu, T.; Liu, S.; Ou, J.; Cen, M.; Gong, L.; Shi, J.; Zhang, H. Complex of Lauric Acid Monoglyceride and Cinnamaldehyde Ameliorated Subclinical Necrotic Enteritis in Yellow-Feathered Broilers by Regulating Gut Morphology, Barrier, Inflammation and Serum Biochemistry. *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 516. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ani13030516

Academic Editor: Kyung-Woo Lee

Received: 28 December 2022 Revised: 25 January 2023 Accepted: 28 January 2023 Published: 1 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). **Keywords:** cinnamaldehyde; lauric acid monoglyceride; necrotic enteritis; gut morphology; gut barrier; plant essential oil

1. Introduction

Chicken necrotic enteritis (NE) is a common intestinal bacterial disease in poultry, which brings huge economic losses to the global poultry industry every year [1]. NE is an intestinal bacterial disease present in fast-growing broiler flocks, mainly caused by NetB-producing strains of *Clostridium perfringens*, combined with one or more factors such as *Eimeria* [2], high-protein fish meal, etc., to cause subclinical or clinical disease [3]. Feeding antibiotics have a significant effect on the treatment of broilers and improving the growth of livestock and poultry, and they improve the efficiency of animal production, but at the same time, the problem of bacterial resistance caused by this has become more and more serious, and drug residues have caused certain harm to the environment and human health. China also banned the addition of feed antibiotics to feed in 2020 after the Eureopean Union and the United States banned them. It is leading to a dramatic increase in the global incidence of NE [1]. The ban on antibiotics makes it necessary for people to find suitable alternatives to antibiotics.

Many natural compounds found in plants have been shown to have antibacterial properties, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory capacities and to increase appetite and activity of endogenous enzymes [4]. They are receiving increasing attention as potential antibiotic replacements. However, their different chemical compositions and concentrations exert different antibacterial functions [5]. Therefore, in recent years, more and more people have developed plant essential oil as a potential alternative for feed antibiotics [6]. Lauric acid monoglyceride, a natural compound with antifungal, antibacterial, and antiviral activity, is also a bactericidal against a variety of potential bacterial pathogens [7]. Lauric acid monoglyceride has strong in vitro antibacterial activity, especially against Grampositive microorganisms and *Clostridium perfringens* [8]. In vivo studies have also found the lowest incidence and severity of NE with the addition of a combination of lauric and butyric acid compared to other treatments [7]. Cinnamaldehyde is an aldehyde organic compound, which is abundant in cinnamon and other plants, and has strong antioxidant, analgesic, anti-ulcer and anti-heart damage activities. Several studies have demonstrated the effect of cinnamaldehyde on gut health and growth performance in broilers, but their findings have been inconsistent [9]. The type and concentration of the active compound may be responsible for the different results in the literature [10]. However, it has a good bacteriostatic effect on *Clostridium perfringens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, fecal Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Escherichia coli [11].

Lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde have achieved good results in the production performance and bacteriostatic effect of broilers. Studies have also shown that the synergistic effect of the combination of two or more compound components is greater than the sum of the effects of each single compound at the same dose [12]. In addition, specific complexes of plant essential oils appear to control *Eimeria acervulina* and *Clostridium perfringens* infections, potentially reducing the occurrence of necrotizing enteritis [10]. Although monoglyceride laurate and cinnamaldehyde have been used individually in broiler production as well as to eliminate pathogens, little is known about their combined effects [13]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of complexes (lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde) on growth performance, intestinal morphology, and immune barrier factors in yellow-feathered broilers infected with *Eimeria acervulina* and *Clostridium perfringens*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals, Group Formation and Diets

A total of 180 one-day-old healthy male yellow-feathered broilers (Muyuan Animal Husbandry Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China) were randomly divided into 4 four groups with 3 replicates of 15 chicks each. The groups were as follows: control group (CON) was fed with a basal diet (corn-soybean meal type, the feed ingredients and dietary nutrient compositions are presented Table 1) and not challenged with *Eimeria acervulina* (EA) and *Clostridium perfringens* (CP); CPEA group was also fed with a basal diet, but infected with EA and CP; CPEA_EO350 group and CPEA_EO500 group were fed with a basal diet supplemented with 350 and 500 mg/kg plant essential oils (EO) (Jiabiyou Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China), respectively, and were infected with EA and CP. The compositions of plant EO are 15% cinnamaldehyde and 85% monoglyceryl laurate. Fifteen chicks per replicate were assigned to one cage ($65 \times 60 \times 40$ cm) throughout the experimental period. The chicks were housed in environmentally controlled rooms with fresh water and feed provided ad libitum. The room temperature was maintained at 33 to 34 °C for the first three days, then decreased by 3 °C each week to reach a final temperature of 24 °C. The first week of light was 23 h, after which it was reduced to 16 h.

Table 1. Basic diet ingredients and nutritional composition.

Feed Ingredients % Nutrient Composition		%
61.91	ME (kcal/kg)	2934.95
26.00	Crude protein	20.31
3.00	Calcium	0.87
3.00	Phosphorus	0.66
1.50	Available phosphate	0.41
2.00	Lysine	1.01
	DL-Methionine	0.44
1.65	Methionine and Cysteine	0.75
0.35	Threonine	0.73
0.2		
0.02		
0.03		
0.12		
0.08		
0.14		
100		
	% 61.91 26.00 3.00 3.00 1.50 2.00 1.65 0.35 0.2 0.02 0.03 0.12 0.08 0.14 100	% Nutrient Composition 61.91 ME (kcal/kg) 26.00 Crude protein 3.00 Calcium 3.00 Phosphorus 1.50 Available phosphate 2.00 Lysine DL-Methionine 1.65 Methionine and Cysteine 0.35 Threonine 0.2 0.02 0.03 0.12 0.08 0.14 100 100

Mineral premix includes the following: Fe, 80 mg; Cu, 8 mg; Mn, 100 mg; Zn, 80 mg; I, 10.7 mg; Se, 0.3 mg; vitamin A, 6000 IU; vitamin D, 1000 IU; vitamin E, 10 IU; vitamin K, 0.5 mg/kg; thiamine, 2 mg/kg; riboflavin, 5 mg/kg; pantothenic acid, 10 mg/kg; niacin, 30 mg/kg; pyridoxine, 3 mg/kg; biotin, 0.15 mg/kg; folic acid, 0.55 mg/kg; vitamin B, 120.01 mg/kg.

2.2. Eimeria acervulina and Clostridium perfringens Infection Protocol

At day 7, each bird in the CPEA group, CPEA_EO350 group and CPEA_EO500 group was orally administrated 1 mL *Eimeria acervulina*, containing 5000 oocytes/mL (Zhengdian Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Foshan, China); birds in the CON group were orally administrated 1 mL/bird normal saline. Then, starting at day 15, 1 mL of *Clostridium perfringens* type ACVCC-2030 strain (about 5×10^8 cfu/mL) (China Culture Collection of Microorganisms, Beijing, China) was orally inoculated to each bird of the CPEA group, CPEA_EO350 group and CPEA_EO500 group for three consecutive days. Similarly, the birds in the CON group were given 1 mL/bird of normal saline. In the event of any death following *Eimeria acervulina* and *Clostridium perfringens* challenge, an autopsy was performed to determine the cause of death. Repeated body weight (BW), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and average daily gain (ADG) were measured on days 21 and 28, and the feed-to-gain ratio was calculated accordingly, taking into account mortality.

2.3. Intestinal Pathological Damage

At 28d, 3 birds were randomly selected for each replicate, and a total of 9 birds in each group were selected for intestinal pathological damage scoring. Intestinal pathological damage scores were determined by two experienced researchers using the 0 to 5 damage scale [14]. In short, 0 point: normal without necrotizing enteritis; 1 point: the intestinal wall is flabby and thin, and the intestinal body cannot be restored to its original state after the fingers are pressed; 2 point: mild necrotizing enteritis with few (1–6) punctate ulcers and gangrene; 3 point: moderate necrotizing enteritis with more (more than 6) punctate or small areas of ulceration and gangrene; 4 point: severe necrotizing enteritis, extensive ulceration and gangrene, hemorrhage, partial necrosis of the intestine; 5 point: dead or dying.

2.4. Intestinal Tissue Section

For each repetition, two birds were selected randomly, resulting in six birds per group. The jejunum and ileum tissue specimens of euthanized chickens (euthanasia by artificial neck dislocation) were immersed in 4% formaldehyde solution for fixation. A paraffin embedding process followed after dehydrating and clearing intestinal tissue. The paraffin-embedded sections were cut into five serial sections, rehydrated and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) after four serial sections were rehydrated. Tissue sections were observed using a LEICA (DFC290 HD system digital camera, Heilbrugger, Switzerland) connected to an optical microscope with 10 objective lenses. The villus height and the corresponding crypt depth of straight and relatively intact villos were measured.

2.5. RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

On 21d and 28d, the jejunal and ileal mucosa samples of euthanized chickens were scraped and placed in RNAase-free tubes, immediately placed in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. The total RNA of each sample was extracted with TransZol reagent (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China), and the concentration of RNA was measured with a VWRI732-2534 spectrophotometer (Avantor, Radnor, PA, USA), and 1 µL of the extracted total RNA solution was taken to read the RNA concentration, OD260/280 and 260/230 ratio, the ratio is in the range of 1.8~2.0, it is considered that the RNA sample has high integrity and meets the requirements of the next experiment. According to the method of RT EasyTMII (With gDNase) kit (Foregene, Chengdu, China), 1 µg of RNA was taken to remove genomic DNA contamination and reverse transcribed to synthesize cDNA, which was stored at -20 °C until required for quantitative PCR.

2.6. Quantitative PCR

The primer pairs were designed for specific genes by the NCBI primer tool (http: //www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, accessed on 1 December 2022), and the primer pairs were specifically detected. The primer sequences of the genes are shown in Table 2. The primers were synthesized by Qingke Biological Co., Ltd. cDNA gene expression assays were performed on real-time quantitative PCR (QuantStudio 3, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Shanghai, China) using Real-Time PCR EasyTM-SYBR Green I kit (Foregene, Chengdu, China). The detected immune-related gene screens and barrier structure-related genes are Mucin-2 (MUC-2), ZO-2, ZO-1, claudin-3, occludin, interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-17A (IL-17A), interleukin-22 (IL-22), tumor necrosis factor- α (TFN- α), interferon- β (IFN- β), interferon- γ (IFN- γ) with β -actin as the internal reference gene and the control group as the reference; the relative expression of the gene was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

2.7. Serum Biochemical Indicators

At 21d and 28d, each replicate had two birds randomly selected. Pterygoid vein blood samples were collected after fasted 12 h and the serum was centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C after 2 h of quiescence. Using Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute kits (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China), serum concentrations were determined: total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), uric acid (UA), urea nitrogen (BUN), alanine aminotransferase (ALT),

aspartate aminotransferase (ASL), superoxide dismutase (SOD), total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), and malondialdehyde (MDA).

Gene	Sequence (5'–3')	Ta °C
	F: TTCATGATGCCTGCTCTTGTG	F7 0 2
MUC-2	R: CCTGAGCCTTGGTACATTCTTGT	57.92
70 0	F: AGTGGCCACCATTGTTGTGA	
ZO-2	R: ACTGTAGCCACTTCGAGCAC	55.58
70.1	F: CTTCAGGTGTTTCTCTTCCTCCTC	
ZO-1	R: CTGTGGTTTCATGGCTGGATC	56.61
	F: CGGGATTTCTACAACCCGCT	
Claudin-3	R: GAGTAAGCCACCTTGCTGGG	57.65
	F: CGGAGCCCAGACTACCAAAG	
Occludin	R: TTACACAGCTTCAGCCTTACA	55.84
П	F: CAGGACGAGATGTGCAAGAA	
IL-0	R: TAGCACAGAGACTCGACGTT	56.75
II 17A	F: GAAGGTGATACGGCCAGGAC	
IL-1/A	R: TGGGTTAGGCATCCAGCATC	56.78
11 00	F: GCCCTACATCAGGAATCGCA	
IL-22	R: TCTGAGAGCCTGGCCATTTC	57.87
	F: TGTGTATGTGCAGCAACCCGTAGT	FF 0.4
TNF-α	R: GGCATTGCAATTTGGACAGAAGT	57.94
IENI Q	F: TGCAACCATCTTCGTCACCA	
ΙΓΙΝ-β	R: GGAGGTGGAGCCGTATTCTG	56.68
IEN at	F: ACACTGACAAGTCAAAGCCGC	
IFIN-γ	R: AGTCGTTCATCGGGAGCTTG	58.66

Table 2. The primer sequence of the gene.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

In SPSS 25.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), independent samples t test was used to analyze all data, and all data were expressed as means \pm SD. The model includes fixed effects, and GraphPad Prism version 9 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used to present it. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered significant (*p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01), and 0.05 < *p* value < 0.10 was discussed as tendencies.

3. Results

3.1. Growth Performance

Compared with the CON group, the *Eimeria acervulina* and *Clostridium perfringens* challenge reduced the BW of CPEA at 21d and 28d (p < 0.01; Table 3) and improved the feed-to-gain ratio during 1–28d (p < 0.01; Table 3). Compared with the CPEA group, the CPEA_EO500 and CPEA_EO350 groups increased the BW at 28 by 7% and 5.8%, respectively (p < 0.01; Table 3). Compared with the CPEA group, the CPEA_EO500 and CPEA_EO350 groups decreased the ratio of feed to gain during 1–28d by 0.25 and 0.21, respectively (p < 0.01; Table 3).

3.2. Intestinal Pathology Score

At 21d, there was no difference in intestinal scores among the four groups (p > 0.05; Figure 1); At 28d, the lesion score of the CPEA group was significantly higher than those of the CON group (p < 0.01; Figure 1) and CPEA_EO500 group (p < 0.05; Figure 1). The intestinal score of the CPEA_EO350 group showed a decreasing trend compared with the CPEA group.

Item	CON	CPE A	CPE-CML350	CPE-CML500	SEM	p Value
BW, g						
1d J	39.20 ± 0.15	39.39 ± 0.13	39.22 ± 0.11	39.19 ± 0.19	0.04	0.35
21d	$378.57\pm7.14~^{\rm a}$	$242.86\pm7.14~^{\rm c}$	269.05 ± 23.24 ^b	277.86 ± 3.71 ^b	15.86	< 0.01
28d	635.19 ± 6.41 $^{\rm a}$	$484.82\pm4.49~^{\rm c}$	$514.82 \pm 8.48 \ ^{\rm b}$	$521.48 \pm 5.7~^{ m b}$	17.31	< 0.01
ADFI, g/d						
1 to 21d	$25.79\pm0.56~^{\rm a}$	$23.05\pm0.83~^{\rm c}$	$24.29\pm0.47^{\text{ b}}$	$23.32 \pm 0.51 \ ^{ m bc}$	0.36	< 0.01
21 to 28d	$62.11\pm0.47~^{\rm b}$	68.77 ± 1.5 $^{\rm a}$	60.42 ± 2.63 ^b	62.45 ± 2.11 ^b	1.06	< 0.01
1 to 28d	$35.02\pm0.71~^{\rm a}$	34.9 ± 0.99 ^{ab}	$33.66 \pm 0.58 \ { m bc}$	$33.47\pm0.33~^{\rm c}$	0.27	0.04
ADG, g/d						
1 to 21d	16.97 ± 0.35 $^{\rm a}$	$10.17\pm0.35~^{\rm c}$	11.49 ± 1.16 ^b	11.95 ± 0.18 ^b	0.79	< 0.01
21 to 28d	36.66 ± 1.37	34.57 ± 1.66	35.11 ± 3.84	34.8 ± 0.34	0.60	0.66
1 to 28d	$22.07\pm0.24~^{\rm a}$	$16.49\pm0.17~^{\rm c}$	17.61 ± 0.32 ^b	$17.87\pm0.21~^{\rm b}$	0.64	< 0.01
F:G, g/g						
1 to 21d	$1.53\pm0~^{ m c}$	2.27 ± 0.07 $^{\mathrm{a}}$	2.13 ± 0.19 $^{ m ab}$	1.95 ± 0.02 ^b	0.09	< 0.01
21 to 28d	1.70 ± 0.05 ^b	1.99 ± 0.11 a	1.74 ± 0.22 ^b	1.79 ± 0.06 ^{ab}	0.05	0.09
1 to 28d	1.59 ± 0.02 $^{\rm c}$	$2.12\pm0.07~^a$	1.91 ± 0.07 $^{\rm b}$	$1.87\pm0.02^{\text{ b}}$	0.06	< 0.01

Table 3. The growth performance of broilers.

Abbreviations: CON, control group birds fed a basal diet; CPEA, birds fed a basal diet and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO350, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 350 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO500, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline. BW, body weight; ADFI, average daily feed intake; ADG, average daily gain; F:G, feed-to-gain ratio. ^{a-c} Means within a column lacking a common superscript differ as per the corresponding *p* value indicated in the *p* value row.



Figure 1. (a) 21d Intestinal pathological damage score; (b) 28d Intestinal pathological damage score. CON, control group birds fed a basal diet; CPEA, birds fed a basal diet and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO350, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 350 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO500, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline. Significant deviations are denoted by asterisks (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01).

3.3. Intestinal Tissue Morphology

Combining the slice pictures and the analysis of the histogram, compared with the CON group, it can be seen that the jejunum villus height of the CPEA group was decreased on 21d and 28d (p < 0.01; Figure 2). The height of the jejunal villi in the CPEA_EO500 group



was increased (p < 0.01; Figure 2), and there was a trend of increasing jejunal villus height in the CPEA_EO350 (p < 0.10; Figure 2).

Figure 2. (a) Jejunal and ileal mucosa photomicrograph with typical crypts and villi. The scale bar is 200 μ m. Eosin and haematoxylin stain. Magnification is 100×. (b) Crypt depth and villus height of jejunum and ileum. CON, control group birds fed a basal diet; CPEA, birds fed a basal diet and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO350, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 350 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO500, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline. Significant deviations are denoted by asterisks (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001).

3.4. Intestinal Mucosal Barrier Function

Compared with the CON group, the CPEA group downregulated the mRNA expressions of MUC-2, ZO-2, ZO-1, claudin-3, occludin in the jejunum and ileum at 21d (p < 0.01; Table 4). Compared with the CPEA group, the CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 groups upregulated the mRNA expressions of MUC-2, ZO-2, ZO-1, claudin-3 and occludin in the jejunum and ileum (p < 0.10; Table 4). On the 28th day, the CPEA group downregulated the mRNA expression of jejunal MUC-2, ZO-1, claudin-3 and ileal MUC-2, ZO-2 (p < 0.01; Table 4). Compared with the CPEA group, the CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 groups upregulated the mRNA expressions of ZO-1, claudin-3 and ileal MUC-2, ZO-2 (p < 0.01; Table 4). Compared with the CPEA group, the CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 groups upregulated the mRNA expressions of ZO-1 in the jejunum and ZO-2 and claudin-3 in the ileum (p < 0.01; Table 4), and the CPEA_EO350 group also upregulated the mRNA expressions of ZO-1 in the jejunum and ZO-2 and claudin-3 in the ileum (p < 0.01; Table 4). In addition, CPE-CML350 upregulated the mRNA expression of Claudin-3 in the jejunum and the mRNA expression of ZO-1, Occludin and MUC-2 in the ileum at 28d compared with the CPEA (p < 0.01; Table 4).

Item	CON	CPEA	CPE-CML350	CPE-CML500	SEM	p Value
21d jejunum						
MUC-2	1.00 ± 0 ^a	0.46 ± 0.3 ^b	$0.65\pm0.07~^{ m ab}$	$0.7\pm0.41~^{ m ab}$	0.08	0.07
ZO-2	1.00 ± 0 ^a	$0.52 \pm 0.25 \ ^{ m b}$	0.68 ± 0.22 ^b	0.56 ± 0.07 ^b	0.06	< 0.01
ZO-1	1.00 ± 0 ^a	0.49 ± 0.42 ^b	0.52 ± 0.31 ^b	$0.72\pm0.08~^{ m ab}$	0.08	0.06
Claudin-3	1.00 ± 0 a	$0.46\pm0.25~^{ m c}$	$0.89\pm0.22~^{ m ab}$	$0.63\pm0.17~^{ m bc}$	0.07	< 0.01
Occludin	1.00 ± 0 a	$0.33 \pm 0.28 \ ^{ m b}$	0.59 ± 0.23 ^b	0.51 ± 0.22 ^b	0.08	< 0.01
21d ileum						
MUC-2	$1.00\pm0~^{ m ab}$	0.41 ± 0.12 ^b	$1.12\pm0.59~^{ m ab}$	1.66 ± 1.2 a	0.15	0.01
ZO-2	1.00 ± 0	1.25 ± 0.98	1.63 ± 1.01	1.49 ± 0.97	0.18	0.59
ZO-1	1.00 ± 0	0.98 ± 0.86	1.44 ± 0.47	1.45 ± 0.23	0.11	0.24
Cl audin-3	1.00 ± 0 ^b	0.95 ± 0.4 ^b	1.07 ± 0.27 ^b	1.76 ± 0.46 a	0.09	< 0.01
Occludin	1.00 ± 0 ^b	0.86 ± 0.3 ^b	0.98 ± 0.27 ^b	$1.84\pm0.31~^{\text{a}}$	0.10	< 0.01
28d jejunum						
MUC-2	1.00 ± 0 a	$0.52 \pm 0.32^{\ \mathrm{b}}$	$0.71\pm0.39~^{ m ab}$	0.61 ± 0.27 ^b	0.07	0.05
ZO-2	1.00 ± 0	0.91 ± 0.29	1.01 ± 0.51	0.65 ± 0.26	0.07	0.25
ZO-1	1.00 ± 0 a	0.55 ± 0.25 ^b	1.16 ± 0.51 $^{\rm a}$	0.97 ± 0.35 $^{\rm a}$	0.08	0.03
Claudin-3	1.00 ± 0 ^a	0.57 ± 0.29 ^b	0.99 ± 0.22 ^a	0.58 ± 0.29 ^b	0.06	< 0.01
Occludin	1.00 ± 0	0.55 ± 0.58	0.64 ± 0.43	0.74 ± 0.37	0.08	0.27
28d ileum						
MUC-2	1.00 ± 0 a	0.62 ± 0.23 ^b	0.96 ± 0.37 a	0.55 ± 0.31 ^b	0.07	0.02
ZO-2	1.00 ± 0 a	0.53 ± 0.05 ^b	0.85 ± 0.07 $^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.93\pm0.34~^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.05	< 0.01
ZO-1	1.00 ± 0 ^b	0.98 ± 0.45 ^b	1.69 ± 0.34 a	$1.41\pm0.39~^{ m ab}$	0.10	< 0.01
Claudin-3	$1.00\pm0~^{ m ab}$	$0.45\pm0.18^{\text{ b}}$	1.14 ± 0.14 a	$1.43\pm1.01~^{\rm a}$	0.12	0.03
Occludin	1.00 ± 0 $^{\rm b}$	$0.42\pm0.28^{\text{ b}}$	1.60 ± 0.84 $^{\rm a}$	$0.86\pm0.06~^{\rm b}$	0.13	< 0.01

Table 4. Intestinal mucosal barrier function.

Abbreviations: CON, control group birds fed a basal diet; CPEA, birds fed a basal diet and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO350, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 350 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO500, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline. ^{a-c} Means within a column lacking a common superscript differ as per the corresponding *p* value indicated in the *p* value row.

3.5. Intestinal Mucosal Inflammatory Factors

Compared with the CON group, the mRNA expressions of IL-6, IL-17 and TNF- α in the jejunum and IL-6 and IL-17 in the ileum were upregulated in the CPEA group at 21d (p < 0.01; Table 5), while the CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 groups had downregulated TNF- α and IL-6 and IL-17 mRNA expressions in the jejunum compared with the CPEA group (p < 0.01; Table 5). The mRNA expression of IL-17 in the jejunum of the CPEA_EO350 group was downregulated by 0.99 (p < 0.01; Table 5). Compared with the CON group, the mRNA expression of IL-6 in the jejunum and ileum of the CPEA group was upregulated (p < 0.01; Table 5). While the mRNA expression of IL-6 in the jejunum and ileum of the CPEA group was upregulated (p < 0.01; Table 5). While the mRNA expression of IL-6 in the jejunum and ileum of the CPEA_EO350 and CPEA_EO500 groups was downregulated (p < 0.01; Table 5), and the mRNA expression of IFN- γ in the CPEA_EO350 group was downregulated by 0.76 compared with the CEPA group (p < 0.01; Table 5).

3.6. Serum Biochemical Indices

Compared with the CPEA group, the serum TP content in the CPE-CML500 group was significantly increased on 21d (p < 0.01; Table 6). The serum BUN content in the CPE-CML350 group and CPE-CML500 group was significantly increased compared with the CON group on 21d (p < 0.01; Table 6). Compared with the CPEA group, MDA content in the serum of the CPE-CML350 group and CPE-CML500 group was significantly decreased and SOD content was significantly increased on 21d (p < 0.01; Table 6). Compared on 21d (p < 0.01; Table 6). Compared with the CPEA group, T-AOC content in the serum of the CPE-CML500 group was significantly increased on 21d (p < 0.01; Table 6).

Item	CON	CPEA	CPE-CML350	CPE-CML500	SEM	<i>p</i> Value
21d jejunum						
ÍĹ-6	1.00 ± 0 ^b	2.23 ± 0.46 ^a	1.84 ± 0.7 $^{ m ab}$	1.66 ± 0.63 $^{ m ab}$	0.16	0.04
IL-17	1.00 ± 0 ^b	$2.25\pm0.68~^{\rm a}$	1.26 ± 0.3 ^b	$1.81\pm0.58~^{ m ab}$	0.18	0.02
IL-22	$1.00\pm0~^{ m ab}$	0.70 ± 0.19 ^b	1.14 ± 0.49 ^a	0.75 ± 0.11 $^{ m ab}$	0.08	0.10
TNF-α	1.00 ± 0 ^b	2.10 ± 0.74 a	1.19 ± 0.38 ^b	1.22 ± 0.33 ^b	0.15	0.02
IFN-β	1.00 ± 0	1.13 ± 0.58	1.24 ± 0.83	0.97 ± 0.41	0.13	0.89
IFN-γ	1.00 ± 0	1.62 ± 0.8	1.65 ± 0.8	1.49 ± 0.61	0.16	0.47
21d ileum	,	_	1	,		
IL-6	1.00 ± 0 ^b	5.73 ± 1.02 a	1.3 ± 1.42 b	1.38 ± 0.69 ^b	0.44	< 0.01
IL-17	1.00 ± 0 c	4.04 ± 1.26 a	1.52 ± 0.19 bc	2.06 ± 0.41 b	0.29	< 0.01
IL-22	1.00 ± 0 c	1.69 ± 0.33 ^b	2.82 ± 0.08 a	2.58 ± 0.57 $^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.19	< 0.01
TNF-α	1.00 ± 0	1.71 ± 0.65	1.76 ± 0.7	1.47 ± 0.53	0.14	0.22
IFN-β	1.00 ± 0	2.03 ± 0.34	0.96 ± 1.27	2.09 ± 1.28	0.25	0.19
IFN-γ	1.00 ± 0 b	2.54 ± 0.66 a	1.45 ± 0.58 ^{ab}	1.92 ± 1.1 ab	0.22	0.05
28d jejunum			1	1		
IL-6	$1.00 \pm 0^{\circ}$	3.82 ± 0.96 a	2.21 ± 0.6 ^b	1.35 ± 0.87 bc	0.32	< 0.01
IL-17	1.00 ± 0 ^b	2.34 ± 0.32 a	2.04 ± 0.94 $^{ m ab}$	1.41 ± 1.22 $^{ m ab}$	0.22	0.10
IL-22	1.00 ± 0 ^b	2.44 ± 0.67 a	2.38 ± 0.73 $^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.59 ± 1.46 $^{ m ab}$	0.25	0.09
TNF-α	$1.00\pm0~^{ m ab}$	2.57 ± 0.36 a	0.64 ± 0.35 ^b	0.81 ± 0.34 ^b	0.17	< 0.01
IFN-β	1.00 ± 0 $^{ m ab}$	0.45 ± 0.38 ^b	0.98 ± 0.35 $^{ m ab}$	1.66 ± 1.18 a	0.15	0.04
IFN-Y	1.00 ± 0	1.22 ± 0.89	0.88 ± 0.54	0.89 ± 0.62	0.14	0.86
28d ileum						
IL-6	1.00 ± 0 ^b	3.92 ± 1.51 a	1.60 ± 0.87 ^b	1.23 ± 0.87 ^b	0.32	< 0.01
IL-17	1.00 ± 0	1.72 ± 0.62	1.24 ± 0.65	1.02 ± 0.68	0.14	0.20
1L-22	1.00 ± 0	1.41 ± 0.76	1.75 ± 0.43	2.07 ± 1.25	0.17	0.22
TNF-α	1.00 ± 0^{-6}	1.94 ± 0.37 ^a	1.30 ± 0.42 ab	1.45 ± 0.85 ab	0.13	0.04
IFN-β	1.00 ± 0 ^b	0.87 ± 0.72 ^b	1.19 ± 0.17 ^b	2.51 ± 1.69 a	0.24	0.04

Table 5. Intestinal mucosal inflammatory factors.

Abbreviations: CON, control group birds fed a basal diet; CPEA, birds fed a basal diet and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO350, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 350 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO500, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline. ^{a-c} Means within a column lacking a common superscript differ as per the corresponding p value indicated in the p value row.

Table 6. Serum biochemical indicators.

Item	CON	CPEA	CPE-CML350	CPE-CML500	SEM	p Value
21d						
TP, ug/ml	$13.61\pm3.99~^{\mathrm{ab}}$	11.32 ± 4.01 ^b	15.58 ± 5.89 $^{\mathrm{ab}}$	20.11 ± 3.33 a	1.29	0.08
AIB, g/L	13.62 ± 1.72 a	10.83 ± 0.5 ^b	$12.04\pm1.39~^{ m ab}$	10.18 ± 0.99 ^b	0.44	0.01
UA, μmol/ml	379.06 ± 69.25	290.13 ± 121.42	258.85 ± 102.81	282.31 ± 112.91	24.54	0.28
BUN, mmol/L	1.15 ± 0.25 ^b	$1.70\pm0.42~^{ m ab}$	1.96 ± 0.41 a	1.94 ± 0.7 a	0.12	0.03
GPT, U/L	13.98 ± 1.18	13.95 ± 2.5	13.35 ± 1.67	13.00 ± 0.22	0.32	0.69
GOT, U/L	62.28 ± 8.54	58.90 ± 4.44	58.82 ± 16.69	65.79 ± 16.67	2.82	0.84
MDA, nmol/ml	3.78 ± 0.62 c	7.37 ± 0.44 a	6.18 ± 0.46 ^b	5.78 ± 0.82 ^b	0.36	< 0.01
T-AOC, U/ml	2.76 ± 0.08 ^a	$1.92\pm0.21~^{ m c}$	2.01 ± 0.3 ^{bc}	2.32 ± 0.16 ^b	0.10	< 0.01
SOD, U/ml	311.51 ± 47.3 a	210.15 ± 21.01 ^b	$284.42\pm23.37~^{\rm a}$	313.21 ± 36.62 ^a	12.87	< 0.01
28d						
TP, ug/ml	51.89 ± 8.39 ^a	28.68 ± 4.77 ^b	$37.59 \pm 14.89 \ ^{ m ab}$	39.94 ± 2.02 $^{\mathrm{ab}}$	3.17	0.02
AIB, g/L	10.30 ± 0.45 a	8.53 ± 0.28 ^b	9.44 ± 1.33 $^{ m ab}$	$10.15\pm1.08~^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.26	0.04
UA, µmol/ml	195.39 ± 90.95	215.08 ± 66.77	176.02 ± 27.73	180.14 ± 22.33	11.71	0.66
BUN, mmol/L	0.94 ± 0.52	1.18 ± 1.73	0.47 ± 0.34	1.20 ± 1.61	0.26	0.73
GPT, U/L	12.75 ± 0.12	12.94 ± 0.23	12.75 ± 0.18	12.8 ± 0.24	0.04	0.38
GOT, U/L	52.49 ± 1.16	50.33 ± 4.9	52.61 ± 9.21	54.44 ± 2.53	1.19	0.74
MDA, nmol/ml	2.06 ± 0.95 °	5.94 ± 0.67 ^a	4.60 ± 1.02 b	4.27 ± 1.09 b	0.39	< 0.01
T-AOC, U/ml	2.62 ± 0.12 a	1.64 ± 0.08 ^b	1.79 ± 0.21 ^b	1.71 ± 0.03 ^b	0.10	< 0.01
SOD, U/ml	295.61 ± 11.33 a	227.86 ± 20.38 ^b	271.36 ± 30.32 ^a	$280.74\pm23.46~^{\rm a}$	8.28	< 0.01

Abbreviations: CON, control group birds fed a basal diet; CPEA, birds fed a basal diet and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO350, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 350 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; CPEA-EO500, birds fed a basal diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg essential oils and infected with Clostridium perfringens and Eimeria acervuline; TP, total protein; ALB, albumin; UA, uric acid; BUN, urea nitrogen; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; SOD, superoxide dismutase; T-AOC, total antioxidant capacity; MDA, malondialdehyde. ^{a–c} Means within a column lacking a common superscript differ as per the corresponding p value indicated in the p value row. Compared with the CON group, the serum TP content in the CPEA group was significantly decreased on 28d (p < 0.01; Table 6), but compared with the CPEA group, serum TP content in the CPE-CML500 group and CPE-CML350 group had an increasing trend (p < 0.05; Table 6). Compared with the CPEA group, MDA content in the serum of the CPE-CML500 group and CPE-CML350 group was significantly decreased, while SOD content was significantly increased (p < 0.01; Table 6)

4. Discussion

This study showed that broiler chickens successfully developed NE after challenged with Eimeria acervulina and Clostridium perfringens, which was characterized by deterioration of growth performance and intestinal necrosis. It has been reported that broilers with NE have lower body weight gain, higher feed-to-gain ratio, and lower feed utilization efficiency [15]. Therefore, in recent years, people have been exploring the use of feed additives to combat NE to reduce its burden and economic losses. In the current experiment, concentrations of 350 and 500 mg/kg lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex increased the average daily gain of challenge broilers and decreased the feed-togain ratio. Al-Kassie [16] showed that the weight gain of broilers fed the dietary additive supplemented with cinnamaldehyde was significantly higher than that of the control group (without the addition of vegetable essential oils), and the feed-to-gain ratio was also lower than that of the control group (without the addition of vegetable essential oils). Shirzadegan [17] observed that the addition of different concentrations of cinnamon powder to the diet significantly increased the final body weight of broilers. In addition, it was found that adding cinnamaldehyde and celery seed oil to the broiler diet at the same time increased the 42-day-old body weight of broilers [18]. However, Koochaksaraie, Irani [19] showed that adding cinnamon powder has no significant effect on the growth of broilers. Adding cinnamaldehyde to the feed had no significant improvement in the weight gain of broilers, but it significantly reduced water intake [20]. This is likely to be the result of different concentrations of essential oils or types of complexes.

It has been reported that plant essential oils can improve the production performance of broilers and effectively control the proliferation of *Clostridium perfringens* [21,22]. In addition, the anti-coccidial effect of essential oils has also been reported [23]. It appears that the cinnamic aldehyde and lauric acid complexes at concentrations of 350 and 500 mg/kg were also efficient to reduce *Eimeria acervulina* and *Clostridium perfringens* attacks.

The villi and crypts are important parts of the small intestine, and their height and depth can reflect the absorptive capacity of the small intestine [8]. Our results showed that the addition of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex to the feed improves the villus height in the jejunum and ileum, which is consistent with previous findings [24,25]. Studies have also shown that some enzymes or enzymes in combination with dietary components can improve the absorption surface of the small intestine, affecting the intestinal barrier of chickens [26]. The mechanical barrier composed of intestinal mucosal epithelial cells and their tight junction proteins is particularly important in the intestinal barrier [27]. Claudin has a variety of functions, not only maintaining the epithelial barrier function, preventing the invasion of toxic macromolecules and microorganisms, but also selectively regulating the entry of small molecules and ions into the body [28]. A tight junction is a highly dynamic structure, and its degree of closure changes depending on external stimuli and physiological and pathological factors [29]. Claudin, occludin and ZO are an important family of intestinal tight junction cells [30]. Our study found that in the state of NE, the expression of barrier factors MUC-2, ZO-2, ZO-1, claudin-3, and occludin in the tight junction structure of the jejunum and ileum were downregulated at 21d, while after NE stimulation, the expression of MUC-2, ZO-2, ZO-1, claudin-3, and occludin could be upregulated when lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex were supplemented, indicating that the complex of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde has a positive effect on tight junction cells or intestinal physical barriers. Therefore, the improvement of the small intestine morphology may also be one of the

reasons for the increase in the digestibility of nutrients and the decrease in the ratio of feed to gain.

MDA is the end product of lipid peroxidation produced in reaction with free radicals and is a useful biomarker of oxidative stress in vivo [31]. The content of MDA in serum challenged by Eimeria acervulina and Clostridium perfringens was decreased by both the CPEA_EO350 group and CPEA_EO500 group, and the content of superoxide dismutase and the total antioxidant capacity of serum in the CPEA_EO500 group also increased. This is consistent with the results of plant-derived substances, which can improve the antioxidant activity of broilers and reduce the content of MDA in serum [32]. Plant essential oils such as eucalyptus also have free radical scavenging and anti-oxidative effect abilities [33]. Lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde are natural compounds that have free radical scavenging activity to protect lipids from oxidation, thereby delaying the process of lipid peroxidation (Belasli et al., 2020). The extracts have high phenolic content and excellent free radical scavenging potential, which can remove excess free radicals because their phenolic hydroxyl groups act as hydrogen donors for surrogate free radicals generated during the initial lipid oxidation process [34,35]. Therefore, it reduces the formation of hydroxyl peroxide, thereby reducing the content of malondialdehyde in the body and improving the total antioxidant capacity of the body [36]. There are also some studies suggesting that the mechanism by which essential oils enhance antioxidant capacity may be attributed to their ability to donate hydrogen or electrons and to delocalize unpaired electrons within the aromatic structure [37].

Bioactive substances with antioxidant potential have been proven to have antiinflammatory properties [38], and it can also be seen from the experimental results that the addition of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complexes to the feed downregulates the pro-inflammatory factor IL- 6 in the jejunum and ileum at day 28, and the lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complexes at a concentration of 500 mg/kg had a greater tendency to downregulate. The pro-inflammatory factor TNF- α was also downregulated in the jejunum at day 21, suggesting that the lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex can alleviate the inflammatory response caused by NE. Krauze, Cendrowska-Pinkosz [39] showed that with continuous or regular addition of phytobiotic supplements containing cinnamon oil, broiler body IL-6 levels are beneficially reduced, and the concentration of lysozymes is also increased.

The total protein content in serum was increased by the addition of 500 mg/kg of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex in the present study. Amadbr and Zentek [40] reported that a plant-derived mixed essential oil feed additive significantly improved total protein content in broiler serum. Ghazalah and Ali [41] also reported that the serum protein and albumin levels of broilers were increased after the addition of plant rosemary leaf essential oil to broiler feed. While feed supplemented with lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex increased total protein in serum, which may be related to weight gain in broilers [41], the increase in total protein content in animal serum may also indicate enhanced nutrient supply and transport. The addition of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde complex in the feed also increased the content of urea nitrogen in serum, which may also be related to the increase in total protein catabolism, as albumin and total protein levels decreased, while feeding patterns were unchanged [42].

However, the disadvantage of this study is that only two concentration gradients of 350 and 500 mg/kg were created, and it is impossible to judge whether the higher concentration dose will show better effects or show harmful effects. Whether the different proportions of lauric acid monoglyceride and cinnamaldehyde will cause different results needs further study.

5. Conclusions

In this study, supplementation of 350 and 500 mg/kg of cinnamaldehyde and lauric acid complexs in diets can improve the harm caused by necrotizing enteritis, and 500 mg/kg is better in improving performance, intestinal pathological damage score and intestinal morphology of yellow-feathered broilers. The disadvantage of this study is that only two concentration gradients of 350 and 500 mg/kg were studied, and it is not possible to judge whether higher concentration doses will show better results or show harmful effects retained cinnamaldehyde and lauric acid. Do different ratios of cinnamaldehyde and lauric acid lead to different results? These are all worthy of further study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.Z.; Funding acquisition, J.S.; Investigation, T.Q., S.L., J.O. and M.C.; Methodology, C.Z.; Software, L.G.; Supervision, X.Y.; Writing—original draft, C.Z. and G.X.; Writing—review and editing, G.X. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work was supported by the Guangdong Province Modern Agriculture Poultry Industry technology system innovation team construction project (2022KJ128), Guangdong Science and Technology Innovation Strategy Special Fund (DZX20192520309) and Discipline Construction Program of Foshan University (CGZ0400162).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Foshan University (approval ID: FOSU#19-025).

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kaldhusdal, M.; Benestad, S.; Lovland, A. Epidemiologic aspects of necrotic enteritis in broiler chickens—Disease occurrence and production performance. *Avian Pathol.* **2016**, *45*, 271–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Keyburn, A.L.; Boyce, J.D.; Vaz, P.; Bannam, T.L.; Ford, M.E.; Parker, D.; Di Rubbo, A.; Rood, J.I.; Moore, R.J. NetB, a new toxin that is associated with avian necrotic enteritis caused by Clostridium perfringens. *PLoS Pathog.* 2008, 4, e26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Moore, R.J. Necrotic enteritis predisposing factors in broiler chickens. Avian Pathol. 2016, 45, 275–281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Kim, J.; Marshall, M.; Wei, C.-I. Antibacterial activity of some essential oil components against five foodborne pathogens. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1995, 43, 2839–2845. [CrossRef]
- Puvača, N.; Stanaćev, V.; Glamočić, D.; Lević, J.; Perić, L.; Milić, D. Beneficial effects of phytoadditives in broiler nutrition. World's Poult. Sci. J. 2013, 69, 27–34. [CrossRef]
- Malayoğlu, H.B.; Baysal; Misirlioğlu, Z.; Polat, M.; Yilmaz, H.; Turan, N. Effects of oregano essential oil with or without feed enzymes on growth performance, digestive enzyme, nutrient digestibility, lipid metabolism and immune response of broilers fed on wheat–soybean meal diets. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 2010, *51*, 67–80. [CrossRef]
- Thormar, H.; E Isaacs, C.; Brown, H.R.; Barshatzky, M.R.; Pessolano, T. Inactivation of enveloped viruses and killing of cells by fatty acids and monoglycerides. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1987, 31, 27–31. [CrossRef]
- 8. Su, G.; Wang, L.; Zhou, X.; Wu, X.; Chen, D.; Yu, B.; Huang, Z.; Luo, Y.; Mao, X.; Zheng, P.; et al. Effects of essential oil on growth performance, digestibility, immunity, and intestinal health in broilers. *Poult. Sci.* **2021**, *100*, 101242. [CrossRef]
- Reis, J.H.; Gebert, R.R.; Barreta, M.; Baldissera, M.D.; dos Santos, I.D.; Wagner, R.; Campigotto, G.; Jaguezeski, A.M.; Gris, A.; de Lima, J.L.; et al. Effects of phytogenic feed additive based on thymol, carvacrol and cinnamic aldehyde on body weight, blood parameters and environmental bacteria in broilers chickens. *Microb. Pathog.* 2018, 125, 168–176. [CrossRef]
- Timbermont, L.; Lanckriet, A.; Dewulf, J.; Nollet, N.; Schwarzer, K.; Haesebrouck, F.; Ducatelle, R.; Van Immerseel, F. Control of Clostridium perfringens-induced necrotic enteritis in broilers by target-released butyric acid, fatty acids and essential oils. *Avian Pathol.* 2010, *39*, 117–121. [CrossRef]
- 11. Chang, S.-T.; Chen, P.-F.; Chang, S.-C. Antibacterial activity of leaf essential oils and their constituents from Cinnamomum osmophloeum. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2001, 77, 123–127. [CrossRef]
- 12. Wang, S.; Meckling, K.A.; Marcone, M.F.; Kakuda, Y.; Tsao, R. Synergistic, Additive, and Antagonistic Effects of Food Mixtures on Total Antioxidant Capacities. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 960–968. [CrossRef]
- Kim, S.; Rhee, M. Highly enhanced bactericidal effects of medium chain fatty acids (caprylic, capric, and lauric acid) combined with edible plant essential oils (carvacrol, eugenol, β-resorcylic acid, trans -cinnamaldehyde, thymol, and vanillin) against Escherichia coli O157:H7. *Food Control* 2016, 60, 447–454.
- Thompson, D.R.; Parreira, V.R.; Kulkarni, R.R.; Prescott, J.F. Live attenuated vaccine-based control of necrotic enteritis of broiler chickens. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2006, 113, 25–34. [CrossRef]

- 15. Skinner, J.T.; Bauer, S.; Young, V.; Pauling, G.; Wilson, J. An Economic Analysis of the Impact of Subclinical (Mild) Necrotic Enteritis in Broiler Chickens. *Avian Dis. Dig.* **2010**, *5*, e39–e40. [CrossRef]
- 16. Al-Kassie, G.A. Influence of two plant extracts derived from thyme and cinnamon on broiler performance. *Pak. Vet. J.* **2009**, *29*, 169–173.
- 17. Shirzadegan, K. Reactions of Modern Broiler Chickens to Administration of Cinnamon Powder in the Diet. *Iran. J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *4*, 367–371.
- Devi, P.C.; Samanta, A.; Das, B.; Kalita, G.; Behera, P.S.; Barman, S. Effect of Plant Extracts and Essential Oil Blend as Alternatives to Antibiotic Growth Promoters on Growth Performance, Nutrient Utilization and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chicken. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.* 2018, 35, 421–427. [CrossRef]
- 19. Koochaksaraie, R.; Irani, M.; Gharavysi, S. The effects of cinnamon powder feeding on some blood metabolites in broiler chicks. *Braz. J. Poult. Sci.* **2011**, *13*, 197–202. [CrossRef]
- 20. Lee, K.W.; Everts, H.; Kappert, H.J.; Frehner, M.; Losa, R.; Beynen, A.C. Effects of dietary essential oil components on growth performance, digestive enzymes and lipid metabolism in female broiler chickens. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 2003, 44, 450–457. [CrossRef]
- Cross, D.; McDevitt, R.; Hillman, K.; Acamovic, T. The effect of herbs and their associated essential oils on performance, dietary digestibility and gut microflora in chickens from 7 to 28 days of age. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 2007, 48, 496–506. [CrossRef]
- Mitsch, P.; Zitterl-Eglseer, K.; Köhler, B.; Gabler, C.; Losa, R.; Zimpernik, I. The effect of two different blends of essential oil components on the proliferation of Clostridium perfringens in the intestines of broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 2004, *83*, 669–675. [CrossRef]
- Giannenas, I.; Florou-Paneri, P.; Papazahariadou, M.; Christaki, E.; Botsoglou, N.A.; Spais, A.B. Effect of dietary supplementation with oregano essential oil on performance of broilers after experimental infection with Eimeria tenella. *Arch. Tierernahr.* 2003, 57, 99–106. [PubMed]
- Kishawy, A.T.; A Amer, S.; El-Hack, M.E.A.; Saadeldin, I.M.; A Swelum, A. The impact of dietary linseed oil and pomegranate peel extract on broiler growth, carcass traits, serum lipid profile, and meat fatty acid, phenol, and flavonoid contents. *Asian-Australasian* J. Anim. Sci. 2019, 32, 1161–1171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barbarestani, S.Y.; Jazi, V.; Mohebodini, H.; Ashayerizadeh, A.; Shabani, A.; Toghyani, M. Effects of dietary lavender essential oil on growth performance, intestinal function, and antioxidant status of broiler chickens. *Livest. Sci.* 2020, 233, 103958. [CrossRef]
- 26. Donaldson, J.; Świątkiewicz, S.; Arczewka-Włosek, A.; Muszyński, S.; Szymańczyk, S.; Arciszewski, M.; Siembida, A.; Kras, K.; Piedra, J.; Schwarz, T.; et al. Modern Hybrid Rye, as an Alternative Energy Source for Broiler Chickens, Improves the Absorption Surface of the Small Intestine Depending on the Intestinal Part and Xylanase Supplementation. *Animals* 2021, *11*, 1349. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Madara, J.L. Intestinal absorptive cell tight junctions are linked to cytoskeleton. Am. J. Physiol. Physiol. 1987, 253, C171–C175. [CrossRef]
- Dokladny, K.; Zuhl, M.N.; Moseley, P.L. Intestinal epithelial barrier function and tight junction proteins with heat and exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 2016, 120, 692–701. [CrossRef]
- 29. Liu, S.; Song, M.; Yun, W.; Lee, J.; Lee, C.; Kwak, W.; Han, N.; Kim, H.; Cho, J. Effects of oral administration of different dosages of carvacrol essential oils on intestinal barrier function in broilers. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2018**, *102*, 1257–1265. [CrossRef]
- Simard, A.; Di Pietro, E.; Ryan, A.K. Gene expression pattern of Claudin-1 during chick embryogenesis. *Gene Expr. Patterns* 2005, 5, 553–560. [CrossRef]
- Aytekin, I.; Aksit, H.; Sait, A.; Kaya, F.; Aksit, D.; Gokmen, M.; Baca, A.U. Evaluation of oxidative stress via total antioxidant status, sialic acid, malondialdehyde and RT-PCR findings in sheep affected with bluetongue. *Vet. Rec. Open* 2015, 2, e000054. [CrossRef]
- Shirani, V.; Jazi, V.; Toghyani, M.; Ashayerizadeh, A.; Sharifi, F.; Barekatain, R. Pulicaria gnaphalodes powder in broiler diets: Consequences for performance, gut health, antioxidant enzyme activity, and fatty acid profile. *Poult. Sci.* 2019, *98*, 2577–2587. [CrossRef]
- Mohebodini, H.; Jazi, V.; Ashayerizadeh, A.; Toghyani, M.; Tellez-Isaias, G. Productive parameters, cecal microflora, nutrient digestibility, antioxidant status, and thigh muscle fatty acid profile in broiler chickens fed with Eucalyptus globulus essential oil. *Poult. Sci.* 2020, 100, 100922. [CrossRef]
- Su, L.; Yin, J.-J.; Charles, D.; Zhou, K.; Moore, J.; Yu, L.L. Total phenolic contents, chelating capacities, and radical-scavenging properties of black peppercorn, nutmeg, rosehip, cinnamon and oregano leaf. *Food Chem.* 2007, 100, 990–997. [CrossRef]
- 35. Prasad, K.N.; Yang, B.; Dong, X.; Jiang, G.; Zhang, H.; Xie, H.; Jiang, Y. Flavonoid contents and antioxidant activities from Cinnamomum species. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* **2009**, *10*, 627–632. [CrossRef]
- 36. Yanishlieva, N.V.; Marinova, E.M.; Gordon, M.H.; Raneva, V.G. Antioxidant activity and mechanism of action of thymol and carvacrol in two lipid systems. *Food Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 59–66. [CrossRef]
- 37. Bozkurt, M.; Tüzün, A.E. Application of aromatic plants and their extracts in diets of turkeys. In *Feed Additives*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 205–226.
- Choi, K.-C.; Son, Y.-O.; Hwang, J.-M.; Kim, B.-T.; Chae, M.; Lee, J.-C. Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-septic potential of phenolic acids and flavonoid fractions isolated from *Lolium multiflorum*. *Pharm. Biol.* 2016, 55, 611–619. [CrossRef]

- Krauze, M.; Cendrowska-Pinkosz, M.; Matuseviĉius, P.; Stępniowska, A.; Jurczak, P.; Ognik, K. The Effect of Administration of a Phytobiotic Containing Cinnamon Oil and Citric Acid on the Metabolism, Immunity, and Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens. *Animals* 2021, *11*, 399. [CrossRef]
- 40. Amadbr, A.; Zentek, K. Effects of a phytogenic feed additive on growth performance, selected blood
criteria and jejunal morphology in broiler chickens. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* **2013**, *25*, 549–554.
- 41. Ghazalah, A.; Ali, A. Rosemary Leaves as a Dietary Supplement for Growth in Broiler Chickens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 2008, 7, 234–239. [CrossRef]
- 42. Huntingford, J.L.; Kirn, B.N.; Cramer, K.; Mann, S.; Wakshlag, J.J. Evaluation of a performance enhancing supplement in American Foxhounds during eventing. *J. Nutr. Sci.* 2014, *3*, e24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.