



Article In Vitro Digestibility and Models of Cumulative Gas Production of Forage-Free Diet

Luís Carlos Vinhas Ítavo^{1,*}, Antonio Leandro Chaves Gurgel¹, Camila Celeste Brandão Ferreira Ítavo¹, Camila Soares Cunha¹, Vanessa Zirondi Longhini¹, Gelson dos Santos Difante¹, Alexandre Menezes Dias¹, Juliana Caroline Santos Santana¹, Angelo Herbet Moreira Arcanjo¹, Marcus Vinicius Garcia Niwa¹, Lucimara Modesto Nonato¹, Geraldo Tadeu dos Santos¹ and Alfonso Juventino Chay-Canul²

- ¹ College of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande 79070-900, Brazil; antonio.gurgel@ufpi.edu.br (A.L.C.G.); camila.itavo@ufms.br (C.C.B.F.Í.); camila.cunha@ufms.br (C.S.C.); vanessa.longhini@ufms.br (V.Z.L.); gelson.difante@ufms.br (G.d.S.D.); alexandre.menezes@ufms.br (A.M.D.); jukrol_@hotmail.com (J.C.S.S.); angelohmarcanjo@gmail.com (A.H.M.A.); marcusniwa@gmail.com (M.V.G.N.); lucimara.nonato@ufms.br (L.M.N.); gtsantos50@gmail.com (G.T.d.S.)
 - ² División Académica de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, Villahermosa 86025, Mexico; aljuch@hotmail.com
 - * Correspondence: luis.itavo@ufms.br

Simple Summary: Diets for confined cattle are generally high in concentrated ingredients, which need attention to avoid ruminal disorders. Adding an adequate fiber quantity can help in this scenario, and by-products derived from the agroindustry can be used as a fiber source. Thus, in this research, we performed an in vitro evaluation to understand if cottonseed cake would be able to replace corn silage in a forage-free diet. In addition, the in vitro trials for feed digestion needed equations to describe the results, and it is of major importance to know if the chosen equation can adequately describe the digestion process. In the present study, it was shown that the forage-free diet containing cottonseed cake as a fiber source stimulated higher gas production and that Brody and Ørskov models presented higher precision and accuracy in explaining in vitro digestion in both diets.

Abstract: Our objectives were to evaluate the use of cottonseed cake in replacing corn silage in a diet without forage and to identify the model with higher precision and accuracy of adjustment of parameters of ruminal degradation kinetics. A diet containing corn silage and another with cottonseed cake as a fiber source were formulated. Gompertz, Dual-pool Logistic, Brody, and Ørskov models were evaluated for goodness of fit to gas production. There were significant differences in dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) in the in vitro digestibility for diets and fiber sources. The estimated values of the Gompertz (6.77), Brody (6.72), and Ørskov (6.73) models were similar to the observed mean of gas production in the corn silage diet (6.73 mL/100 mg DM). Similarly, the estimated values of the Brody (5.87) and Ørskov (5.89) models were similar to the observed mean of gas production in the cottonseed cake diet (5.87 mL/100 mg DM). The roughage-free diet containing cottonseed cake as a fiber source stimulated higher gas production. Brody and Ørskov models presented higher precision and accuracy in the fitting of kinetics of degradation independent of the fiber source in the diet.

Keywords: cumulative gas production; digestibility; effective fiber; mathematical models; nonlinear models

1. Introduction

Concentrate feeds are used in confinement diets to meet nutritional needs, improving feeding efficiency [1]. Higher concentrate:forage ratios alter the parameters of rumen fermentation, creating an unfavorable ruminal environment [2]. According to Mertens [3],



Citation: Ítavo, L.C.V.; Gurgel, A.L.C.; Ferreira Ítavo, C.C.B.; Cunha, C.S.; Longhini, V.Z.; Difante, G.d.S.; Dias, A.M.; Santana, J.C.S.; Arcanjo, A.H.M.; Niwa, M.V.G.; et al. In Vitro Digestibility and Models of Cumulative Gas Production of Forage-Free Diet. *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 3515. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ani13223515

Academic Editors: Ilias Giannenas, Alejandro Plascencia, Juan Carlos Ku-Vera and Richard Avery Zinn

Received: 30 May 2023 Revised: 2 August 2023 Accepted: 21 August 2023 Published: 14 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the physically effective fiber, which is defined as the fraction of NDF that stimulates rumination, stimulating saliva secretion and ruminal pH buffering, can provide a suitable ruminal environment for the fermentation and degradation of nutrients, consequently providing a better performance. For finishing cattle, the NASEM [4] cites 9% as the minimum recommendation for physically effective NDF.

By-products from the agroindustry that are fiber-rich can replace forage in ruminant diets [5]. Researchers have studied cottonseed cake as an alternative to corn silage, and it has been shown to be sustainable and economically justified to provide an effective amount of fiber for feedlot beef cattle [6–9]. However, diets without roughage can lead to ruminal troubles and alterations in the metabolism of bacteria, which can compromise digestibility and animal performance [10–12]. Understanding how the fermentation process occurs allows the elaboration of diets that favor the fermentation of the ruminal microbiota and consequently the animal performance.

The in vitro cumulative gas production technique is frequently used to assess concentrate [13,14] and roughage quality [15–17]. By the measurement of in vitro gas accumulation, it is possible to know about the kinetics of feed digestion in rumen fluid. In addition, mathematical models are required to describe and explain in vitro gas production kinetics. Nevertheless, choosing the most appropriate model is fundamental [18]. Nonlinear models, especially the Gompertz, Brody, Orskov, and Dual-pool Logistic models, are used to fit the kinetics of in vitro gas production. The Dual-pool Logistic model [19] has been commonly used [13–17,20,21]. However, an important step in this evaluation process is the choice of the model to adjust the fermentation parameters according to the studied food.

Velho et al. [22] evaluated the Exponential, France, Gompertz, and Logistic mathematical models to study the corn silage kinetics of gas production in vitro using incubations for 24 and 48 h. These authors found that twenty-four-hour in vitro incubation periods do not mask treatment effects and concluded that the Gompertz model best explained corn silage kinetics in the in vitro gas production. In the work of Zornitta et al. [17], five mathematical models were tested, and Groot and Richards models presented the best fit for estimating data on corn silage gas production. Likewise, Gurgel et al. [21] found that the Groot and Richards models are applicable to express the in vitro gas production kinetics of diets with *Gliricidia sepium* hay or silage.

Thus, we studied the in vitro effects of the replacement of corn silage with cottonseed cake in the diet of ruminants as a fiber source on digestibility and cumulative gas production, and we identified the model with the highest precision and accuracy of adjustment of the parameters of the in vitro kinetics of degradation of the two diets.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was performed at the College of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science of the Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil ($20^{\circ}26'50''$ S, $54^{\circ}50'21''$ W, altitude 417 m). This study was carried out following the standard procedures of the Guide for the National Council for the Control of Animal Experiments. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use of Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (Protocol N° 1.181/2021). The study was reported in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines [23].

2.1. Experimental Design and Treatments

Isoproteic and isoenergetic diets with the same peNDF and NDF contents and total digestible nutrient (TDN, 760 g/kg) contents were formulated, replacing corn silage with cottonseed cake in the diet of beef cattle (Table 1). Samples of the diet were dried in a forced-air oven at 55 °C for 72 h, processed in knife Wiley mills with 1 mm screen sieves, identified, and stored until the analysis. The samples were analyzed according to the methodologies described by the AOAC [24] for the contents of DM (method 930.15), Ash (method 942.05), and CP (method 955.04); EE was analyzed with the Ankom^{XT15} Extractor (Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The Tecnal TE-149 fiber analyzer (Tecnal, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil) was used to assess the NDF and ADF contents, using thermostable α -amylase [25]. The total digestible nutrients (TDN) were estimated using the formula described by Cappelle et al. [26]: TDN (%) = 910.246 - 5.71588 × NDF (g/kg).

The Penn State Particle Size technique was used to verify the peNDF, according to Lammers et al. [27], which consists of using a set of overlapping sieves that start with the upper one with a diameter of 19.0 mm, followed by the others with diameters of 8.0 mm and 4.0 mm, and below this, a fourth tray with a closed bottom. The technique was performed by placing approximately 300 g of each forage (corn silage or cottonseed cake) in the upper tray (19.0 mm) and shaking it back and forth five times for each side of the tray. Subsequently, the samples were dried in a ventilation oven at 55 °C, after which the DM content of the two fiber sources was gathered. Subsequently, the DM value of the samples retained on the sieves was multiplied by the NDF content of the respective forages. To determine the peNDF, the concentrations saved on the 19.0 and 8.0 mm sieves were added and determined by the volume concentration in the total diet.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets *.

	Diet						
	Corn Silage	Cottonseed Cake					
Corn silage (g/kg DM)	200	-					
Cottonseed cake (g/kg DM)	-	230					
Ground corn $(g/kg DM)$	619	740					
Cottonseed meal (g/kg DM)	155	-					
Urea (g/kg DM)	6	10					
Supplement mineral (g/kg DM)	20	20					
Chemical composition (g/kg)							
DM	658	879					
OM	954	959					
СР	147	151					
EE	41	56					
NDF	277	267					
peNDF	97	94					
TDN	761	761					

DM: dry matter; OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; EE: ether extract; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; peNDF: physically effective neutral detergent fiber; TDN: total digestible nutrients. * Diets formulated according to BR-Corte (2016) for average gains of 1.5 kg/day according to Valadares Filho et al., [28] using corn silage or cottonseed cake as fiber source.

2.2. In Vitro Digestibility

The methodologies described by Tilley and Terry [29], modified as suggested by Holden [30] to the Ankom system (Ankom Technology Corp., Macedon, NY, USA), were used for in vitro digestibility evaluation. All bags used were washed with acetone, aiming to remove surfactants, which are capable of inhibiting microbial digestion. Then, samples of all treatments were weighed into polypropylene synthetic tissue filter bags (5 \times 5 cm in size with a 50 μ m pore size). Six bags containing 0.5 g of sample were incubated, three for the analysis of ash and organic matter and three for the analysis of dry matter and neutral detergent fiber, in jars fitted with Bunsen valves (30 bags per flask, 6 blank filter bags for contamination correction). Jars contained 1.6 L of buffer solution (solution A = (g/L), 10.0 g of KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g of MgSO₄7H₂O, 0.5 g of NaCl, 0.1 g of CaCl₂2H₂O, and 0.5 g of urea; solution B = (g/100 mL), 15.0 g Na₂CO₃, 1.0 g Na₂S9H₂O). The final solution had an A:B ratio of 5:1 and a pH of 6.8. Animals whose primary diet consisted solely of grass had their rumen fluid collected; the strained rumen fluid, totaling 400 mL, was transported at a regulated temperature and put into each flask, which were purged with CO_2 for 5 s and were incubated for 48 h at a constant temperature (39 °C) with continuous shaking. Subsequently, 40 mL of 6 N HCl and 8 g of pepsin were added to each flask. The

flasks were incubated for an additional 24 h, and at the end of 72 h, the bags were washed with distilled water and then oven-dried at 105 °C for 16 h. We used blanks to estimate a correction factor that adjusted for weight changes from the sample bags [31]. One unique incubator was used to keep all jars, with the temperature fixed at 39 °C. After the incubation time, the bags were dried and weighed. A correction for bacterial contamination using blank bags [31] was performed. The in vitro digestibility of DM (ivDMD), OM (ivDOMD), and NDF (ivDNDF) was calculated from differences between the amount of nutrients in the feed and that in the residue after incubation [13]. These analyses were carried out three times to obtain repetitions of the in vitro digestibility results.

2.3. In Vitro Gas Production Data

In vitro gas production for the ground diet was performed as suggested by Theodorou et al. [32] and was adapted for the Ankom RF Gas Production System (Ankom Technology, NY, USA). Initially, all vials were purged with CO_2 . Then, triplicate samples (0.5 g) per treatment were added to vials (310 mL), and 100 mL of buffer solution was preheated to 39 °C, aiming for a final pH of 6.8. The vials were kept under a controlled temperature (39 °C) and agitation. The pressure (psi) of each vial was recorded every 5 min for 48 h and processed for cumulative gas production (mL gas/100 mg DM incubated). The pressure data in terms of volume were converted for cumulative gas production and corrected for blanks [17]. Three running were carried out to obtain repetitions of the in vitro gas production results.

2.4. Models and Curve-Fitting

In vitro gas data were fitted into four mathematical models (Table 2). The equations of the Gompertz and Dual-pool Logistic sigmoidal models are described by Schofield et al. [19]. The exponential models, Brody and Ørskov, are described by Brody [33] and Ørskov and McDonald [34], respectively.

Table 2. Nonlinear models considered in this study to describe the in vitro gas production of diets containing corn silage or cottonseed cake as a fiber source.

Models	Equation	Parameters
Gompertz	$V(t) = V_F e^{(-b.e(-kt))}$	3
Brody	$V(t) = V_F (1 - b.e^{(-kt)})$	3
Ørskov and McDonald	$V(t) = V_F + b(1 - e^{(-kt)})$	3
Dual-pool Logistic	$V(t) = V_{1F} / (1 + e^{(2 - 4.k1(t - \lambda))}) + V_{2F} / (1 + e^{(2 - 4.k2(t - \lambda))})$	5

V(t) is the cumulative gas production (mL/100 mg of DM) in time t, V_F is the maximum potential of gas production (mL/100 mg of DM), V_{1F} is the final volume of gases derived from the degradation of the rapid digestion soluble fraction (fractions A+ B1 of the Cornell System) when $T \rightarrow \infty$, V_{2F} is the final volume of gases derived from the degradation of the slow digestion insoluble fraction (fraction B2 of the Cornell system) when $T \rightarrow \infty$, k represents the fractional rate of gas production (%/h), k_1 is the specific gas production rate due to the degradation of the soluble fraction (%/h), k_2 is the specific gas production rate due to the degradation of the insoluble fraction (%/h), k_1 is the lag time (h), b represents the interaction constant, and e is exponential.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The model parameters were estimated by the modified Gauss Newton method using the SAS NLIN procedure (SAS University Edition, SAS Institute Inc. Cary, CA, USA). The maximum number of interactions used was 100. The criteria for evaluating the adequacy of the equations were as follows: coefficient of determination (R^2); F test for the identity of the parameters ($\beta 0 = 0$ and $\beta 1 = 1$) of the regression predicted for observed data; concordance correlation coefficient (CCC); root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP); and decomposition of the mean square error of prediction (MSEP) into mean error, systematic bias, and random error [35], using Model Evaluation System software version 3.2.2. A significant level of 5% was adopted for all statistical analyses.

The total in vitro gas production and digestibility were analyzed by a one-way analysis of variance using the General Linear Models procedure of the SAS statistical package (SAS University Edition, Sas Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The means among the treatments

were compared by the Fisher's test at a probability of $\alpha = 0.05$ using the following statistical model: $Y_{ij} = \mu + D_i + e_{ij}$, where: $\mu =$ general mean; $D_i =$ diet effect i, with i ranging from 1 to 2 (1 = corn silage as a fiber source in the diet, and 2 = cottonseed cake as a fiber source in the diet); $e_{ij} =$ random error associated with each observation.

3. Results

3.1. In vitro Digestibility

The in vitro digestibility of DM, OM, and NDF presented significant differences between diets (p < 0.001). The corn silage diet showed greater DM and NDF digestibility and smaller OM digestibility. The in vitro digestibility of DM, OM, and NDF was different (p < 0.001) between the fiber sources isolated. Similar to the results from the diets, the corn silage showed greater DM and NDF digestibility and reduced OM digestibility (Table 3).

		Diets	CEN/	<i>p</i> -Value	
	Corn Silage	Cottonseed Cake	SEM		
ivDDM	958.0 a	935.4 b	9.95	0.0001	
ivDOM	875.2 b	883.2 a	3.20	0.0001	
ivDNDF	871.4 a	846.6 b	9.21	0.0001	
	Fib	er source			
	Corn silage	Cottonseed cake			
ivDDM	834.7	570.9	6.68	0.0001	
ivDOM	882.1	940.4	0.66	0.0001	
ivDNDF	765.2	538.5	3.68	0.0001	

Table 3. The in vitro digestibility (g/kg) of the diets and the fiber sources.

ivDDM = in vitro digestibility of dry matter; ivDNDF = in vitro digestibility of neutral detergent fiber; ivDOM = in vitro digestibility of organic matter. SEM: standard error of mean. a,b: Mean within a row with different letters differ significantly at p < 0.05.

3.2. Models and Curve-Fitting

The estimated values of the Gompertz, Brody, and Ørskov models were similar to the observed mean of the total gas production in the corn silage diet ($\beta 0 = 0$ and $\beta 1 = 1$). Similarly, the estimated values of the Brody and Ørskov models were similar to the observed mean of the total gas production in the cottonseed cake diet. These models showed similar results regarding the concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) and coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) and presented a smaller root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP) (Table 4).

The Gompertz, Brody, and Ørskov models were best able to predict the variability observed between the times of total gas production ($R^2 > 0.85$) for the assessment of the corn silage diet. In the assessment of the cottonseed cake diet, the adequacy of the models tested showed that only the Brody and Ørskov models could predict the variability detected between the times of total gas production ($R^2 > 0.99$), despite that the Gompertz and Dual-pool Logistic models presented high determination coefficients as well ($R^2 > 0.99$).

For the corn silage diet, the concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) analysis showed that the Brody and Ørskov models showed higher accuracy and precision, with similar behavior observed in the mean square error (RMSEP). The decomposition of the mean square error of prediction (MSEP) showed that, in the Brody and Ørskov models, the observed deviations can be attributed to the random error (100%), showing no mean or systematic deficiency of the model. In the Dual-pool Logistic model, 2.674% of the deviation was linked to systematic bias, demonstrating a multiplicative error in the predicted values and a higher concentration in the mean error (96.156%), showing the overprediction of digestion by the model for the cottonseed cake diet. The concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) analysis showed that the Brody and Ørskov models showed higher accuracy and precision, with similar behavior observed in the mean square error (RMSEP, 0.41). The

decomposition of the mean square error of prediction (MSEP) showed that, in the Brody and Ørskov models, the observed deviations can be attributed to the random error (99.657 and 99.992%, respectively), showing no mean or systematic deficiency of the model. In the Gompertz and Dual-pool Logistic models, 34.754 and 9.688% of the deviation were associated with systematic bias, respectively, demonstrating a multiplicative error in the predicted values and a higher concentration in the mean error, showing an over-prediction of the model (Table 4).

Table 4. Evaluation of the equations developed to describe the invitro gas production of diets containing corn silage or cottonseed cake as fiber source.

	Maaa	Mean SD Min		N	52	u Valua	000	DMCED	Decomposition of MSEP (%)		
	Mean		Iviax	R ²	<i>p</i> -value	uu	KMSEP	ME	SB	RE	
Corn silage diet											
Observed data	6.73	3.62	0.0	13.57	0						
Gompertz	6.77	2.96	0.86	10.13	0.84	0.7978	0.82	1.95	0.038	0.194	99.767
Brody	6.72	3.08	-0.43	10.42	0.85	0.9999	0.84	1.91	0.000	0.000	100.00
Ørskov and McDonald	6.73	3.08	-0.43	10.42	0.85	0.9999	0.84	1.91	0.000	0.000	100.00
Dual-pool Logistic	6.94	2.77	0.85	10.71	0.85	0.0220	0.82	1.92	1.170	2.674	96.156
Cottonseed cake diet											
Observed data	5.87	3.47	0.0	10.09							
Gompertz	5.59	4.09	0.19	12.72	0.95	0.0001	0.94	1.33	4.503	34.754	60.743
Brody	5.87	3.44	-1.37	10.54	0.99	0.9957	0.99	0.41	0.009	0.334	99.657
Ørskov and McDonald	5.89	3.44	-1.36	10.54	0.99	0.9963	0.99	0.41	0.007	0.001	99.992
Dual-pool Logistic	5.90	3.41	0.31	9.94	0.99	0.0015	0.99	0.16	3.063	9.688	87.249

SD = standard deviation; R^2 = coefficient of determination; *p*-value = probability value associated with the simultaneous F-test for the identity of parameters ($\beta 0 = 0$ and $\beta 1 = 1$) of the regression of observed vs. predicted data; CCC = concordance correlation coefficient; RMSEP = root mean square error of prediction; MSEP = mean square error of prediction. ME = mean error; SB = systematic bias; RE = random error.

3.3. In Vitro Gas Production Data

The modeling of total gas production was estimated by the models of the in vitro gas production of diets containing corn silage or cottonseed cake as a fiber source and showed differences in the fitted parameters of the models (Figure 1). The Gompertz model fitted the following models to the corn silage diet $Y_{corn silage} = 10.55 \times e^{(-2.50 \times e^{(0.17t)})}$ and to the cottonseed cake diet $Y_{cottonseed cake} = 18.09 \times e^{(-4.53.e^{(0.17t)})}$. The Brody model presented the adjustment as follows: $Y_{corn silage} = 12.05(1 - 1.04 \times e^{(0.08t)})$, and $Y_{cottonseed cake} = 14.72(1 - 1.09 \times e^{(0.06t)})$. The Ørskov and McDonald model was fitted to the corn silage diet $Y_{corn silage} = -0.43 + 12.48(1 - e^{(-0.08t)})$ and to the cottonseed cake diet $Y_{cottonseed cake} = -1.36 + 16.07(1 - e^{(-0.06t)})$. The Dual-pool Logistic model showed the following equations: $Y_{corn silage} = 4.04/(1 + e^{(2-4 \times 0.26(t-2.19))})$ and $Y_{cottonseed cake} = 3.18/(1 + e^{(2-4 \times 0.23(t-4.19))}) + 7.02/(1 + e^{(2-4 \times 7.02(t-4.19))})$. It should be noted that the parameters of the adjusted models were different from each other for the same diets and for the different models.

There were no significant differences in total gas production (mL of gas/100 mg DM) for the Brody (12.05), Ørskov (12.05), and Dual-pool Logistic (12.17) models in the corn silage diet. While, for the cottonseed cake diet, only the Brody (14.72) and Ørskov (14.71) models presented similarity in total gas production (Figure 2).



Figure 1. Modeling of total gas production estimated by the models of the in vitro gas production of diets containing corn silage or cotton cake as a fiber source. (**A**) Gompertz model: $Y_{corn silage} = 10.55 \times e^{(-2.50 \times e^{(0.17t)})}$; $Y_{cottonseed cake} = 18.09 \times e^{(-4.53 \times e^{(0.1t)})}$. (**B**) Brody model: $Y_{corn silage} = 12.05(1 - 1.04 \times e^{(0.08t)})$; $Y_{cottonseed cake} = 14.72(1 - 1.09 \times e^{(0.06t)})$. (**C**) Ørskov and McDonald model: $Y_{corn silage} = -0.43 + 12.48 \times (1 - e^{(-0.08t)})$; $Y_{cottonseed cake} = -1.36 + 16.07(1 - e^{(-0.06t)})$. (**D**) Dual-pool Logistic model: $Y_{corn silage} = 4.04/(1 + e^{(2-4 \times 0.26(t-2.19))}) + 8.16/(1 + e^{(2-4 \times 0.04(t-2.19))})$; $Y_{cottonseed cake} = 3.18/(1 + e^{(2-4 \times 0.23(t-4.19))}) + 7.02/(1 + e^{(2-4 \times 7.02(t-4.19))})$.



Figure 2. Total gas production estimated by the models of in vitro gas production of diets containing corn silage or cottonseed cake as a fiber source.

4. Discussion

4.1. In Vitro Digestibility

When used as the only source of fiber in diets lacking in roughage, cottonseed cake can replace the fiber from corn silage for cattle in feedlots, which favors the operational management of confinements and reduces production costs [9]. However, our study tested the effects of the replacement of cottonseed cake by corn silage in the diet of ruminants as a fiber source on the digestibility and kinetics of fermentation. We observed that fiber sources altered the in vitro digestibility, with greater results for corn silage. Despite maintaining the same NDF content of the diet, cottonseed fiber was less effective in maintaining rumination activity. Biologically, forages are fiber-rich foods that stimulate and require mastication, influencing the rate of passage and the biphasic nature of the rumen [36]. Negrão et al. [37] warned that the use of cottonseed cake in sheep diets needs attention since this ingredient can lead to linear reduction in nutrient voluntary intake and digestibility. Moreover, the inclusion of cottonseed cake can change the quantities of digestible and indigestible fractions in the diet.

Furthermore, the laboratory methods [4,27] are not standardized to measure particle size and estimate the effectiveness of fiber from agro-industry co-products, in addition to providing weak associations with rumen parameters in high-concentrate diets [6]. Diets can alter almost 50% of rumen flora bacteria species [38]. Thus, these variations observed in the choice of model in our results can be attributed to ruminal flora differences between diets. Negrão et al. [39] found that cottonseed cake in sheep diets replacing soybean meal did not significantly modify the blood parameters and led to slight variations in the ruminal parameters, mainly in the ruminal ammonia–nitrogen without affecting the sheep's health. They highlighted that further research is necessary to explain the effect of cottonseed cake on animal health in other categories.

Arcanjo et al. [8] observed lower in vitro digestibility of DM and NDF in the cottonseed cake fractions that passed through the 8 mm sieve in the Penn State Particle Size evaluation, in relation to the corn silage samples. In addition, the authors observed that cattle fed a diet with cottonseed cake had lower rumination time and bite rate. According to Zhou et al. [40], particles \leq 1.18 mm may have lower digestibility because they have a higher rumen passage rate, mainly affecting fiber digestibility due to the low microbial action.

4.2. Models and Curve-Fitting

Statistical techniques used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of the models are countless. However, no technique used in isolation is capable of adequately evaluating the performance of the models [35]. In our work, initially, the models were evaluated by the F test for the identity of the parameters ($\beta 0 = 0 \ e \ \beta 1 = 1$) of the regression of predicted observed data. Based on this test, the Dual-pool Logistic model ($\beta 0 \neq 0$ and $\beta 1 \neq 1$), independent of the fiber source, and the Gompertz model for the cottonseed cake diet estimated values different from the observed. The CCC and R² of the Brody and Ørskov models showed larger accuracy and precision to estimate the parameters of kinetic fermentation. Furthermore, most errors can be attributed to the aleatory component. Thus, Brody and Ørskov models would be more adequate to explain the kinetic fermentation of the diets containing distinguished fiber sources.

Velho et al. [22] recommended the Gompertz model to adjust the kinetics of in vitro gas production in corn silages. The Groot model had the best fit for estimates of the in vitro gas production data of corn silage [17]. These divergences regarding the different adjusted models are understandable, once the fitting depends on the intrinsic characteristics of the food under study [21]. Cottonseed cake has a greater lipid content and fermentation can be reduced with the increase in its inclusion, in addition to making it difficult for bacteria to adhere to food particles [41]. Thus, it is necessary to evaluate the appropriate model for each diet situation [42].

4.3. In Vitro Gas Production Data

The volume of gas production was affected by the fiber source; however, ruminal kinetics is a reflection of the microorganisms on the components of the diet, digestibility, and ruminal flora to start the colonization. The inclusion of cottonseed cake in the diet increases the fat content that reaches the rumen, and this element can impair fermentation, making it difficult for rumen bacteria, especially cellulolytic, to access the food particle. Wanderley et al. [43] observed a reduction in total gas production when cottonseed was included in dairy cows' diets. This fact may be related to the change in ruminal bacterial diversity, since the diet is able to stimulate specific groups of bacteria [38].

The lag time estimated by the Dual-pool Logistic model of the cottonseed cake diet presented 4.19 h, and the lag time of the corn silage diet was 2.19 h (Figure 1). The different fiber sources affected the colonization time, due to its chemical composition, such as the type of fiber that constitutes the material to be degraded by ruminal microorganisms, reaffirming that the ability to use cottonseed cake in the total diet should be carried out with caution [37,39]. Silva et al. [41] also observed a longer colonization time of ruminal bacteria, but with stabilization between diets with cottonseed cake. Despite that, Arcanjo et al. [9] evaluated the productive performance of Nellore steers finished in feedlots using 300 g/kg of cottonseed cake as the only dietary fiber source for final body weight, total weight gain, hot carcass weight, and carcass yield.

5. Conclusions

A forage-free diet containing cottonseed cake as a fiber source stimulated higher gas production.

Independent of the fiber source, in vitro fermentation kinetic parameters in ruminant forage-free diets were better adjusted by the models of Brody and Ørskov, which were more adequate and presented higher precision and accuracy.

Author Contributions: L.C.V.Í., A.L.C.G., C.C.B.F.Í., C.S.C., V.Z.L., G.d.S.D. and A.M.D. conceptualized and designed the study and original draft preparation. L.C.V.Í., A.L.C.G., J.C.S.S., and A.J.C.-C. carried out data analysis and discussion of results. L.C.V.Í., A.L.C.G., G.T.d.S., and A.J.C.-C. reviewed the manuscript. L.C.V.Í., A.L.C.G., J.C.S.S., A.H.M.A., M.V.G.N., and L.M.N. conducted experiments, laboratorial analysis, and collection of samples. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was funded by the Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico-CNPq, the Fundação de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento do Ensino, Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul-FUNDECT, and the Cordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior-Capes (Financing Code 001).

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted in strict accordance with the recommendations of the Guide for the National Council for the Control of Animal Experiments. The experimental protocol of research was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use of Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (Protocol N° 1.181/2021, approval date 5 August 2021). The study was reported in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines [23].

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data that support the study findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, the Fundação de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento do Ensino, Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul-FUNDECT, and the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior—CAPES.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Granja-Salcedo, Y.T.; Ribeiro Júnior, C.S.; Jesus, R.B.; Gomez-Insuasti, A.S.; Rivera, A.R.; Messana, J.D.; Canesin, R.C.; Berchielli, T.T. Effect of different levels of concentrate on ruminal microorganisms and rumen fermentation in Nellore steers. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 2016, 70, 17–32. [CrossRef]
- Martin, C.; Morgavi, D.P.; Doreau, M. Methane mitigation in ruminants: From microbe to the farm scale. *Animal* 2010, 4, 351–365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Mertens, D.R. Creating a system for meeting the fiber requirements of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 1997, 80, 1463–1481. [CrossRef]
- 4. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle: Eighth Revised Edition*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2016. [CrossRef]
- 5. García-Rodríguez, J.; Ranilla, M.J.; França, J.; Alaiz-Moretón, H.; Carro, M.D.; López, S. Chemical composition, *in vitro* digestibility and rumen fermentation kinetics of agro-industrial by-products. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 861. [CrossRef]
- Goulart, R.S.; Vieira, R.A.M.; Daniel, J.L.P.; Amaral, R.C.; Santos, V.P.; Toledo Filho, S.G.; Cabezas-Garcia, E.H.; Tedeschi, L.O.; Nussio, L.G. Effects of source and concentration of neutral detergent fiber from roughage in beef cattle diets: Comparison of methods to measure the effectiveness of fiber. J. Anim. Sci. 2020, 98, skaa108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goulart, R.S.; Vieira, R.A.M.; Daniel, J.L.P.; Amaral, R.C.; Santos, V.P.; Toledo Filho, S.G.; Cabezas-Garcia, E.H.; Tedeschi, L.O.; Nussio, L.G. Effects of source and concentration of neutral detergent fiber from roughage in beef cattle diets on feed intake, ingestive behavior, and ruminal kinetics. J. Anim. Sci. 2020, 98, skaa107. [CrossRef]
- Arcanjo, H.M.A.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Dias, A.M.; Difante, G.S.; Franco, G.L.; Longhini, V.Z.; Gomes, F.K.; Ali, O.; Santana, J.C.S.; et al. Effectiveness of cottonseed cake fibre included in the diet of Nellore steers finished in confinement. *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.* 2023, 66, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Arcanjo, H.M.A.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Franco, G.L.; Dias, A.M.; Difante, G.S.; Lima, E.A.; Santana, J.C.S.; Gurgel, A.L.C. Cotton cake as an economically viable alternative fibre source of forage in a high-concentrate diet for finishing beef cattle in feedlots. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 2022, 54, 112. [CrossRef]
- Park, J.H.; Kim, K.H.; Park, P.J.; Jeon, B.T.; Oh, M.R.; Jang, S.Y.; Sung, S.H.; Moon, S.H. Effects of physically effective neutral detergent fibre content on dry-matter intake, digestibility and chewing activity in beef cattle fed total mixed ration. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 2014, 55, 166–169. [CrossRef]
- Alhadas, H.M.; Valadares Filho, S.C.; Silva, F.F.; Silva, F.A.S.; Pucetti, P.; Pacheco, M.V.C.; Silva, B.C.; Tedeschi, L.O. Effects of including physically effective fiber from sugarcane in whole corn grain diets on the ingestive, digestive, and ruminal parameters of growing beef bulls. *Livest. Sci.* 2021, 248, 104508. [CrossRef]
- 12. da Mata, D.G.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Ferreira, J.D.J.; Paulino, P.V.R.; Moraes, G.J.; Niwa, M.V.G.; Kozerski, N.D.; Leal, E.S.; Costa, M.C.M. Ruminal responses, digestibility, and blood parameters of beef cattle fed diets without forage with different hybrids and processing of the corn. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2023**, *107*, 367–378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Olivo, P.M.; Santos, G.T.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Silva, R.C.D.; Leal, E.S.; Prado, R.M.D. Assessing the nutritional value of agroindustrial co-products and feed through chemical composition, in vitro digestibility, and gas production technique. *Acta Sci.-Anim. Sci.* 2017, *39*, 289–295. [CrossRef]
- Souza, A.D.V.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Favaro, S.P.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Petit, H.V.; Dias, A.M.; Morais, M.G.; Reis, F.A.; Roscoe, R. Thermal decomposition, chemical composition, in vitro digestibility and gas production and in situ degradability of oilseed residues from the biofuel industry. *Anim. Sci. J.* 2018, *89*, 79–87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leal, E.S.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Valle, C.B.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Dias, A.M.; Difante, G.; Ferreira, M.B.; Nonato, L.M.; Melo, G.K.A.; Gurgel, A.L.C. Influence of protodioscin content on digestibility and *in vitro* degradation kinetics in *Urochloa brizantha* cultivars. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 2020, 72, 278–284. [CrossRef]
- Santana, J.C.S.; Morais, J.A.S.; Difante, G.S.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Gurgel, A.L.C.; Oliveira, V.S.; Rodrigues, M.J.S.T. In vitro digestion characteristics of various combinations of elephant grass hay, gliricidia hay or silage, soybean meal and corn meal in rations for sheep. *Trop. Grassl.-Forrajes Trop.* 2020, *8*, 147–152. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zornitta, C.S.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Santos, G.T.; Dias, A.M.; Difante, G.S.; Gurgel, A.L.C. Kinetics of in vitro gas production and fitting mathematical models of corn silage. *Fermentation* **2021**, *7*, 298. [CrossRef]
- dos Santos, A.L.P.; Moreira, G.R.; Gomes-Silva, F.; Brito, C.C.R.; da Costa, M.L.L.; Pereira, L.G.R.; Mauricio, R.M.; Azevêdo, J.A.G.; Pereira, J.M.; Ferreira, A.L.; et al. Generation of models from existing models composition: An application to agrarian sciences. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0214778. [CrossRef]
- 19. Schofield, P.; Pitt, R.E.; Pell, A.N. Kinetics of fiber digestion from *in vitro* gas production. *J. Anim. Sci.* **1994**, 72, 2980–2991. [CrossRef]
- Díaz, T.G.; Branco, A.F.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Santos, G.T.; Carvalho, S.T.; Teodoro, A.L.; Oliveira, R.L. In vitro gas production kinetics and digestibility in ruminant diets with different levels of cashew nut shell liquid. *Semin. Cienc. Agrar.* 2018, 39, 1669–1682. [CrossRef]
- Gurgel, A.L.C.; Morais, J.A.S.; Santana, J.C.S.; Difante, G.S.; Emerenciano Neto, J.V.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Oliveira, V.S.; Rodrigues, M.J.S.T. Mathematical models to adjust the parameters of in vitro cumulative gas production of diets containing preserved Gliricidia. *Cienc. Rural* 2021, *51*, 993. [CrossRef]

- Velho, J.P.; Mühlbach, P.R.F.; Genro, T.C.M.; Barcellos, J.O.J.; Braccini Neto, J.; Silva, R.S.M. Mathematical models for adjustment of in vitro gas production at different incubation times and kinetics of corn silages. *Semin. Cienc. Agrar.* 2014, 35, 2531–2539. [CrossRef]
- 23. Sert, N.P.; Hurst, V.; Ahluwalia, A.; Alam, S.; Avey, M.T.; Baker, M.; Browne, W.J.; Clark, A.; Cuthill, I.C.; Dirnagl, U.; et al. The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research. *PLoS Biol.* **2020**, *18*, e3000410. [CrossRef]
- 24. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International; AOAC International: Rockville, MD, USA, 2005.
- 25. Van Soest, P.J.; Robertson, J.B.; Lewis, B.A. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.* **1991**, *10*, 3583–3597. [CrossRef]
- Cappelle, E.R.; Valadares Filho, S.C.; Silva, J.F.C.; Cecon, P.R. Estimativas do valor energético a partir de características químicas e bromatológicas dos alimentos. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* 2001, *30*, 1837–1856. [CrossRef]
- 27. Lammers, B.P.; Buckmaster, D.R.; Heinrichs, A.J. A simple method for the analysis of particle sizes of forage and total mixed rations. *J. Dairy Sci.* **1996**, *79*, 922–928. [CrossRef]
- Valadares Filho, S.C.; Costa e Silva, L.F.; Gionbelli, M.P.; Rotta, P.P.; Marcondes, M.I.; Chizzotti, M.L.; Prados, L.F. Exigências Nutricionais de Zebuínos Puros e Cruzados BR-CORTE, 3rd ed.; UFV, DZO: Viçosa, Brazil, 2016; 327p.
- 29. Tilley, J.M.A.; Terry, D.R. A two-stage technique for the invitro digestion of forage crops. *Grass Forage Sci.* **1963**, *18*, 104–111. [CrossRef]
- 30. Holden, L.A. Comparison of methods of in vitro matter digestibility for ten feeds. J. Dairy Sci. 1999, 2, 1791–1794. [CrossRef]
- Ítavo, L.C.V.; Soares, C.M.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Dias, A.M.; Petit, H.V.; Leal, E.S.; Souza, A.D.V. Calorimetry, chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of oilseeds. *Food Chem.* 2015, 185, 219–225. [CrossRef]
- 32. Theodorou, M.K.; Williams, B.A.; Dhanoa, M.S.; McAllan, A.B.; France, J. A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *48*, 185–197. [CrossRef]
- 33. Brody, S. *Bioenergetics and Growth, with Special Reference to the Efficiency Complex in Domestic Animals;* Reinhold Publishing Corporation: New York, NY, USA, 1945.
- 34. Ørskov, E.; McDonald, I. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. *J. Agric. Sci.* **1979**, *92*, 499–503. [CrossRef]
- 35. Tedeschi, L.O. Assessment of the adequacy of mathematical models. Agric. Syst. 2006, 89, 225–247. [CrossRef]
- 36. Mertens, D.R. Gravimetric determination of amylase-treated neutral detergent fiber in feeds with refluxing in beakers or crucibles: Collaborative study. J. AOAC Int. 2002, 85, 1217–1240. [PubMed]
- Negrão, F.M.; Zanine, A.M.; Ribeiro, M.D.; Ferreira, D.J.; Souza, A.L.; Parente, M.O.M.; Parente, H.N.; Geron, L.J.V.; Lima, A.G.V.O.; Reis, R.H.P.; et al. By-product of cotton agribusiness as an alternative protein source for rams. *Agriculture* 2020, 10, 280. [CrossRef]
- Melo, H.S.A.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Castro, A.P.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Caldas, R.A.; Mateus, R.G.; Niwa, M.V.G.; Moraes, G.J.; Zornitta, C.S.; Gurgel, A.L.C.; et al. Bacterial species in the ruminal content of steers fed oilseeds in the diet. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 2022, 54, 396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Negrão, F.M.; Zanine, A.M.; Ribeiro, M.D.; Ferreira, D.J.; Souza, A.L.; Parente, M.O.M.; Parente, H.N.; Reis, R.H.P.; Lins, T.O.J.D.; Lima, A.G.V.O. Rumen fermentation and metabolic profile of rams fed with diets amended cottonseed cake. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 2021, 53, 548. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, J.; Xue, B.; Hu, A.; Yue, S.; Wu, M.; Hong, Q.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Wang, L.; Peng, Q.; et al. Effect of dietary peNDF levels on digestibility and rumen fermentation, and microbial community in growing goats. *Front. Microbiol.* 2022, 13, 598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Silva, L.X.; Goes, R.H.T.B.; Carneiro, M.Y.; Carneiro, M.Y.; Burin, P.; Oliveira, E.; Souza, K.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Branco, A.F.; Oliveira, R.L. Total gas production and in vitro degradability of diets with sunflower crushed. *Arch. Zootec.* **2015**, *64*, 365–371. [CrossRef]
- 42. Cabral, İ.S.; Azevêdo, J.A.G.; Pina, D.S.; Pereira, L.G.R.; Fernandes, H.J.; Almeida, F.M.; Souza, L.L.; Lima, R.F.; Cirne, L.G.A. Evaluation of models utilized in in vitro gas production from tropical feedstuffs. *Semin. Cienc. Agrar.* **2019**, *40*, 443–456. [CrossRef]
- 43. Wanderley, A.M.; Ítavo, L.C.V.; Santos, G.T.; Ítavo, C.C.B.F.; Cunha, C.S.; Difante, G.S.; Dias, A.M.; Mateus, R.G.; Oliveira, M.V.M. Ruminal degradation kinetics of diets with different lipid sources and its influence on intake and milk yield of early lactation crossbred Holstein × Gir cows. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 2021, 53, 516. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.