#### **Supplementary material**

#### 1.1 Enzyme production

## AAO of Pleurotus ostreatus

(30-L stirred tank)

- Medium: 10.0 g glucose (Merck); 1.0 g Na acetate (Merck); 2.0 g yeast extract (Merck); 5.0 g peptone (from soybeans, Merck); 2.0 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (Merck); 0.5 g MgSO<sub>4</sub> x 7 H<sub>2</sub>O (Merck); 0.1 g CaCl<sub>2</sub> (Merck); 0.01 g FeSO<sub>4</sub> x 7 H<sub>2</sub>O (Merck); pH 5.2
- Aryl alcohol oxidase (AAO, E.C. 1.1.3.7): oxidation of veratryl alcohol to veratraldehyde at pH 5.0 (Kirk et al., 1986); 310 nm (ε = 9,300 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); 50 mM Na citrate (pH 5.0 or 5.5); 5 mM veratryl alcohol



Fig S1: Time course of AAO production by *Bjerkandera adusta* in a stirred-tank bioreactor (with 8 L culture medium); the arrow indicates supplementation with veratryl alcohol to stimulate AAO production. Purple curve - dry mass; black curve – pH; orange curve - *Post*AAO activity



Fig. S2: Time course of AAO production by *Pleurotus ostreatus* in a 30-L stirred tank reactor (20 L medium). Purple curve - dry mass; green curve – glucose concentration; black curve – pH; orange curve - *Post*AAO activity; brown curve – Laccase Activity

## 1.2 Enzyme purification

### Ion-exchange chromatography:

Sample preparation: dilution with 10 mM Na acetate pH 6.0 (resulting in a pH around 7) Column: Q-sepharose<sup>®</sup>, 26 mm x 200 mm, GE Healthcare

Loading buffer: 10 mM Na acetate pH 6.0

Elution buffer: 10 mM Na acetate pH 5.7 + 2 M NaCl

Flow: 13 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, loading with sample pump; washing with 1.5 CV; 50% B within 10 CV; fraction size 7 mL; around 2,700 U *Post*AAO were applied per run  $\rightarrow$  recovery 2,800 U



Fig. S3: Elution profile of *Post*AAO; anion exchange chromatography occurred on a Q-sepharose<sup>®</sup> column (26/200) after loading 2,700 U *Post*AAO.

Sample preparation: dilution & purging with 10 mM Na acetate (pH 6) using viva spins: 10 kDa cut-off Column: **Mono Q**<sup>®</sup>, 10 mm x 100 mm, GE Healthcare

Loading buffer: 10 mM Na acetate pH 5.25

Elution buffer: 10 mM Na acetate pH 5.7 + 2 M NaCl

Flow: 6 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, loading with sample pump; washing with 2.0 CV; 30% B within 15 CV; fraction size 2 mL; around 2,800 U *Post*AAO were applied per run  $\rightarrow$  recovery 2,600 U





Only traces of *Post*AAO bound, probably due to residual salts, but the purification step was nevertheless successful (compare purification table S1). *Post*Lac: ABTS oxidizing fractions were pooled (B4-B6), color: bluegreen; "unbound fraction" was concentrated with viva spins: 10 kDa cut-off.

## Size exclusion chromatography:

Sample preparation: dilution with SEC buffer and concentration with viva spins (10 kDa cut-off) Column: **Sephadex**<sup>®</sup>**75**; 26 mm x 600 mm, GE Healthcare Buffer: 50 Na acetate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 6.8

Flow: 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, loading with a sample loop; fraction size 2 mL

around 2,500 U PostAAO were applied in two runs → recovery 2,000 U



Fig. S5: Elution profile (size exclusion chromatography = SEC) on a Sephadex<sup>®</sup>75 (26/600) column after loading 1,250 U *Post*AAO.

# Ion-exchange chromatography:

Sample preparation: dilution & purging with 10 mM Na acetate (pH 6.0) with viva spins (10 kDa cut-off) Column:  $MonoQ^{\text{®}}$ , 5 mm x 5 mm, GE Healthcare

Loading buffer: 10 Na acetate, pH 6.0

Elution buffer: 10 Na acetate pH 6.0 + 1 M NaCl

Flow: 2 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, loading with sample pump; washing with 2.0 CV; 30% B within 35 CV; fraction size 0.8 mL; around 2,500 U *Post*AAO were applied in four runs  $\rightarrow$  recovery 1,600 U





# Protein purification results:

Protein content: Bradford Assay with Roti<sup>®</sup>-Nanoquant, Carl Roth; 200 µL Roti<sup>®</sup>-Nanoquant + 50 µL sample in 96-well plates

Activity of aryl alcohol oxidase (AAO, E.C. 1.1.3.7): oxidation of veratryl alcohol to veratraldehyde at pH 5,5; 310 nm ( $\epsilon$  = 9300 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); 50 mM Na citrate; 5 mM veratryl alcohol

Purification step	Total activity [U]	Total protein [mg]	Specific activity [U mg <sup>-1</sup> ]	Purifi- cation (-fold)	Yield (%)	Volume activity (U mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Protein conc. (mg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Volume [mL]
Ultrafiltrate	2,710	615	4,4	1	(100)	3.3	0.75	820
Q-sepharose <sup>®</sup>	2,849	245.2	11.6	3	100	142.5	12.26	20
MonoQ <sup>®</sup> (10/100)	2,584	138.27	18.7	4	95	172.2	9.22	15
Sephadex <sup>®</sup> 75	1,785	47.92	37.2	8	66	223.1	5.99	8
MonoQ <sup>®</sup> (5/50)	1,662	20.63	80.6	18	61	302.3	3.75	5.5

Tab. S1: Purification of AAO from Pleurotus ostreatus

Ultra- filtrate	Q-sepha- rose	MonoQ (10/100)	Marker	Sephadex 75	MonoQ (5/50)	AAO P. eryngii	Marker
							116.0
				-	-		66.2
			_				45.0
			_				35.0
			_				25.0
			_				18.4
							14.4

**Fig. S7:** SDS-PAGE of the different purification steps of AAO from *Pleurotus ostreatus* and purified AAO from *Pleurotus eryngii*; marker: Unstained Protein Molecular Weight Marker, Thermo Scientific; NuPAGE<sup>®</sup> Novex<sup>®</sup> Bis-Tris Mini-Gels 12%, Invitrogen; Conditions: 45 min, 200 V, 120 mA; staining with Novex<sup>®</sup> Colloidal Blue Stain Kit, Invitrogen

# 2.1 Analytical method



Fig. S 8: HPLC elution profile of a mixture containing HMF (green), DFF (orange), FFCA (blue) and FDCA (red); the inset displays the corresponding UV-spectra.

## 3.1 pH optimum of DFF oxidation



**Fig. S9:** pH dependencies of *Pery*AAO (A) and *Post*AAO (B) for DFF conversion. Violet curve – DFF concentration; red curve - FFCA concentration



**Fig. S10:** pH dependency of DFF conversion catalyzed by GAO. Blue curve – relative concentration of DFF with  $H_2O_2$ ; red curve – relative concentration of DFF without  $H_2O_2$ 



Fig. S11: pH dependent DFF oxidation catalyzed by AaeUPO. Black curve - DFF concentration

3.2 Calculation of apparent kinetic constants



**Fig. S12:** Michaelis-Menten plots and their Lineweaver-Burk derivatives for the formation of DFF (from HMF) by *Pery*AAO (A), *Post*AAO (B) and GAO (C)

### Setup:

**pH:** 2 mM HMF, 0.6 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> GAO, KP<sub>i</sub> 30 mM, 1  $\mu$ L NOVOZYMES Catalase (left), shaking for 2 h in 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes

MM-Kin.: at pH 6.0, 4 µM GAO, stopped with Na azide (1 mM)

pH: 2 mM HMF, 60 µg mg mL AAO, KPi 30 mM, 1 µL , shaking for 2 h in 1.5-mL Eppendorf-tubes

MM-Kin.: at pH 6.0, 2 µM AAO, stopped with Na azide (1 mM)



**Fig. S13:** Michaelis-Menten plots and their Lineweaver-Burk derivatives for the formation of DFF (A) and FFCA (B) by *Aae*UPO.

Setup MM-Kin.: at pH 6.0, 0.111 µM AaeUPO, stopped with Na azide (1 mM)



#### 3.3 AAO-dependent FFCA-oxidation with regard to varying H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration

**Fig. S14:** FDCA formation catalyzed by *Badu*AAO (red), *Post*AAO (blue) and *Pery*AAO (green) with regard to different concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  supplied (left) and relative FDCA production of *Badu*AAO (red), *Pos*AAO (blue) and *Pery*AAO (green) (right).

Tab. S2: FDCA formation catalyzed by selected AAOs with regard to pH

	FDCA [mM]					
	рН 6	pH 7	pH 7.5			
PeryAAO	0.008±0.00	1.706±0.21	0.903±0.09			
PostAAO	0.004±0.00	0.012±0.00	0.004±0.00			
BaduAAO	0.067±0.01	0.605±0.11	1.583±0.23			