## Supplemental data

## Appendix A. Teams and companies involved in the competition

- •Designteam AIM (Helsingborg) and Onix Sweden AB (Helsingborg) with advisors/consultants Noema Culture & Place Mapping (London), Atkins (Malmö) and Farawaysoclose/Apokalyps Labotek (Malmö)
- Bjarke Ingels Group (Copenhagen), Spacescape (Stockholm), Testbedstudio (Stockholm), Topotek 1& Man Made Land (Berlin) and Resource Vision (Stockholm)
- BSK Arkitekter AB (Stockholm), MVRDV (Rotterdam) and Grontmij in Sweden AB (Stockholm)
- COBE Aps (Copenhagen) with advisors Kragh & Berglund (Copenhagen and Stockholm), Moe & Brödsgård (Rödovre), Yngve Andrén Konsult AB (Stockholm) and Boris Broman Jensen (Århus)
- Ecosistema Urbana (Madrid), Arkitekt Kristine Jensens Tegnestue (Århus), 700N arkitektur AS (Tromsö), Ljusarkitektur (Stockholm) and Atkins (Stockholm)
- KCAP Architect & Planners (Rotterdam) and CaseStudio (Göteborg)
- Norconsult Byplan (Sandvika), Norconsult landskapsarkitekt (Sandvika), Fantastic Norway (Oslo) and 0047 International AS (Oslo)
- Tham och Videgård Arkitekter (Stockholm), Territorial Agency (London) and a\_zero environmental architects (London)
- Tovatt Architects & Planners (Stockholm), Atelier Dreiseitl (Üeberlingen), Urban Think Tank Architects LLC (Zürich) and Wenanders (Stockholm)
- White arkitekter AB (Stockholm), Ghilardi + Hellsten (Oslo), Spacescape (Stockholm), Vectura Consulting AB (Solna) and Evidens BLW AB (Stockholm)

## Appendix B. Questions asked in the questionnaire used in this study

Please select the one answer that best applies. 1 In which country do you primarily work? Denmark Ireland Norway Switzerland П Spain Sweden The Netherlands United Kingdom *Other (please specify)* 2 Are you male or female? Male П Female 3 When were you born? A list of years (1930–1990) 4 What is your main profession? Architect Designer П Engineer Landscape architect Politician Urban planner Other (please specify) \_\_\_ 5 What formal education do you have? High school graduate or less University 1 year University 2 years University 3 years University 4 years University 5 years or more PhD6 What role did you have in the Kiruna project? Competitor Jury member Jury advisor If the answer to question 6 is competitor, please answer questions 7, 8 and 9: 7 As a competitor, what was your main responsibility? Administration Design Business executive or CEO Leading the group Production of drawings/blue prints □

Production of illustrations

Other (please specify)  $\_\_$ 

Production of text

1–4 employees						
5–9 employees						
10–29 employees						
30–49 employees						
50–100 employees						
101–200 employees						
201 or more employees						
9 How many people wer	e involved in your com	petition tear	n in the	Kiruna con	petition?	•
1–4 people		_			_	
5–9 people						
10–25 people						
30–49 people						
50 or more people						
My possibility to influen		omity to min	ichee th	c imai comp	cuuon pi	орозат.
10 How would you judge My possibility to influen		omity to min	ichee th	c imai comp	cuuon pi	oposai.
Very small Small	Moderate Large	Very large	,			
·	v	, ,				
•	v	, ,		e following e	nvironme	ental aspec
11 How would you judge	e your individual possik	, ,		e following e	environme	ental aspec
•	e your individual possik	, ,	ence the			_
11 How would you judge of the final competition	e your individual possil proposal?	oility to influ	ence the			_
11 How would you judge of the final competition • Emissions from electricity	e your individual possil proposal? ity and heat production	oility to influ	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge	e your individual possil proposal? ity and heat production rt	oility to influ Very small -	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition • Emissions from electricate the Emissions from transpose.	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt rt	oility to influ	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electrical  • Emissions from transpose  • Energy efficient infrastr  • Energy efficient building	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt ructure gs	very small	ence the	- Moderate	- <i>Large</i>	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricate in transport transport transport in transpor	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  ructure gs oped land	very small	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricities  • Emissions from transpote  • Energy efficient infrastr  • Energy efficient building  • Protection of non-development	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  ructure gs oped land ora and fauna	very small -	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricities  • Emissions from transposition transposition from transposition transposition energy efficient infrastration energy efficient building the protection of non-development of existing flow from the protection of existing flow flow from the protection of existing flow from the protection of the protection o	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  cucture gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services	very small -	sence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricate in Emissions from transport in Energy efficient infrastreight in Energy efficient building in Protection of non-development in Protection of existing flow in Protection of existing experience in Protection of Emission in Protection in	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  cucture gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services er run-off	very small -	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricate  • Emissions from transpose  • Energy efficient infrastr  • Energy efficient building  • Protection of non-develope  • Protection of existing floe  • Protection of existing economics  • Reduction of storm wate  • Reduction of cold and we	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  ructure gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services er run-off vindy microclimate	very small -	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricate  • Emissions from transpose  • Energy efficient infrastr  • Energy efficient building  • Protection of non-develed  • Protection of existing flee  • Protection of existing ecces  • Reduction of storm wate  • Reduction of cold and we  • Good daylight condition	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  ructure gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services er run-off vindy microclimate	very small -	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricate  • Emissions from transpose  • Energy efficient infrastr  • Energy efficient building  • Protection of non-develet  • Protection of existing flet  • Protection of existing extended to the protection of the existing extended to the existing ext	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  nucture gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services er run-off vindy microclimate as on activity pollution	oility to influ	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition  • Emissions from electricate  • Emissions from transpose  • Energy efficient infrastre  • Energy efficient building  • Protection of non-development  • Protection of existing flow  • Protection of existing experiments  • Reduction of storm wate  • Reduction of cold and we  • Good daylight condition  • Reduction of construction  • Decreased use of potable	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  nucture gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services er run-off vindy microclimate as on activity pollution le water	oility to influ	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition of the final competitio	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  ucture gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services er run-off vindy microclimate as on activity pollution le water reatment	oility to influ	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition of the final competitio	e your individual possil proposal?  ity and heat production rt  cucture gs oped land ora and fauna cosystem services er run-off prindy microclimate as on activity pollution le water reatment al impact from materials	oility to influ	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar
11 How would you judge of the final competition of the final competitio	e your individual possile proposal?  ity and heat production of the product of the production of the p	very small -	ence the	- Moderate	- Large	- Very lar

12 How much responsibility for the project's total	environmental impact,	today and in the	future, do
you think the following actors have?			

	Very small	Small	Medium	Large	Very large
• Kiruna inhabitants					
• Kiruna politicians					
<ul> <li>Local representatives at municipality level</li> </ul>					
• Regional authorities					
<ul> <li>State government authorities</li> </ul>					
• You, as a professional					
• The winning competition team					
• The other competition teams					
• Jury members					
• The jury reference group					
<ul> <li>People performing the detailed planning</li> </ul>					
of the project					
• People working with implementing the project					
• People working with the financing of the project	$ct$ $\Box$				
<ul> <li>Contractors and entrepreneurs</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Construction workers</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Companies producing building products</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Non-governmental organizations</li> </ul>					
• The company LKAB					
• Other – please specify					

13 When working with urban planning projects like the Kiruna project, in your opinion, how large is your personal responsibility regarding the following negative environmental impacts that the project might affect?

My responsibility is:

	Very large	- Larg	e - Medium -	Small	-Very small
• Emissions from electricity and heat production					
• Emissions from transport					
• Emissions from infrastructure energy use					
• Emissions from buildings energy use					
<ul> <li>Use of non-developed land</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Destruction of existing flora and fauna</li> </ul>					
• Destruction of existing ecosystem services					
<ul> <li>Polluted storm water run-off</li> </ul>					
• Cold and windy microclimate					
• Lack of daylight					
<ul> <li>Construction activity pollution</li> </ul>					
• Waste from construction work					
<ul> <li>Depletion of potable water resources</li> </ul>					
• Impact from wastewater					
<ul> <li>Environmental impact from materials</li> </ul>					
• Use of toxic substances					
• Waste from inhabitants					

## 14 Kiruna wants to become a sustainable model city. How important do you think the following environmental impacts are in the Kiruna city center project?

	Extremely	- Very -	Moderately	- Slightly	- Not at all
	important	important	important	important	important
• Emissions from electricity and heat production	n				
• Emissions from transport					
• Emissions from infrastructure energy use					
<ul> <li>Emissions from buildings energy use</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Use of non-developed land</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Destruction of existing flora and fauna</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Destruction of existing ecosystem services</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Polluted storm water run-off</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Cold and windy microclimate</li> </ul>					
• Lack of daylight					
<ul> <li>Construction activity pollution</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Waste from construction work</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Depletion of potable water resources</li> </ul>					
• Impact from wastewater					
<ul> <li>Environmental impact from materials</li> </ul>					
• Use of toxic substances					
• Waste from inhabitants					

Note: Questions 15–25 in the original survey are purposely omitted here. Those questions probed factors relating to the drivers for environmental design, ecological world views and use of environmental assessment tools for buildings and neighborhoods. As this paper does not explore this territory, these questions are omitted from this appendix.