Inquiry on perceptions and practices of built environment professionals regarding regenerative and circular approaches

Henrique Sala Benites, Paul Osmond and Deo Prasad

Supplementary file 3 | Survey results

Q2.1 - How well do you know each of these concepts?

#	Question	Never heard of it	I've heard of it but don't understand it very well	Very well	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
1	Urban Metabolism	35.62%	41.78%	22.60%	1	3	2.8	0.42	0.17
2	Circular Economy or Circularity	6.85%	34.25%	58.90%	1	3	1.6	0.67	0.45
3	Urban mining	50.68%	39.04%	10.27%	1	3	1.87	0.75	0.57
4	Building as material banks	41.78%	39.04%	19.18%	1	3	2.45	0.63	0.4
5	Regenerative Development	7.53%	39.73%	52.74%	1	3	2.38	0.67	0.46
6	Citizen science	40.41%	39.73%	19.86%	1	3	1.79	0.75	0.56
7	Urban quality of life/liveability	0.68%	18.49%	80.82%	1	3	2.52	0.62	0.39
8	Ecosystem Services	10.96%	39.73%	49.32%	1	3	1.77	0.75	0.56
9	Biomimicry/Biomimetics	17.12%	33.56%	49.32%	1	3	2.28	0.78	0.61
10	Biophilic Design	20.55%	30.82%	48.63%	1	3	2.32	0.75	0.56

Q3.2 - How important are these items to make a regenerative and circular neighbourhood?

#	Question	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
1	Accessibility for disabled people	0.00%	0.69%	4.83%	30.34%	64.14%	2	5	4.58	0.62	0.38
2	Designated pedestrian crossings	0.00%	1.38%	8.28%	37.24%	53.10%	2	5	4.42	0.7	0.49
3	Wayfinding/Signs for pedestrians	0.00%	2.07%	13.79%	37.93%	46.21%	2	5	4.28	0.78	0.6
4	Honouring traditional/indigenous cultures/practices	0.69%	4.83%	20.69%	31.72%	42.07%	1	5	4.1	0.93	0.87
5	Street trees	0.00%	0.00%	4.83%	23.45%	71.72%	3	5	4.67	0.56	0.32
6	Accessible/nearby lakes and rivers	0.00%	6.90%	24.14%	33.10%	35.86%	2	5	3.98	0.94	0.88
7	Other nearby green spaces, as parks	0.00%	0.00%	5.52%	28.28%	66.21%	3	5	4.61	0.59	0.35
8	Presence of fauna/animals in public spaces	2.07%	10.34%	26.21%	38.62%	22.76%	1	5	3.7	1	1
9	Landscape integrated drainage	0.00%	2.07%	8.97%	32.41%	56.55%	2	5	4.43	0.74	0.55
10	Vegetated roofs and walls	1.38%	7.59%	33.10%	33.79%	24.14%	1	5	3.72	0.96	0.92
11	Areas for exercise and play	0.00%	1.38%	8.28%	40.69%	49.66%	2	5	4.39	0.7	0.49
12	Diversity of shops and basic services on walking distance	0.69%	1.38%	7.59%	40.00%	50.34%	1	5	4.38	0.74	0.55
13	Mix of low, medium and high-rise buildings	4.83%	13.10%	26.90%	30.34%	24.83%	1	5	3.57	1.14	1.29
14	Outdoor areas protected from weather (e.g. canopies)	0.00%	6.90%	19.31%	45.52%	28.28%	2	5	3.95	0.87	0.75
15	Public seating areas	0.00%	0.00%	11.72%	49.66%	38.62%	3	5	4.27	0.66	0.43
16	Visually permeable urban spaces	0.69%	2.07%	13.79%	48.97%	34.48%	1	5	4.14	0.78	0.61
17	Public lighting at night	0.00%	1.38%	11.72%	33.79%	53.10%	2	5	4.39	0.74	0.55
18	Residential ground level privacy	4.14%	7.59%	33.79%	29.66%	24.83%	1	5	3.63	1.06	1.13
19	Community participation in governance	0.69%	2.07%	11.72%	33.79%	51.72%	1	5	4.34	0.82	0.67
20	Diverse socio-economic background of residents	1.38%	3.45%	18.62%	48.97%	27.59%	1	5	3.98	0.85	0.72
21	Car and bike sharing program	0.00%	8.28%	20.69%	37.93%	33.10%	2	5	3.96	0.93	0.87
22	Free internet in public areas	2.07%	13.10%	31.72%	33.79%	19.31%	1	5	3.55	1.01	1.02
23	Panels and sensors showing air quality, temperature, and noise levels	5.52%	15.86%	33.10%	26.90%	18.62%	1	5	3.37	1.12	1.25
24	Cycle paths	0.00%	0.69%	7.59%	35.86%	55.86%	2	5	4.47	0.66	0.44
25	Public transportation availability	0.69%	0.00%	1.38%	20.69%	77.24%	1	5	4.74	0.55	0.3
26	Reduced public parking spots	5.52%	8.28%	31.72%	31.72%	22.76%	1	5	3.58	1.09	1.2

27	Shelter and seating in transit stops	0.00%	0.69%	12.41%	38.62%	48.28%	2	5	4.34	0.72	0.52
28	Transit stops with real-time information	0.00%	4.83%	15.86%	42.07%	37.24%	2	5	4.12	0.84	0.71
29	Building and open spaces prepared for climate change	0.69%	2.76%	8.28%	31.72%	56.55%	1	5	4.41	0.81	0.66
30	Communal composting and food garden	0.69%	4.14%	25.52%	38.62%	31.03%	1	5	3.95	0.89	0.79
31	Visual attractiveness	0.00%	3.45%	21.38%	42.07%	33.10%	2	5	4.05	0.82	0.68
32	Certification of buildings and communities	4.14%	17.93%	26.21%	31.72%	20.00%	1	5	3.46	1.12	1.25
33	Smart city solutions	4.14%	7.59%	17.24%	32.41%	38.62%	1	5	3.94	1.11	1.23

Q3.3 - How important are these strategies for a circular and regenerative use and management of RESOURCES (materials, energy, water)?

#	Question	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
1	Reconvert degraded sites	0.00%	2.07%	7.59%	38.62%	51.72%	17	20	19.4	0.72	0.52
2	Adapting/Retrofitting old buildings	0.00%	0.69%	8.28%	33.10%	57.93%	17	20	19.48	0.68	0.46
3	Increase urban density	2.76%	8.97%	33.10%	33.79%	21.38%	16	20	18.62	1	1.01
4	Multifunctional landscape for water management	0.69%	0.69%	12.41%	43.45%	42.76%	16	20	19.27	0.75	0.57
5	Permeable pavements	0.00%	2.76%	11.03%	44.14%	42.07%	17	20	19.26	0.76	0.58
6	Design for deconstruction/disassembly	0.69%	3.45%	17.24%	36.55%	42.07%	16	20	19.16	0.88	0.77
7	Design for flexible use and adaptation	0.00%	2.07%	11.03%	35.17%	51.72%	17	20	19.37	0.76	0.58
8	Technical solutions inspired by nature	0.69%	4.83%	16.55%	39.31%	38.62%	16	20	19.1	0.89	0.8
9	Digital marketplaces for salvaged materials	1.38%	6.21%	22.76%	40.00%	29.66%	16	20	18.9	0.94	0.89
10	Sharing platforms for tools and things	0.69%	4.83%	28.28%	35.17%	31.03%	16	20	18.91	0.92	0.84
11	Building Management Systems (BMS)	0.69%	5.52%	20.00%	43.45%	30.34%	16	20	18.97	0.89	0.79
12	3D printing of components and buildings	8.97%	18.62%	36.55%	26.90%	8.97%	16	20	18.08	1.08	1.17
13	Bio-based materials (timber, bamboo...)	0.00%	8.28%	14.48%	42.07%	35.17%	17	20	19.04	0.91	0.83
14	Alternative bio-based materials (e.g. plastic made of fungi, vegetable "leathers")	2.07%	6.21%	18.62%	33.10%	40.00%	16	20	19.03	1.01	1.02
15	Use of non-toxic resources	0.69%	0.00%	6.90%	22.07%	70.34%	16	20	19.61	0.68	0.46
16	Products with recycled content	0.69%	2.76%	17.24%	36.55%	42.76%	16	20	19.18	0.86	0.74
17	Replace overused/scarce materials with alternatives	2.76%	0.69%	8.28%	29.66%	58.62%	16	20	19.41	0.88	0.78
18	Building inventory of materials available	1.38%	5.52%	17.93%	46.21%	28.97%	16	20	18.96	0.9	0.81
19	Materials passport with environmental information	1.38%	5.52%	15.86%	34.48%	42.76%	16	20	19.12	0.96	0.92
20	Materials tracing and tracking	0.69%	5.52%	15.86%	35.86%	42.07%	16	20	19.13	0.92	0.84
21	Open-source design	1.38%	8.97%	26.90%	37.93%	24.83%	16	20	18.76	0.97	0.94
22	Product upgrade	2.07%	10.34%	29.66%	35.86%	22.07%	16	20	18.66	1	1
23	Community gardens for food production	0.69%	6.21%	26.90%	38.62%	27.59%	16	20	18.86	0.91	0.84
24	District energy/water systems	0.69%	4.14%	13.10%	38.62%	43.45%	16	20	19.2	0.87	0.75
25	Energy from waste incineration	4.83%	13.79%	26.21%	28.97%	26.21%	16	20	18.58	1.15	1.33
26	Local renewable energy production	0.00%	0.69%	6.90%	31.03%	61.38%	17	20	19.53	0.65	0.43
27	Local treatment of wastewater with plants	0.69%	2.76%	14.48%	32.41%	49.66%	16	20	19.28	0.86	0.74
28	Waste bins in public areas	0.00%	4.83%	16.55%	23.45%	55.17%	17	20	19.29	0.91	0.83
29	Waste as resource/input	0.00%	1.38%	8.28%	35.17%	55.17%	17	20	19.44	0.7	0.49
30	Water self-sufficiency	0.69%	0.69%	5.52%	32.41%	60.69%	16	20	19.52	0.7	0.48
31	Public areas for composting	1.38%	8.97%	26.21%	35.86%	27.59%	16	20	18.79	0.99	0.98
32	Shared infrastructure in communities/industrial parks	0.00%	0.69%	22.76%	42.76%	33.79%	17	20	19.1	0.76	0.58
33	Passive building design	0.69%	2.76%	14.48%	33.79%	48.28%	16	20	19.26	0.86	0.73
34	Positive energy (more energy than used by the project)	1.38%	3.45%	15.86%	37.24%	42.07%	16	20	19.15	0.9	0.82
35	Industry/Supply chain collaboration	1.38%	1.38%	14.48%	37.93%	44.83%	16	20	19.23	0.85	0.72
36	Life cycle analysis (environmental, social, and cost)	0.69%	2.07%	8.28%	27.59%	61.38%	16	20	19.47	0.79	0.62

37	Local businesses with regenerative and circular products/services	0.69%	2.07%	11.72%	33.79%	51.72%	16	20	19.34	0.82	0.67
38	Transparent business practices	1.38%	3.45%	13.79%	31.03%	50.34%	16	20	19.26	0.92	0.84
39	Self-repair design	1.38%	8.97%	16.55%	41.38%	31.72%	16	20	18.93	0.98	0.96
40	Product-as-service/Pay-per-use for building components	2.07%	11.03%	30.34%	28.97%	27.59%	16	20	18.69	1.05	1.11
41	Take-back/Reverse logistics programmes	0.69%	6.21%	17.24%	24.83%	51.03%	16	20	19.19	0.98	0.96

Q3.4 - How do you think these aspects of life in the city will change in the following months and years compared to previous years?

#	Question	For the better	Will remain the same	For the worse	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Variance
1	The NEED for more public open spaces?	65.52%	22.76%	11.72%	1	3	1.46	0.7	0.48	0.48
2	The USE of public open spaces?	73.79%	17.93%	8.28%	1	3	1.34	0.63	0.39	0.39
3	The design of buildings and houses?	69.66%	21.38%	8.97%	1	3	1.39	0.65	0.42	0.42
4	The possibility of working from home	87.59%	8.28%	4.14%	1	3	1.17	0.47	0.22	0.22

Q3.5 - If you would like to say how or why, please use this space:

Hidden to avoid any potential breach of privacy

Q4.1 - To what extent do you perceive these items as barriers for the adoption of regenerative and circular practices in the built environment?Please rate them from 1 to 5 (1 = not a barrier, 5 = very strong barrier)

#	Question	1 (not a barrier)	2	3	4	5 (very strong barrier)	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
1	Limited awareness of regenerative and circular practices	0.71%	4.26%	14.18%	33.33%	47.52%	1	5	4.23	0.89	0.8
2	Lack of financial incentives	1.42%	2.13%	10.64%	27.66%	58.16%	1	5	4.39	0.87	0.75
3	Cost of externalities not included in products	0.00%	2.84%	25.53%	37.59%	34.04%	2	5	4.03	0.84	0.71
4	Uncertainties about long-term return of investment	3.55%	8.51%	18.44%	37.59%	31.91%	1	5	3.86	1.07	1.14
5	Lack of enforcing policies and regulations	0.00%	6.38%	9.22%	30.50%	53.90%	2	5	4.32	0.89	0.78
6	Limitation of existing tools (LEED, BREEAM, AQUA, Green Star, etc.)	12.77%	13.48%	35.46%	23.40%	14.89%	1	5	3.14	1.21	1.46
7	Tendering based on lowest price	0.71%	5.67%	12.77%	29.79%	51.06%	1	5	4.25	0.93	0.87
8	Inertia of the construction sector with new approaches	0.71%	4.29%	20.71%	38.57%	35.71%	1	5	4.04	0.89	0.8
9	Lack of market mechanisms for resource recovery in the end of use	0.72%	5.76%	16.55%	39.57%	37.41%	1	5	4.07	0.91	0.83
10	Lack of marketplaces for secondary materials/ resources	1.42%	12.77%	24.82%	33.33%	27.66%	1	5	3.73	1.04	1.09
11	Fragmented supply chain	2.14%	7.86%	26.43%	33.57%	30.00%	1	5	3.81	1.02	1.04
12	Lack of knowledge of what other industries/companies offer as waste that could be resources	2.13%	4.96%	15.60%	43.97%	33.33%	1	5	4.01	0.94	0.88
13	Lack of market ready technologies/solutions	0.71%	11.35%	24.82%	37.59%	25.53%	1	5	3.76	0.98	0.96
14	Uncertainty about effectiveness/benefits of solutions	1.42%	11.35%	24.82%	38.30%	24.11%	1	5	3.72	1	0.99
15	Encouraging sustainable lifestyles through design	17.02%	21.28%	27.66%	18.44%	15.60%	1	5	2.94	1.3	1.7
16	lack of redeveloping/retrofitting existing buildings and infrastructure	3.55%	14.18%	25.53%	37.59%	19.15%	1	5	3.55	1.06	1.13
17	Negative perception of higher urban density	7.09%	14.89%	31.91%	26.24%	19.86%	1	5	3.37	1.16	1.35
18	Lack of opportunities/support from the government for public engagement	2.13%	7.80%	15.60%	31.91%	42.55%	1	5	4.05	1.04	1.08
19	Lack of significant ties in the community to establish engagement (low social cohesion)	2.13%	7.09%	18.44%	36.88%	35.46%	1	5	3.96	1.01	1.01
20	Broader socioeconomic challenges that override environmental issues	3.55%	2.84%	21.28%	31.21%	41.13%	1	5	4.04	1.03	1.06

21	Informal urbanism/construction predominance	2.84%	9.93%	27.66%	29.08%	30.50%	1	5	3.74	1.08	1.17
22	Lack of data on building and community performance and operational costs	0.71%	10.64%	26.24%	31.91%	30.50%	1	5	3.81	1.01	1.02

Q5.1 - In your projects/practices, to what extent do you...

#	Question	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Never	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
1	implement design for assembly and disassembly?	6.57%	8.76%	13.87%	37.96%	32.85%	1	5	3.82	1.17	1.38
2	specify reused or recycled materials?	8.03%	21.90%	17.52%	38.69%	13.87%	1	5	3.28	1.18	1.4
3	check the environmental information of the products and components you specify/ buy/ use?	20.59%	30.15%	16.18%	21.32%	11.76%	1	5	2.74	1.32	1.74
4	engage with post-occupancy performance assessment?	9.56%	22.06%	9.56%	29.41%	29.41%	1	5	3.47	1.36	1.85
5	engage with your supply chain to develop sustainable solutions?	13.24%	17.65%	11.03%	31.62%	26.47%	1	5	3.4	1.38	1.92
6	engage in projects with net zero aims (zero energy, or zero water, or zero waste)	16.18%	19.12%	15.44%	33.09%	16.18%	1	5	3.14	1.34	1.8
7	include circular or regenerative criteria in specifications or procurement?	11.03%	10.29%	15.44%	34.56%	28.68%	1	5	3.6	1.3	1.68
8	Use/implement Life Cycle Assessment	8.09%	17.65%	13.97%	33.82%	26.47%	1	5	3.53	1.27	1.62
9	Use/implement building or community sustainability certifications as LEED, BREEAM, AQUA, Green Star, etc.?	17.65%	18.38%	13.97%	25.00%	25.00%	1	5	3.21	1.45	2.09
10	Use/implement product sustainability and circular certifications as Cradle to Cradle, Declare, etc.?	5.15%	13.24%	8.09%	20.59%	52.94%	1	5	4.03	1.27	1.6
11	use any database or platform to find reused, recycled, or salvaged materials?	5.88%	11.03%	11.03%	24.26%	47.79%	1	5	3.97	1.25	1.56
12	use any database or platform to identify sustainable or circular materials and systems?	5.88%	9.56%	11.76%	24.26%	48.53%	1	5	4	1.23	1.51

Q5.2 - If you use any database or platform to find green, sustainable, or regenerative materials and systems, please list them below if you wish.

Hidden to avoid any potential breach of privacy

Q5.3 - To what extent (on a scale from 0 to 10) do you feel an online platform would be useful in helping you ...?

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
identify regenerative and circular strategies and solutions	0.73%	0.73%	2.92%	1.46%	3.65%	3.65%	16.79%	16.06%	13.14%	40.88%	1	10	8.3	1.98	3.9
find second hand materials and systems	0.74%	1.48%	0.74%	1.48%	5.19%	2.96%	13.33%	16.30%	12.59%	45.19%	1	10	8.44	1.94	3.77

Q6.1 - Are you aware of any code, laws, regulations, or standards that enforce or promote circular or regenerative practices in your locality?

#	Answer	%
1	No	82.01%
2	Yes	17.99%
	Total	100%

Q6.2 - If yes in the question above, please list them below if you wish:

Hidden to avoid any potential breach of privacy

Inquiry on perceptions and practices of built environment professionals regarding regenerative and circular approaches

Henrique Sala Benites, Paul Osmond and Deo Prasad

Supplementary file 3 | Survey results

Q6.3 - To what extent (on a scale from 0 to 10) do you agree with the following statements?

#	Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
	Policies should be developed or updated to foster circularity in the built environment	0.00%	0.00%	0.72%	0.00%	5.80%	4.35%	5.80%	14.49%	9.42%	59.42%	1	10	8.79	1.83	3.35
	There should be financial incentives to circular and regenerative solutions	0.72%	2.17%	0.00%	0.72%	2.17%	4.35%	5.80%	17.39%	12.32%	54.35%	3	10	8.93	1.6	2.55

Q7.1 - To what extent should community planning and design decisions be made "top-down" by government or private sector organisations, or driven "bottom-up" by local action?

#	Answer	%	
5	5	47.06%	
8	8	8.82%	
4	4	8.09%	
3	3	7.35%	
7	7	7.35%	
10	10	7.35%	
6	6	5.15%	
2	2	3.68%	
9	9	2.21%	
0	0	2.21%	
1	1	0.74%	
	Total		100%

#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
1	1	0	10	5.44	2.12	4.51

Q7.2 - To what extent (on a scale from 0 to 10) do you believe the design of urban spaces and buildings...

#	Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
	may have an impact on social inequities and environmental injustice?	0.72%	0.72%	2.90%	1.45%	7.25%	5.07%	10.87%	25.36%	16.67%	28.99%	1	10	8.02	1.97	3.86
	may be a reflection of social inequities and environmental injustice?	1.45%	1.45%	2.90%	0.72%	5.80%	5.80%	12.32%	17.39%	17.39%	34.78%	1	10	8.09	2.12	4.51
	needs to have all society sectors/groups involved and represented?	0.72%	0.72%	2.17%	0.72%	7.25%	6.52%	7.25%	15.22%	15.94%	43.48%	1	10	8.38	2	4.02

Q7.3 - To what extent (on a scale from 0 to 10) do you believe the use of playful or game-like methodologies could facilitate processes of participatory planning or co-design with...

#	Question	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	communities' residents?	0.72%	2.17%	1.45%	1.45%	4.35%	8.70%	7.25%	12.32%	20.29%	15.94%	25.36%
	built environment professionals?	0.72%	2.90%	2.17%	5.07%	8.70%	15.22%	7.25%	13.77%	18.12%	8.70%	17.39%
	urban planning/ architecture students?	0.00%	0.73%	2.19%	0.73%	2.19%	13.14%	2.92%	12.41%	23.36%	13.14%	29.20%

Inquiry on perceptions and practices of built environment professionals regarding regenerative and circular approaches

Henrique Sala Benites, Paul Osmond and Deo Prasad

Supplementary file 3 | Survey results

Q7.4 - In a few words, what is your vision about the need to move away from the current industry green/sustainable practices and tools to a circular and regenerative approach?

Hidden to avoid any potential breach of privacy

Q8.2 - What is your age?

#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance
1	What is your age?	1	5	2.85	0.96	0.93

#	Answer	%
1	18 to 25	5.07%
2	26 to 35	31.16%
3	36 to 45	46.38%
4	46 to 55	8.70%
5	56 to 65	8.70%
6	66 or over	0.00%
	Total	100%

Q8.3 - What is your gender?

#	Field
1	What is your gender? - Selected Choice

#	Answer	%
1	Female	50.00%
2	Male	48.55%
3	Prefer not to say	1.45%
4	Other (please specify)	0.00%
	Total	100%

Q8.3_4 - Other (please specify)

Q8.4 - In what sector do you work? In case it is more than one, please select the most relevant.

#	Field
1	In what sector do you work? In case it is more than one, please select the most relevant.

#	Answer	%
1	Regulator (policy makers, government at any level, standard deve	4.35%
2	Public or private developer, landowner, investor	4.35%
3	Supplier (supplier, manufacturer, supply chain management)	0.00%
4	Project management	3.62%
5	Demolition/Deconstruction	0.00%
6	Builder (construction companies and related contractors)	2.17%
7	Product/Industrial designer	0.72%
8	Designer (architect, urban designer, landscape architect)	29.71%
9	Sustainability/Climate/Environmental Consultant	23.19%
10	Other practitioners or consultants (engineers, archaeologists, soc	9.42%
11	Building/Facilities/Community management	0.00%
12	Civil Society/NGOs	2.90%
13	Academia (educators, students, and researchers)	19.57%
	Total	100%

Q8.5 - If you would like to be more specific, could you please state your professional role or function?

Hidden to avoid any potential breach of privacy

Q8.6 - Is your role directly related to environmental or sustainability issues?

#	Field
1	Is your role directly related to environmental or sustainability issues?

#	Answer	%
1	Yes	77.54%
2	No	22.46%
	Total	100%

Q8.7 - What is the size of the organisation you work for most of your time?

#	Field
1	What is the size of the organisation you work for most of your time?

#	Answer	%
1	I am an independent professional	24.82%
2	2-10 people	19.71%
3	11-50 people	15.33%
4	51-100 people	6.57%
5	101-500 people	7.30%
6	More than 500 people	26.28%
	Total	100%

Q8.8 - Does your company operate in more than one country?

#	Field
1	Does your company operate in more than one country?

#	Answer	%
1	Yes	27.94%
2	No	72.06%
	Total	100%

Q8.9 - What scales/domains of the built environment is your company engaged with? Check all that apply.

#	Answer	%
1	Product/Components	11.36%
2	Buildings	39.77%
3	Community/Neighbourhood	24.62%
4	City/Region	24.24%
	Total	100%

Q8.10 - For how many years have you been involved in the built environment industry?

#	Answer	%
1	Less than 2 years	6.57%
2	>2-5 years	10.22%
3	>5-10 years	16.06%
4	>10-15 years	30.66%
5	>15-20 years	11.68%
6	>20 years	24.82%
	Total	100%

Q8.11 - And finally, what city and country are you located at?

Hidden to avoid any potential breach of privacy