

Table S1 The Questionnaire about the impact of COVID-19 into the work, income and asylum procedures of Refugee Researchers

<p><b>Choose your gender</b></p> <p>Male</p> <p>Female</p> <p>Prefer not to say</p>
<p><b>Age group</b></p> <p>18-24</p> <p>25-34</p> <p>35-44</p> <p>45-54</p> <p>55-64</p> <p>65-74</p>
<p><b>Nationality</b></p>
<p><b>Country of destination</b></p>
<p><b>Marital status</b></p> <p>Married</p> <p>Single</p> <p>Divorced</p>
<p><b>Education level</b></p> <p>Associate's degree</p> <p>Beachelor's degree</p> <p>Master's degree</p> <p>Doctoral's degree</p>
<p><b>Employment status</b></p> <p>Working status</p> <p>Student</p> <p>Self-employed</p> <p>Working in the family business</p> <p>Entrepreneur</p> <p>University/Research Institute</p> <p>Public adminstration</p> <p>NGO</p>
<p><b>How would you describe your current income?</b></p> <p>Living comfortably on present income</p> <p>Coping on present income</p> <p>Finding it difficult on present income</p>
<p><b>Taking into consideration the current situation of COVID-19, how worried are you according to the current crisis?</b></p> <p>1 (not at all efficient) - 5 (very efficient)</p>
<p><b>To what extent do you feel affected by COVID-19 considering the following issues?</b></p> <p><b>Employment Status</b></p> <p>Not affected at all</p> <p>Moderately affected</p>

Strongly affected
<b>Income</b> Not affected at all Moderately affected Strongly affected
<b>Health</b> Not affected at all Moderately affected Strongly affected
<b>In your opinion are the measurements taken by the public authorities efficient to reduce the spread of the virus in your current country?</b> 1 (not worried at all) - 5 (totally worried)
<b>Technology Ecosystem access</b> Laptop Personal Computer Additional Display Monitor High-speed Broadband Productivity Software, e.g. Microsoft office Collaboration software (either Skype, Zoom, Microsoft Teams)
<b>Level of the challenge to adapt to a home office fulltime?</b> Highly challenging Some moderate challenge Little or no challenge
<b>What are the two main challenges faced?</b> Loss of feeling of a physical team Loss of my physical built office space Difficulty of staying productive Difficulty of sticking to a working plan Separating family and work hours
<b>Did you have a dedicated office space at home already?</b> Yes Not
<b>Did your perception change since having to work from home fulltime?</b> Now more positive in their view of working from home Now less positive in their view of working from home
<b>What do you consider potential most positive influence/factor on productivity?</b>
<b>What do you consider the most negative influence/factor on productivity?</b>
<b>Change in value of having the right physical working space</b> Now thought it was now the more important element than previously thought Always thought it was important See technology more important
<b>What do you now think of the value Immersive Workspace tools that you could use?</b> Will have no impact on my productivity Will increase my collaboration with others Will increase my want and ability to work from home Will increase my productivity

**Do you believe that the procedures for the Asylum seekers are affected negatively  
be the COVID-19 circumstances?**

Yes

No

**Table S2:** Current income and marital status

**Cross-tabulation analysis: “How would you describe your current income ?” \* Marital status**

		Marital status			Total	
		Divorced	Married	Single		
How would you describe your current income ?	Finding it difficult on present income	Count	0	10	20	30
		% within Marital status	0,0%	37,0%	57,1%	46,9%
	Coping on present income	Count	2	7	8	17
		% within Marital status	100,0%	25,9%	22,9%	26,6%
	Living comfortably on present income	Count	0	10	7	17
		% within Marital status	0,0%	37,0%	20,0%	26,6%
Total	Count	2	27	35	64	
	% within Marital status	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8,742 <sup>a</sup>	4	,068
Likelihood Ratio	8,446	4	,077
N of Valid Cases	64		

a. 3 cells (33,3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is ,53.

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	,370	,068
	Cramer's V	,261	,068
N of Valid Cases		64	

Table S3: How much income has been affected during crisis, broken down by Marital status

Cross-tabulation analysis:– “To what extent do you feel affected by COVID-19 considering your income?” \* Marital status

		Marital status			Total	
		Divorced	Married	Single		
Income	Moderately affected	Count	1	6	11	18
		% within Marital status	50,0%	22,2%	31,4%	28,1%
	Not affected at all	Count	1	8	8	17
		% within Marital status	50,0%	29,6%	22,9%	26,6%
	Strongly affected	Count	0	13	16	29
		% within Marital status	0,0%	48,1%	45,7%	45,3%
Total	Count	2	27	35	64	
	% within Marital status	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2,456 <sup>a</sup>	4	,653
Likelihood Ratio	3,238	4	,519
N of Valid Cases	64		

a. 3 cells (33,3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is ,53.

### Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	,196	,653
	Cramer's V	,139	,653
N of Valid Cases		64	

Table S4: How much income has been affected during crisis, broken down by Educational level

Cross-tabulation analysis: Education status – “To what extent do you feel affected by COVID-19 considering your income?”

		Education (highest level attended)				
			Associate/Bachelor	Master's	PhD	Total
Income	Not affected at all	Count	4	7	6	17
		% within Education (highest level attended)	16,0%	25,9%	50,0%	26,6%
	moderately affected	Count	6	9	3	18
		% within Education (highest level attended)	24,0%	33,3%	25,0%	28,1%
	strongly affected	Count	15	11	3	29
		% within Education (highest level attended)	60,0%	40,7%	25,0%	45,3%
Total	Count	25	27	12	64	
	% within Education (highest level attended)	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6,397 <sup>a</sup>	4	,171
Likelihood Ratio	6,158	4	,188
Linear-by-Linear Association	5,603	1	,018
N of Valid Cases	64		

a. 2 cells (22,2%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3,19.

### Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymptotic Standard Error <sup>a</sup>	Approximate T <sup>b</sup>	Approximate Significance
Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	-,404	,156	-2,431	,015
N of Valid Cases		64			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

**Table S5:** Adaptation to working from home versus Age

**Level of the challenge to adapt to a home office fulltime? \* Two age groups Crosstabulation**

		Two age groups		Total	
		18-34	35+		
Level of the challenge to adapt to a home office fulltime?	Highly challenging	Count	18	7	25
		% within Two age groups	39,1%	38,9%	39,1%
	Little or no challenge	Count	6	3	9
		% within Two age groups	13,0%	16,7%	14,1%
	Some moderate challenge	Count	22	8	30
		% within Two age groups	47,8%	44,4%	46,9%
Total	Count	46	18	64	
	% within Two age groups	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	,153 <sup>a</sup>	2	,927
Likelihood Ratio	,149	2	,928
N of Valid Cases	64		

a. 1 cells (16,7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2,53.

**Table S6:** Attitude towards fulltime work from home vs Age

**Did your perception change since having to work from home fulltime? \* Two age groups  
Crosstabulation**

		Two age groups		Total	
		18-34	35+		
Did your perception change since having to work from home fulltime?	Now less positive in their view of working from home	Count	30	11	41
		% within Two age groups	65,2%	61,1%	64,1%
	Now more positive in their view of working from home	Count	16	7	23
		% within Two age groups	34,8%	38,9%	35,9%
Total		Count	46	18	64
		% within Two age groups	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	,095 <sup>a</sup>	1	,758
Continuity Correction <sup>b</sup>	,000	1	,986
Likelihood Ratio	,094	1	,759
Fisher's Exact Test			
N of Valid Cases	64		

**Table S7:** Impact of lockdown on income by working sector

**Income \* Working sector in two categories Crosstabulation**

		Working sector in two categories		Total	
		University/Research Institute	student or Non-academic		
Income	Not affected at all	Count	10	7	17
		% within Working sector in two categories	52,6%	15,6%	26,6%
	moderately affected	Count	5	13	18
		% within Working sector in two categories	26,3%	28,9%	28,1%
	strongly affected	Count	4	25	29
		% within Working sector in two categories	21,1%	55,6%	45,3%
Total	Count	19	45	64	
	% within Working sector in two categories	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	

**Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10,455 <sup>a</sup>	2	,005
Likelihood Ratio	10,275	2	,006
Linear-by-Linear Association	9,856	1	,002
N of Valid Cases	64		

a. 0 cells (0,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5,05.

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	,404	,005
	Cramer's V	,404	,005
N of Valid Cases		64	

**Table S8:** Impact of lockdown on employment by working sector

### Employment status \* Working sector in two categories Crosstabulation

		Working sector in two categories		Total	
		University/Research Institute	student or Non-academic		
Employment status	Employed	Count	14	19	33
		% within Working sector in two categories	73,7%	42,2%	51,6%
	Unemployed	Count	5	26	31
		% within Working sector in two categories	26,3%	57,8%	48,4%
Total	Count	19	45	64	
	% within Working sector in two categories	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	

### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5,295 <sup>a</sup>	1	,021		
Continuity Correction <sup>b</sup>	4,110	1	,043		
Likelihood Ratio	5,470	1	,019		
Fisher's Exact Test				,029	,020
N of Valid Cases	64				

a. 0 cells (0,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9,20.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

### Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	,288	,021
	Cramer's V	,288	,021
N of Valid Cases		64	