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Simple Summary: Encarsia (Family Aphelinidae) is a genus of minute parasitoid wasps that target a diversity of agricultural pest insects including whiteflies and armoured scale insects. Since the genus was described in 1878, 450+ species of Encarsia have been described. Historically, it has been difficult to provide a subgeneric classification for the species of Encarsia. As a result, researchers have divided the group into numerous informal species-groups. Our work uses an alignment of ribosomal DNA sequences (sequences that code for ribosomal RNA) to construct a phylogenetic tree that shows that species of the genus Dirphys are correctly placed within Encarsia. With these results, we establish the Encarsia mexicana species-group (for the six species previously placed in Dirphys) and describe 14 new species. We also briefly discuss morphological characters that may correspond to the relationships recovered in the molecular phylogeny. With this information, we can better understand the patterns of evolution which brought about the present diversity within Encarsia and provide a more accurate classification of the genus.


#### Abstract

The genus Dirphys Howard 1914 syn. n. is synonymized with Encarsia Förster, and treated as a species-group of Encarsia, referred to henceforth as the Encarsia mexicana species-group. The monophyly of Encarsia is discussed in relation to Dirphys. The new synonymy is based on phylogenetic analyses of the nuclear ribosomal 28S-D2 gene region ( 43 taxa, 510 bp ). The Encarsia mexicana species-group is recovered as strongly monophyletic within Encarsia. All species of the Encarsia mexicana species-group are revised. The group includes six previously described species, and fourteen newly described species. All species are described (or redescribed) and illustrated. Detailed distributional data, and, where available, plant associate and host records are provided for all species. Encarsia myartsevae Kresslein and Polaszek nom. nov. is here proposed as a replacement name for Encarsia mexicana Myartseva, now preoccupied by Encarsia mexicana (Howard). A dichotomous identification key, supplemented by an online multiple-entry key, is provided for all species.
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## 1. Introduction

The genus Dirphys was initially described by Howard [1] for Mesidia mexicana Howard [2]. Where known, species in this genus are primary endoparasitoids of Aleyrodidae [3-5] and are gregarious, with up to 16 developing in a single host [3,4]. This behavior is unknown
in any other chalcid parasitoids of whiteflies. Dirphys has been regarded as occupying a transitional zone between Coccophagus Westwood and Encarsia Förster [3] due to its having intermediate characters of those genera, especially with regard to setation of the mesoscutal mid lobe-more setose than most Encarsia, less setose than most Coccophagus. However, it displays a unique morphological synapomorphy of the sculpture of the dorsal mesosoma, which is always markedly rugose, irrespective of whether the pattern is aciculate (Figures 6E and 11E), transverse (Figure 10E) or longitudinal (Figures 4C and 20E), or contains combined elements of these patterns (Figures 12E and 13E). Importantly, reticulate mesosomal sculpture is unknown in Dirphys. A second apparent autapomorphy concerns the division of the mesoscutal side lobes (see e.g., Figures 4C, 6E, 18E and 23E). These apparent autapomorphies notwithstanding, analyses of the 28S-D2 ribosomal DNA (Kresslein et al. unpublished), and loci recovered with Anchored Hybrid Enrichment (Cruaud et al. unpublished, Kresslein et al. unpublished) show Dirphys nested within an otherwise monophyletic Encarsia. Further confusion about the relationship between Dirphys and Encarsia arose with the description of Encarsiella Hayat [6], which bears a strong superficial resemblance to Dirphys and was at one time synonymized with it [7,8]. Encarsiella was synonymized with Encarsia by Shafee and Rizvi [9] and is here regarded as the Encarsia noyesi species-group $[10,11]$.

A preliminary study into phylogenetic relationships within the subfamily Coccophaginae was undertaken by Polaszek and Hayat [3] based on 24 morphological characters. In that work, the monophyly of Dirphys was supported by a single synapomorphy, the mesoscutal and scutellar sculpture. Another character supporting the monophyly of Dirphys was the proximity of the scutellar sensilla, although this character was known to have evolved independently many times within Encarsia [12]. In the same work, Polaszek and Hayat revised the species of Dirphys known at that time, describing three new species, D. diablejo Polaszek and Hayat, D. encantadora Polaszek and Hayat, and D. mendesi Polaszek and Hayat. Chavez [4] described a fifth species, D. larensis Chavez from Venezuela, and Polaszek added a sixth, D. aphania Polaszek [5].

In the present manuscript, we synonymize Dirphys (hereinafter referred to as the mexicana species-group) with Encarsia. Using maximum likelihood analysis of 28s D2 rDNA (43 taxa, 510 bp ), we recover the Encarsia mexicana species-group as strongly monophyletic within Encarsia. We provide a comprehensive revision of the known species of the Encarsia mexicana species-group with species description (or redescription), illustrations, distributional data, and, where available, plant associate and host records. Fourteen species are described here as new: Encarsia acusa Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia aisha Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia avida Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia catula Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia cylindrica Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia dichaeta Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia erwini Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia fredbennetti Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia inbioa Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia napo Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia marynoyesae Polaszek and HernándezSuárez sp. n., Encarsia noora Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., Encarsia svetlana Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n., and Encarsia venia Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n. The name, Encarsia myartsevae Kresslein and Polaszek nom. nov. is here proposed as a replacement name for Encarsia mexicana Myartseva [13], now preoccupied by Encarsia mexicana (Howard). We also provide a dichotomous identification key, supplemented by an online multiple-entry key for all species of the Encarsia mexicana species-group.

## 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Specimen Depositories: Abbreviations

Material examined as a part of this investigation is deposited at the following institutions. NHMUK: Natural History Museum, London, UK.
UCRC: University of California, Riverside, USA.
USNM: National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian, Washington D.C., USA.

MZUCR: Museo de Zoología Universidad de Costa Rica.

### 2.2. Morphological Study

Populations of the Encarsia mexicana species-group were studied from different localities (Table 1). Host-reared material was collected in Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mexico, and Trinidad and Tobago, as part of intensive foreign exploration efforts to search for parasitoids of whitefly pests (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), mostly in the subfamily Aleurodicinae. For morphological analysis, female specimens were mounted on microscope slides following Noyes [14] with some modifications as follows: no maceration in $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ was needed after DNA extraction. Specimens were washed in distilled water for one hour and then dehydrated for 5 min in graded ethanol of the following concentrations: $35 \%, 70 \%, 85 \%$, and $100 \%$. After clearing in clove oil and allowing alcohol evaporation, specimens were dissected in Canada balsam. The wings, antennae, head, and remaining body parts were mounted separately on a single slide.

In total, 110 females and 4 males of 20 species were examined, including the extensive recording of measurements and ratios. Males are rare or unknown for most species and were not therefore included, except for Encarsia diablejo which is known only from the male. Measurements were taken with a Leitz Dialux 20EB microscope from slide-mounted material following Heraty and Polaszek [12] with the following five measurements added: scape length, pedicel length, submarginal vein length, marginal vein length and length of the mid basitarsus. (Figure 1). All measurements of antennae, fore wings, and legs refer to the maximum length of the structure in lateral view. The terminology of morphological characters follows Kim and Heraty [15] and Hayat [16].

Specimens were imaged using a Leitz Dialux 20EB (Wetzlar, Germany) compound microscope using Nomarski Differential Interference Contrast illumination (DIC) and photographed with a MicroPublisher 5.0 RTV (QImaging, Surrey, Canada) camera. Additional images (claval sensorial area; mandibles) were imaged with an Olympus BX63 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) also utilizing DIC. Scanned sections were stacked and combined using Synoptics AutoMontage Pro ${ }^{\circledR}$ ver. 5.03 software (Leitz Dialux images) and Helicon Focus software (Olympus BX63 images). The final images were edited with Adobe Photoshop CC ${ }^{\circledR}$.

### 2.3. DNA Extraction, Amplification, and Sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from single, whole specimens using a non-destructive genomic DNA extraction protocol developed by Chao-Dong Zhu, John Noyes, and others at the Natural History Museum, London [17]. Occasionally 2-3 specimens were extracted together when known to be conspecific.

Specimens were softened in $70 \%$ ethanol (to reduce potential damage during subsequent steps) at room temperature for a minimum of 2 h . Seventy percent ethanol was removed carefully by pipette and specimens were allowed to air-dry briefly. DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (250) 69506 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Specimens were immersed in $180 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Lysis Buffer ATL, premixed with $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Proteinase K and incubated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight ( 8 h minimum) with no mixing, taking care that the specimen was submerged/floating in the buffer and not adhered to the side of the tube.

After digestion, the lysis buffer was carefully removed by pipette into a clean 1.5 mL microfuge tube. The specimen was immediately washed by adding $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ distilled water for a minimum of 30 min , then replaced with $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} 70 \%$ ethanol for a minimum of 30 min , then finally stored in 100\% ethanol until slide-mounted in Canada balsam.

DNA was extracted from the lysis buffer using the Qiagen QUIA quick PCR Purification Kit (250) 28106 following the protocol: 'Isolation of total DNA from Animal Tissues' (step 3 onwards). Standard PCR reactions were then carried out in a thermal cycler using $2.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA extract, Taq buffer ( $1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl2}$ ), 1.5 U Taq polymerase (Roche), 10 nmol dNTPs (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech; APB) and 20 mol of each primer at the Natural History Museum's DNA sequencing facility.

Table 1. Ingroup taxa (species identity, voucher IDs, accession numbers, locality, plant associate and host).

| Species | Dna Code | Accesion Number | Locality | Plant Associate | Host(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Encarsia acusa | DNA0148 <br> DNA0212 | OQ683554 | Costa Rica: Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva <br> Peru: Loreto, Iquitos, Barillal |  |  |
| Encarsia aisha | DNA0146 <br> DNA0164 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OQ683562 } \\ & \text { OQ683562 } \end{aligned}$ | Costa Rica: Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva Costa Rica: Alajuela, Est. Caribe |  |  |
| Encarsia aphania | DNA0218 <br> DNA0213 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OQ683546 } \\ & \text { OQ683545 } \end{aligned}$ | Belize: Cayo, Las Cuevas Belize: Cayo, Las Cuevas Belize: Cayo, Chiquibul Costa Rica: Puntarenas | Inga sp. | Aleurodicus pulvinatus Azuraleurodicus pentarthus Nealeurodicus altissimus |
| Encarsia avida | DNA0143 | OQ683547 | Costa Rica: Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva |  |  |
| Encarsia catula | DNA0278 | OQ683547 | Costa Rica: Limon, Hitoi-Cerere |  |  |
| Encarsia cylindrica | DNA0209 DNA0211 DNA0149 |  | Brazil: Minas Gerais, Vicosa, Costa Rica: Puntarenas RF, Piedras Blancas Costa Rica: San Juan, Ciudad Colon Costa Rica: Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva Jamaica: Fair Prospect | Citrus sp. | Aleurothrixus floccosus (?) <br> Aleurodicus jamaicensis |
| Encarsia diablejo |  |  | Peru: Loreto, Iquitos |  |  |
| Encarsia dichaeta | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DNA0132-0135 } \\ & \text { DNA0133-0136 } \\ & \text { DNA0208 } \\ & \text { DNA0151 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OQ683550 } \\ & \text { OQ683552 } \\ & \text { OQ683551 } \\ & \text { OQ683549 } \end{aligned}$ | Brazil: Bahia <br> Costa Rica: Alajuela, P.N. Arenal, Pilon Costa Rica: Alajuela, P.N. Arenal, Pilon Costa Rica: Guanacaste, Pitilla Costa Rica: Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva Ecuador: Napo, Anangucocha |  | Aleurodicus flavus <br> Aleurodicus sp. |
| Encarsia encantadora |  |  | Ecuador: Napo River Mexico: Tabasco | Lippia myriocephala | Nealeurodicus altissimus |
| Encarsia erwini |  |  | Ecuador: Napo River |  |  |
|  | DNA0216 |  | Trinidad and Tobago: Trinidad, Mount St Benedict |  |  |
| Encarsia fredbennetti <br> Encarsia inbioa | DNA0215B DNA0128 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OQ683559 } \\ & \text { OQ683553 } \end{aligned}$ | Trinidad and Tobago: Trinidad, St Augustine <br> Costa Rica: Alajuela, P.N. Arenal, Pilon | Theobroma cacao | Aleurodicinae |
| Encarsia larensis |  |  | Venezuela: Cabudare, Lara | Hura crepitans | Aleurodicus pulvinatus |
| Encarsia marynoyesae | DNA0163 <br> DNA0167 | OQ683563 | Costa Rica: Alajuela, Est. Caribe Costa Rica: Alajuela, Est. Caribe |  |  |
| Encarsia mendesi |  |  | Brazil: São Paolo, Mogi-Guazu | Bauhinia holophylla | Aleurodicus maritimus |
| Encarsia mexicana | DNA0144 <br> DNA0166 <br> DNA0129 | OQ683560 | Mexico: Tabasco, San Francisco del Peal Costa Rica: Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva Costa Rica: Limon Costa Rica: Alajuela, Est. Caribe R. Costa Rica: Alajuela, P.N. Arenal, Pilon | Lippia myriocephala | Nealeurodicus altissimus |
| Encarsia napo |  |  | Ecuador: Napo River, Camp. Res. Waorani |  |  |
| Encarsia noora | DNA0126 | OQ683561 | Costa Rica: Limon |  |  |
| Encarsia svetlana | DNA0305 | OQ683558 | Guyana: Dubulay Ranch |  |  |
| Encarsia venia | DNA0298 <br> DNA0267 | OQ683557 | Costa Rica: Limon, Parque Nacional Cahuita Costa Rica: Limon, Hitoy-Cerere Costa Rica: Heredia La Selva |  |  |
| E. mexicana-group sp. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DNA0165 } \\ & \text { D2672 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OQ683556 } \\ & \text { OQ683555 } \end{aligned}$ | Costa Rica: CR Alajuela Est. Caribe R. <br> Rincon Forestal <br> Ecuador: Orellana, Tiputini Biodiversity Sta. |  |  |

The D2 region of 28 S rDNA was amplified using the following primers:

## 28SFW $5^{\prime}$-AGTACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTG-3' 28SRev 5'-TTGGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG $3^{\prime}$

PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , then 35 cycles of denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , annealing at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , and extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by a final extension at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then samples were held at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until they could be analyzed. PCR products were run on a $1 \%$ agarose gel to confirm PCR success (clean bands of the expected size), then the remaining products were cleaned and sequenced. Removal of dye terminators was done by ethanol precipitation prior to sequencing.


Figure 1. Additional measurements used in the present study: scape length (sl); pedicel length (pl); submarginal vein length ( smvl ); marginal vein length ( mvl ); mid basitarsus length (mbtl). Illustrated from type material of Encarsia aisha.

The DNA analyzer system ABI PRISM 3730 and 377 DNA sequencer were used, the samples were loaded onto the system's vertical polyacrylamide gel where they underwent electrophoresis, laser detection and computer analysis. Sequence editing and alignment were performed using Sequencher TM 4.8 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, USA) on a Macintosh computer. The resulting molecular dataset includes 18 sequences representing 13 species. Sequences have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers OQ683545-OQ683576 (Tables 1 and 2).

### 2.4. Phylogenetic Analyses

Captured sequences were combined with previously published sequence data (Table 2) and aligned using the E-INS-I algorithm in MAFFT v7.490 [18]. Ten independent iterations of maximum likelihood were reconstructed using IQ-TREE version 2.0.7 [19], implementing a General Time Reversible model with invariant sites and gamma distributed rate variation $(-m$ GTR + I + G). Bootstrap support was estimated from 1000 bootstrap trees constructed using ultrafast bootstrapping (-b 1000) [20]. Outgroups are comprised of a broad range of Encarsia species, a diversity of recognized species-groups, as well as Coccophagus Westwood (Aphelinidae: Coccophaginae) and Aphytis Howard (Aphelinidae: Aphelininae).

### 2.5. Nomenclatural Acts

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved, and the associated information can be viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix "http:/ / zoobank.org/ (accessed on 6 June 2023)". The LSID for this publication is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:2CE58923-A39A-412A-896E-DCFD4CC01FD7.

The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central, LOCKSS.

Table 2. Outgroup taxa (species identity, voucher IDs, accession numbers, and locality).

| Species | DNA Code | Accesion Number | Locality |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encarsia luteola | D0243 | AF223369 | USA: California, Brawley |
| Encarsia formosa | D0231 | AF223372 | Egypt |
| Encarsia cubensis | DNA270 | OQ683567 | Costa Rica: Limon |
| Encarsia azimi | DNA259P17 | AF254229 | Australia: Queensland |
| Encarsia inaron | D0465 | AY599399 | New Zealand |
| Encarsia lounsburyi | DNA017 | OQ683568 | Costa Rica: Puntarenas |
| Encarsia citrina | DNA376 | OQ683569 | U.K.: London, Barnes Common |
| Encarsia boswelli | DNAAE534 | OQ683570 | India |
| Encarsia perplexa | D0296 | AF254243 | Guatemala: Coatepeque |
| Encarsia opulenta | DNA387 | OQ683571 | Mexico: Los Tuxtlas |
| Encarsia lutea | D0235 | OQ654238 | Cyprus |
| E. noyesi group sp. | DNA0091 | OQ683564 | Costa Rica |
| Encarsia tamaulipeca | DNA0123 | OQ683565 | Ecuador |
| E. noyesi group sp. | DNA0089 | AF254198 | Ecuador: Napo |
| Encarsia sophia | D0219 | AF254208 | Find in Heraty Lab |
| Encarsia protransvena | D0136 | OQ683572 | USA: California, Orange Co. |
| Coccophagus sp. | DNA010 | OQ683573 | Costa Rica |
| Coccophagus sp. | DNA0185 | OQ683574 | Costa Rica: La Selva |
| Coccophagus lycimnia | DNAA1-006A | OQ683575 | Costa Rica |
| Coccophagus semicircularis | DNAA3-023D | OQ683576 | Costa Rica: Puntarenas |
| Coccophagus sp. | DNA034 | AY635336 | UCR Culture: Originally from |
| Aphytis yanonensis | D0446 | AY635342 | UCR Culture: Originally from |
| Aphytis melinus | D0445 |  |  |

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Phylogenetic Analysis of Molecular Data

A maximum likelihood tree was constructed from partial sequences of 28S D2 ribosomal DNA of 13 species (from 18 specimens) and 23 outgroup taxa (Figure 2). The Encarsia mexicana species-group was recovered as a strongly supported clade within Encarsia. Encarsia dichaeta forms the sister clade to all remaining E. mexicana-group species. The Encarsia mexicana species-group was not placed sister to the noyesi species-group; however, backbone support in the recovered phylogeny is insufficient to confidently resolve interand intra-species-group relationships.

### 3.2. Taxonomy of the Encarsia mexicana Species-Group

## Encarsia mexicana species-group

## = Dirphys Howard, 1914

Etymology of Dirphys. Dirphys ( $\Delta\left\llcorner\rho \varphi \varsigma_{\varsigma}\right.$ ) is a Greek feminine noun. Hence the modification by Hayat of Howard's (1914) combination Dirphys mexicana (Howard) to Dirphys mexicanus (Howard) was an unjustified emendation [21]. Hayat attributed the new combination to Howard [1], but this is not the case.

Diagnosis: head dorsally transverse. Frontovertex at narrowest wider than dorsal eye width. Facial lines evident, often broadly expanded; mediofrontal and transfacial lines developed. Eyes with evident setae. Mandibles usually with two teeth and a truncation (Figure 10A), the truncation sometimes reduced, and the teeth often strongly developed so mandibles appear to have only two teeth (Figure 22A). A bidentate upper tooth may be present in addition to the well-developed ventral tooth (Figure 4A).

Maxillary palps two-segmented. Antenna eight-segmented in both sexes, antennal formula variable ( $1,1,3,3$ or 1, 1, 0,6 ), claval sensorial complex present (Figure 17B) or absent, suture between F5 perpendicular or oblique. Pronotum medially membranous. Mesoscutum with more than 20 setae. Side lobes divided (Figure 23E). Axillae large, strongly projecting forwards and separated medially by less than the maximum length of one axilla. Each axilla usually with a single seta, in E. dichaeta with two setae (Figure 11E). Thoracic sculpture aciculate, longitudinal, transverse or a combination of these types, never reticulate, always strongly rugose. Mesoscutellar sensilla close together, separated by about the width of one sensillum. Fore wings with two large setae on the submarginal vein, plus a variable number of smaller setae at the distal end of the submarginal vein. Linea calva present or absent. Mid basitarsus with a variable number of robust, spine-like setae, tarsi five-segmented.

Remarks: The Encarsia mexicana species-group (Figure 3) is restricted to the Neotropical zone, with species reaching as far south as the State of Bahia (Brazil), and as far north as southern Mexico.


Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree (IQ-TREE 2) based on 28S D2 ribosomal DNA (509 bp) from 42 taxa (19 ingroups, 23 outgroups); support values from 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates.


Figure 3. Lateral habitus of a female of the Encarsia mexicana species-group.

### 3.3. Species Descriptions

### 3.3.1. Encarsia acusa Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 4A-F)
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F179717C-0FF0-4189-90BE-4851A8625431
Female. Colour: Antennae light brown; radicle, scape, base of pedicel and F6 darker. Head dark brown, paler along the sutures and frons. Mesosoma and metasoma dark brown with posterior $80 \%$ of the mesoscutellum and sides of metanotum yellow. Legs yellow with most of the mid and hind femora brown, fore femora and tibiae brown, all tarsi pale. Fore wings hyaline, slightly infuscate below marginal vein, submarginal and marginal veins dark.

Morphology: Head (Figure 4A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line obscure; facial lines narrow. Scrobes with longitudinal aciculate sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 4D): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.4x pedicel length; pedicel 1.9x F1; F1 0.8x F2; F2 equal to F3; funicle $0.56 x$ clava; F6 slightly oblique, claval sensorial area present. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 3; F5: 4; F6: 3-4 (both counts present in holotype). Mandibles (Figure 4A) with two teeth and a broad truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 4C) with 34-40 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum longitudinal; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 4E) with two large setae and 2-3 smaller setae on the submarginal vein, three setae in the basal cell, 6-7 setae on the anterior margin of the marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal $0.75 x$ marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.7 x$ fore wing width; maximum width of wing $5.3 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 4B) 1.85x mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.44 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.3 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 4 F ) $0.86 x$ corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,1+1$, $1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 4B) extremely extended, almost covering the ovipositor.


Figure 4. Encarsia acusa: (A) head; (B) ovipositor; (C) dorsal mesosoma; (D) antenna; (E) fore wing; (F) mid leg.

Distribution. COSTA RICA: Heredia, Limon; PERU: Iquitos.
Material examined: Holotype $q$ COSTA RICA, Heredia Estación Biológica La Selva, $75 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 27-28 . i i .2003$ (J.S. Noyes) [DNA148: OQ683554] (NHMUK). Paratypes: 1q COSTA RICA, Limon RB, Hitoy-Cerere 100 m, 14-19.i. 1991 (J.S. Noyes) (MZUCR). 1ㅇ PERU, Iquitos, Barillal, 10.ii. 1984 (L. Huggert BM 1984.337) [DNA 212] (NHMUK).

Remarks: T7 extremely extended, covering the ovipositor. Encarsia acusa appears to be most closely related to E. inbioa and E. svetlana, but is easily distinguished from those (and all other) species by the extremely long ovipositor and T7. DNA sequences from holotype deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683554.

Etymology. From "acus" Latin for needle or pin, referring to the elongated T7.

### 3.3.2. Encarsia aisha Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 5A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae brown with F1 and F2 paler. Head dark brown, paler along the sutures. Mesosoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow with mid and hind femora, coxae and anterior third of mid tibiae brown, all tarsi pale. Wings hyaline, slightly infuscated below marginal vein; submarginal and marginal veins darker in contrast with the paler stigmal vein.

Morphology: Head (Figure 5A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line evident; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes with longitudinally aciculate sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 5B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape $2.3 x$ pedicel length; pedicel 2x F1; F1 1.2x F2; F2 0.75x F3; funicle 0.45 x clava; F6 oblique, claval sensorial area present. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 0; F3: 1; F4: 2-3; F5: 4-5; F6: 3. Mandibles (Figure 5A) with one large ventral tooth and a bidentate upper tooth. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 5E) with about 34-40 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae and two apparent vestigial setal bases. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 5C) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, four setae in basal cell, 6-7 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal $0.85 x$ marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.47 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing 5.72 x longest seta on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 5F) 1.47 x mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.42 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.5 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 5D) 1.07x corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 5F) is extended, as long as ovipositor.

Distribution: COSTA RICA: Alajuela, Heredia.
Material examined: Holotype $q$ COSTA RICA, Alajuela, Est. Biol. Caribe, R. Rincon Forestal $10^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 85^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 400 \mathrm{~m}$ 19-20.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 164: OQ683562] (NHMUK). 1 ¢ COSTA RICA, Heredia, Estacion Biologica La Selva, $10^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. 75 m 27-28.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 146: OQ683562] (ZMUCR).

Remarks: Encarsia aisha is morphologically very similar to $E$. marynoyesae in many respects (though distant to it based on DNA). The species can be distinguished from E. marynoyesae by the second valvifers almost $2 x$ (1.8) the third valvulae; while they are $1.5 x$ as long in E. aisha. In E. marynoyesaei the clava is well over $2 x$ the length of the funicle; in E. aisha it is less than $2 x$ as long. DNA sequence from holotype and paratype (pooled extraction) deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683562.

Etymology: Named for Aisha, daughter of the second author (EHS), and sister to Noora; see E. noora, below.


Figure 5. Encarsia aisha: (A) head; (B) antenna, arrow: claval sensorial area; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

### 3.3.3. Encarsia aphania (Polaszek) 1999 (in Martin and Polaszek, 1999: 1556). comb. nov.

(Figure 6A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae pale brown with scape very dark. Head dark brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus, antennal scrobes, a line from the apex of the scrobes to the median ocellus, and a transverse line midway between the antennal scrobes. Mesosoma and metasoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow except all coxae and mid and hind femora which are brown. Fore wings faintly infuscate along the submarginal and the marginal veins; submarginal and marginal veins darker in contrast to the paler stigmal vein.


Figure 6. Encarsia aphania: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Morphology: Head (Figure 6A) with mediofrontal line complete, though fading towards anterior ocellus; transfacial and facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes with longitudinally aciculate sculpture centrally, smooth laterally. Antennal formula (Figure 6B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.39x pedicel; pedicel 2x F1; F1 0.8x F2; F2 equal to F3; funicle 0.67x clava; F4 and F5 partly fused; F6 broadly oblique; claval sensorial area present, indistinct. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 2-3; F5: 4; F6: 4. Mandibles (Figure 6A) with one large ventral tooth and a broad upper truncation; Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 6E) with 30-40 setae; each lateral lobe with one seta; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture
of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 6C) with two large setae and $4-5$ smaller setae on submarginal vein, $4-5$ setae in basal cell, $5-7$ setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and $2-3$ setae at the distal part of the base. Linea calva present. Submarginal 0.9 x marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing 2.6 x fore wing width; maximum width of fore wing $5.2 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 6F) 1.6x mid tibial length; third valvula $0.4 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.3 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 6D) as long as corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,1+1,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and $5-6$ setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 6 F) with a pointed, extended apex covering ovipositor.

Distribution: BELIZE: Cayo District; COSTA RICA: Puntarenas.
Hosts: Aleurodicinae: Aleurodicus pulvinatus (Maskell), Azuraleurodicus pentarthrus Martin; Nealeurodicus altissimus (Hempel).

Material examined: Holotype ㅇ BELIZE, Cayo District, Chiquibul Forest Reserve, Las Cuevas-Monkey Tail trail, 5.iii. 1996 (J.H. Martin 6747) ex Azuraleurodicus pentarthus (NHMUK). 13 ¢ BELIZE, Cayo Las Cuevas, monkey tail trail, 5.iii. 1996 (J.H. Martin 6747) ex Azuraleurodicus pentarthus [s27, s22, DNA218: OQ683546]. 19 BELIZE, Cayo Chiquibul Fr., Monkey tail trail, $21 . i \mathrm{ii} .2003$ (J.H. Martin 7768) ex Nealeurodicus altissimus on Inga sp. (all NHMUK). $1+9$ COSTA RICA, Puntarenas, Est. Altamira send. Los Gigantes, 9.vii. 2001 (D. Rubi 63984) [DNA213: OQ683545] 1.460 m , LS 331800572100 (MZUCR)

Remarks: Encarsia aphania presents a unique combination of characters and appears to have no very close relatives. Morphologically it is closest to E. larensis but is easily distinguishable by the much longer third valvulae relative to the second valvifers (compare Figures 5F and 15F). DNA sequences were obtained from two specimens from Belize (type locality) and Costa Rica, Puntarenas; deposited under GenBank accession numbers: OQ683546, OQ683545.

### 3.3.4. Encarsia avida Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 7A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae pale brown, darker on F5-F6 and the scape, pedicel, and radicle. Head brown, paler along the sutures. Mesosoma dark brown with posterior three-quarters of scutellum pale. Metasoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow with all coxae, femora, and anterior half of fore leg tibiae brown; all tarsi pale. Wings infuscate below marginal vein; submarginal and marginal veins darker in contrast with the stigmal vein paler.

Morphology: Head (Figure 7A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line obscure; facial lines present, narrow. Scrobes with longitudinally aciculate sculpture centrally, smooth laterally. Antenna formula (Figure 7B): 1, 1,3,3; scape $2.5 x$ pedicel length; pedicel 1.95x F1; F1 0.66x F2; F2 equal to F3; funicle 0.6x clava; F6 perpendicular. Claval sensorial area present, distinct. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1-2; F3: 1; F4: 2; F5: 3; F6: 3. Mandibles (Figure 7A) with two small ventral teeth and a truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 7E) with 40-50 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 7C) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, four setae in basal cell, eight setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal 0.67 x marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing 2.8 x fore wing width; maximum width of wing 4.7 x longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 7F) 1.6x mid tibial length; third valvulae 0.4 x ovipositor length; second valvifer 1.5 x third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 7D) $0.8 x$ corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and 4 setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 7F) extended and covering ovipositor (damaged in holotype).

Distribution: COSTA RICA: Heredia.
Material examined: Holotype $q$ COSTA RICA, Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva, $10^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$ N 84ำ1́ W 75 m 27-28.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA143: OQ683547] (NHMUK).


Figure 7. Encarsia avida: (A) head; (B) antenna, arrow: claval sensory area; (C) fore wing; (D) midleg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Remarks: Encarsia avida appears morphologically close to E. acusa with which it shares the color pattern (mesoscutellum anteriorly dark) and wing and antennal morphology.

The ovipositor in E. acusa is longer ( 1.8 x mid tibia; 1.6 x in E. avida). The most easily appreciated difference is in the sculpture of the frons: E. avida has scattered, shallow horizontal grooves (Figure 7A) while E. acusa has very dense horizontal grooves (Figure 4A). A similar difference in sculpture is evident on the lateral face. The two species are wellseparated based on DNA (Figure 1) with E. avida coming out as sister to E. aphania with high support ( $95 \%$ ). DNA sequence from holotype deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683547.

Etymology: From "avida -us" meaning "greedy" (Latin).

### 3.3.5. Encarsia catula Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 8A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae brown. Head dark brown. Mesosoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow with mid and hind femora, coxae, and anterior half of tibiae brown, fore leg femora, coxae, and tibiae dark, all tarsi pale. Wings infuscate below marginal vein, submarginal and marginal veins dark, stigmal vein paler.


Figure 8. Encarsia catula: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) midleg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Morphology: Head (Figure 8A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line broad; facial lines present, narrow. Scrobes with longitudinally aciculate sculpture centrally, irregularly aciculate basally. Antenna formula (Figure 8B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape expanded, 3.1x pedicel length; pedicel $2 x$ F1; F1 0.9x F2; F2 0.8x F3; funicle $0.48 x$ clava; F6 oblique, claval sensorial area present. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 0; F3: 1; F4: 3; F5: 3; F6: 3. Mandibles (Figure 8A) with one large ventral tooth and a broad truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 8E) with fewer than 30 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 8C) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, four setae in basal cell, six setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal equal to marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.38 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing $4.64 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 8 F ) 1.48 x mid tibial length; third valvulae 0.46 x ovipositor length; third valvulae $0.46 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.2 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 8D) 1.1 x corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,2+2,1+2+1$, $1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 8 F ) extended although apparently not covering ovipositor.

Distribution: COSTA RICA: Limon.
Material examined: Holotype $q$ COSTA RICA, Limon, Hitoy-Cerere $90^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 83^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, 21-22.iii. 2006 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 278: OQ683547] (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia catula shares aspects of its morphology with E. marynoyesae, but can be distinguished by having fewer than 30 setae on the mesoscutum, and third valvulae more than $\frac{1}{2}$ the length of second valvifers (less than $\frac{1}{2}$ as long in E. marynoyesae). The two species are relatively close based on DNA (Figure 1). DNA sequence from the holotype is deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683547.

Etymology: From "catula" meaning dog/whelp (Latin).

### 3.3.6. Encarsia cylindrica Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 9A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae pale brown, slightly darker on F6, F1 and the base of the scape, pedicel, and radicle. Head dark brown. Mesosoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow with femora and coxae brown, fore legs with dark tibiae, all tarsi pale. Wings infuscate below submarginal vein, marginal and stigmal veins pale.

Morphology: Head (Figure 9A) with mediofrontal line incomplete, extending halfway to anterior ocellus; transfacial line obscure; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes with faint longitudinal sculpture apically, irregular/lateral sculpture basally. Antennal formula (Figure 9B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.75x pedicel length; pedicel equal to F1; F1 0.85x F2; F2 equal to F3; funicle 0.86x clava; F6 perpendicular. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 2; F2: 2; F3: 2; F4: 2; F5: 3; F6: 3. Mandibles (Figure 9A) with two small teeth and a broad truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 9E) with about 40-50 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 9C) with two large setae on submarginal vein and 11 smaller setae, six setae in basal cell, 11 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva absent. Submarginal $0.62 x$ times marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.7 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing $5.87 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 9 F ) equal to mid tibial length; third valvula 0.45 x ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.3 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 9D) 1.1x corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1,1+1,1+1,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and six setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 9F) rounded, not extended but covering ovipositor.

Distribution: BRAZIL: Minas Gerais; COSTA RICA: Puntareñas, San Juan; JAMAICA.

Host: Aleurodicinae: Aleurodicus jamaicensis Cockerell.
Material examined: Holotype $q$ COSTA RICA, San Juan, Ciudad Colon, Heredia El Rodeo coll parataxonomist 16.ii. 1991 [DNA 211] (NHMUK). Paratype 1 1 COSTA RICA, Puntareñas R.F. Golfo Dulce, 24 km W. Piedras Blancas [DNA 209] (MZUCR). 4 q JAMAICA, Fair Prospect, xii. 1968 (K. Heinze) ex Aleurodicus jamaicensis [s10] (on 1 slide, USNM). 8 ¢ BRAZIL, Vicosa, Minas Gerais, 6.xi. 1935 (E.J. Hambleton) ex Aleurothrixus floccosus (?) (on one slide, one head missing, USNM).

Remarks: Encarsia cylindrica appears to be most closely related to E. erwini, with which it shares the elongate antenna and lack of a linea calva. It differs from E. erwini in having many more setae on the mesoscutum.

Etymology. "cylindrica" refers to the almost uniformly elongate antenna.


Figure 9. Encarsia cylindrica: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) midleg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

### 3.3.7. Encarsia diablejo (Polaszek and Hayat) comb. n.

(Figure 10A-F)
Dirphys diablejo Polaszek and Hayat, 1992: 189
Female. Unknown. This species is known only from the holotype.
Male. Colour: Antennae uniformly light brown, slightly darker on the base of the scape, pedicel, and radicle. Head brown with paler areas bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards the clypeus. Mesosoma and metasoma uniformly brown. Legs light brown, the mid and hind tibia pale in contrast to the dark femora; all tarsi pale. Fore wings hyaline, stigmal vein pale in contrast with a darker marginal vein.


Figure 10. Encarsia diablejo: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) midleg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) male genitalia.

Morphology:. Head (Figure 10A) with mediofrontal line complete, though fading towards anterior ocellus; transfacial line complete, narrow; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes entirely with irregular aciculate sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 10B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.94x pedicel, F1 subequal to pedicel, F1 0.88X F2, F2 and F3 subequal; funicle 0.89 x clava length. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 7; F2: 6; F3: 7; F4: 8; F5: 9; F6: 7. Mandibles (Figure 10A) with two large pointed teeth and a truncation; maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 10E) with more than 60 setae; each lateral lobe with one seta; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae and two vestigial setal bases. Sculpture of mesoscutum transverse. Fore wing (Figure 10C) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, five setae in basal cell, 11 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein. Linea calva absent. Submarginal 0.79x marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing 2.48 x fore wing width, maximum width of fore wing $7 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Mid tibial spur (Figure 10D) as long as corresponding basitarsus.

Distribution: PERU: Loreto.
Host: Unknown.
Material examined: Holotype or PERU, Loreto, Iquitos, Granja Unap, 9.ii. 1984 (L. Huggert BM 1984-337) [s26] (NHMUK).

Remarks: For the purposes of the identification key, we have assumed that the (unknown) female of E. diablejo shares the wing and mesosomal sculpture characters with the male; the combination of which is unique in the Encarsia mexicana species-group.

### 3.3.8. Encarsia dichaeta Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 11A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae light brown, slightly darker on the base of the scape, pedicel, and radicle. Head dark brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus. Mesosoma and metasoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow with femora and coxa brown, tibia, and all tarsi pale. Wings infuscate below submarginal and marginal veins, stigmal vein pale.

Morphology: Head (Figure 11A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line narrow; facial lines relatively narrow along their entire lengths. Scrobes with irregular aciculate sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 11B): antennal formula: 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.59x pedicel length; pedicel 1.85x F1; F1 0.85x F2; F2 0.8x F3; funicle $0.65 x$ clava length; F6 perpendicular. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1:0; F2:1; F3:1; F4:1-2; F5:1-2; F6:1-2. Mandibles (Figure 11A) with two small teeth and a truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 11E) with more than 50 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with two setae scutellum with four setae andtwo vestigial setal bases. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 11C) with two large setae and $7-8$ smaller setae on submarginal vein, 14 setae in basal cell, eight setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva absent. Submarginal $0.85 x$ times marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.48 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing $5.45 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 11F) 0.93x mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.45 x$ ovipositor; second valvifer $1.6 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 11D) equal to corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1,1+1,1+1,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and 12 setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 11F) rounded, not extended but covering ovipositor.

Distribution: BRAZIL: Bahia; COSTA RICA: Guanacaste, Alajuela, Heredia; ECUADOR: Napo River.

Host: Aleurodicinae: Aleurodicus flavus Hempel, Aleurodicus sp.
Material examined: Holotype 1 it COSTA RICA, Alajuela, P.N. Arenal Sendero Pilon, $10^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 600 \mathrm{~m} 25 . \mathrm{ii} .2003$ (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 136: OQ683552] (NHMUK). Paratypes 3 ㅇ COSTA RICA, Alajuela, P.N. Arenal, Sendero Pilon, $10^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 600 m 25.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes), [DNA 132: OQ683550, 133: OQ683552, 135: OQ683550]
( $2 \nrightarrow$ NHMUK, 1 여 MZUCR). 1 여 COSTA RICA, Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva, $10^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $84^{\circ} 01^{\prime}$ W 75 m 27-28.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA151: OQ683549] (UCRC); 19 COSTA RICA, P.N. Guanacaste, Est. Pitilla (ACG), $11^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ N. $85^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$ W. 700 m MT/YPT (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 208: OQ683551] (NHMUK). 27¢¢ BRAZIL, Bahia (Gregorio Bondar nº65b) ex Aleurodicus flavus (on 5 slides; USNM). $5 \nrightarrow$ ECUADOR, Napo, Camino Añangucocha, 29.iii. 04 (H. Evans) ex Aleurodicus sp. (NHMUK).

Remarks: There are some colour differences between the Costa Rican specimens and those from Brazil, the latter having the metasoma distally paler. Further studies on fresh material, in particular DNA sequencing, will be needed to confirm their status. DNA sequences were obtained from the holotype and five paratypes, deposited under GenBank accession numbers: OQ683550, OQ683552, OQ683551 and OQ683549 (three paratype specimens were pooled for extraction).

Etymology. "dichaeta" refers to the two setae on each axilla, unique for the genus.


Figure 11. Encarsia dichaeta: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) midleg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

### 3.3.9. Encarsia encantadora (Polaszek and Hayat) comb. n.

(Figure 12A-F)


Figure 12. Encarsia encantadora: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) midleg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Dirphys encantadora Polaszek and Hayat, 1992: 191.
Female. Colour: Antennae pale brown/yellow with dark scape and radicle. Head brown with paler areas bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards the clypeus, antennal scrobes, a line from the apex of the scrobes to the median ocellus, and a transverse line midway between the antennal sockets and the median ocellus. Mesosoma brown in holotype but with posterior three-quarters of scutellum pale in Mexican specimens. Legs light brown, the mid and hind tibiae pale in contrast to the dark femora and coxa, all tarsi pale. Wings hyaline, faintly infuscate below the marginal vein, stigmal vein pale in contrast with a darker marginal vein.

Morphology: Head (Figure 12A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line evident; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes with longitudinally aciculate sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 12B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.3x pedicel length; pedicel equal to F1; F1 to F3 funicle segments all subequal in length; funicle 0.75 x clava length; F6 perpendicular. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 1-2; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 2-3; F5: 3; F6: 4. Mandibles (Figure 12A) with two small ventral teeth and a broad truncation dorsally. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 12E) with fewer than 30 setae; each lateral lobe with three setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 12C) with two setae on submarginal vein, 7-9 setae in basal cell, 7-11 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva absent. Submarginal $0.73 x$ times marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing 2.52 x fore wing width, maximum width of fore wing $6.9 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 12F) 0.82x mid tibial length; third valvula $0.55 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $0.79 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 12D) $0.87 x$ corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1,1+1,1+1,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 12F) rounded and covering ovipositor third valvula.

Distribution: ECUADOR: Napo; MEXICO: Tabasco.
Host: Aleurodicinae: Nealeurodicus altissimus (Quaintance)
Material examined: Holotype $\&$ ECUADOR, Napo, Sacha, 5.iii. 1983 (L. Huggert) (NHMUK). 1̊, fragments of a second 9 : MEXICO, Tabasco, San Francisco del Peal, 1.vii. 1897 (C.H.T. Townsend) ex Nealeurodicus altissimus (Quaintance) on Lippia myriophala Schltdl. and Cham. (USNM; on slide with E. mexicana type material).

Remarks: Encarsia encantadora is morphologically closest to E. erwini, differing from that species mainly in having the third valvulae longer than the second valvifers. The fore wing is also broader in E. encantadora, especially measured relative to the longest wing fringe setae (compare Figures 11C and 12C).

### 3.3.10. Encarsia erwini Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 13A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae entirely pale, only the scape and radicle dark. Head dark brown. Mesosoma uniformly dark brown. Legs entirely pale except all coxae brown (female paratype with some infuscation on the hind femora).

Morphology: Head (Figure 13A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial and facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes with irregularly aciculate sculpture centrally. Antennal formula (Figure 13B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.4 x pedicel length; pedicel 1.2 x F1 equal to F2; F2 equal to F3; funicle 0.77x clava; F6 perpendicular. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 1; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 1; F5: 2; F6: 2. Mandibles (Figure 13A) with 2 ventral teeth and a truncation dorsally. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 13E) with about 18 setae; each lateral lobe with three setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum and axillae longitudinally aciculate; sculpture of scutellum longitudinal, transverse apically. Fore wing (Figure 13C) with two large setae on submarginal vein and five smaller setae above, six setae in basal cell, seven setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one large seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva absent. Submarginal vein approximately equal in length to marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing 2.9 x fore wing width, maximum width of wing $3.75 x$ longest seta on marginal fringe.

Ovipositor (Figure 13F) equal to mid tibial length; third valvula 0.44 x ovipositor length; second valvifer 1.3x third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 13D) 1.0x corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1,1+1,1+1,1+2+1,2+2$ and seven setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 13F) conical, not extended but just covering ovipositor.

Distribution: ECUADOR: Napo.

Material examined: Holotype $q$ ECUADOR, Napo, transect ent. 1 km S. Onkone Gare Camp, Res. Etnica Waorani $220 \mathrm{~m} 0^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} 76^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W TL Erwin et al. fogging t.f. forest. Lot 1255 8.x. 1995 (UCRC; 52715). Paratype $\uparrow$, same data as holotype except 4.x. 1996 (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia erwini appears to be most closely related to E. cylindrica, with which it shares the elongate antenna and lack of a linea calva. It differs from E. cylindrica in having far fewer setae on the mesoscutum. E. erwini is also morphologically close to E. encantadora, differing from that species mainly in having the third valvulae shorter than the second valvifers. The fore wing is also broader in E. encantadora, especially measured relative to the longest wing fringe setae.

Etymology: Named for the late Terry Erwin (1940-2020), prolific collector of insects, especially in the rain forest canopy of Ecuador.


Figure 13. Encarsia erwini: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) midleg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.
3.3.11. Encarsia fredbennetti Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.
(Figure 14A-E)


Figure 14. Encarsia fredbennetti: (A) fore wing; (B) mid leg; (C) dorsal mesosoma; (D) antenna; (E) ovipositor.

Female. Colour: Antennae uniformly pale brown. Head brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus. Mesosoma dark brown with most of scutellum and post-scutellum pale; metasoma uniformly brown. Legs yellow with dark coxae, femora and anterior third of hind leg tibia. Wings infuscate below marginal vein, stigmal vein pale in contrast with darker marginal vein.

Morphology: Head (not shown) with all facial lines obscure in holotype (head absent in paratypes). Scrobes with longitudinally aciculate sculpture. Scrobes with longitudinally aciculate sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 14D): 1, 1,3,3 (though could be interpreted as 1, 1, 2, 4); scape 2.39x pedicel length; pedicel 1.9x F1; F1 0.8x F2; F2 0.9x F3; funicle $0.6 x$ clava; F6 perpendicular. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 2-3; F5: 3; F6: 3. Mandibles with one ventral tooth and a truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 14C) with 30-40 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 14A) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, 4-5 setae in basal cell, seven setae on anterior margin of marginal vein. Linea calva present. Submarginal $0.93 x$ marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $3.8 x$ fore
wing width, maximum width of wing 3.7 x longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 14E) $1.3 x$ mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.5 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer equal to third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 14B) equal to corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 14E) extended, covering ovipositor.

Distribution: TRINIDAD: St Augustine.
Host: Aleurodicinae.
Material examined: Holotype $\&$ TRINIDAD, [St Augustine] ICTA [Imperial College of Tropical Agriculture] xii. 1953 FD Bennett ex whitefly on cocoa (NHMUK); Paratype ¢ TRINIDAD, St Augustine, ex Aleurodicinae [DNA215: OQ683559] (NHMUK); Paratype ¢ TRINIDAD, Mt St Benedict, ex whitefly Coll. M. Jagroep [DNA216] (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia fredbennetti is morphologically closest to E. mexicana and E. inbioa from which it differs by the enlarged clava. It is also molecularly closest to E. mexicana. Deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683559.

Etymology: Named for the late Fred D. Bennett (1925-2021), former Director of the Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control and avid collector of parasitoids during much of his long life.

### 3.3.12. Encarsia inbioa Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 15A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae light brown slightly darker at F5 and F6, the base of the scape, pedicel, and radicle. Head brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus. Mesosoma dark brown but with posterior quarter of scutellum pale; metasoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow with dark coxae, femora and anterior third of hind leg tibia. Wings infuscate below marginal vein, stigmal vein pale in contrast with the darker marginal vein.

Morphology: Head (Figure 15A) with mediofrontal line incomplete, reaching to less than half the distance to the frontal ocellus; transfacial line evident, narrow; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths, particularly at the level of the lower eye. Scrobes with aciculate/reticulate sculpture basally and centrally, smooth apically and apico-laterally. Antennal formula (Figure 15C): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.39x pedicel length; pedicel 1.9x F1; F1 0.8x F2; F2 0.9x F3; funicle 0.6x clava; F6 perpendicular. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 2-3; F5: 3; F6: 3. Mandibles (Figure 15A) with two large teeth and a truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 15E) with 30-40 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 15B) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, $4-5$ setae in basal cell, seven setae on anterior margin of marginal vein. Linea calva is present. Submarginal $0.93 x$ marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $3.8 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing 3.7 x longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 15F) $1.3 x$ mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.5 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer equal to third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 15D) equal to corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 15F) extended and covering ovipositor.

Distribution: COSTA RICA: Alajuela.
Material examined: Holotype $q$ COSTA RICA, Alajuela, P.N. Arenal, Sendero Pilon, 26.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 128: OQ683553] $600 \mathrm{~m} 10^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia inbioa is morphologically closest to E. fredbennetti from which it differs by the non-enlarged clava. It is, perhaps surprisingly, molecularly closest to E. svetlana. Deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683553.

Etymology: Named for INBio (Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad) the national institute for biodiversity and conservation in Costa Rica.


Figure 15. Encarsia inbioa: (A) head; (B) fore wing; (C) antenna, arrow: claval sensory area; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

### 3.3.13. Encarsia larensis (Chavez) comb. n.

Dirphys larensis Chavez, 1996: 11
(Figure 16A-F)
Female. Colour:. Antennae pale brown with slightly darker clava and pedicel. Head dark brown with paler areas bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards the clypeus. Mesosoma and metasoma uniformly dark brown, third valvulae dark brown contrasting with the rest of ovipositor. Legs pale, mid and hind femur, and coxae brown, anterior third of mid leg tibia brown. Wings infuscate below the submarginal and marginal vein; marginal and stigmal veins dark.


Figure 16. Encarsia larensis: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Morphology: Head (Figure 16A) with mediofrontal line complete, reaching to the frontal ocellus; other facial lines obscure in paratypes examined due to mounting method. Scrobes with coarse longitudinal aciculate sculpture becoming irregular towards clypeus. Antennal formula (Figure 16B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape expanded, 2.4-2.5x pedicel length; pedicel 1.9x F1; F1 equal to F2; F2 0.8x F3; funicle 0.58x clava; F6 oblique.

Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 0; F3: 1; F4: 4; F5: 5-6; F6: 4. Mandibles (not shown) with one large ventral tooth and a bidentate upper tooth.

Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 16E) with 46-60 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae and two vestigial setal bases. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 16C) with two large setae and 3-4 smaller setae on submarginal vein, $7-9$ setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal equal to marginal vein; Maximum length of fore wing $2.54 x$ fore wing width; maximum width of fore wing 6.7x longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 16F) 1.30x mid tibial length; third valvula $0.28 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $2.4 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 16D) 1.15x corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with 0, $2+2$, $2+2,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 16F) rounded not covering ovipositor third valvula.

Male. Colour: Head light brown. Mesosoma and gaster uniformly brown but posterior third of mesoscutum, anterior third of axillae and scutellum yellow. Legs brown. Fore wings hyaline.

Morphology: Similar to that of female, except antennal formula, flagellum with longitudinal sensilla on all segments and funicle segments subequal in length.

Distribution: VENEZUELA: Cabudare, Lara.
Host: Aleurodicinae: Aleurodicus pulvinatus (Maskell).
Material examined: 1우, 10': VENEZUELA, Cabudare, Lara, i. 1994 (A. Chavez and F. Díaz) ex Aleurodicus pulvinatus on Hura crepitans L. (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia larensis appears morphologically closest to E. marynoyesae from which it differs in having a much longer funicle, and shorter ovipositor. No molecular data were available for this species. Chavez (1996) recorded 16 individuals of $E$. larensis within a single whitefly host.

### 3.3.14. Encarsia marynoyesae Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 17A-F)


Figure 17. Encarsia marynoyesae: (A) head; (B) antenna, arrow: claval sensory area; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Female. Colour: Antennae brown with F1 and F2 paler. Head dark brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus. Mesosoma uniformly dark brown. Legs yellow with mid and hind femora, coxa and anterior third of tibia brown, all tarsi pale. Wings slightly infuscated below anterior half of submarginal vein, stigmal vein pale in contrast with a darker marginal vein.

Morphology: Head (Figure 17A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line narrow; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes with irregular aciculate sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 17B): 1, 1,3,3; scape slightly expanded, 2.53x pedicel length; pedicel 2.85x F1; F1 equal to F2; F2 0.8x F3; funicle 0.36x clava; F5 and F6 strongly oblique, claval sensorial complex developed. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 0-1; F3: 1; F4: 3; F5: 4; F6: 4. Mandibles (Figure 17A) with one small ventral tooth and a bidentate upper tooth. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 17E) with approximately 40 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae and two vestigial bases. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 17C) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, four setae in basal cell, 6-7 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal equal to marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing 2.57 x fore wing width, maximum width of wing $6.2 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 17F) 1.4 x mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.35 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer 1.9x third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 17D) 1.07x corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1$, $1+1,1+1,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and 4 setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 17F) extended although apparently not covering ovipositor.

Distribution: COSTA RICA (Alajuela).
Material examined: Holotype q COSTA RICA, Alajuela, Est. Caribe Reserva Rincón Forestal, 19-20.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 163: OQ683563] $400 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 85^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (NHMUK). Paratype $1+$ COSTA RICA, Alajuela, Est. Caribe Reserva Rincón Forestal, 19-20.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA167] (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia marynoyesae is morphologically very similar to E. aisha in many respects (though distant to it based on DNA). The species can be distinguished by E. marynoyesae having the second valvifers almost $2 x(1.8)$ the third valvulae; while they are $1.5 x$ as long in E. aisha. In E. marynoyesae the clava is well over $2 x$ the length of the funicle; in E. aisha it is less than $2 x$ as long. E. marynoyesae also shares aspects of morphology with E. catula, but can be distinguished by having more than 30 setae on the mesoscutum, and V3 less than $\frac{1}{2}$ the length of V2 (much more than $\frac{1}{2}$ as long in E. catula). Sequence deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683563.

Etymology. Named for Mary Noyes MBE (Member of the Order of the British Empire).

### 3.3.15. Encarsia mendesi (Polaszek and Hayat) comb. n.

(Figure 18A-F)
Dirphys mendesi, Polaszek and Hayat 1992: 191
Female. Colour: Antennae brown, paler on their ventral halves. Head dark brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus, antennal scrobes, a line from the apex of the scrobes to the median ocellus, and a transverse line midway between the antennal sockets and the median ocellus centrally bordering the dorsal end of antennal scrobes. Mesosoma and metasoma uniformly dark brown. Legs pale yellow, with coxae and hind femora dark brown. Wings infuscated below the submarginal and marginal vein; stigmal vein pale in contrast with a darker marginal vein.


Figure 18. Encarsia mendesi: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Morphology: Head (Figure 18A) with mediofrontal line complete check, ocellus; transfacial line evident; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths, especially at level of lower eye and adjacent to genae. Scrobes largely smooth, some irregular sculpture centrally. Antenna (Figure 18B): with funicle apparently absent, so the entire flagellum clavate (antennal formula therefore 1, 1, 6); scape expanded, 2.3-2.8x pedicel length; pedicel 2.4x F1; F1 0.9x F2; F2 0.8x F3; funicle $0.5 x$ clava; F5 and F6 broadly oblique, claval sensorial complex developed. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 3; F5: 4; F6: 3. Mandibles missing from holotype; paratype (male) apparently with 2 teeth. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 18E) with fewer than 30 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum transverse. Fore wing (Figure 18C) with two large setae and 2-3 smaller setae on submarginal vein, $3-5$ setae in basal cell, $7-11$ setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea clava present. Submarginal equal to marginal vein; maximum width of fore wing $2.56 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing $4.6 x$ longest seta on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 18F) $0.8 x$ mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.3 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $2.13 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 18D) equal to corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1,1+1,1+1,1+2+1$, $1+2+1$ and six setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 18F) rounded covering ovipositor third valvula.

Male. All aspects of coloration and morphology as for female, except the antennae and genitalic characters.

Distribution: BRAZIL: São Paulo.

Host: Aleurodicinae: Aleurodicus maritimus Hempel.
Material examined: Holotype $\circ$ BRAZIL, São Paulo, Mogi-Guazu, 12.v. 1981 (M. Cytrynowicz) 84/8 ex Aleurodicus maritimus (NHMUK). Paratype 10 BRAZIL, São Paulo, Mogi-Guazu, 12.v. 1981 (M. Cytrynowicz) $84 / 8$ ex Aleurodicus maritimus. $1 \sigma^{\pi} 19$ BRAZIL, São Paulo, E.E. Mogi-Guazu, 12.v. 1981 (M. Cytrynowicz) 94 ex Aleurodicus maritimus on Bauhinia holophylla (Bong.) (Fabaceae) (all NHMUK).

Remarks. Morphologically E. mendesi appears closest to E. marynoyesae having the entire flagellum more or less clavate. It differs from that species by the very short ovipositor. No molecular data were available for $E$. mendesi.
3.3.16. Encarsia mexicana (Howard) comb. n.
(Figure 19A-F)


Figure 19. Encarsia mexicana: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Mesidia mexicana Howard, 1907: 74
Dirphys mexicana (Howard, 1914): 81
Female. Colour: Antennae pale brown, slightly darker on the base of the scape and radicle. Head dark brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus, antennal scrobes, a line from the apex of the scrobes to the median ocellus, and a transverse line midway between the antennal sockets and the median ocellus centrally bordering the dorsal end of antennal scrobes. Mesosoma and metasoma dark brown, with the posterior two-thirds of the scutellum and sides of the metanotum yellow. Legs yellow, with mid and hind coxae and femora partly brown. Wings slightly infuscated below the marginal vein; submarginal, marginal and stigmal veins dark.

Morphology: Head (Figure 19A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line narrow; facial lines very broad adjacent to genae. Scrobes almost entirely with longitudinal sculpture. Antennal formula (Figure 19B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape slightly expanded, $2.45 x$ pedicel length; pedicel 1.9x F1; F1 0.8X F2; F2 0.9x F3; funicle 0.57X clava length; F6 slightly oblique, claval sensorial complex developed. Flagellum with the following numbers of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 3; F5: 3-4; F6: 4-5. Mandibles (Figure 19A) with two teeth and a truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 19E) with 30-40 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 19C) with two large setae and 3-4 smaller setae on submarginal vein, 3-7 setae in basal cell, 7-10 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal $0.85 x$ marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.7 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing 4.65 x longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 19F) length $1.3 x$ mid tibial length; third valvula $0.5 x$ ovipositor; second valvifer $1.3 x$ third valvula; Mid tibial spur (Figure 19D) 0.9x corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with 0, $2+2,2+2,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 19F) elongate covering third valvula of ovipositor.

Distribution: COSTA RICA: Limon, Heredia, Arenal, Alajuela; MEXICO: Tabasco.
Host: Nealeurodicus altissimus (Quaintance) (=Ceraleurodicus altissimus).
Material examined: Holotype. ¢ MEXICO, Tabasco, San Francisco del Peal. 1.vii. 1887 (C.H. Townsend) (USNM). Examined. 69 [compared with type series AP xi.90] MEXICO, Tabasco, San Francisco del Peal, 1.vii. 1897 (C.H.T. Townsend) ex Nealeurodicus altissimus [reared from Lippia myriocephala] (NHMUK, USNM). 1 q COSTA RICA, Heredia, Est. Biol. La Selva, 27-28.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) $75 \mathrm{~m} 10^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. [DNA 144: OQ683560] (NHMUK); 19 COSTA RICA, Arenal, Sen. Pilon, $26 . i i .2003$ (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 52] (NHMUK); 19 COSTA RICA, Alajuela, Est. Caribe R. Rincón Forestal, 19-20.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes) $400 \mathrm{~m}, 10^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 85^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ [DNA 166] (NHMUK); 1q COSTA RICA, Alajuela, P.N. Arenal, send. Pilon, 26.ii. 2003 (J.S. Noyes), $600 \mathrm{~m} 10^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$; [DNA 129] (MZUCR).

Remarks: Encarsia mexicana is morphologically closest to E. fredbennetti from which it differs by the non-enlarged clava. It is also molecularly closest to $E$. fredbennetti. Sequence data deposited at GenBank accession number: OQ683560.

### 3.3.17. Encarsia napo Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 20A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae pale brown, slightly darker on the base of the clava, scape, pedicel, and radicle. Head dark brown. Mesosoma and metasoma uniformly dark brown with the posterior two-thirds of the scutellum and sides of the metanotum yellow. Legs yellow, with hind femur, posterior half of mid femur and anterior half of fore femur light brown, all tarsi pale. Wings hyaline, submarginal vein pale in contrast with darker marginal and stigmal veins.

Morphology: Head (Figure 20A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line evident, broad laterally and tapering towards the middle; facial lines extremely broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes largely smooth, some irregular sculpture centrally. Anten-
nal formula (Figure 19B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2.2x pedicel length; pedicel 1.3x F1; F1 0.88x F2; F2 equal to F3; funicle 0.7x clava; F6 perpendicular. Mandibles (Figure 20A) with two minute teeth and a truncation. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 1; F2:1; F3: 1 F4: 2 F5: 3; F6: 3. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 20E) with fewer than 20 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae and two vestigial setal bases. Sculpture of mesoscutum, axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, $4-5$ setae in basal cell, $7-8$ setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva absent. Submarginal 0.80x times marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.68 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing $4.79 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 20F) 0.8x mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.45 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.2 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 20D) $0.9 x$ corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1,1+1,1+1,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and six setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 20F) rounded, not extended but covering ovipositor.


Figure 20. Encarsia napo: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Distribution: ECUADOR: Napo River.
Material examined: Holotype $q$ ECUADOR, Napo, transect Ent. 1 km S Onkone Gare Camp, Res. Etnica Waorani, $220 \mathrm{~m} 0^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} 76^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ T.L. Erwin et al. fogging tf forest lot 1193 5.v. 1995 [DNA314] (NHMUK). Paratypes 2 slides $q$ ECUADOR, Napo, transect Ent. 1 km S Onkone Gare Camp, Res. Etnica Waorani, $220 \mathrm{~m} 0^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} 76^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ T.L. Erwin et al. fogging tf forest lot 1193 5.v. 1995 [DNA 312, 313] (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia napo is morphologically closest to E. erwini from which it differs by the partly pale mesoscutellum. No molecular data were available for $E$. napo.

Etymology: Named for the Napo River (Rio Napo) on which the type locality is located.
3.3.18. Encarsia noora Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.
(Figure 21A-E)


Figure 21. Encarsia noora: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal habitus.

Female. Colour: Antennae pale yellow. Head brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus. Mesosoma brown but with posterior three-quarters of scutellum pale. Metasoma uniformly light brown. Legs pale yellow; hind coxae and femora brown. Wings hyaline, slightly infuscated below marginal vein; stigmal vein pale in contrast with a darker marginal vein.

Morphology: Head (Figure 21A) with mediofrontal line incomplete, reaching less than halfway to frontal ocellus, transfacial line evident; facial lines very broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes almost entirely smooth, some irregular transverse sculpture basally. Antennal formula (Figure 21B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape 2x pedicel length; pedicel 2.2x F1; F1 1.2x F2; F2 0.7x F3; funicle 0.6x clava; F6 slightly oblique, claval sensorial complex apparently present. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 0; F3: 1-2; F4: 3; F5: 4; F6: 4. Mandibles (Figure 21A) with two min teeth and a truncation. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 21E) with fewer than 30 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 21C) with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, eight setae in basal cell, 7-8 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma; a group of long setae below marginal vein. Linea calva present. Submarginal 0.8x marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.58 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing $6.39 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 21E) 1.34x mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.43 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.2 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 21D) $0.9 x$ corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 21E) extended although apparently not covering ovipositor.

Distribution: TRINIDAD: Mt St Benedict, St Augustine.
Material examined: Holotype $\&$ TRINIDAD, I.C.T.A. [Imperial College of Tropical Agriculture, St. Augustine] xii. 1953 (F.D. Bennett) [s9] ex whitefly on cocoa. ?Coccophagus sp. Det. FDB [identified as Coccophagus, F.D. Bennett] Imperial Parasite Service (NHMUK). Paratypes 2 q TRINIDAD, Mt. St. Benedict, 18.x. 1997 (M. Jagroep) [DNA 126: OQ683561] ex whitefly; [DNA 215b] ex Aleurodicinae (NHMUK).

Remarks: Encarsia noora is morphologically closest to E. catula, differing by the longer F1 in E. noora. Molecularly sister species to E. aisha. Sequence deposited at GenBank accession number: OQ683561.

Etymology: Named for Noora, daughter of the second author (EHS), and sister to Aisha; see E. aisha, above.

### 3.3.19. Encarsia svetlana Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 22A-F)
Female. Colour: Antennae light brown slightly darker on F6 and radicle. Head brown with pale lines bordering the eyes and extending along the genae towards clypeus. Mesosoma dark brown but with scutellum and sides of the metanotum yellow. Metasoma light brown. Legs uniformly pale yellow. Wings infuscated below marginal vein, stigmal vein pale in contrast with a darker marginal vein.

Morphology: Head (Figure 22A) with mediofrontal line complete; transfacial line narrow; facial lines very broad at level of lower eyes and adjacent to genae. Scrobes with longitudinal aciculate sculpture in the upper half, transverse aciculate sculpture in the lower half. Antennal formula (Figure 22B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape $2.25 x$ pedicel length; pedicel 2.36 x F1; F1 0.86x F2; F2 0.9x F3; funicle $0.49 x$ clava length; F6 broadly oblique, claval sensorial complex developed. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 1; F5: 4; F6: 4. Mandibles (Figure 22A) with 2 very large ventral teeth and a small truncation, appearing bidentate. Maxillary palps 2-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 22E) with fewer than 30 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with one seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum, axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing (Figure 22C) with two large setae and four smaller setae
on submarginal vein, four setae in basal cell, 6-7 setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal 0.9 x marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing 2.5 x fore wing width, maximum width of wing $3.85 x$ longest setae on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 22F) $0.6 x$ mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.95 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer 1.1x third valvula. Mid tibial spur (Figure 22D) $0.9 x$ corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,2+2,2+2,1+1,1+1+1,1+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 22F) apex rounded not covering ovipositor.

Distribution: GUYANA: Dubulay Ranch.
Material examined: Holotype $¢$ GUYANA, Dubulay Ranch, 17-22.i. 1999 (M. Sharkey and B. Brown) [DNA 305: OQ683558] Univ. Calif. Riverside, Ent. Res. Museum UCR ENT $1828585^{\circ} 40.954^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 57^{\circ} 51.524^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (UCRC).


Figure 22. Encarsia svetlana: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Remarks: Encarsia svetlana is morphologically closest to E. venia from which it differs in having an F3 transverse, and its scape is entirely pale. Sequence data deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683558.

Etymology: Named for Svetlana Myartseva, prolific describer of Mexican Aphelinidae, including many Encarsia species.

### 3.3.20. Encarsia venia Polaszek and Hernández-Suárez sp. n.

(Figure 23A-F)


Figure 23. Encarsia venia: (A) head; (B) antenna; (C) fore wing; (D) mid leg; (E) dorsal mesosoma; (F) ovipositor.

Female. Colour: Antennae light brown, slightly darker on scape and radicle. Head dark brown. Mesosoma and metasoma dark brown, with entire scutellum and sides of the metanotum yellow. Legs uniformly pale yellow. Wings hyaline, slightly infuscated below marginal vein.

Morphology: Head (Figure 23A) with mediofrontal line complete, very narrow towards frontal ocellus; transfacial line narrow; facial lines broad along their entire lengths. Scrobes with longitudinal aciculate sculpture in the upper half, transverse aciculate sculpture in the lower half. Antennal formula (Figure 23B): 1, 1, 3, 3; scape $2.4 x$ pedicel length; pedicel 2x F1; F1 0.7x F2; F2 1.1x F3; funicle 0.6x clava; F6 slightly oblique, claval sensorial complex apparently present. Flagellum with the following number of longitudinal sensilla: F1: 0; F2: 1; F3: 1; F4: 2; F5: 3; F6: 3. Mandibles (Figure 23A) with one very large ventral tooth and an upper bidentate tooth. Maxillary palps two-segmented. Mid-lobe of mesoscutum (Figure 23E) with fewer than 30 setae; each lateral lobe with two setae; each axilla with 1 seta; scutellum with four setae. Sculpture of mesoscutum aciculate; sculpture of axillae and scutellum longitudinal. Fore wing with two large setae and four smaller setae on submarginal vein, $3-4$ setae in basal cell, $7-8$ setae on anterior margin of marginal vein, and one seta at the junction of the submarginal vein and parastigma. Linea calva present. Submarginal equal to marginal vein. Maximum length of fore wing $2.8 x$ fore wing width, maximum width of wing $4.5 x$ longest seta on marginal fringe. Ovipositor (Figure 23F) 1.4x mid tibial length; third valvulae $0.4 x$ ovipositor length; second valvifer $1.3 x$ third valvula. Mid tibial (Figure 23D) spur equal to corresponding basitarsus. Metasomal terga T1-T7 with $0,1+1,1+1,2+2,1+2+1,1+2+1$ and four setae, respectively. T7 (Figure 23F) apex extended but not covering ovipositor entirely. Distribution: COSTA RICA: Heredia.

Material examined: Holotype $\uparrow$ COSTA RICA, Limon, Parque Nacional Cahuita 2 m , 26.ii. 2004 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 298: OQ683557] $9^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 82^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (NHMUK). 1 it COSTA RICA, Limon, Hitoy-Cerere, 21-22.iii. 2006 (J.S. Noyes) [DNA 267] $90^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 83^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (NHMUK). 1 1q COSTA RICA, Heredia La Selva, 22.i-2.ii. 1991 (J.S. Noyes).

Remarks: Morphologically closest to E. svetlana from which it differs in having F3 quadrate (transverse in E. svetlana and a dark scape. Sequence deposited under GenBank accession number: OQ683557.

Etymology: From Latin venia meaning "kindness".

### 3.3.21. Encarsia myartsevae Kresslein and Polaszek nom. nov.

Remarks: Myartseva (2007) originally described this species as Encarsia mexicana Myartseva. In synonymizing Dirphys and Encarsia, this species name becomes preoccupied by the new combination Encarsia mexicana (Howard, 1907). As a result, we rename this species Encarsia myartsevae nom. nov. in honor of the species' author, Svetlana Myartseva, for her contributions to the systematics of Encarsia and in particular her dedication to providing accounts of their host associations. Encarsia myartsevae nom. nov. is not a member of the Encarsia mexicana species-group, instead belonging to the opulenta speciesgroup, and is not discussed further in this revision.

### 3.4. Key to the species of the Encarsia mexicana species-group: Females

1. Linea calva absent (Figure 9C) ..... 2
Linea calva present (Figure 4E) ..... 7
2. Two setae on axilla (Figure 11E) E. dichaeta
One seta on axilla (Figure 4C) ..... 3
3. Mesoscutum with transverse sculpture (Figure 10E) E. diablejo *
Mesoscutum with aciculate (Figure 9E) or longitudinal (e.g., Figure 12E) sculpture ..... 4
4. Mid lobe of mesoscutum with more than 30 setae (Figure 9E) E. cylindrica

- Mid lobe of mesoscutum with fewer than 30 setae (e.g., Figure 12E) ..... 5

5. Third valvulae longer than second valvifers (Figure 12F) E. encantadora

- Thirds valvulae shorter than second valvifers (e.g., Figure 13F). ..... 6

6. Mesoscutellum entirely dark (Figure 13E); hind femora pale ..... E. erwini
Mesoscutellum pale in lower half (Figure 20E); hind femora dark E. napo
7. Mid lobe of mesoscutum with fewer than 30 setae (e.g., Figure 18E). ..... 8

- Mid lobe of mesoscutum with more than 30 setae (e.g., Figure 17E) ..... 12

8. Ovipositor shorter than mid tibia E. mendesi
Ovipositor longer than mid tibia .....  9
9. Mesoscutellum entirely pale (e.g., Figure 22E) ..... 10
Mesoscutellum entirely dark (e.g., Figure 8E) ..... 11
10. F3 wider than long; scape pale (Figure 22B) E. svetlana

- F3 quadrate; scape dark (Figure 23B) ..... E. venia

11. F1 shorter than, or equal to, F2 (Figure 8B) E. catula

- F1 longer than F2 (Figure 21B) ..... E. noora

12. F1 equal in length to F2, or longer ..... 13
F1 shorter than F2 ..... 15
13. Suture between F5 and F6 oblique (e.g., Figure 17B) ..... 14

- $\quad$ Suture between F5 and F6 perpendicular (Figure 16B) ..... E. larensis

14. Second valvifers almost $2 x$ length third valvulae (Figure 17F) E. marynoyesae

- $\quad$ Second valvifers about $1.5 x$ length third valvulae (Figure 5F) ..... E. aisha

15. Mesoscutellum entirely dark ..... E. aphania

- Mesoscutellum at least partly pale (e.g., Figure 14C) ..... 16

16. Ovipositor more than 1.8 x mid tibia (Figure 4B) ..... E. acusa
Ovipositor less than 1.6x mid tibia ..... 17
17. Fore wing infuscate posterior to the marginal vein, and with robust setae proximad linea calva (e.g., Figure 15B) ..... 18

- Fore wing lacking the above characters states ..... 19

18. Antenna with clava enlarged; $3.5 x$ longer than wide (Figure 14D) ..... E. fredbennetti
Antenna with clava not enlarged; $2.3 x$ longer than wide (Figure 15C) ..... E. inbioa
19. Antenna with scape and F6 dark (Figure 7B) ..... E. avida

- Antenna with scape and F6 pale (Figure 19B) E. mexicana
* Unknown female of E. diablejo assumed to share mesosomal sculpture with the male. An accompanying multiple entry key can be found at: https:/ / rkres001.github.io/rkres001.encarsiamexicanakey/ (accessed on 6 June 2023).


## 4. Discussion

All taxa of Encarsia mexicana species-group recovered sister to Encarsia dichaeta have a linea calva present on the fore wing (absent in E. dichaeta; unknown D2672, and DNA.0165). The presence or absence of a linea clava may represent a local synapomorphy for these two clades within the mexicana-group. All taxa which lack a linea calva also lack the enlargement of the clava of the antenna common in this species-group, though Encarsia noora possesses a linea calva in the absence of an enlarged clava. Further phylogenetic analyses and sequence capture for the five other species without linea calva (E. diablejo, E. cylindrica, E. encantadora, E. erwini and E. napo) will be necessary to determine the informativeness of these characters. Other evident characters appear phylogenetically uninformative. Some surprises include E. svetlana appearing well-removed from E. venia while their morphology is extremely similar. E. aisha and $E$. noora despite being DNA sister species have markedly different flagellar shapes.

With the placement of Dirphys syn. n. in the middle of Encarsia, the genus expands to 473 described species. Establishment of the Encarsia mexicana species-group further increases the difficulty with which the genus can be recognized. In particular, the genus now contains species with a linea calva on the fore wing, and parasitoids with a gregarious life history, further expanding the already broad host range of the genus. The future classification of Encarsia at large will rely upon its clarification by robust phylogenetic hypotheses built upon large molecular datasets (Kresslein et al. unpublished). As the phylogeny of Encarsia is further resolved, it will be necessary to revisit the current classification of the genus and determine whether alternative generic classifications would allow for greater diagnosability of the taxa therein.
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