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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we give a new simple proof on the sharp bounds of coefficient functionals related to the Carathéodory functions and make a correction on the extremal functions. The result is further used to investigate some initial coefficient bounds on a subclass of bounded turning functions $\mathcal{R}_{\wp}$ associated with a cardioid domain. For functions in this class, we calculate the bounds of the Fekete-Szegö-type inequality and the second- and third-order Hankel determinants. All the results are proved to be sharp.
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## 1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ represent the family of functions which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}=$ $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the subfamily of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of functions in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ indicates the class of the class of all functions $p$ that are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\Re(p(z))>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, it is a Carathéodory function. Assume that the set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$ contains all univalent functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Using the Koebe theorem, it is known that for each univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, there exist an inverse function $f^{-1}$ defined at least on a disc of radius $1 / 4$ with the Taylor's series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w):=w+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} w^{n}, \quad|w|<\frac{1}{4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two functions $F_{1}, F_{2} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, we say $F_{1}$ is subordinate to $F_{2}$, written by $F_{1} \prec F_{2}$, if there exists a function $u$ which is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $u(0)=0$ and $|u(z)|<1$, such that
$F_{1}(z)=F_{2}(u(z)), z \in \mathbb{D}$. The function $u$ is called a Schwarz function. In the case of $F_{2}$ being univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, then we have the relation

$$
F_{1}(z) \prec F_{2}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad F_{1}(0)=F_{2}(0) \quad \text { and } \quad F_{1}(\mathbb{D}) \subset F_{2}(\mathbb{D}) .
$$

In geometric function theory, the most basic and important subfamilies of the set $\mathcal{S}$ are the family $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ of starlike functions, the family $\mathcal{C}$ of convex functions and the family $\mathcal{R}$ of bounded turning functions. The interested readers are referred to [1], (Chapter II) . In 1994, Ma and Minda [2] introduced a class of analytic univalent functions $\varphi(z)$, which maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the starlike domain with respect to $\varphi(0)=1$ in the right half plane and is symmetric about the real axis. The Ma and Minda classes of $\mathcal{C}(\varphi), \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ are characterized, respectively, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(\varphi) & :=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \varphi(z)\right\}, \\
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi) & :=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z)\right\}, \\
\mathcal{R}(\varphi) & :=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: f^{\prime}(z) \prec \varphi(z)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

see [3,4]. By considering different image domains $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$, various classes $\mathcal{C}(\varphi), \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ of univalent functions were considered in recent years. For example, setting $\varphi(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$, we obtain the class $\mathcal{S}_{L}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\sqrt{1+z})$, which represents the collection of functions in the class $\mathcal{A}$ that $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ lies in the domain bounded by the lemniscate of Bernoulli $\left|w^{2}-1\right|=1$, see [5]. Choosing $\tilde{\varphi}=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}, \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\tilde{\varphi})$ is the class of parabolic starlike functions. For functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}$, its image of $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ under $\mathbb{D}$ is the parabolic domain given by $\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \Re(w)>|w-1|\}$, see [6]. The class $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(1+\frac{4}{3} z+\frac{2}{3} z^{2}\right)$ is a collection of starlike functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ lies in the domain bounded by the cardiod $\Omega_{c}=\left\{u+i v:\left(9 u^{2}+9 v^{2}-18 u+5\right)^{2}-16\left(9 u^{2}+9 v^{2}-6 u+1\right)=0\right\}$; for further reading we refer to [7]. In [8], Wani and Swaminathan investigated the class $\mathcal{S}_{N e}^{*}=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(1+z-\frac{1}{3} z^{3}\right)$, consisting of functions associated with a nephroid domain. For other related works, see, for instance, [9-11]. Recently, S. Sivaprasad Kumar et al. [12] introduced and studied a class of starlike functions defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}^{*}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+z e^{z}=: \wp(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\wp(z)$ maps the unit disk onto a cardioid domain.
Motivated by the above works, we now consider a subfamily $\mathcal{R}_{\wp}$ of bounded turning functions defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\wp}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: f^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+z e^{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For given parameters $q, n \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \cdots\}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{q, n}(f)$ was defined by Pommerenke $[13,14]$ for a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ of the form (1) as

$$
H_{q, n}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{n+q-1}  \tag{6}\\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \ldots & a_{n+q} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \ldots & a_{n+2 q-2}
\end{array}\right|, \quad a_{1}=1
$$

The upper bounds of $\left|H_{q, n}(f)\right|$ have been investigated for different subclasses of univalent functions. By applying Schwarz Lemma [15,16], Selin Aydinoğlua and Bülent Nafi Örnek [17] determined the sharp bounds of Hankel determinant $\mathcal{H}_{2,1}(f)=a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}$ for the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, defined by the condition $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\left|\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right|<1$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Of note, the Hankel determinant $\mathcal{H}_{2,1}(f)$ is also known as Fekete-Szegö inequality. The absolute sharp bounds of the functional $H_{2,2}(f)=a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}$ were found in $[18,19]$ for each of the sets $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{R}$. The Hankel determinant of order three is given as

$$
H_{3,1}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{2} & a_{3}  \tag{7}\\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5}
\end{array}\right|=-a_{5} a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}-a_{3}^{3}+a_{5} a_{3}-a_{4}^{2} .
$$

The estimation of the determinant $\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right|$ seems a little harder compared to the bound of $\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right|$, see [20-22]. In 2010, Babalola [23] obtained the upper bound of $\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right|$ for the families of $\mathcal{S}^{*}, \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{R}$. Later on, many authors obtained non-sharp bounds on $\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right|$ for different subfamilies of univalent functions, see, for example, [24-26]. The sharp bound of the third Hankel determinant for convex functions $\mathcal{C}$ was obtained in [27]. For $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$, the upper bound of $\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right|$ was finally proved to be $\frac{4}{9}$ by Kowalczyk et al. [28]. For the bounded turning functions $\mathcal{R}$, the sharp upper bound of third Hankel determinant was calculated to be $\frac{1}{4}$ in [29]. For some subclasses of convex functions, starlike functions and bounded turning functions, some sharp bounds of third Hankel determinant were also obtained in [30-33].

In the current article, our main goal is to calculate the sharp bounds on some initial coefficients for the class $\mathcal{R}_{\wp}$ of bounded turning functions linked with a cardioid domain. We also obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequality, and the sharp bounds of the second- and third-order Hankel determinants for this class. In proof of our results, we give a new simple proof of an estimation for the Carathéodory function and correct an error on the extremal function in Lemma 2.1 of [34].

## 2. A Set of Lemmas

The key to the proof of our results is the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([35]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by (2). Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 c_{2} & =c_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{8}\\
4 c_{3} & =c_{1}^{3}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{1} x-c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta  \tag{9}\\
8 c_{4} & =c_{1}^{4}+\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) x\left[c_{1}^{2}\left(x^{2}-3 x+3\right)+4 x\right]-4\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \\
\cdot & {\left[c_{1}(x-1) \delta+\bar{x} \delta^{2}-\left(1-|\delta|^{2}\right) \rho\right] } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

for some complex numbers $x, \delta$ and $\rho$, such that $|x| \leq 1,|\delta| \leq 1$ and $|\rho| \leq 1$.
Lemma 2 ([36]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ has the form (2), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2 \text { for } n \geq 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3 ([37]). For any complex number $\mu$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n+k}-\mu c_{n} c_{k}\right| \leq 2 \max \{1,|2 \mu-1|\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 ([38]). Let $\omega(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{k} z^{k}$ be a Schwarz function. Then, for real numbers $\mu$ and $v$, we have the following sharp estimate given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\omega)=\left|w_{3}+\mu w_{1} w_{2}+v w_{1}^{3}\right| \leq \Phi(\mu, v) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(\mu, v)$ is defined by

$$
\Phi(\mu, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1, & (\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{1} \cup \mathbb{D}_{2} \cup\{(2,1)\}  \tag{14}\\
|v|, & (\mu, v) \in \bigcup_{k=3}^{7} \mathbb{D}_{k} \\
\frac{2}{3}(|\mu|+1) \sqrt{\frac{|\mu|+1}{3(|\mu|+1+v)}}, & (\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{8} \cup \mathbb{D}_{9} \\
\frac{1}{3} v\left(\frac{\mu^{2}-4}{\mu^{2}-4 v}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\mu^{2}-4}{3(v-1)}}, & (\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{10} \cup \mathbb{D}_{11} \backslash\{(2,1)\} \\
\frac{2}{3}(|v|-1) \sqrt{\frac{|\mu|-1}{3(|\mu|-1-v)}}, & (\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{12}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{D}_{1}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \leq \frac{1}{2},-1 \leq v \leq 1\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{2}=\left\{(\mu, v): \frac{1}{2} \leq|\mu| \leq 2, \frac{4}{27}(|\mu|+1)^{3}-(|\mu|+1) \leq v \leq 1\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{3}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \leq \frac{1}{2}, v \leq-1\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{4}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \geq \frac{1}{2}, v \leq-\frac{2}{3}(|\mu|+1)\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{5}=\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \leq 2, v \geq 1\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{6}=\left\{(\mu, v): 2 \leq|\mu| \leq 4, v \geq \frac{1}{12}\left(\mu^{2}+8\right)\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{7}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \geq 4, v \geq \frac{2}{3}(|\mu|-1)\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{D}_{8}=\left\{(\mu, v): \frac{1}{2} \leq|\mu| \leq 2,-\frac{2}{3}(|\mu|+1) \leq v \leq \frac{4}{27}(|\mu|+1)^{3}-(|\mu|+1)\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{9}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \geq 2,-\frac{2}{3}(|\mu|+1) \leq v \leq \frac{2|\mu|(|\mu|+1)}{\mu^{2}+2|\mu|+4}\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{10}=\left\{(\mu, v): 2 \leq|\mu| \leq 4, \frac{2|\mu|(|\mu|+1)}{\mu^{2}+2|\mu|+4} \leq v \leq \frac{1}{12}\left(\mu^{2}+8\right)\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{11}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \geq 4, \frac{2|\mu|(|\mu|+1)}{\mu^{2}+2|\mu|+4} \leq v \leq \frac{2|\mu|(|\mu|-1)}{\mu^{2}-2|\mu|+4}\right\} \\
& \mathbb{D}_{12}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \geq 4, \frac{2|\mu|(|\mu|-1)}{\mu^{2}-2|\mu|+4} \leq v \leq \frac{2}{3}(|\mu|-1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following Lemma was obtained by Virendra Kumar et al. [34] in 2019. As the authors point out, it is of independent interest as well. Unfortunately, there are some minor mistakes on the extremal function. Next, we will give a new more simple proof of this result using Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. Let $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, for any real number $\sigma$,

$$
\left|\sigma p_{3}-p_{1}^{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}2|\sigma-4|, & \sigma<\frac{4}{3} \\ 2 \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}, & \sigma \geq \frac{4}{3}\end{cases}
$$

The above estimate is sharp.
Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Suppose that $\omega(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}$. Clearly, $\omega(0)=0$. Since $p(z)$ lies in the right half plane and $\frac{z-1}{z+1}$ maps the right half plane to the unit disk, we know $|\omega(z)|<1$. Thus, $\omega$ is a Schwarz function. Assume that

$$
\omega(z)=w_{1} z+w_{2} z^{2}+w_{3} z^{3}+w_{4} z^{4}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

From the fact that

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}=1+2 w_{1} z+\left(2 w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(2 w_{1}^{3}+4 w_{2} w_{1}+2 w_{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots,
$$

we have $p_{1}=2 w_{1}, p_{2}=2\left(w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}\right)$ and $p_{3}=2\left(w_{3}+2 w_{2} w_{1}+w_{1}^{3}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\sigma p_{3}-p_{1}^{3}=2 \sigma w_{3}+4 \sigma w_{1} w_{2}+(2 \sigma-8) w_{1}^{3} .
$$

As $\sigma=0$ the proof is trivial, we assume that $\sigma \neq 0$ in the following. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma p_{3}-p_{1}^{3}\right|=2|\sigma|\left|w_{3}+2 w_{1} w_{2}+\frac{\sigma-4}{\sigma} w_{1}^{3}\right| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu=2, v=\frac{\sigma-4}{\sigma}$. Clearly, $(\mu, v)$ is only possible to lie in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{4}, \mathbb{D}_{5}, \mathbb{D}_{6}, \mathbb{D}_{8}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{9}$, which can be further specified as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{D}_{4}=\left\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \geq \frac{1}{2}, v \leq-2\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{D}_{5}=\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \leq 2, v \geq 1\}, \\
& \mathbb{D}_{6}=\{(\mu, v): 2 \leq|\mu| \leq 4, v \geq 1\}, \\
& \mathbb{D}_{8}=\left\{(\mu, v): \frac{1}{2} \leq|\mu| \leq 2,-2 \leq v \leq 1\right\}, \\
& \mathbb{D}_{9}=\{(\mu, v):|\mu| \geq 2,-2 \leq v \leq 1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\sigma<0$, it is observed that $v>1$ and $(\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{5} \cup \mathbb{D}_{6}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{3}+2 w_{1} w_{2}+\frac{\sigma-4}{\sigma} w_{1}^{3}\right| \leq|v|=\frac{\sigma-4}{\sigma} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\sigma<\frac{4}{3}$, we see $v<-2$ and $(\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{4}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{3}+2 w_{1} w_{2}+\frac{\sigma-4}{\sigma} w_{1}^{3}\right| \leq|v|=-\frac{\sigma-4}{\sigma} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sigma \geq \frac{4}{3}$, we know $-2 \leq v<1$ and $(\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{8} \cup \mathbb{D}_{9}$. Then we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{3}+2 w_{1} w_{2}+\frac{\sigma-4}{\sigma} w_{1}^{3}\right| \leq \frac{2}{3}(|\mu|+1) \sqrt{\frac{|\mu|+1}{3(|\mu|+1+v)}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (15)-(18), the result of Lemma 5 follows.
Remark 1. In [34], the authors gave an extremal function $f$ given by

$$
f(z)=\frac{1-z^{2}}{1-2 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} z+z^{2}}, \quad \sigma>\frac{4}{3}
$$

Let $q=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$. It is seen that

$$
f(z)=1+2 q z+2\left(2 q^{2}-1\right) z^{2}+2 q\left(4 q^{2}-3\right) z^{3}+2\left(8 q^{4}-8 q^{2}+1\right) z^{4}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

We know $f \notin \mathcal{P}$ because $c_{1}=2 q>2$. Hence, the extremal function is not correct, since it is not a Carathéodory function. Indeed, the extremal function $\widehat{f}$ for $\sigma>\frac{4}{3}$ can be defined by taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(z)=1+\hat{p}_{1} z+\hat{p}_{2} z^{2}+\hat{p}_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{p}_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}, \hat{p}_{2}=-\frac{\sigma-2}{\sigma-1}$ and $\hat{p}_{3}=-\frac{2 \sigma-3}{\sigma} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}\right)^{3}}$.

## 3. Coefficient Bounds for the Family $\mathcal{R}_{\wp}$

We begin this section by finding the bounds on some initial coefficients for functions in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\wp}$.

Theorem 1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\wp}$ has the series representation of the form (1), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \\
& \left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{14}}{14} \approx 0.2673
\end{aligned}
$$

These bounds are best possible.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\wp}$. Then (5) can be written by Schwarz function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=1+\omega(z) e^{\omega(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z)=w_{1} z+w_{2} z^{2}+w_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+c_{4} z^{4}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen that $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}=\frac{c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+c_{4} z^{4}+\cdots}{2+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+c_{4} z^{4}+\cdots}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=1+2 a_{2} z+3 a_{3} z^{2}+4 a_{4} z^{3}+5 a_{5} z^{4}+\cdots . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By simplifications and using the series expansion of (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
1+\omega(z) e^{\omega(z)} & =1+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} z+\frac{1}{2} c_{2} z^{2}+\left(-\frac{1}{16} c_{1}^{3}+\frac{1}{2} c_{3}\right) z^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{24} c_{1}^{4}-\frac{3}{16} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{4}\right) z^{4}+\cdots . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (24) and (25), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}=\frac{1}{4} c_{1}  \tag{26}\\
& a_{3}=\frac{1}{6} c_{2}  \tag{27}\\
& a_{4}=\frac{1}{8}\left(-\frac{1}{8} c_{1}^{3}+c_{3}\right),  \tag{28}\\
& a_{5}=\frac{1}{10}\left(\frac{1}{12} c_{1}^{4}-\frac{3}{8} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+c_{4}\right) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

For $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$, implementing Lemma 2, we obtain $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}$. For $a_{4}$, an application of Lemma 5 leads us to $\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{8}{7}}=\frac{\sqrt{14}}{14}$. The equality of $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ are achieved by the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+t e^{t}\right) d t=z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{3} z^{3}+\frac{1}{8} z^{4}+\frac{1}{30} z^{5}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}  \tag{30}\\
& f_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+t^{2} e^{t^{2}}\right) d t=z+\frac{1}{3} z^{3}+\frac{1}{5} z^{5}+\frac{1}{14} z^{7}+\frac{1}{54} z^{9}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality on the bounds of $\left|a_{4}\right|$ is obtained by $f_{3}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\omega(t) e^{\omega(t)}\right) d t, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\frac{\sqrt{56}}{7} z-\frac{6}{7} z^{2}-\frac{26 \sqrt{14}}{49} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}(z)=z+\frac{\sqrt{14}}{14} z^{2}-\frac{1}{7} z^{3}-\frac{\sqrt{14}}{14} z^{4}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is thus completed.
Theorem 2. If $f$ is of the form (1) belonging to $\mathcal{R}_{\wp}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\gamma a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{1}{3},\left|\frac{3 \gamma-4}{12}\right|\right\}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

This inequality is sharp.

Proof. Employing (26) and (27), we may write

$$
\left|a_{3}-\gamma a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{6}\left|c_{2}-\frac{3}{8} \gamma c_{1}^{2}\right| .
$$

An application of (12) leads us to

$$
\left|a_{3}-\gamma a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{1}{3},\left|\frac{3 \gamma-4}{12}\right|\right\} .
$$

This result is sharp for the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ given by (30) and (31).
Theorem 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\wp}$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}
$$

This inequality is sharp with the extremal function $f_{4}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{4}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+t^{3} e^{t^{3}}\right) d t=z+\frac{1}{4} z^{4}+\frac{1}{7} z^{7}+\frac{1}{20} z^{10}+\frac{1}{78} z^{13}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (26)-(28), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|=\frac{1}{8}\left|c_{3}-\frac{1}{3} c_{1} c_{2}-\frac{1}{8} c_{1}^{3}\right| . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21) and (22), it is noted that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=2 w_{1}  \tag{37}\\
& c_{2}=2\left(w_{2}+w_{1}^{2}\right)  \tag{38}\\
& c_{3}=2\left(w_{3}+2 w_{1} w_{2}+w_{1}^{3}\right) . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|=\frac{1}{4}\left|w_{3}+\frac{4}{3} w_{1} w_{2}-\frac{1}{6} w_{1}^{3}\right| . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\mu=\frac{4}{3}$ and $v=-\frac{1}{6}$, we know $(\mu, v) \in \mathbb{D}_{2}$. Using Lemma 4, we easily obtain

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}
$$

Clearly, the bound is sharp with the extremal function given by (35).
Theorem 4. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\wp}$, then

$$
\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right|=\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{9} .
$$

The inequality is sharp with the extremal function given by (31).
Proof. From (26)-(28), we have

$$
H_{2,2}(f)=-\frac{1}{256} c_{1}^{4}+\frac{1}{32} c_{1} c_{3}-\frac{1}{36} c_{2}^{2}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\wp}$ and $f_{\theta}(z)=e^{-i \theta} f\left(e^{i \theta} z\right), \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $\left|H_{2,2}\left(f_{\theta}\right)\right|=\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right|$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, when estimating the upper bounds of $\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right|$, we may assume $a_{2}$ of $f$ to be real,
and thus $c_{1}:=c \in[0,2]$. Using (8) and (9) to express $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ in terms of $c_{1}=c$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right| & =\left\lvert\,-\frac{7}{2304} c^{4}+\frac{1}{576} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right) x-\frac{1}{1152}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}+32\right) x^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{64} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta \right\rvert\, .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the aid of the triangle inequality, replacing $|\delta| \leq 1,|x|=t \leq 1$ and taking $c \in[0,2]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right| & \leq \frac{7}{2304} c^{4}+\frac{1}{576} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right) t+\frac{1}{1152}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}+32\right) t^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{64} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)=: K(c, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is noted that

$$
\frac{\partial K}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{576} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{576}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}-18 c+32\right) t \geq 0
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$, thus $K(c, t) \leq K(c, 1)$. Putting $t=1$ gives

$$
\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right| \leq \frac{7}{2304} c^{4}+\frac{1}{576} c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{1152}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}+32\right)=: \chi(c)
$$

Since $\chi(c)=\frac{1}{2304}\left(c^{4}-40 c^{2}+256\right)$ and $\chi^{\prime}(c) \leq 0$ on $[0,2]$, we know $\chi$ is decreasing for $c \in[0,2]$ and

$$
\left|H_{2,2}(f)\right| \leq \chi(0)=\frac{1}{9}
$$

The equality is obtained by the extremal function defined by (31). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\wp}$ has the form (1), then

$$
\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right| \leq \frac{1}{16}
$$

This inequality is sharp with the extremal function $f_{4}$ given by (35).
Proof. From the definition, $H_{3,1}(f)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{3,1}(f)=2 a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}-a_{3}^{3}-a_{4}^{2}+a_{3} a_{5}-a_{2}^{2} a_{5} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c_{1}=c$. By putting (26)-(29) into (41), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{3,1}(f) & =\frac{1}{552,960}\left(-423 c^{6}+1344 c^{4} c_{2}+2160 c^{3} c_{3}-3456 c^{2} c_{2}^{2}-3456 c^{2} c_{4}\right. \\
& \left.+5760 c c_{2} c_{3}-2560 c_{2}^{3}+9216 c_{2} c_{4}-8640 c_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\wp}$ and $f_{\theta}=e^{-i \theta} f\left(e^{i \theta} z\right), \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $\left|H_{3,1}\left(f_{\theta}\right)\right|=\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right|$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we may also assume that $c \in[0,2]$. Suppose that $b=4-c^{2}$. Using (8)-(10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{3,1}(f) & =\frac{1}{552,960}\left\{-71 c^{6}+2304 b^{2} x^{3}-320 b^{3} x^{3}+576 c^{2} b x^{2}+144 c^{4} b x^{3}-612 c^{4} b x^{2}\right. \\
& +72 c^{4} b x+36 c^{2} b^{2} x^{4}-288 c^{2} b^{2} x^{3}-816 c^{2} b^{2} x^{2}-2160 b^{2}\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{2} \delta^{2} \\
& +576 c^{2} b\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\delta|^{2}\right) \rho-576 c^{3} b x\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta-576 c^{2} b \bar{x}\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta^{2} \\
& +936 c^{3} b\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta-144 c b^{2} x^{2}\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta-2304 b^{2}|x|^{2}\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta^{2} \\
& \left.-576 c b^{2} x\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) \delta+2304 b^{2} x\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\delta|^{2}\right) \rho\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho, x, \delta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}:=\{z:|z| \leq 1\}$. Observing that $H_{3,1}(f)$ can be written as

$$
H_{3,1}(f)=\frac{1}{552,960}\left[d_{1}(c, x)+d_{2}(c, x) \delta+d_{3}(c, x) \delta^{2}+\Phi(c, x, \delta) \rho\right]
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1}(c, x) & =-71 c^{6}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left[\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1024 x^{3}+32 c^{2} x^{3}+36 c^{2} x^{4}-816 c^{2} x^{2}\right)\right. \\
+ & \left.576 c^{2} x^{2}-612 c^{4} x^{2}+144 c^{4} x^{3}+72 c^{4} x\right], \\
d_{2}(c, x) & =72\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)\left[\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(-2 c x^{2}\right)-32 c x+13 c^{3}\right], \\
d_{3}(c, x)= & 144\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)\left[\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(-|x|^{2}-15\right)-4 c^{2} \bar{x}\right], \\
\Phi(c, x, \delta)= & 576\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)\left(1-|\delta|^{2}\right)\left[c^{2}+4 x\left(4-c^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $|x|=t,|\delta|=y$ and utilizing the fact $|\rho| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{552,960}\left[\left|d_{1}(c, x)\right|+\left|d_{2}(c, x)\right| y+\left|d_{3}(c, x)\right| y^{2}+|\Phi(c, x, \delta)|\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{552,960}[\Gamma(c, t, y)] \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma(c, t, y)=h_{1}(c, t)+h_{2}(c, t) y+h_{3}(c, t) y^{2}+h_{4}(c, t)\left(1-y^{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}(c, t) & =71 c^{6}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left[\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1024 t^{3}+32 c^{2} t^{3}+36 c^{2} t^{4}+816 c^{2} t^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+576 c^{2} t^{2}+144 c^{4} t^{3}+612 c^{4} t^{2}+72 c^{4} t\right] \\
h_{2}(c, t) & =72\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left[\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(2 c t^{2}\right)+13 c^{3}+32 c t\right], \\
h_{3}(c, t) & =144\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left[\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}+15\right)+4 c^{2} t\right], \\
h_{4}(c, t) & =576\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left[c^{2}+4 t\left(4-c^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we have to maximize $\Gamma$ in the closed cuboid $\Theta:=[0,2] \times[0,1] \times[0,1]$. It is not hard to see that $\Gamma(0,0,1)=34,560$. Thus, we have $\max _{(c, t, y) \in \Theta}\{\Gamma(c, t, y)\} \geq 34,560$. We aim to prove that the maximum values of $\Gamma$ with $(c, t, y) \in \Theta$ is simply equal to 34,560 . For this, we first show that the maximum value of $\Gamma$ is obtained on the face $y=1$ of $\Theta$.

On the face $t=1$, it reduces to $\Gamma(c, 1, y)=r_{1}(c)=127 c^{6}-3312 c^{4}+8256 c^{2}+16,384$. Then,

$$
\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial c}=6 c\left(127 c^{4}-2208 c^{2}+2752 c\right)
$$

Putting $\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial c}=0$, we obtain the only critical point $\hat{c}_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{1104-136 \sqrt{47}}{127}} \approx 1.1625$ for $c \in(0,2)$. Therefore, $\max r_{1}(c) \approx 21,805.95$ with the maximum value attained on $c=\hat{c}_{0}$. Thus, we assume that $t<1$. Furthermore, for the points on the face $c=2, \Gamma(2, t, y) \equiv 4544$ for all $(t, y) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Hence, we further assume that $c<2$.

Let $(c, t, y) \in[0,2) \times[0,1) \times[0,1]$. By differentiating $\Gamma$ partially with respect to $y$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y}=h_{2}(c, t)+2\left[h_{3}(c, t)-h_{4}(c, t)\right] y .
$$

Obviously, we have

$$
\left.\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0}=h_{2}(c, t) \geq 0
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}\right|_{y=1}=h_{2}(c, t)+2\left[h_{3}(c, t)-h_{4}(c, t)\right]=: \zeta_{1}(c, t) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted that

$$
\zeta_{1}(c, t)=72\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right) \zeta_{2}(c, t),
$$

where

$$
\zeta_{2}(c, t)=\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(2 c t^{2}+4 t^{2}+60-64 t\right)+13 c^{3}+32 c t+16 c^{2} t-16 c^{2}
$$

Clearly, we have

$$
\zeta_{2}(c, t) \geq\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(4 t^{2}+60-64 t\right)+13 c^{3}+16 c^{2} t-16 c^{2}=: \eta(c, t)
$$

Suppose that $\eta(c, t)=\eta_{0}+\eta_{1} t+\eta_{2} t^{2}$, where $\eta_{0}=240-76 c^{2}+13 c^{3}, \eta_{1}=80 c^{2}-256$ and $\eta_{2}=16-4 c^{2}$. Taking $\eta$ as a polynomial of degree 2 with respect to $t$, we know $\eta_{2}>0$ and the symmetric axis $t_{0}$ is defined as

$$
t_{0}=-\frac{\eta_{1}}{2 \eta_{2}}=\frac{2\left(16-5 c^{2}\right)}{4-c^{2}}
$$

Let $\tilde{c}_{0}=\frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}$. For $c \in\left[\tilde{c}_{0}, 2\right)$, it is observed that $t_{0} \leq 0$. Then, the minimum value of $\eta$ is achieved on $t=0$. We thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(c, t) \geq \eta(c, 0)=\eta_{0} \geq 40>0, \quad c \in\left[\tilde{c}_{0}, 2\right) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{c}_{0}=\frac{2 \sqrt{7}}{3}$. It is seen that $t_{0} \geq 1$ for $c \in\left[0, \bar{c}_{0}\right]$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(c, t) \geq \eta(c, 1)=\eta_{0}+\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}=13 c^{3} \geq 0, \quad c \in\left[0, \bar{c}_{0}\right] . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $c \in\left(\bar{c}_{0}, \tilde{c}_{0}\right)$. Then $t_{0} \in(0,1)$. Hence, the minimum value of $\eta$ is obtained on $t=t_{0}$. This leads to

$$
\eta(c, t) \geq \eta\left(c, t_{0}\right)=\eta_{0}-\frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{4 \eta_{2}}=\frac{\iota(c)}{4-c^{2}}
$$

where

$$
\iota(c)=-13 c^{5}-324 c^{4}+52 c^{3}+2016 c^{2}-3136, \quad c \in\left(\bar{c}_{0}, \tilde{c}_{0}\right) .
$$

It is calculated that $\iota$ achieves its minimum value of about 56.9731 on $c=\tilde{c}_{0}$, thus we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(c, t)>0, \quad c \in\left(\bar{c}_{0}, \tilde{c}_{0}\right) . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (45)-(47), we have $\eta(c, t) \geq 0$ on $[0,2) \times[0,1)$, which leads to $\zeta_{1}(c, t) \geq 0$ for all $(c, t) \in[0,2) \times[0,1)$. Therefore, we have $\left.\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y}\right|_{y=1} \geq 0$. As $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y}$ is a linear continuous function with respect to $y$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y} \geq \min \left\{\left.\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0},\left.\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y}\right|_{y=1}\right\} \geq 0, \quad y \in[0,1]
$$

Hence, $\Gamma(c, t, y) \leq \Gamma(c, t, 1)$ for all $(c, t, y) \in[0,2) \times[0,1) \times[0,1]$. Based on the above discussions, it reduces to find the global maximum value of $\Gamma$ on the face $y=1 \mathrm{of} \Theta$. On the face $y=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(c, t, 1) & =71 c^{6}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}\left[36\left(c^{2}-4 c-4\right) t^{4}+32\left(c^{2}+32\right) t^{3}+48\left(17 c^{2}+3 c-42\right) t^{2}+2160\right] \\
& +\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left[144 c\left(c^{3}-4 c-16\right) t^{3}+36 c^{2}\left(17 c^{2}-26 c+16\right) t^{2}+72 c\left(c^{3}+8 c+32\right) t+936 c^{3}\right] \\
& =: \Lambda(c, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

By observing that $c^{2}-4 c-4 \leq 0$ and $c^{3}-4 c-16 \leq 0$ for $c \in[0,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(c, t) & \leq 71 c^{6}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}\left[32\left(c^{2}+32\right) t^{3}+48\left(17 c^{2}+3 c-42\right) t^{2}+2160\right] \\
& +\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left[36 c^{2}\left(17 c^{2}-26 c+16\right) t^{2}+72 c\left(c^{3}+8 c+32\right) t+936 c^{3}\right] \\
& =Q(c, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, using $17 c^{2}-26 c+16 \geq 0, t^{3} \leq t^{2} \leq t$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(c, t) & \leq 71 c^{6}+\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}\left[32\left(c^{2}+32\right) t^{2}+48\left(17 c^{2}+3 c-42\right) t^{2}+2160\right] \\
& +\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left[36 c^{2}\left(17 c^{2}-26 c+16\right) t+72 c\left(c^{3}+8 c+32\right) t+936 c^{3}\right] \\
& =4\left(4-c^{2}\right) R(c, t)+71 c^{6}+2160\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}+936\left(4-c^{2}\right) c^{3} \\
& =W(c, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R(c, t)=4\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(53 c^{2}+9 c-62\right) t^{2}+9 c\left(19 c^{3}-26 c^{2}+32 c+64\right) t .
$$

Clearly, if $c \geq 1$, we have $53 c^{2}+9 c-62 \geq 0$ and $19 c^{3}-26 c^{2}+32 c+64 \geq 0$, which leads to

$$
R(c, t) \leq R(c, 1), \quad c \in[1,2)
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
W(c, t) \leq 4\left(4-c^{2}\right) R(c, 1)+71 c^{6}+2160\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}+936\left(4-c^{2}\right) c^{3}=: \varrho_{1}(c), \quad c \in[1,2)
$$

In virtue of $\varrho_{1}(c)=235 c^{6}+144 c^{5}-4032 c^{4}-3456 c^{3}+8832 c^{2}+11,520 c+18,688$ obtaining its maximum value of about $32,192.46$ on $c \approx 1.1053$ for $c \in[1,2)$, we have $\Lambda(c, t)<34,560$ on $[1,2) \times[0,1)$. Suppose that $c \in[0,1)$ and $m(c)=19 c^{3}-26 c^{2}+32 c+64$. It is noted that $m^{\prime}(c)=54 c^{2}-52 c+32 \geq 0$ for $c \in[0,1)$. Thus, we have $m(c) \in[64,89)$. Since $0<4-c^{2} \leq 4$ and $c^{2} \leq c$, it is not hard to see that

$$
R(c, t) \leq 992(c-1) t^{2}+801 c t=: V(c, t)
$$

Let $V(c, t)=v_{1} t+v_{2} t^{2}$, where $v_{1}=801 c$ and $v_{2}=992(c-1)$. Obviously, we have $v_{2}<0$. Considering $V$ as a polynomial of degree 2 with respect to $t$, we obtain the symmetric axis $\bar{t}_{0}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}_{0}=-\frac{v_{1}}{2 v_{2}}=\frac{801 c}{1984(1-c)} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $c>\dot{c}_{0}=\frac{1984}{2785} \approx 0.7124$, we have $\bar{t}_{0}>1$. Then, the maximum value of $V$ is attained on $t=1$, which implies that $V(c, t) \leq V(c, 1)=1793 c-992$. Then,

$$
W(c, t) \leq 4\left(4-c^{2}\right) V(c, 1)+71 c^{6}+2160\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}+936\left(4-c^{2}\right) c^{3}=: \varrho_{2}(c), \quad c \in\left[\dot{c}_{0}, 1\right) .
$$

It is calculated that

$$
\varrho_{2}(c)=71 c^{6}-936 c^{5}+2160 c^{4}-3428 c^{3}-13,312 c^{2}+28,688 c+18,688, \quad c \in\left[\dot{c}_{0}, 1\right)
$$

which obtains its maximum value of about $32,127.89$ on $c \approx 0.8966$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\Gamma(c, t)<34560, \quad(c, t) \in\left[\dot{c}_{0}, 1\right) \times[0,1) .
$$

For $c \in\left[0, \dot{c}_{0}\right)$, we have $t_{0} \in[0,1)$. Then, we obtain

$$
V(c, t) \leq-\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{4 v_{2}}=\frac{801^{2}}{3968} \cdot \frac{c^{2}}{1-c} \leq \frac{162 c^{2}}{1-c} \leq 162 c^{2}
$$

which yields to

$$
W(c, t) \leq 648\left(4-c^{2}\right) c^{2}+71 c^{6}+2160\left(4-c^{2}\right)^{2}+936\left(4-c^{2}\right) c^{3}=: \varrho_{3}(c), \quad c \in\left[0, \dot{c}_{0}\right) .
$$

In light of

$$
\varrho_{3}(c)=71 c^{6}-936 c^{5}+1512 c^{4}+3744 c^{3}-14,688 c^{2}+34,560, \quad c \in\left[0, \dot{c}_{0}\right)
$$

it is not hard to see that $\varrho_{3}$ achieves its maximum value 34,560 on $c=0$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$
\Lambda(c, t) \leq 34,560, \quad(c, t) \in[0,2) \times[0,1)
$$

From the above cases, we obtain

$$
\Gamma(c, t, y) \leq 34,560 \quad \text { on }[0,2] \times[0,1] \times[0,1] .
$$

Using (43), it follows that

$$
\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right| \leq \frac{1}{552,960}[\Gamma(c, t, y)] \leq \frac{1}{16}=0.0625
$$

The proof of Theorem 5 is thus completed.
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