

Article

Fixed Points of Some Asymptotically Regular Multivalued Mappings Satisfying a Kannan-Type Condition

Pradip Debnath ^{1,*} , Zoran D. Mitrović ²  and Hari Mohan Srivastava ^{3,4,5,*} 

¹ Department of Applied Science and Humanities, Assam University, Silchar Cachar, Assam 788011, India

² Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Banja Luka, Patre 5, 78 000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina; zoran.mitrovic@ef.unibl.org

³ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3R4, Canada

⁴ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

⁵ Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Azerbaijan University, 71 Jeyhun Hajibeyli Street, AZ1007 Baku, Azerbaijan

* Correspondence: debnath.pradip@yahoo.com (P.D.); harimsri@math.uvic.ca (H.M.S.)

Abstract: In this paper, we establish some existence of fixed-point results for some asymptotically regular multivalued mappings satisfying Kannan-type contractive condition without assuming compactness of the underlying metric space or continuity of the mapping.

Keywords: fixed point; multivalued map; Kannan-type contraction; complete metric space; boundedly compact; orbitally continuous mapping

MSC: 47H10; 54H25; 54E50



Citation: Debnath, P.; Mitrović, Z.D.; Srivastava, H.M. Fixed Points of Some Asymptotically Regular Multivalued Mappings Satisfying a Kannan-Type Condition. *Axioms* **2021**, *10*, 24. <https://doi.org/axioms10010024>

Academic Editor: Chris Goodrich

Received: 27 January 2021

Accepted: 20 February 2021

Published: 25 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Preliminaries

Kannan's famous generalization of Banach's contraction principle is as follows.

Theorem 1. [1] If Ψ is a self-map on a complete metric space (MS) (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) satisfying

$$\rho(\Psi\theta, \Psi\xi) \leq \tau[\rho(\theta, \Psi\theta) + \rho(\xi, \Psi\xi)],$$

where $\theta, \xi \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{2}$, then Ψ has a unique fixed point in \mathfrak{S} .

Such a mapping Ψ is said to be a Kannan map and it is not necessarily continuous. In [2], Kannan proved the above theorem by omitting the completeness criterion of the space and by assuming continuity of the map at a point.

Reich [3] generalized Banach and Kannan's fixed-point results as given below.

Theorem 2. Let (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) be a complete MS and $\Psi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ be a self-map. Suppose there exist nonnegative constants a, b, c satisfying $a + b + c < 1$ such that

$$\rho(\Psi\theta, \Psi\xi) \leq a\rho(\theta, \xi) + b\rho(\Psi\theta, \theta) + c\rho(\Psi\xi, \xi)$$

for all $\theta, \xi \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then Ψ has a unique fixed point.

In Reich's theorem, $b = c = 0$ yields Banach's result, whereas $b = c, a = 0$ produces Kannan's theorem.

Subhramanyam [4] used Kannan's theorem to characterize metric completeness. Kannan's theorem was further extended by many authors in different directions over the decades [5–10]. The concepts of continuity and compactness play significant roles in the

discussion of Kannan-type results. In this note, we rather try to present our results using the concepts of boundedly compact and orbitally compact MSs which are weaker properties than compactness. An MS is said to be boundedly compact if every bounded sequence in it has a convergent subsequence (see [11]).

Let (\mathfrak{X}, ρ) be a complete MS and let $CB(\mathfrak{X})$ denote the class of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of the nonempty set \mathfrak{X} . For $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in CB(\mathfrak{X})$, the function $\mathcal{H} : CB(\mathfrak{X}) \times CB(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \max\{\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}} \Delta(\xi, \mathcal{A}), \sup_{\delta \in \mathcal{A}} \Delta(\delta, \mathcal{B})\},$$

where $\Delta(\delta, \mathcal{B}) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}} \rho(\delta, \xi)$, is a metric on $CB(\mathfrak{X})$.

$v \in \mathfrak{X}$ is called a fixed point of the multivalued map $Y : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{X})$ if $v \in Yv$. For $\theta_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$, if the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is constructed in such a way that $\theta_{n+1} \in Y\theta_n$, then $O(Y, \theta_0) = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots\}$ is called an orbit of Y at θ_0 . A function $\psi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous if for any sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset O(Y, \theta_0)$ with $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ implies $\psi(\xi) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi_n)$ (see [12]).

A multivalued mapping $Y : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{X})$ is said to be asymptotically regular (AR, in short) at $\theta_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$, if for any sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset O(Y, \theta_0)$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}) = 0$ (see e.g., [13]). The mapping $Y : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{X})$ is said to be orbitally continuous (OC, in short) at a point $\theta_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$, if for any sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset O(Y, \theta_0)$, we have $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ (for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$) implies that $Y\xi_n \rightarrow Y\xi$ (see [14]). When Y is OC at all points of its domain, then it is called OC.

The following lemmas are significant in the present context.

Lemma 1 ([15,16]). *Let (\mathfrak{X}, ρ) be an MS and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in CB(\mathfrak{X})$. Then*

- (i) $\Delta(\theta, \mathcal{B}) \leq \rho(\theta, \gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\theta \in \mathfrak{X}$;
- (ii) $\Delta(\theta, \mathcal{B}) \leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ for any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 2 ([17]). *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in CB(\mathfrak{X})$ and let $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$. If $p > 0$, then there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that*

$$\rho(\theta, \xi) \leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) + p.$$

In general, we may not obtain a point $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\rho(\theta, \xi) \leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}).$$

But when \mathcal{B} is compact, then such a point ξ exists, i.e., $\rho(\theta, \xi) \leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

Lemma 3 ([17]). *Let $\{U_n\}$ be a sequence in $CB(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(U_n, U) = 0$ for some $U \in CB(\mathfrak{X})$. If $\mu_n \in U_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mu_n, \mu) = 0$ for some $\mu \in \mathfrak{X}$, then $\mu \in U$.*

Some significant developments in fixed points results for AR multivalued mappings may be found in [13,14,18–20].

Reich [21] proved some fixed-point theorems for multivalued maps using the concept of δ -distance instead of Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric, which is defined as follows: for $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in CB(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\delta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup\{\rho(\theta, \xi) : \theta \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Srivastava et al. [22] presented Krasnosel’skii type hybrid fixed-point theorems. Xu et al. [23] proved Schwarz lemma related to boundary fixed points. Very recently, Debnath and Srivastava [24] investigated common best proximity points for multivalued contractive pairs of mappings in connection with global optimization. Debnath and Srivastava [25] also proved new extensions of Kannan’s and Reich’s theorems in the context of multivalued mappings using Wardowski’s technique. Furthermore, an important use

of fixed points of $F(\psi, \varphi)$ -contractions to fractional differential equations was recently established by Srivastava et al. [26].

In the current paper, we present some fixed-point theorems for AR multivalued maps satisfying a Kannan-type condition in an MS. We assume that the MS is either boundedly compact or Y -orbitally compact. The orbital continuity of the mapping under consideration or orbital lower semi-continuity of Δ has been assumed as well. In Section 2, we present the results considering the Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric. Furthermore, in Section 3, we present alternate versions of these results considering the δ -distance, where some stronger conditions from Section 2 can be dropped.

2. Results with Respect to Pompeiu–Hausdorff Metric

First, we present a result where boundedly compactness of the MS is assumed.

Theorem 3. *Let (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) be a boundedly compact MS and the multivalued mapping $Y : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{S})$ be AR at a point $\theta_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ satisfying*

$$\mathcal{H}(Y\theta, Y\zeta) < \frac{1}{2} \{ \Delta(\theta, Y\theta) + \Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) \}$$

for all $\theta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) > 0$ and $\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) > 0$. Also let $\rho(u, v) \leq \mathcal{H}(Y\theta, Y\zeta)$ for all $u \in Y\theta$ and $v \in Y\zeta$.

If Y is OC or Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous, then $\text{Fix}(Y) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. We construct the orbit of Y at θ_0 as $O(Y, \theta_0)$ and consider the sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset O(Y, \theta_0)$. Let $r_n = \rho(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) > 0$.

Since Y is AR, we have $r_n \rightarrow 0$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\zeta_n, \zeta_m) &\leq \mathcal{H}(Y\zeta_{n-1}, Y\zeta_{m-1}) \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \{ \Delta(\zeta_{n-1}, Y\zeta_{n-1}) + \Delta(\zeta_{m-1}, Y\zeta_{m-1}) \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \rho(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) + \rho(\zeta_{m-1}, \zeta_m) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (r_{n-1}, r_{m-1}) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ is Cauchy and hence it is bounded. Since (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) is boundedly compact, $\{\zeta_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{\zeta_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Since $\{\zeta_n\}$ is Cauchy and its subsequence $\{\zeta_{n_k}\}$ converges to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$, we have that $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let Y be OC. Thus, we have that $Y\zeta_n \rightarrow Y\zeta$. But $\zeta_{n+1} \in Y\zeta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta_{n+1} \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, using Lemma 3, we conclude that $\zeta \in Y\zeta$.

Furthermore, if Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous, we have that

$$\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\zeta_{n_k}, Y\zeta_{n_k}) = 0.$$

Finally, the closedness of $Y\zeta$ implies that $\zeta \in Y\zeta$. \square

The next result is in connection with Y -orbitally compactness.

Definition 1. *Let (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) be an MS and $Y : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{S})$ be a multivalued mapping. \mathfrak{S} is said to be Y -orbitally compact if every sequence in the orbit $O(Y, \theta)$ has a convergent subsequence for all $\theta \in \mathfrak{S}$.*

Theorem 4. Let (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) be a Y -orbitally compact MS and the multivalued mapping $Y : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{S})$ be AR at a point $\theta_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{H}(Y\theta, Y\zeta) < \frac{1}{2}\{\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) + \Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta)\}$$

for all $\theta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) > 0$ and $\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) > 0$. Also let $\rho(u, v) \leq \mathcal{H}(Y\theta, Y\zeta)$ for all $u \in Y\theta$ and $v \in Y\zeta$.

If Y is orbitally continuous or Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous, then $\text{Fix}(Y) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Like earlier, we construct the orbit of Y at θ_0 as $O(Y, \theta_0)$ and consider the sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset O(Y, \theta_0)$.

Since \mathfrak{S} is Y -orbitally compact, $\{\zeta_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{\zeta_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Now, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\zeta_n, \zeta_m) &\leq \mathcal{H}(Y\zeta_{n-1}, Y\zeta_{m-1}) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\{\Delta(\zeta_{n-1}, Y\zeta_{n-1}) + \Delta(\zeta_{m-1}, Y\zeta_{m-1})\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\rho(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) + \rho(\zeta_{m-1}, \zeta_m)\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \text{ (since } Y \text{ is AR).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ is Cauchy and since its subsequence $\{\zeta_{n_k}\}$ converges to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$, we have that $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let Y be OC. Thus, we have that $Y\zeta_n \rightarrow Y\zeta$. But $\zeta_{n+1} \in Y\zeta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta_{n+1} \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, using Lemma 3, we conclude that $\zeta \in Y\zeta$.

Next, we assume that Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous. Since $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\zeta_n, Y\zeta_n) = 0,$$

because Y is AR implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\zeta_n, Y\zeta_n) = 0$. Finally, since $Y\zeta$ is closed, we have $\zeta \in Y\zeta$. \square

3. Multivalued Versions with Respect to δ -Distance

In this section, we present multivalued versions of the results presented in the previous section with respect to δ -distance instead of Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric. We observe that here we can drop the additional condition $\rho(u, v) \leq \mathcal{H}(Y\theta, Y\zeta)$ for all $u \in Y\theta$ and $v \in Y\zeta$.

Theorem 5. Let (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) be a boundedly compact MS and the multivalued mapping $Y : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{S})$ be AR at a point $\theta_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ satisfying

$$\delta(Y\theta, Y\zeta) < \frac{1}{2}\{\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) + \Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta)\}$$

for all $\theta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) > 0$ and $\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) > 0$.

If Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous, then $\text{Fix}(Y) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. We construct the orbit of Y at θ_0 as $O(Y, \theta_0)$ and consider the sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset O(Y, \theta_0)$. Let $r_n = \rho(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) > 0$.

Since Y is AR, we have $r_n \rightarrow 0$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\zeta_n, \zeta_m) &\leq \delta(Y\zeta_{n-1}, Y\zeta_{m-1}), \text{ (using the definition of } \delta) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\{\Delta(\zeta_{n-1}, Y\zeta_{n-1}) + \Delta(\zeta_{m-1}, Y\zeta_{m-1})\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\rho(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n) + \rho(\zeta_{m-1}, \zeta_m)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2}(r_{n-1}, r_{m-1}) \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is Cauchy and hence it is bounded. Since (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) is boundedly compact, $\{\xi_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{\xi_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$. Now, since Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous, we have that

$$\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\xi_{n_k}, Y\xi_{n_k}) = 0 \text{ (for } Y \text{ is AR)}.$$

Finally, the closedness of $Y\zeta$ implies that $\zeta \in Y\zeta$. \square

Theorem 6. Let (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) be a Y -orbitally compact MS and the multivalued mapping $Y : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{S})$ be AR at a point $\theta_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ satisfying

$$\delta(Y\theta, Y\zeta) < \frac{1}{2}\{\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) + \Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta)\}$$

for all $\theta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) > 0$ and $\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) > 0$.

If Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous, then $Fix(Y) \neq \phi$.

Proof. Consider the orbit of Y at θ_0 as $O(Y, \theta_0)$ and let $\{\xi_n\} \subset O(Y, \theta_0)$.

Since \mathfrak{S} is Y -orbitally compact, $\{\xi_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{\xi_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Now, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(\xi_n, \xi_m) &\leq \delta(Y\xi_{n-1}, Y\xi_{m-1}), \text{ (using the definition of } \delta) \\
 &< \frac{1}{2}\{\Delta(\xi_{n-1}, Y\xi_{n-1}) + \Delta(\xi_{m-1}, Y\xi_{m-1})\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{\rho(\xi_{n-1}, \xi_n) + \rho(\xi_{m-1}, \xi_m)\} \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \text{ (since } Y \text{ is AR)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is Cauchy and since its subsequence $\{\xi_{n_k}\}$ converges to $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$, we have that $\xi_n \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous, we have

$$\Delta(\zeta, Y\zeta) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\xi_n, Y\xi_n) = 0,$$

because Y is AR implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\xi_n, Y\xi_n) = 0$. Finally, since $Y\zeta$ is closed, we have $\zeta \in Y\zeta$. \square

Finally, we provide an example to validate Theorem 6. All other results may be validated in a similar manner.

Example 1. Consider $\mathfrak{S} = (0, \infty)$ with the usual metric $\rho(\theta, \xi) = |\theta - \xi|$, for all $\theta, \xi \in \mathfrak{S}$. Define $Y : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow CB(\mathfrak{S})$ by

$$Y\theta = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } \theta \in (0, 7) \\ \{\theta, \theta + 1\}, & \text{if } \theta \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

Let $\theta, \xi \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $\Delta(\theta, Y\theta) > 0$ and $\Delta(\xi, Y\xi) > 0$. Then $\delta(Y\theta, Y\xi) = \delta(\{0\}, \{0\}) = 0$. Also, it is easy to check that Y is AR and Δ is Y -orbitally lower semi-continuous.

Here (\mathfrak{S}, ρ) is not complete but it is Y -orbitally compact. Thus, all conditions of Theorem 6 are satisfied and hence $Fix(Y) \neq \phi$. In fact, $Fix(Y) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 7\}$.

4. Conclusions

Some fixed-point theorems have been established for AR multivalued maps satisfying a Kannan-type condition in an MS. Boundedly compactness or Y -orbitally compactness of the MS has been assumed. The results have been established considering the Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric as well as the δ -distance. In the latter case, some stronger conditions from Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric (such as $\rho(u, v) \leq \mathcal{H}(Y\theta, Y\xi)$ for all $u \in Y\theta$ and $v \in Y\xi$) have been dropped. Proof of the results of Section 2 without assuming this condition would be an interesting future study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.D.; Formal analysis, P.D.; Funding acquisition, H.M.S.; Investigation, P.D., Z.M. and H.M.S.; Methodology, P.D.; Project administration, H.M.S.; Supervision, P.D. and H.M.S.; Validation, P.D., Z.M. and H.M.S.; Visualization, P.D.; Writing—original draft, P.D.; Writing—review & editing, P.D. and H.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Research of the first author (P.D.) is supported by UGC (Ministry of HRD, Govt. of India) through UGC-BSR Start-Up Grant vide letter No. F.30-452/2018(BSR) dated 12 February 2019.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to the referees for their deep insight into the manuscript and their valuable comments which improved the manuscript considerably.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships with anyone that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Kannan, R. Some results on fixed points. *Bull. Calc. Math. Soc.* **1968**, *60*, 71–77.
2. Kannan, R. Some results on fixed points—II. *Am. Math. Mon.* **1969**, *76*, 405–408.
3. Reich, S. Some remarks concerning contraction mappings. *Can. Math. Bull.* **1971**, *14*, 121–124. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Subrahmanyam, P.V. Completeness and fixed points. *Monatsh. Math.* **1975**, *80*, 325–330. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Chen, H.Y.; Yeh, C.C. A note on fixed point in compact metric spaces. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **1980**, *11*, 297–298.
6. Damjanović, B.; Jorić, D. Multivalued generalizations of the Kannan fixed point theorem. *Filomat* **2011**, *25*, 125–131. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Fisher, B. A fixed point theorem for compact metric spaces. *Publ. Inst. Math* **1978**, *25*, 193–194.
8. Gornicki, J. Fixed point theorems for Kannan type mappings. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2017**, *19*, 2145–2152. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Khan, M.S. On fixed point theorems. *Math. Jpn.* **1978**, *23*, 201–204. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Reich, S. Kannan's fixed point theorem. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* **1971**, *4*, 1–11.
11. Edwards, R.E. *Functional Analysis: Theory and Applications*; Holt, Rinehart and Winston: New York, NY, USA, 1965.
12. Kamran, T. Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type fixed point theorem. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2009**, *57*, 507–511. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Rhoades, B.E.; Singh, S.L.; Kulshrestha, C. Coincidence theorems for some multivalued mappings. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **1984**, *7*, 429–434. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Debnath, P.; de La Sen, M. Contractive inequalities for some asymptotically regular set-valued mappings and their fixed points. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 411. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Boriceanu, M.; Petrusel, A.; Rus, I.A. Fixed point theorems for some multivalued generalized contraction in b -metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Stat.* **2010**, *6*, 65–76.
16. Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena* **1998**, *46*, 263–276.
17. Nadler, S.B. Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **1969**, *30*, 475–488. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Beg, I.; Azam, A. Fixed points of asymptotically regular multivalued mappings. *J. Australian Math. Soc. Ser. A* **1992**, *53*, 284–289. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Nguyen, L.V. On fixed points of asymptotically regular mappings. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **2020**, in press. 10.1007/s12215-020-00527-0. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Singh, S.L.; Mishra, S.N.; Pant, R. New fixed point theorems for asymptotically regular multi-valued maps. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2009**, *71*, 3299–3304. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Reich, S. Fixed points of contractive functions. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* **1972**, *5*, 26–42.
22. Srivastava, H.M.; Bedre, S.V.; Khairnar, S.M.; Desale, B.S. Krasnosel'skii type hybrid fixed point theorems and their applications to fractional integral equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, *2014*, 710746. Corrigendum in **2015**, *2015*, 467569. [[CrossRef](#)]

23. Xu, Q.-H.; Tang, Y.-F.; Yang, T.; Srivastava, H.M. Schwarz lemma involving the boundary fixed point. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2016**, *2016*, 84. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Debnath, P.; Srivastava, H.M. Global optimization and common best proximity points for some multivalued contractive pairs of mappings. *Axioms* **2020**, *9*, 102. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Debnath, P.; Srivastava, H.M. New extensions of Kannan's and Reich's fixed point theorems for multivalued maps using Wardowski's technique with application to integral equations. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 1290. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Srivastava, H.M.; Shehata, A.; Moustafa, S.I. Some fixed point theorems for $f(\psi, \varphi)$ -contractions and their application to fractional differential equations. *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **2020**, *27*, 385–398. [[CrossRef](#)]