

# Copper-Bearing Magnetite and Delafossite in Copper Smelter Slags

Hassan Gezzaz, Cristiana L. Ciobanu, Nigel J. Cook, Kathy Ehrig, Ashley Slattery, Benjamin Wade and Jie Yao

Table S1. Samples and analytical methods.

Slabs (S)	Crt No.	Section ID	OM	SEM	$\mu$ XRF	AM	EMPA	FIB-SEM & HAADF STEM
S #1	1	FF21-A	X	X			X	X
	2	FF21-B	X	X	X	X	X	X
	3	FF21-C	X	X	X			
	4	FF21-D	X	X				
S #2	5	FF21-2	X	X		X	X	
S #3	6	FF21-3A	X	X			X	
	7	FF21-3B	X	X	X		X	

OM=optical microscopy; SEM=Scanning electron microscopy;  $\mu$ XRF= Micro-X-ray fluorescence; AM= Automated Mineralogy; EPMA=electron probe microanalysis; FIB-SEM=Focused Ion Beam-SEM; HAADF STEM=high-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy.

Table S2. Modal mineralogy for two sections.

	FF21-B			FF21-B	FF21-2	Average	2 samples	
	A	B	C	TOP				
Magnetite	48.1	47.2	45.6	48.2	47.3	50.3	<b>48.8</b>	Magnetite
Copper	11.6	11.1	11.2	10.6	11.1	14.1	<b>12.6</b>	Copper
Delafossite	8.6	9.1	10.5	8.7	9.2	6.7	<b>8.0</b>	Delafossite
Glass1	19.7	21.1	20.6	20.9	20.6	20.1	<b>20.3</b>	Glass1
Glass2	5.6	5.4	4.9	5.5	5.3	2.1	<b>3.7</b>	Glass2
Cuprite	3.6	3.2	2.9	2.5	3.1	2.8	<b>2.9</b>	Cuprite
SiO <sub>2</sub>	1	0.7	1.2	1	1.0	1.1	<b>1.0</b>	SiO <sub>2</sub>
Others	1.84	2.18	3.12	2.6	2.4	2.8	<b>2.6</b>	Others
	100	100	99.99	100	100	100	<b>100</b>	