

Article

Coefficient Estimates for a Family of Starlike Functions Endowed with Quasi Subordination on Conic Domain

Arzu Akgül ^{1,*}  and Luminita-Ioana Cotîrlă ² 
¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Umuttepe Campus, Kocaeli University, 41001 Kocaeli, Turkey

² Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, 400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania; luminita.cotirla@math.utcluj.ro

* Correspondence: akgul@kocaeli.edu.tr

Abstract: In 1999, for $(0 \leq k < \infty)$, the concept of conic domain by defining k -uniform convex functions were introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska and then in 2000, they defined related k -starlike functions denoted by k -UCV and k -ST respectively. Motivated by their studies, in our work, we define the class of k -parabolic starlike functions, denoted $k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_m, q}$, by using quasi-subordination for m -fold symmetric analytic functions, making use of conic domain Ω_k . We determine the coefficient bounds and estimate Fekete–Szegő functional by the help of m -th root transform and quasi subordination for functions belonging the class $k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_m, q}$.

Keywords: analytic function; subordination; quasi-subordination; m -fold symmetric; Fekete–Szegő inequality

MSC: 30C45; 30C50; 33C10



Citation: Akgül, A.; Cotîrlă, L.-I. Coefficient Estimates for a Family of Starlike Functions Endowed with Quasi Subordination on Conic Domain. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 582. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14030582>

Academic Editor: Şahsene Altınkaya

Received: 23 February 2022

Accepted: 10 March 2022

Published: 16 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is the family of functions given by:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are normalized analytic functions with:

$$f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$$

in the open unit disc $\Lambda = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and let $\mathcal{H} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f \text{ is univalent in } \Lambda\}$. For the function $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ if:

$$\Psi(0) = 0 \text{ and } |\Psi(z)| < 1, (z \in \Lambda)$$

then Ψ is said to be a Schwarz function which is self-mapping of the unit disc Λ .

For the functions $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, it is said that the function f is subordinate to g in Λ , and write:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) (z \in \Lambda),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function Ψ , such that:

$$f(z) = g(\Psi(z)) (z \in \Lambda).$$

It is well known that if $f(z) \prec g(z)$, then $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Lambda) \subset g(\Lambda)$, $(z \in \Lambda)$. Moreover, if the function g is univalent in Λ , then we get:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\Lambda) \subset g(\Lambda), (z \in \Lambda).$$

In 1970, Robertson [1] developed the notions of quasi-subordination and majorization as follows:

For functions f and g , analytic in Λ , the function f is quasi-subordinate to g , written as follows:

$$f(z) \prec_q g(z), (z \in \Lambda)$$

if there exist analytic functions Φ and Ψ ,

$$|\Phi(z)| \leq 1, \Psi(0) = 0 \text{ and } |\Psi(z)| < 1,$$

such that:

$$f(z) = \Phi(z)g(\Psi(z)) (z \in \Lambda).$$

If we choose the function Φ as $\Phi(z) \equiv 1$, then $f(z) = g(\Psi(z))$, so that $f(z) \prec g(z)$ in Λ . Moreover, by taking the Schwarz function Ψ as $\Psi(z) = z$, then:

$$f(z) = \Phi(z)g(z) (z \in \Lambda)$$

and it is said that f is majorized by g and denoted:

$$f(z) \prec\prec g(z) (z \in \Lambda).$$

Thus, it is clear that quasi-subordination is a generalization of subordination besides majorization. For a brief history and brilliant examples of univalent functions endowed with quasi-subordination, in conjunction with several other features, see [2] and references therein (see also [3–10]).

The fact that the n -th coefficient of a univalent function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is bounded by n is well known (see [11]). The bounds for the coefficient provide knowledge about numerous geometric features of the function. In Geometric Function Theory, the Fekete-Szegő functional for normalized univalent functions represented by the Equation (1), is well known for its rich history. The Fekete-Szegő problem is the problem of maximizing the value of the non-linear functional $|a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2|$ [12]. The equality is valid for the Koebe function. The sharp upper bound for Fekete-Szegő functional was found by Keogh and Merkes [13] for some subclasses of univalent function classes. Indeed, very recently, the Fekete-Szegő problem has gained importance thanks to the work of Srivastava et al. [14] (see also [15,16]). Several other authors have examined the bounds for the Fekete-Szegő functional for functions in numerous subclasses of $\mathcal{H}$. Related studies can be found in [17–22].

Let m be a positive integer and $\mathcal{D}$ be a domain. If a rotation of $\mathcal{D}$ about the origin through an angle $\frac{2\pi}{m}$ carries $\mathcal{D}$ to itself then it is said that $\mathcal{D}$ is a m -fold symmetric domain. A function $f(z)$ is said to be m -fold symmetric in Λ , if for every $z \in \Lambda$ following equality holds:

$$f\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z\right) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} f(z).$$

We show by $\mathcal{H}_m$ the class of m -fold symmetric univalent functions in Λ , which are normalized by the series expansion (1). Actually, the functions in the class $\mathcal{H}$ are one-fold symmetric.

In 1916, Gronwall presented that $f(z)$ has a power series expansion given by:

$$f(z) = b_1z + b_{m+1}z^{m+1} + b_{2m+1}z^{2m+1} + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{nm+1}z^{nm+1}. \quad (2)$$

on the condition that it is regular and m -fold symmetric in Λ .

On the contrary, if $f(z)$ is expressed by (2), then $f(z)$ is m -fold symmetric inside the circle of convergence of the series. For a univalent function $f(z)$ given by (1), the m -th root transformation is presented with:

$$F(z) = [f(z^m)]^{\frac{1}{m}} = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{mn+1} z^{mn+1}. \quad (3)$$

The concepts of $k-SP$ and $k-UCV$ were introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [23,24] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} k-SP &= \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{H}, \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|, z \in \Lambda, 0 \leq k \leq \infty \right\}, \\ k-UCV &= \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{H}, \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|, z \in \Lambda, 0 \leq k \leq \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a fascinating association of the notion of univalent convex functions [25] and uniformly convex functions [26]. Kanas and Wiśniowska [27] considered the geometric definition of $k-UCV$ and its relations with the conic domains. The class $k-SP$ was studied in [23]. The class $k-SP$, composing of k -parabolic starlike functions, is defined from $k-UCV$ by means of the well-known Alexander's transforms [24]. That is,

$$f \in k-UCV \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in k-SP, z \in \Lambda.$$

According to the one variable characterization theorem [23] of the class $k-UCV$, $f \in k-UCV$ (in turn $f \in k-SP$) if the values of $p(z) = 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$ (in turn $p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$) lie in the conic region Ω_k in the w -plane, where:

$$\Omega_k = \left\{ w = u + iv \in \mathbb{C} : u^2 > k^2(u-1)^2 + k^2v^2, u > 0, 0 \leq k < \infty \right\}.$$

This property allows us to obviously determine the domain Ω_k , as a convex domain contained in the right half-plane. Moreover, if we specify the parameter k , then Ω_k denotes certain interesting domain regions. We know that for Ω_k , if $0 < k < 1$ then it is an hyperbolic, if $k > 1$ then it is elliptic, if $k = 1$ then it is parabolic region, and after all Ω_0 is the whole right half-plane.

Assume that $\mathcal{B} = \{\Psi \in \mathcal{H} : \Psi(0) = 0 \text{ and } |\Psi(1)| < 1\}$. In sequel, we will use the next lemmas to obtain our results.

Lemma 1 ([13]). Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{B}$ is given by:

$$\Psi(z) = \Psi_1 z + \Psi_2 z^2 + \dots, z \in \Lambda.$$

Then for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|\Psi_2 - s\Psi_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |s|\}, \forall s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Lemma 2 ([28]). If $\Psi \in \mathcal{B}$ and:

$$\Psi(z) = \Psi_1 z + \Psi_2 z^2 + \dots, z \in \Lambda,$$

then:

$$|\Psi_2 - s\Psi_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -s, & s \leq -1 \\ 1, & -1 \leq s \leq 1 \\ s, & s \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 3 ([29]). If $\Psi \in \mathcal{B}$ and:

$$\Psi(z) = \Psi_1 z + \Psi_2 z^2 + \dots, z \in \Lambda,$$

then:

$$|\Psi_2 - s\Psi_1^2| \leq 1 + (|s| - 1)|\Psi_1^2|, \forall s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Lemma 4 ([30]). Let $0 \leq k \leq \infty$ be fixed and $\Re_k(z)$ be the Riemann map of Λ on to Ω_k fulfilling $\Re_k(0) = 1, \Re'_k(0) > 0$. If:

$$\Re_k(z) = 1 + \Re_1(k)z + \Re_2(k)z^2 + \Re_3(k)z^3 + \dots, z \in \Lambda \quad (4)$$

then:

$$\Re_1 = \Re_1(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} & , \quad 0 \leq k < 1 \\ \frac{8}{\pi^2} & , \quad k = 1 \\ \frac{\pi^2}{4\mathcal{K}^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} & , \quad k > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Re_2 = \Re_2(k) = D(k)\Re_1(k)$$

where:

$$\Re_1 = \Re_1(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} & , \quad 0 \leq k < 1 \\ \frac{2}{3} & , \quad k = 1 \\ \frac{4\mathcal{K}^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24\mathcal{K}^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} & , \quad k > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k,$$

$\mathcal{K}(r)$ is complex elliptic integral of first kind (see [31–33]).

Very recently, Çağlar et al. [34] presented the coefficient estimate by m -throat transform for a family defined by Hohlov operator using quasi-subordination for conic domains. In this study, motivated by works of Kanas and Wisniowska [26,27] and Çağlar et al. [34] as well as earlier studies mentioned above, we define the class of k -parabolic starlike functions via m -fold symmetric functions, denoted $k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$. We use the concepts of quasi-subordination and majorization to define our new classes. Moreover, coefficient bounds and Fekete–Szegő inequality are examined.

Definition 1. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1), f is said to be in the class $k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$ if it provides the quasi-subordination:

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \prec_q \Re_k(z) - 1, z \in \Lambda. \quad (5)$$

We consider throughout this study that $\Phi(z) = c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$ and $|c_n| \leq 1$.

Additionally, we will assume that F is the m -th root transform of f presented by (2) in next theorems and corollaries.

2. Main Results

Theorem 1. If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_{m+1}| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{m}, \\
|b_{2m+1}| &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\Re_1 + \max\left\{ \Re_1, \left(\frac{m-1}{m} + 1 \right) \Re_1^2 + |\Re_2| \right\} \right], \\
|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\Re_1 + \max\left\{ \Re_1, \left| \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} + 1 \right| \Re_1^2 + |\Re_2| \right\} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f \in k - k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$. Then, there are two analytic functions Φ and Ψ with $|\Phi(z)| \leq 1$, $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $|\Psi(z)| < 1$ such that:

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 = \Phi(z)[\Re_k(\Psi(z)) - 1], \quad (6)$$

$$\Phi(z)[\Re_k(\Psi(z)) - 1] = \Re_1 c_0 \Psi_1 z + \left[\Re_1 c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 (\Re_1 \Psi_2 + \Re_2 \Psi_1^2) \right] z^2 + \dots \quad (7)$$

By using (7) in (6), we have:

$$a_2 = \Re_1 c_0 \Psi_1 \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2a_3 - a_2^2 &= \Re_1 c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 (\Re_1 \Psi_2 + \Re_2 \Psi_1^2) \\
\Rightarrow a_3 &= 2 \left(\Re_1 c_1 \Psi_1 + \Re_1 c_0 \Psi_2 + (\Re_1^2 c_0^2 + \Re_2 c_0) \Psi_1^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For f given by (1), we can easily compute that:

$$F(z) = [f(z^m)]^{\frac{1}{m}} = z + \frac{1}{m} a_2 z^{m+1} + \left[\frac{1}{m} a_3 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m-1}{m^2} \right) a_2^2 \right] z^{2m+1} + \dots \quad (10)$$

Upon equating the coefficients of z^{m+1} and z^{2m+1} in view of (2) and (10), we have:

$$b_{m+1} = \frac{1}{m} a_2 \quad (11)$$

and:

$$b_{2m+1} = \frac{1}{m} a_3 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m-1}{m^2} \right) a_2^2. \quad (12)$$

From (8), (9), (11) and (12), we obtain:

$$b_{m+1} = \frac{\Re_1 c_0 \Psi_1}{m} \quad (13)$$

and by using $|c_n| \leq 1, |\Psi_n(z)| < 1$ in (13),

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{\Re_1}{m}, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2m+1} &= \frac{1}{m} a_3 - \frac{m-1}{2m^2} a_2^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2m} \left[\Re_1 c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 \Re_1 \Psi_2 + (\Re_1^2 c_0^2 + c_0 \Re_2) \Psi_1^2 - \frac{m-1}{2m^2} \Re_1^2 c_0^2 \Psi_1^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - \left(\frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{\Re_2}{\Re_1} \right) \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - s \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right].
\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Due to the fact that $D = \frac{\Re_2}{\Re_1}$, we can write:

$$s = \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - \Re_1 c_0 - D. \quad (16)$$

Additionally, by using $|c_n| \leq 1, |\Psi_n(z)| < 1$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |s| &= \left| \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - \Re_1 c_0 - D \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{m-1}{m} + 1 \right) \Re_1 + |D|. \end{aligned}$$

By taking taking the modulus of both sides of the Equation (15) and applying Lema 1 to the $|\Psi_2 - s\Psi_1^2|$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{2m+1}| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} (1 + \max\{1, |s|\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left(\Re_1 + \max\left\{ \Re_1, \left(\frac{m-1}{m} + 1 \right) \Re_1^2 + |\Re_2| \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Therefore, for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 &= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - \left(\frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - \Re_1 c_0 - D \right) \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right] \\ &\quad - \mu \frac{\Re_1^2 c_0^2 \Psi_1^2}{m^2} \\ &= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - \left(s + 2\mu \frac{\Re_1 c_0}{m} \right) \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_1 \Psi_1 + c_0 \left(\Psi_2 - l \Psi_1^2 \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where s is given by (16) and:

$$l = \left(s + 2\mu \frac{\Re_1 c_0}{m} \right). \quad (19)$$

Using inequalities $|c_n| \leq 1$, $|\Psi_n(z)| < 1$ and applying Lemma 1 to $|\Psi_2 - l\Psi_1^2|$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} [1 + |\Psi_2 - l\Psi_1^2|] \\ &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} [1 + \max\{1, |l|\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Further, because of:

$$\begin{aligned} |l| &= \left| s + 2\mu \frac{\Re_1 c_0}{m} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(m-1)}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - \Re_1 c_0 - D + 2\mu \frac{\Re_1 c_0}{m} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} \right| \Re_1 + \Re_1 + |D|, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\Re_1 + \max\left\{ \Re_1, \left| \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} \right| + 1 \right\} \Re_1^2 + |\Re_2| \right]$$

Letting $m = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain next: $\square$

Corollary 1. If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H},q}$, then:

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\Re_1 + \max \left\{ \Re_1, |2\mu + 1| \Re_1^2 + |\Re_2| \right\} \right].$$

Taking values of $\Re_1 = \Re_1(k)$ and $D = D(k)$ also k in Theorem 1, we obtain next:

Corollary 2. If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$, $(0 \leq k < \infty)$ and $0 \leq k < 1$, then:

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right),$$

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) + \max \left\{ \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right), \left(\frac{m-1}{m} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}^2+2}{3} \right) \right\} \right],$$

and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) + \max \left\{ \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right), \left| \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} + 1 \right| \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right)^2 + \left| \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}^2+2}{3} \right) \right| \right\} \right].$$

Corollary 3. If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$, $(0 \leq k < \infty)$ and $k = 1$, then:

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{8}{m\pi^2},$$

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\frac{8}{\pi^2} + \max \left\{ \frac{8}{\pi^2}, \left(\frac{m-1}{m} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{8}{\pi^2} \right)^2 + \frac{16}{3\pi^2} \right\} \right],$$

and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\frac{8}{\pi^2} + \max \left\{ \frac{8}{\pi^2}, \left| \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} + 1 \right| \left[\frac{64}{\pi^4} + \frac{16}{3\pi^2} \right] \right\} \right]$$

Corollary 4. If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$, $(0 \leq k < \infty)$ and $k > 1$, then:

$$|b_{m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{m} B_1,$$

$$|b_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2m} (B_1 + \max \{B_1, B_2 + B_3\})$$

and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \frac{1}{2m} (B_1 + \max \{B_1, B_4 + B_3\}),$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \Re_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{4\kappa^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}}, \\
B_2 &= \left(\frac{m-1}{m} + 1\right) \Re_1^2 = \left(\frac{m-1}{m} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4\kappa^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}}\right)^2, \\
B_3 &= |\Re_2| = \left| \frac{4\pi^2 k^2(r)(r^2+6r+1) - \pi^2}{96\kappa^4(r)(k^2-1)(1-r^2)r} \right|, \\
B_4 &= \left| \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} + 1 \right| \Re_1^2
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ fulfills:

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \prec \prec \Re_k(z) - 1, \quad (20)$$

then the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_{m+1}| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{m}, \\
|b_{2m+1}| &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\Re_1 + |\Re_2| + \frac{1}{m} \Re_1^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\Re_1 + |\Re_2| + \left(1 + \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} \right) \Re_1^2 \right]$$

Proof. By choosing $\Psi(z) = z$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Letting $\Phi(z) = 1$ and $m = 1$ in Theorem 2, we then obtain :

Corollary 5. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ fulfills (20) and $\Phi(z) = 1$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_{m+1}| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{m} \\
|b_{2m+1}| &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[|\Re_2| + \frac{1}{m} \Re_1^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\Re_1 + |\Re_2| + \frac{2\mu+1}{m} \Re_1^2 \right].$$

Further, by letting $m = 1$, in last inequalities, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_2| &\leq \Re_1 \\
|b_3| &\leq |\Re_2| + \Re_1^2
\end{aligned}$$

and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\left| b_3 - \mu b_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\Re_1 + |\Re_2| + |2\mu+1| \Re_1^2 \right].$$

Theorem 3. If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$, then:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + \Re_1 c_0 + D - \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \zeta_1 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{m} & , \quad \zeta_1 \leq \mu \leq \zeta_2 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 - \Re_1 c_0 - D + \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \zeta_2 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - 1) \\ \zeta_2 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the equality (18), we have:

$$b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\Re_1}{2m} c_1 \Psi_1 + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - \left(s + \frac{2\mu}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right) \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right]$$

Using the inequalities $|c_n| \leq 1, |\Psi_n(z)| < 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_1 + c_0 \left\{ \left| \Psi_2 - \left(s + \frac{2\mu}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right) \Psi_1^2 \right| \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} [1 + |\Psi_2 - l \Psi_1^2|] \end{aligned}$$

where l is presented by (19). For $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, according to Lemma 3, there are three situations:

Case 1: If:

$$\mu \leq \frac{1}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - 1) = \zeta_1.$$

which implies $l \leq -1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} [1 + |\Psi_2 - l \Psi_1^2|] \\ &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} [1 - l] = \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + \Re_1 c_0 + D - \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: If:

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 + 1) = \zeta_2,$$

which implies that $l \geq 1$, thus:

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} [1 + |\Psi_2 - l \Psi_1^2|] \\ &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} [1 + l] = \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 - \Re_1 c_0 - D + \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: If:

$$\zeta_1 \leq \mu \leq \zeta_2,$$

which implies $-1 \leq l \leq 1$, thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + \left| \Psi_2 - l\Psi_1^2 \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\Phi(z) = 1$ and $m = 1$ in Theorem 3, we then obtain: $\square$

Corollary 6. *if $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$, and $\Phi(z) = 1$, then:*

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + D + \Re_1 - \frac{2\mu-m+1}{m} \Re_1 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \zeta'_1 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{m} & , \quad \zeta'_1 \leq \mu \leq \zeta'_2 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 - D - \Re_1 + \frac{2\mu-m+1}{m} \Re_1 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \zeta'_2 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_1 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1} \left(D + \frac{1}{m} \Re_1 - 1 \right) \\ \zeta'_2 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1} \left(D + \frac{1}{m} \Re_1 + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, letting $m = 1$ in the last three inequalities, we obtain:

$$\left| b_3 - \mu b_2^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Re_1}{2} \left[1 + D + (1 - 2\mu) \Re_1 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \zeta''_1 \\ \Re_1 & , \quad \zeta''_1 \leq \mu \leq \zeta''_2 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{2} \left[1 - D - (1 - 2\mu) \Re_1 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \zeta''_2 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta''_1 &= \frac{1}{\Re_1} (D + \Re_1 - 1) \\ \zeta''_2 &= \frac{1}{2\Re_1} (D + \Re_1 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. *If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$, then:*

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 - \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 + D + \Re_1 c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \alpha_2 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{m} & , \quad \alpha_2 \leq \mu \leq \alpha_1 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + \frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - D - \Re_1 c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \alpha_1 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1 c_0} \left(D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 + 1 \right), \\ \alpha_2 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1 c_0} \left(D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the equality (18), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 &= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} c_1 \Psi_1 + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - \left(s + \frac{\mu}{m^2} \Re_1^2 c_0 \right) \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} c_1 \Psi_1 \\
&\quad + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - \Psi_1^2 - \left(s + \frac{\mu}{m^2} \Re_1^2 c_0 - 1 \right) \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Re_1}{2m} c_1 \Psi_1 \\
&\quad + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[c_0 \left\{ \Psi_2 - \Psi_1^2 + \left(1 - s - \frac{\mu}{m^2} \Re_1^2 c_0 \right) \Psi_1^2 \right\} \right]
\end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Where s is given by (16). Using the inequalities $|c_n| \leq 1$, $|\Psi_n(z)| < 1$, we have:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[|\Psi_2 - \Psi_1^2| + \left| 1 - s - \frac{2\mu}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right| |\Psi_1|^2 \right] \quad (22)$$

Case 1: Choosing the representation of second pharantezis on the right hand side of (21):

$$-s - \frac{\mu}{m} \Re_1 c_0 = \frac{2\mu + m(m-1)}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - D - \Re_1 c_0 \leq -1$$

then we obtain:

$$\mu \geq \frac{m}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{2m} \Re_1 c_0 + 1) = \alpha_1.$$

Let $\mu \geq \alpha_1$. According the Lemma 2 we can write that:

$$|\Psi_2 - \Psi_1^2| \leq 1 \quad (23)$$

Utilizing (23), Lemmas 2 and 3 and putting expression of $s = \frac{(m-1)}{2m} \Re_1 c_0 - \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{\Re_2}{\Re_1}$, given in (16), in (22) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| &\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + \left(\frac{2\mu + m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - D - \Re_1 c_0 - 1 \right) |\Psi_1|^2 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + \frac{2\mu + m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - D - \Re_1 c_0 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Choosing the expression of second pharantezis on the right hand side of (21):

$$-s - \frac{\mu}{m} \Re_1 c_0 = \frac{2\mu + m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - D - \Re_1 c_0 \geq 1$$

then we obtain:

$$\mu \leq \frac{m}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - 1) = \alpha_2.$$

Let $\mu \leq \alpha_2$. Then, from (22) we can write that:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 + \left(1 - s - \frac{2\mu}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right) |\Psi_1|^2 \right]. \quad (24)$$

According to Lemma 3 we obtain:

$$\Psi_2 \leq 1 - |\Psi_1|^2 \text{ and } |\Psi_1| \leq 1,$$

If we apply to (24) inequalities above, also putting:

$$-s - \frac{\mu}{m^2} \Re_1^2 c_0 = \frac{2\mu + m-1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - D - \Re_1 c_0$$

in (24), we have:

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 - |\Psi_1|^2 + \left(D + \Re_1 c_0 - \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 \right) |\Psi_1|^2 \right].$$

This implies that:

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[1 - \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 + D + \Re_1 c_0 \right].$$

Case 3: Choosing the representation in second paranthesis on the right hand side of (21):

$$-1 \leq -s - \frac{\mu}{m^2} \Re_1 c_0 = \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} \Re_1 c_0 - D - \Re_1 c_0 \leq 1,$$

we get $\alpha_2 \leq \mu \leq \alpha_1$. Under this condition,

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \frac{\Re_1}{2m} + \frac{\Re_1}{2m} = \frac{\Re_1}{m}.$$

By choosing $m = 1$ in Theorem 4, we get next: $\square$

$$\left| b_3 - \mu b_2^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Re_1}{2} [D + (1 - 2\mu) \Re_1 c_0] & , \quad \mu \leq \alpha'_2 \\ \Re_1 & , \quad \alpha'_2 \leq \mu \leq \alpha'_1 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{2} [D - (1 - 2\mu) \Re_1 c_0] & , \quad \mu \geq \alpha'_1 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_1 &= \frac{1}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 + 1) \\ \alpha'_2 &= \frac{1}{2\Re_1 c_0} (D + \Re_1 c_0 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\Phi(z) = 1$ and $m = 1$ in Theorem 4, we get next:

Corollary 7. If $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$ and $\Phi(z) = 1$, then we have:

$$\left| b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[D + \left(1 - \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} \right) \Re_1 + 1 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \alpha''_2 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{m} & , \quad \alpha''_2 \leq \mu \leq \alpha''_1 \\ \frac{\Re_1}{2m} \left[D - \left(1 - \frac{2\mu + m - 1}{m} \right) \Re_1 + 1 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \alpha''_1 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha''_1 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1} \left(D + \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{m} \right) \Re_1 c_0 + 1 \right), \\ \alpha''_2 &= \frac{m}{2\Re_1 c_0} \left(D + \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{m} \right) \Re_1 c_0 - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, choosing $m = 1$, we get:

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mathfrak{R}_1}{2} [D + (1 - 2\mu)\mathfrak{R}_1 + 1] & , \quad \mu \leq \alpha_2''' \\ \frac{\mathfrak{R}_1}{m} & , \quad \alpha_2''' \leq \mu \leq \alpha_1''' \\ \frac{\mathfrak{R}_1}{2m} [D - (1 - 2\mu)\mathfrak{R}_1 + 1] & , \quad \mu \geq \alpha_1''' \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1''' &= \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{R}_1} (D + \mathfrak{R}_1 c_0 + 1), \\ \alpha_2''' &= \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{R}_1 c_0} (D + \mathfrak{R}_1 c_0 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Putting the values of $\mathfrak{R}_1 = \mathfrak{R}_1(k)$, $\mathfrak{R}_2 = \mathfrak{R}_2(k) = D(k)\mathfrak{R}_1(k)$ and k from Lemma 4, we obtain some new results of Theorem 4:

Corollary 8. Let $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$ and $0 \leq k < 1$. Then:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{T}^2}{m(1-k^2)} \left[\frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} - \left(\frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) c_0 + 1 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \beta_2 \\ \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{m(1-k^2)} & , \quad \beta_2 \leq \mu \leq \beta_1 \\ \frac{\mathcal{T}^2}{m(1-k^2)} \left[\left(\frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) c_0 - \frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} + 1 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \beta_1 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \frac{m(1-k^2)}{4\mathcal{T}^2 c_0} \left[\frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} + \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{m(1-k^2)} c_0 + 1 \right], \\ \beta_2 &= \frac{m(1-k^2)}{4\mathcal{T}^2 c_0} \left[\frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} + \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{m(1-k^2)} c_0 - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, taking $m = 1$ in Corollary 8, we obtain:

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{2(1-k^2)} \left[1 + \frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} + (1+2\mu) \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \beta_2' \\ \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{m(1-k^2)} & , \quad \beta_2' \leq \mu \leq \beta_1' \\ \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{2(1-k^2)} \left[1 - \frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} - (1-2\mu) \left(\frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} \right) c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \beta_1' \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1' &= \frac{(1-k^2)}{2\mathcal{T}^2 c_0} \left[\frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} - \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} c_0 + 1 \right], \\ \beta_2' &= \frac{(1-k^2)}{2\mathcal{T}^2 c_0} \left[\frac{2+\mathcal{T}^2}{3} + \frac{2\mathcal{T}^2}{1-k^2} c_0 - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 9. Let $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$ and $k = 1$. Then:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{m\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} - 1 \right) \frac{8}{\pi^2} c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \eta_2 \\ \frac{8}{m\pi^2} & , \quad \eta_2 \leq \mu \leq \eta_1 \\ \frac{4}{m\pi^2} \left[\frac{5}{3} - \left(\frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} - 1 \right) \frac{8}{\pi^2} c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \eta_1 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \frac{m\pi^2}{16c_0} \left[\frac{5}{3} + \frac{8}{m\pi^2} c_0 \right], \\ \eta_2 &= \frac{m\pi^2}{16c_0} \left[\frac{8}{m\pi^2} c_0 - \frac{1}{3} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Further, taking $m = 1$ in Corollary 9, we obtain:

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{1}{3} + (2\mu - 1) \frac{8}{\pi^2} c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \eta'_2 \\ \frac{8}{\pi^2} & , \quad \eta'_2 \leq \mu \leq \eta'_1 \\ \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{5}{3} - (2\mu - 1) \frac{8}{\pi^2} c_0 \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \eta'_1 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta'_1 &= \frac{\pi^2}{16c_0} \left[\frac{5}{3} + \frac{8}{\pi^2} c_0 \right], \\ \eta'_2 &= \frac{\pi^2}{16c_0} \left[\frac{8}{\pi^2} c_0 - \frac{1}{3} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 10. Let $f \in k - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}_{m,q}}$ and $k > 1$. Then:

$$|b_{2m+1} - \mu b_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{8mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} \left[1 + \left(\frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} - 1 \right) \frac{\pi^2}{4K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} c_0 - \frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \vartheta_2 \\ \frac{\pi^2}{4mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} & , \quad \vartheta_2 \leq \mu \leq \vartheta_1 \\ \frac{\pi^2}{8mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2\mu+m-1}{m} - 1 \right) \frac{\pi^2}{4K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} c_0 + \frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \vartheta_1 \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1 &= \frac{2mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}}{\pi^2 c_0} \left[\frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} + \frac{\pi^2}{4mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} c_0 + 1 \right], \\ \vartheta_2 &= \frac{2mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}}{\pi^2 c_0} \left[\frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} + \frac{\pi^2}{4mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, taking $m = 1$ in Corollary 10, we obtain:

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\pi^2}{8K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} \left[1 + \frac{(2\mu-1)\pi^2}{4K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} c_0 - \frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} \right] & , \quad \mu \leq \vartheta'_2 \\ \frac{\pi^2}{4mK^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} & , \quad \vartheta'_2 \leq \mu \leq \vartheta'_1 \\ \frac{\pi^2}{8K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} \left[1 - \frac{(2\mu-1)\pi^2}{4K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} c_0 + \frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} \right] & , \quad \mu \geq \vartheta'_1 \end{array} \right.$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta'_1 &= \frac{2K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}}{\pi^2 c_0} \\ &\quad \left[\frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} + \frac{\pi^2}{4K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} c_0 + 1 \right], \\ \vartheta'_2 &= \frac{2K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}}{\pi^2 c_0} \\ &\quad \left[\frac{4K^2(r)(r^2+6r+1)-\pi^2}{24K^2(r)(1+r)\sqrt{r}} + \frac{\pi^2}{4K^2(r)(k^2-1)(1-r)\sqrt{r}} c_0 - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A. and L.-I.C.; methodology, A.A. and L.-I.C.; software, A.A. and L.-I.C.; validation, A.A. and L.-I.C.; formal analysis, A.A. and L.-I.C.; investigation, A.A. and L.-I.C.; resources, A.A. and L.-I.C.; data curation, A.A. and L.-I.C.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A. and L.-I.C.; writing—review and editing, A.A. and L.-I.C.; visualization, A.A. and L.-I.C. supervision, A.A. and L.-I.C.; project administration, A.A. and L.-I.C.; funding acquisition, A.A. and L.-I.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

References

- Robertson, M.S. Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjectures. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **1970**, *76*, 1–9. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Haji Mohd, M.; Darus, M. Fekete-Szegő problems for quasi-subordination classes. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, *2012*, 192956. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kanas, S.; Altinkaya, Ş. Functions of bounded variation related to domains bounded by conic sections. *Math. Slovaca* **2019**, *69*, 833–842. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Lee, S.Y. Quasi-subordinate functions and coefficient conjectures. *J. Kor. Math. Soc.* **1975**, *12*, 43–50.
- Magesh, N.; Balaji, V.K.; Yamini, J. Certain subclasses of bistarlike and biconvex functions based on quasi-subordination. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2016**, *2016*, 3102960. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Magesh, N.; Balaji, V.K. Fekete-Szegő problem for a class of lambda-convex and mu-starlike functions associated with k-th root transformation using quasi-subordination. *Afrika Mat.* **2018**, *29*, 775–782. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kılıç, Ö.Ö.; Altıntaş, O. Majorization by starlike functions. *JNSA* **2017**, *10*, 215–220.
- Magesh, N.; Yamini, J. Fekete-Szegő inequalities associated with kth root transformation based on quasi-subordination. *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.* **2017**, *16*, 7–15.
- Ren, F.Y.; Owa, S.; Fukui, S. Some inequalities on Quasi-subordinate functions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **1991**, *43*, 317–324. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Altıntaş, O.; Owa, S. Majorizations and quasi-subordinations for certain analytic functions. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **1992**, *68*, 181–185. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- De Branges, L. A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. *Acta Math.* **1985**, *154*, 137–152. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Fekete, M.; Szego, G. Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1933**, *26*, 85–89. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Keogh, F.R.; Merkes, E.P. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1969**, *20*, 8–12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

14. Srivastava, H.M.; Mishra, A.K.; Das, M.K. The Fekete–Szegő problem for a subclass of close-toconvex functions. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **2001**, *44*, 145–163.
15. Kowalczyk, B.; Lecko, A.; Srivastava, H.M. A note on the Fekete–Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions with respect to convex functions. *Publ. Inst. Math. Nouv. Ser.* **2017**, *101*, 143–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Tang, H.; Srivastava, H.M.; Sivasubramanian, S.; Gurusamy, P. The Fekete–Szegő functional problems for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2016**, *10*, 1063–1092. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Abdel-Gawad, H.R. On the Fekete–Szegő problem for alpha-quasi-convex functions. *Tamkang J. Math.* **2000**, *31*, 251–255. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Ahuja, O.P.; Jahangiri, M. Fekete–Szegő problem for a unified class of analytic functions. *Panamer. Math. J.* **1997**, *7*, 67–78.
19. Choi, J.H.; Kim, Y.C.; Sugawa, T. A general approach to the Fekete–Szegő problem. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **2007**, *59*, 707–727. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Darus, M.; Tuneski, N. On the Fekete–Szegő problem for generalized close-to-convex functions. *Int. Math. J.* **2003**, *4*, 561–568.
21. Kumar, S.S.; Kumar, V. Fekete–Szegő problem for a class of analytic functions. *Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.* **2013**, *58*, 181–188.
22. Zaprawa, P. On the Fekete–Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **2014**, *21*, 169–178. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Kanas, S.; Wisniowska, A. Conic regions and k -uniform convexity. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **1999**, *105*, 327–336. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Kanas, S.; Wisniowska, A. Conic domains and starlike functions. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* **2000**, *45*, 647–658.
25. Duren, P.L. *Univalent Functions*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1983.
26. Goodman, A.W. On uniformly convex functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **1992**, *56*, 87–92. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Kanas, S.; Wisniowska, A. Conic regions and k -uniform convexity, II, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. **1998**, *22*, 65–78.
28. Ali, R.M.; Ravichandran, V.; Seerivasaga, N. Coefficient bounds for p -valent functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2007**, *187*, 35–46. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Ma, W.; Minda, D. A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. In Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, China, 19–23 June 1992; pp. 157–169.
30. Kanas, S. Coefficient estimates in subclasses of the Caratheodory class related to conical domains. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian* **2005**, *74*, 149–161.
31. Ahiezer, N.I. *Elements of Theory of Elliptic Functions*; American Mathematical Society: Moscow, Russia, 1970. (In Russian)
32. Anderson, G.D.; Vamanamurthy, M.K.; Vourinen, M.K. Conformal Invariants, Inequalities and Quasiconformal Maps, Wiley-Interscience Fekete M, Szegő G. Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1997**, *1*, 85–89.
33. Dziok, J.; Srivastava, M. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **1999**, *103*, 1–13. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Çağlar, M.; Gurusamy, P.; Orhan, H. The coefficient estimates for a class defined by Hohlov operator using conic domains. *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2020**, *11*, 157–172.