



# Article Well-Posedness and Porosity for Symmetric Optimization Problems

Alexander J. Zaslavski

Department of Mathematics, The Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel; ajzasl@technion.ac.il

**Abstract:** In the present work, we investigate a collection of symmetric minimization problems, which is identified with a complete metric space of lower semi-continuous and bounded from below functions. In our recent paper, we showed that for a generic objective function, the corresponding symmetric optimization problem possesses two solutions. In this paper, we strengthen this result using a porosity notion. We investigate the collection of all functions such that the corresponding optimization problem is well-posed and prove that its complement is a  $\sigma$ -porous set.

Keywords: complete metric space; generic element; lower semi-continuous function; porous set

JEL Classification: 49J27; 90C31

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study a class of symmetric minimization problems, which was studied recently in our paper [1]. The results of [1] and of the present paper have prototypes in [2,3], where some minimization problems arising in crystallography were considered. It was shown in [2,3] that a typical symmetric minimization problem possesses exactly two minimizers, and every minimizing sequence converges to them in some natural sense. In [1], we extend the results of [2,3] for a sufficiently large class of symmetric minimization problems by showing that for a generic objective function, the corresponding symmetric optimization problem possesses two solutions. In this paper, we strengthen this result using a porosity notion. We investigate the collection of all functions such that the corresponding optimization problem is well-posed and prove that its complement is a  $\sigma$ -porous set.

More precisely, we study an optimization problem

$$g(\xi) \to \min, \ \xi \in X$$

where *X* is a complete metric space and *g* is a lower semi-continuous and bounded from below function.

It is well-known that the above problem possesses a minimizer when the space X is compact or when the objective function f possesses a growth property and all bounded subsets of the space X satisfy certain compactness assumptions. Without such assumptions, the existence problem becomes more difficult. This difficulty is overcome by applying the Baire category approach, which was used for many mathematical problems [4–9].

Namely, it is known that the minimization problem stated above can be solved for a generic objective function [8–10]. More precisely, there is a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  in a complete metric space of objective functions, which is a countable intersection of open and everywhere dense sets such that for every objective function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , the corresponding minimization problem has a unique solution, which is a limit of every minimizing sequence. See [9], which contains this result and its several extensions and modifications. Note that the generic approach in nonlinear analysis is used in [11–15], generic solvability of best approximation problems are discussed in [4,11,13], while generic existence of fixed points for nonlinear operators is established in [7,12,13].



Citation: Zaslavski, A.J. Well-Posedness and Porosity for Symmetric Optimization Problems. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 1253. https:// doi.org/10.3390/sym13071253

Academic Editor: Juan Luis García Guirao

Received: 16 June 2021 Accepted: 12 July 2021 Published: 13 July 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). In our recent paper [1] the goal was to establish a generic solvability of optimization problems with symmetry. These results have applications in crystallography [2,3]. In this paper, we strengthen this result using a porosity notion. We investigate the set of all functions for which the corresponding minimization problem is well-posed and show that its complement is a  $\sigma$ -porous set.

## 2. The Main Result

We begin this section recalling the following notion of porosity [3,4,7,9,12,13]. Suppose that (Y, d) is a complete metric space and define

$$B_d(y,r) = \{\xi \in X : d(y,\xi) \le r\}.$$

We say that a set  $E \subset Y$  is porous with respect to d (or just porous if the metric is understood) if there are a real number  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and a positive number  $r_0$  such that for every positive number  $r \leq r_0$  and every point  $y \in Y$  there is a point  $z \in Y$  such that

$$B_d(z, \alpha r) \subset B_d(y, r) \setminus E$$

We say that a set in the complete metric space Y is  $\sigma$ -porous with respect to d (or just  $\sigma$ -porous if the metric is understood) if this set is a countable union of porous (with respect to d) subsets of Y.

For every function  $h: Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ , where the set *Y* is nonempty, put

$$\inf(h) = \inf\{h(\xi) : \xi \in Y\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{dom}(h) = \{y \in Y: h(y) < \infty\}.$$

Suppose that  $(X, \rho)$  is a complete metric space. For every  $z \in X$  and every positive  $\Delta$  put

$$B(z,\Delta) = \{\xi \in X : \rho(z,\xi) \le \Delta\}.$$

For every  $z \in X$  and every subset  $D \neq \emptyset$  of the space *X*, define

$$\rho(z,C) = \inf\{\rho(z,\xi) : \xi \in C\}.$$

Denote by  $M_l$  the collection of all functions  $f : X \to R^1 \cup \{\infty\}$ , which are bounded from below, lower semi-continuous, and which are not identical infinity. For each  $h_1, d_2 \in M_l$ , define

$$\tilde{d}(h_1, h_2) = \sup\{|h_1(z) - h_2(z)| : z \in X\},\tag{1}$$

$$d(h_1, h_2) = \tilde{d}(h_1, h_2)(1 + \tilde{d}(h_1, h_2))^{-1}.$$
(2)

Note that by convention,  $d(h_1, h_2) = 1$  when  $\tilde{d}(h_1, h_2) = \infty$ .

It is clear that  $d : \mathcal{M}_l \times \mathcal{M}_l \to [0, \infty)$  is a complete metric. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_c$  the collection of all continuous finite-valued functions  $f : X \to R^1$  which are bounded from below. Clearly,  $\mathcal{M}_c$  is a closed set in the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{M}_l, d)$ . We endow the space  $\mathcal{M}_c$  with the metric d too.

Suppose that  $T : X \to X$  is a continuous operator such that

$$T^2(z) = z$$
 for every  $z \in X$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{l,T}$  the collection of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_l$  for which

$$f(T(x)) = f(x)$$
 for every point  $x \in X$ 

and define

$$\mathcal{M}_{c,T} = \{ f \in \mathcal{M}_c : f \circ T = f \}.$$

Evidently,  $\mathcal{M}_{l,T}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{c,T}$  are closed subsets of the complete metric space  $\mathcal{M}_l$ . We endow them with the same metric *d* too.

We investigate the optimization problem

$$f(x) \rightarrow \min, x \in X$$

where the objective function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{l,T}$ .

Given  $f \in M_{l,T}$ , we say that the problem of minimization for f on X is well-posed with respect to  $(M_l, d)$  if the following properties are true:

There exists  $x_f \in X$ , which satisfies

$${x \in X : f(x) = \inf(f)} = {x_f, T(x_f)}$$

and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there are an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of f in  $\mathcal{M}_l$  and a positive number  $\delta$  such that if a function  $g \in \mathcal{U}$  and if a point  $z \in X$  satisfies  $g(z) \leq \inf(g) + \delta$ , then

$$|g(z) - f(x_f)| \le \epsilon$$

and

$$\min\{\rho(z,\{x_f,T(x_f)\}),\,\rho(T(z),\{x_f,T(x_f)\})\}\leq\epsilon.$$

This notion has an analog in the optimization theory [9], where the set of minimizers is a singleton. Here, since the problem is symmetric, the set of minimizers contains two points in general.

The next theorem is our sole main result.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is either  $\mathcal{M}_{l,T}$  or  $\mathcal{M}_{c,T}$ . Then, there is a set  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that its complement  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}$  is  $\sigma$ -porous in the metric space  $(\mathcal{A}, d)$  and that for every function  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  the minimization problem for f on the space X is well-posed with respect to  $(\mathcal{M}_l, d)$ .

# 3. Auxiliary Results

**Lemma 1.** For every positive number  $r \le 1$ , each  $f, g \in M_1$ , which satisfy  $d(f,g) \le 4^{-1}r$  and each  $x \in X$ ,

$$|g(x) - f(x)| \le r.$$

**Proof.** Let  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,  $f, g \in M_l$  satisfy

$$d(f,g) \le 4^{-1}r \tag{3}$$

and let  $x \in X$  be given. By (2) and (3),

$$d(f,g) \le 4^{-1},$$
  
$$\tilde{d}(f,g) = d(f,g)(1 - d(f,g))^{-1} \le 2d(f,g) \le 2^{-1}r.$$

In view of (1) and the equation above,

$$|g(x) - f(x)| \le 2^{-1}r.$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{l,T}$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ ,  $r \in (0,1]$ . Then there are  $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{l,T}$  and  $\overline{x} \in X$  such that  $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{c,T}$  if  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{c,T}$ ,

$$f(x) \le \bar{f}(x) \le f(x) + r/2, \ x \in X \tag{4}$$

and that for each  $y \in X$ , which satisfies

$$\bar{f}(y) \le \inf(\bar{f}) + \epsilon r/4 \tag{5}$$

the equation

$$\min\{\rho(y,\bar{x}), \ \rho(T(y),\bar{x})\} \le \epsilon$$

is true.

**Proof.** There exists  $\bar{x} \in X$  satisfying

$$f(\bar{x}) \leq \inf(f) + \epsilon r/4.$$

Define a function  $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{M}_l$  as follows:

$$\bar{f}(x) = f(x) + 2^{-1} r \min\{\rho(x,\bar{x}), \rho(T(x),\bar{x}), 1\}, \ x \in X.$$
(6)

Clearly,  $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{l,T}$ , and  $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{c,T}$  if  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{c,T}$  and (4) is true. Let  $y \in X$  and (5) hold. By (5) and (6),

$$f(y) + 2^{-1}r\min\{\rho(y,\bar{x}), \rho(T(y),\bar{x}), 1\} = \bar{f}(y) \le \inf(\bar{f}) + \epsilon r/4$$
$$\le \bar{f}(\bar{x}) + \epsilon r/4 = f(\bar{x}) + \epsilon r/4 \le f(y) + \epsilon r/2.$$

Therefore,

$$\min\{\rho(y,\bar{x}), \, \rho(T(y),\bar{x})\} \leq \epsilon.$$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

For every integer  $n \ge 1$  let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be the collection of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that: (i) there are a point  $\bar{x} \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $z \in X$  and  $f(z) \le \inf(f) + \delta$ , then the inequality  $\rho(\bar{x}, \{z, T(z)\}) \le 1/n$  is valid.

Let a natural number *n* be given. We claim that the set  $A \setminus A_n$  is porous.

By Lemma 1, for every positive number  $r \le 1$ , each  $f, g \in M_l$  satisfying  $d(f, g) \le 4^{-1}r$ and each  $x \in X$ ,

$$|g(x) - f(x)| \le r. \tag{7}$$

By Lemma 2 applied with  $\epsilon = (2n)^{-1}$ , the following property is valid:

(ii) for each function  $f \in A$  and every positive number  $r \leq 1$ , there exist  $\overline{f} \in A$  and  $\overline{x} \in X$  such that

$$\tilde{d}(f,\bar{f}) \le r/4 \tag{8}$$

and that for each  $y \in X$  satisfying

$$\bar{f}(y) \le \inf(\bar{f}) + 16^{-1} r n^{-1}$$
(9)

the equation

$$\min\{\rho(y,\bar{x}), \, \rho(T(y),\bar{x})\} \le (2n)^{-1}. \tag{10}$$

is valid.

Fix

$$\bar{r} = 4^{-1}, \ \alpha = 80^{-1}n^{-1}.$$
 (11)

Let  $f \in A$  and a positive number  $r \leq \overline{r}$  be given. By property (ii), there exist  $\overline{f} \in A$  and  $\overline{x} \in X$  such that

$$\tilde{d}(f,\bar{f}) \le r/4 \tag{12}$$

and that the next property is true:

(iii) for every point  $y \in X$  satisfying (9), Equation (10) is true.

 $d(g,f) \le \alpha r + r/4 \le r/2. \tag{14}$ 

By (2), (11) and (13),

By (2) and (11)-(13),

$$\tilde{d}(g,\bar{f}) \le d(g,\bar{f})(1-d(g,\bar{f}))^{-1}$$
$$\le \alpha r(1-\alpha r)^{-1} \le 2\alpha r$$
(15)

and

$$|\inf(\bar{f}) - \inf(g)| \le 2\alpha r. \tag{16}$$

Let a point  $z \in X$  satisfy the inequality

 $g(z) \le \inf(g) + \alpha r. \tag{17}$ 

By (15),

$$|g(z) - \bar{f}(z)| \le 2\alpha r. \tag{18}$$

By (11) and (16)-(18),

$$\bar{f}(z) \le g(z) + 2\alpha r \le \inf(g) + 3\alpha r \le \inf(\bar{f}) + 5\alpha r$$
$$\le \inf(\bar{f}) + 16^{-1} r n^{-1}.$$
(19)

Property (iii), (9), (10) and (19) imply that

$$\min\{\rho(z,\bar{x}), \rho(T(z),\bar{x})\} \le (2n)^{-1}$$

Thus

$$g \in \mathcal{A}_n$$

by definition. Together with (14), this implies that

$$\{g \in \mathcal{A}: d(g, \overline{f}) \leq \alpha r\} \subset \{g \in \mathcal{A}: d(g, f) \leq r\} \cap \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Thus, the set  $A \setminus A_n$  is  $\sigma$ -porous. Then the set

$$\mathcal{A}\setminus \cap_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}_n=\cup_{n=1}(\mathcal{A}\setminus \mathcal{A}_n)$$

is  $\sigma$ -porous.

Let

$$f \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n.$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

By (20), for every integer  $n \ge 1$ , there are  $x_n \in X$  and  $\delta_n > 0$  such that the following property is valid:

(iv) if a point  $z \in X$  satisfies the inequality  $f(z) \leq \inf(f) + \delta_n$ , then the equation  $\rho(x_n, \{z, T(z)\}) \leq 1/n$  holds.

Suppose that a sequence  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset X$  satisfies

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} f(z_i) = \inf(f).$$
(21)

Let a natural number n be given. By (21) and property (iv), for every large enough positive integer i,

$$\{\rho(x_n, \{z_i, T(z_i)\}) \le n^{-1}.$$

Since *n* is an arbitrary positive integer, there is a sub-sequence  $\{z_{i_p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  such that at least one of the sequences  $\{z_{i_p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{T(z_{i_p})\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  converges. Since *T* is continuous and  $T^2$  is the identity operator, they both converge and

$$T(\lim_{p \to \infty} z_{i_p}) = \lim_{p \to \infty} T(z_{i_p}).$$
(22)

Set

$$x_f = \lim_{p \to \infty} z_{i_p}.$$
 (23)

By (21), (23) and the lower semi-continuity of f,

$$f(x_f) = f(T(x_f)) = \inf(f).$$
(24)

Applying property (iv) with  $z_i = x_f$  for every natural number *i*, we obtain that

$$\rho(x_n, \{x_f, T(x_f)\}) \le n^{-1} \text{ for every natural number } n \ge 1.$$
(25)

Let  $\xi \in X$  be such that

$$f(\xi) = \inf(f). \tag{26}$$

By (26) and property (iv) applied with  $z_i = \xi$  for every integer  $i \ge 1$  we obtain that

$$\rho(x_n, \{\xi, T(\xi)\}) \le n^{-1} \text{ for every natural number } n.$$
(27)

Equations (25) and (27) imply that

$$\min\{\rho(\xi, x_f), \ \rho(T(\xi), x_f), \ \rho(\xi, T(x_f)), \rho(T(\xi), T(x_f))\} \le 2n^{-1}.$$

Since *n* is an arbitrary positive integer, we conclude that and at least one of the following equalities is true:

$$\xi = x_f, \ \xi = T(x_f).$$

Thus

$$x \in X: f(x) = \inf(f) \} = \{ x_f, T(x_f) \}.$$
(28)

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Fix a natural number *n* such that

{

$$4n^{-1} < \epsilon. \tag{29}$$

Property (iv) and (25) imply that for every  $z \in X$  which satisfies the inequality

$$f(z) \le \inf(f) + \delta_n,$$

we have

$$\rho(x_n, \{z, T(z)\}) \le 1/n \tag{30}$$

and

$$\min\{\rho(z, x_f), \ \rho(T(z), x_f), \ \rho(z, T(x_f)), \ \rho(T(z), T(x_f))\} \le 2n^{-1}.$$
(31)

Fix a positive number

$$\delta < \min\{3^{-1}\delta_n, 8^{-1}\epsilon\}. \tag{32}$$

Let a function  $g \in M_l$  satisfy

$$\tilde{d}(g,f) \le \delta \tag{33}$$

and let a point  $z \in X$  be such that

$$g(z) \le \inf(g) + \delta. \tag{34}$$

By Equations (32)–(34), we have

$$f(z) \le g(z) + \delta \le \inf(g) + 2\delta \le \inf(f) + 3\delta \le \inf(f) + \delta_n.$$
(35)

It follows from (29), (31) and (35) that

$$\min\{\rho(z, x_f), \, \rho(T(z), x_f), \, \rho(z, T(x_f)), \, \rho(T(z), T(x_f))\} \le 2n^{-1} < \epsilon.$$
(36)

Thus, (28) holds and for each function  $g \in M_l$  which satisfies (33) and every point  $z \in X$  satisfying (34) Equation (36) holds. By Equations (33) and (34), we have

$$|g(z) - \inf(f)| \le 2\delta < \epsilon.$$

Thus, the minimization problem for *f* on X is well-posed with respect to  $(\mathcal{M}_l, d)$  for all  $f \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ . Theorem 1 is proved.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Zaslavski, A.J. Generic existence of solutions of symmetric optimization problems. Symmetry 2020, 12, 2004. [CrossRef]
- 2. Mizel, V.J.; Zaslavski, A.J. Anisotropic functions: A genericity result with crystallographic implications. *Esaim Control. Optim. Calculus Var.* **2004**, *10*, 624–633. [CrossRef]
- 3. Zaslavski, A.J. A porosity result for variational problems arising in crystallography. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **2006**, *10*, 537–548.
- 4. Boulos, W.; Reich, S. Porosity results for two-set nearest and farthest point problems. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 2015, *2*, 493–507. [CrossRef]
- 5. Peng, J.D.; Yu, T.; Xiu, N.H. Generic uniqueness of solutions for a class of vector Ky Fan inequalities. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 2012, 155, 165–179. [CrossRef]
- 6. Peng, D.T.; Yu, J.; Xiu, N.H. Generic uniqueness theorems with some applications. J. Glob. Optim. 2013, 56, 713–725. [CrossRef]
- 7. Peng, L.; Li, C. Porosity and fixed points of nonexpansive set-valued maps. Set-Valued Var. Anal. 2014, 22, 333–348. [CrossRef]
- 8. Planiden, C.; Wang, X. Most convex functions have unique minimizers. J. Convex Anal. 2016, 23, 877–892.
- 9. Zaslavski, A.J. Optimization on metric and normed spaces. In *Springer Optimization and Its Applications*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2010.
- 10. Planiden, C.; Wang, X. Strongly convex functions, Moreau envelopes, and the generic nature of convex functions with strong minimizers. *SIAM J. Optim.* **2016**, *26*, 1341–1364. [CrossRef]
- 11. Li, C. On well posed generalized best approximation problems. J. Approx. Theory 2000, 107, 96–108. [CrossRef]
- 12. Peng, L.; Li, C.; Yao, J.-C. Porosity results on fixed points for nonexpansive set-valued maps in hyperbolic spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2015**, *428*, 989–1004. [CrossRef]
- 13. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Genericity in nonlinear analysis. In *Developments in Mathematics*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014.
- 14. Vanderwerff, J. On the residuality of certain classes of convex functions. Pure Appl. Funct. Anal. 2020, 5, 791–806.
- 15. Wang, X. Most maximally monotone operators have a unique zero and a super-regular resolvent. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2013**, *87*, 69–82. [CrossRef]