



Muhammad Shoaib<sup>1,\*</sup>, Waqas Mahmood<sup>1</sup>, Qin Xin<sup>2</sup> and Fairouz Tchier<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan; wmahmood@qau.edu.pk
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of the Faroe Islands, Vestarabryggja 15, FO 100 Torshavn, Denmark; qinx@setur.fo
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, P.O. Box 22452, Riyadh 11495, Saudi Arabia; ftchier@ksu.edu.sa
- \* Correspondence: muhammadshoaibe14@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Fuzzy graphs (FGs) can play a useful role in natural and human-made structures, including process dynamics in physical, biological, and social systems. Since issues in everyday life are often uncertain due to inconsistent and ambiguous information, it is extremely difficult for an expert to model those difficulties using an FG. Indeterminate and inconsistent information related to real-valued problems can be studied through a picture of the fuzzy graph (PFG), while the FG does not provide mathematically acceptable information. In this regard, we are interested in reducing the limitations of FGs by introducing some new definitions and results for the PFG. This paper aims to describe and explore a few properties of PFGs, including the maximal product (MP), symmetric difference (SD), rejection (RJ), and residue product (RP). Furthermore, we also discuss the degree and total degree of nodes in a PFG. This study also demonstrates the application of a PFG in digital marketing and social networking.

Keywords: PFG; RP; MP; SD; RJ; application

## 1. Introduction

In 1965, Zadeh [1] presented the fuzzy set (FS) as an extension of the crisp set to deal with imprecise and unclear information in ambiguous situations, and it is effective and acceptable. It can be characterized by a true membership function similar to a probability function having ranges in [0, 1]. These concepts successfully depict complicated events that cannot be adequately stated using classical mathematics and are also useful to understand approximate reasoning problems. Rosenfeld [2] studied various fuzzy graph-theoretical ideas such as cycles, connectedness, and path. The FG has many applications in topological space and algebra, among other areas. Bhattacharya [3] discussed the association of the fuzzy group with fuzzy graphs. Bhutani [4] worked on automorphism in FGs. Gani and Latha [5] introduced the irregularity of FGs. Gani and Ahmad [6] defined the degree and size of FGs. Morderson and Peng [7] defined the join, Cartesian product, union, and composition of fuzzy subgraphs of graphs. Mathew and Sunitha [8] discussed the basic applications of FGs. It is not necessarily true that the membership degree is 1, as non-membership degrees also exist, and indeterminacy occurs in an intuitionistic fuzzy set. Shao et al. [9] described new concepts of the bondage number in the intuitionistic fuzzy graph (IFG). Rashmanlou et al. [10–12] studied a bipolar fuzzy graph. Rashmanlou et al. [13–16] also studied interval-valued fuzzy graphs. Gulzar et al. [17] described the novel application of a complex intuitionistic fuzzy set. Gulzar et al. [18] worked on the class of the t-intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup. Bhunia [19] briefly studied the algebraic characteristics of fuzzy sub-e-group. Smarandache [20] introduced the theory of the neutrosophic set involving indeterminacy and inconsistent data. Hassan and Malik [21] presented the classification of the bipolar single-valued neutrosophic graph.

Zuo et al. [22] introduced the idea of the PFG. Some operations on PFGs, namely, Cartesian product, composition, join, lexicographic product, strong product, and direct



Citation: Shoaib, M.; Mahmood, W.; Xin, Q.; Tchier, F. Certain Operations on Picture Fuzzy Graph with Application. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 2400. https://doi.org/10.3390/ sym13122400

Academic Editors: Darko Bozanic and Dragan Pamucar

Received: 22 November 2021 Accepted: 8 December 2021 Published: 12 December 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). product, are discussed. The PFG is a generalization of the FG and IFG. The PFG is an efficient tool to handle uncertain issues in everyday life, in which an IFG may not provide exact answers. A PFG is very helpful in addressing uncertain problems that consist of multiple answers, such as no, yes, refusal, and abstain.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- In this study, we establish some new properties of the PFG, including MP, SD, RP, and RJ, which may be suggestive of some aspects of network design because it contains the additional neutral grade, while the FG and IFG may fail in networking due to lack of information.
- We explore some of the properties of the resultant picture fuzzy graphs, especially the degree of vertices and total degree as a modification, acquired from the given picture fuzzy graphs using these operations.
- The picture fuzzy graph is more adaptive and generalized than the FG and IFG. The application of picture fuzzy graphs is widely applicable in networking and enables solving three-dimensional problems. We apply the concept of picture fuzzy graphs to a decision-making problem.

The layout of this paper is as follows:

We describe a few fundamental notions in Section 2 that are helpful for understanding this paper. Section 3 presents a few properties of the PFG, including MP, SD, RP, and RJ. We define the degree of a vertex and the total degree of a vertex with examples. In Section 4, we describe the application of a PFG in networking. Finally, we provide concluding remarks and some future directions in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

This section involves some basic definitions. We recall some important definitions and discuss them in depth to illustrate the notion of the vertex degree. The symbols  $\lor$  and  $\land$  represent max and min, respectively.

**Definition 1** ([22]). *Picture fuzzy set (PFS) is defined as:* 

$$U = \langle p : \chi_U(p), \phi_U(p), \psi_U(p), p \in X \rangle$$

which follows:

$$0 \le \chi_U(p) + \phi_U(p) + \psi_U(p) \le 1$$

where  $\chi_U : V \to [0, 1]$  represents the degree of the true membership function,  $\phi_U : V \to [0, 1]$  represents the degree of the neutral membership function, and  $\psi_U : V \to [0, 1]$  represents the degree of the falsity membership function.

The refusal membership degree is defined as follows:

$$\pi_U(p) = 1 - \chi_U(p) + \phi_U(p) + \psi_U(p)$$

**Definition 2** ([22]). A PFG on a non-empty set V is a pair  $\mathbb{G} = (U, W)$ , where U is a picture fuzzy set on V, and W is a picture fuzzy relation on V. It is expressed as follows:

$$\chi_W(pq) \le \land \{\chi_U(p), \chi_U(q)\},\\phi_W(pq) \le \land \{\phi_U(p), \phi_U(q)\},\\psi_W(pq) \ge \lor \{\psi_U(p), \psi_U(q)\}\$$

where  $\chi_U$ ,  $\phi_U$ , and  $\psi_U : V \to [0, 1]$  denote the degree of the truth membership function, neutral membership function, and falsity membership function of the element  $p \in V$ , respectively.

*In the function*  $\chi_W : E \subseteq V \times V \rightarrow [0,1]$ ,  $\phi_W : E \subseteq V \times V \rightarrow [0,1]$ , and  $\psi_W : E \subseteq V \times V \rightarrow [0,1]$ ,

$$0 \le \chi_U(p) + \phi_U(p) + \psi_U(p) \le 1.$$

 $\forall p \in V, and$ 

$$0 \leq \chi_W(pq) + \phi_W(pq) + \psi_W(pq) \leq 1.$$

**Example 1.** Let a graph with three vertices p, q, and r and three edges pq, qr, and rp as shown in Figure 1 such that  $M = \langle (\frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.2}, \frac{r}{0.3}), (\frac{p}{0.3}, \frac{q}{0.4}, \frac{r}{0.5}), (\frac{p}{0.1}, \frac{q}{0.2}, \frac{r}{0.1}) \rangle$  be the picture fuzzy vertex set and  $N = \langle (\frac{pq}{0.2}, \frac{qr}{0.2}, \frac{pr}{0.3}), (\frac{pq}{0.3}, \frac{qr}{0.3}), (\frac{pq}{0.2}, \frac{qr}{0.2}) \rangle$  be the picture fuzzy edge set.



Figure 1. PFG.

**3. Operations on PFG Definition 3.** *A PFG* **G** *is said to be strong if* 

 $\chi_{W}(uw) = \wedge \{\chi_{U}(u), \chi_{U}(w), \\ \phi_{W}(uw) = \wedge \{\phi_{U}(u), \phi_{U}(w), \\ \psi_{W}(uw) = \vee \{\psi_{U}(u), \psi_{U}(w), \\ \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\forall uw \in V.$ 

**Definition 4.** A PFG  $\mathbb{G}$  is said to be complete if

$$\begin{split} \chi_{W}(uw) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U}(u), \chi_{U}(w), \\ \phi_{W}(uw) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U}(u), \phi_{U}(w), \\ \psi_{W}(uw) &= \vee \{\psi_{U}(u), \psi_{U}(w), \end{split}$$

 $\forall u, w \in E.$ 

**Definition 5.** The MP  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2 = (U_1 * U_2, W_1 * W_2)$  of two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  is defined as: (i)

 $\begin{aligned} &(\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \\ &(\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \\ &(\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \land \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \end{aligned}$ 

 $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in (V_1 \times V_2).$ 

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}, \\ & (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \wedge \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}.$$

$$\forall m \in V_1 \text{ and } u_2 w_2 \in E_2.$$

(iii)

$$\begin{split} &(\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \lor \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}, \\ &(\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \lor \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}, \\ &(\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \land \{\psi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\}. \end{split}$$

for all 
$$z \in V_2$$
 and  $u_1w_1 \in E_1$ .

**Example 2.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs, which are shown in *Figures 2 and 3*. Their MP  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$  is shown in Figure 4.

*For vertex (e,a), we find the membership value (Mv), indeterminate value (IDv), and nonmembership value (NMv) as follows:* 

$$(\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})((e, a)) = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(e), \chi_{U_2}(a)\} = \lor \{0.1, 0.2\} = 0.2, (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})((e, a)) = \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(e), \phi_{U_2}(a)\} = \lor \{0.2, 0.1\} = 0.2, (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})((e, a)) = \land \{\psi_{U_1}(e), \psi_{U_2}(a)\} = \land \{0.3, 0.3\} = 0.3$$

*for*  $e \in V_1$  *and*  $a \in V_2$ *.* 

For edge (e,a) (e,b), we find Mv, IDv, and NMv.

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})((e,a)(e,b)) &= \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(e), \chi_{W_2}(ab)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.1, 0.1\} = 0.1, \\ (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})((e,a)(e,b)) &= \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(e), \phi_{W_2}(ab)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.2, 0.1\} = 0.2, \\ (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})((e,a)(e,b)) &= \land \{\psi_{U_1}(e), \psi_{W_2}(ab)\} \\ &= \land \{0.3, 0.3\} = 0.3 \end{aligned}$$

for  $e \in V_1$  and  $ab \in E_2$ . For edge (e, a) (f, a):

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2}) ((e, a)(f, a)) &= \lor \{\chi_{W_1}(ef), \chi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.1, 0.2\} = 0.2, \\ (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})((e, a)(f, a)) &= \lor \{\phi_{W_1}(ef), \phi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.2, 0.1\} = 0.2, \\ (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})((e, a)(f, a)) &= \land \{\psi_{W_1}(ef), \psi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= 0.3 \end{aligned}$$

for  $a \in V_2$  and  $ef \in E_1$ .

Mv, IDv, and NMv can be similarly calculated for all other nodes and edges.

e(0.1, 0.2, 0.3) Figure 2. G<sub>1</sub>.







**Figure 4.**  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The MP of two PFGs*  $\mathbb{G}_1$  *and*  $\mathbb{G}_2$  *is a PFG.* 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs on crisp graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ , respectively, and  $((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) \in E_1 \times E_2$ . By using Definition 5: (i) If  $u_1 = w_1 = m$ ,  $(\chi_{W_1} * \chi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}$  $\leq \vee \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \wedge \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\}$  $= \wedge \{ \lor \{ \{ \chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \lor \{ \{ \chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{U_2}(w_2) \} \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(m, u_2), (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(m, w_2) \},\$  $(\phi_{W_1} * \phi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}$  $\leq \vee \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \wedge \{\phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\}$  $= \wedge \{ \lor \{ \{ \phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \lor \{ \{ \phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{U_2}(w_2) \} \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})(m, u_2), (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})(m, w_2) \},\$  $(\psi_{W_1} * \psi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \wedge \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}$  $\geq \wedge \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \vee \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\}$  $= \vee \{ \wedge \{ \{ \psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \wedge \{ \{ \psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(w_2) \} \}$  $= \vee \{ (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})(m, u_2), (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})(m, w_2) \}.$ 

(ii) If 
$$u_2 = w_2 = z$$
,  

$$(\chi_{W_1} * \chi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \lor \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\} \\ \leq \lor \{\land \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}, \lor \{\{\chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}\} \\ = \land \{\lor \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}, \lor \{\{\chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}\} \\ = \land \{(\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(w_1, z)\}, \\ (\phi_{W_1} * \phi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \lor \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\} \\ \leq \lor \{\land \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}, \lor \{\{\phi_{U_1}(w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}\} \\ = \land \{(\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})(w_1, z)\}, \\ (\psi_{W_1} * \psi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \land \{\psi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\} \\ \geq \land \{\lor \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\}, \land \{\{\psi_{U_1}(w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\}\} \\ = \lor \{(\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})(w_1, z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$  is a PFG.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.** *The MP of two strong PFGs*  $\mathbb{G}_1$  *and*  $\mathbb{G}_2$  *is a strong PFG.* 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two strong PFGs on two crisp graphs and  $((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) \in E_1 \times E_2$ .

By using Proposition 1, we obtain:

(i) If 
$$u_1 = w_1 = m$$
,  

$$(\chi_{W_1} * \chi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\} = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \land \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} = \land \{\forall \chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \lor \{\{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} = \land \{(\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(m, u_2), (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(m, w_2)\},$$

$$(\phi_{W_1} * \phi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\} = \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \land \{\phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} = \land \{\forall \psi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \lor \{\{\phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} = \land \{(\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2}), (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})(m, w_2)\},$$

$$(\psi_{W_1} * \psi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \land \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\} = \land \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \lor \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} = \lor \{\langle \psi_{U_1}(m), \forall \psi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \land \{\{\psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} = \lor \{\langle \psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \land \{\{\psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} = \lor \{\langle \psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(u_2), (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})(m, w_2)\}.$$
(ii) If  $u_2 = w_2 = z$ ,  

$$(\chi_{W_1} * \chi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \lor \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\} = \lor \{\langle \chi_{W_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\} = \land \{\langle \chi_{W_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\} = \land \{\langle \chi_{W_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}, \lor \{\{\chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}\} = \land \{(\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\chi_{U_1} * \chi_{U_2})(w_1, z)\},$$

$$(\phi_{W_1} * \phi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \lor \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}$$

$$= \lor \{\land \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\} \\ = \land \{\lor \{\{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}, \lor \{\{\phi_{U_1}(w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}\} \\ = \land \{(\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\phi_{U_1} * \phi_{U_2})(w_1, z)\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_{W_1} * \psi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) &= \wedge \{\psi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\} \\ &= \wedge \{ \lor \{\psi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\} \\ &= \lor \{ \wedge \{\{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\}, \wedge \{\{\psi_{U_1}(w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\}\} \\ &= \lor \{(\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\psi_{U_1} * \psi_{U_2})(w_1, z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$  is a strong PFG.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$  are two strong PFGs, as shown in Figure 5. *Hence,*  $G_1 * G_2$  *is also a strong PFG.* 



Figure 5. PFGs.

**Remark 1.** If the MP of two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  is strong, then  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are not required to be strong, in general.

**Example 4.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$  are two PFGs, as in Figures 6 and 7. We can see the MP of the two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$ , that is,  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$ , in Figure 8.

Then,  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$  are strong PFGs, but  $\mathbb{G}_2$  is not strong. Since  $\chi_{W_2}(u_2, w_2)=0.2$ , on other hand,  $\wedge \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}=\wedge \{0.2, 0.1\}=0.1$ . Hence,  $\chi_{W_2}(u_2, w_2) \neq \wedge \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}.$ 

(0.2, 0.3, 0.3)

a(0.2, 0.3, 0.3)

b(0.3, 0.3, 0.3)

Figure 6.  $\mathbb{G}_1$ .

(0.2, 0.3, 0.3)

c(0.2, 0.3, 0.3)

d(0.1, 0.3, 0.3)

Figure 7.  $\mathbb{G}_2$ .



Figure 8.  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$ .



**Definition 6.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs.  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ ,

$$\begin{split} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}}*\chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \vee \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \vee \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}, \\ (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}}*\phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \vee \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \vee \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}, \\ (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}}*\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \wedge \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs. If  $\chi_{U_1} \geq \chi_{W_2}, \phi_{U_1} \geq \phi_{W_2}, \psi_{U_1} \leq \psi_{W_2}$  and  $\chi_{U_2} \geq \chi_{W_1}, \phi_{U_2} \geq \phi_{W_1}, \psi_{U_2} \leq \psi_{W_1}$ , then for every  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \\ & (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \\ & (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}}*\chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \lor \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \lor \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}=w_{1}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \\ (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}}*\phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \lor \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \lor \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}=w_{1}} \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \\ (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}}*\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}=w_{1}} \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \land \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}}} \land \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}}} \land \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}}} \land \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}=w_{1}}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.** Taking PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_2$ , and  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$ , as in Figure 9, since  $\chi_{U_1} \ge \chi_{W_2}$ ,  $\phi_{U_1} \ge \phi_{W_2}$ ,  $\psi_{U_1} \le \psi_{W_2}$ ,  $\chi_{U_2} \ge \chi_{W_1} \phi_{U_2} \ge \phi_{W_1}$ , and  $\psi_{U_2} \le \psi_{W_1}$ , by Theorem 2, we have:

 $(d_{\chi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,d) = (d)_{G_2}(d)\chi_{U_1}(a) + (d)_{G_1}(a)\chi_{U_2}(d) = 1 \cdot (0.3) + 1 \cdot (0.3) = 0.6, \\ (d_{\phi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,d) = (d)_{G_2}(d)\phi_{U_1}(a) + (d)_{G_1}(a)\phi_{U_2}(d) = 1 \cdot (0.2) + 1 \cdot (0.2) = 0.4, \\ (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,d) = 0.3.$ 

From direct calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{\chi})_{G_1*G_2}(b,d) = 0.2 + 0.3 = 0.5, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(b,d) = 0.3 + 0.2 = 0.5, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(b,d) = 0.3 + 0.2 = 0.5, \\ & (d_{\chi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,c) = 0.5, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,c) = 0.5, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,c) = 0.4, \\ & (d_{\chi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,d) = 0.6, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(a,d) = 0.3, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(b,c) = 0.4, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(b,c) = 0.6, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(b,c) = 0.6, \\ & (d_{\psi})_{G_1*G_2}(b,c) = 0.6. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude from the above calculations that "the degrees of nodes determined by using the formula of the above theorem and by the direct method are equal".



Figure 9. PFGs.

 $+ \vee \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\},\$ 

**Definition 7.** Let  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  be two PFGs.  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ ,

$$\begin{split} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}}*\chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\chi_{U_{1}}*\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \lor \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \lor \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \lor \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}, \end{split} \\ (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}}*\phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\phi_{U_{1}}*\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \lor \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \lor \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{\substack{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.\\ u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}}}(\psi_{W_{1}}*\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\psi_{U_{1}}*\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}\\ u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}}}\wedge\{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}\\ u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}}}\wedge\{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1},\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \wedge\{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs. If  $\chi_{U_1} \geq \chi_{W_2}, \phi_{U_1} \geq \phi_{W_2}, \psi_{U_1} \leq \psi_{W_2}$  and  $\chi_{U_2} \geq \chi_{W_1}, \phi_{U_2} \geq \phi_{W_1}, \psi_{U_2} \leq \psi_{W_1}$ , then for every  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ ,

$$(td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) + \vee \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}, (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) + \vee \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}, (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) + \wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}.$$

## Proof.

$$\begin{split} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}}*\chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\chi_{U_{1}}*\chi_{U_{2}})(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \vee \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \vee \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}=w_{1}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ \max\{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) + \max\{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}}*\phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\phi_{U_{1}}*\phi_{U_{2}})(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \vee \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \vee \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \bigvee \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}=w_{1}} \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \end{split}$$

 $= (d)_{G_2}(u_2)\phi_{U_1}(u_1) + (d)_{G_1}(u_1)\phi_{U_2}(u_2)$  $+ \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\}$ 

 $+ \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\}$ 

$$(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}}*\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) + (\psi_{U_{1}}*\psi_{U_{2}})(u_{1}, u_{2})$$

$$= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1}, u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\}$$

$$+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1}, u_{2}=w_{2}} \wedge \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}$$

$$+ \wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}$$

$$= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}, u_{1}=w_{1}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1}, u_{2}=w_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1})$$

$$+ \wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}$$

$$= (d)_{G_{2}}(u_{2})\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + (d)_{G_{1}}(u_{1})\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})$$

$$+ \wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}$$

**Example 6.** Let  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  be two PFGs, with  $\chi_{U_1} \ge \chi_{W_2}, \phi_{U_1} \ge \phi_{W_2}, \psi_{U_1} \le \psi_{W_2}$  and  $\chi_{U_2} \ge \chi_{W_1}, \phi_{U_2} \ge \phi_{W_1}, \psi_{U_2} \le \psi_{W_1}$ .

In Example 2, we calculate the total degree of nodes of  $\mathbb{G}_1 * \mathbb{G}_2$  by using Figures 6–8. We calculate the total degree of nodes in MP for node (*e*,*a*).

$$\begin{aligned} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(e,a) &= (d)_{G_{2}}(e)\chi_{U_{1}}(a) + (d)_{G_{1}}(a)\chi_{U_{2}}(e) + \vee \{\chi_{U_{1}}(e),\chi_{U_{2}}(a)\} \\ &= 1(0.1) + 3(0.2) + \vee (0.2,0.1) = 0.1 + 0.6 + 0.2 = 0.9, \\ (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(e,a) &= (d)_{G_{2}}(e)\phi_{U_{1}}(a) + (d)_{G_{1}}(a)\phi_{U_{2}}(e) + \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(e),\phi_{U_{2}}(a)\} \\ &= 1(0.2) + 3(0.1) + \vee (0.1,0.2) = 0.2 + 0.3 + 0.2 = 0.7, \end{aligned}$$

$$(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}*\mathbb{G}_{2}}(e,a) = (d)_{G_{2}}(e)\psi_{U_{1}}(a) + (d)_{G_{1}}(a)\psi_{U_{2}}(e) + \wedge\{\psi_{U_{1}}(e),\psi_{U_{2}}(a)\}$$
  
= 1(0.3) + 3(0.3) + \landslambda(0.3,0.3) = 0.3 + 0.9 + 0.3 = 1.5.

We can calculate it similarly for other nodes.

**Definition 8.** The RJ  $\mathbb{G}_1|\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_1|U_2, W_1|W_2)$  of two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  is defined as:

(i)

$$(\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})((u_1,u_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2)\},\$$
$$(\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})((u_1,u_2)) = \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2)\},\$$
$$(\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})((u_1,u_2)) = \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1),\psi_{U_2}(u_2)\}.$$

(ii)

$$(\chi_{W_1}|\chi_{W_2})((m,u_2)(m,w_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(m),\chi_{U_2}(u_2),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\$$

$$(\phi_{W_1}|\phi_{W_2})((m,u_2)(m,w_2)) = \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(m),\phi_{U_2}(u_2),\phi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\$$

$$(\psi_{W_1}|\psi_{W_2})((m,u_2)(m,w_2)) = \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(m),\psi_{U_2}(u_2),\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\$$

 $\forall m \in V_2 \text{ and } u_2 w_2 \notin E_2.$ 

 $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in (V_1 \times V_2),$ 

(iii)

$$(\chi_{W_1}|\chi_{W_2})((m,u_2)(m,w_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(m),\chi_{U_2}(u_2),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{W_1}|\phi_{W_2})((m,u_2)(m,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(m),\phi_{U_2}(u_2),\phi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\\ (\psi_{W_1}|\psi_{W_2})((m,u_2)(m,w_2)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(m),\psi_{U_2}(u_2),\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\\ \forall z \in V_2 \text{ and } u_1w_1 \notin E_1. \end{aligned}$$

$$(iv) \qquad (\chi_{W_1}|\chi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_1}(w_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\\ (\phi_{W_1}|\phi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_1}(w_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2),\phi_{W_2}(w_2)\},\\ (\psi_{W_1}|\psi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1),\psi_{U_1}(w_1),\psi_{U_2}(u_2),\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\},\\ \forall u_1w_1 \notin E_1 \text{ and } u_2w_2 \notin E_2. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 7.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$  are two PFGs, as in Figures 10 and 11. We can see the RJ of the two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$ , that is,  $\mathbb{G}_1|\mathbb{G}_2$ , in Figure 12.

*For node* (*e*, *a*), *we calculate Mv, IDv, and NMv as follows:* 

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})((e,a)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(e), \chi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.1, 0.1\} = 0.1, \\ (\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})((e,a)) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(e), \phi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.3, 0.2\} = 0.2, \\ (\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})((e,a)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(e), \psi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.2, 0.3\} = 0.3 \end{aligned}$$

for  $a \in V_1$  and  $e \in V_2$ . For arc (e, c)(e, a), we calculate Mv, IDv, and NMv as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{W_1}|\chi_{W_2})((e,c)(e,a)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(e),\chi_{U_2}(c),\chi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.1,0.1,0.1\} = 0.1, \\ (\phi_{W_1}|\phi_{W_2})((e,c)(e,a)) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(e),\phi_{U_2}(c),\phi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.3,0.2,0.2\} = 0.2, \\ (\psi_{W_1}|\psi_{W_2})((e,c)(e,a)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(e),\psi_{U_2}(c),\psi_{U_2}(a)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.2,0.3,0.3\} = 0.3 \end{aligned}$$

*for*  $e \in V_2$  *and ac*  $\notin E_1$ *.* 

We can calculate Mv, IDv, and NMv for all other nodes and edges.



Figure 12.  $\mathbb{G}_1|\mathbb{G}_2$ .

**Proposition 2.** *The RJ of two PFGs*  $\mathbb{G}_1$  *and*  $\mathbb{G}_2$  *is a PFG.* 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs on crisp graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ , respectively, and  $((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) \in E_1 \times E_2$ . Then, by Definition 8, we have: (i) If  $u_1 = w_1, u_2w_2 \notin E_2$ ,

$$\begin{split} (\chi_{W_1}|\chi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\} \\ &= \wedge \{\wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(w_1),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}, \\ (\phi_{W_1}|\phi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2),\phi_{U_2}(w_2)\} \\ &= \wedge \{\wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(w_1),\phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}, \\ (\psi_{W_1}|\psi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1),\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(w_1),\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \vee \{(\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}. \end{split}$$

(ii) If  $u_2 = w_2, u_1 w_1 \notin E_1$ ,

$$\begin{split} (\chi_{W_1}|\chi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_1}(w_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \\ &= \wedge \{\wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(w_1),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}, \\ (\phi_{W_1}|\phi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_1}(w_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \\ &= \wedge \{\wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(w_1),\phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}, \\ (\psi_{W_1}|\psi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1),\psi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(w_1),\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \vee \{(\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}. \end{split}$$

(iii) If  $u_1w_1 \notin E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \notin E_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{W_1}|\chi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_1}(w_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\} \\ &= \wedge \{\wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1),\chi_{U_2}(u_2)\},\wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(w_1),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\chi_{U_1}|\chi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} (\phi_{W_1}|\phi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \land \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_1}(w_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2),\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\} \\ &= \land \{\land \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1),\phi_{U_2}(u_2)\},\land \{\phi_{U_1}(w_1),\phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \land \{(\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\phi_{U_1}|\phi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}, \\ (\psi_{W_1}|\psi_{W_2})((u_1,u_2)(w_1,w_2)) &= \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1),\psi_{U_1}(w_1),\psi_{U_2}(u_2),\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\} \\ &= \lor \{\lor \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1),\psi_{U_2}(u_2)\},\lor \{\psi_{U_1}(w_1),\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \lor \{(\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})(u_1,u_2),(\psi_{U_1}|\psi_{U_2})(w_1,w_2)\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{G}_1 | \mathbf{G}_2 = (U_1 | U_2, W_1 | W_2)$  is a PFG.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.** The RJ of two complete PFGs  $G_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $G_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  is a complete PFG.

**Definition 9.** Suppose that  $G_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $G_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs. For any node  $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}}|\chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=w_{2},u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}, \\ (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}}|\phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=w_{2},u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}, \\ (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}}|\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}, \\ (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}}|\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}and} u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \vee \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}and} u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \vee \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}and} u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \vee \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}and} u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \vee \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2}),\psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}. \end{split}$$

**Definition 10.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, Y_2)$  are two PFGs.  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ ,

$$(td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sum_{\substack{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}. \\ u_{1} = w_{1}, u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}}} (\chi_{W_{1}}|\chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) + (\chi_{U_{1}}|\chi_{U_{2}})(u_{1}, u_{2}) \\ = \sum_{\substack{u_{1} = w_{1}, u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ + \sum_{\substack{u_{2} = w_{2}, u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ + \sum_{\substack{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and \ u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\},$$

$$\begin{split} (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}}|\phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\phi_{U_{1}}|\phi_{U_{2}})(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=w_{2},u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}and\ u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}}|\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\psi_{U_{1}}|\psi_{U_{2}})(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=w_{2},u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\notin E_{1}and\ u_{2}w_{2}\notin E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}. \end{split}$$

**Example 8.** We calculate the degree and the total degree of node (d, a) in Example 7.

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(d,a) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_{2}}(d), \chi_{U_{1}}(a), \chi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \wedge \{\chi_{U_{2}}(d), \chi_{U_{1}}(a), \chi_{U_{2}}(g), \chi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &+ \wedge \{\chi_{U_{2}}(d), \chi_{U_{1}}(a), \chi_{U_{2}}(g), \chi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.1, 0.1, 0.1\} + \wedge \{0.1, 0.1, 0.2, 0.1\} + \wedge \{0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1\} \\ &= 0.1 + 0.1 + 0.1 \\ &= 0.3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(d,a) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_{2}}(d), \phi_{U_{1}}(a), \phi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \wedge \{\phi_{U_{2}}(d), \phi_{U_{1}}(a), \phi_{U_{2}}(g), \phi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &+ \wedge \{\phi_{U_{2}}(d), \phi_{U_{1}}(a), \phi_{U_{2}}(g), \phi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.2, 0.2, 0.2\} + \wedge \{0.2, 0.2, 0.2\} + \wedge \{0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.2\} \\ &= 0.2 + 0.2 + 0.2 \\ &= 0.6, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(d,a) &= \lor \{\psi_{U_{2}}(d),\psi_{U_{1}}(a),\psi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \lor \{\psi_{U_{2}}(d),\psi_{U_{1}}(a),\psi_{U_{2}}(f),\psi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &+ \lor \{\psi_{U_{2}}(d),\psi_{U_{1}}(a),\psi_{U_{2}}(g),\psi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.2,0.3,0.3\} + \lor \{0.2,0.3,0.3,0.3\} + \lor \{0.2,0.3,0.3,0.3\} \\ &= 0.3 + 0.3 + 0.3 \\ &= 1.3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $d_{\mathbb{G}_1|\mathbb{G}_2}(a,c) = (0.3,1.0,1.3)$ . For the total vertex degree,

$$\begin{aligned} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(d,a) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_{2}}(d), \chi_{U_{1}}(a), \chi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \wedge \{\chi_{U_{2}}(d), \chi_{U_{1}}(a), \chi_{U_{2}}(g), \chi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \wedge \{\chi_{U_{2}}(d), \chi_{U_{1}}(a)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.1, 0.1, 0.1\} + \wedge \{0.1, 0.1, 0.2, 0.1\} + \wedge \{0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1\} + \wedge \{0.1, 0.1\} \\ &= 0.1 + 0.1 + 0.1 + 0.1 \\ &= 0.4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(d,a) &= \lor \{\phi_{U_{2}}(d),\phi_{U_{1}}(a),\phi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \lor \{\phi_{U_{2}}(d),\phi_{U_{1}}(a),\phi_{U_{2}}(f),\phi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &+ \lor \{\phi_{U_{2}}(d),\phi_{U_{1}}(a),\phi_{U_{2}}(g),\phi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \lor \{\phi_{U_{2}}(d),\phi_{U_{1}}(a)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.2,0.2,0.2\} + \lor \{0.2,0.2,0.2,0.2\} + \lor \{0.2,0.2,0.3,0.2\} + \lor \{0.2,0.2\} \\ &= 0.2 + 0.2 + 0.2 + 0.2 \\ &= 0.8, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}|\mathbb{G}_{2}}(d,a) &= \lor \{\psi_{U_{2}}(d),\psi_{U_{1}}(a),\psi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \lor \{\psi_{U_{2}}(d),\psi_{U_{1}}(a),\psi_{U_{2}}(f),\psi_{U_{1}}(c)\} \\ &+ \lor \{\psi_{U_{2}}(d),\psi_{U_{1}}(a),\psi_{U_{2}}(g),\psi_{U_{1}}(c)\} + \lor \{\psi_{U_{2}}(d),\psi_{U_{1}}(a)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.2,0.3,0.3\} + \lor \{0.2,0.3,0.3,0.3\} + \lor \{0.2,0.3,0.3,0.3\} + \lor \{0.2,0.3\} \\ &= 0.3 + 0.3 + 0.3 + 0.3 \\ &= 1.2. \end{aligned}$$

*Hence,*  $td_{\mathbb{G}_1|\mathbb{G}_2}(a,c) = (0.4, 1.3, 1.7)$ . *We can calculate the degree and the total degree of all nodes in*  $\mathbb{G}_1|\mathbb{G}_2$  *in the same way.* 

**Definition 11.** The SD  $\mathbb{G}_1 \oplus \mathbb{G}_2 = (U_1 \oplus U_2, W_1 \oplus W_2)$  of two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  is defined as:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} &(\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \\ &(\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \\ &(\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

 $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in (V_1 \times V_2).$ 

(ii)

 $\begin{aligned} & (\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}, \\ & (\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}, \\ & (\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}. \end{aligned}$ 

 $\forall m \in V_1 \text{ and } u_2 w_2 \in E_2.$ 

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \wedge \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}, \\ & (\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \wedge \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}, \\ & (\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \vee \{\psi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\forall z \in V_2 \text{ and } u_1w_1 \in E_1.$ 

(iv)

 $(\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\}$ for all  $u_1w_1 \notin E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \in E_2$ ,

or

$$= \wedge \{ \chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2), \chi_{W_1}(u_1w_1) \}$$

for all  $u_1w_1 \in E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \notin E_2$ .

 $(\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_1}(w_1), \phi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\}$ forall  $u_1w_1 \notin E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \in E_2$ ,

or  
= 
$$\land \{ \phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_2}(w_2), \phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1) \}$$

for all  $u_1w_1 \in E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \notin E_2$ .

 $\begin{aligned} (\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) &= \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_1}(w_1), \psi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\} \\ for all \ u_1 w_1 \not\in E_1 \ and \ u_2 w_2 \in E_2, \\ or \end{aligned}$ 

$$= \lor \{ \psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_2}(w_2), \psi_{W_2}(u_1w_1) \}$$

for all  $u_1w_1 \in E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \notin E_2$ .

**Example 9.** Taking  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$  as PFGs, as shown in Figures 13 and 14, we can see the SD of the two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$ , that is,  $\mathbb{G}_1 \oplus \mathbb{G}_2$ , in Figure 15.





For node (a, f), we calculate Mv, IDv, and NMv as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})((a, f)) &= \land \{\chi_{U_1}(a), \chi_{U_2}(f)\} \\ &= \land \{0.2, 0.4\} = 0.2, \\ (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})((a, f)) &= \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(a), \phi_{U_2}(f)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.3, 0.2\} = 0.3, \\ (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})((a, f)) &= \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(a), \psi_{U_2}(f)\} \\ &= \lor \{0.4, 0.1\} = 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

for 
$$a \in V_1$$
 and  $f \in V_2$ .  
For arc/edge  $(a, d)(a, e)$ , we calculate Mv, IDv, and NMv.

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((a,d)(a,e)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(a), \chi_{W_2}(de)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.2, 0.2\} = 0.2, \\ (\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((a,d)(a,e)) &= \vee \{\phi_{U_1}(a), \phi_{W_2}(de)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.3, 0.3\} = 0.3, \\ (\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((a,d)(a,e)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(a), \psi_{W_2}(de)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.4, 0.1\} = 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

for  $a \in V_1$  and  $de \in E_2$ .

Now, for edge (a, d)(b, d), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((a,d)(b,d)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{W_1}(ab), \chi_{U_2}(d)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.2, 0.2\} = 0.2, \\ (\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((a,d)(b,d)) &= \vee \{\phi_{W_1}(ab), \phi_{U_2}(d)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.4, 0.3\} = 0.4, \\ (\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((a,d)(b,d)) &= \vee \{\psi_{W_1}(ab), \psi_{U_2}(d)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.4, 0.1\} = 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

for  $ab \in E_1$  and  $d \in V_2$ .

Finally, for edge (a, c)(b, f), we find Mv, IDv, and NMv as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((a,c)(b,f)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_2}(c), \chi_{U_2}(f), \chi_{W_1}(ab)\} \\ &= \wedge \{0.1, 0.4, 0.2\} = 0.1, \\ (\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((a,c)(b,f)) &= \vee \{\phi_{U_2}(c), \psi_{U_2}(f), \phi_{W_1}(ab)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.2, 0.2, 0.4\} = 0.4, \\ (\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((a,c)(b,f)) &= \vee \{\psi_{U_2}(c), \psi_{U_2}(f), \psi_{W_1}(ab)\} \\ &= \vee \{0.3, 0.4, 0.4\} = 0.4. \end{aligned}$$

for  $ab \in E_1$  and  $cf \notin E_2$ .

We can calculate Mv, IDv, and NMv for all other nodes and edges in the same way.

**Proposition 3.** *The SD of two PFGs*  $\mathbb{G}_1$  *and*  $\mathbb{G}_2$  *is a PFG.* 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs on two crisp graphs, and  $((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) \in E_1 \times E_2$ . Then, by Definition 11: (i) If  $u_1 = w_1 = m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) &= \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\} \\ &\leq \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(m), \min\{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{\wedge \{\{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \wedge \{\{\chi_{U_1}(m), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(m, u_2), (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(m, w_2)\}, \\ (\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) &= \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \phi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\} \\ &\geq \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(m), \wedge \{\phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(m, u_2), (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(m, w_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

 $(\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((m, u_2)(m, w_2)) = \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\}$  $\geq \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(m), \lor \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\}$  $= \vee \{ \vee \{ \{ \psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \vee \{ \{ \psi_{U_1}(m), \psi_{U_2}(w_2) \} \}$  $= \vee \{ (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(m, u_2), (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(m, w_2) \}.$ (ii) If  $u_2 = w_2 = z$ ,  $(\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \wedge \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z)\}$  $\leq \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \chi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z) \}$  $= \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \{ \chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(z) \}, \wedge \{ \{ \chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z) \} \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(w_1, z) \},\$  $(\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \wedge \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z)\}$  $\geq \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z) \}$  $= \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \{ \phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(z) \}, \wedge \{ \{ \phi_{U_1}(w_1), \phi_{U_2}(z) \} \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(w_1, z) \},\$  $(\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((u_1, z)(w_1, z)) = \lor \{\psi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z)\}$  $\geq \vee \{ \vee \{ \psi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \chi_{U_2}(z) \}$  $= \lor \{ \lor \{ \{ \psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(z) \}, \lor \{ \{ \psi_{U_1}(w_1), \psi_{U_2}(z) \} \}$  $= \vee \{ (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(u_1, z), (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(w_1, z) \}.$ (iii) If  $u_1w_1 \notin E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \in E_2$ ,  $(\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\}$  $\leq \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_1}(w_1), \min\{\chi_{U_2}(u_2)\chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\}$  $= \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \{ \chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(w_2) \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(u_1, u_2), (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(w_1, w_2) \},\$  $(\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_1}(w_1), \phi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\}$  $\geq \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_1}(w_1), \wedge \{\phi_{U_2}(u_2)\phi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\}$  $= \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \{ \phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(w_2) \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(u_1, u_2), (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(w_1, w_2) \},\$  $(\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_1}(w_1), \psi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\}$  $\geq \vee \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_1}(w_1), \vee \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2)\psi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\}$  $= \vee \{ \vee \{ \psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \{ \psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(w_2) \}$  $= \vee \{ (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(u_1, u_2), (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(w_1, w_2) \}.$ (iv) If  $u_1w_1 \in E_1$  and  $u_2w_2 \notin E_2$ ,  $(\chi_{W_1} \oplus \chi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \wedge \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2), \chi_{W_1}(u_1w_1)\}$  $\leq \wedge \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2), \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1)\chi_{U_1}(w_1)\}\}$  $= \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(u_2) \}, \{ \chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{U_2}(w_2) \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(u_1, u_2), (\chi_{U_1} \oplus \chi_{U_2})(w_1, w_2) \},\$  $(\phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \wedge \{\phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_2}(w_2), \phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1)\}$  $\geq \wedge \{\phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_2}(w_2), \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1)\phi_{U_1}(w_1)\}\}$  $= \wedge \{ \wedge \{ \phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_1}(u_1) \}, \{ \phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_1}(w_1) \}$  $= \wedge \{ (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(u_1, u_2), (\phi_{U_1} \oplus \phi_{U_2})(w_1, w_2) \},\$ 

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_{W_1} \oplus \psi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) &= \lor \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_2}(w_2), \psi_{W_1}(u_1w_1)\} \\ &\ge \lor \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_2}(w_2), \lor \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1)\psi_{U_1}(w_1)\}\} \\ &= \lor \{\lor \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_1}(u_1)\}, \{\psi_{U_2}(u_2), \psi_{U_1}(w_1)\} \\ &= \lor \{(\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(u_1, u_2), (\psi_{U_1} \oplus \psi_{U_2})(w_1, w_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathbb{G}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_2$  is a PFG.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.** The SD of two connected PFGs  $G_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $G_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  is connected. The main reason is that we include the cases  $(m_1, m_2) \in E_1$  and  $(n_1, n_2) \in E_2$  in the definition of the SD of two PFGs.

**Definition 12.** Suppose that  $G_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $G_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs. For any node  $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1}, u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2}=w_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}, \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}))\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and} \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}and} \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}, \\ (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1}, u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}and} \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and} \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}), \phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and} \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1}, u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2}=w_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}, \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and \ u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}and \ u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, Y_2)$  are two PFGs. If  $\chi_{U_1} \ge \chi_{W_2}, \phi_{U_1} \le \phi_{W_2}, \psi_{U_1} \le \psi_{W_2}$  and  $\chi_{U_2} \ge \chi_{W_1}, \phi_{U_2} \le \phi_{W_1}, \psi_{U_2} \le \psi_{W_1}$ , then  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2, (d)_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_2}(u_1, u_2) = q(d)_{\mathbb{G}_1}(u_1) + s(d)_{\mathbb{G}_2}(u_2)$ , where  $s = |V_1| - (d)_{G_1}(u_1)$  and  $q = |V_2| - (d)_{G_2}(u_2)$ .

# Proof.

$$\begin{split} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{\substack{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.}} (\chi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} = w_{1}, u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \notin E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \notin E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \in E_{1}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \notin E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} + \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \in E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= q(d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{2}), \end{split}$$

$$(d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sum_{u_{1} w_{2} (w_{1} \otimes w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \in E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} (\phi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \in E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} (\phi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \in E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \in E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2} w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1} w_{1} \in E_{1} and u_{2} w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= q(d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{2}), \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} = w_{1}, u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2} = w_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and \ u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}and \ u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and \ u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}and \ u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= q(d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{2}). \end{split}$$

We conclude that  $(d)_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_2}(u_1, u_2) = q(d)_{\mathbb{G}_1}(u_1) + s(d)_{\mathbb{G}_2}(u_2)$ , where  $s = |V_1| - (d)_{G_1}(u_1)$  and  $q = |V_2| - (d)_{G_2}(u_2)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 10.** In Figure 16,  $\chi_{U_1} \ge \chi_{W_2}$ ,  $\psi_{U_1} \le \psi_{W_2}$ ,  $\chi_{U_2} \ge \chi_{W_1}$ , and  $\psi_{U_2} \le \psi_{W_1}$ . Then, the total degree of the vertex in SD is calculated by using the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} (d_T)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(m_1,m_2) &= q(d_T)_{G_1}(m_1) + s(d_T)_{G_2}(m_2), \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(m_1,m_2) &= q(d_I)_{G_1}(m_1) + s(d_I)_{G_2}(m_2), \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(m_1,m_2) &= q(d_F)_{G_1}(m_1) + s(d_F)_{G_2}(m_2), \\ (d_T)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,c) &= 1 \cdot (0.2) + 1 \cdot (0.2) = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,c) &= 1 \cdot (0.4) + 1 \cdot (0.5) = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,d) &= 1 \cdot (0.2) + 1 \cdot (0.2) = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,d) &= 1 \cdot (0.2) + 1 \cdot (0.2) = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,d) &= 1 \cdot (0.4) + 1 \cdot (0.5) = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,d) &= 1 \cdot (0.4) + 1 \cdot (0.5) = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,d) &= 1 \cdot (0.4) + 1 \cdot (0.5) = 0.9, \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(d)_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(a, c) = (0.4, 0.9, 0.9)$  and  $(d)_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(a, d) = (0.4, 0.9, 0.9)$ . Applying the same technique, we can obtain  $(d)_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(b, c) = (d)_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(b, d) = (0.4, 0.9, 0.9)$ . Now, from direct calculations, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (d_T)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,c) &= 0.2 + 0.2 = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,c) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,c) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \\ (d_T)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,d) &= 0.2 + 0.2 = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(a,d) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,c) &= 0.2 + 0.2 = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,c) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,c) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,c) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,d) &= 0.2 + 0.2 = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,d) &= 0.2 + 0.2 = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,d) &= 0.2 + 0.2 = 0.4, \\ (d_I)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,d) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \\ (d_F)_{G_1\oplus G_2}(b,d) &= 0.4 + 0.5 = 0.9, \end{aligned}$$

From the calculated degree of nodes, we conclude that there is no difference in the answer when utilizing the formula or the direct technique.



Figure 16. Symmetric difference.

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 \times V_2, we have: \\ (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_2}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{(u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2) \in E_1 \times E_2.} (\chi_{W_1} \bigoplus \chi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) + (\chi_{U_1} \bigoplus \chi_{U_2}(u_1, u_2)) \\ &= \sum_{u_1 = w_1, u_2 w_2 \in E_2} \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_1 w_1 \in E_1, u_2 = w_2} \wedge \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1, \chi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_1 w_1 \notin E_1 and \ u_2 w_2 \in E_2} \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_1}(w_1), \chi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_1 w_1 \in E_1 and \ u_2 w_2 \notin E_2} \wedge \{\chi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\} \\ &+ \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_2}(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{(u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2) \in E_1 \times E_2.} (\phi_{W_1} \bigoplus \phi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) + (\phi_{U_1} \bigoplus \phi_{U_2}(u_1, u_2)) \\ &= \sum_{u_1 = w_1, u_2 \in E_1 \times E_2.} \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{W_2}(u_2 w_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{u_1=w_1, u_2w_2 \in E_2} \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\} \\ + \sum_{u_1w_1 \in E_1, u_2=w_2} \wedge \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1, \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\} \\ + \sum_{u_1w_1 \notin E_1 and \ u_2w_2 \in E_2} \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_1}(w_1), \phi_{W_2}(u_2w_2)\} \\ + \sum_{u_1w_1 \in E_1 and \ u_2w_2 \notin E_2} \wedge \{\phi_{W_1}(u_1w_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2), \phi_{U_2}(w_2)\} \\ + \wedge \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\},$$

$$\begin{split} (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}} (\psi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) + (\psi_{U_{1}} \bigoplus \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} = w_{1}, u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2} = w_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}, \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1} and \ u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1} and \ u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \lor \{\psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ \lor \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, Y_2)$  are two PFGs. If (*i*)  $\chi_{U_1} \ge \chi_{W_2}$  and  $\chi_{U_2} \ge \chi_{W_1}$ , then  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ 

$$(td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = q(td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{2}) - (q-1)\chi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) - \vee \{\chi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1})\},\$$

(ii)  $\phi_{U_1} \leq \phi_{W_2}$  and  $\phi_{U_2} \leq \phi_{W_1}$ , then  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ 

$$(td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = q(td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{2}) - (q-1)\phi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) - \vee \{\phi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}), \phi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1})\},\$$

(iii) 
$$\psi_{U_1} \leq \psi_{W_2} and \psi_{U_2} \geq \psi_{W_1}$$
, then  $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$   
 $(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_2}(u_1, u_2) = q(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_1}(u_1) + s(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_2}(u_2)$   
 $- (q-1)\psi_{\mathbb{G}_1}(u_1) - \wedge \{\psi_{\mathbb{G}_1}(u_1), \psi_{\mathbb{G}_1}(u_1)\},$ 

$$\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$$
,  $s = |V_1| - (d)_{G_1}(u_1)$  and  $q = |V_2| - (d)_{G_2}(u_2)$ .

**Proof.** 
$$\forall (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$$
,

$$\begin{split} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}} \bigoplus \chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) + (\chi_{U_{1}} \bigoplus \chi_{U_{2}})(u_{1}, u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} = w_{1}, u_{2} w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2} = w_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1} and} u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}), \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1} and} u_{2}w_{2} \notin E_{2}} \wedge \{\chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \chi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &+ (\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}))\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ (\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}))\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ (\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}))\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \notin E_{1}and} u_{2}w_{2} \in E_{2}} \chi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ (\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})) \\ &= (dt_{\chi})_{G_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(td_{\chi})_{G_{2}}(u_{2}) \\ &= (dt_{\chi})_{G_{1}}(u_{1}) - (\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}))\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &(td_{\varphi})_{G_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{G}_{2}(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}, \\ (\phi_{W_{1}}\bigoplus \phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\phi_{U_{1}}\bigoplus \phi_{U_{2}})(u_{1},u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=w_{1},u_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}=w_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2})\} \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \wedge \{\phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}),\phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}w_{2}w_{2}\in E_{2}} \phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) + \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ (\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})) \\ &= q(td_{\phi})_{G_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(td_{\phi})_{G_{2}}(u_{2}) \\ &= (q_{1}-q_{\phi})_{G_{1}}(u_{1}) + s(td_{\phi})_{G_{2}}(u_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{(u_{1},w_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})(E_{1}\times E_{2}, \dots} (\psi_{W_{1}}(w_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\phi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}),\psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{2}}(u_{2}w_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}$$

where the values of *s* and *q* are as follows:  $s = |V_1| - (d)_{G_1}(u_1)$  and  $q = |V_2| - (d)_{G_2}(u_2)$ 

**Example 11.** We find the total degree of nodes by using Example 9.

$$\begin{split} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_2}(a,e) &= q(d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_1}(a) + s(d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_2}(e) \\ s &= \mid V_1 \mid -(d)_{G_1}(a) \\ &= 2-1 = 1 \end{split}$$
 Now,

 $q = |V_2| - (d)_{G_2}(e)$ = 4 - 2 = 2

$$\begin{split} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(a,e) &= q(td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(a) + s(td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(e) \\ &- (s-1)\chi_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(e) - (q-1)\chi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(a) - \vee \{\chi_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(a), \chi_{\mathbb{G}_{2}}(e)\} \\ &= 2(0.2+0.2) + 1(0.3+0.3+0.2) - (1-1)(0.3) - (2-1)(0.2) - \vee \{0.2, 0.3\} \\ &= 2(0.4) + 0.8 - 0.2 - 0.3 = 1.1, \\ &(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(a,e) = 1.6, \\ &(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(a,e) = 0.6, \\ &(td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bigoplus \mathbb{G}_{2}}(a,e) = (1.1, 1.6, 0.6). \end{split}$$

We conclude from the calculations that the total degrees of nodes calculated by the formula of the above theorem and by the direct method are equal.

**Definition 14.** The RP  $\mathbb{G}_1 \bullet \mathbb{G}_2 = (U_1 \bullet U_2, W_1 \bullet W_2)$  of two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  is defined as: (i)

$$\begin{aligned} &(\chi_{U_1} \bullet \chi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \\ &(\phi_{U_1} \bullet \phi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \lor \{\phi_{U_1}(u_1), \phi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \\ &(\psi_{U_1} \bullet \psi_{U_2})((u_1, u_2)) = \land \{\psi_{U_1}(u_1), \psi_{U_2}(u_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

 $\begin{aligned} & (\chi_{W_1} \bullet \chi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \chi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \\ & (\phi_{W_1} \bullet \phi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \phi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \\ & (\psi_{W_1} \bullet \psi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) = \psi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1), \end{aligned}$ 

 $\forall u_1 w_1 \in E_1, u_2 \neq w_2.$ 

 $\forall (u_1, u_2) \in (V_1 \times V_2).$ 

**Example 12.** Taking two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$ , as in Figures 17 and 18, we can see the RP of two PFGs  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$ , that is,  $\mathbb{G}_1 \bullet \mathbb{G}_2$ , in Figure 19.

For node (b, e), we find Mv, IDv, and NMv as follows:

$$(\chi_{U_1} \bullet \chi_{U_2})((b,e)) = \lor \{\chi_{U_1}(b), \chi_{U_2}(e)\} = \lor \{0.2, 0.1\} = 0.2, (\phi_{U_1} \bullet \phi_{U_2})((b,e)) = \land \{\phi_{U_1}(b), \phi_{U_2}(e)\} = \land \{0.4, 0.2\} = 0.2, (\psi_{U_1} \bullet \psi_{U_2})((b,e)) = \land \{\psi_{U_1}(b), \psi_{U_2}(e)\} = \land \{0.4, 0.4\} = 0.4$$

for  $b \in V_1$  and  $e \in V_2$ .

For arc (a, c)(b, d), we find Mv, IDv, and NMv.

$(\chi_{W_1} \bullet \chi_{W_2})((a,c)(b,d)) = \chi_{W_1}(ab) = 0.1,$
$(\psi_{W_1} \bullet \psi_{W_2})((a,c)(b,d)) = \psi_{W_1}(ab) = 0.5,$
$(\psi_{W_1} \bullet \psi_{W_2})((a,c)(b,d)) = \psi_{W_1}(ab) = 0.4$

for  $ab \in E_1$  and  $c \neq d$ .

Hence, we can calculate Mv, IDv, and NMv for other nodes and arcs.



Figure 19.  $\mathbb{G}_1 \bullet \mathbb{G}_2$ .

,

**Proposition 4.** *The RP of two PFGs*  $\mathbb{G}_1$  *and*  $\mathbb{G}_2$  *is a PFG.* 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs on crisp graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ , respectively, and  $((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) \in E_1 \times E_2$ . If  $u_1 w_1 \in C_2$  $E_1$  and  $u_2 \neq w_2$ , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{W_1} \bullet \chi_{W_2})((u_1, u_2)(w_1, w_2)) &= \chi_{W_1}(u_1 w_1) \\ &\leq \wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_1}(w_1)\} \\ &\leq \vee \{\wedge \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_1}(w_1)\}, \wedge \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{\vee \{\chi_{U_1}(u_1), \chi_{U_1}(w_1)\}, \vee \{\chi_{U_2}(u_2), \chi_{U_2}(w_2)\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\chi_{U_1} \bullet \chi_{U_2})(u_1, u_2), (\chi_{U_1} \bullet \chi_{U_2})(w_1, w_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{W_{1}} \bullet \phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) &= \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &\geq \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1})\} \\ &\geq \vee \{\wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1})\}, \wedge \{\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{\vee \{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \phi_{U_{1}}(w_{1})\}, \vee \{\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \phi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}\} \\ &= \wedge \{(\phi_{U_{1}} \bullet \phi_{U_{2}})(u_{1}, u_{2}), (\phi_{U_{1}} \bullet \phi_{U_{2}})(w_{1}, w_{2})\}, \\ (\psi_{W_{1}} \bullet \psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) &= \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &\geq \vee \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1})\}, \vee \{\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}\} \\ &= \vee \{\wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}), \psi_{U_{1}}(w_{1})\}, \wedge \{\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}), \psi_{U_{2}}(w_{2})\}\} \\ &= \vee \{(\psi_{U_{1}} \bullet \psi_{U_{2}})(u_{1}, u_{2}), (\psi_{U_{1}} \bullet \psi_{U_{2}})(w_{1}, w_{2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 15.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs. For any node  $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}} \bullet \chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2} \neq w_{2}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\phi_{W_{1}} \bullet \phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2} \neq w_{2}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}), \\ (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{1} \times E_{2}.} (\psi_{W_{1}} \bullet \psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}w_{1} \in E_{1}, u_{2} \neq w_{2}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) \\ &= (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}). \end{split}$$

**Definition 16.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_1 = (U_1, W_1)$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2 = (U_2, W_2)$  are two PFGs. For any node  $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}\bullet\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.} (\chi_{W_{1}}\bullet\chi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\chi_{U_{1}}\bullet\chi_{U_{2}})(u_{1},u_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) + \wedge\{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}} \chi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) + \chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) - \vee\{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + \chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) - \vee\{\chi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\chi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}\bullet\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{\substack{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.\\ u_{1}w_{1}\in\mathbb{G}_{2}}} (\phi_{W_{1}}\bullet\phi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\phi_{U_{1}}\bullet\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}\\ u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) + \wedge\{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}\\ u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}}} \phi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) + \phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + \phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) - \vee\{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + \phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) - \vee\{\phi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\phi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}\bullet\mathbb{G}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{\substack{(u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})\in E_{1}\times E_{2}.\\ \\ u_{1}u_{2}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}}} (\psi_{W_{1}}\bullet\psi_{W_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(w_{1},w_{2})) + (\psi_{U_{1}}\bullet\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}\\ \\ u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) + \psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}\\ \\ u_{1}w_{1}\in E_{1},u_{2}\neq w_{2}}} \psi_{W_{1}}(u_{1}w_{1}) + \psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}) + \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) - \wedge\{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\} \\ &= (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(u_{1}) + \psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2}) - \wedge\{\psi_{U_{1}}(u_{1}),\psi_{U_{2}}(u_{2})\}. \end{split}$$

**Example 13.** We calculate the degree and the total degree of node (b, e) by using Example 12.

$$(d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(b, e) = (d_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(b)$$
  
= 0.1,  
$$(d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(b, e) = (d_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(b)$$
  
= 0.5,  
$$(d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(b, e) = (d_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(b)$$
  
= 0.4.

Therefore,

$$(d)_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bullet \mathbb{G}_2}(b, e) = (0.1, 0.5, 0.4)$$

Additionally, the total degree of vertex (a,e) can be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(a, e) \\ &= (td_{\chi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(a) + \chi_{U_{2}}(e) - \vee \{\chi_{U_{1}}(a), \chi_{U_{2}}(e)\} \\ &= (0.2 + 0.1) + 0.1 - \vee (0.2, 0.1) \\ &= 0.2, \\ (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(a, e) \\ &= (td_{\phi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(a) + \phi_{U_{2}}(e) - \wedge \{\phi_{U_{1}}(a), \phi_{U_{2}}(e)\} \\ &= (0.4 + 0.5) + 0.2 - \wedge (0.4, 0.2) \\ &= 0.9, \\ (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1} \bullet \mathbb{G}_{2}}(a, e) \\ &= (td_{\psi})_{\mathbb{G}_{1}}(a) + \psi_{U_{2}}(e) - \wedge \{\psi_{U_{1}}(a), \psi_{U_{2}}(e)\} \\ &= (0.4 + 0.4) + 0.4 - \wedge (0.4, 0.4) \\ &= 0.8. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(td)_{\mathbb{G}_1 \bullet \mathbb{G}_2}(a, e) = (0.2, 0.9, 0.8)$$

We can calculate these for all other nodes.

### 4. Application of PFG in Networking

**Definition 17** ([23]). *Let*  $X, Y \in R$  *be a universal set; then,* 

$$R = \{((x, y), \chi_R(x, y), \phi_R(x, y), \psi_R(x, y)) : (x, y) \in X \times Y\}$$

is called a picture fuzzy relation from X to Y, where

$$\chi_R(x,y) : X \times Y,$$
  
 $\phi_R(x,y) : X \times Y,$   
 $\psi_R(x,y) : X \times Y$ 

satisfy the condition  $0 \le \chi_R(x, y) + \phi_R(x, y) + \psi_R(x, y) \le 1$  for every  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ .

*A picture fuzzy relation (PFR) is called a directed picture fuzzy relation (directed PFR) if the ties are oriented from one vertex to another vertex.* 

Marketing comprises the activities and processes for creating, delivering, communicating, and exchanging offerings that are important to clients and partners. Digital marketing is a component of marketing that uses the internet and online-based digital technologies, such as mobile phones, desktop computers, and other digital media and platforms, to promote products and services. The popularity of social networks such as Google, YouTube, Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, and Research Gate is growing daily. They have widely used business platforms. In social networks, we commonly exchange many types of information and problems. These exchanges facilitate online business (e-commerce and e-business), political campaigns, future developments, and customer interaction. Digital marketing plays an important role in raising public awareness by rapidly communicating information about natural disasters and terrorist/criminal attacks to a crowd. The development of digital marketing is effectively a result of technology development. The first key event happened in 1971 when Ray Tomlison sent the first email, and his technology continues to help people to send or receive files through different machines. Digital marketing is online marketing. A social network is a collection of vertices and edges. The vertices are used to represent cities, groups, countries, institutions, places, etc., and edges are used to describe the relationships between vertices. A social network is represented by a classical graph, in which actors are represented by vertices and connections between nodes are represented by edges. Fuzzy graphs, on the other hand, can correctly model social networks. Since all nodes in a classical graph have the same importance, all social units in history's social networks are equally represented. Moreover, in actuality, not all social units are of equal importance. In other words, in a classical graph, all edges have the same strength. In all existing social networks, the strength of the relationship between two social units is assumed to be the same, but this may be false in real life.

In a picture fuzzy social network (PFSN), an account of an individual or company, i.e., a social unit, is defined by nodes, and if two social units have a relationship, they are joined by a single arrow. In reality, each vertex, i.e., a social unit, engages in some negative, neutral, and positive activities. The bad, neutral, and good membership values of the vertices are used to demonstrate the bad, neutral, and satisfactory initiatives, and the bad, neutral, and good membership degrees of the edges can be used to describe the strength of the relationship between two vertices. For example, three people have an extensive understanding of educational activities and teaching methods. We can describe these three types of vertex and edge membership degrees using PFS, which has three membership values for each element. This form of social network is a functional example of a PFG. The centrality of a vertex is more central than that of another vertex. A central person is closer than another person and can convey or access more information. The diameter of a social network is defined as the largest distance between two vertices in the network.

Let  $G'_{mn} = (V, E'_{mi})$  represent an undirected PFG. We can define an undirected PFSN as a picture fuzzy relational structure  $G'_{mi} = (V, E'_{mi})$ , where  $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_i\}$  denotes

non-empty picture fuzzy vertices, and  $E'_{mi} = \begin{pmatrix} e'_{11} & \cdots & e'_{1i} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e'_{mi} & \cdots & e'_{mi} \end{pmatrix}$  denotes an undirected

picture fuzzy relation on V. An example of an undirected PFSN is shown in Figure 20. For an undirected PFSN, arcs are simply an absent or present undirected PFR, with no other information attached.

Let  $G'_{hi} = (V, E'_{hi})$  represent a directed PFG. We can describe a directed PFSN as a PFRal structure  $G'_{hi} = (V, E'_{hi})$ , where  $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_i\}$  denotes non-empty picture fuzzy



Figure 20. Undirected PFSN.

The directed PFR is considered in a directed PFSN. A directed PFG is more efficient for modeling the social network because arcs that are considered with a directed PFR contain more information. The values of  $e'_{jk}$  and  $e'_{kj}$  are equal in an undirected PFSN. However,  $e'_{jk}$  and  $e'_{kj}$  are not equal in a directed PFSN.

A small example of a directed PFSN is shown in Figure 21. Let  $G'_{hi} = (V, E'_{hi})$  be a directed PFSN, and PFS is used to describe the arc lengths of  $G'_{hi}$ . The sum of the lengths of the arcs that are adjacent to social vertex  $v_j$  is called the picture fuzzy in-degree centrality (PFIDC) of node  $v_j$ . The PFIDC of node  $v_j$ ,  $d'_I(vi)$  is described as:

$$d'_I(v_j) = \sum_{k=1,\dots,n,k\neq j} e'_{kj}$$

The sum of the lengths of the arcs that are adjacent to social vertex  $v_j$  is called the picture fuzzy out-degree centrality (PFODC) of node  $v_j$ . The PFODC of node  $v_j$ ,  $d'_I(vi)$  is described as:

$$d'_o(v_j) = \sum_{k=1,\dots,n, k \neq j} e'_{kj}$$

The symbol  $\sum$  represents the addition operation of PFS.  $e'_{kj}$  is a PFS associated with arc (j,k). The sum of PFIDC and PFODC of vertex  $v_j$  is called the picture degree centrality (PDC) of  $v_j$ .

$$d'(v_i) = d'_I(v_i) \oplus d'_o(v_i)$$

where  $\oplus$  is an addition operation of PFS.

Let  $G'_{h7} = (V, E'_{h7})$  be a directed PFSN of a research team, where  $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_7\}$  denotes a group of items of seven researchers, and  $E'_{h8}$  represents a directed PFR between the seven researchers. This social network is shown in Figure 21. Directed PFSN is shown in Figure 22. We determine the PFIDC, PFODC, and PFDC of the researchers. The three-degree values are listed in the table below. To compare the different degree values, we apply PFS's ranking methods [24,25]. According to the PFS ranking, researcher (node) 4 has the highest PFIDC score value. In the network, this suggests that researcher 4 has a greater level of acceptance and a positive interpersonal relationship. PFODC's score value for researcher 2 is the highest. This indicates that node 2 can nominate many other researchers.

Researchers	PFIDC	PFODC	PFDC	
A	(0, 0, 0)	(0.3, 0.2, 0.3)	(0.3, 0.2, 0.3)	
B	(0.3, 0.2, 0.3)	(0.3, 0.3, 0.3)	(0.3, 0.3, 0.3)	
C	(0.3, 0.1, 0.1)	(0.3, 0.4, 0.3)	(0.3, 0.4, 0.3)	
D	(0.3, 0.2, 0.3)	(0, 0, 0)	(0.3, 0.2, 0.3)	
E	(0.3, 0.4, 0.3)	(0.4, 0.2, 0.3)	(0.3, 0.4, 0.3)	
F	(0, 0, 0)	(0.4, 0.2, 0.2)	(0.4, 0.2, 0.2)	
G	(0.3, 0.3, 0.3)	(0, 0, 0)	(0.3, 0.3, 0.3)	

Figure 21. PFDC of a research team.



Figure 22. Directed PFSN.

#### 5. Conclusions

PFG is a generalization of the FG and IFG. The flexibility and comparability of PFGs are much higher than those of FGs and IFGs. A PFG can deal with uncertain problems, whereas an FG and IFG may not be effective in such contexts. In this paper, we describe and explore the MP, SD, RJ, and RP of the PFG. Furthermore, we also discuss the degree and total degree of nodes in the PFG. We present the application of a PFG in digital marketing and social networks. In future work, we will define the following concepts associated with PFGs:

- (1) Lower and upper truncation;
- (2) The degree of lower and upper truncation.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.S., W.M., Q.X. and F.T.; methodology, M.S., W.M., Q.X. and F.T.; validation, M.S., W.M. and F.T.; formal analysis, M.S., W.M. and F.T.; investigation, M.S., W.M., Q.X. and F.T.; writing—original draft preparation, M.S. and W.M.; writing—review and editing, M.S., W.M., Q.X. and F.T.; visualization, M.S., W.M., Q.X. and F.T.; supervision, M.S. and W.M.; project administration, M.S., W.M., Q.X. and F.T.; funding acquisition, F.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was supported by the researchers, Supporting Project Number (RSP-2021/401), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy Sets. Inf. Control 1965, 8, 338–353. [CrossRef]
- 2. Rosenfeld, A. Fuzzy graphs. In *Fuzzy Sets and Their Application*; Zadeh, L.A, Fu, K.S., Shimura, M., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- 3. Bhattacharya, P. Some remarks on fuzzy graphs. Pattern Recognit. Lett. 1987, 6, 297–302. [CrossRef]
- 4. Bhutani, K.R. On automorphisms of fuzzy graphs. Pattern Recognit. Lett. 1989, 9, 159–162. [CrossRef]
- 5. Gani, A.N.; Latha, S.R. On Irregular Fuzzy Graphs. Appl. Math. Sci. 2012, 6, 517–523.
- 6. Gani, A.N.; Ahamed, A.B. Order and Size in Fuzzy Graphs. Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. 2003, 22, 145–148.
- 7. Mordeson, J.N.; Peng, C.S. Operations on fuzzy graphs. *Inform. Sci.* **1994**, *79*, 159–170. [CrossRef]
- 8. Mathew, S.; Sunitha, M.S. Fuzzy Graphs, Basic Concepts and Applications; Lap Lambert Academic Publishing: Berlin, Germany, 2012.
- 9. Shao, Z.; Kosari, S.; Rashmanlou, H.; Shoaib, M. New Concepts in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph with Application in Water Supplier Systems. *Mathematics* 2020, *8*, 1241. [CrossRef]
- Rashmanlou, H.; Samanta, S.; Pal, M.; Borzooei, R.A. Bipolar fuzzy graphs with categorical properties. *Int. J. Comput. Intell. Syst.* 2015, *8*, 808–818. [CrossRef]
- 11. Rashmanlou, H.; Samanta, S.; Pal, M.; Borzooei, R.A. A study on bipolar fuzzy graphs. J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 2015, 28, 571–580. [CrossRef]
- 12. Rashmanlou, H.; Pal, M.; Samanta, S.; Borzooei, R.A. Product of bipolar fuzzy graphs and their degree. *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* **2016**, 45, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 13. Rashmanlou, H.; Pal, M. Some properties of highly irregular interval-valued fuzzy graphs. World Appl. Sci. J. 2013, 27, 1756–1773.
- 14. Rashmanlou, H.; Pal, M. Balanced interval-valued fuzzy graph. J. Phys. Sci. 2013, 17, 43–57.
- 15. Rashmanlou, H.; Pal, M. Antipodal interval-valued fuzzy graphs. Int. J. Appl. Fuzzy Sets Articial Intell. 2013, 3, 107–130.
- 16. Rashmanlou, H.; Jun, Y.B. Complete interval-valued fuzzy graphs. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 2013, 6, 677–687.
- 17. Gulzar, M.; Mateen, M.H.; Alghazzawi, D.; Kausar, N. A novel application of complex intutionistic fuzzy sets in group theory. *IEEE Access* 2020, *8*, 196075–196085. [CrossRef]
- 18. Gulzar, M.; Alghazzawi, M.H.; Mateen, D.; Kausar, N. A Certain Class of t-intuitionistic Fuzzy Subgroup. *IEEE Access* 2020, *8*, 163260–163268. [CrossRef]
- 19. Bhunia, S.; Ghorai, G.; kutbi, M. A.; Gulzar, M.; Alam, M.A. On the Algeberaic Characteristics of Fuzzy Sub-e-group. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, 2021, 5253346. [CrossRef]
- 20. Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 2015, 24, 287–297.
- 21. Hassan, A.; Malik, M. A.; The classes of bipolar single-valued neutrosophic graphs. TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math. 2020, 10, 547–567.
- 22. Zuo, C.; Pal, A.; Dey, A. New Concepts of Picture Fuzzy Graphs with Application. Mathematics 2019, 7, 470. [CrossRef]
- 23. Cuong, B.C. Picture fuzzy sets. J. Comput. Sci. Cybern. 2014, 30, 409-420.
- 24. Wang, R.; Wang, J.; Gao, H.; Wei, G. Methods for MADM with picture fuzzy muirhead mean operatorsand their application for evaluating the financial investment risk. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 6. [CrossRef]
- 25. Wei, G. Some similarity measures for picture fuzzy sets and their applications. Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst. 2018, 15, 77–89.