

Supplementary Materials:

Supplementary Table S1. Removal efficiency of organic and nutrients from domestic wastewater by using VFCWs.

| Parameter | Substrate | Plants | Removal efficiency % | Comments | Climatic Conditions | Ref. |
|---|------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|------|
| BOD ₅ COD Nitrate Phosphate | •Gravel •Soil | • <i>Iris pseudacorus</i> • <i>Echinodorus palaefolius</i> •Combination between the two plants | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •For the system with <i>Iris pseudacorus</i>: 30, 19, 51, and 87, respectively. •For the system with <i>Echinodorus palaefolius</i>: -40, 28, 58, and 99, respectively. •For the combination system: 6, 51, 46, and 95, respectively. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ No significant difference between using one plant species or a combination of species in enhancing the treatment efficiency. ➤ All experiments in this study show better performance in removing nutrients than organic pollutants. | Avg. Temp.: N.A Humidity: N.A | [64] |
| BOD ₅ COD TSS Ammonia-N TN TP <i>coliform</i> bacteria Fecal <i>coliform</i> bacteria | Coarse sand | • <i>Phragmites australis</i> • <i>Glyceria aquatica</i> L | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •For the system with <i>Phragmites australis</i>: 96, 96, 91, 88, 55, 87, ~100, and 98, respectively. •For the system with <i>Glyceria aquatica</i> L: 98, 94, 93, 86, 54, 88, ~100, and 98, respectively. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ There were no significant differences in removal efficiency between plant species. | Avg. Temp.: N.A Humidity: N.A | [66] |

| BOD COD TSS Ammonia-N TP | Coal slag | <i>Cyperus alternifolius</i> | 60, 64, 80, 50, and 40, respectively. | <p>➤ The analysis results indicate that the temperature has negligible treatment effects on COD, TP, and TSS removal efficiencies.</p> <p>➤ Ammonia-N removal efficacy was affected by seasonal temperature changes.</p> | Avg. Temp.: 20.7°C | [88] |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------|
| BOD COD Ammonia-N TKN TP | Zeolite | <i>Phragmites australis</i> | 96, 94, 93, 91, and 70, respectively | <p>➤ High removal efficiency for nitrogen mostly refers to the used media (zeolite).</p> <p>➤ Vegetation has a positive impact on increasing the removal percentage of organic pollutants and nutrients.</p> | Avg.Temp.: N.A Humidity: N.A | [91] |
| Sup Table S1 (cor | | | | | | |
| Parameter | Substrate | Plants | Removal efficiency % | Comments | Climatic Conditions | Ref. |
| TN TP | •Midsized artificial | <i>Canna indica</i> L. | •For MSAS-based system: | ➤ Existing plants in the system enhance the | Avg. Temp: N.A Humidity: N.A | [72] |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> sand slag (MSAS). •Coal burn artificial slag (CBAS). •Blast furnace artificial slag (BFAS) | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24 and 45, respectively. •For CBAS-based system: 30 and 60, respectively. •For BFAS-based system: 22 and 89, respectively. | removal efficiency for the nutrient compared to the unplanted system. | |
| BOD ₅ COD TSS Ammonium-N | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Fine sand •Gravel | <i>Heliconia psittacorum</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •For planted sand-based systems: 97, 92, 87, and 83, respectively. •For planted Gravel-based systems: 52, 59, 0, and 45, respectively. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Using fine sand as filter media improves the removal of organic pollutants and ammonia- N. ➤ Enhancing the TN removal by the presence of the plant. | Avg. Temp.: 20.7°C Humidity: 81% [56] |
| COD TSS Ammonium-N TN TP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Blast furnace granulated slag with gravel and sand. •Gravel and sand of different sizes | <i>Phragmites australis</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •For slag-based system: 49, 64, 88, 41, and 63, respectively. •For gravel-based systems: 40, 62, 58, 44, and 9, respectively | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Better efficient in removal with slag beds than with gravels. | Avg. Temp.: N.A Humidity: N.A [73] |

| COD TKN | Fine sand Gravel | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Cyperus alternifolius</i> •<i>Vetiveria zizanioides</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •For the system with <i>Cyperus alternifolius</i>: 76 and 65, respectively. •For the system with <i>Vetiveria zizanioides</i>: 67 and 56, respectively. | ➤ Different HLR has been studied, the lowest one 20 cm/d achieved the maximum treatment efficiency. | Avg. Temp.: 27 °C Humidity: N.A | [62] |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|------|
| OP Ammonium-N TN | Gravel | <i>Phragmites australis</i> | 96, 98, and 85, respectively. | ➤ Intermittent aeration increases the treatment efficiencies for organic and nitrogen pollutants. | Avg. Temp.:14.7 °C Humidity: Sub-humid continental monsoon climate. | [71] |
| COD Ammonium-N TN | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Gravel •Washed sand | <i>Oenanthhe javanica</i> | 95, 99, and 53, respectively. | | Avg. Temp.: 19°C Humidity: N.A | [70] |
| Sup Table S1 (cor | | | | | | |
| Parameter | Substrate | Plants | Removal efficiency % | Comments | Climatic Conditions | Ref. |
| BOD COD TSS TN TP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Gravel with various sizes •Sand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Typha latifolia</i> •<i>Phragmites australis</i> | Average removal percentage: 80, 79, 59, 73, and 63, respectively. | ➤ The treated water still needed measurements for heavy metals and microbial to decide if it could be able to reused for irrigation. | Avg. Temp.: N.A Humidity: N.A | [69] |

| | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| BOD | | | | ➤ The system is effective for household wastewater and adjusts with national regulations for treated water reuse in irrigation. | |
| COD | | • <i>Canna</i> | Average removal percentage: 90, | | Avg. Temp.: N.A |
| TSS | Gravel | • <i>Phragmites australis</i> | 88, 92, 53, 57, | | Humidity: N.A [68] |
| TKN | | • <i>Cyperus papyrus</i> | and 62, respectively. | | |
| Ammonia-N | | | | | |
| Phosphate | | | | | |

BOD₅; five-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand / COD; Chemical Oxygen Demand / TSS; Total Solid Suspend / TN; Total Nitrogen / TP; Total Phosphorus / TKN; Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen / HLR; Hydraulic Loading Rates / OP; Organic Pollutants/ Avg. Temp.; Average Temperature/ N.A; Not Available

Supplementary Table S2: HCW and their removal efficiency for different pollutants from domestic wastewater.

| Parameter | HCW type | Substrate | Removal efficiency % | Comments | Ref. |
|------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|------|
| BOD ₅ | • HCW: | From bottom to top: | | ➤ The removal of nitrate-nitrogen, TP, TKN, and heavy metals was more efficient in the systems with more substrate layers. | [15] |
| COD | with 4 | • HCW: coarse gravel, medium gravel, filtration sand, and woodchips. | • HCW system: 36, 77, 76, 64, 35, 25, 20, 60, 45, and 25, respectively. | | |
| TSS | substrate layers. | | • MHCW-1 system: 54, 77, 76, 62, 33, 34, 27, 77, 73, and 38, respectively. | | |
| Ammonium-N | • Modified HCW (MHCW-1): | • MHCW-1 & MHCW-2: coarse gravel, medium gravel, filtration sand, coarse gravel, medium gravel, filtration | • MHCW-2 system: 71, 79, 80, 64, 42, 41, 33, 80, 72, and 38, respectively. | ➤ Removal percentages of BOD ₅ , TSS, and Cu have achieved maximum value with the MHCW-2 system | |
| Nitrate- N | with seven | | | | |
| TKN | substrate layers. | | | | |
| TP | • Modified HCW (MHCW-2): | | | | |
| Cu | with seven | | | | |
| Pb | substrate layers. | | | | |
| Cr | Modified HCW (MHCW-2): | | | | |
| | with seven | | | | |

substrate layers
and
earthworm.

sand, and
woodchips.

Sup Table S2 (cont.)

| Parameter | HCW type | Substrate | Removal efficiency % | Comments | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|--|-------|
| BOD ₅ COD Ammonia-N | Saturated VF - Free-drained VF - HF | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Crushed rock in saturated VF and HF. •Sand in a free-drained bed. | 95, 84, and 78, respectively. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The system provided highly efficient organic matter and nitrogen removal. ➤ Replace gravel with sand as filtration substrate in the second bed has a significant effect on ammonia nitrogen removal | [92] |
| BOD ₅ Ammonia-N TN Escherichia coli | VF-HF-VF | Gravel Wood mulch Zeolite | Average removal percentages: 97, 99, 72, and ~100, respectively. | Non-traditional media have enhanced the removal of nitrogen and organic pollutants. | [87] |
| BOD COD TSS Ammonia-N Phosphate-P faecal coliforms faecal enterococci | VF-HF | Basaltic gravel Lapilli | Average removal percentages: Gravel-based system: 86, 79, 95, 88, 24, ~100, and ~100, respectively. Lapilli-based system: 90, 77, 96, 85, 21, ~100, and ~100, respectively. | - | [135] |
| BOD COD TSS Ammonium-N TN | FWS-FWS- HF | - | Average removal percentages: 87, 58, 87, 75, 53, 33, 68, and 73, respectively. | This system shows an efficient removal percentage for organic pollutants, heavy metals, and ammonia | [136] |

| TP Zn Cu | | | | nitrogen but not for phosphate and nitrate nitrogen. | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|-------|
| BOD ₅ COD TP | HF-VF | | The removal efficiencies for summer, autumn, winter, and spring were: BOD ₅ : 88, 85, 73, and 74, respectively. COD: 89, 87, 83, and 86, respectively. TP: 97, 98, 95, and 98, respectively. | The effect of seasonal changes on pollutant removal efficiency has been studied. The removal percentage for TN in all systems was very low. | [137] |
| Sup Table S2 (cont.) | | | | | |
| Parameter | HCW type | Substrate | Removal efficiency % | Comments | Ref. |
| COD TSS Ammonia-N TN TP | Towery HCW with three stages (HF-FWS-HF) | From bottom to top: Washed gravel Fine gravel Soil | Average removal efficiency: 85, 89, 83, 83, and 64, respectively. | The design shows an increase in the removal efficiency of the TN mainly by nitrification and denitrification mechanisms. | [85] |
| COD TN TP | IVFCW | Gravel | Removal efficiency Mean of both systems: 61, 14, and 52, respectively. | Low temperatures have a negative effect on nutrient removal. | [65] |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|--|---|---|------|
| BOD COD TSS Ammonia-N Nitrate-N molybdate-reactive phosphorus | ICW | Sludge | For the new system (one year of operation): 99, 97, ~100, 99, 94, and 99, respectively. For the mature system (five years of operation): 95, 89, 97, 58, -11, and 34, respectively. | In the long term, ICW are efficient in removing organic pollutants (BOD, COD, SS) and ammonia- nitrogen from domestic wastewater is better than the removal efficiency of nitrate- nitrogen and phosphorus. | [86] |
| COD Ammonia-N TN TP | IVFCW | Waste bricks of different sizes. | Maximum removal: 67, 72, 57, and 92, respectively. | The effect of different hydraulic loads (0.15, 0.25, and 0.35 m/day) has been studied. High removal percentage of TP. | [89] |
| BOD ₅ TSS Ammonia-N TP | IHCW | From bottom to top: Washed gravel Pea gravel Washed sand | 96, 97, 88, and 88, respectively. | The system is cost- effective and does not need any operational energy inputs. The system could be feasible for single- family use in developing countries. | [63] |

BOD₅; five-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand / COD; Chemical Oxygen Demand / TSS; Total suspended solids / TN; Total Nitrogen / TP; Total Phosphorus / TKN; Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen / VF; Vertical Flow / HF; Horizontal Flow