

Article



Purification of Sewage Wastewater though Sand Column Filter for Lessening of Heavy Metals Accumulation in Lettuce, Carrot, and Cauliflower

Safina Naz ¹, Muhammad Akbar Anjum ¹[®], Bushra Sadiq ², Riaz Ahmad ^{3,*}, Muhammad Ahsan Altaf ^{4,*}[®], Mohamed A. El-Sheikh ⁵[®] and Awais Shakoor ⁶[®]

- ¹ Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences & Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan
- ² Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Science, Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur 63100, Pakistan
- ³ Department of Horticulture, The University of Agriculture, Dera Ismail Khan 29120, Pakistan
 - College of Horticulture, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China
- ⁵ Botany & Microbiology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11452, Saudi Arabia
- ⁶ Teagasc, Environment, Soils and Land Use Department, Johnstown Castle, Co., Y35 Y521 Wexford, Ireland
- * Correspondence: riaz.ahmad@uad.edu.pk (R.A.); ahsanaltaf8812@gmail.com or ahsanaltaf@hainanu.edu.cn (M.A.A.)

Abstract: Sewage wastewater is one of the richest sources of mineral nutrients contributing toward plant growth and yield. However, the accumulation of heavy metals in the edible parts of vegetables and fruits can be dangerous to life. The current research aimed to evaluate the performance of a sand column filter for the elimination of heavy metals from sewage wastewater applied to selected vegetables. The contents of heavy metals, i.e., Pb⁺², Ni⁺², Cu⁺², and Fe⁺², were estimated to be higher in untreated sewage wastewater than in treated water. The number of leaves, fresh and dry weights of leaves, roots, and total biomass of lettuce, carrot, and cauliflower were improved due to the irrigation of unfiltered sewage wastewater compared to sewage wastewater. The curd diameter, fresh and dry weights of curd, stem fresh weight of cauliflower, and the root length and diameter of carrot increased after irrigation with the unfiltered sewage wastewater in comparison to the treated sewage wastewater. The accumulation of heavy metals, i.e., Pb⁺², Ni⁺², Cu⁺², and Fe⁺², was higher in the roots, leaves, and edible parts of the selected vegetables. In the present study, the Cd⁺² and Cr⁺² concentrations were not affected by the filtration process through a sand column filter. Conclusively, filtration through a sand column filter is effective for the removal of heavy metals from sewage wastewater used to irrigate agricultural land.

Keywords: growth and yield; heavy metal concentration; plant biomass; reclamation of sewage wastewater

1. Introduction

Freshwater is a unique resource with imperative qualities. Due to increased demand, the availability of clean water has reduced approximately three-fold in South Asia, Africa, and the Middle East since the 1950s [1]. Poor management in the distribution of irrigation systems, ground water with a salty nature, low rainfall, and the rapid increase in the population are the major causes of water deficit conditions. This situation is leading towards the use of untreated city wastewater for the irrigation of agricultural land. The utilization of sewage wastewater is becoming more popular throughout the developing world [2], particularly in peri-urban areas of Multan, Pakistan [3].

Wastewater is rich in the organic and inorganic nutrients necessary for the sufficient growth and yield of plants [4]. Farmers frequently use wastewater for their farming



Citation: Naz, S.; Anjum, M.A.; Sadiq, B.; Ahmad, R.; Altaf, M.A.; El-Sheikh, M.A.; Shakoor, A. Purification of Sewage Wastewater though Sand Column Filter for Lessening of Heavy Metals Accumulation in Lettuce, Carrot, and Cauliflower. *Water* **2022**, *14*, 3770. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14223770

Academic Editors: Xiukang Wang and Guang Yang

Received: 20 October 2022 Accepted: 17 November 2022 Published: 20 November 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). purposes in those areas located around industrial zones and cities. Farmers consider that this is an inexpensive and valuable source of minerals. Hence, farmers use it as suitable alternative to fertilizers for plant growth [5]. Macronutrients, i.e., N, P, and K; micronutrients, i.e., Fe, Cu, and Zn; and a considerable amount of organic matter are vital nutrients present in sewage wastewater that contribute to plant growth and production [6]. The presence of macro- and micronutrients in sewage wastewater has been shown to enhance growth and yield in corn [7] and mulberry [8]. Similarly, increased growth and yield was also recorded in chili [9].

An excess of heavy metals in soils is mainly due to sewage wastewater irrigation [10]. Excessive heavy metals deposition in agricultural land results in an excess of heavy metal accumulation in different plant parts. This accumulation is a serious threat to food safety around the globe [11]. The recommended safe concentrations of heavy metals for human consumption are Fe^{+2} 150 mg kg⁻¹, Pb^{+2} 2 mg kg⁻¹, Cu^{+2} 10 mg kg⁻¹, Ni^{+2} 10 mg kg⁻¹, Cd^{+2} 0.02 mg kg⁻¹, and Cr^{+2} 1.3 mg kg⁻¹ [12]. The consumption of fruits and vegetables contaminated by heavy metals is a serious danger to human life [13]. These metals are very toxic and non-biodegradable, and even their ingestion at the parts-per-billion level causes numerous diseases [14]. Heavy metals have carcinogenic, teratogenic, neurotoxic, incurable, and mutagenic concerns [15], have known adverse effects on blood acidity, and can lead to kidney damage, cancer, and retardation [8]. These situations might be shocking for vegetarians because their main food component is fresh vegetables.

Pakistan's irrigation system is mainly based on the canal water of the Indus Basin [8]. Canal water is not sufficient to fulfill the farming requirements of country farmers due to the increased population and different environmental fluctuations. Irrigation with sewage wastewater is a very common practice in Pakistan. However, no serious actions have been taken to eradicate these dangerous concerns. Different management practices, e.g., slow sand filters [16], thick tire chips [17], rubber chips [18], and fine medial filters [19], are used for the elimination of heavy metals from sewage wastewater. However, their application is still limited around the world.

For the reduction of heavy metals, sand-based columns are used in tomato and okra crops [20]. However, sand-based columns are not used for lettuce, cauliflower, or carrot. Therefore, the present work was carried out to evaluate the capability of sand columns for sewage wastewater filtration to lessen the concentration of heavy metals and also to evaluate the effects of unfiltered and filtered sewage wastewater on the growth, yield, and heavy metal buildup in the leaves, roots, and edible parts of the selected vegetables.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Details

The present research was carried out for the evaluation of the growth, yield, and heavy metals in the selected vegetables, i.e., lettuce, carrot, and cauliflower. The vegetables were grown under filtered and unfiltered sewage wastewater for two years (2014 and 2015) in the specific research site of the Department of Horticulture, Bahauddin Zakariya University (BZU), Multan. Earthen pots were filled with 5 kg silt loam soil below the corner to ensure easy watering. The main disposal unit of BZU campus was the source of the sewage wastewater for the treatments.

2.2. Preparation of Sand-Based Column

For the sewage wastewater filtration, the sand-based column contained mesh-sized sieves (0.5 mm). An iron sheet was placed inside and an outlet was installed at the bottom. The column was filled with sand and the sewage wastewater was passed through it. After that, the filtered water was collected in a container and the sand was changed after one filtration for the higher efficacy of the column. Afterward, the treatment—either filtered or unfiltered sewage wastewater—was applied to the pots of the selected vegetables. The present research adopted a completely randomized design (CRD) including three repeats, and each repeat comprised ten pots. The cultural practices for each crop were similar.

2.3. Growth and Yield Traits

At the maturity stage, the growth and yield of the selected vegetables were measured from the treated and untreated plants. The leaves were counted from each treatment. The fresh and dry weights of the leaves, roots, plant biomass, and curd were taken using a digital weighing balance. The curd diameter, root length, and root diameter were measured using Vernier calipers.

2.4. Determination of Heavy Metals

2.4.1. Chemical Preparation

For the extraction of the heavy metals, glassware was soaked for 12 h in a nitric acid solution of 10%. For the estimation of the standard value at 1000 mg L^{-1} , Ni^{+2} , Cu^{+2} , Cd^{+2} , Pb^{+2} , Fe^{+2} , and Cr^{+2} standards were bought from Merck and Co.

2.4.2. Pre-Treatment of Sewage Wastewater before and after Filtration

The water samples, i.e., filtered and unfiltered, were collected from the disposal unit and stored in airtight plastic bottles. According to the method of Singh [21], approximately 5 mL of HNO₃ was poured into the plastic bottles to prevent any reaction of the heavy metals with the plastic bottles during storage.

2.4.3. Digestion of Plant Samples for Heavy Metal Extraction

The different plant parts, i.e., the roots, leaves, and edible parts, were harvested for the determination of heavy metals from the unfiltered sewage wastewater-treated (control) and the filtered sewage wastewater-treated plants. Distilled water was used for washing the plant parts, which were dried by spreading them out in a clean place for 60 min. After that, the plant parts were divided into small pieces and put into the oven, where they were dried until a constant weight was achieved. The dried samples were finely ground into a powder and stored in polyethylene zipper bags under ambient conditions for further downstream analysis. Approximately 0.5 g of the ground powder from each treatment was subjected to acidic digestion in a 3:1 ratio containing 15 mL of HNO₃ and 5 mL of HClO₄. After that, the samples were placed on a hot plate at 80 °C. The samples were heated until the appearance of a colorless material. This material was collected in beakers for future downstream analyses, as per the described method of Singh [21].

2.4.4. Extraction of Heavy Metals from Plant and Water Samples

The wet digestion method was used for the extraction of heavy metals from the water samples. After the digestion of water and plant samples of the selected vegetables, the samples were filtered through Whatman No. 42 filter paper. The filtered samples and 25 mL of distilled water were homogenized and stored in plastic bottles. The previously described method of [21] was used for the extraction of heavy metals from the collected samples and identified through atomic absorption spectroscopy as well as a photometer.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The collected data were evaluated using Statistix 8.1 as described in [22] under a twoway factorial design, i.e., years and sewage wastewater treatment. However, the interaction between the years and sewage wastewater treatment was found to be non-significant, and therefore is not discussed in the Section 3. The means of the sewage wastewater treatments were separated using LSD at the 0.05 level of probability.

3. Results

3.1. Sewage Wastewater Characteristics before and after Filtration Used in the Experiment

The physiochemical properties, i.e., pH, EC, HCO₃, RSC, Ca + Mg, Sulfate, Na, and Cl, of the sewage wastewater before and after filtration were used (Table 1).

Sewage Wastewater	рН	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	HCO ₃	RSC	$Ca + Mg$ (meq L^{-1})	Sulfate (meq L ⁻¹)	Na (meqL ⁻¹)	Cl (meqL ⁻¹)
Unfiltered	7.3	0.183	13	4.4	9.6	1.09	8.79	5.02
Filtered	7.5	0.391	10	3.5	7.5	0.79	6.91	4.5

Table 1. Physiochemical properties of sewage wastewater before and after filtration used in the experiment.

3.2. *Heavy Metal Content in Unfiltered and Filtered Sewage Wastewater Samples*

The unfiltered sewage wastewater revealed significantly higher Pb, Ni, Cu, Cd, Fe, and Cr levels compared to the filtered sewage wastewater (Table 2). According to WHO/FAO and Naz et al. (2021), all of the studied heavy metals were found to be high in unfiltered water, while lower heavy metals were recorded in filtered water. A more significant reduction in the heavy metal content was observed in the filtered water than unfiltered sewage wastewater (Table 2).

Table 2. Heavy metal content (mg L^{-1}) in sewage wastewater before and after filtration used in the experiment.

Sewage Wastewater	Pb ⁺²	Ni ⁺²	Cu ⁺²	Cd ⁺²	Fe ⁺²	Cr ⁺²	TDS	Hardness
Unfiltered	2.48 a	1.96 a	3.26 a	1.26 a	8.76 a	0.46 a	200	700
Filtered	1.71 b	1.35 b	2.58 b	0.83 b	6.30 b	0.32 b	160	570
* WHO/FAO	5.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	5.0	0.1	70	500

Note(s): * = Permissible limits of heavy metals. The treatment mean of three samples with different letters showed a significant difference at $p \le 0.05$ (LSD test).

3.3. Growth and Yield of Spinach, Carrot, and Cauliflower

The leaf number, leaves, roots, and total biomass of fresh and dry weights were significantly greater among the unfiltered sewage wastewater-treated plants, while these traits were significantly lower in the filtered sewage wastewater-treated plants of the selected vegetables, i.e., spinach, carrot, and cauliflower (Table 3). However, the number of leaves, the fresh and dry weights of the leaves and roots, and the total biomass were not affected by year (Table 3). The fresh weight, dry weight, and diameter of curd, as well as the stem fresh weight were found to be higher in the unfiltered sewage wastewater-treated samples, while the curd diameter, fresh and dry weights of curd, and stem fresh weight were found to be lower in the filtered sewage wastewater-treated plants of cauliflower (Table 4). Similarly, the root length and diameter of carrot were longer in the unfiltered sewage wastewater-treated samples, while a shorter root length and diameter were measured in the filtered sewage wastewater-treated plants (Table 4).

3.4. Heavy Metal Buildup in Leaves

The concentration of the heavy metals was significantly higher in the leaves of the plants grown using unfiltered sewage wastewater, while significantly lower concentrations were recorded for those grown using filtered sewage wastewater during both years for the spinach, carrot, and cauliflower (Table 5). However, the Cd and Cr in the leaves of the selected vegetables were not affected by the unfiltered or filtered sewage wastewater treatments during either year of the study (Table 5).

		Spinach			Cauliflower			Carrot	
Sewage Wastewater	Year I	Year II	Mean	Year I	Year II	Mean	Year I	Year II	Mean
Number of leaves per plant									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	36.43 a	37.36 a	36.90 a	30.66 a	32.33 a	31.50 a	10.00 a	9.33 a	9.66 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	29.76 a	33.20 a	31.48 b	30.66 a	27.00 a	28.83 a	6.97 a	6.93 a	6.95 b
Mean	33.10 a	35.28 a		30.66 a	29.66 a		8.48 a	8.13 a	
Fresh weight of leaves per plant (g)									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	179.34 a	183.99 a	181.66 a	420.33 a	439.33 a	429.83 a	56.86 a	44.66 a	50.76 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	147.00 a	164.72 a	155.86 b	409.17 a	397.07 a	403.12 b	32.52 a	34.33 a	33.43 b
Mean	163.17 a	174.35 a		414.75 a	418.20 a		44.69 a	39.50 a	
Dry weight of leaves per plant (g)									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	14.55 a	14.94 a	14.74 a	67.67 a	70.73 a	69.20 a	6.20 a	5.96 a	6.08 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	11.94 a	13.39 a	12.66 b	65.87 a	63.92 a	64.90 a	4.63 a	4.86 a	4.75 b
Mean	13.25 a	14.16 a		66.77 a	67.33 a		5.41 a	5.41 a	
Fresh weight of roots per plant (g)									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	4.30 a	4.83 a	4.56 a	62.66 a	62.50 a	62.58 a	101.93 a	106.67 a	104.30 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	2.76 a	2.33 a	2.55 b	54.00 a	52.33 a	53.16 b	95.97 a	100.67 a	98.32 b
Mean	3.53 a	3.58 a		58.33 a	57.41 a		98.95 a	103.67 a	
Dry weight of roots per plant (g)									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	0.86 a	0.96 a	0.91 a	16.23 a	16.16 a	16.20 a	9.96 a	10.50 a	10.23 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	0.55 a	0.46 a	0.51 b	11.86 a	12.40 a	12.13 b	9.03 a	9.30 a	9.16 b
Mean	0.70 a	0.72 a		14.05 a	14.28 a		9.50 a	9.90 a	
Biomass on fresh weight basis (g)									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	183.64 a	188.82 a	186.23 a	1343.6 a	1351.4 a	1347.5 a	158.80 a	151.33 a	155.07 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	149.77 a	167.05 a	158.41 b	1290.2 a	1270.6 a	1280.4 b	128.49 a	135.00 a	131.75 b
Mean	166.71 a	177.94 a		1316.9 a	1311.0 a		143.65 a	143.17 a	
Biomass on dry weight basis (g)									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	15.41 a	15.90 a	15.66 a	274.36 a	279.08 a	276.72 a	16.16 a	16.46 a	16.31 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	12.50 a	13.85 a	13.17 b	264.84 a	262.18 a	263.51 b	13.66 a	14.16 a	13.91 b
Mean	13.95 a	14.88 a		269.60 a	270.63 a		14.91 a	15.31 a	

Table 3. Growth, yield, and biomass of selected vegetables from unfiltered and filtered sewage wastewater.

Note(s): Treatment and year's mean of three samples with different letters show significant difference at $p \le 0.05$ (LSD test).

Table 4. Curd diameter, fresh and dry weights, and fresh stem weight of cauliflower and carrot fromunfiltered and filtered sewage wastewater.

		Cauliflower	
Sewage Wastewater	Year I	Year II	Mean
Curd diameter (cm)			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	36.02 a	36.46 a	36.24 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	31.30 a	31.63 a	31.46 b
Mean	33.66 a	34.05 a	
Curd fresh weight (g)			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	750.57 a	753.23 a	751.90 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	737.53 a	739.53 a	738.53 b
Mean	744.05 a	746.38 a	
Curd dry weight (g)			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	182.38 a	183.82 a	183.10 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	178.63 a	178.62 a	178.62 b
Mean	180.51 a	181.22 a	
Fresh weight of stem (g)			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	110.00 a	96.33 a	103.17 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	89.50 a	81.67 a	85.58 b
Mean	99.75 a	89.00 a	
		Carrot	
Root length (cm)			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	26.53 a	29.00 a	27.76 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	25.23 a	22.00 a	23.61 b
Mean	25.88 a	25.50 a	
Root diameter (cm)			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	12.41 a	12.93 a	12.67 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	11.06 a	10.11 a	10.59 b
Mean	11.74 a	11.52 a	

Note(s): Treatment and year's mean of three samples with different letters show significant difference at $p \le 0.05$ (LSD test).

3.5. Heavy Metal Concentrations in Roots

The maximum concentrations of heavy metals were recorded in the roots of plants grown using unfiltered sewage wastewater, and the minimum concentrations were found in those grown using filtered sewage wastewater (Table 6). Cd and Cr were non-significant in the treated and untreated plants of the selected vegetables (Table 6).

3.6. Heavy Metal Concentrations in Curds of Cauliflower

Higher concentrations of the heavy metals, i.e., Pb^{+2} , Ni^{+2} , Cu^{+2} , and Fe^{+2} , were found in the edible curd of the cauliflower plants irrigated with unfiltered sewage wastewater, while lower concentrations of these heavy metals were measured in the cauliflower plants irrigated with filtered sewage wastewater (Table 7). Both Cd^{+2} and Cr^{+2} were non-significant in the cauliflower plants treated and not treated with sewage wastewater during both year I and II (Table 7).

		Spinach Leaves			Cauliflower Leaves			Carrot Leaves		
Sewage Wastewater	Year I	Year II	Mean	Year I	Year II	Mean	Year I	Year II	Mean	
Pb ⁺² content										
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	4.466 a	4.700 a	4.583 a	12.700 a	12.867 a	12.783 a	6.400 a	6.833 a	6.616 a	
Filtered sewage wastewater	3.233 a	3.800 a	3.516 b	9.400 a	9.333 a	9.367 b	4.666 a	4.833 a	4.750 b	
Mean	3.850 a	4.250 a		11.050 a	11.100 a		5.533 a	5.833 a		
Ni ⁺² content										
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	13.100 a	13.300 a	13.200 a	13.667 a	14.000 a	13.833 a	12.467 a	13.100 a	12.783 a	
Filtered sewage wastewater	10.767 a	11.400 a	11.083 b	10.667 a	10.867 a	10.767 b	9.867 a	9.933 a	9.900 b	
Mean	11.933 a	12.350 a		12.167 a	12.433 a		11.167 a	11.517 a		
Cu ⁺² content										
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	13.800 a	14.067 a	13.933 a	15.800 a	15.633 a	15.717 a	11.200 a	11.900 a	11.550 a	
Filtered sewage wastewater	10.933 a	11.200 a	11.067 b	12.633 a	12.700 a	12.667 b	9.700 a	9.867 a	9.783 b	
Mean	12.367 a	12.633 a		14.167 a	14.217 a		10.450 a	10.883 a		
Cd ⁺² content										
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	0.400 a	0.533 a	0.466 a	0.433 a	0.466 a	0.450 a	0.333 a	0.466 a	0.400 a	
Filtered sewage wastewater	0.090 a	0.133 a	0.111 a	0.334 a	0.334 a	0.333 a	0.250 a	0.266 a	0.258 a	
Mean	0.245 a	0.333 a		0.383 a	0.440 a		0.291 a	0.366 a		
Fe ⁺² content										
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	294.70 a	295.73 a	295.22 a	356.53 a	360.03 a	358.28 a	181.00 a	190.83 a	185.92 a	
Filtered sewage wastewater	238.50 a	246.87 a	242.68 b	314.30 a	317.80 a	316.05 b	146.80 a	149.77 a	148.28 b	
Mean	266.60 a	271.30 a		335.42 a	338.92 a		163.90 a	170.30 a		
Cr content										
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	0.090 a	0.126 a	0.108 a	2.433 a	2.433 a	2.433 a	0.633 a	0.766 a	0.700 a	
Filtered sewage wastewater	0.056 a	0.103 a	0.079 a	2.233 a	2.266 a	2.249 a	0.466 a	0.566 a	0.516 a	
Mean	0.073 a	0.114 a		2.250 a	2.433 a		0.550 a	0.666 a		

Table 5. Effect of unfiltered and filtered sewage wastewater on heavy metal concentrations (mg kg $^{-1}$) in leaves of spinach, cauliflower, and carrot.

Note(s): Treatment and year's mean of three samples with different letters show significant difference at $p \le 0.05$ (LSD test).

		Spinach Roots		C	Cauliflower Roo	ts		Carrot Roots	
Sewage Wastewater	Year I	Year II	Mean	Year I	Year II	Mean	Year I	Year II	Mean
Pb ⁺² content									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	3.100 a	3.166 a	3.133 a	6.800 a	6.766 a	6.783 a	8.600 a	8.866 a	8.733 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	2.666 a	2.800 a	2.733 b	4.100 a	4.266 a	4.183 b	6.800 a	7.166 a	6.983 b
Mean	2.883 a	2.983 a		5.450 a	5.516 a		7.700 a	8.016 a	
Ni ⁺² content									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	11.133 a	12.200 a	11.667 a	12.033 a	11.733 a	11.883 a	15.800 a	16.067 a	15.933 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	9.660 a	10.133 a	9.867 b	9.167 a	9.367 a	9.267 b	13.633 a	14.500 a	14.067 b
Mean	10.367 a	11.167 a		10.600 a	10.550 a		14.717 a	15.283 a	
Cu ⁺² content									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	13.033 a	13.800 a	13.417 a	15.467 a	15.633 a	15.550 a	12.800 a	13.800 a	13.300 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	9.267 a	9.400 a	9.333 b	10.600 a	11.100 a	10.850 b	9.967 a	10.300 a	10.133 b
Mean	11.150 a	11.600 a		13.033 a	13.367 a		11.383 a	12.050 a	
Cd ⁺² content									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	0.300 a	0.400 a	0.350 a	0.163 a	0.133 a	0.148 a	0.600 a	1.2667 a	0.933 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	0.090 a	0.166 a	0.128 a	0.056 a	0.023 a	0.040 a	0.466 a	0.633 a	0.550 a
Mean	0.195 a	0.283 a		0.110 a	0.078 a		0.533 a	0.950 a	
Fe ⁺² content									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	258.33 a	261.40 a	259.87 a	259.27 a	261.73 a	260.50 a	226.53 a	231.30 a	228.92 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	224.50 a	233.73 a	229.12 b	315.47 a	219.23 a	217.35 b	196.20 a	199.37 a	197.78 b
Mean	241.42 a	247.57 a		237.37 a	240.48 a		211.37 a	215.33 a	
Cr ⁺² content									
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	2.000 a	2.100 a	2.050 a	2.167 a	2.166 a	2.166 a	1.200 a	1.300 a	1.250 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	1.433 a	1.700 a	1.566 a	1.400 a	1.600 a	1.500 a	0.833 a	0.900 a	0.866 a
Mean	1.716 a	1.900 a		1.783 a	1.883 a		1.016 a	1.100 a	

Table 6. Effect of unfiltered and filtered sewage wastewater on heavy metal concentrations (mg kg $^{-1}$) in roots of spinach, cauliflower, and carrot.

Note(s): Treatment and year's mean of three samples with different letters show significant difference at $p \le 0.05$ (LSD test).

Sewage Wastewater		Cauliflower Curds	
	Year I	Year II	Mean
Pb ⁺² content			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	2.466 a	2.800 a	2.633 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	3.233 a	3.700 a	3.466 b
Mean	2.850 a	3.250 a	
Ni ⁺² content			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	11.500 a	11.767 a	11.633 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	9.300 a	9.733 a	9.156 b
Mean	10.400 a	10.750 a	
Cu ⁺² content			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	12.267 a	11.867 a	12.067 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	9.033 a	9.333 a	9.183 b
Mean	10.650 a	10.600 a	
Cd ⁺² content			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	0.060 a	0.196 a	0.128 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	0.023 a	0.030 a	0.027 a
Mean	0.0417 a	0.113 a	
Fe ⁺² content			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	172.83 a	181.60 a	177.22 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	136.20 a	138.43 a	137.32 b
Mean	154.52 a	160.02 a	
Cr ⁺² content			
Unfiltered sewage wastewater	1.500 a	1.833 a	1.666 a
Filtered sewage wastewater	0.800 a	1.100 a	0.950 a
Mean	1.150 a	1.466 a	

Table 7. Effect of unfiltered and filtered sewage wastewater on heavy metal concentrations (mg kg⁻¹) in cauliflower curds.

Note(s): Treatment and year's mean of three samples with different letters show significant difference at $p \le 0.05$ (LSD test).

4. Discussion

The sand-based column filter exhibited good potential to decrease the concentrations of the heavy metals found in sewage wastewater. In the present study, the sewage water filtered through a sand column filter had lower heavy metal concentrations than the unfiltered sewage wastewater. The filtration of sewage wastewater is effective for the reduction of the concentration of heavy metals. Similarly, a greater reduction of Cu⁺², Ni⁺², and Pb⁺² was reported in filtered sewage wastewater than in unfiltered sewage wastewater by Naz et al. [23]. In another study, Tauqeer et al. [24] also estimated that a sand filter had the potential to reduce the heavy metals and organic pollutants present in sewage wastewater.

The number of leaves was found to be higher in the selected vegetables irrigated with unfiltered sewage wastewater than those grown using filtered sewage wastewater. This increase in the number of leaves may possibly be due to the presence of essential nutrients present in sewage wastewater [25]. The present findings are in accordance with earlier work [26] that found that the use of sewage wastewater without filtration increased the number of leaves in okra and tomato. The fresh and dry weights of the roots, leaves, and total biomass of the selected vegetables were enhanced due to the presence of macro- and micronutrients in the sewage wastewater. A similar increase in growth and yield traits was also noted by Iqbal et al. [27] in bell peppers. Therefore, the present findings are in agreement with earlier studies, including that of Boamponsem et al. [28], who found that irrigation with sewage waste increased the fresh and dry weights of the roots, leaves, and total biomass of lettuce, carrot, and cabbage.

The fresh and dried weights of the leaves of lettuce (edible parts) increased due to sewage waste water and a similar increase in leaf-related traits were recorded in earlier work by Şentürk et al. [29]. The availability of nutrients in the sewage wastewater improved

the size and weight of carrot roots and the curd of cauliflower in the present study. Excellent leaves of lettuce, roots of carrot, and curds of cauliflower are higher yield-contributing traits. A higher yield of the studied vegetables was recorded for the unfiltered sewage wastewater-treated samples compared to those grown using filtered sewage wastewater. The decrease in the fruit yield of those plants treated with sewage wastewater is mainly due to the reduction in the mineral content during the filtration process. Hence, the present study is in agreement with the previous studies of Naz et al. [20] and Abbasi et al. [30], who found that filtered sewage wastewater contained fewer minerals than unfiltered sewage wastewater. Hence, the sand column is found to be effective for the removal of toxic organic pollutants and the reduction of heavy metals in sewage wastewater.

The results of the present study show that the heavy metal concentrations were increased in the plant leaves, roots, and edible parts due to irrigation with unfiltered sewage wastewater. The consumption of contaminated vegetables is very dangerous for health. However, a marked reduction in heavy metal concentrations was estimated to be achieved using filtered sewage wastewater. It was established that the sand column filter was capable of reducing the heavy metal concentrations in the sewage wastewater. The buildup of heavy metals increased in the edible parts due to irrigation with unfiltered sewage wastewater [31]. The sand column filter showed better potential to filter sewage wastewater for the irrigation of agricultural crops. In the present study, the reclamation of sewage wastewater did not affect the Cd⁺² and Cr⁺² levels. Different plant researchers evaluated that filtered water had a lower heavy metal concentration than unfiltered sewage wastewater [32]. The present findings are in line with the earlier work of Naz et al. [26] because they also evaluated that sand columns were capable of reducing the heavy metal concentrations in two vegetables: okra and tomato. Similarly, Naz et al. [33] also reported that heavy metals were found to be more abundant in spinach plants when irrigated with sewage wastewater. Saini et al. [34] found that sand is an effective strategy for the reduction of heavy metal concentrations from sewage wastewater that spoiled the fresh produce. Verma et al. [35] also found that sand-filter methods are effective for the elimination of heavy metals and organic pollutants from sewage wastewater, making the water fit for the irrigation of agricultural land. Chitosan-based absorbents were also used by different plant researchers for the removal of heavy metals [36–38].

5. Conclusions

Fresh vegetables are required for a healthy life. The amount of available freshwater is depleted due to climate change. Contaminated water may increase plant growth and yield. However, heavy metal accumulation within the fruits may increase the risk of numerous diseases for consumers/humans. The reduction of heavy metal buildup within the fruits is an essential approach. Therefore, the introduction of filtration methods is very supportive for the utilization of contaminated water for irrigation purposes. From the current results, it has been concluded that the sand column filter has good potential to reduce heavy metals, i.e., Pb⁺², Ni⁺², Cu⁺², Fe⁺², Cd⁺², and Cr⁺², from sewage water. Sand column filters are cheap, eco-friendly, non-chemical, and easy for farmers to use for filtering industrial effluents/wastewater.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, supervision S.N. and M.A.A. (Muhammad Akbar Anjum); software, S.N.; analysis, R.A. and M.A.E.-S.; interpretation of data, S.N.; writing—original draft preparation, S.N.; writing—review and editing, A.S., B.S., and M.A.A. (Muhammad Ahsan Altaf); M.A.A. (Muhammad Ahsan Altaf); funding acquisition, A.S. and M.A.E.-S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors are also thankful to the Higher Education Commission for the funding to conduct this research.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data sets used and/or analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project Number (RSP-2022/182), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gleick, P.H. Global Freshwater Resources: Soft-Path Solutions for the 21st Century. Science 2003, 302, 1524–1528. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nath, K.; Singh, D.; Shyam, S.; Sharma, Y.K. Phytotoxic Effects of Chromium and Tannery Effluent on Growth and Metabolism of Phaseolus mungo Roxb. J. Environ. Biol. 2009, 30, 227–234.
- 3. Khalil, S.; Kakar, M.K. Agricultural Use of Untreated Urban Waste Water in Pakistan. Asian J. Agric. Rural. Dev. 2011, 1, 21–26.
- 4. Khan, K.; Lu, Y.; Khan, H.; Ishtiaq, M.; Khan, S.; Waqas, M.; Wei, L.; Wang, T. Heavy Metals in Agricultural Soils and Crops and their Health Risks in Swat District, Northern Pakistan. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2013**, *58*, 449–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Murtaza, G.; Ghafoor, A.; Qadir, M.; Owens, G.; Aziz, M.A.; Zia, M.H. Disposal and Use of Sewage on Agricultural Lands in Pakistan: A Review. *Pedosphere* 2010, 1, 23–34. [CrossRef]
- 6. Gosh, A.K.; Bhatt, M.A.; Agrawal, H.P. Effect of Long-Term Application of Treated Sewage Water on Heavy Metal Accumulation in Vegetables Grown in Northern India. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2012**, *2*, 1025–1036. [CrossRef]
- Harati, M. Study on Heavy Metal Accumulation in Different Parts of Corn Irrigated by Sewage in South of Tehran. Master's Thesis, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran, 2003.
- 8. Gul, A.S.; Naveed, F.; Ali, M.; Ahmad, R.; Saqib, M. Effect of Different Wastewater Irrigation Regimes on Growth of Mulberry (*Morus macroura* Miq.). *Erwerbs-Obstbau* 2021, 63, 331–337. [CrossRef]
- 9. Chalkoo, S.; Inam, A.; Iqbal, S.; Sahay, S. Growth and Photosynthetic Response of *Capsicum Annuum* L. under Phosphorus Fertilization with Waste Water. *Open Peer Rev. Policy Status* **2013**, *2*, 1–24.
- 10. Ullah, H.; Khan, I.; Ullah, I. Impact of Sewage Contaminated Water on Soil, Vegetables and Underground Water of Peri-Urban Peshawar, Pakistan. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2011, 184, 6411–6421. [CrossRef]
- Muchuweti, M.; Birkett, J.W.; Chinyanga, E.; Zvauya, R.; Scrimshaw, M.D.; Lester, J.N. Heavy Metal Content of Vegetables Irrigated with Mixture of Waste Water and Sewage Sludge in Zimbabwe: Implications for Human Health. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2006, 1, 41–48. [CrossRef]
- 12. WHO. *Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Health Criteria and Supporting Information;* 94/9960-Mastercom/Wiener Verlag-800: Canberra, Australia, 1996.
- 13. Banerjee, D.; Kuila, P.; Ganguli, A.; Das, D.; Mukherjee, S.; Ray, L. Heavy Metal Contamination in Vegetables Collected from Market Sites of Kolkata, India. *Electron. J. Environ. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *10*, 2160–2165.
- 14. Singh, A.; Sharma, R.K.; Agrawal, M.; Marshall, F. Risk Assessment of Heavy Metal Toxicity through Contaminated Vegetables from Waste Water Irrigated Area of Varanasi, India. *Trop. Ecol.* **2010**, *51*, 375–387.
- 15. Patra, A.K.; Wagh, S.S.; Jain, A.K.; Hegde, A.G. Assessment of Daily Intake of Trace Elements by Kakrapar Adult Population through Ingestion Pathway. *Environ. Monitor. Assess.* **2010**, *169*, 267–272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Utami, S.N.H.; Hidayati, K.; Attaqy, R. The Influence of Treated Waste Water and Manure on Iron Uptake and Growth of Caisim in Entisol. *J. Res. Manag.* **2012**, *3*, 37–43.
- Abedi-Koupai, J.; Eslamian, S.; Khaleghi, M. Investigation on the Performance of Sand Filter Incorporating Tire Chips as Cover in Subsurface Drainage System. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Transport, Environment and Civil Engineering, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 25–26 August 2012.
- Bali, M.; Tlili, H. Removal of Heavy Metals from Wastewater Using Infiltration-Percolation Process and Adsorption on Activated Carbon. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2019, 16, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hatt, B.E.; Fletcher, T.D.; Deletic, A. Hydraulic and Pollutant Removal Performance of Fine Media Storm Water Filtration Systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 2535–2541. [CrossRef]
- Naz, S.; Anjum, M.A.; Ejaz, S.; Ali, S.; Saddiq, B.; Sardar, H.; Haider, S.T.A. Sewage Wastewater Reclamation with Sand Column Filter and Reduction of Heavy Metal Accumulation in Tomato and Okra. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, 28, 45962–45970. [CrossRef]
- Singh, J. Determination of DTPA Extractable Heavy Metals from Sewage Irrigated Fields and Plants. J. Integr. Sci. Technol. 2013, 1, 36–40.
- 22. Steel, R.; Torrie, J.; Dickey, D.A. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach*, 3rd ed.; McGraw Hill Book Co.: New York, NY, USA, 1996; p. 666.
- Naz, S.; Anjum, M.A.; Haider, S.T.A. Effect of Different Irrigation Sources on Growth, Yield and Heavy Metals Accumulation in Tomato and Okra. J. Hortic. Sci. Technol. 2019, 2, 10–19. [CrossRef]
- 24. Tauqeer, H.M.; Turan, V.; Iqbal, M. Production of Safer Vegetables from Heavy Metals Contaminated Soils: The Current Situation, Concerns Associated with Human Health and Novel Management Strategies; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; pp. 301–312.
- Ahmed, S.; Mahdi, M.M.; Nurnabi, M.; Alam, M.Z.; Choudhury, T.R. Health Risk Assessment for Heavy Metal Accumulation in Leafy Vegetables Grownon Tannery Effluent Contaminated Soil. *Toxicol. Rep.* 2022, 9, 346–355. [CrossRef]

- Ngugi, M.M.; Gitari, H.I.; Muui, C.W.; Gweyi-Onyango, J.P. Growth Tolerance, Concentration, and Uptake of Heavy Metals as Ameliorated by Silicon Application in Vegetables. *Int. J. Phytoremediation* 2022, 24, 1543–1556. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Iqbal, S.; Inam, A.; Inam, A.; Tak, H.I. Stimulation of Growth, Physiology and Yield of *Capsicum Annuum* L. Cv. *Pusa jawala* by Integration of Nitrogenous Fertilizer and Wastewater. *Int. J. Environ. Sci.* 2013, *3*, 1726–1736.
- Boamponsem, G.A.; Kumi, M.; Debrah, I. Heavy Metals Accumulation in Cabbage, Lettuce and Carrot Irrigated with Wastewater from Nagodi Mining Site in Ghana. Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res. 2012, 1, 124–129.
- 29. Şentürk, İ.; Eyceyurt Divarcı, N.S.; Öztürk, M. Phytoremediation of Nickel and Chromium-Containing Industrial Wastewaters by Water Lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*). Int. J. Phytoremediation 2022, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Abbasi, M.; Safari, E.; Baghdadi, M.; Janmohammadi, M. Enhanced Adsorption of Heavy Metals in Groundwater Using Sand Columns Enriched with Graphene Oxide: Lab-Scale Experiments and Process Modeling. J. Water Process Eng. 2021, 40, 101961. [CrossRef]
- Anjum, M.A.; Hussain, S.; Arshad, P.; Hassan, A. Irrigation Water of Different Sources Affects Fruit Quality Attributes and Heavy Metals Contents of Un-Grafted and Commercial Mango Cultivars. J. Environ. Manag. 2021, 281, 111895. [CrossRef]
- 32. Reddy, K.R.; Xie, T.; Dastgheibi, S. Removal of Heavy Metals from Urban Stormwater Using Different Filter Materials. J. Chem. Environ. Eng. 2014, 2, 282–292. [CrossRef]
- 33. Naz, S.; Anjum, M.A.; Akhtar, S. Monitoring of Growth, Yield, Biomass and Heavy Metals Accumulation in Spinach Grown under Different Irrigation Sources. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 2016, *18*, 689–697. [CrossRef]
- Saini, G.; Kalra, S.; Kaur, U. The Purification of Wastewater on a Small Scale by Using Plants and Sand Filter. *Appl. Water Sci.* 2021, 11, 68. [CrossRef]
- Verma, S.; Daverey, A.; Sharma, A. Slow Sand Filtration for Water and Wastewater Treatment—A Review. *Environ. Technol. Rev.* 2017, 1, 47–58. [CrossRef]
- 36. Omer, A.M.; Dey, R.; Eltaweil, A.S.; Abd El-Monaem, E.M.; Ziora, Z.M. Insights into Recent Advances of Chitosan-Based Adsorbents for Sustainable Removal of Heavy Metals and Anions. *Arab. J. Chem.* **2022**, *15*, 103543. [CrossRef]
- Wang, S.; Liu, Y.; Yang, A.; Zhu, Q.; Sun, H.; Sun, P.; Yao, B.; Zang, Y.; Du, X.; Dong, L. Xanthate-Modified Magnetic Fe₃O₄@ SiO₂-Based Polyvinyl Alcohol/Chitosan Composite Material for Efficient Removal of Heavy Metal Ions from Water. *Polymers* 2022, 14, 1107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dong, L.; Shan, C.; Liu, Y.; Sun, H.; Yao, B.; Gong, G.; Jin, X.; Wang, S. Characterization and Mechanistic Study of Heavy Metal Adsorption by Facile Synthesized Magnetic Xanthate-Modified Chitosan/Polyacrylic Acid Hydrogels. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 11123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]