water

MDPI

Article

A Non-Tuned Machine Learning Technique for Abutment Scour Depth in Clear Water Condition

Hossein Bonakdari [1,*], Fatemeh Moradi [2], Isa Ebtehaj [2], Bahram Gharabaghi [3], Ahmed A Sattar [4,5], Amir Hossein Azimi [6] and Artur Radecki-Pawlik [7]

Table S1. *MAPE*, *RMSE*, *R* and *SI* values of different input combinations in abutment scour depth prediction.

Model	*MAPE*	*RMSE*	*R*	*SI*
ELM1	7.69	0.18	0.97	0.09
ELM2	8.49	0.18	0.96	0.10
ELM3	7.88	0.18	0.96	0.10
ELM4	9.29	0.23	0.94	0.12
ELM5	9.14	0.23	0.94	0.12
ELM6	8.17	0.19	0.96	0.11
ELM7	13.10	0.33	0.87	0.18
ELM8	10.29	0.25	0.92	0.14
ELM9	12.75	0.29	0.90	0.16
ELM10	23.34	0.52	0.61	0.29
ELM11	12.83	0.30	0.89	0.17

Note: Mean absolute percentage error (*MAPE*), root mean square error (*RMSE*), correlation coefficient (*R*), and scatter index (*SI*).

Table S2. Relative error (RE) values for different input combinations verified in this study.

Model	RE < 5%	RE < 10%	RE < 15%	RE < 20%	20% < RE
ELM 1	46.10	28.14	13.90	6.78	5.08
ELM 2	39.32	28.14	16.61	9.49	6.44
ELM 3	42.37	30.17	15.59	9.15	2.71
ELM 4	41.02	30.17	15.59	5.76	7.46
ELM 5	37.97	32.54	12.20	7.12	10.17
ELM 6	44.07	27.12	15.59	8.81	4.41
ELM 7	28.14	30.17	18.64	13.90	9.15
ELM 8	41.36	29.83	12.54	8.47	7.80
ELM 9	25.42	22.71	20.00	15.59	16.27
ELM 10	16.95	16.61	10.51	7.12	48.81
ELM 11	35.25	24.75	16.61	8.14	15.25