

Supplementary Table

Table S1. Spearman's correlation coefficients between ambient uptake metrics ($S_{w\text{-amb}}$, U_{amb} , and $V_{f\text{-amb}}$), nutrient concentrations and ratios, and in-stream physical and chemical variables. Significant correlations are highlighted in **bold** ($p < 0.05$).

Variables	$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ S_w	$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ S_w	$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ S_w	$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ U_{amb}	$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ U_{amb}	$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ U_{amb}	$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ $V_{f\text{-amb}}$	$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ $V_{f\text{-amb}}$	$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ $V_{f\text{-amb}}$	$U\text{ DIN :}$ $U\text{ SRP}$	$V_f\text{ NH}_4\text{:}$ $V_f\text{ SRP}$	$V_f\text{ NO}_3\text{:}$ $V_f\text{ SRP}$	$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ amb	$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ amb	$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ amb	DIN : SRP	DIN	DOC : NO_3	DOC : DIN	TDC	OD	pH	EC	Q	w	v	T	CC	H		
$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ S_w	1.00																														
$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ S_w	0.23	1.00																													
$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ S_w	0.49	0.36	1.00																												
$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ U_{amb}	-0.44	-0.54	-0.74	1.00																											
$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ U_{amb}	-0.15	-0.73	-0.46	0.77	1.00																										
$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ U_{amb}	-0.29	-0.08	-0.69	0.65	0.33	1.00																									
$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ $V_{f\text{-amb}}$	-0.56	-0.50	-0.66	0.92	0.75	0.61	1.00																								
$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ $V_{f\text{-amb}}$	-0.11	-0.75	-0.50	0.81	0.90	0.46	0.82	1.00																							
$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ $V_{f\text{-amb}}$	-0.40	-0.42	-0.87	0.81	0.73	0.76	0.81	0.78	1.00																						
$U\text{ DIN :}$ $U\text{ SRP}$	-0.35	-0.71	-0.33	0.78	0.55	0.04	0.65	0.68	0.34	1.00																					
$V_f\text{ NH}_4\text{:}$ $V_f\text{ SRP}$	-0.03	-0.70	0.22	0.04	0.44	-0.38	0.16	0.52	-0.01	0.54	1.00																				
$V_f\text{ NO}_3\text{:}$ $V_f\text{ SRP}$	-0.75	-0.34	0.05	0.13	-0.04	-0.25	0.24	0.08	-0.11	0.61	0.47	1.00																			
$\text{NO}_3\text{:}$ amb	0.27	0.04	-0.16	0.41	0.24	0.09	0.10	0.14	0.17	0.04	-0.33	-0.45	1.00																		
$\text{NH}_4\text{:}$ amb	0.04	-0.08	0.06	-0.20	0.19	-0.18	-0.24	-0.19	-0.14	-0.19	-0.17	-0.30	0.20	1.00																	
$\text{SRP}\text{:}$ amb	0.20	0.59	0.47	-0.64	-0.85	-0.23	-0.74	-0.82	-0.68	-0.53	-0.44	-0.11	0.04	0.06	1.00																
DIN	0.24	0.00	-0.23	0.46	0.28	0.12	0.15	0.18	0.24	0.07	-0.34	-0.46	0.99	0.26	-0.02	1.00															
DIN : SRP	-0.08	-0.43	-0.65	0.85	0.76	0.44	0.64	0.72	0.74	0.55	-0.03	-0.18	0.62	0.05	-0.64	0.68	1.00														
DOC	0.05	-0.14	-0.14	0.26	0.33	0.11	0.06	0.24	0.24	0.10	0.08	-0.22	0.63	-0.05	-0.01	0.61	0.46	1.00													
DOC : NO_3	-0.13	-0.07	0.30	-0.37	0.04	-0.20	-0.11	0.05	-0.11	-0.08	0.56	0.29	-0.64	-0.20	-0.12	-0.66	-0.43	0.08	1.00												
DOC : DIN	-0.09	-0.09	0.29	-0.35	0.07	-0.16	-0.10	0.08	-0.08	-0.09	0.56	0.26	-0.62	-0.21	-0.12	-0.64	-0.41	0.11	1.00	1.00											
TDC	0.17	0.14	0.04	-0.10	-0.25	0.09	-0.28	-0.15	-0.13	-0.13	-0.08	-0.20	0.30	-0.22	0.57	0.26	-0.07	0.61	0.05	0.08	1.00										
DO	-0.09	0.10	0.42	-0.33	-0.36	-0.63	-0.23	-0.31	-0.53	0.25	0.20	0.60	-0.37	-0.08	0.03	-0.39	-0.40	-0.62	0.01	-0.04	-0.44	1.00									
pH	0.29	0.47	0.65	-0.87	-0.72	-0.54	-0.84	-0.80	-0.77	-0.58	-0.15	-0.10	-0.06	0.29	0.84	-0.10	-0.75	-0.01	0.16	0.15	0.39	0.12	1.00								
EC	0.19	0.45	0.61	-0.84	-0.77	-0.50	-0.82	-0.82	-0.80	-0.56	-0.12	0.03	-0.29	0.13	0.83	-0.35	-0.86	-0.10	0.27	0.25	0.39	0.20	0.88	1.00							
Q	-0.04	-0.38	-0.34	0.73	0.79	0.44	0.78	0.79	0.68	0.36	0.16	-0.16	0.27	-0.01	-0.81	0.31	0.71	0.15	-0.07	-0.04	-0.43	-0.26	-0.72	-0.88	1.00						
w	-0.13	0.20	0.38	-0.56	-0.41	-0.69	-0.42	-0.43	-0.45	-0.18	0.33	0.32	-0.24	-0.16	0.26	-0.26	-0.50	0.05	0.45	0.43	0.17	0.37	0.53	0.49	-0.53	1.00					
v	0.28	0.00	0.48	-0.69	-0.05	-0.57	-0.54	-0.25	-0.48	-0.20	0.30	0.04	-0.43	0.39	-0.10	-0.45	-0.44	-0.37	0.34	0.31	-0.49	0.43	0.21	0.38	-0.15	0.16	1.00				
T	0.18	-0.14	0.19	0.02	0.29	-0.29	-0.02	0.22	0.00	0.08	0.38	-0.13	0.37	0.05	-0.01	0.38	0.21	0.64	0.27	0.29	0.41	-0.32	0.24	-0.06	0.11	0.43	-0.28	1.00			
CC	0.23	-0.18	-0.12	0.25	0.39	0.27	0.12	0.19	0.11	-0.05	-0.17	-0.51	0.32	0.66	-0.08	0.36	0.33	-0.03	-0.39	-0.39	-0.01	-0.27	-0.14	-0.17	0.26	-0.62	0.12	-0.16	1.00		
H	-0.15	-0.22	-0.57	0.84	0.49	0.72	0.74	0.61	0.73	0.28	-0.18	-0.20	0.50	-0.19	-0.36	0.54	0.73	0.25	-0.45	-0.40	0.06	-0.44	-0.59	-0.77	0.66	-0.53	-0.78	0.10	0.25	1.00	

Table S2. Statistical parameters of Michaelis–Menten models used to evaluate the relationship between total dynamic uptake rate ($U_{\text{tot-dyn}}$) or total dynamic uptake velocity ($V_{f\text{-tot-dyn}}$), and total dynamic nutrient concentration ($C_{\text{tot-dyn}}$) for both streams. For the Michaelis–Menten model, the maximum uptake rate (U_{max} ; $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) and the half saturation constant (K_m ; $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) are shown. The adjusted R^2 and p -value of fits are reported in brackets.

Stream	Uptake Metric	NO ₃ –N			NH ₄ –N			SRP		
		K_m	U_{max}	R^2 (p -value)	K_m	U_{max}	R^2 (p -value)	K_m	U_{max}	R^2 (p -value)
BRO	U_{tot}	1094.7 (± 1238.2)	62,156.4 ($\pm 39,038.5$)	0.085 ($p < 0.05$)	52.13 (± 28.56)	3737.6 (± 488.8)	0.234 ($p < 0.05$)	1040.6 (± 739.6)	14,983.1 (± 8248.9)	0.699 ($p > 0.05$)
	$V_{f\text{-tot}}$	114,112.6 ($\pm 127,277$)	2716.56 (± 3857.7)	2E-04 ($p < 0.05$)	37.6 (± 8.3)	3216.5 (± 410.4)	0.653 ($p < 0.05$)	784.6 (± 249.4)	12075.5 (± 3216.5)	0.152 ($p < 0.05$)
	U_{tot}	1414.6 (± 736.2)	9013.1 (± 2766.3)	0.597 ($p < 0.05$)	420.5 (± 172.1)	3314.4 (± 722.9)	0.657 ($p < 0.05$)	618.9 (± 277.6)	7143.8 (± 2329.8)	0.796 ($p < 0.05$)
	$V_{f\text{-tot}}$	3290.8 (± 2141.9)	16,153.2 (± 8427.4)	0.083 ($p < 0.05$)	101.5 (± 22.9)	1589.6 (± 229.8)	0.594 ($p < 0.05$)	1526.0 (± 985.9)	14,860.0 (± 8713.8)	0.028 ($p < 0.05$)
TBM	U_{tot}	2179.3 (± 1570.8)	5767.9 (± 2575.6)	0.375 ($p < 0.05$)	285.1 (± 163.5)	901.6 (± 194.4)	0.457 ($p < 0.05$)	8704.0 ($\pm 20,235$)	9687.5 ($\pm 20,671.2$)	0.631 ($p < 0.05$)
	$V_{f\text{-tot}}$	6018.77 (± 5894.6)	12,103.2 ($\pm 10,425.2$)	0.001 ($p < 0.05$)	354.2 (± 108.2)	951.2 (± 224.5)	0.458 ($p < 0.05$)	2737.1 (± 1734.8)	3483.1 (± 1977.8)	0.029 ($p < 0.05$)
	U_{tot}	*	*	*	1517.8 (± 726.8)	2058.3 (± 642.6)	0.666 ($p < 0.05$)	6146.4 (± 5576.6)	8298.2 (± 6712.9)	0.848 ($p < 0.05$)
	$V_{f\text{-tot}}$	*	*	*	425.4 (± 113.4)	916.1 (± 168.7)	0.313 ($p < 0.05$)	5883.1 (± 5865.6)	7957.8 (± 7342.3)	0.002 ($p < 0.05$)

* Fit did not converge due to mutual dependence between parameters.

Table S3. Statistical parameters of the efficiency-loss model ($U = aN^b$) used to evaluate the fit between the relationship between total dynamic uptake rate ($U_{\text{tot-dyn}}$), total dynamic uptake velocity ($V_{f\text{-tot-dyn}}$), and total dynamic nutrient concentration ($C_{\text{tot-dyn}}$) for both streams. For the efficiency-loss model, a represents the intercept and b is the exponent. The adjusted R^2 and p-value of the fits are also reported in brackets.

Stream	Uptake metric	NO ₃ -N			NH ₄ -N			SRP		
		a	b	R ² (p-value)	a	b	R ² (p-value)	a	b	R ² (p-value)
BRO	U _{tot}	3.20 (1.10)	0.58 (0.18)	0.123 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	5.32 (0.29)	0.23 (0.03)	0.465 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	3.11 (0.17)	0.54 (0.03)	0.787 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
	V _{f-tot}	4.98 (5.02)	-0.24 (0.53)	-0.011 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	7.54 (0.77)	-0.64 (0.06)	0.535 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	3.50 (0.46)	-0.23 (0.08)	0.072 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
	U _{tot}	2.16 (0.32)	0.68 (0.07)	0.697 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	3.42 (0.17)	0.42 (0.03)	0.786 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	2.50 (0.11)	0.65 (0.03)	0.881 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
	V _{f-tot}	4.04 (2.31)	-0.49 (0.30)	0.048 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	6.48 (0.68)	-0.78 (0.06)	0.658 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	2.52 (0.30)	-0.07 (0.07)	1.3E-4 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
TBM	U _{tot}	1.50 (0.24)	0.81 (0.08)	0.595 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	2.18 (0.24)	0.56 (0.06)	0.679 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	1.21 (0.11)	0.89 (0.05)	0.831 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
	V _{f-tot}	2.36 (1.43)	-0.49 (0.32)	0.016 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	4.31 (1.04)	-0.97 (0.15)	0.456 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	1.26 (0.30)	-0.33 (0.14)	0.050 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
	U _{tot}	0.71 (0.14)	1.16 (0.10)	0.733 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	1.63 (0.18)	0.72 (0.06)	0.696 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	1.05 (0.09)	0.98 (0.05)	0.854 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)
	V _{f-tot}	0.16 (0.17)	0.71 (0.52)	0.020 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	4.34 (1.03)	-1.03 (0.14)	0.364 (<i>p</i> < 0.05)	0.92 (0.27)	-0.08 (0.16)	-0.009 (<i>p</i> < 0.050)

Table S4. Statistical parameters of the first-order model ($U = a + bN$) used to evaluate the fit between the relationship between total dynamic uptake rate ($U_{\text{tot-dyn}}$), total dynamic uptake velocity ($V_{f\text{-tot-dyn}}$), and total dynamic nutrient concentration ($C_{\text{tot-dyn}}$) for both streams. For the efficiency-loss model, a represents the intercept and b is the slope. The adjusted R^2 and p -value of the fits are also reported in brackets.

Stream	Uptake metric	NO ₃ -N			NH ₄ -N			SRP		
		Intercept	Slope	R ² (p-value)	Intercept	Slope	R ² (p-value)	Intercept	Slope	R ² (p-value)
BRO	U _{tot}	3.94 (1.76)	0.87 (0.26)	0.122 ($p < 0.05$)	5.61 (0.30)	0.42 (0.05)	0.457 ($p < 0.05$)	3.13 (0.25)	0.86 (0.05)	0.787 ($p < 0.05$)
	V _{f-tot}	3.94 (1.76)	-0.12 (0.26)	-0.011 ($p > 0.05$)	5.61 (0.29)	-0.57 (0.05)	0.607 ($p < 0.05$)	3.13 (0.25)	-0.13 (0.05)	0.077 ($p > 0.05$)
ESP	U _{tot}	2.42 (0.63)	0.83 (0.09)	0.649 ($p < 0.05$)	3.72 (0.21)	0.59 (0.03)	0.795 ($p < 0.05$)	2.28 (0.20)	0.96 (0.04)	0.879 ($p < 0.05$)
	V _{f-tot}	2.44 (0.50)	-0.13 (0.07)	0.057 ($p > 0.05$)	3.68 (0.18)	-0.35 (0.03)	0.656 ($p < 0.05$)	2.45 (0.18)	-0.04 (0.03)	0.031 ($p > 0.05$)
TBM	U _{tot}	1.25 (0.57)	0.86 (0.08)	0.596 ($p < 0.05$)	2.29 (0.37)	0.62 (0.06)	0.673 ($p < 0.05$)	0.56 (0.26)	0.89 (0.04)	0.831 ($p < 0.05$)
	V _{f-tot}	1.44 (0.39)	-0.07 (0.05)	0.015 ($p > 0.05$)	1.98 (0.17)	-0.20 (0.03)	0.483 ($p < 0.05$)	0.99 (0.12)	-0.05 (0.02)	0.048 ($p < 0.05$)
CAN	U _{tot}	-1.15 (0.69)	1.15 (0.09)	0.733 ($p < 0.05$)	1.53 (0.34)	0.73 (0.05)	0.702 ($p < 0.05$)	0.09 (0.28)	1.01 (0.04)	0.854 ($p < 0.05$)
	V _{f-tot}	0.18 (0.35)	0.06 (0.04)	0.019 ($p > 0.05$)	1.62 (0.16)	-0.15 (0.02)	0.320 ($p < 0.05$)	0.86 (0.15)	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.009 ($p > 0.05$)