

Health Effects of Urban Atmospheric Aerosols

Regina M. B. O. Duarte  and Armando C. Duarte 

CESAM—Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies, Department of Chemistry, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

* Correspondence: regina.duarte@ua.pt

The impact of atmospheric particulate matter (PM), including PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and ultra-fine particles (UFPs), on public health has become of great concern, particularly in urban locations. Epidemiological and toxicological studies have shown associations between both chronic and long-term exposure to urban PM and a plethora of adverse health effects, including airway damages, and cardiopulmonary disorders [1,2]. A recent review of epidemiological cohort studies has also highlighted a positive association between long-term concentrations of NO₂ (nitrogen dioxide) and mortality, but limited evidence for an association between O₃ (ozone) and mortality [3]. Nonetheless, a high level of heterogeneity between these cohort studies estimates has also been reported, which was considered a shortcoming for determining the precise nature (magnitude and linearity) of the associations of NO₂ and O₃ and mortality [3]. The body of existent literature has also shown that the different chemical and physical properties of urban PM may elicit different types and degrees of detrimental health effects [4]. Nevertheless, additional research is needed to identify the specific PM characteristics (e.g., size, emission sources, atmospheric concentration, and chemical characteristics) that contribute the most to its adverse health effects. Establishing the nature of the relationships between these aerosol/gases and health effects will pave the way for an in-depth knowledge and better estimation of the burden of disease due to these atmospheric constituents and provide a basis for designing effective air quality control strategies.

Within this context, the Special Issue “Health Effects of Urban Atmospheric Aerosols” is motivated by the need to address important issues concerning the various links between the oxidative potential and inflammatory effects of urban PM and its physicochemical properties, as well as the potential health risks of gaseous pollutants (namely, NO₂ and O₃). It comprises five peer-reviewed, open access articles spanning the main topics of the field. For example, Olstrup et al. [5] explores the seasonal variations in daily mortality associated with increases in the concentrations of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5–10}, BC (black carbon), NO₂, and O₃ in Stockholm, from 2000 to 2016. This study showed the existence of excess risks associated with PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5–10} during springtime, most possibly explained by a larger amount of road dust during this seasonal period. The excess risks associated with BC were unclear, whereas those for NO₂ were negative throughout the year, which was explained by the prevailing low atmospheric concentrations during the sampling period (average values < 20 µg m^{−3}) [5]. On the other hand, this study also showed that O₃ and its oxidative potential were particularly important in terms of daily mortality, namely during summer and autumn, indicating a higher degree of exposure during the warm seasons [5]. The acquired data also suggested the need for developing effective mitigation policies for non-exhaust emission, namely those of road dust particles. In another study, Xia et al. [6] performed a comparative assessment of the adverse health effects of PM_{2.5} on acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) in two typical Chinese cities (Beijing and Shenzhen) with different levels of PM_{2.5}. According to the authors, PM_{2.5} had non-negligible health effects on AECOPD in both cities, implying that adverse consequences in areas with relative low pollution levels cannot be overlooked [6].



Citation: Duarte, R.M.B.O.; Duarte, A.C. Health Effects of Urban Atmospheric Aerosols. *Atmosphere* **2023**, *14*, 309. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos14020309>

Received: 11 January 2023

Revised: 15 January 2023

Accepted: 26 January 2023

Published: 4 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Xia et al. [6] also highlights that targeted policy actions are needed in both high-pollution and low-pollution locations aimed at mitigating the consequences of PM_{2.5} on people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Nonetheless, it is well known that the health effects of fine particulate matter go beyond its mass, and all PM_{2.5} constituents may not be equally toxic. There are additional air quality metrics (e.g., primary organic pollutants, secondary organic and inorganic aerosols, and trace metals, as well as more integrated metrics, such as the oxidative potential) that are also important when assessing the health effects of PM_{2.5}. In this regard, Shi et al. [7] investigated the contents of potentially toxic elements (V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd, and Pb) and emission characteristics of PM_{2.5} in soil fugitive dust (SFD) in six cities in the Yunnan–Guizhou Plateau region in China. The authors also assessed the associated health risks for the potentially toxic elements, aiming at prompting the development of emission control policies in the region. According to Shi et al. [7], the PM_{2.5} in SFD in cities with relatively developed economies and industries (namely, metal smelting activities) had the highest levels of potentially toxic elements. In terms of health risks, Shi et al. [7] reported non-carcinogenic risks for children in all six cities (mostly associated with As, but also with Cr and Pb to a lesser extent), with ingestion being the main way to induce these risks. For carcinogenic risks of PM_{2.5} in SFD, the authors reported a positive response associated with Cr in just two of the six cities, with inhalation as the main exposure route [7]. Overall, this study sheds light on the importance of considering the toxicity of fine particles in SFD attributable to potentially toxic elements, and the need to develop effective emission control policies for these PM_{2.5} constituents. On a smaller geographical scale, Pachoulis et al. [8] also assessed the risk of exposure of a population of an industrialized residential area (Elefsina, Greece) to atmospheric pollutants (i.e., polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and toxic metals (Pb, Cd, As, Ni, Hg, Cu, Zn) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs)) originating mainly from industrial activities. The authors employed different mathematical approaches and took into consideration different parameters, such as age, gender, and daily average exposure time for assessing the health effects of the targeted pollutants. The results indicated that the acceptable limits for cancer risk provided by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) of the California Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the World Health Organization (WHO) were not exceeded. Pachoulis et al. [8] also highlighted the need to employ more comprehensive mathematical models since the risk for potential carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic effects varied depending on the applied methodology.

There is also growing evidence that atmospheric PM can induce a variety of effects at the cellular level, such as inflammation and DNA damage, as well as genomic instability [9]. In this regard, Estonilo et al. [10] investigated the genotoxicity of PM_{2.5} and PM_{1.0} on human peripheral blood lymphocytes in Manila, Philippines. The authors reported that cells treated with PM_{2.5} displayed a significantly higher micronucleus count (typically used to screen DNA damage in various tissues) compared to the cells treated with PM_{1.0}. These results were associated with the higher content of Cd, Ca, Pb, K, Na, and Zn in the PM_{2.5} samples compared to those of the PM_{1.0} samples [10].

Overall, the studies and the results discussed in this Special Issue will be of interest to the atmospheric research community, namely those interested in air quality outdoors and indoors, air particle toxicity, composition, and sources. It is expected that the research presented here will inspire new research questions to untangle the strong connections between air particles and their impact on human health.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, review and editing, funding acquisition, R.M.B.O.D. and A.C.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Thanks are due to FCT/MCTES for the financial support to CESAM (UIDP/50017/2020+UIDB/50017/2020+LA/P/0094/2020) through national funds. This work was supported by project AMBIEnCE (PTDC/CTA-AMB/28582/2017) funded by FEDER, through COMPETE2020—Programa

Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização (POCI), and by national funds (OE), through FCT/MCTES. The Surface Ocean-Lower Atmosphere Study (SOLAS) is also acknowledged for endorsing AMBIEnCE project.

Acknowledgments: We, the guest editors of this Special Issue of *Atmosphere*, are grateful to all of the authors, reviewers, and MDPI staff. We hope that the papers collected here will stimulate further development to improve the current understanding the health effects of atmospheric particulate and gaseous pollutants.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Shiraiwa, M.; Ueda, K.; Pozzer, A.; Lammel, G.; Kampf, C.J.; Fushimi, A.; Enami, S.; Arangio, A.M.; Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J.; Fujitani, Y.; et al. Aerosol Health Effects from Molecular to Global Scales. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 13545–13567. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Mukherjee, A.; Agrawal, M. World air particulate matter: Sources, distribution and health effects. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *15*, 283–309. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Huangfu, P.; Atkinson, R. Long-term exposure to NO₂ and O₃ and all-cause and respiratory mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ. Int.* **2020**, *144*, 105998. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Molina, C.; Toro, A.R.; Manzano, C.A.; Canepari, S.; Massimi, L.; Leiva-Guzmán, M.A. Airborne aerosols and human health: Leapfrogging from mass concentration to oxidative potential. *Atmosphere* **2020**, *11*, 917. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Olstrup, H.; Johansson, C.; Forsberg, B.; Åström, C.; Orru, H. Seasonal variations in the daily mortality associated with exposure to particles, nitrogen dioxide, and ozone in Stockholm, Sweden, from 2000 to 2016. *Atmosphere* **2021**, *12*, 1481. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Xia, X.; Yao, L.; Lu, J.; Liu, Y.; Jing, W.; Li, Y. A comparison analysis of causative impact of PM_{2.5} on acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in two typical cities in China. *Atmosphere* **2021**, *12*, 970. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Shi, J.; Pang, X.; Bao, Y.; Han, X.; Zhong, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhao, P.; Xiang, F.; Li, S.; Ning, P. The contents of potentially toxic elements and emission characteristics of PM_{2.5} in soil fugitivedust around six cities of the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau in China. *Atmosphere* **2022**, *13*, 678. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Pachoulis, M.; Maggos, T.; Panagopoulos, P.; Dasopoulou, M.; Balla, D.; Stamatelopoulou, A.; Manousakas, M.I.; Eleftheriadis, K.; Saraga, D. Population health risks assessment from air pollution exposure in an industrialized residential area in Greece. *Atmosphere* **2022**, *13*, 615. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Santibáñez-Andrade, M.; Quezada-Maldonado, E.M.; Osornio-Vargas, Á.; Sánchez-Pérez, Y.; García-Cuellar, C.M. Air pollution and genomic instability: The role of particulate matter in lung carcinogenesis. *Environ. Pollut.* **2017**, *229*, 412–422. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Estonilo, M.K.G.; Cazeñas, J.A.; Villafuerte, C.J.; Deocarís, C.; Caraos, G.; Robles, G.J.; Galvez, M.C.; Asaad, C.; Vallar, E. Genotoxicity of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ particulates on human peripheral blood lymphocytes in Manila, Philippines. *Atmosphere* **2021**, *13*, 6. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.