



Article WHCNS-Veg Modelling of N₂O, NH₃ and NO₃⁻ Dynamics in a Vegetable Production System under Different Fertilization and Irrigation Regimes

Guihua Li 🔍, Haikuan Xie, Jianfeng Zhang * and Hu Li *

Institute of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

* Correspondence: zhangjianfeng@caas.cn (J.Z.); lihu@caas.cn (H.L.)

Abstract: Greenhouse vegetable production in China not only increases farmers' income, but also increases the risk of nitrogen losses due to excessive water and fertilizer input. Nitrogen losses, including the potent greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N_2O), are driven by water content, soil temperature and pH; regulated by available organic carbon and inorganic nitrogen (N); and affected by management. Therefore, a process-based model was applied to explain the complex interaction of the factors affecting N losses in the form of N_2O , NH₃ and NO_3^- from a greenhouse vegetable production system in a northeast suburb of Beijing, China. We designed four treatments: two equal N input treatments with one flooding (FP) and the other drip irrigation (FPD) and two equal water input treatments (drip irrigation) with one 100% chemical N input (FPD) and the other 50% N input (OPTD). The last one was CK treatment (flooding without chemical N). We calibrated the WHCNS-veg model using year-round measurements of soil temperature, N₂O emission, NH₃volatilization, NO₃⁻ distribution and yields for greenhouse cucumber-tomato cultivation under farmers' practice (flooding + 100% chemical N, FP). Then, we validated the model using the data sets under drip irrigation (70% of flooding amount + 100% chemical N, FPD), reduced chemical N by 50% (drip + 50% chemical N, OPTD) and CK treatment. The WHCNS-veg model was able to capture the above processes under different treatments. Annual N_2O emissions were 5.47 and 3.76kg N ha⁻¹ for the cucumber and tomato seasons under FP, respectively. Compared to FP, drip irrigation (FPD) decreased N2O emissions by 19.0% and 45.5% in the two seasons, respectively. Compared to FPD, applying a lower rate of N (OPTD) further reduced N₂O emissions by 13.7% and 40.5%, respectively. According to the model simulation, N₂O emission was mainly controlled by nitrification/denitrification in the cucumber/tomato seasons, respectively. Compared to FP, drip irrigation (FPD) increased NH₃ volatilization by 54.2% in the cucumber season, while in the tomato season, there were no significant differences inNH₃ volatilization under the three fertilizer treatments. The nitrate leaching levels were 48.5 and 81.0 kg N ha⁻¹ for the two seasons under FP treatment. Drip irrigation (FPD) decreased NO_3^- leaching by 20.6% in the cucumber season. Drip irrigation (FPD) and/or reducing chemical N (OPTD) did not compromise vegetable yields. In all, WHCNS-veg performed well in simulating N_2O , NH_3 and NO_3^- dynamics from the greenhouse vegetable field, which means that the model can be used to manage water and nitrogen precisely in greenhouse vegetable production systems by scenario analysis, and drip irrigation and/or lower N input can be applied in this area to secure yield and reduce N losses.

Keywords: nitrous oxide; ammonia volatilization; drip fertigation; optimized fertilization; greenhouse vegetable

1. Introduction

Greenhouse vegetable production has been extensively used in China due to its high yield and high profit. Now, China produces more than half of all vegetables globally.



Citation: Li, G.; Xie, H.; Zhang, J.; Li, H. WHCNS-Veg Modelling of N₂O, NH₃ and NO₃⁻ Dynamics in a Vegetable Production System under Different Fertilization and Irrigation Regimes. *Atmosphere* **2022**, *13*, 1289. https://doi.org/10.3390/ atmos13081289

Academic Editor: Shihong Yang

Received: 9 July 2022 Accepted: 11 August 2022 Published: 13 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). However, greenhouse vegetable production needs frequent fertilization and irrigation to keep up with the fast growth of vegetables and year-around high-yield production. Therefore, excessive fertilization and flooding is a common way to pursue a higher income by increasing production [1]. As a result, high N losses to the environment and low nitrogen use efficiency have become a great concern.

Nitrous oxide is a powerful greenhouse gas that can react with O_3 in the stratosphere, thereby destroying the ozone layer. A large amount of fertilizer combined with frequent irrigation provides much more substrate and humid conditions in the greenhouse vegetable system, which is suitable for N₂O emission by nitrification and denitrification [2]. However, contradictory results have been found for different water regimes. For example, drip irrigation decreased N₂O emission by denitrification due to the lower water content [3]; on the contrary, Kuang et al. [4] found that drip irrigation increased N₂O emission due to improved soil aeration, which is good for nitrification or prevents complete denitrification of N₂O to N₂. Aside from irrigation approaches, soil pH, temperature, moisture, microbial available C and N, and mineral N input also have great effects on N₂O emission [5–7]. In low-pH soil, N₂O emission is mainly controlled by denitrification, while in high-pH soil, nitrification is the dominant source of N₂O [5]. In addition, temperature combined with water content produces divergent effects on nitrification and denitrification [8]. However, the complex interactions of the regulating factors complicate the responses of N₂O under field conditions, where divergent water and fertilizer management types are applied.

China is the country with the largest NH_3 emissions, reaching 15 Tg N yr⁻¹ [9]. Many studies have been performed on NH_3 volatilization in crop fields under different fertilizer types, amounts and irrigation approaches. However, few studies have been carried out in vegetable fields under drip irrigation. Under furrow irrigation, total NH_3 volatilization did not change when the irrigation amount was reduced by 30% in a long-term tomato–cucumber rotation [10], while under a tomato–watermelon rotation system, when the irrigation amount was reduced by 15%, NH_3 volatilization increased by 46.7% [11]. These contradictory results indicate that there is a need for further study on NH_3 volatilization in greenhouses under different irrigation conditions.

Process-based models are useful tools to understand N dynamics in a greenhouse system. A few process-based models, such as the DNDC model, WHCNS-veg model and EU_Rotate -N model, have been developed to quantify N_2O emission and NO_3^{-1} leaching in vegetable fields [2,12,13]. The WHCNS-veg model has been validated under a wide range of conditions and performs well in simulating water and nitrate leaching [1,14], dissolved organic nitrogen losses [15] and N_2O emission [2] in vegetable production systems, as well as water and nitrogen management in field crop systems [16–18]. However, large uncertainties still exist in deeply understanding N losses, especially NH₃ volatilization in vegetable fields. More data are needed to mechanically understand how N losses are controlled by key factors, which change in different management systems. We hypothesize that high organic and inorganic N input could promote N_2O emission, NH₃ volatilization and NO_3^- leaching due to the increase inavailable N and C for microbial processes. The objectives herein were to(a) use the WHCNS-veg model to simulate N₂O emission, NH₃ volatilization and NO₃⁻ transport in a cucumber-tomato rotation system under different fertilizer and water management conditions, and to(b) explain how fertilizer amount and irrigation methods affect N₂O emission, NH₃ volatilization and NO₃⁻ leaching, while exploring emission reduction measures.

In this paper, we calibrated the model with the 0–5 cm soil temperature, 0–15 cm water content, 0–100 cm nitrate content, N₂O emission and NH₃ volatilization under FP treatment. Then, we validated the model with the 0–5 cm soil temperature, 0–15 cm water content, 0–100 cm nitrate content, N₂O emission and NH₃volatilization under the FPD, OPTD and CK treatments. Because there were only eight vegetable yields, we put the calibration and validation results together for the vegetable yields.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site

The study site is located in a northeast suburb($116^{\circ}28'$ E, $40^{\circ}00'$ N) of Beijing, China. The annual average temperature is $11.5 \,^{\circ}$ C, and the annual average rainfall is 625 mm. The plastic greenhouse is a semi-arch circular greenhouse with a clay wall on one side and covered by a colorless transparent plastic film. The membrane is covered with felt to keep it warm at night in the cold season. The soil is tidal cinnamon soil, and the basic chemical properties are as follows: pH, 7.19; soil organic matter, 14.31 g kg⁻¹; total N, 1.17 g kg⁻¹.

2.2. Experiment Design and Data Collection

The experiment was designed with four treatments, namely, flooding irrigation without mineral N fertilizer (CK), flood irrigation + 100% mineral fertilizer (farmers' practice, FP), drip irrigation (70% flooding) + 100% mineral fertilizer (FPD) and drip irrigation + 50% mineral fertilizer (OPTD); each treatment had three replicates. Each plot was 6 m long and 4 m wide, and they were separated by plastic belts buried 1 m deep. The fertilizer and irrigation amounts are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Field treatments and fertilizer and irrigation management for greenhouse cucumber–tomato cultivation.

Vegetable	Treatment	Manure kg N ha ⁻¹ –	Mineral Fertilizer kg N ha $^{-1}$			Indextan	Irrigation Amount	
			Ν	P_2O_5	K ₂ O	- irrigation	(mm)	
Cucumber	СК	500	0	120	200	Flood	365	
	FP	500	700	120	200	Flood	365	
	FPD	500	700	120	200	Drip	256	
	OPTD	500	350	120	200	Drip	256	
Tomato	СК	800	0	200	300	Flood	407	
	FP	800	750	200	300	Flood	407	
	FPD	800	750	200	300	Drip	285	
	OPTD	800	375	200	300	Drip	285	

CK, no mineral N, subject to flood irrigation; FP: local farmers' practice, subject to 100% mineral N and flood irrigation; FPD: 100% mineral N and drip irrigation, subject to 70% flood irrigation; OPTD: 50% mineral N and drip irrigation.

Mineral fertilizer was divided into basal fertilizer and four top-dressing fertilizers. Sheep manure (N 2.03%) and superphosphate were applied as a base fertilizer before vegetable transplanting and were ploughed into the arable layer, while potassium sulfate and urea were dissolved into the irrigation water and applied in five parts over the growth period. Cucumber (Zhongnong 12) was transplanted on 10 September 2017 and harvested on 28 December 2017. Tomato (Chaoza 32) was transplanted on 16 March 2018 and harvested on 16 July 2018. The soil physical and hydraulic properties related to the model were measured for the 0–100 cm soil profile (Table 2).

Table 2. Soil physical and hydraulic properties.

Soil Layer (cm)	SOM (g kg ⁻¹)	Bulk Density (g cm ⁻³)	Clay Content (%)	θs (cm ³ ·cm ^{−3})	Ks (cm∙d ^{−1})
0–20	14.31	1.25	21.2	0.47	23.77
20-40	10.86	1.25	21.2	0.40	30.10
40-60	6.51	1.24	22.4	0.38	18.84
60-80	4.01	1.36	24.9	0.37	24.64
80–100	0.70	1.33	21.3	0.38	28.33

SOM: soil organic matter; θ s: saturated water content; Ks: saturated hydraulic conductivity.

Nitrous oxide was collected using the static chamber method [19] two or three times a week. After irrigation and fertilization, sampling was continued for 7 days until there was no significant difference in gas concentration between treatments. Gas samples were collected between 8 a.m. and 10 a.m. and analyzed using a modified gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A). During sampling, the temperature inside the box and the soil at a depth of 5 cm were recorded through the temperature sensor on the box. The calculation method for nitrous oxide flux can be found in [20]. The total N₂O emission was calculated via the addition method using simulated data.

Ammonia was collected using the aeration method [21] at the same frequency as N_2O collection. Samples were collected from 9 AM to 10 AM, and the NH_4^+ -N concentration was measured using a continuous flow analyzer (Skalar Analytical B.V., Breda, The Netherlands). The calculation method for the NH_3 volatilization rate can be found in [21]. The total NH_3 volatilization was calculated via the addition method using simulated data. Weather was recorded using an automatic weather station (RR-9100). Irrigation water was recorded using a water meter; soil moisture (0–15 cm) was measured using TRIME-IPH at the same frequency as gas sampling. The vegetable fresh yield in each plot was recorded in batches.

Soil profile samples (0–100 cm) were collected at 20 cm intervals before vegetable planting, during key growth periods and after harvesting, and they were extracted with 1 mol L^{-1} KCL to measure the NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N contents using a continuous flow analyzer (Skalar Analytical B.V., Breda, The Netherlands).

The leaching samples were collected using a leak meter. A soil pit with a length of 1.5 m, a width of 0.8 m and a depth of 0.9 m was dug in the plot, and then a cylinder with a depth of 0.4 m and a diameter of 0.3 m was dug in the middle of the bottom of the pit to place a bucket to collect a water sample. There were two outlets on the top of the bucket, which were used for pumping water and maintaining ventilation. The surrounding area of the pit was sealed with plastic sheeting to prevent the leakage of surrounding water, then the pit was filled with soil in the original layers. At 2–3days after each irrigation, the water samples in the bucket were pumped out using a vacuum pump, and the total amount of water in each plot was recorded; then, the $NO_3^{-}-N$ and $NH_4^{+}-N$ concentrations in the water samples were measured using a continuous flow analyzer (Skalar Analytical B.V., Breda, The Netherlands).

2.3. The WHCNS-Veg Model

Soil Water Heat Carbon and Nitrogen Simulator (WHCNS) V1.0 is a soil–crop–atmosphere system simulation software product [22]. The model includes seven modules: a weather module quoted from FAO; a soil water–heat–nitrogen co-transport module modified from RZWQM [23] and HYDRUS-1D [24]; a crop growth module imported from the EPIC model [25]; a soil organic matter module modified from the DAISY model [26]; a root uptake of water and N module derived from the EU_Rotate-N model [27]; a mineral nitrogen module modified from the DAISY [26] and DAYCENT 4.5 models [28]; and a field management module. Daily meteorological data drive the model to begin vegetable photosynthesis.

2.4. Model Calibration and Validation

The measured data (soil temperature, water content, nitrate content, NH_3 volatilization, N_2O emission and fresh yield) were calibrated under FP and validated under CK, FPD and OPTD. The parameters in the WHCNS-veg model were grouped into three parts: soil parameters, which control water movement, solute transport and heat conductivity; nitrogen transformation parameters, which control nitrification, denitrification and NH_3 volatilization; and crop parameters, which control crop growth, yield and N uptake. The optimized parameters from Liang et al. [22] for a cucumber–tomato rotation system were used as initial values, which they obtained by PEST optimizer using the default values in the EU_Rotate-N model. Then, key parameters that are sensitive to N_2O emission [2], N transformation and vegetable yield [22,29] were calibrated using a trial–error approach under FP treatment. Then, calibrated parameters were applied under FPD, OPTD and CK to evaluate the performance of the model. The performance was evaluated using the root-mean-square error (RMSE) and coefficient of determination (R^2).

3. Results

3.1. Model Calibration

Soil N transformation is sensitive to soil temperature, especially N₂O and NH₃ emission. Therefore, the model performance for soil temperature was evaluated under FP (Figure 1). The WHCNS-veg model could capture the dynamics of soil temperature. The RMSE values were 2.01 and 2.77 °C for the cucumber and tomato seasons, respectively (Table 3). The changes in soil water in the surface layer were captured by the model, with RMSE values of 0.04 and 0.05 for water. The nitrate distribution in the soil profile (0–20, 20–40, 40–60, 60–80, 80–100 cm) matched well with the observed data, with the RMSE ranging from 9.6 to 18.1 mg kg⁻¹ in the cucumber season and from 14.3 to 25.3 mg kg⁻¹ in the tomato season (Table 3). Nitrous oxide emission also compared well with observed data, with RMSEs of 0.023 and 0.019 kg N ha⁻¹ for cucumber and tomato, respectively. The predicted NH₃ volatilization was able to catch the peak time after fertilization and irrigation but could not simulate lag in the measured data; therefore, the RMSE values were 0.34 and 0.12 kg N ha⁻¹ d⁻¹ for cucumber and tomato, respectively (Table 3).



Figure 1. Comparison of measured and calibrated (**a**) soil temperature (0-5 cm), (**b**) soil water content (0-20 cm), (**c**) ammonia (NH₃) volatilization, (**d**) nitrous oxide (N₂O) emission and (**e**) nitrate (NO₃⁻) distribution in the soil profile for the annual greenhouse cultivation of cucumber and tomato under farmers' practice. Arrows in c and d indicate the top-dressing time. Definitions of the treatment codes are referred to in Table 1 and in the text. The vertical bars for measured data denote standard errors.

The WHCNS-veg model simulated vegetable yield well under the four treatments (Figure 2). The calibrated parameters are shown in Table 4. For other parameters, we used the same optimized parameters in Liang et al. [22] or default values in EU_Rotate-N for cucumber and tomato.

Vegetable	Treatments	SWC (cm ³ cm ^{-3})		SNC (kg N ha $^{-1}$)		N_2O (kg N ha ⁻¹)		$ m NH_3$ (kg N ha $^{-1}$)		ST (°C)	
		RMSE	R ²	RMSE	R ²	RMSE	R ²	RMSE	R ²	RMSE	R ²
Cucumber	FP	0.04	0.75	9.6–18.1	0.63	0.023	0.74	0.34	0.65	2.01	0.86
	FPD	0.03	0.64	5.6-19.6	0.58	0.037	0.72	0.34	0.57	-	-
	OPTD	0.03	0.67	9.3-22.2	0.58	0.027	0.67	0.29	0.59	-	-
	СК	0.04	0.69	10.3– 23.4	0.77	0.033	0.69	0.27	0.54	-	-
Tomato	FP	0.05	0.71	14.3– 25.3	0.67	0.019	0.73	0.12	0.56	2.77	0.81
	FPD	0.03	0.69	17.4– 30.1	0.68	0.016	0.68	0.37	0.55	-	-
	OPTD	0.02	0.69	14.3– 32.1	0.65	0.011	0.71	0.34	0.57	-	-
	СК	0.03	0.71	16.3– 29.6	0.66	0.015	0.68	0.46	0.55	-	-

Table 3. Model performance for the simulation of soil water content, soil nitrate content, N_2O emission, NH_3 volatilization and soil temperature.

SWC, soil water content; SNC, soil nitrate content; ST, soil temperature. There was no significant difference in the measured soil temperature under the different treatments.



Figure 2. Observed and simulated vegetable yields of cucumber and tomato (treatments with number 1) under different fertilization and irrigation treatments. Definitions of the treatment codes are referred to in Table 1 and in the text. The vertical bars for measured data indicate standard errors.

Table 4. Op	timized in	put pai	rameters	of WHC	NS-veg.
-------------	------------	---------	----------	--------	---------

	Parameter	Description	Cucumber	Tomato
	Tsum	Accumulated temperature (°C)	1100	1440
	Tbase	Base temperature (°C)	14.5	14.8
Crop parameters	C _{DM}	Dry matter content (%)	4	6
	Nmin	Minimum N content in plant (%)	1.98	3
	Vn	Maximum nitrification rate (mg $L^{-1} d^{-1}$)	30	30
	Kn	Half saturation coefficient (mg L^{-1})	100	100
N transformation	mation Kd	Denitrification kinetic constant (mg mg $^{-1}$)	0.5	0.5
parameters	Ad	An empirical proportionality factor	0.1	0.1
	Kv	First-order kinetic constant for volatilization (d^{-1})	0.025	0.005

3.2. Model Validation

For the water content, NO_3^- distribution, NH_3 volatilization and N_2O emission, the optimized results were comparable with the measured data under CK, FPD and OPTD (Figure 3). Using optimized parameters, the predictions could explain more than 64% of the variation in the soil water content under CK, FPD and OPTD. The largest RMSE values were 0.04 and 0.03 for the cucumber and tomato seasons, respectively (Table 3). The

dynamic pattern of soil water at 0–20 cm was similar over the cucumber growth period under flooding and drip irrigation, but it decreased quickly in the tomato season under drip irrigation.



Figure 3. Comparison of observed and simulated soil water content at 0–20 cm, NH₃ volatilization,

 N_2O emission, soil temperature at 5 cm (**upper figure**), and NO_3 -N distribution in the 0–100 cm profile for annual greenhouse cultivation of cucumber and tomato under different fertilization and irrigation treatments (**lower figure**). Arrows in N_2O emission and NH_3 volatilization indicate top-dressing. Definitions of the treatment codes are referred to in Table 1 and in the text. The vertical bars for measured data denote standard errors.

In the whole rotation period, the N₂O emission peak appeared after irrigation and fertilization (Figure 3). N₂O emission in the cucumber season was significantly higher than that in the tomato season. Moreover, the emission with base fertilizer was much higher than that with top-dressing in the cucumber season. The total N₂O emissions for the different treatments were in the order FP > FPD > OPTD > CK (Figure 4a). The total N₂O emissions decreased 19% and 45.5% under drip irrigation (FPD) in the cucumber and tomato seasons, respectively, compared to those under flood irrigation (FP). The model simulation was able to explain more than 67% of the variation inN₂O emission, with RMSE values of 0.037 and 0.016 kg N ha⁻¹ for cucumber and tomato, respectively (Table 3).



Figure 4. Total losses of $N_2O(a)$, $NH_3(b)$ and NO_3 -N(c) in the cucumber (light color) and tomato (dark color) seasons under different fertilizer and irrigation treatments. The vertical bars denote standard errors. Letters above column means significant difference between treatments in cucumber season (Capital) and tomato season (lower case).

Ammonia volatilization showed a similar trend toN₂O emission, with a peak appearing after fertilization and irrigation. The volatilization rate in the cucumber season was at least two times higher than that in the tomato season (Figure 3). The average NH₃ volatilization rates were in the order FPD > FP > OPTD > CK (Figure 4b). Reduced N input (OPTD) decreased the total NH₃ volatilization by 56% compared to FPD. The model simulation was able to match the dynamics, except for those of CK, but it could not catch the peak amount and lag; therefore, the RMSEs were high for cucumber (0.34 kg N ha⁻¹) and tomato (0.46 kg N ha⁻¹) (Table 3).

The measured and simulated nitrate contents in the 0–100 cm soil layers are shown in Figure 3. The predicted nitrate in the soil profile compared well in the cucumber season, but it was over-predicted (FPD) or under-predicted (CK) in the top layer in the tomato season, even after we used measured data before tomato transplanting as the initial value to predict the nitrate distribution. The RMSEs ranged from 9.3 to 23.4 kg N ha⁻¹ in the cucumber season and from 17.4 to 32.1 kg N ha⁻¹ in the tomato season (Table 3). We did not further adjust soil organic matter pools because of uncertainties in the pool sizes and the decomposition rate of manure in the soil.

4. Discussion

4.1. Model Performance

Soil water and temperature are key factors controlling soil C (providing a substrate for heterotrophic microbes) and N dynamics; therefore, we predicted two processes first. Our evaluation showed that the WHCNS-veg model provided accurate predictions of temperature and water, with RMSEs comparable to those reported by Zhang et al. [2,12]. The good prediction of the water content is similar to previous findings [29] obtained when soil hydraulic parameters were correctly optimized.

Our RMSE values for average N₂O emissions in the cucumber and tomato seasons were 0.023 and 0.019 kg N ha^{-1} , respectively, which are lower than those found in other vegetable systems using the WHCNS-veg model [2]. Our results showed that N₂O emission was much higher in the cucumber season, which is similar to results by Ni et al. [20] but contradictory to reports by Zhang et al. [2]. There were discrepancies in the timing of the predicted and measured N_2O flux in the tomato season under CK (Figure 3). This result was comparable with that by Smith et al. [30] in a field crop using the APSIM model. The predicted N₂O emission after basal fertilization was much lower than the observed data under drip irrigation (FPD and OPTD, Figure 3), which was contradictory to results by Zhang et al. [12] under flood irrigation. The reason for this may be manure application. In the greenhouse, a large amount of compost was applied, which contained a large amount of water. However, the WHCNS-veg model only considers the carbon content of manure. Thus, water from manure provides a suitable environment for microbial activity, which increased the measured N_2O data under drip irrigation. Compared to that in the cucumber season, the much lower soil temperature produced a suitable environment for low N₂O emission in the tomato season when basal fertilizer was applied.

Our RMSEs for the nitrate distribution in the soil profile were higher than those obtained by Zhang et al. [2] and similar to those obtained by Liang et al. [1]. Long fallowing during the summer season had profound effects on the nitrate distribution when tomatoes were transplanted; therefore, further efforts are needed to improve the simulation of nitrate movement in the soil profile under drip irrigation. Ammonia volatilization is mainly controlled by one parameter (a first-order kinetic parameter) in the WHCNS-veg model. The parameter adjustment was able to match the peak times after fertilization and irrigation but could not compare well with values from lagging, which were higher than predicted data.

4.2. N Input Affected N₂O Release, NH₃ Volatilization and NO₃⁻ Leaching

Higher temperature, moisture and N input in the greenhouse provide optimal conditions not only for plant growth but also for microbial activity. In the greenhouse, higher N input increased N₂O emission in the same season when the total irrigation amount or irrigation approach was the same (FPD and OPTD, Figure 4). This is comparable to results by Li et al. [31–33]. They found that N₂O emission was exponentially related to N input. However, comparing the two seasons, N_2O emission in the tomato season (with much higher manure Napplied) was much lower than that in the cucumber season; the reason for this may be as follows: (1) Composted manure decreases nitrifiers and denitrifiers, which significantly reduces N_2O emission [5].(2) N_2O release was controlled by the soil temperature/water content in the cucumber/tomato seasons according to stepwise regression [34]. Soil temperature has a significant positive correlation with N_2O emission [35]. When manure was applied as a basal fertilizer in the cucumber season, the soil temperature was high (around 25 $^{\circ}$ C, Figure 2); therefore, N₂O emission was high. During the tomato season, in soil irrigated after a long dry-fallow season, the water content was lower or the wet area was less compared to those in the cucumber season, which weakened the denitrification potential [20]. (3) During the tomato season, the soil temperature was already more than 20 °C, and it increased daily; therefore, tomatoes grew much faster than cucumbers (soil temperature decrease from 25 to 15 °C), and the fresh yield was almost four times that of cucumber. Thus, the tomatoes needed much more nitrate than the cucumbers, which reduced the potential for N₂O emission by nitrification and decreased the NH₃ volatilization potential.

Fertilizer application affects NH₃ volatilization mainly by controlling the ammonium content in the soil. Therefore, 50% less N input (OPTD) reduced NH₃ volatilization by 55.9% and 8.7% in the two seasons without jeopardizing vegetable yield. This result is consistent with previous findings [11]. In addition, high N input accelerates the speed of soil acidification, which would promote NH₃ volatilization due to a negative relationship between soil pH and NH₃ volatilization [36].

Leaching is a main path of N loss in intensive vegetable production systems. Combining fertilizer with irrigation (whether flooding or drip irrigation) in a vegetable field promotes mineral N or dissolved N movement with water infiltration. By meta-analysis, Qasim et al. [32] found that nitrate leaching in an unfertilized control is positively controlled by the soil organic matter and irrigation amount. This may explain the relatively large amount of nitrate leaching under the CK treatment, where a large amount of manure N was applied each season (Figure 4). Under fertilization conditions, nitrate leaching exponentially increased with the fertilizer N application rate [32]. However, this process depends on irrigation: when drip irrigation was applied, NO_3^- accumulated slowly in the 0–100 cm soil profile without leaching in the tomato season (Figure 4).

4.3. Irrigation Approaches Affected N₂O and NH₃ Release and Nitrate Leaching

Irrigation approaches, such as flooding or drip irrigation, not only affect the amount of water applied but also impact the alternation of dry and wet. Drip irrigation (FPD) significantly reduced soil N₂O emissions compared to flooding fertilization (FP) when the total N input was equal. This is similar to the results of other studies [37,38]. Ni et al. [20] found that drip irrigation had no impact on N₂O emission in a greenhouse. In fact, N₂O is produced via different pathways under divergent irrigation approaches. When the soil is rapidly and fully wetted by flood irrigation, an anaerobic environment easily forms, which makes denitrifying bacteria more active [38], thereby increasing the N₂O produced by denitrification [39]. On the contrary, drip irrigation reduces the soil pore water content (Figure 3), which inhibits the N₂O produced by denitrification [38]. In addition, under drip irrigation, a region with high humidity easily forms near the emitter. When the soil WFPS near the emitter is greater than 80%, the N_2O produced will be further reduced to N_2 [40], thus reducing the production of N_2O under drip irrigation. Furthermore, drip fertigation directly transports nutrient to crop roots through pipelines, which promotes the absorption and utilization of nitrogen by crops, thus reducing the mineral N concentration for nitrification and denitrification reactions, thus reducing N₂O emissions [41].

Drip fertilization increased soil NH₃ volatilization by 54.2% in the cucumber season compared to flooding fertilization. This result is comparable with previous findings [42]. (1) Drip irrigation slowly increases the soil water content; therefore, more NH₄ can be kept at the soil surface. Meanwhile, flooding irrigation increases the water content by a large amount of water; therefore, inorganic nitrogen will enter deeper soil with the infiltration of water, which makes it more difficult to volatilize NH₃ to the surface and to the air. (2) Compared to drip irrigation, flood irrigation quickly saturates soil, which prevents NH₃ volatilization [43]. (3) The soil water content in the flooding fertilization treatment was significantly higher than that in the drip fertilization treatment (Figure 3), which linearly decreased soil NH₃ volatilization.

5. Conclusions

This study confirmed that farmers' practice with high fertilization and flood irrigation can contribute greatly to N₂O emission, NH₃ volatilization and NO₃⁻ leaching in greenhouse vegetable production. Drip irrigation, which reduced the irrigation water amount by 30%, increased NH₃ volatilization by 20 kg N ha⁻¹ but decreased N₂O emission by 1 kg N ha⁻¹ and NO₃⁻ leaching by 75 kg N ha⁻¹. Combining drip irrigation with a 50% reduction in chemical N significantly decreased greenhouse gas emissions without sacrifice vegetable yields. Therefore, finding an optimal N input (amount and scheme) and combining it with drip irrigation is an environmentally friendly strategy in intensive greenhouse vegetable production systems. Future research could use scenario analysis to predict how water and nitrogen management affect nitrogen losses and crop yield. Another future research direction is to improve the model structure by providing more parameters to simulate NH₃ volatilization (as there is currently only one parameter for controlling NH₃volatilization).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.Z. and H.L.; methodology, H.X.; software, G.L.; validation, H.X. and G.L.; formal analysis, H.X.; investigation, G.L.; resources, H.L.; data curation, H.X.; writing—original draft preparation, G.L.; writing—review and editing, H.L.; visualization, H.X.; supervision, J.Z.; project administration, J.Z.; funding acquisition, J.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was jointly supported by the Modern Agricultural Industry Technology System (CARS-23-B18) and the National Nature Science Foundation of China (22176215).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: This study did not involve human participants or animal studies.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Liang, H.; Lv, H.; Batchelor, W.D.; Lian, X.; Wang, Z.; Lin, S.; Hu, K. Simulating Nitrate and DON Leaching to Optimize Water and N Management Practices for Greenhouse Vegetable Production Systems. *Agric. Water Manag.* 2020, 241, 106377. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Batchelor, W.D.; Hu, K.; Liang, H.; Han, H.; Li, J. Simulation of N2O Emissions from Greenhouse Vegetable Production under Different Management Systems in North China. *Ecol. Model.* 2022, 470, 110019. [CrossRef]
- Yao, Z.; Yan, G.; Wang, R.; Zheng, X.; Liu, C.; Butterbach-Bahl, K. Drip Irrigation or Reduced N-Fertilizer Rate Can Mitigate the High Annual N2O+NO Fluxes from Chinese Intensive Greenhouse Vegetable Systems. *Atmos. Environ.* 2019, 212, 183–193. [CrossRef]
- Kuang, W.; Gao, X.; Gui, D.; Tenuta, M.; Flaten, D.N.; Yin, M.; Zeng, F. Effects of Fertilizer and Irrigation Management on Nitrous Oxide Emission from Cotton Fields in an Extremely Arid Region of Northwestern China. *Field Crops Res.* 2018, 229, 17–26. [CrossRef]
- Shao, Y.; Chen, J.; Wang, L.; Hou, M.; Chen, D. Effects of Fermented Organic Fertilizer Application on Soil N2O Emission under the Vegetable Rotation in Polyhouse. *Environ. Res.* 2021, 200, 111491. [CrossRef]
- 6. Duan, P.; Song, Y.; Li, S.; Xiong, Z. Responses of N2O Production Pathways and Related Functional Microbes to Temperature across Greenhouse Vegetable Field Soils. *Geoderma* **2019**, *355*, 113904. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.; Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Deng, J.; Wang, L. Multiple-Year Nitrous Oxide Emissions from a Greenhouse Vegetable Field in China: Effects of Nitrogen Management. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 616–617, 1139–1148. [CrossRef]
- 8. Gu, J.X.; Guo, H.J.; Xiang, H.Y. The utility of a boundary line approach for simulating denitrification and nitrous oxide emissions from a Regosol under summer maize-winter wheat crop rotation in Southwest China. *Geoderma Reg.* 2020, 20, e00252. [CrossRef]
- Gu, B.J.; Ju, X.T.; Chang, J. Integrated reactive nitrogen budgets and future trends in China. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2015, 112, 8792–8797. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.K.; Wu, X.P.; Wu, Q.F.; Wu, H.J. Effects of irrigation and nitrogen application on ammonia volatilization loss from vegetable fields under greenhouse cultivation. J. Plant Nutr. Fertil. 2016, 22, 949–957.
- 11. Luo, W.; Cheng, Y.Z.; Chen, Z.J.; Zhou, J.B. Ammonia volatilization under different nitrogen and water treatments of tomatowatermelon rotation system in solar greenhouse in Losses Plateau, China. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* **2019**, *30*, 1278–1286.
- 12. Zhang, J.; Li, H.; Deng, J.; Wang, L. Assessing Impacts of Nitrogen Management on Nitrous Oxide Emissions and Nitrate Leaching from Greenhouse Vegetable Systems Using a Biogeochemical Model. *Geoderma* **2021**, *382*, 114701. [CrossRef]
- 13. Sun, Y.; Hu, K.; Fan, Z.; Wei, Y.; Lin, S.; Wang, J. Simulating the Fate of Nitrogen and Optimizing Water and Nitrogen Management of Greenhouse Tomato in North China Using the EU-Rotate_N Model. *Agric. Water Manag.* **2013**, *128*, 72–84. [CrossRef]
- 14. Zhang, H.; Hu, K.; Zhang, L.; Ji, Y.; Qin, W. Exploring Optimal Catch Crops for Reducing Nitrate Leaching in Vegetable Greenhouse in North China. *Agric. Water Manag.* **2019**, *212*, 273–282. [CrossRef]
- Liang, H.; Hu, K.; Batchelor, W.D.; Chen, Q.; Liang, B.; Li, B. Modeling Dissolved Organic Nitrogen Dynamics under Different N Management Practices for Intensive Greenhouse Production Using an Improved WHCNS_veg Model. *Geoderma* 2019, 337, 1039–1050. [CrossRef]
- 16. Liang, H.; Yang, S.; Xu, J.; Hu, K. Modeling Water Consumption, N Fates, and Rice Yield for Water-Saving and Conventional Rice Production Systems. *Soil Tillage Res.* **2021**, *209*, 104944. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, L.; He, W.; Jiang, R.; Zhang, J.; Duan, Y.; He, P. Using DNDC and WHCNS_Veg to Optimize Management Strategies for Improving Potato Yield and Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Northwest China. *Agronomy* 2021, 11, 1858. [CrossRef]
- Leghari, S.J.; Hu, K.; Wei, Y.; Wang, T.; Bhutto, T.A.; Buriro, M. Modelling Water Consumption, N Fates and Maize Yield under Different Water-Saving Management Practices in China and Pakistan. *Agric. Water Manag.* 2021, 255, 107033. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wang, Y.; Hu, Y.; Ji, B.; Liu, G.; Xue, M. An investigation on the relationship between emission/uptake of greenhouse gases and environmental factors in serniarid grassland. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* **2003**, *20*, 119–127. [CrossRef]

- Ni, B.; Xu, X.; Zhang, W.; Yang, X.; Liu, R.; Wang, L.; Wu, W.; Meng, F. Reduced Fertilization Mitigates N₂O Emission and Drip Irrigation Has No Impact on N₂O and NO Emissions in Plastic-Shed Vegetable Production in Northern China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2022, *824*, 153976. [CrossRef]
- Wang, C.; Sun, H.F.; Xu, C.H.; Wang, Z.F.; Zhang, J.N.; Zhang, X.X.; Chen, C.H.; Zhou, S. Effects of Fertilization Methods on Ammonia Volatilization from Vegetable Field Under Greenhouse Cultivation. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* 2022, 55, 123–133.
- Liang, H.; Hu, K.; Batchelor, W.D.; Qin, W.; Li, B. Developing a Water and Nitrogen Management Model for Greenhouse Vegetable Production in China: Sensitivity Analysis and Evaluation. *Ecol. Model.* 2018, 367, 24–33. [CrossRef]
- 23. Ahuja, L.R.; Ma, L.W.; Terry, A. *The Root Zone Water Quality Model*; Water Resources Publications LLC: Highlands Ranch, CO, USA, 2000.
- 24. Simunek, J.; Saito, H.; Sakai, M.; Genuchten, T.M. The HYDRUS-1D Software Package for Simulating the One-Dimensional Movement of Water, Heat, and Multiple Solutes in Variably-Saturated Media; University of California: Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2008.
- Sabbagh, G.J.; Geleta, S.; Elliott, R.L.; Williams, J.R.; Griggs, R.H. Modification of EPIC to Simulate Pesticide Activities: EPIC-PST. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. 1991, 34, 1683–1692. [CrossRef]
- Hansen, S.; Jensen, H.E.; Nielsen, N.E. DAISY, Soil Plant Atmosphere System Model; NPO Report No. A; Miljoeministeriet: Copenhagen, Denmark, 1990; Volume 10, pp. 1–276.
- Rahn, C.R.; Zhang, K.; Lillywhite, R.; Ramos, C.; Doltra, J.; de Paz, J.M.; Riley, H.; Fink, M.; Nendel, C.; Thorup-Kristensen, K.; et al. EU-Rotate_N—A Decision Support System—To Predict EnvironMental and Economic Consequences of the Management of Nitrogen Fertiliser in Crop Rotations. *Eur. J. Hortic. Sci.* 2010, 75, 20–32.
- 28. Parton, W.J.; Hartman, M.; Ojima, D.; Schimel, D. Daycent and Its Land Surface Submodel: Description and Testing. *Glob. Planet. Chang.* **1998**, *19*, 35–48. [CrossRef]
- 29. Liang, H.; Hu, K.; Batchelor, W.D.; Qi, Z.; Li, B. An Integrated Soil-Crop System Model for Water and Nitrogen Management in North China. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 25755. [CrossRef]
- Smith, C.J.; Macdonald, B.C.T.; Xing, H.; Denmead, O.T.; Wang, E.; McLachlan, G.; Tuomi, S.; Turner, D.; Chen, D. Measurements and APSIM Modelling of Soil C and N Dynamics. *Soil Res.* 2020, *58*, 41–61. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.; Wang, L.; Luo, Z.; Wang, E.; Wang, G.; Zhou, H.; Li, H.; Xu, S. Reducing N2O Emissions While Maintaining Yield in a Wheat–Maize Rotation System Modelled by APSIM. *Agric. Syst.* 2021, 194, 103277. [CrossRef]
- 32. Qasim, W.; Xia, L.; Lin, S.; Wan, L.; Zhao, Y.; Butterbach-Bahl, K. Global Greenhouse Vegetable Production Systems Are Hotspots of Soil N2O Emissions and Nitrogen Leaching: A Meta-Analysis. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, 272, 116372. [CrossRef]
- Ni, B.; Zhang, W.; Xu, X.; Wang, L.; Bol, R.; Wang, K.; Hu, Z.; Zhang, H.; Meng, F. Exponential Relationship between N₂O Emission and Fertilizer Nitrogen Input and Mechanisms for Improving Fertilizer Nitrogen Efficiency under Intensive Plastic-Shed Vegetable Production in China: A Systematic Analysis. *Agric.Ecosyst. Environ.* 2021, 312, 107353. [CrossRef]
- Xie, H.K.; Li, G.C.; Xu, C.; Ding, W.H.; Jiang, Y.Q.; Wang, L.G.; Li, H. Effect of Irrigation Pattern on Soil N₂O emissions and inter annual variability in Greenhouse Vegetable Field. J. Agro-Environ. Sci. 2018, 37, 825–832.
- Yu, Y.J.; Zhu, B.; Jing, G.J. N₂O Emission from Soil-vegetable System and Impact Factors Chengdupain of Sichuan Basin. *China Environ. Sci.* 2008, 28, 313–318.
- Yang, S.L.; Zhu, A.N.; Zhang, J.B.; Chen, X.M.; Zhu, Q.G. Ammonia Volatilization Loss and Its Affecting Factors under Different Amounts and Ways of N Application in Field. *Arid Zone Res.* 2010, 27, 415–421.
- 37. Han, B.; Ye, X.; Li, W.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Lin, X.; Zou, H. The Effects of Different Irrigation Regimes on Nitrous Oxide Emissions and Influencing Factors in Greenhouse Tomato Fields. *J. Soils Sediments* **2017**, *17*, 2457–2468. [CrossRef]
- Kallenbach, C.M.; Rolston, D.E.; Horwath, W.R. Cover Cropping Affects Soil N2O and CO2 Emissions Differently Depending on Type of Irrigation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2010, 137, 251–260. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-Martín, L.; Arce, A.; Benito, A.; Garcia-Torres, L.; Vallejo, A. Influence of drip and furrow irrigation systems on nitrogen oxide emissions from a horticultural crop. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2008, 40, 1698–1706. [CrossRef]
- Aguilera, E.; Lassaletta, L.; Sanz-Cobena, A.; Garnier, J.; Vallejo, A. The Potential of Organic Fertilizers and Water Management to Reduce N2O Emissions in Mediterranean Climate Cropping Systems. A Review. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2013, 164, 32–52. [CrossRef]
- 41. Kennedy, T.L.; Suddick, E.C.; Six, J. Reduced Nitrous Oxide Emissions and Increased Yields in California Tomato Cropping Systems under Drip Irrigation and Fertigation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2013**, *170*, 16–27. [CrossRef]
- 42. Wang, X.J.; Chen, L.; Wang, Y.Q.; Li, L.; Zhu, J.Y.; Zhao, S.L.; Liu, X.W.; Li, G.H. Effect of Drip Irrigation and Fertilizer N input amount on NH3 volatilization in Paddy Field. *Xinjiang Farm Res. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *4*, 3–5.
- 43. White, R.E.; Cai, G.; Chen, D.; Fan, X.H.; Pacholski, A.; Zhu, Z.L.; Ding, H. Gaseous Nitrogen Losses from Urea Applied to Maize on a Calcareous Fluvo-Aquic Soil in the North China Plain. *Soil Res.* **2002**, *40*, 737. [CrossRef]